# ISLAMIC MYSTICISM CONTESTED

Therteen Centuries of Controversies and Polemics

EDITED BY

FREDERICK DE JONG & BERND RADTKE



# ISLAMIC HISTORY AND CIVILIZATION

# STUDIES AND TEXTS

EDITED BY

ULRICH HAARMANN AND WADAD KADI

VOLUME 29



# ISLAMIC MYSTICISM CONTESTED

Thirteen Centuries of Controversies and Polemics

EDITED BY

FREDERICK DE JONG & BERND RADTKE



BRILL LEIDEN · BOSTON · KÖLN 1999

# Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Islamic mysticism contested: thirteen centuries of controversies and polemics / edited by Frederick de Jong & Bernd Radtke.

p. cm. — (Islamic history and civilization. Studies and texts, ISSN 0929-2403; v. 29)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 9004113002 (cloth: alk. paper)

1. Sulism—Controversial literature. 2. Islam—Controversial literature. 3. Sulism—History. 1. Jong, F. de. II. Radike,

Bernd. III. Series. BP189.36.185 1999 297.4'09—dc21

99-11737 CIP

# Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Islamic mysticism contested / edited by F. de Jong and B. Radike. -Leiden; Boston; Köln; Brill, 1999 (Islamic history and civilization; Vol. 29) ISBN 90-04-11300-2

#### ISSN 0929-2403 ISBN 90 04 11300 2

© Copyright 1999 by Koninklyke Brill NV, Leiden, 'The Netherlands
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced translated, stored
in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic,
mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written
permission from the publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Brill provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Saite 910 Danvers MA 01923, USA.

Fees are subject to change.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of ContentsV
AcknowledgementsIX
A Note on TransliterationX
PrefaceXI
FREDERICK DE JONG & BERND RADTKE: Introduction1
JOSEF VAN ESS: Sufism and its Opponents. Reflections on Topoi,
Tribulations, and Transformations22
I. Perimeters and Constants45
1. GERHARD BÖWERING: Early Sufism between Persecution
and Heresy45
2. FLORIAN SOBIEROJ: The Muctazila and Sufism
3. MICHEL CHODKIEWICZ: Le procès posthume
d'Ibn 'Arabī93
4. WILFERD MADELUNG: Zaydī Attitudes to Sufism 124
5. ESTHER PESKES: The Wahhābiyya and Sufism in the
Eighteenth Century145
6. BERND RADTKE: Kritik am Neo-Sufism162
II. Al-Andalus, North Africa, and the Middle East
1. MARIBEL FIERRO: Opposition to Sufism in al-Andalus 174
2. VINCENT J. CORNELL: Faqih Versus Faqir in Marinid
Morocco: Epistemological Dimensions of a Polemic207
3. TH. EMIL HOMERIN: Sufis and their Detractors in Mamluk
Egypt. A Survey of Protagonists and Institutional
Settings225

4. KAMEL FILALI: Quelques modalités d'opposition entre
marabouts mystiques et élites du pouvoir, en Algérie à
l'époque ottomane248
5. R. SEÁN O'FAHEY: Sufism in Suspense: The Sudanese
Mahdi and the Sufis267
6. PIERRE-JEAN LUIZARD: Les confréries soufies en Iraq aux
dix-neuvième et vingtième siècles face au chiisme
duodécimain et au wahhabisme283
7. FREDERICK DE JONG: Opposition to Sufism in Twentieth-
Century Egypt (1900-1970). A Preliminary Survey310
III Africa324
1. OUSMANE KANE: La polémique contre le soufisme et les
ordres soufis en Afrique de l'Ouest post-coloniale324
2. ROMAN LOIMEIER: Political Dimensions of the Relationship
between Sufi Brotherhoods and Islamic Reform
Movements in Senegal341
3. MUHAMMAD S. UMAR: Sufism and its Opponents in
Nigeria: The Doctrinal and Intellectual Aspects357
4. JACOBUS A. NAUDÉ: A Historical Survey of Opposition to
Sufism in South Africa386
IV The Indian Subcontinent416
1. CARL W. ERNST: Persecution and Circumspection in
Shajjäri Sufism416
2. BRUCE B. LAWRENCE: Veiled Opposition to Sufis in
Muslim South Asia: Dynastic Manipulation of Mystical
Brotherhoods by the Great Mughal436

3. MARC GABORIEAU: Critizing the Sufis: The Debate in
Early-Nineteenth-Century India452
4. ARTHUR F. BUEHLER: Charismatic Versus Scriptual
Authority: Naqshbandī Response to Deniers of
Mediational Sufism in British India468
V Central Asia and China492
1. DEVIN DEWEESE: Khojagānī Origins and the Critique of
Sufism: The Rhetoric of Communal Uniqueness in the
Manāqib of Khoja 'Alī 'Azīzān Rāmītanī492
2. JO-ANN GROSS: The Polemic of "Official" and "Unofficial"
Islam: Sufism in Soviet Central Asia520
3. MASAMI HAMADA: Le Sufisme et "ses opposants" au
Turkistan oriental541
4. JONATHAN N. LIPMAN: Sufism in the Chinese Courts: Islam
and Qing Law in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth
Centuries553
5. LEÏLA CHERIF-CHEBBI: L'Yihewani, une machine de guerre
contre le soufisme en Chine?576
VI Anatolia, Iran, and the Balkan603
1. AHMET YAŞAR OCAK: Oppositions au soufisme dans
l'Empire ottoman aux quinzième et seizième siècles603
2. NASROLLAH POURJAVADY: Opposition to Sufism in
Twelver Shiism614
3. MANGOL BAYAT: Anti-Sufism in Qajar Iran624
4. NATHALIE CLAYER ET ALEXANDRE POPOVIC: Les
courants anti-confrériques dans le Sud-Est européen à

#### ISLAMIC MYSTICISM CONTESTED

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The international Symposium on "Sufism and its Opponents" was held in Utrecht, the Netherlands, from May 1 through 6, 1995. Utrecht University served as the host of the Symposium, and its Faculty of Arts and Department of Oriental Languages and Cultures were the main sponsors. In addition, the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, the Foundation for Philosophy and Theology (The Hague), the M.Th. Houtsma Foundation (Utrecht), and Reijnhout Vastgoed Management/Rotam Vastgoed b.v. contributed substantially towards the expenses of the Symposium. We wish to acknowledge the support received from all these institutions.

Among the many people who helped realise the project were Mirjam Coelen, Henrieke Crielaard, Comé Hanssen, Nico Landman, and Angela Post. They generously donated their time during the Symposium and contributed to the organisation. Nico Landman graciously assumed the role of general assistant to the conveners at a very critical time. He also contributed to solving conversion problems of texts submitted on disk in the early stages of the editing of the papers. We thank them all for their commitment. Thanks are also due to Roman Loimaier, for checking and providing a number of bibliographical references, to Kenan Furat, who prepared part of the index, to John O'Kane and Frédérique-Jeanne Besson for their invaluable editorial assistance, and to Bruce Lawrence who inspired the choice for the title of this volume.

Finally, we wish to thank Ulrich Haarmann, the general editor of the series "Islamic History and Civilization", for accepting this volume for publication in this series.

### A NOTE ON TRANSLITERATION

Transliteration of Arabic, Persian, Ottoman and Modern Turkish follows the system of the International Journal of Middle East Studies. An attempt has been made by the editors to arrive at consistency for the transliteration of Chinese, Haussa, Malay, Russian, and Urdu. For other languages, transliterations used are in accordance with the recommendations of each individual author. Arabic terms, such as fatwa and ulama, which have found their way as loan-words into most Western languages, have been rendered without diacritics in their by now accepted transcriptions.

#### PREFACE

The present volume originates in the international symposium on "Sufism and its Opponents" which was convened by Frederick De Jong and Bernd Radtke at Utrecht University, the Netherlands, in early May 1995. The idea to organise a symposium with this particular focus was born in a discussion on research priorities in the field of the history of Islamic mysticism which De Jong had with Avram Udovitch and Frank Stewart in Princeton over a decade ago. Although some initial thought was given to issues pertaining to the organisation of such a symposium at Princeton, no concrete plans materialised. Several years later, when De Jong was visiting the University of Bergen in Norway, in another discussion on the state of the art in the study of Islamic mysticism, in this case with Séan O'Fahey and his colleagues, the idea to organise a symposium on Sufism and its opponents came up again. It was developed to the stage of a funding proposal for such a meeting to be held at Bergen. However, the funding request was turned down, and plans were shelved until 1994 when Bernd Radtke joined the Department of Oriental Languages and Cultures in Utrecht University. We formulated a proposal for a scholarly meeting at Utrecht with a distinct focus on inner-Islamic polemics concerning Sufis and Sufism wherever and whenever found, and we secured the necessary funding allowing us to proceed.

The Symposium was conceived as one in an ongoing series of international scholarly meetings on Islamic mysticism and the Sufi orders, which started in Paris in 1982. Later meetings were held in Sèvres (on the Nagshbandiyya) in 1985, in Strasbourg (on the Bektashiyya and related groups) in 1986, in Istanbul (on the Melami and Bayrami traditions) in 1987, in Belgrade (on Sufi orders in the Balkans) in 1989, and in Bamberg (on the Mevleviyye) in 1991. These meetings resulted in a number of publications, such as Les ordres mystiques dans l'Islam. Cheminements et situation actuelle (eds. A. Popovic & G. Veinstein; Paris 1986), Nagshbandis. Cheminements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulman (eds. M. Gaborieau, A. Popovic & T. Zarcone; Istanbul 1990), Bektachiyya. Études sur l'ordre mystique des Bektachis et les groupes relevant de Hadji Bektach (eds. M. Gaborieau, A. Popovic, T. Zarcone; Istanbul 1995), Melâmis-Bayrâmis. Études sur trois mouvements mystiques musulmans (eds. N. Clayer, A. Popovic, T. Zarcone; Istanbul 1998), and a special issue of Osmanlı Araştırmaları (volume xiv, Istanbul 1994) containing the papers pre-

sented at the conference on the Mevleviyye.

These meetings were always immensely stimulating and inspiring and credit should go to Alexandre Popovic and Marc Gaborieau who initiated these meetings in Paris in 1982 and were involved, directly or indirectly, in the organisation of most of the gatherings thereafter, including the one in Utrecht. At Utrecht forty-three papers were presented and discussed by the participants and a small audience. The symposium was concluded by Annemarie Schimmel with an elegant summation. Thirty-three of these presentations in an edited and occasionally substantially revised version make up the present volume, which also includes the edited text of the key-note speech by Josef van Ess, delivered during the opening session of the symposium. Devin DeWeese would have participated in the symposium but was prevented form doing so. However, he was with us in spirit and the paper he had intended for presentation is included here.

For the participants, the symposium at Utrecht was a highly rewarding meeting of intense, stimulating, and often exiting intellectual interaction, with good personal chemistry sustained by a variety of memorable culinary diversions. We hope the contents of

this volume will be equally rewarding to the reader.

The Editors

Utrecht, November 1998

# INTRODUCTION

# FREDERICK DE JONG & BERND RADTKE

Criticism of and opposition to mystical conceptions of Islam and their adherents have been and still are crucial forces shaping and coinciding with socio-political configurations in the world of Islam while constituting an integral part of an ongoing debate inside the Islamic tradition. Yet, the virtual absence of comparative studies of regional and historical variations in the polemics between Sufis and those adhering to non-mystical conceptions of Islam is perhaps striking, as is the absence of more comprehensive studies concerning these polemics, their historical and cultural determinants and their wider implications,2 The relevance of such studies for our understanding of Islamic history is obvious.

It should be equally obvious that the aim to arrive at a comprehensive view of the polemics in their socio-historical contexts requires a collective effort such as the present one, in view of the scope of the field, geographically, historically and, by implication, the number of languages involved.3 Less obvious to the outsider may be the relevance of such an endeavour for our understanding of certain dimensions of present-day fundamentalist Islam and the manner in which anti-Sufi fundamentalist orientations translate themselves into concrete action, such as the destruction of tombs of saints in Lahi in the wake of the Yemeni civil war of 1984, and the killing of Nisar

<sup>1</sup> Studies standing in the Islamic tradition and discussing the major issues covered in the polemics between Sufis and their opponents, are, perhaps inevitably, partisan. Recent examples are Mustafa Hilmi, al-Tasawwaf wa'l-ittijah al-salafi fi'l-'asr al-hadith (Alexandria 1982); "Abd al-Lațif Muhammad al-"Abd, al-Taşawwuf fi'l-Islām wa-ahamm al-i"tirădăt alwārida 'alayhi (Cairo 1987); and Muhammad al-Sayyid al-Jalaynid, Min qadāyā al-taşawwif fi daw' al-kitāb wa'l-sunna (Riyadh 1989).

<sup>2</sup> Elisabeth Sirriych, Sufis and Anti-Sufis: The defense, rethinking and rejection of Sufism in the modern world (Richmond, UK 1998) was still in the press at the time of writing this introduction.

<sup>3</sup> The recently published collection of papers, David Westerland & Eva Evers Rosander (cds.), African Islam and Islam in Africa. Encounters between Sufis and Islamists (London 1997) contains a number of contributions which concern Sufism and its Opponents; they supplement and complete some of the papers published in the present book.

Aḥmad, a Naqshbandī and the chief Muslim cleric of southern Kashmir in June 1994. The generosity he displayed towards Hindus, in line with the Kashmiri Sufi tradition of liberality and acceptance of other faiths, 4 is said to have been the principal reason for his being killed by members of the separatist movement of fundamentalist orientation, the Hizb al-mujāhidīn. In both cases opposition to Sufism assumed forms of physical violence. This, one encounters throughout history. Sufis never had to search for their opponents.

Opposition to Sufism goes back to the early formative period of Islam, with discussions concerning the sunna-based nature of zuhd and sayyāha and the necessary conjunction between zuhd and piety. According to a present-day Muslim scholar, Muhammad Sayyid al-Jalaynid, the earliest ascetics were retreating from the world in penitence following the events at Karbala, and in response to Umayyad policies. In their zuhd they followed the example of Hasan al-Başri, who is said to have practised zuhd in imitation of the Imam Ali.5 Ibn Taymiyya, whose name figures prominently in the following papers, refers in his Risālat al-sūfiyya wa'l-fuqarā' to transmissions mentioning that al-Hasan al-Başrī (d. 110/728) used the term Sufi.6 Ibn Taymiyya also mentions that the earliest Sufi hospices (duwayra sūfiyya) were built by adherents of Hasan's student 'Abd al-Wahid b. Zayd in Basra.7 The prevalent view, however, is that it is only since the fourth/tenth century that mystics have been generally called Sufi.8 The question of who was the first to construct a khānaqāh is still unanswered.9 Yet, if the labels of Sufi and Sufism have no currency in the early period, the mystical conceptions of Islam emerging in this period enjoy continuity, either in their original or in a reworked form, in later periods. Thus, the early notion of mahabba rooted in the all-comprising knowledge that one is loved by God without reason or cause - growing from ma rifa, and eventually resulting in unity and identity of lover and beloved, was later tied to the idea of fanā' (first by Abū Sacid al-Kharrāz). The claims by the early Sufis to reciprocal love between God and themselves as divinely chosen people were held in conjunction with the notion of tawba. Theories of tawba were central in the discussions in classical Sufism, as pointed out by Gerhard Böwering. Within Sufi circles the notion distinguished the proponents of a gnostic and mystically inspired spirituality from those characterised by a deeply ascetic and traditional religiosity. The moment of tawba was conceived as the moment of radical re-orientation to God and the beginning of a direct access to Him. Mystics who adhered to this notion of tawba held that their spiritual level was equal to the spiritual level of the prophets. Likewise, they were convinced that God continued to communicate directly with these mystical elect after the time of the Prophet. Their self-conception of being a divinely chosen elite alienated society and resulted in conflict with the ordinary believers and the learned alike. One issue was the claim to intense reciprocal love ("ishq) between God and the mystic. The earliest legal persecution of Sufis, in the second half of the third/ninth century in Baghdad, initiated by Ghulām Khalīl against Abū'l-Hasan al-Nūrī and his circle, may be the outcome of differences over the concept of 'ishq. Yet, as observed by Josef van Ess in his introductory essay, we do not really know what actual accusations were brought against the defendants.

Other issues in early Sufism were the precise nature of visionary experiences, and theological concepts such as Sahl al-Tustari's idea of nūr Muḥammad, i.e. of primal Man and prototypical mystic. Sahl's spiritual heritage may have marked the Sālimiyya, who, as Böwering points out, were attacked through polemical distortion. Attacks on Sufi Koranic commentary, by Ḥanbalīs in particular, were rooted in the rejection of the esoterical method (ta'wīl) employed. Ḥanbalī dominance among these critics should not be taken as a confirmation of presumed Ḥanbalī enmity towards Sufism. As van Ess points out, this is a present-day stereotype: in the Middle Ages Hanbalī attitudes were differentiated.

During the formative period of Islam, when Sunnism and the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila had not yet developed their mutually exclusive conceptions, a combined interest in Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī theology and asceticism was not uncommon, and Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī and Sufi orientations could be adhered to by one and the same person. Later, when the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila and Sunnism had become dogmatically irreconcilable and politically opposed, Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilīs criticised Sufis as Sunni Muslims and as Sufis. One of the most well-known early Sufis, Dhū'l-Nūn al-Miṣrī was imprisoned in Baghdad during the miḥna for refusing to accept

<sup>4</sup> On Sufism in Kashmir, and its changing fortunes in the pre-modern period, see Saiyid Ather Abbas Rizvi, A History of Sufism in India (Delhi 1978 and 1983) i, 69-72; ii, 289-300, 349-351. See also Ishuq Khan, 'Islam in Kashmir: A Historical Analysis of its Distinctive Features', in Christian W. Troll (ed.), Islam in India (Delhi 1985) 86-97.

<sup>5</sup> al-Jalaynid 18-20.

<sup>6</sup> Edited with notes by Muhammad Rashid Ridā (Cairo 1928) 2.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid. 3-4.

<sup>8</sup> Cf. the contribution by Bernd Radtke, p. 163.

<sup>9</sup> Cf. Fritz Meiet, Abū Saʿīd-i Abī l-Ḥayr (Leiden 1976) 302 f.; Josef van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2. und 3. Jahrhundert Hidschra. Eine Geschichte des religiösen Denkens im frühen Islam, i-vi (Berlin-New York 1991-1997) ii, 102 ff.

INTRODUCTION

Mu'tazili teaching concerning the createdness of the Koran. Yet, Sufis did not show any noteworthy opposition to the Muctazilibacked regime in Baghdad, and a Sufi like Bishr al-Hāfī was criticised for remaining aloof when one of the most famous victims of the mihna, Ahmad b. Hanbal, was imprisoned. Rivalries between two schools of the Muctazila, the Ikhshidiyya and the Bahshamiyya, explain why the Sufis of fourth/tenth-century Shiraz were protected by members of the Muctazili elite against persecution by others. One of these Sufis was Ibn Khafif, who was ridiculed by Muhassin al-Tanūkhī in his Nishwar al-muhādara, which is used by Florian Sobieroj as a major source for his contribution. The Ikhshīdiyya school of the Muctazila did not deny the possibility of saintly miracles (karāmāt). Yet, the majority of the Muctazila rejected this notion and accepted only mu'jizāt, i.e. miracles confirming prophethood. They looked upon the claims that Sufis are able to perform karāmāt and are distinguished by God in this manner, as endangering the position of the Prophet. By implication the sharia was thought to be threatened and even in danger of being rendered irrelevant. To prevent this from happening miraculous behaviour by Sufis was rejected, and was presented by al-Tanükhī as magic which ultimately stems from Satan, Rejection of the Sufi notion of karāmāt is consistent with Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī rationalism which by logical extension also rejects ilhām and wajd: knowledge of God is obtained by reasoning and inference, and not through any form of inspiration.

In different contexts, such as the persecution of the followers of Ibn Masarra in al-Andalus in the Umayyad period, sainthood and karāmāt were key features in the debate that took place around the above-mentioned issues in the second half of the fourth/tenth century. In al-Andalus, saints were probably seen by those in power as constituting a danger to their authority. This danger was compounded by Masarri teachings about man's potential for prophecy by means of the speculative process. As Maribel Fierro argues, persecution of the Sufis was part of a particular political and social constellation, and was not so much opposition to Sufism as such. Equally, the actions taken against Ibn Barrajān and Ibn al- Arīf in the Almoravid period, eventually by the ruler himself, seem to have aimed at removing the threat to authority they constituted because of the number of their adherents. Sufism was assimilated by the ulama in the Almoravid, and in the subsequent Almohad periods, and in al-Andalus it was well-established in the sixth/ twelfth century. Even the insurrection of the Sufi Ibn Oasi, who rejected reason and figh, and who taught that prophecy should be periodically revitalised by

means of sanctity, did not result in opposition to Sufism as such. Yet, it resulted in increased sensitivity to 'political Sufis' and in measures directed at neutralising such potential threat to religious and worldly authority, without Sufism itself being contested. In the Nasrid era, when Nasrid territory was covered with a network of zāwiyas and ribats, and when Sufi orders had become part of the social fabric, opposition and scholarly debate focused primarily on practice and less on doctrine. Opposition to Sufi practice was inspired by fear that Sufi rituals might eventually replace those prescribed by the sharia. Debate concerning doctrine would seem to have the loci and nature of religious authority as a focus. This bears out incongruous views among the ulama on this matter and demonstrates the absence of any clear dichotomy between fugahā' and Sufis.

Such a dichotomy was more noticeable in Marinid Morocco. where, at the same time, a considerable number of Sufis could be found among the ulama. Here the conflict between ulama and Sufis involved polemics directed against institutionalised Sufism, informed by Ibn Taymiyya's teachings, and with rural, ribat-based Sufism as its prime target. Vincent Cornell's analysis of the conflict reveals its development from a problem of communication to a contest for power, and he identifies the differences in epistemological perspectives accounting for this development. Since the jurists could mobilise the power of the Marinid state to secure their position as arbiters of 'ilm and 'amal, they could secure their authority whenever challenged by the Sufis. Their approach to knowledge and its validation were, in a sense, consolidated by the state. This provided them with a stable, rational, and well-ordered universe.

Further to the East, in territories under Ziyanid rule, developments which further analysis may identify as similar to those resulting in the opposition between Sufis and jurists in the Marinid state, entailed the persecution of Sufi teachers and violent action against Sufism in its maraboutic and non-maraboutic manifestations. Sufi leaders were perceived as competitors for power by a Ziyānid dynastic-ulama alliance. Ziyanid persecution of mystics eventually weakened their dynastic authority and prepared the way for the establishment of Ottoman rule in Algeria, and for what Kamel Filali calls "la coalition turco-maraboutique" of the Beylerbey period. Later Ottoman rule in Algeria was marked by continuous competition for power and authority, reminiscent of developments in the Ziyanid period, between the Ottoman bey, the judges and ulama on the one hand, and the marabouts and their followers on the other. Possibly in response to Ottoman rule, maraboutic tribes multiplied in this period, and charismatic marabouts were major leaders of anti-Ottoman rebellions. At the same time, genuine and co-opted pro-Ottoman marabouts added to the complexity of the historical developments. From the midseventeenth century, fuqahā' opposition against esoterism became pronounced and aimed at neutralising the maraboutic threat to their power. This development allowed the Ottomans to exploit the deepening rift between the two categories of religious leaders in order to retain their rule and secure their authority. Real repression of the khwan started in the eighteenth century, and in the second half of this century in particular, when, according to Filali, mysticism spread in conjunction with economic hardship. This is a period of expansion and consolidation for some of the major maghribian Sufi orders, the Tijāniyya, Raḥmāniyya, and Darqāwiyya, in Ottoman Algeria.

In the Eastern Arab lands, the Zangid and Ayyubid dynasties aimed at the ideological and institutional strengthening of Sunni Islam. The establishment of khānaqāhs and madrasas, which they supported served to assure the transmission of correct ritual and belief. In Mamluk society in particular, the spread and development of the khānagāhs was quite unique and spectacular in Islamic history. These were the abodes of "funded Sufis", who held daily liturgical gatherings (hudur), and prayed on behalf of others. Thus, in Mamluk society from the thirteenth century, the term Sufi could designate a legitimate professional occupation within the religious establishment. Such Sufis by profession, were approved of by Ibn Taymiyya, whereas Taj al-Din al-Subki, another famous scholar of the Mamluk

period, was highly critical of the khānaqāh population.

One of the Muctazili theologians who upheld the possibility of karāmāt was Abū'l-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī, whose doctrine was espoused by the Zaydī Imam Yaḥyā b. Ḥamza (1328-1349). The Imam Yaḥyā wrote against al-Ghazzālī's views on samā', yet was well-disposed towards Sufism and had his own shaykh in asceticism. This shaykh, 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh b. Abī'l-Khayr, was also the shaykh of Ibrāhīm b. Ahmad al-Kaynaci (d. 1391), who founded Sufi communities all over the Yemen. He found favour with the Imam al-Nāṣir Ṣalāḥ al-Din since he supported the Zaydī Imamate against the Sunni Rasūlids. The positive attitude of Zaydī Imams towards Sufism is already encountered among the early Imams who cited the sayings of the early Sufis and praised them. Thus, as was the case in the early Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila, a positive attitude towards Sufi ascetic piety also prevailed in early Zaydī Islam. Systematic persecution of Sufis was initiated by Imam al-Mutawakkil Sharaf al-Dîn (1506-1558). At the root of this was, as Wilferd Madelung implies, a conflict over authority in religion. Anti-Sufi polemics reached their apex under Imam al-Mansūr al-Qāsim (1598-1620), the founder of the Qāsimī imamate. This explains why the Sufis in the Yemen welcomed the Ottoman invasion, and why they were courted by Ottoman governors. In reaction to this alliance al-Mansur wrote a treatise in which he identified the Ismācīliyya (Bātiniyya) with Sufiyya, and quotes fatwas of Caspian Zaydī Imāms against the Bāṭiniyya as if applicable against Sufism. The pattern set by al-Mansur continued down to the twentieth century: Sufi orders were persecuted, tombs were destroyed and shaykhs were imprisoned. Ibn al-'Arabi's Fusus alhikam, which was especially singled out for criticism by al-Mansür, was burned under later Imams of the Qasimi dynasty.

The struggle against the teaching of lbn al- Arabi, who was judged favourably by his contemporaries, was initiated by Ibn Taymiyya in the thirteenth century. In a number of tracts, he criticised the theses of wahdat al-wujūd, wahdat al-adyān, non-eternity of punishment, and the complex of teachings concerning haqiqa muhammadiyya and khatm al-walaya. The criticism advanced by this scholar has been either directly quoted or used in a recycled form by the critics of Ibn 'Arabī and his followers till today, and most notably by those who stand in the Wahhābī or Salafī traditions. In the Ottoman world, the orthodoxy of al-Shaykh al-Akbar was affirmed in a fatwa issued by Kamāl Pasha Zādeh (d. 1534), and the Akbarī heritage obtained imperial protection. Criticism of Ibn al- Arabi continued, but met with strong and unambiguous defenders. An inventory of anti-Akbari polemics through the centuries is contained in al-Sakhāwi's al-Qawl almunbi, a text which is only available in manuscript form, and is discussed in Michel Chodkiewicz's contribution. The case of one of Ibn al-cArabi's critics, Ibrāhīm al-Biqācī, which is mentioned in this contribution, receives more detailed coverage from Emil Homerin. Al-Biqaci's condemnation of Ibn al-'Arabi, Ibn al-Farid and their followers as heretics generated a dispute in late Mamluk Cairo which was resolved through intervention by Sultan Qa itbay himself. The outcome was the exoneration of the followers and supporters of Ibn al- Arabi and Ibn al-Färid of charges of heresy and infidelity, a shake-up of the religious hierarchy, and the public disgrace and forced exile of al-Bigaci. Anti-Akbari polemics recorded by al-Sakhāwī resounded in Egypt in 1979, when the distribution of the Futühāt al-makkiyya was briefly halted in the course of a sequence of events which included exchanges between critics and defenders of Akbari thought within the Egyptian Parliament.

The author of the Futühat was declared an unbeliever by Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb, whose teaching shaped the ideological core and political radicalism of some of the major movements and organisations in Islam since the late eighteenth century. In her exploration of Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb's teaching, Esther Peskes shows how this teaching completely excludes Sufism and its institutions. The logical incompatibility of Wahhābism and Sufism is inherent to Wahhābī dogma concerning confirmation of tawhīd al-rububiyya by means of the fulfilment of tawhid al uluhiyya Fulfilment implied proper worship and proper deeds, and comprised the categorical rejection of saints, shrines, and concomitant venerational practices. Fulfillment also comprised the obligation to combat those who did not fulfil tawhīd al-ulūhtyya themselves. These were unbelievers by implication. When the Wahhābīs obtained control over much of the Arabian Peninsula, their fulfilment of tawhid al-uluhiyya implied the destruction of shrines, tombs, graveyards, books, and the rejection of the madhāhib and their institutions. They made the existence of Sufi orders in the Peninsula almost impossible by imposing a conception of Islam which completely excludes Sufism and its institutions as un-Islamic. The Wahhābī movement constitutes one of the major challenges Sufism has faced since the rise of that movement in the late eighteenth century. The followers of Wahhābism and those inspired by Wahhābī thought are among the major opponents of Sufism from the movement's inception till today.

Similar to the spread of Wahhabism in the Arabian Peninsula were some of the effects of the rise of the Mahdist movement in the Sudan; the Sufi orders and the madhāhib and their institutions ceased to exist. In the case of the Mahdist movement, the doctrinal justification for the abolition of the brotherhoods was inherent to Muhammad Ahmad's claim to be the Mahdi. Since the awaited Mahdi had manifested himself and the end of time was near, all believers should leave their Sufi orders, which had now become null and void, and turn to him. Unlike the spread of Wahhābism, the spread of the Mahdist movement entailed no doctrinally motivated destruction of graveyards, shrines and zāwiyas Following the British reconquest of the Sudan in 1898, the majority of Sudanese Muslims ended what Séan O'Fahey calls their "suspended" Sufi identity, and reverted to their former Sufi allegiances. A new flowering of the brotherhoods followed in the early twentieth century, and Sufi orders and Sudanese party politics became interconnected in a unique way in the period thereafter. 10

One of the Sudanese Sufi orders which developed into a major political force was the Mirghaniyya or Khatmiyya. Its founder Muhammad 'Uthman al-Mırghanı was a disciple of Ahmad b. İdris (d. 1253/1837), who is at the origin of some of the major developments of Sufi thought and practice in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Ahmad b. Idris was tolerated in Wahhabi Mecca in the period 1803-1813. Naqshbandiyya groups, with a membership of immigrants from the Central Asian khanates, never ceased to funct ion in Mecca and Medina. Also a branch of the Shadhiliyya Darqāwiyya, known as al-Fāsiyya, retained control over a zāwiya in Mecca. Recent data, moreover, suggest an increase in activity of Sufi orders and their shavkhs or representatives on Saudi territory. 11 Such instances indicate fluctuations in Wahhābī rigidity, which may reflect an inner-Wahhābī development, as suggested by Esther Peskes. or should perhaps be understood in conjunction with factors pertaining to political expediency. In the case of Ahmad b. Idrīs, this scholar and the Wahhābīs had common ground in their stress on Koran and sunna as ultimate sources of knowledge, and their rejection of the authority of the madhāhib. However, with regard to other questions there were important differences between them, as Bernd Radtke shows. For the Wahhābīs the only valid approach to the Koran and the sunna as sacred sources was that of the learned written tradition. Ibn Idris, on the other hand, availed himself of the tarīga muhammadiyya by means of which the mystic could have a direct encounter with the Prophet in a waking state (yaqzatan). According to Ibn Idrīs and the Sufis of his day and age, it was possible to communicate with the Prophet as a still living person even if he were in a different state than during his lifetime - which was an outright absurdity to the Wahhābīs. The emphasis placed on the possibility of the tariga muhammadiyya represents one of the chief characteristics of more recent Sufism. Thanks to their ongoing direct access and association with the Prophet, advanced Sufis could claim to be quasi-infallible authorities in deriving legal judgements because they were capable of obtaining solutions to all legal questions

For a summary of developments in the post-Mahdist period and for references, see
 e.g. Nicole Grandin, 'Les tureq au Soudan, dans la Corne de l'Afrique et en Afrique orientale',
 n. A. Popovic and G. Veinstein (eds.), Les ordres mystiques dans l'islam. Cheminements et situation actuelle (Pans 1986) 180-182

See Frederick De Jong, 'Les confrénes mystiques musulmanes au Machreq arabe' centres de gravaté, signes de déclin et de renaissance, in Popovic and Veinstein, op cit. 233.

from the Prophet himself and were no longer dependent on the use of fallible human reason. Litanies or texts produced by neo-Sufis such as 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Dabbāgh, Aḥmad al-Tijam, Muḥammad 'Uthmān al-Mīrghanī, Muḥammad b. 'Alī al Sanūsi, and Aḥmad b. Idrīs were presented as directly received from the Prophet or from al-Khaḍir. These origins justified the position that the recitation of these texts was instrumental in obtaining salvation. They also made the texts direct competitors with the Koran. Neo-Sufism was and is contested by adherents of a variety of conceptions of Islam, including mystical conceptions and Wahhābism.

One of the most widespread Sufi orders in West Africa, the Tijāniyya, named after Aḥmad al-Tijānī, is a neo-Sufi order par excellence. Tijāni doctrines, which receive attention in the papers by Muhammad Umar and Ousmane Kane, entailed protracted polemics between Tijanis themselves, between adherents of the Tijaniyya and the Qădiriyya, and attacks by Wahhābī oriented groups or by reformists of Salafi persuasion. Wahhābī and Salafī conceptions of Islam did not meet with much response in West Africa till after the Second World War. In the francophone region, the oldest Islamic organisation of Salafi orientation (the Union Culturelle Musulmane), and hence critical of the Sufi brotherhoods by definition, did not manifest itself until the nineteen-fifties. Its founder and principal ideologue, the Senegalese Cheikh Touré, considered belief in the miraculous powers of the marabouts to be shirk, and held the Sufi orders to be bid'a. According to him, the orders were a plague on Islam in Africa and constituted one of the major reasons for division among Muslims. Cheikh Touré's organisation was co-opted into the political system in Senegal with the aim of creating a political counterweight against the all-powerful marabouts. When the State had completely encapsulated, or "domesticated", to use Ousmane Kane's term, the Union leadership, Cheikh Touré founded another reformist Islamic organisation. This organisation, the Jamā'at 'ibād al-rahmān, has anti-secularism as its major ideological concern. The Jamā'a tried to obtain support of marabouts in its struggle against the secular state. This, as is pointed out by Roman Loimeier, explains why the Jamä at abstains from attacking Sufi brotherhoods and marabouts in general, but is highly critical of marabouts who co-operate with the secular state.

In francophone and anglophone West Africa accusations of collusion with colonialism were and still are part of the polemical discourse. In the former regions, however, the reformists accuse the Sufi orders of collaboration with the French authorities, whereas in the Nigerian context the adherents of Sufism accused their opponents of being in collusion with colonialism. Since 1972 Wahhābi teaching has spread by a variety of means, and the Sufi/anti-Sufi divide has become more pronounced. In conjunction with expounding on the Wahhābī tenet that Sufism is incompatible with tawhīd al-ulūhīyya, specific criticism implies that Sufi orders and the cult of saints constitute bid'a since these are posterior to the Prophet. Critics maintain that Sufi shaykhs are charletans and exploit the ignorant masses, and that the rituals practised by the Sufi orders are un-Islamic. These points of criticism arise repeatedly in Islamic reformist discourse in West Africa and elsewhere.

In the Indian Subcontinent, the breakaway by modernists and neofundamentalists from the Sufi traditions which constituted an integral part of Indo-Muslim culture, started in the second half of the nineteenth century. The focus of the debate and the pre-eminent target of reformist anti-Sufi movements in this period and after were the shane cults and the veneration accorded to deceased masters. In the Sultanate period, ecstatic experience in conjunction with samā', listening to Sufi music and poetry, was the prime target of ulama enticism of Sufism. In the Mughal period, enmity to Sufism came first and foremost from the court and concerned claims to spiritual authority by Sufi shaykhs which detracted from the authority of the Emperor. Such a schematization, as Bruce Lawrence points out, does not do justice to the complex reality: no neat "binary relationship of intrinsic hostility or irreconcilable enmity between Sufis and non-Sufis" existed in South Asia. His case-study of Sufism in Mughal India at the time of Akbar identifies this Emperor's links with Salim Chishti and the Chishtiyya as primarily a strategic move, instrumental in enhancing and consolidating his imperial legitimacy. Opposition to other Sufi orders is veiled but detectable in contemporary hagiographical and historiographical literature. This veiled oppostion is explained as the concomitant of the preference for a Chishti saint over others, and in conjunction with the conflict inherent in the competition between and for spiritual and political power, i.e. the conflict between the saint and the king. This, of course, does not mean that persecution of Sufis for their teaching or beliefs did nor occur in Mughal India. The contribution by Carl Ernst, concerning Muhammad Ghawth Gwaliyari, is a case in point. This Shattari shaykh wrote a detailed account of his ascension (mi'rāj), similar to the account of Abu Yazıd al-Bastāmî. This implied his claim to have direct access to God and resulted in a life of persecution and exile. His disciples and descendants seem to have censored this episode

from Shattāri history and became more sharia-oriented, possibly as a

result of intense persecution.

The major and perhaps most explicit critics of Sufism and its institutions in the Subcontinent were the adherents of what came to be known as the Ahl i hadith movement. The disputes between Sufism and this movement involved differences over mediation, spiritual hierarchy, and personal charismatic authority; these reveal two incompatible forms of Islamic religiosity. The Ahl-i hadith were labelled "Wahhābi" by their opponents. Wahhabi influence on the first scholar in India who formulated views characteristic of the Ahl-i hadīth, Muḥammad Ismā il al-Shahīd, is contested by Marc Gaborieau, who postulates instead a direct link with Ibn Taymiyya, and suggests the additional influence of the Yemeni Sunni scholar Muḥammad b. 'Alī ai-Shawkāni (d. 1834). The relevance of Ismā'il al-Shahid's normative positions in the debate concerning Sufism in the very recent past, is borne out in Arthur Buehler's contribution where he discusses a tract by Muhammad Hasan Jan (d. 1946) The tract, which is representative of the polemics between Sufis and their opponents during the British colonial period, is a defence of Sufism largely written against Ismā'il al-Shahīd. He was the main disciple of Sayyid Ahmad Barëlwi, whose reform movement, known as Tarīga-i Muhammadiyya, was inspired by the Naqshbandiyya Mujaddidiyya. The movement, which was very similar to a Sufi order, stressed a distinct identity by avoiding and criticising practices of other Sufis which they considered contrary to Islam. As the most radical heirs of the Tariqa-i Muhammadiyya, the Ahl-i hadith confronted the two other major revivalist/reform groups in British India: the Barelwis and the Deobandis. Central to the teaching of the former of these two groups is the stress on nūr-i muhammadī in conjunction with the belief that the Prophet Muhammad is always present and looking after the world (hadir wa-nazir), that he could be called upon whenever needed. The Deobandis rejected such neo Sufi views; they also considered many religious practices characteristic of Indian Sufism and condoned by the Barelwis, to be incompatible with the sunna of the Prophet. A central issue in the polemics between the two groups concerned the role of the spiritual mentor, which, in the case of the Barēlwi was ned to the intercession of Muhammad, whereas the Deobandis were shaykh-focused while rejecting the notion of the Prophet's intercession.

In modern times Deobandi ideas spread in South Africa through Muslims from this country who studied at Deobandi schools in India. Likewise, the Barēlwi movement is represented in South Africa, and both groups defend their positions, and are in conflict over Sufism and Sufi religious practices. As described by Jacobus Naudé, the conflict over Sufism has escalated over recent years from verbal to physical aggression. The Tabligh movement, which was explicitly associated with Wahhabism, has also been drawn into the fray. The attacks on Sufism by the Tabligh movement have provoked a revival of conscious commitment to Sufism. When the struggle against apartheid intensified, Sufi Islam became associated with the antiapartheid struggle. Conversely, the South-African ulama and the Tabligh movement came under criticism for not speaking out against racist policies, and for being implicated with the regime. Thus, conflict concerning Sufism in South Africa became part of a conflict with bigger political overtones, which has not yet been resolved.

The Tariqa-i Muhammadiyya of Sayyid Ahmad Barelwi is somewhat reminiscent of Khojagānī Sufi communities in Central Asia in the later thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries: in both cases criticism of Sufis was not a rejection of Sufism as such, but criticism of institutionalised Sufism as part of a quest for distinct communal identity. Khojagānī critique, as discussed by Devin DeWeese on the basis of a text by Khoja 'Alī 'Azīzān Rāmītanī, essentially consisted of dissociation from established Sufism by adopting the rhetoric of those who denounced Sufism in general. This dissociation eventually led to the use of the Naqshbandiyya which was to become one of the

major Sufi orders in Asia.

Anti-Sufi literature is rare in Central Asia from the fourteenth century until the era of Russian rule. In the Soviet period such literature reflects anti-religious propaganda which represents Sufis as fanatics and a menace to society. More significantly, however, Sufism came to signify "the persistence of non-Soviet culture and the reactionary, primitive remnants of a backward tradition". Sufism was looked upon as antithetical to the Soviet State; it was labelled as "unofficial" Islam and opposed by implication. The dualistic concept of "official" (Soviet Islam) and "unofficial" or "parallel" Islam (Sufism) is, as pointed out by Jo-Ann Gross, a political construct. It is reflected in Soviet historiography of Sufism and is then found in Western scholarship which is essentially based on Soviet sources.

No opposition to Sufism as such is noted by Masami Hamada for East Turkestan. Yet, in nineteenth-century sources particular Sufi leaders are criticised for their behaviour. A case in point is Mūsā Sayrāmi's account in which he criticises "politicised Sufism", i.e. Sufis such as Habib Allāh who assumed political authority and participated in the 1864 revolt against the Qing regume, which had

conquered East Turkestan in the eighteen-sixties. Following the "liberation" of East Turkestan by the Chinese communists, anti-religious policies and a campaign aiming at the chimination of Islamic institutions profoundly affected Sufism. Later, during the Cultural Revolution, many shrines were destroyed and many Sufis were killed. Since 1982, however, shrines have been restored and Sufism has come out in the open, reflecting official support for regional forms of Islam as a front against the perceived threat of Islamic fundamentalist movements which are anti-Sufi by dint of their Wahhābi-inspired theological orientation.

Opposition to Sufism in China under the Qing dynasty is reflected in a number of court cases analysed by Jonathan Lipman in conjunction with Qing legal culture. These cases illustrate competition between a propagator of the Afaqiyya branch of the Naqshbandiyya (Khafiyya) and the non-Sufi leader of an established mosque community, between the Khafiyya (also known as "Old Teaching") and the Jahriyya (also known as "New Teaching") of the Naqshbandiyya. The lawsuits, which demonstrate the involvement of the state, were based on the claim that the activities of the defendant fell under the criminal categories of heterodoxy and deluding the people with superstition. Conflict between adherents of Old and New Teaching not only entailed litigation but physical violence as well. The Jahriyya was looked upon as "New Teaching", and as subversive, and by implication as a disrupter of social order. Thus, the Qing authorities sought to suppress this form of the Nagshbandiyya. The involvement of the army finally resulted in a complex constellation of events and in an array of conflicts which are collectively known as the "great Muslim rebellion" of Northwest China.

A reformist movement of Wahhābi inspiration, known as the Yihewani, arose in this part of China at the end of the nineteenth century. The movement, which is discussed by Leïla Cherif-Chebbi, was almost eradicated by Sufi orders supported by certain local authorities and military chiefs at the end of the second decade of the twentieth century. In the nineteen-thirties, however, local Muslim warlords supported the Yihewani movement in its struggle against the brotherhoods, and it eventually spread throughout China. During the initial period of communist rule till 1956, the new regime seems to have supported the local Muslim elites for the sake of maintaining social peace. In the roughly two decades after 1958, when the communist state sought to eradicate religious activities, several leaders of Sufi orders were tried and executed. Similarly, Yihewani leaders lost their lives in this period. Since 1979, however, the new policy of the

communist regime towards religion has allowed the movement to reconstitute itself with vigour and to draw support from the regime. At present, the Yihewani, who promote a negative image of Sufism, essentially control the religious administration in the country. The heads of the Sufi orders do not seem to play a role of any significance in this administration and are disappearing from official Chinese Islam.

Yihewani teaching derived much from Bırgıvi Mehmed Efendı's al-Tariga al-muhammadiyya. This text, which was written in the sixteenth century, became known in China at the end of the nineteenth century. Its author, who was influenced by the writings of Ibn Taymiyya and Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, was at the origin of the Qadızādelı movement, which is qualified by Ahmet Yasar Ocak as "le seul mouvement antisoufi au vrai sens du mot dans l'histoire ottomane". Sufi orders could spread in Ottoman lands virtually unopposed by either political powers or the ulama, till the first quarter of the fifteenth century when the Empire was shaken by the revolt of Bedr el-Din. An exception to this pattern was the persecution of Qalandars at the end of the fifteenth century. During the sixteenth century certain ulama were truly anti-Sufi, as were some agents of the State. They held the Sufis responsible for all social and religious disorders in Ottoman society, whereas the Sufis for their part blamed the State. Disorders and social unrest allowed for the spread of the Malami and Hamzavi movements. Both these Sufi movements had a messionic orientation and attracted the attention of the authorities because of anti-government attitudes. Malamis and Hamzavis were opposed, not only by ulama and state authorities, but also by Sufis belonging to other orders who condemned them as heretics and atheists.

Opposition to Sufism in Imāmī Shiism goes back to the ninth century and has much to do with the fact that Sufis were Sunnis. Anti-Sufi discourse produced in Shia Islam uses arguments borrowed from anti-Sufi Sunni texts next to sayings of the Imams critical of Sufism. An author whose critical views of Sufism obtained normative status in Imamī Shiism is Jamāl al-Dīn al-Murtadā al-Rāzī. His views are discussed by Nasrollah Pourjavady, who observes that Shii hostility of Sufism continues unabated till the mid-thirteenth century. Thereafter a gradual change sets in, notably with Haydariāmulī's incorporation of elements of Ibn al-'Arabi's teaching into Shiism. In the period after Āmulī the Shii ulama are devided into those who follow al Rāzī in his criticism of Sufism, and those who, like Āmulī, accept much of Akbarian teaching. At times, opponents

of 'irfan and of the Sufi brotherhoods gained the upper hand with dire consequences for the adherents of Sufism. In this connection, Pierre-Jean Luizard points to the second half of the seventeenth century when Sufism was suppressed, along with falsafa and Sunnism, and the Sufi orders in Iran were destroyed. The person responsible for this state of affairs was Muhammad Baqir Majlisi, who was shaykh ul-islām of Isfahan and the most powerful scholar of his era. Later, in the second half of the eighteenth century, when the Usulis had vindicated the Akhbārīs, the Usuli ulama engaged in persecuting Sufis to the point of extinction. The modern form of Shiism, which was codified by Murtada Ansari (1799-1864), the first marjic al-taglid, makes imitation of a living mujtahid obligatory and by implication rejects following a Sufi shaykh. This, in a sense, has consolidated the prevalent view in Twelver Shiism at present, which denounces Sufism as being opposed to Shiism and different from Islam. One of the most explicit denunciations of Sufism in the more recent period was produced by Ahmad Kasrawi, one of Iran's foremost early-twentieth-century intellectuals. He labelled Sufism as superstition, as devoid of interest in this world, and also denounced the Persian literary heritage of mystical poetry. His views, which are discussed by Mangol Bayat, do not seem to have outlived him into the present. At least among the ulama Kasrawi's ideas have left no traceable residue. Their attitudes, as Pourjavady points out, essentially go back to al-Rāzī and Āmulī, while opponents and adherents of Sufism in Iran are evenly balanced at present.

When Murtadā Ansārī, the first marji al-taqlīd, took up residence in al-Najaf, other forms of religious authority in Shii Iraq became devoid of legitimacy, and Sufi-oriented currents in Shiism in Iraq disappeared. Moreover, since the Ottoman Empire was a Sunni State, and since Sufi in Iraq essentially meant Sunni, the Iraqi Shiis were necessarily opposed to Sufism. During the Hamidian period in particular, the heads of Sufi orders were integrated into the Ottoman state and were perceived as representatives of Ottoman power. They were cultivated and protected against reformists with Wahhabi-inspired orientations such as some of the members of the al-Alusi family. Anti-Sufi orientations could not become popular in Ottoman Iraq which harboured a Shii danger and was at the front-line of the Wahhabi threat. After World War I, when the British established control over Iraq, the Prime Minister of the Iraqi state under their mandate was the head of the Qadiriyya in Baghdad. The heads of the Sufi orders sided with the British in the confrontation with the Shiis who were hostile to the mandate and aimed at complete independence. During the Kingdom of Iraq, the shaykhs of the orders were involved with the Monarchy. Following the revolution of 1958, the new regime deprived them of most of their prerogatives, including the revenues from the awqāf, thus prompting the demise of the Sufi orders in Arab Iraq.

In the twentieth century, the sequestration of awqāf established in favour of the orders or the transmission of control over awqaf from the heads of Sufi orders to government agencies, affected the existence of the orders in several other parts of the world. Examples are Syria and Egypt, where economic considerations in conjunction with ideological convictions and political motives inspired the regimes to arrange for state monopolies on the control of awqāf. 12 In Syria the demise of several Sufi orders, such as the Mawlawiyya and the Sacdiyya, was largely the result of the loss of revenue from their awqāf and the consequent erosion of their financial base. 13

In Egypt in modern times, direct involvement of the state with the management of awaaf of the Sufi orders, in conjunction with a policy aiming at obtaining more control over the orders and their heads. dates back to the mid-nineteenth century. Such efforts by the state continue in the period thereafter and result in the transformation of the Sufi orders into a fully fledged bureaucratic system. The regulations for the Sufi orders issued in 1895, and 1905, contain a number of paragraphs prohibiting certain ritual practices in response to reformist criticism. Yet, the impact of Salafi criticism on the Sufi orders in Egypt remained limited indeed, and movements aiming at islah, both internal and external to the Sufi orders, do not have an impact until the nineteen-forties. Wahhābism, represented in an undiluted form by Mahmud Khattab al-Subki and his organisation, became a major challenge to Sufism in the nineteen-twenties. His writings elicited rebuttals from an array of famous Egyptian scholars, most of them with an Azhari training and with a teaching post at this institution. A period when the Society of the Muslim Brothers developed into the major opponent of the Sufi orders was cut short in 1955 when the Brothers were prohibited by the new Egyptian regime. In socialist Egypt the Sufi orders experienced a

<sup>12</sup> Concerning Egypt, see Gabard Baer, "Waqi Reform", in idem, Studies in the Social History of Modern Egypt (Chicago 1969) 79-92. On the waqi reforms in Syria in 1953, which implied the end of the zāwiyas and takiyyas as functioning institutions, see Dāwūd al-Takrīti, Al-nuṣūs al-'aqāriyya. Al-waqi (Damascus 1967) 229-231

<sup>13</sup> Cf. De Jong, 'Les confréries mystiques', 212f for the Sa'dayya in Syria. On the Mawlawiyya in Syria and in the Acab world, see El, s v

revival which was closely tied to a number of political objectives, which are spelled out by *Frederick De Jong* in his contribution. At the same time, however, the financial base of many orders and shaykhly families was seriously undermined by the waqf reforms and the contemporaneous land reforms enacted by the new regime.<sup>14</sup>

In Yugoslavia the prous foundations in favour of tekkes were sequestrated in Bosnia-Herzegovina during the period of the Kingdom, as one of the anti tarikat measures taken by the Ulema medžlis in Sarajevo. In addition, several tekkes were destroyed, and the residents of others were expelled. These measures against the Sufi orders reflect an anti-Sufi movement which was supported by the ulama of Bosnia-Herzegovina. They aimed at reducing the role played by Sufi shaykhs in the wider society and at obtaining control over the orders and their establishments. This was equally the case with the Ulemamedžlis in Skoplje (with authority over Serbia, Kosovo, and Macedonia), which called for measures of control and drew up regulations to this effect. The responses to this challenge were few and in writing only, possibly because the Sufi orders had lost their vigour and were somewhat deprived of orientation in the world of the post-Ottoman Balkans. In the communist era, all tekkes in Bosnia-Herzegovina were closed by the Ulema-medžlis This did not happen in Macedonia and Kosovo, where, as Nathalie Clayer and Alexandre Popovic point out, the tekkes were much more numerous, and the regime seems to have aimed at using tarikat and shaykhs to balance the power and influence of the ulama in these areas. Since 1989 tekkes have been allowed to function again in Bosnia-Herzegovina. The formal lifting of the prohibition of 1952 was preceded by a period of tolerance during which tekkes were re-activated and Sufi orders could reconstitute themselves in Bosnia-Herzegovina. A Sufi organisation was established which developed parallel to the official Muslim communities as embodied in the Ulema-medžlis. One category of plama reacted with direct attacks on the new Sufi organisation, its leader, and its periodical. Another group aimed at channelling the revival of the Sufi orders in Bosnia-Herzegovina in particular. They emphasise Sufism and the sharia as inseparable components of Islam.

Albania is one of the areas in the world which has not experienced anti-Sufi movements in any period of its history, at least till 1944. Sufi orders were numerous in Albania in the Ottoman period, and re-

ceived official recognition by the state in post-Ottoman Albania. Many of the senior Muslim leaders were tainted by Sufism and even reformist ulama remained close to Sufi milieus. Here, as elsewhere in the Balkans, the coming to power of a communist regime changed matters dramatically <sup>15</sup> The climax of communist suppression came in 1967 when all manifestations of religion were prohibited and all religious establishments were closed, and subsequently used for different purposes or entirely dismantled.

Whereas opposition to Sufism in the post-Ottoman Balkans is focused on Sufi institutions, opposition in the Malay-Indonesian world would seem to be focused on teaching. The earliest writings critical of a mystical interpretation of Islam originate in fifteenth-century Java This was the period when Siti Jenar, "the Javanese al-Hallaj", was declared a heretic and put to death. Authors who are central to the polemics concerning Sufism in the Malay-Indonesian world are Hamza al-Fansūrī and Shams al-Dīn al-Sumatrānī, Nūr al-Dīn al-Rānīrī, and 'Abd al-Ra'ūf al-Sinkilī, who all lived in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. These scholars have become icons of Malay-Indonesian Islam. To their names should be added 'Abd al-Samad al-Palimbani and Daoud al-Patani who lived in the eighteenth century. Their ideas and some of the vicissitudes of their lives are discussed by Azyumardı Azra in his contribution. Al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrānī were adherents of Akbarian Sufism; they were attacked for this reason. Al-Rānīrī, who belonged to the 'Aydarūsiyya order, wrote against wahdat al-wujud and was involved in the prosecution of adherents of Wujūdī teaching in the Sultanate of Aceh in the era of Sultan Iskandar II (1637-1641). Al-Sinkili, who was a khalifa of the Shattariyya and the Qadiriyya, adhered to the idea of pre-creation of the potential universe from al-nur al-muhammadī. He stressed the view that haqiqa cannot be experienced without combining the tariga with the sharia, and was inclined to establish reconciliation between opposing views instead of contributing to confrontation. Al-Palimbānī was an adherent of Ghazalian Sufism which he combined with Akbarian ideas. He was influenced by al-Raniri's views critical of the Wujūdiyya. Opposition against wujudī thought, i.e. directed against philosophical Sufism and with scripturalist forms of Sufism as its correlates, was characteristic of the leading ulama in the Ma lay-Indonesian world in the eighteenth century. Adherents of notions

<sup>15</sup> Cf. Alexandre Popovic, L'Islam balkanique. Les musulmans du sud-est européen dans la période post-ottomane (Berlin 1986), passim.

INTRODUCTION

of wahdat al-wujud, who were explicit in the expression of the lived experience of their behefs, could loose their lives, as Azra illustrates with the case of 'Abd al-Hamid Abulung in South Kalimatan.

The complex nature of cases of opposition to Islamic mysticism in nineteenth-century Indonesia, and the problems involved in identifying the various determinant factors in each case, appears from Karel Steenbrink's paper. In Javanese texts opposition between legalistic Islam and santri Islam, sometimes in conjunction with anti-Arab and Javanese nationalist tendencies, is evidenced. Teachers who stood in the tradition of santri Islam, and might be affiliated, though not necessarily so, with a particular brotherhood, were opposed by penghulus and colonial authorities, often in alliance, once the former became successful and attracted adherents. Such teachers were censored and/or deported for mainly political reasons. The colonial authorities only perceived the Sufi orders in general as a danger after the beginning of the war in Aceh in 1873. Concern for this potential danger, in conjunction with a complex sequence of events involving opposition to the Naqshbandiyya, explains the official distribution of a tract against the Sufi orders in West Java in the eighteen-eighties.

This tract was written by Sayyid 'Uthman al-'Alawi, who was one of the most visible Muslim notables in the archipelago in his time. As pointed out by Martin van Bruinessen and by Werner Kraus in their respective contributions, the tract targets certain Nagshbandi leaders and not Sufism per se. Forceful criticism of Nagshbandi teaching and practice was formulated by Ahmed Khatib, the father of Indonesian twentieth-century reformism. He wrote a number of tracts, which provided much of the source materials for subsequent attacks on the order, and which continued to elicit refutations by adherents of the Nagshbandiyya till the very recent past. The influence of the Salafiyya movement is noticeable in the periodical al-Imam and al-Munir and in the teaching of two organisations, Muhammadiyah and Al Irsyad, founded in the early twentieth century. These reformist organisations, however, were neither anti-Sufi nor were they involved in debates concerning tarekats. Such debates took place within the traditionalist camp where Sufis engaged in polemics concerning specific aspects of teaching. Cases in point concern teachings of the Tijaniyya and the Nagshbandiyya. The polemics against the Naqshbandiyya were carried on within the broader context of party politics in the period after independence.

The periodical al-Imām, which was the first publication to spread Salafī thought in Southeast Asia, had considerable influence in Malaysia and in the Dutch Indies. It is a major source for Werner Kraus'

contribution which concerns the opposition to the Ahmadıyya (Idrīsiyya) order in twentieth-century Malaysia in particular. Opposition to Sufism in Malaysia does not start with opposition to this "neo-Sufi" order, but is directed against the Shattariyya at the end of the nineteenth century when it became discredited in Malaysia and in the Dutch Indies. The attack against the Ahmadiyya was published in the pages of al-Imām, whose editor-in-chief. Mohammad Tahir, eventually turned against all Sufi orders and expressed the opinion that they should be formally prohibited by the authorities of the state, that their shaykhs should be exiled, and their adherents punished if they do not abandon their despicable practices. The ideas of Mohammad Tahir stand in a Salafi tradition which has shaped Islam in Malaysia in the twentieth century. This brand of Islam is essentially inimical towards the Sufi orders and their teachings, has become increasingly scripturalist, and seems to have developed towards "a simplistic form of Salafi exoterism that reduces theology and dogma to the lowest common denominator", as Vincent Cornell has remarked with reference to twentieth-century Morocco.

Elsewhere in the world of Islam similar developments are noticeable, and mystical Islam is contested at present as much as it was in the past, with considerable variation in the nature and intensity of the contest. An adequate understanding of the nature of these contests requires the penetration of the complexities of their historical context. Such a historicising approach, while taking into account the wider socio-political configuration, unites the papers presented in the following pages.

# SUFISM AND ITS OPPONENTS REFLECTIONS ON TOPOL TRIBULATIONS, AND TRANSFORMATIONS

#### JOSEF VANIESS

When the three Qalandar dervishes knock at the door, Shahrazad, it would seem, is about to pause in her narrative. What is going to happen? An orgy has been taking place in the house; some people have been having a rollocking time, three young ladies and a porter whom they invited to stay. And now these skinheads, mystics as they pretended to be, devoid of beards, not even a moustache, rings in their ears, possibly even through their genitals, wearing coarse garments and presumably a conical hat,1 young and full of unspent power though exhausted after a long journey, 'And when Shahrazad perceived the arrival of dawn, she brought to a close what it was permitted her to say' - this is what we would expect to occur now, in order to give Shahriyar, the king, happy dreams full of sex and crime. and in order to whet the listener's appetite for the next instalment of the soap opera. But, unlike television, the story goes on and takes a completely different turn. First surprise: the three men are allowed to come inside. It is true, they are foreigners, and so they have a right to be treated as guests. But they could have gone to a khāngāh or even to a mosque; travellers would always have found a place there to stretch out and even to wash their feet. The only snag was: no one would have liked to see them there; these were not the kind of people pious Muslims cared to associate with. Then why do the ladies invite them in? Perhaps because they are a little bit curious and therefore not as cautious as they should have been. But all this is quickly followed by the second surprise: the three suspicious persons are polite. They 'pronounce the salutation of the Muslims and demonstrate their

respect' as the text tells us.2 Qalandars were generally known for their rather uncivilized behaviour. But in this case they are different, and what now follows is anything but an intensified version of the previous orgy. Rather, in the end each one of them talks — about himself, of course - and it turns out that all three of them had been princes: thus the friendly reception had ultimately been rooted in a correct presentiment. Nevertheless, the unknown guests still act in accordance with their disguise. When they see that the porter is drunk, they conclude he is one of their kind, a Baghdadi Oalandar so to speak, shabby but joyful, and they set to drinking wine themselves. When they are provided with musical instruments, a tambourine, a lute, and a Persian harp, they display their artistic abilities. and they sing together with the ladies - merry-making of a kind which could only be performed in a private home; music was frow-

ned upon by many jurists.

When, shortly afterwards, the caliph Harun al-Rashid joins the group, likewise in disguise and therefore thought to be a rich merchant, we are given to understand that all this took place in the second/eighth century. In reality the society depicted in the story, or better, the stereotypes reflected, are those of Mamluk Egypt,3 Qalandar dervishes became a ubiquitous phenomenon only in the seventh/thirteenth century, by then having spread beyond the borders of Iran. They were still regarded as strange; the Mainluk sultan al-Malik al-Nāsir forced them to adopt normal dress.4 They were known to infringe the code of social behaviour; therefore our story can depict them as drinking wine and making music. The porter enjoys this; he even gets drunk in the unusual female company something we are not told about the dervishes (who are princes, after all). But eventually he finds himself becoming incensed; he cannot understand why the ladies let these people in, and when he hears that the Qalandars take him for one of their own kind he loses his temper. It is true that, when the opportunity arose, he did not hesitate to set aside the moral principles he customarily subscribes to, but we are given to understand that ultimately he does not have the slightest doubt about the validity of those principles. He is a bachelor, as we are told; this helps restrict the shocking aspect of the debauchery. In

<sup>1</sup> Cf El iv, 472b, s.v. Kalandar. The phenomenon of the wandering dervishes in general has recently been analyzed by A.T. Karamustafa, God's Unruly Friends (Salt Lake City 2994).

<sup>2</sup> Alf layla wa-layla, German translation by E. Littmann, Die Erzählungen aus den Tousendundein Nachten, 1-vi, 2nd. ed. (Wiesbaden 1953) 1, 109 f., Eng.ish translation by R F Burton, The Book of the Thousand Nights and a Night, t-xxx (London 1894) 1, 86 f

<sup>3</sup> Cf. the article by Th.E. Homerin below p. 225 ff. Cf. El iv, 473 b, s.v. Kalandariyya.

his view people who turn the moral code upside-down, namely the Qalandars, cannot be trusted; if 'they enter a populous city', he says, 'they convert it into a howling wilderness', 5 He represents the sound, reliable attitude of the ordinary folk.

But what about the ladies? They are also, in their own way, quite conservative. They enjoyed the orgy, but only to the degree that is recommendable in a bourgeois puntanical society: they are virgins as the story-teller gives us to understand, and they remain so.<sup>6</sup> They are out to have some fun, but they also want to be able to make a good match when it comes to getting marned. When they are confronted with the new guests they become curious, but since the porter is in the house they know that they won't have to pay a price. They assume that when the dervishes in their provocative get-up play the game of 'épater le bourgeois', it is really nothing more than a game; therefore they can afford to aestheticize the dangerous by reducing it to a bourgeois titillation. We feel reminded of the way our generation likes to deal with certain forms of pop-music; Gangsta-Rap would be the best example. 'Invite the ghetto into your home!'

Now all this is literature, not reality. Where would we expect fiction to manifest itself more obviously than in the Arabian Nights? Moreover, our Arabian Nights are not necessarily those narrated by the Arab story-tellers in Mamluk Egypt. Muhsin Mahdi has reminded us of the complicated history of the text, the enormous changes it underwent and the continuous additions made to it because of the strong 'Orientalist' leanings prevalent among the enlightened reading public of eighteenth-century France and ninteenth-century England (or British India). In the Arabic original the three Qalandars do not pronounce 'the salutation of the Muslims' but simply 'give

thanks' (shakarū), 10 and when the porter thinks that they convert 'a populous city into a howling wilderness', 11 he seems to do so not because of their being Qalandars but because each of them has lost one eye, and thus their presence evokes the evil omen of the Dajjāl. 12 All this is, however, not essential for our purpose. The additions and changes only underline, in our case, the emphasis intended by the original text, and acknowledging that we are dealing with mere fiction in no way prevents us from affirming that literature, by the manner in which it depicts things, at least conveys a reality of perception. What we learn from the story is how the person telling it viewed certain behavioral reactions and social stereotypes.

Seen from this perspective, the Qalandars certainly appear to be strange fellows, but they are no heretics, and they are not a real danger either. If the author had felt obliged to demonize the Sufis in front of his audience, he would have used another setting. As a matter of fact, mysticism does not come into the picture at all; the spiritual dimension is kept entirely in the dark. We have, of course, to keep in mind that the Qalandars he wants to describe are, in reality, no Oalandars: they are kings. But this element of the story has not vet come to the surface. We may be pretty sure that he wants to say no more than what most of his listeners expected to hear, namely an allusion to an unconventional life-style. These fellows, his story implies, did not marry as normal people were supposed to do. They did not accumulate wealth but subsisted on charity. This had its roots in what angry theologians used to call tahrim al-makasib, the anathematization of all mercantile activity.13 They did not reside anywhere, they were always foreigners. They were lax in following the obligatory prescriptions of Islam; in this respect they belonged to the ahl al-ibāḥa whom al-Ghazzālī had vituperated — in a treatise written in Persian so that even the common people could understand it. 14 They did not try to conceal their faults, since they feared hypocrisy  $(riy\bar{a}^2)$ more than sin. In this respect they stood in the tradition of the

<sup>5</sup> Burton 95.

<sup>6</sup> Laterrann 110

<sup>7</sup> The story has recently been treated by Sandra Naddaff in her book Arabesques Narrative Structure and the Aesthetics of Repetition in the 1001 Nights (Evanston 1991) and by André Miquet, Les Dames de Bagdad (Paris 1991). However, both authors put the stress differently in their analysis. David Pinault, Story-Telling Techniques in the Arabian Nights (Leiden 1992) does not deal with the story at all.

<sup>8</sup> M. Mahdi, The Thousand and One Nights, From the Earliest Known Sources, vol. iii (Leiden 1994) Volume i-ii of the same work contain an edition of the Syrian manuscript used by Galland for the first seven volumes of his French translation which he published between 704 and 706

<sup>9</sup> Cf note 2 above

<sup>10</sup> Mahdi, t. 137, l. 15; cf. the translation of Mahdi's text by H. Haddawy, The Arabian Nights (London 1990) 76 f

<sup>11</sup> Cf note 5 above

Mahdi, i, 146, I. 5 s. from belowlers. Haddawy, 85. But of, the sexteenth-century report by Giovan Antomo Menavino as quoted by Karamustafn, God's Unruly Friends 7

<sup>13</sup> Cf my Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2. und 3. Jahrhundert Hidschra, 1-vi (Berlin 1991-1997) iii, 132 s. and ii. 547.

<sup>14</sup> Otto Pretzl, Die Streitschrift des Gazäli gegen die Iböniva, Sitz. Ber Bayer Ak Wiss., Phil.-Hist. Abt., Jg. 1933, Heft 7

Malamatryya — although the latter had still scrupulously performed the commandments of the Law. 15

Above all, there was the unusual get-up. It is true that the beard was not yet, as it seems, the symbol of outstanding piety as it has become in recent years; sometimes a long beard was understood instead as a sign of stupidity. 16 But a moustache was rather normal, and several well-known ahadith described how to clip it in order to demonstrate asceticism 17 The hair could be short as the Khānjites had worn it, but to shave one's head completely was definitely too much. The shock was deliberate, and it was deep. There seems to have been more behind it than just provocation: the Qalandar dervishes intended to reveal fully the beauty of their face. 18 For God had created Adam 'alā sūratihī, 'according to His face' as this could be understood, and He loved beauty since He was beautiful Himself.19 But we may safely assume that many people were not aware of this reason, and even if they knew it they did not have to take it seriously: granted that God did not have a beard,20 He had never been described as being bald.

A whole gamut of offences then, social as well as theological ones. But was this enough to provoke opposition? There was curiosity, there was astonishment and silent disapproval, but for outright opposition we usually need more: a power struggle. For a long time, however, Sufis did not have very much power, and they usually remained within the limits of accepted piety. This may be the reason why their earliest opponents are found among their immediate neighbours, i.e. those who interpreted asceticism in a different way. When, in the second half of the third/ninth century, mystics were for the first time threatened with legal prosecution, I mean Abū'l-Ḥasan al-Nūrī and his circle in Baghdad, the opponent, a certain Ghulām Khalīl, was not a jurist nor a Hanbalīte as has been surmised, but an ascetic who had himself written a Kitāb al-inqiṭā' ilā'llāh. He came from Basra where at that time, shortly after Ibn Ḥanbalī's death, the Ḥanbalī

school (to the extent that it already existed at all) did not yet dispose over any influence. But he managed to get access to the court where he was protected by the mother of al-Muwaffaq, and he may have impressed high society as a popular preacher. He would not have been the first to play this role; similar influence had been exerted by Mansūr b. 'Ammār, a man from Khurasan who had won the favour of Zubayda, the wife of Hārūn al-Rashīd, and had even been received by the caliph himself.<sup>21</sup> The preaching of both persons had been noted down and was circulated in written form; Ghulām Khalīl's sermons were collected in a Kutāb al-mawā'iz. When he died, the Bazaris in Baghdad closed their shops.

But what was it that made him so angry? At the court he had no cause to fear any competition from the Sufis yet. Perhaps there was more reason to do so among the common folk. Most of those whom he persecuted were not rich. This is quite clear in the case of al-Nüri. but also seems to be true of al-Kharraz who was a cobbler if we may judge from his name. Seventy-five people in total had been put on the black list by the multasib and were wanted by his bailiffs, a considerable number for a movement which was so young. Al-Junayd, on the contrary, was not molested; he belonged to another social class, and he could pose as a jurist. Ghulam Khalil certainly realized how, at Basra, al-Hasan al-Basri's asceticism (which he certainly appreciated) had turned into something more emotional, more ambiguous under 'Abd al-Wahid b. Zayd; 'Abd al-Wahid b. Zayd attributed the hadith al-'ishq, which he disseminated, to al-Hasan al-Basrī. Some time later al-Muhāsibī, who also originated from Basra, went to Baghdad; though standing in al-Hasan's tradition, he did not shun the new trend. Was this a power struggle then for the hearts of the Baghdadi populace?

We do not know. We do not even know what the actual point was that Ghulam Khalil took up in the trial. The Sufi sources, all of them legendary and quite late, tell us that the offence had to do with the concept of "ishq, intense love. But was it this term which disturbed him, unlike the term mahabba, or was it the social behaviour he associated with it? The meetings of the Sufis were attended by women as well as men; it was a woman, we hear, who, out of jealousy, urged Ghulam Khalil to act. In the only text we still have from him, the Kitāb sharh al sunna (a significant title!), he says: 'Beware of the company of those who invite to yearning and love and who enjoy a

<sup>15</sup> El 1v. 473a, of also Fritz Meier, Abū Safīd-i Abu l-Hovr (Leiden 1976) 494 ff. and Richard Gramiich, Die schuttschen Derwischorden Persiens 1, 74 ff. with regard to the Khāksār

<sup>16</sup> Cf B. Rater, Das meer der seele. Mensch, welt und Gott in den geschichten des Fariduddin 'Attär (Leiden 1955) 343.

<sup>17</sup> Theorogie und Gesellschaft u, 385 f.

<sup>18</sup> El Jv 474a

<sup>19</sup> Cf Meier, Abil Sa'id 503 f and the material in. Theologie und Gesellschaft iv. 377 ff

<sup>20</sup> Theologie und Geseltschaft iv. 381 E

<sup>21</sup> Theologie und Gesellschaft iii, 102 ff

tête à tête with women' 22 And taking one of the Prophet's Companions as a witness, he exclaims in a report that he transmitted: 'May God curse a person who gives a boy a kiss. But if the same person embraces him, he will (even) be beaten with fiery whips; and if he has sex with him, he will go to Hell'.23 Ghulam Khalil was a moralist, and although he cannot be counted among Ibn Ḥanbal's pupils, he mentions the latter with respect in his book, along with Mālik b. Anas and others; he shares their pietism and their suspicion of unbridled emotions. We may call him a fundamentalist; he followed the şahāba in whatever he knew about their way of life. The Companions, he said, had done justice to Islam in every respect No one should ever go beyond the Koran, and we should only talk about God the way God has done so Himself in Scripture. To think about why and how is detrimental.24

Al-Muhāsibī was slandered by the Hanbalites for similar reasons. During a wedding, so they reported, he had tried to watch the women through a balustrade and his head got stuck between the bars; when he was reprimanded because of his behaviour he remarked that he wanted to imagine the houris in Paradise.25 This reminds us again of 'Abd al-Wahid b. Zayd, his disciples had gone out at night into the desert in order to meet the houris there.26 The suspicions one harboured towards al-Muhasibi were expressed in the words of Ibn Hanbal himself: 'Don't be deceived because he lowers his head! He is a bad person. You cannot know him unless you have tested him. Don't talk to him, and don't pay respect to him! Should you really attend the classes of anyone who transmits hadith from the Prophet, even if he is an innovator? Nay, show him no respect and no fayour!'27 Here we have it for the first time, the characteristic catchword: bid'a, innovation. It seems that many people, even among those who were close to Ibn Hanbal, felt respect for al-

22 CI the text in Louis Massignon, Recueil de textes inédits concernant l'histoire de la mystique en pays d'Islam (Paris 1929) 213 f

23 q. Dhahabl, Mīzān al-r'ndāl, ed. 'Alī Muḥammad Bijāwi, 1-rv (Cairo 1382/1963) i,

Muhasibī and his approach, but, so the hardliners thought to have understood their master, there is a criterion by which to measure his ideas, namely the prophetic *sunna*, and according to this yardstick he did not come off well

The Hanbalites are reputed to have remained the arch-enemies of Sufism. In reality, however, this is not more than a stereotype derived from the fact that, in our times, Hanbalism tends to present uself under the form of Wahhābism; as a matter of fact there is not much love lost for mysticism in Saudi Arabia. Yet, in the Middle Ages the attitude was much more differentiated. Iran is a case in point In Isfahan, Muhammad b. Yüsuf al-Bannāº (d. in 286/899) seems to have simultaneously smoothed the way for Hanbali influence and propagated a moderate kind of mysticism. He was the great-grandfather of Abū Nufaym, the author of the well-known Hilyat al-awliva". It is true that Abū Nucaym himself was under attack from the Hanbalites; for a long time he could not teach in the Great Mosque because Ibn Manda, the spokesman for the ashāb alhadith, considered him to be an innovator. But among his contemporaries we find Abū Mansūr Maemar b. Ahmad al-Isfahāni, a Hanbali who had studied with such famous hadith authorities as Abū'l-Shaykh and al-Tabarānī and nevertheless called al-Junayd and al-Kharraz his models. Fritz Meier and Nasrollah Pourjavady have rescued him from oblivion; before them Serge Laugier de Beaurequeil had edited his Kitāb nahj al-khāss in which Abū Mansūr elaborates on the different stages of the mystic path.28 By taking al-Junayd and al-Kharraz as his guides he indicated that he had oriented himself towards Baghdad and not towards Khurasan where mysticism had been flourishing since the days of Ibrāhīm b. Adham and Shaqiq al-Balkhi; mystical and Hanbali trends in his view had the same origin. It is perhaps from this moment onward that the sources underline the good relationship between Ibn Hanbal and Bishr al-Hafi,29 and one century later we find, at Herat, the Hanbali mystic 'Abd Allāh-i Ansārī; as is clear from his Manāzil al-sā'ırīn, he had learned a great deal from Abū Mansūt's Nahj al-khāṣṣ.

The situation in Baghdad is, for the moment, not so easy to judge

<sup>142, .. 6</sup> f 24 Theologie and Gesellschaft iv. 282 ff., cf. the article by Gerhard Böwering in this volume, p. 54 f., and Christopher Metchert, "The Transition from Ascetism to Mysticism at the Middle of the Ninth Century C R.", Studia Islamica Exaxii (1996) 64 ff.

<sup>25</sup> Cf Theologie und Gesellschaft iv 199.

<sup>26 [</sup>bid u. 98.

<sup>27</sup> fbn Abī Ya°lā, *Tabaqāt al Ḥanābilo*, ed. Muḥammad Ḥāmid al Fiqī, i-ii (Cairo 1371/1952) 1, 234. i. 2 ff., ef. also my Gedankenwelt des Ḥāriṭ al-Muḥāsibī (Bonn 1961) 9 f and 29.

<sup>28</sup> For bibliographical details of. Theologie und Gesellschaft ii, 629 f

<sup>29</sup> Cf., for instance, Abit Tālib al-Makki, Qiu al-qulūb, trs. Gramlich, Die Nahrung der Herzen, i-iv (Stuttgart 1992-5) in, 659 f., following fba Hanbal's Kitāb al-wora! Also the article by Florian Sobieroj in this volume, p. 71-3.

Ibn 'Ata', the author of the well-known Sufi commentary on the Koran, was a Hanbali,30 But the trial against al-Hallaj and his execution in 309/922 polarized opintons and hampered a normal development. The tradition was cut off; what we know about the earlier generation, about al-Junayd and his contemporaries, is for the most part transmitted through Iranian sources. When, half a century after Abū Mansūr al-Isfahānī's death, the Hanbalī scholar Ibn 'Aqīl in Baghdad was forced to recant what his school-fellows took to be heretical views. he had to do the same with respect to his sympathy for al-Hallaj. He had written a treatise in defense of al-Hallaj's miracles (Kitab nasr karāmāt al-Hallāj) which he was told to destroy. However, this never happened; the book later came to light in the private library of none other than Ibn al-Jawzī himself, the man who had written a strident critique of exaggerated Sufism entitled Talbīs Iblīs. When Ibn Qudāma, the Hanbalī jurist from Damascus whom we mainly know as the author of the juridical encyclopaedia al-Mughni, renewed the attacks against Ibn 'Aqīl in his Kitāb taḥrīm al-nazar fi kutub ahl al-kalām, he left Ibn 'Aqil's sympathies for al-Hallāj almost unmentioned.

For, in the meantime, 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī had taken up al-Hallāj's cause; he had read some texts about him with his masters, especially with Yūsuf al-Hamadhānī (died 535/1141) who may have been a disciple of 'Abd Allāh-i Anṣārī and of Ibn 'Aqīl.' And once again 'Abd al-Qādir was a Hanbalī; this is why the Qādiriyya order for a long time retained close connections with the Hanbalī school. Ibn Qudāma studied with him, and a short passage discovered by George Makdisi in the Zāhiriyya library in Damascus informs us that he was even invested by him with the Sufi garment, the khirqa. We do not know exactiy what this meant in his case, but the text shows at least that during his generation and the following ones there were always people interested in keeping this connection alive. Ibn

30 Cf now the translation and study by Richard Gramlich, Abu I-\*Abbās b. \*Arā\*, Sufi und Koranausleger (Stattgart 1995).

Ondāma's immediate successor in the silsula, again a Hanbalı jurist from Damascus who later on went to Baghdad and then to Carro, became, in his last domicile, the director of a Sufi khangāh,32 Ibn Rajab, who collected the biographies of the Hanbali masters from the middle of the fifth to the beginning of the eighth century, mentions a considerable number of scholars, mostly jurists, who, in one way or the other, followed the same line. Even Ibn Taymiyya, the figurehead of modern Saudi traditionalism, well-read and extremely learned, informed himself about the teachings of people like Sahl al-Tustarî, al-Junayd, Abū Tālib al-Makki, 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī, and Abū Hafs Umar al-Suhrawardi, the author of the 'Awarif almacarif.33 He concurred with Abū Mansūr al-Isfahānī who, in a testamentary advice (wasiyya), had pleaded for an alliance between ahl al-hadīth and ahl al-tasawwuf.34 Again it was the Qādiriyya which he appreciated most - though only as the 'greatest among the well-known tariques' as he says, for he also maintained an affiliation with other orders as well. He was invested with the Qadiri khirga by one of ibn Qudāma's nephews,35 and he handed it on to Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya.36 He objected to the khirgat al-futuwwa, the initiation ritual practiced by the futuwwa brotherhoods, but only because they performed it in a new and untraditional way, by using water and salt, this he considered to be an innovation.37 For similar reasons he attacked the Rifaciyya38 and above all those whom he identified as monists (ittihādiyyūn), Ibn 'Arabī and his school.39 Once again, however, we are dealing here with an opposition from inside rather than from outside.

<sup>3!</sup> At least according to Louis Massignon, 'Études sur les isnêd ou chaines de témoignages fondamentales dans la implicant musulmine Hallägienne', in Opera Minora (Benut 1963) ii, 68 Yūsul's traint tencher was not a Hanbalite but the Shūfi'ite Abū Isḥāq al-Shīrāzi (died 476/2083); later Framan tradition tried to associate him with the Hanafi school instead [cf W Madeling, 'Yūsuf al-Hamadāni and the Nagšbandiyya', in Quaderni di Studi Arabi, Venice, v vi (1987 8) 499 ff.]. His relationship to "Abd Allāh-i Anṣāri (d. 481/1089) which is poorly attested should be re-examined, but he is at least credited with a commentary on Anṣāri's Manāzil al-sā'īrīn (cf H Algar, 'Abū Ya'qūb Hanadāni', in Elran i, 395 f.).

<sup>32</sup> For further details of George Makdisi, 'L'isoad antiatique soufi de Muwaffaq ad-Din Ibn Qudāma', in *Louis Massignon* (Paris 1970) 88 ff., as a general overview see also his article 'The Hanbali School and Sufism', in *Humanitra Islamica* is (1974) 6. ff

<sup>33</sup> El iii. 953 b.

<sup>34</sup> Ibn Tayuuyya, Kitāb al-istiqāma, ed. Muljanunad Rashīd Sālim (Riyadh 1404/1983) ., 168, l. i ff

<sup>35</sup> Cf. George Makdist in: American Journal of Arabic Studies I (1973) 123 f

<sup>36</sup> Id., in Hunamora Islamica it (1974) 68 f

<sup>37</sup> Cf Ibu Tayaniyya, Majmür'at al-rasăr'il ver masăr'il, i-v. (Beirut 1403/1983) i, 156 ff For the ritual itself of Heari Corbin in Traités des Compagnons-Chevatiers, ed M Sarraf (Teheran Paris 1973) introd. 72 ff., after the Futurvataöme by Najm al Din Zarküb-i Tabrizi

<sup>38</sup> Ibn Taymıyya, Majınü'a i, 131 ff ; for their practices of El vin, 525 f.

<sup>39</sup> Ibn Taymiyya, Majmä'a ii, 3 ff. For a detailed analysis of Ibn Taymiyya's attitude towards Sufism cf. Fritz Meier, 'Das sauberste über die vorbestimmung'. Saecutum xxxxi (1981) 74 ff; also published in Fritz Meier Bausteine Ausgewählte Aufsätze zur Islamwissenschaft, i-iii (Islambul-Stuttgart 1992) ii, 696 ff Meier is perhaps undury sceptical with negard to the khirga tradition (ibid. 701, n. 9).

This does not mean that opposition from outside did not exist. The trial against al-Hallaj is a case in point, as are the other two spectacular executions, those of "Ayn al Qudat i Hamadhani and Yahya al-Suhrawardi These are complex cases, which are difficult to analyze. As far as al-Hallaj is concerned, the title of Ibn 'Aqil's book indicates where part of the problem lay: in al-Hallaj's miracles. Again the opponents were jurists, but the issue was rather a theological one. Al-Hakim al-Tirmidhi, a man who claimed the seal of sainthood for himself, had complained about the 'ulamā' al-zāhir, scholars who only accepted the evidentiary miracles (āvāt) which God had performed for the prophets and therefore did not get to the inner core of things.40 The people he had in mind belonged to his own Eastern Iranian milieu; they were Hanafites, perhaps also Muetazilites. Both groups intermixed, but our documentation comes mainly from the kalām sources. Rationalists like the Muftazilites did not have much patience with charismatics and miracle-workers; they felt the challenge to their own claim of intellectual and educational superiority, and in many cases a social difference was also involved. Al-Jubbā<sup>5</sup>ī. the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazili teacher of al-Ash<sup>e</sup>ari, is said to have attended one of al-Hallaj's performances in Ahwaz and to have ridiculed the miracle al-Hallai worked on this occasion. Qadī "Abd al-Jabbar's chapter on prophecy in his Mughni is full of such stones;41 al-Hallaj appears as a trickster who cannot be taken seriously. With al-Tanükhi's Nishwar al-muhadara42 this image was transported into adab literature; we find it later on, for instance, in the Knāb al-hival translated by René Khawam,43 a text which chronologically and, in a certain sense, in terms of its narrative posture comes close to the Arabian Nights. The background to the controversy is clear. Muctazili theology had spent a lot of time in defining the difference between sorcery and prophecy; al-Jāhiz had perhaps been the first to write on al-Farq bayn al-nabī wa'l-mutanabbī, and he seems to have done so in order to prove that the challenge brought forth by the Prophet as evi-

40 Kuāb sirat al-owicyā<sup>2</sup>, § 105, in Bernd Radike (ed.), Dret Schriften des Theosophen von Tirmig (Beirut 1992) 82 f./trs Bernd Radike and John O'Kane, The Concept of Samihood in Early Islamic Mysticism (Richmond 1996) 155 f.

4i For the story mentioned of, vol. xv. ed. Mahmüd al-Khudayci and Mahmüd Muhammad Qasan (Caro 1385/1965) 272, 1 11 ff

42 On the contents of this text, see the contribution by Florian Sobieroj in the present volume, p 80

43 Le livre des ruses. La strategie politique des Arabes (Paris 1976)

dence of God's i'jaz came from a trustworthy person. A miracle then could only be understood as the confirmation of a claim to prophet hood. Consequently, al-Hallāj looked like a competitor with Muhammad; as a matter of fact, mystics of the preceding generations had not pretended to work miracles, i.e to suspend the laws of nature by 'breaking custom' as the Mu'tazilites used to say.

However, the Hanafites - or those among them who sided with the Muctazila - were confronted, on their ancestral Iranian territory, by the disciples of al-Shafi'i, and the Muctazila was superseded, in certain areas at least, by the Ash arites. Due to the somewhat one-sided distribution of our sources, we are best informed about the situation in the town of Něshāpūr. It is there that al-Qushayri, the author of the Risāla, who as a Shāfic Jurist suffered persecution from the Hanafites under the Saljuq vizier al-Kunduri, wrote his famous Shikayat ahl al-sunna bi-mā nālahum min al-miḥna in which he defends al-Ash arl against the slanderous accusations of his adversaries. But he was not an isolated figure as has been believed for a long time. It is true that Tilman Nagel was perhaps a bit rash in speaking about a 'new piety' in this respect,44 but he has managed to trace the movement back to origins outside Khurasan, to Ibn Khafif in Shiraz who as a Sufi was an Ashearite in matters of kalām, and to al-Bāqıllānî in Iraq who as a Mālikī jurist adopted Ash arite theology and mixed it with 'new piety'. In his Kitāb al-bayān 'an al-farq bavn al-mu'jizāt wa'l-karāmāt, al-Bāqillānī was, as far as I can see, the first theologian to employ rational arguments to defend the possibility of miracles performed by human beings who were not prophets (although he still regarded al-Hallaj as an impostor), and in his Kitāb al-insaf — if we may assume the book is authentic45 — he quotes al-Junayd as well as al-Shibli, two authorities who also appear in Ibn Qudama's Qadıri silsila. Gradually Sufism succeeded in permeating the scholarly establishment almost at every point. Even the Hanafis at Nêshāpūr had their own ascetic intruders: the Karrāmiyya who since the middle of the third century preached to the lower classes

44 Die Festung des Glaubens. Träumph und Scheitern des islamischen Rotionalismus im II Jahrhundert (Munich 1988) 95 ff.

<sup>45</sup> It is not mentioned in the list of al-Bāqtlāni's works given by Qāḍi ʿIyāḍ in his Tartīb al-madārit, ed. Ahmad Bakir Maḥmūd (Beirut 1387/1967) ii, 601 f. Moreover, the enigmatic Sharif al-Ajall al-Imām Jamāl al-Islām, who is quoted in the book ( 67,1 6), does not seem to fit into al-Bāqtllāni's period. The book would then either be spurious or contain later interpolations. In the latter case the references to al Junayd, al-Shibli and others may have been added as well.

and started missionizing the rural areas of Ghür and Gharchistän. It is true that they did not perform miracles, nor did they surprise their audience with unusual mystical ideas; they are on record rather because of their anthropomorphism. But they also bear witness to the appeal of ostentatious asceticism. Ibn Karrām wore a garment of unsewn sheep skin when he travelled around; in Nēshāpūr he used to sit on a fleece in a compartment built of brick, with a white qalansuva on his head, and would offer everyone religious advice.46

If there was any opposition to Sufism in these centuries, it always depended on how individual Sufis or certain practices were perceived. There has never been any clear and uniform pattern of enmity between the jurists and the mystics, as Julian Baldick has recently stressed again.47 "Umar al-Suhrawardi even taught jurisprudence to students whom he had housed in his 'lodge'. This is, of course, not necessarily a representative case. There have always been mystics who scandalized their pious environment by their idiosyncrasies: by listening to music and love poetry (samā'), by conversing with young boys and watching them as a shahid, a witness to God's beauty who has been created according to His image,48 or by outright antinomianism (ibāha). But this does not seem to have affected the overall attitude towards them, and normally did not destroy an ultimate basis of tolerance. This statement applies, by the way, to both sides. Even Ibn 'Arabī, though not very juridically-minded and a scandal to Ibn Taymiyya as we heard, felt obliged to assure his reader: 'God forbid, my brother, that you should think that I blame the jurists for being jurists or for their practice of jurisprudence, for such an attitude is not permissible for a Muslim, and the nobility of the Law is beyond question.' After all, he had collected ijāzas from jurists as well as from other scholars. The problem he saw was on a different level. 'However', he continues, 'I do censure those jurists who, harbouring merely worldly aims, cymcally study the Law with the sole object of acquiring fame.' This was a point which had already been stressed by al-Hakim al-Tirmidhi and many others like him; al-Ghazzāli did the same in his Ihvā', and he would also have agreed when, in the end, Ibn 'Arabi sets the balance straight: 'In the same way I have censured certain Sufis, not the sincere ones, but

only those who affect before men a holiness which is contradicted by their true condition'.

However, Ibn 'Arabi also illustrates to what extent the pretensions of mysticism had grown, intellectually as well as socially. There is no shyness about him; he displays his speculative system with vigor and self-confidence. And there was a market for his ideas; they remained influential for centuries. He could afford to look down on the surists he censured; 'Since they continually offend against the Friends of God, they shall surely perish by their own testimony', he says, and the context in which he says all this is equally significant, namely his Risālat rūh al-quds which Asin-Palacios translated into Spanish long ago and which through Austin's recent English version has become accessible to a larger Onentalist reading public.49 For the book mainly contains stories about santones andaluces, as Asín-Palacios puts it,50 simple saints who sometimes did not even know how to read or write. The Sufis had filtered down to the grass-roots of society, and Ibn 'Arabi, in spite of being the intellectual par excellence, was quite aware of this fact; he had great respect for the charisma of the illiterate, and he was pleased to report on the power they exerted over the common-folk - and over their enemies. The Sufis had ceased to be unsure of their role; they were no longer concerned with defining the phenomenon of mysticism as such. This latter issue had been the quest of people like al-Muhāsibī or al-Junayd, with enormous psychological insight and bold, though sometimes still incomprehensible and awkward language, they had opened up a new horizon. Now, however, it was the sheer power of personality which mattered; the miracle-worker as well as the speculative genius had become common figures, or to put it more precisely: large strata of the population were on the look-out to be overwhelmed either by the radiance of a gifted individual's charisma or by the depth of his unusual insight. The number of those who still shook their head and complained about this insight being irrational or close to gnosticism had decreased.51 Orientalist scholarship has only recently come to grips with the phenomenon; Western scholars

<sup>46</sup> Theologie und Gesellschaft n. 609 f

<sup>47</sup> Mystical Islam (London 1989) 174, taking up a statement by Gilles Vetnstein.

<sup>48</sup> Ritter, Das meer der seele 470 ff

<sup>49</sup> R.W.J. Austin, Sufis of Andalusia. The Rith al-guds and at-Durrat al-fäkhira of Ibn 'Arabi (Univ of California Press 1971) 105

<sup>50</sup> Vidas de santones andaluces (Madrid 1933).

<sup>51</sup> For later enticism of Iba \*Anabi cf. the article by Michel Chodktewicz in this volume. p. 93 ff. and now also Eric Geoffroy, Le soufisme en Egypte et en Swite sous les dermers Mamelouks et les premiers Ottomans. Orientations spirituelles et enjeux culturels (Damascus 1995) 452 ff

were strongly embedded in rationalism themselves. Even Massignon did not have much to say about Ibn <sup>c</sup>Arabi.

The success had not come overnight; it was a slow process. In a seminal article, Fritz Meier, taking up a differentiation used by Ibn "Abbad al-Rundi, has shown how the shaykh al-ta'lim, the theoretizing mystical professor, turned into the shaykh al-tarbiya, the master who shaped the life and the person of his disciple.52 Gradually, unconditional obedience became more important than mere learning; thus, the student was not only expected to attend classes, but he depended on the teacher as his psychagogue and had to ask his permission in whatever he did. He had to give up his own will, and was forced to submit to a soldierlike discipline. This was a sign of intimacy as well as of awe-inspiring distance; from such obedience the emerging Sufi brotherhoods drew their strength and their cohesion. The Mongol period which, with its breakdown of secular Islamic authority and its impulse for survival in a shattered and fragmented society, is normally held responsible for this development, merely added the final touch to it; in reality, the development had started much earlier. Meier pins the process down with respect to the town of Nëshāpūr, authors like al-Sulami and al-Qushayri already bear witness to the ongoing change. And in a broad overview he enumerates the most important consequences, mainly with regard to Iran: in the third/ninth century Sufism was still an individual endeavour and rested on a personal basis, in the eighth/fourteenth century (i.e. a hundred years after Ibn 'Arabi) it was organized in tarigas and family enterprises, and only the Uwaysis still represented the old type.53 In the third century philosophy and metaphysics still lay beyond the horizon of mysticism, whereas in the eighth century they can be found almost everywhere. In the third century the visionary element, though it did exist, was usually left unmentioned when it came to public statements; in the eighth century it is an important element of mystical self-understanding. In the third century Sufism did not yet belong to the canon of religious disciplines; in the eighth

52 Hurăsân und das ende der klassischen sufik, in La Persia nel Medioevo (Rome 1971)
545 ff., also published in Meiet, Bausteine i, 133 ff

century it sometimes overshadows theology and jurisprudence. In the third century the authorities and the government were normally suspicious of it; in the eighth century they rather seek its support. In the third century Sufism, like theology and jurisprudence, spoke Arabic; in the eighth century, in contrast to theology and jurisprudence, it expresses itself in Persian (and already in other languages)

Vernacular language, visionary experience, rigid obedience, connections with the government, this is where popular influence and immediate power came in. Several of the contributions to the present volume concern dimensions of power politics. An example, again from Iran: Khwaja 'Ubayd Allah Ahrar, on whom we are somewhat better informed thanks to Russian and, recently. North-American research. Ahrār was a Nagshbandī Sufi, but also a mighty landowner and politician; his holdings, documented by the waaf-endowments related to him and his immediate descendants, were located in the wilāyats of Tashkent, Samarqand, and Bukhara, and even beyond these areas, and they seem to have been vast if we may judge by occasional tax reports. After the death - or judicial murder - of Ulugh Beg, the son of Shāhrukh, he supported the Timund ruler Abū Sacid who was able to gain control of Samarquad in 855/1451. In the official source of the Nagshbandi order, the Rashahāt 'ayn al hayāt by Kamāl al-Dīn al-Kāshifī, he is depicted as the one who was primarily responsible for Abū Sa'id's success, but he is said to have lent his help only after the prince had promised to uphold the sharia. However this may be, he came to enjoy considerable favour at the court, and he used to give advice concerning political decisions, even military campaigns. This was not without risk; when Abū Sacid wanted to exploit the power vacuum in western Persia after the Qara Qoyunlu leader Jihanshah had been killed in his fight against Uzun Hasan's Aq Qoyunlu, Ahrar encouraged him to set out on campaign, but this only led to Abū Sacid's death and the annihilation of his army. In spite of this, Ahrar survived the authority crisis which ensued. He was obviously a very forceful personality. However, it was perhaps not so much his power as such which made him so forceful, but the belief people had in his power, the aura which surrounded him. Sufism could be an instrument for controlling the masses; this may have been one of the reasons why the government was so interested in him. On the other hand, he may have posed as an upholder of orthodoxy as he had to take account of the opposition, opposition from fellow Sufis at Samarquand and from theologians in the

<sup>53</sup> Cf now Julian Baldack, Imaginary Muslims. The Uwaysi Sufis of Central Asia (London 1993), but see also Devin DeWeese, "The Tadhkira-i Bughrā-khān and the «Uvaysi» Sufies of Central Asia Notes in Review of Imaginary Muslims', Central Asiatic Journal xl (1996) 87 127 For their presence in Egypt of, the remarks by E. Geoffroy (note 51 above) 215f

same town. Under these circumstances power struggle was an inevitable element in the overall the picture.<sup>54</sup>

Sufi involvement in contemporary politics continued to be a familiar pattern for centuries. We need only think of a person like Abū'l Hudā al-Şayyādī who, as the head of the Rıfaciyya order, acted as an advisor to the Ottoman sultan "Abdulhamid.55 Not long before, during Turkey's unsuccessful wars with Russia, Shaykh Diyā' al-Dîn Gümüshkhanewi (d. 1313/1894), a Naqshbandi, went with his followers to fight the enemy at the front,56 and Shaykh Fehmi at Erzinjan, head of the Khāhdi branch, was approached for advice by the generals, like an oracle; we learn about his influence through the bulky autobiography of one of his disciples, the Aşçı Dede Ibrāhīm.<sup>57</sup> When he made his pilgrimage in 1276/1860 and again in 1282/1866, the population of his town saw him off and, on his return, gave him a musical welcome with the band of the local garrison. Sometimes it was not only the men who gathered in the zāwiya for the dhikr on Thursday evening, but the entire families; on entering the courtyard of the convent built by the Shādhili/Yashruti shaykh Abū'l-Shāmāt in Damascus one can imagine even nowadays, in spite of the decay, how well they must have felt there in the fragrance of the orange-trees.58 The 'holy man', as Peter Brown would call him, had been completely integrated into society. Nevertheless, he could still be seen as the outsider who, without being an expert, was able to answer unanswerable questions and to solve conflicts which could not be solved from within.59

But where was the opposition when the integration of mysticism had advanced to such an extent? There was, of course, no end to the opposition from within. Thus, for instance, in Iran, the Nagshbandiyya was wiped out by the Safavids; the order only survived in Sunni areas, among the Kurds and the Uzbeks. The Safavids had a Sufi origin themselves; in the beginning they were, as far as their concept of the charismatic leader is concerned, much more extremist than the Nagshbandis had ever been. But in addition to such clashes among relatives, what do we have? As far as I can see, opposition consisted of three forces which, in different areas but during approximately the same period, worked to break up the established integration and were responsible for a complete reversal of the status quo: the Salafiyya for whom mysticism went against their puritanism and scripturalism, the political reformers for whom it went against their secularism and nationalism, and the Europeans for whom it went against their imperealism and colonialism.

European opposition to Sufi orders is only touched upon in a few of the papers to be discussed during this symposium. This may be since the majority of the participants in this gathering are Europeans themselves, and thus would have to reflect upon the principles of their own research. Not that they are unable or always unwilling to do so, but in the prevailing circumstances the topic would fall under a different heading: the concept of the other or the theory of discourse, a subject of all too many scholarly gatherings during recent years. But let us not forget that quite a number of publications considered to be authoritative reports about mystical movements in the beginning of our century were closely linked to colonialism and mirrored its anxieties and prejudices: Depont and Coppolani's Les confréries religieuses musulmanes published in Algiers 1897, the works by Duveyrier, Rinn, Le Châtelier and others. As late as 1951, i.e. after the Second World War but still before the independance of the Maghribi states, Georges Drague wrote, in the same spirit, his Esquisse de l'histoire religieuse du Maroc: confréries et zaouias. 60 In the rural areas, the zāwiyas functioned as local centers of education; that is why, in addition to the political reasons, the mission civi-

<sup>54</sup> On these points of the article Ahrdr by J M. Rogers in Elran I, 667 ff., but now also, in a broader context, Jürgen Paul, Die politische und soziale Bedeutung der Naqsbandi von im 15 Jourhundert (Berlin 1991) and Florian Schwarz, Bruderschaften, Gesellschaft, Staat un islamischen Mittelasien (Trousoxamen, im 16. Jahrhundert (PhD thesis Tübingen 1998). For the relationship between prince and saint in Manilok and early Ottoman Egypt of. Geoffroy (note 5) above) 119 ff.

<sup>55</sup> For him ef. Werner Ende, 'Sayyı'd Abû l-Huda, ein Vertrauter Abdülhamıd's II.', in Vorträge XIX Deutscher Orientalistentag = ZDMG Suppl tii 2 (Wiesbaden 1977) 1143 ff and Buttus Abu-Manneh, 'Su tan Abdulhamid II and Shaykh Abulhuda Al-Şayyūdi', Middle Eastern Studies xv (1979) 131 ff

<sup>56</sup> Bl vi., 937a s v Nakshbandiyya, for Gümüshkhanewi ef now Butrus Abu-Manneh. 'Shaykh Ahmed Ziya'üddin el-Gümüşhanevi and the Ziya'ü-Khâlidi Suborder', in F De Jong ed.), Shi' a Isiam. Sects and Sufism (Utrecht 1992) 105 ff

<sup>57</sup> Cf EI u. 878 f

<sup>58</sup> Cf my article in *Die Welt des Islams* xvi (1975) 76 For the later development of the Yashrutiyya of the remarks by P. J. Luzzard in A. Popovic and G. Veinstein (cdd.), *Les Voies d Allan* (Paris 1996, 364 f.

<sup>59</sup> Peter Brown, "The Rise and Function of the Holy Man in Late Antiquity", in id. (ed.).

Society and the Holy in Late Antiquity (London 1982), especially 130 ff., an example of effecting a conciliation between two generals, ibid. 133

<sup>60</sup> The author was a colonial officer, as were his predecessors, for a general outline of E Burke III, 'The Sociology of Islam. The French Tradition', in M.H. Kerr (ed.), Islamic Studies A Tradition and its Problems (Malibu 1980) 73 ff.

hsatrice kept a vigilant eye on them. But French imperialism is by no means the only, if perhaps the most obvious example. Similar observations could be made concerning Italian research about the Sanüsiyya in Tripolitania, British publications about Mahdism in the Sudan, etc. (although in the latter case the tariqus might occasionally turn up on the good side). We are dealing, as has recently been pointed out again, by with a littérature de surveillance or, when the writings are produced by British and American missionaries, an 'Islamic Peril' literature.

Have we completely passed beyond this stage? It is true that, for the moment, all the phobia and all the polemics are directed against fundamentalism rather than mysticism, and that it is rather the media which tend to produce a littérature de surveillance for public consumption. But as far as our own work is concerned, we should perhaps not forget that part of the documentation we use for Central Asian brotherhoods in our century comes from reports which were compiled by Soviet political commissars.62 In itself, of course, this does no harm: Why should one not use Russian sources as well as Arabic or Persian ones? It is only 'the view from outside' which we have to be concerned about, the dangers inherent in the perspective, the evil eye as it were. During this symposium all of us will be applying the view from outside since we are talking about opposition and not about Sufism as such, and since we are talking in sociological or historical terms and not in religious ones. Again, this is nothing detrimental; on the contrary, it may be an expression of 'detached' scholarship. But we must keep in mind that the Sufis themselves looked at things in a different way. Where we see power structures, they talked about rābita, the close connexion between the disciple and his shaykh, the urge to become identical with him, the famous - and infamous - fanā' fī'l-shaykh criticized by the religious opposition.63 Similarly, where we see only political influence and the will to dominate, they talked about tasarruf, the ability of the shaykh to dispose over other people, and what they meant by this was frequently the magical side of his personality, his power to bewitch his enemies.<sup>64</sup> This was the point which was taken seriously by men of worldly power, perhaps more so than the social influence—although both things cannot be completely separated since the simple people were as superstitious as were the upper classes. The relationship between the shaykh and his followers was compared to that between the Prophet and his Companions;<sup>65</sup> he was greeted with a prostration, and his feet were kissed.<sup>66</sup> Tunur is said to have tried to keep Ni<sup>c</sup>matullāh-i Wali-i Kirmāni out of his territory, remarking that two kings cannot live together in one and the same clime.<sup>67</sup>

This was a pertinent observation. The Sufis were to experience its truth again when, a few centuries later, this same 'clime' succumbed to the influence of 'enlightened' European nationalism. The mystical orders did not fit into this new pattern. They had spread all over the Islamic world and could therefore not be confined to the borders of the newly founded states; what had looked cosmopolitan in earlier days looked unpatriotic now. They lost their elite; the Jilanis in Baghdad, heirs to the Qadırıyya establishment, joined the Arab nationalist movement in Iraq when they were deprived of power by the Young Turks, and made a new career as politicians and prime ministers.68 With respect to late Ottoman Turkey, the tensions which ensued from this and the spiritual uncertainty which befell the tarigas themselves have been depicted by Franz Werfel in a chapter of his novel The Forty Days of the Musa Dagh, in connection with the persecution of the Armenians. Moreover, the quest for modernity which accompanied nationalism paved the way for European secularism; after that, the mystical orders looked old-fashioned, 'medieval', and dangerous because of their appeal to superstition and irrationality. When Vámbéry travelled in Central Asia, he could still observe that the Sufi shaykhs — 'they' (īshān) as they were called there, ishan being a formula of reverence — enjoyed an extremely high prestige, higher than that of the mullahs, since it was the Sufi shaykhs who dispensed blessings and curses, by selling talismans or by their 'breath', indeed it was their supernatural power which kept the cattle in good health and the evil spirits at bay.69 The new administrative elite, whether foreign (as in Russia or Algeria) or in-

<sup>61</sup> Cf. R. Scan O Fahey and Bernd Radtke, Der Islam Ixx (1993) 61 ff.

<sup>62</sup> Cf the remark by Pritz Meser in Zwei Abhandlungen über die Nagšbandlung (Istunbul 1994) .86. The kind of research I am alluding too is dealt with by Jo-Ann Gross in her article in this volume p. 520 ff

<sup>63</sup> Cf the first essay in Fritz Meier's book, Die Herzensbindung an den Meister 17 ff., and ind index s v Entwerden im Meister

<sup>64</sup> Cf. the second essay, Kraftakt und Faustrecht des Hedigen, bid 245 ff

<sup>65</sup> Ibid. 106 f

<sup>66</sup> fbed 148 f

<sup>67</sup> Ibid. 245, n. 1

<sup>68</sup> CF P. J. Linzard in Les Voies d'Allah (note: 58 above) 349 f.
69 Uhid, 276

digenous (as in Turkey or in Iran), had no understanding for this. In their view, humanitarian and civilizational improvement was to be realized by European medicine and European bureaucracy, and in pursuing this goal they frequently asserted their power in an arrogant and therefore brutal manner. Ataturk is, of course, the best example, but by no means the only one. It is a peculiar irony of history that the national self-understanding of certain areas in Central Asia which have emerged as independent states after the dissolution of the Soviet empire, Kazakhstan for instance, appear to be clinging once again to the role the mystical orders played in the past since there is not much else on which to build an identity. 70

In contrast to nationalism, the Salafiyya, the last one of the three forces of opposition, has tried to do without secularism. But it has not done without arrogance, the arrogance of the reformer who turns back to authenticity, to the roots. Muhammad 'Abduh had been associated with the Shādhiliyya, his disciple Muhammad Rashīd Ridā (1865-1935) with the Nagshbandryya, but they both contemptuously broke with their past. Rida reports that when he attended Sufi meetings in his youth, the participants were supposed to see spirits, i.e. to communicate with the souls of the deceased shaykhs and the ancestors of their order. They were even told that they could smell them, obviously in agreement with the famous hadith which says that, in the afterlife, the arwah recognize each other by their smell like horses.71 The hadīth, though, is something which Rida does not mention, for the hadith would have run counter to the direction of his argument, namely to show that such practices did not agree with pure and original Islam. His polemics were in line with the attitude upheld by Ibn Taymiyya more than half a millennium ago, the attitude of reform and return to the ideal past which had been radicalized in the meantime by the Wahhābiyya.

However, until about 1920 the Wahhābiyya was still a marginal affair, much too peripheral to have any significant impact in Egypt.<sup>72</sup> What made the call for reform in Egypt so strong was not puritanism

70° Of also the role of the Qâdriyya in the Chechen war. On the role of Sufi orders in the Caucasus at present see the contribution by Ch. Lemercier-Queiquejay in Les Voies d'Allah 301 ft. now deals with this topic briefly.

71 Ibid 208 f., for the hadith of. Wensinck, Concordance i, 385a, a more complete version in Qastallani, Irahād al-sārī (Cairo 1304) v. 325, L. 15 ff.

but the belief in reason, more specifically: the belief in a kind of reason which had incorporated technology and slowly repressed the sapiential and spiritual dimension. This explains why the results of the development were so different there from those in the Arabian desert. Hanball thought had reduced mysticism to pietism, and when Wahhabism finally acquired political importance, in the modern state of Saudi Arabia, Sufi movements - which had spread on the Arabian pennsula before, mainly due to the influence of Ahmad b. Idris - ceased to exist. Ahmad b. Idris's tomb was destroyed after the annexation of Asir. In Egypt, on the contrary, where Napoleon had still tried to acquire influence by winning over the shaykh al-sajjāda of the Bakriyya,73 the tariqas came to the surface again when technical reasoning was felt to be inadequate for spiritual satisfaction. As early as 1895, a Supreme Council of the Sufi Brotherhoods was created; in 1976 its organization and functions were regulated by law. There is no parallel to this institution in any other country of the Islamic world; only in Egypt are the tarigas legally recognized

The question is whether, at a certain moment, through the teaching of Ahmad b. Idrīs and others, the Sufi orders themselves aspired to a goal similar to that of the Wahhābiyya and the Salafiyya, namely the purification of religion from unorthodox doctrines and practices. This is the controversy as to whether there was something which may justifiably be called 'Neo-Sufism'. The debate has just been started. 4 One of the points Sufism and Neo-Sufism have in common is their highly accentuated veneration for the Prophet Muḥammad. Of course in certain respects such a tendency ought not be at all surprising, but it seems to have increased over the centuries. The way it is expressed differs in both cases. In mysticism we would have to concentrate on the famous but still somewhat vaguely perceived tarīga muhammadiyva, 8 whereas in the Salafiyya it is the

73 Cf. now J.W. Livingstone in. Studia Islamica book (1994) 125 ff

74 Cf R. Séan O'Fahey and Bernd Radtke, 'Neo-Sufisin Reconsidered', Der Islam .xx (1993) 52 ff

<sup>72</sup> Cf. the article by F de Jong in this volume, p. 310 ff.; also id., 'Turnq and turuq opposition in 20th century Egypt', in Frithjof Rundgren (ed.), Proceedings VIth Congress of Arabic and Islamic Studies (Visby! Stockholm 1972) (Leiden 1975) 84 ff.

<sup>75</sup> A good way of testing this hypothesis would be a closer study of how beresy was defined at different periods, whether there was a shift in concern from scandalo is statements about God (dualism or monism for instance) to statements vilifying the Prophet. But this work still remains to be done.

<sup>76</sup> Cf. now the remarks by Bernd Radike Dre Welt des Islams xxxvi (1996) 353 ff. An early example (nonth/lifteenth century) of unusually intense contact between a mystic and the Prophet, through dreams, which seems to replace the fanā' in God has recently been analyzed by I.G. Katz, Dreams, Sufism and Sainthood. The Visionary Career of Muhammad al Za vitist (Leiden 1996). For Egypt of also Geoffroy (note \$1 above) 101 ff.

discovery of Muhammad's role for Islamic identity, as the founder of the community in the political as well as the legal sense, which comes to the fore. What is connected with it is, among other things, the relationship between mysticism and what is called fundamen talism in our time. That the Salafiyya wanted to go back to the fundamentals of Islam is beyond doubt, but it is equally obvious that, in certain parts of the Islamic world, in Syria for instance, mystical trends and brotherhoods are nowadays employed to impede fundamentalist influence. Before entering this discussion, however, a primary clarification of terms is indispensable. It is not only that we must make clear what we mean when we use the word 'fundamentalism' (or any other word we may try to replace it with); we must also define mysticism and Sufism (which are by no means interchangeable expressions), and we will have to explain what a Sufi brotherhood nowadays stands for in contrast to a charitable organization run by so-called fundamentalists.77 And once we start defining our terms we have to take into account our own world, its different kind of spirituality and its non-religious, at times even anti-religious mode of discourse.

These are problems which may remain problems for us even after this symposium has ended; they are too general to be approached by means of a sequence of isolated papers. But they underlie much of what we will be talking about, and in a certain way they may well determine the language we use. For we shall be mostly talking in sociological and political terms. Poetry will not have a place in our discourse, nor will the language of spirituality lead us astray. But who knows? Maybe at a certain moment in the proceedings the three Qalandars will suddenly march in. Beyond all forms of academic orgy, they know the real story, and they are always good for a surprise.

1

### PERIMETERS AND CONSTANTS

# EARLY SUFISM BETWEEN PERSECUTION AND HERESY

#### GERHARD BÖWERING

The Muslim mystics of classical Sufism — from the beginnings of Islamic asceticism to the time of al-Ghazzālī — did not challenge their opponents with an agenda of the just society, a blueprint of political reform or a call for an Islamic state. Instead, they saw this world, Allah's creation, as a transitory home, a theater of trial and tribulation, a situation to overcome rather than to organize and enjoy. Fully aware of the injustices of this world, they were intent on reaching God, the sole source and goal of justice and the only ruler and lord of the world to come. They identified the root and cause of injustice as within man and devised ways to conquer evil by spiritual renewal, termed tawba, 'repentance and inner conversion'. Far from understanding tawba simply as conversion from one organized religion to another, the Sufis perceived it as a dynamic principle of radical reorientation to God that made them abandon the false ways of this world and follow the straight path to God. This path to God was rooted in the powerful impulse of the Koran as a call to direct encounter with the one God in His speech. There is neither mediator nor bridge between God and man in the act of listening to the Koranic word. The classical Sufis claimed unequivocal witness to this direct encounter with divine speech by hearing the divine Speaker beyond His word. For this reason, they saw themselves as occupying a place similar to that of the Prophet, the prototypical hearer of the Koranic word. Through this divine word the Sufis discovered in the symbolism of tawha a powerful paradigm to capture their unmediated encounter with God. They found means to interpret it as an expression of their direct, personal experience of the Divine, as the mutual turning of Creator and creature to one another.

<sup>7?</sup> Statistics do not exist concerning the membership of contemporary Sufi tarigas in different countries and regions, but of G. Veinstein in Les Voies d'Allah 20 f

This interpretation of tawba can be traced in Sufi accounts of conversion — stories which reveal the why and how of an individual's choice of the Sufi way of life. A paradigmatic selection of these stories, drawn from the hagiographies of five early Sufis, exhibits a basic symbolism which accounts for the radical and lasting life changes that these stories recount. Rather than recording a sequence of actual historical occurrences, these stories embody the perceived historical memory of Sufi posterity, sometimes embellished for purposes of instruction and emulation. For the protagonist, however, they were events of self-perception, rooted in a powerful core of personal memory and expressed in a striking symbolism.

Rapidly surveying these well-known life stories, one discerns the legends that this literature has woven around the typical figures. The legend of Ibrāhīm b. Adham (d 161/777-8), an Arab of the Banū 'Ijl, is especially rich in detail.¹ Escaping the turmoil of Abū Muslim's revolt in 129/747, Ibrāhīm leaves his wife and their wealthy family estate at Balkh, gives up his royal palace, his silken robe, his golden bed, his favorite steed and his pastime of hunting gazelles. Meeting a stranger, or in another account, hearing a voice from the pommel of his saddle calling him to repentance, he changes his life drastically, dons sack-cloth, for some years dwells in a cave hermitage, walks all the way to Mecca as a pilgrim, forswears begging to earn his bread by manual labor, follows a celibate way of life, attracts many students and becomes the principal prototype of the Syrian ascetical tradition. Finally, he dies a martyr in holy war, pursuing Byzantine infidels on the borders and shores of Syria.

In similar fashion the rich landlord and merchant Shaqiq al-Balkhi (d. 194/809), a member of the Arab tribe of the Banū Azd,2 parts

with the companions of his extravagant youth, abandons his market and merchandise and exchanges his costly robes for a poor man's woollen dress. He then leads an ascetic life, travels to many centers of Muslim learning in search of knowledge and studies with a great variety of religious authorities in Iran, Iraq, Arabia, Syria and Egypt. Achieving wide renown as a teacher of trust in God (tawakkul), he compiles learned writings on a great variety of religious topics and gathers a circle of disciples in Khurasan, on whom he exerts a lasting influence as the earliest teacher of the East-Iranian tradition of asceticism. Again, like Ibrahim, Shaqiq proves his mettle as a valiant and fearless warrior in holy war and is slain fighting Turkish infidels between Khuttalan and Wāshgird on the upper Oxus river in the borderlands of north-eastern Iran.

A third example is the son of a prisoner of war and slave from Sijistan, Malik b. Dĭnār (d. 131/749) of Basra,3 who repents upon hearing a mysterious voice as he strikes a few cords on his lute one night. An alternative, rather more poignant story traces his repentance back to a dream he had after spending the night drinking wine. At one time, he had purchased a female slave who caught his fancy. She bore him a daughter who died in infancy. Desperate to find relief from despair at the child's death, Malik beholds the scene of the Resurrection in his delimin and his daughter, clad in light, calling him to repentance as she chases away a threatening dragon.4 Becoming an ascetic, Mālik lives in modest quarters with neither lock nor key, and wears simple clothes, a sheepskin or a woollen coat. Well-acquainted with Jewish-Christian scriptures, he earns his livelihood making papyrus sheets and copying the Koran, observes protracted prayer vigils, writes a book on asceticism (zuhd) and dies in the year that an epidemic of plague ravages Basra.

Two other stories of early Sufi conversions reiterate similar motifs. The highway robber Fudayl b. 'Iyad (d. 187/803), a native of Samar-

<sup>1</sup> R Jones, Ibrāhīm b. Adham, El, iii, 985-986; for an extract of Ibrāhīm's Musnad, extent in manuscript, ef. GAS i, 215. Al-Dhahabî, Siyar a'lām al-nubalā', ed. Shu'ayb al-Arna'ūt and 'Alī Abū Zayd (Beirut 1409/1988) viì, 387-396; al-Dhahabî, Ta'rīkh al-Islām, years 161-170, ed 'Umar 'Abd al-Sa,ām Tadmūrī (Beirut 1411/1990) 43-59; Abū 'Abd al-Rahmān Muhammad b. al-Husaya al-Sulami, Tabaqāt al-yūfivva, ed. J. Pedersen (Leiden 1960) 13-22, ed. N. Shurayba (Cairo 1389/1969) 27-38; Abū Nu'aym al-Isfahānī, Hilyar al-awliyā' (Cairo 1937-38) viì, 365-395; Ibn 'Asākir, Ta'rīkh madīnat Dīmashq, Facsumle Dār al-Bashīr (Amman a. d.) ii, 370-408, Farīd al-Dīn-s 'Atlār, Tadhkirat al-awliyā', ed. R. A. Nicholson (London Leiden 1905) 1, 85-106, R. A. Nicholson, 'Ibrāhīm b. Adham', Zeitschrift für Assyriologie xxvi (1912) 215-220; Richard Gramlich, Alte Vorbilder des Sufitians (Wiesbaden 1995) 1, 135-282

<sup>2</sup> Cf P Nwyia, Trois oeuvres inédites de mystiques musulmans (Beirut 1973) 13-22 (an Arabic text edition of a small tract entifled Adab of ibôdāt that is ascribed to Shaqiq al-Balkhi), Hāum b. "Unwān at Aṣamm (d. 237/851 2) transmitted Shaqiq's Thomani masā"il,

partially extant in manuscript, cf. GAS i, 639. Al-Dhahabî, Siyar ix, 313-316. Ta'rîkh al-Islâm (years 191-200) 227-232; al-Sulamî, Tabaqāt al-sīdījiya, ed. I. Pedersen, 54-59, ed. N. Shurayha, 61-66; Abū Nu'aym, Hilyat al-awliyā' viii, 58-73; Iba 'Asākur Ta'rīkh madīnat Dimashq viii, 94-102; 'Auār, Tadhkirat al-awliyā' 1, 196-202. I. van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2, und 3. Jahrhundert Hidschra (Berlin-New York 1992) it, 545-549 Gramlich, Alte Vorbilder ii. 13-62.

<sup>3</sup> Ch. Pellat, Mälik b. Dünär, El vi, 266-267; GAS 1, 634; Gramlich, Alte Vorbilder i, 59-122; al-Dhahabî, Siyar v, 362-364, Ta'rikh al-Islām (years 131-140), 214-217, Abū Nu°aym, Hilyat al-awliyā' ii, 357-389; Ibn ʿAsākir, Ta'rikh madinat Dimashq v1, 181-205; ʿAṭṭār, Tadhkirat al-awliyā' 1, 40-48.

Ibn Qudāma, Kitāb al-tawwäbln, ed. G. Makdisi (Damascus 1961) 193-195.

qand who grew up in Abiward and belonged to the Banū Tamīm, renounces his life of robbery and rape to study hadūth at Kufa. Later he settles permanently as an ascetic in close proximity to the Kacba at Mecca (mujāwir). Bishr al-Ḥāfī (d. 227/841), a native of Marw who came to Baghdad, turns his back on an excessive life-style and a lust for women and wine, abandons his studies and buries his books to become a destitute mendicant, reported to have lived barefoot and in hunger.6

In each of the five paradigmatic hagiographies the life change is embedded in a patterned scenario; an unforesecable, sudden event shocks the individual; shaken by doubt or overcome by fear, he turns away from this world, abruptly abandons his accustomed way of life, repents and turns totally to God. The central event itself possesses mysterious qualities. A fire is kindled in Ibrahim's soul; Shaqiq wakes up as if struck by a flash of insight; Malik hears the call of a mysterious voice; an arrow pierces Fudayi's heart; and Bishr is visited by someone calling in a dream. In each case, symbolic figures mark the turning point. Ibrāhîm happens upon a mysterious stranger on the roof top and in the halls of his house or encounters a beggar in his palace yard who is content with the modest daily ration God provides. Shaqiq meets a clean-shaven monk in saffron robes in a Buddhist temple of Central Asia who, although an idolater, teaches him the true meaning of the divine Sustainer. Mālik meets the angelic figure of his deceased daughter in a dream. Fudayl realizes God's presence and remembrance through someone's solemn recital of a Koranic verse. Bishr finds a piece of paper in the gutter with God's name written on it.

These symbolic figures both conceal and reveal the powerful divine intervention that changes the Sufi's life. Though veiling the direct action of God, these symbolic figures manifest the actual presence of the Transcendent who is apprehended directly in mystic experience as ultimate reality, albeit 'through a glass darkly'. Sufis of later centuries had the courage and freedom to express their spiritual

life-history in full-fledged autobiographies. The early Sufis, however, collected their spiritual itineranes as short fragments of memory about the first break-through of the Divine into their lives. As a constant in all five life stories, one finds the common elements of direct encounter with God and radical change of life: it is as if the two-sided nature of the Koranic tawba,7 i.e. God's act of restoring man to divine mercy and man's act of turning to God in repentance, was dramatically actualized in the lives of these mystics

The root t-w-b constructed with the prepositions 'an or min does not occur in the Koran. It refers to the process of man's turning away from sin and error rather than to a distinct crucial event at the beginning of a Sufi calling. This latter usage becomes a focal point in Sufi discussions about the theory of tawba, as it denotes most incisively the turning away from this world and describes the ideal of an ascetic way of life. As the acts of external worship require outer and legal punty (tahāra), so the Sufis felt, a person drawing near to God requires inner purification (tawba). The first is performed by actual ablution with water, the second by inward remorse and penitence. The Sufis observed that human beings in general were heedless in following the path to God, egoistic in their attitude and conduct toward others, and inclined to all kinds of sin (dhanb, pl. dhunūb), as mentioned in the Koran: minor sins, grave sins, unbelief (kufr) and

<sup>5</sup> M. Smith, Fudayi b. 'Iyād, EI, n. 936, GAS 1, 634; al-Dhahabi, Siyar viii, 372-390; Ta'rīkh al Islām (years 181 190) 331-344, al-Sulami, Tabaqāt al-sūftyya, ed. J. Pedersen, 7-12; ed. N. Shurayba, 6-14; Abū Nu'aym, Hityat al-awliyā' viii, 84-139; Ibu 'Asākir, Ta'rīkh madīnat Dimashq xiv, 256-289, 'Attār, Tadhkirat al-awliyā' 1, 74-85.

<sup>6</sup> Cf. F Meier, Bishr al Hāfī, El 1, 1244 1246; GAS i, 638; al-Dhahabî, Siyar x, 469-477; Ta'rīkh al-Islām (years 221 230) 105-113; al-Sulamī, Tabaqāt al-sūfīyva, ed. J. Pedersen, 33-40; ed. N. Shurayba, 39-47, Abū Nu'aym, Hilyat al-awliyā' vm, 336-360; lbn 'Asākir, Ta'rīkh madīnat Dimashq m, 310-338; 'Attār, Tadhkirat al-awliyā' i, 106-114.

<sup>7</sup> For a brief discussion of towbo in the Koran, see the Appendix to the present article.

As a rule, the early Sufi handbooks include chapters on tawba; of Abii Nasr "Abdallāh b. "Ali al-Sarrāj, Kitāb al-luma" fill-tasanwuf, ed. R.A. Nicholson (Leiden-London 1914) 43-44; cf. R. Gramlich, Schlaglichter über das Sufitum (Statigart 1990) 87-88, Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Ishaq al-Kalabadhi, Kudb al-ta'arruf li-madhhab ahl al-tasawwuf, ed. A.J Arberry (Cairo 1352/1933) 64-65, cf. G. C. Anawati and L. Gardet, Mystique musulmane (Paris 1968) 147-159; Abū Ţālib Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Makkī. Qūt al-qulūb fi mu'āmalat almahbüb (Cairo 1381/1961) 1, 364-394, cf. R. Gramach, Die Nahrung der Herzen (Stuttgart 1992-95) n. 9-52, Abû'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Karîm b. Hawāzm al-Qushayrī, al-Risālo, ed 'Abd al-Halim Mahmiid and Mahmiid b. al-Sharif (Cairo 1972-74) j. 253-263; cf. R. Gramlich, Das Sendschreiben al-Qušayrīs über das Sufitum (Wiesbaden 1989) 146-154; R. Hartmann, Al-Kuschairis Darstellung des Sufitums (Berlin 1914) 110-11, Abû Hamid Muhammad al-Ghazzāli, Ihvā" 'ulūm al-dīn (Cairo 1358/1939) iv, 2-59; cf. S. Wilzer, 'Untersuchungen zu Gazzālis Kitāb at-Tauba', Der Islam xxxii (1957) 237-309; xxxiii (1958) 51-120; xxxiii (1959) 128 137; R. Grambch, Muhammad al-Gazzālī's Lehre von den Stufen zur Gottesliebe (Wieshaden 1984) 19-135, M.S. Stern, 'Notes on the theology of al-Ghazzali's concept of repentance', Islamic Quarterly xxiii (1979) 82-98. An early small tract on tawba is the Kitāb bad' man anāba dā Allāh ta'ālā, ed. H. Ritter (Glückstadt 1935) by Abū 'Abdallāh al-Hārith b. Asad al-Muhāsibī (d. 243/857), cf. also I van Ess, Die Gedunkenweit des Härtt al-Muhāsibi (Boun 1961) 63, 126, 130-131, 140-142, 188

idolatry (shirk). For the Sufis, sin had its roots in disobedience (ma'siya) to the divine law and persistence in wrongdoing (iṣrār). In adopting the theological definition of tawba as 'regret for an act of disobedience, combined with the firm intention of avoiding it in the future', 10 some Sufis inclined toward the Mu'tazili view that, because of His justice, God is bound to accept the sinner's sincere repentance, and others to the Ash'ari view that the Almighty has absolute freedom to grant or refuse forgiveness to the sinner

Going a step further in their early manuals, the Sufis offered a minute analysis of sin and established catalogues of sins; ranging from al-Makki's enumeration of principal sins11 - arrogance, envy, greed and anger - to al-Ghazzālī's detailed lists that divide sins into those stemming from the heart, the tongue, the belly and other bodily organs, 12 Other Sufi theorists developed refined distinctions concerning tawba, usually employing a threefold pattern, which al-Hujwīrī synchronized and telescoped into succinct three-point maxims.13 Sin and repentance were treated as relative concepts, keyed to the level of an individual's spiritual progress. The differential scale of tawba for the common people (al-'awamm), the elect (al-khawass), and the gnostics (ahl al-ma rifa) is traced back by al-Sarraj and al Kalabadhi to statements of Dhū'l-Nūn al-Mışrī (d. 245/859) and Abū'l-Husayn al-Nürī (d. 295/907-8).14 Dhū'l-Nūn coined the Sufi catchwords, 'the sins of those drawn near to God (al-muqarrabun) are the good deeds of the pious (al-abrār)'15 and 'the common people repent from sin and the elect from heedlessness',16 while al-Nüri held that 'repen-

9 A.J. Wensinck and L. Gurdet, Khaft'a, El iv, 1106-1109; E. Sell, Sin (Muslim), Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics xi, 567-569 tance is repenting of everything except God' <sup>17</sup> Three degrees of repentance, tawba, inaba and awba, each with a successively higher motive, fear of divine punishment, desire for divine reward, and obedience to God's command for its own sake, were cited in this ascending order of perfection by al Qushayrī's teacher Abū <sup>c</sup>Ali al-Daqqaq (d 405/1015). <sup>18</sup> The distinction of specific terminia quo for repentance from sin, from heedlessness and from everything other than God (i.e. from one's own self and, particularly, from attention to one's good deeds) became a standard Sufi tenet. <sup>19</sup>

The differentiation between the repentance of the believers (almu'minūn), the repentance of the saints, God's friends (awhyā' Allah), and the repentance of the prophets (al-anbiyā')<sup>20</sup> ran into the difficulty of the delicate antinomy between the dogma of sinless prophets and the Koranic citation of their transgressions. To be sure, there were Sufi trends that developed to the extreme left and right of these classifications, one lax and the other rigid. The Ibāḥiyya asserted that anyone who attains to union with God no longer lives in fear of sin or wornes about the prescriptions of the law.<sup>21</sup> In their scrupulous fear of shunning the praise of men, the Malāmatiyya indulged in actions certain to appear scandalous in the sight of others so as to provoke their blame and rebuke.<sup>22</sup>

A central aim of the Sufi handbooks, however, was to convey the good counsel of the Sufi masters on the necessity of avoiding the slightest voluntary sin to the point of scrupulosity (wara') in one's heart.<sup>23</sup> The preferred means of maintaining this refined sense of sin was the examination of one's conscience (muḥāsabat al-nafs), because the human heart was seen as a mirror that must be cleansed and polished of any rust spoiling it.<sup>24</sup> More importantly, however,

<sup>10</sup> Muhammad 'Alt al-Tahânawi, Kitāb kashshāf izjilāḥāt al-fanūn, ed. A. Sprenger (Calcutta 1854) 1, 162; cf. 'Alī b. Muhammad al-Jurjānī, Kitāb al-ta'rīfāt, ed. G. Flügel (Leipzig 1845) 39, 74, M. Gloton, Kitāb al-ta'rīfāt, 'Alī b. Muhammad al-Jurjānī (Tehrun 1994) 96, 142 143

<sup>11</sup> Gramlich, Die Nahrung der Herzen it, 46-48, iti, 213-227, iv, 227-228, 239.

<sup>12</sup> al-Ghazzāli, Ihya' ev, 28, cf. Gramlich, Muhammad al-Gazzāli's Lehre 50-62.

<sup>13</sup> Abū', Ḥasan 'Alī b. 'Uthmān al-Huywirī al-Jullābī, Kashf al-mahjūb, ed. V. Zhukovski (Tehran 1399/1979) 380-382; R.A. Nicholson, The Kashf al-mahjūb (London 1936) 294-295

<sup>14</sup> al-Sarrāj, Kuāb al luma<sup>c</sup> 44, Gramlich, Schlaglichter 88; al-Kalābādhī cates Dhū'l-Non as having reserved the third degree for the prophets (al-ambiyâ'), cf. Kitāb al-to\*arraf 64, A.J. Arberry, The Doctrine of the Sufts (Cambridge 1935) 83.

<sup>15</sup> al-Sarrāj, Kitāb al-luma 44, Gramlich, Schloglichter 88

<sup>16</sup> al-Sarrăj, Kuāb al-luma' 44; Gramlich, Schlagtichter 88; al-Kulābādhī, Kuāb al-ta'arraf 64, Arberry, Doctrine 83.

<sup>17</sup> al-Sarrai, Kitôb al-luma\* 44, Gramlich, Schlaghehter 88

<sup>18</sup> al-Qushayri, al-Risāla i, 258, Gramlich, Sendschreiben 150, al-Hujwiti, Kashf al-mahjāb 379-380; R.A. Nicholson, The Kashf al-mahjāb 295.

<sup>19</sup> al-Sarraj, Kitāb al-luma' 44; Gramlich, Schlaglichter 88, al-Kalābādhi, Kitāb al-ta'arruf 64; Arbery, Doctrone 83.

<sup>20</sup> al-Kalābādhi, Kitāb al-ta\*arruf 64, Arberry, Doctrine 83; el-Qushayri, al Risāla 1, 258, Gramlich, Sendschreiben 150; al-Hujwīri, Kashf al-mahjāb 379-380; R.A. Nicholson, The Kashf al-mahjāb 295.

<sup>21</sup> W. Madelung and M.G.S. Hodgson, Ibāha, El ili, 662-663,

P. de Jong and H. Algar, Malamatiyya, El vi, 223-225.

<sup>23</sup> al-Sarrāj, Knāb al-luma<sup>c</sup> 44-46; Gramiich, Schlaglichter 88-90, al-Qushayri, al Risāla i, 284-291; Gramlich, Sendschreiben 170-176.

<sup>24</sup> R. Deladnère, Muhāsaba, El vii, 465, van Ess, Gedankenwelt, 139-143, al-Makki.
Qës al-qulësb 1, 157-168; Gramlich, Die Nahrung der Herzen 1, 271-28 The practice actually

the disquisitions about sin and repentance led to a divisive controversy within early Sufism. Sahl al-Tustari and his followers in Basra held that tawba means 'that you do not forget your sin', while al-Junayd and his group in Baghdad maintained that tawba means 'that you forget your sin'.25 Sahl al-Tustari stressed that man should be always aware of his sin so as to be able to turn to God with every breath, while al-Junayd understood the mystic to be so totally turned to God that he forgets about his sin.

This controversy marked a deep divide within early Sufism about the Sufi's fundamental attitude toward God. It separated the more ascetically-minded exoteric mystics of Basra who stressed spiritual struggle on the path to God (mujāhada), from the more mystically-inclined esoteric mystics of Baghdad who strove for mystical vision of God (mushāhada). Eventually, Sufism inclined toward its more esoteric direction and saw the subtleties of tawba encapsulated in a powerful image: 'Tawba means that you should be unto God a face without a back, even as you have formerly been unto Him a back without a face'.26

Beginning with the classical Sufi manuals, there is also evidence that tawba even crystallized into a Sufi ritual administered by the shaykh. As a ritual it included regulated physical postures and formulae of asking for God's forgiveness in the spiritual master's presence. Few of the details of these rites are known and some are mentioned only implicitly in the sources, but nevertheless they may be seen as forerunners of the standard rituals of tawba practiced in the later Sufi orders upon initiation into the fraternity and at moments of confessing sins in the presence of the brethren.<sup>27</sup> It is important to note that, already in classical Sufism, tawba as a ritual practice was probably concluded by the bay'a, the hand-clasp that re-established loyalty and authority with binding effect.<sup>28</sup> In early Sufism tawba was thus not only a dramatic experience of conversion and an ascetic ideal of rejecting the world, but a routinized and repeatable practice

reflects the mystic's consciousness of being called by God to give an inward account of one's actions. It is often understood in close association with the practice of "inequing God before one's eyes" (murăqaba) that focuses the mystic totally on God; cf. al-Makki, Qüt al-qulüb i, 182-193, Gramlich, Die Nohrung der Herzen i, 307-322; al-Ghazzāli, Iliyā' iv, 381-409.

of spiritual renewal. As Sufism became ever more organized in the communal life of its orders (tariqa), tawba increasingly became a moment of education in the shaykh's instruction of the novice (murid) and thereby lost its original quality of a direct, though veiled encounter with the Transcendent. There is no doubt, however, that in the early centuries of Sufism tawba marked the decisive and crucial moment at the very beginning of a Sufi career. This explains why the Sufis embraced tawba as the first stage (maqām) on their path to God and understood their own place in history according to the hadīth, that the time of Islam is zamān al-tawba, the time of radical reorientation to God.

This radical reorientation to God brought the classical Sufis into conflict with large segments of that society on which they had turned their back. They were perceived as men who saw themselves as an elite, a group possessing higher knowledge and attaining a higher control over their own selves than the common Muslims. They proclaimed their path to be a jihād greater than the most valiant effort in battle and called their discipline a violent conquest of this world, the lower self and the forces of Satan. They valued the spiritual journey to God deep within their own hearts over and above the pilgrimage to God's house in Mecca. They chose practices for their way of life, such as particular forms of dress, routines of fasting, periods of retreat, exercises of mortification, and modes of seclusion that set them apart. Manifesting such unaccustomed behavior, they alienated society at large and were unable to find wide popular support.

The Sufis' visible, though marginal, presence in society was not only a reminder to everyone of the senousness of their claims, it also particularly antagonized the scholars of law and religion. Seeing themselves as divinely chosen people, as God's Friends (awliyā') and saints, the Sufis held their spiritual achievement to be equal to the experience of the prophets and laid claim to a reciprocal relationship of love with their Creator. Seeking deep mystical insight, which could be communicated only under the mantle of secrecy, they traced their ontological advent to the dawn of creation, when God entered into covenant with their primeval forms prior to fashioning mankind, and granted them the first act of intellect in their primeval profession of divine oneness (tawhid).<sup>29</sup> They couched their mystical insights in phrases and paradoxes that startled the scholars. From the

<sup>25</sup> al-Satrāj, Kitāb al-luma' 43, Gramlich, Schlaglichter 87, al-Kalābādhī, Kitāb al-ta'orruf 64

<sup>26</sup> al-Kalābādhī, Kitāb al-ta'arruf 65.

<sup>27</sup> R. Gramlich, Die schutischen Derwischorden Persiens. Drütter Teil. Brauchtum und Riten (Wiesbaden 1981) 26-28, 94-95.

<sup>28</sup> E. Tyan, Bay'a, El 1, 1113 1114.

<sup>29</sup> G. Böwenng, The Mystical Vision of Existence in Classical Islam (Berlin New York 1980) 145-157; M. Molé, Les mystiques musulmans (Paris 1982) 27-35.

moment of their tawba, they believed themselves to enjoy a direct access to God which other human beings were not privileged to possess. In this manner the Sufis entered upon a course of conflict with the ordinary believers. They consciously provoked the learned in society with their claims to be a chosen elite.

This conflictual situation may be illustrated by examples of Sufis who mainly lived in the third century of Islam. Some of them suffered the full brunt of this conflict through denunciations, charges of heresy or accusations of unbelief. Others were exiled, imprisoned, tortured or put to death. Abū Sulayman al-Dārānī (d. 215/830) was expelled from Syria for claiming to have had a vision of angels.30 Abū Hamza al-Baghdādī (d. 269/882-3) was ostracized for declaring that he found God in the whistling of the wind and the crowing of the cock,31 Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmī (d. 261/874-5) was exiled from his home town for claiming to have experienced a heavenly ascension analogous to that of the Prophets.32 Abū 'Abdallāh al-Husayn b. Bakr al-Subayhi, a contemporary of Sahl al-Tustari, was accused of unbelief by leading Shāfi°ī divines and forced to leave Basra.33 Abū Bakr al-Shiblī (d. 334/946), a well-to-do 'Abbāsıd official who gave up his lucrative post of prefect of Damawand to become a learned Mālikī Sufi teacher, was thought to be insane, either by disposition or by design, and because of his outrageous utterances was eventually confined to an insane asylum by the vizier "Ali b. "Îsā (d. 334/946),34 Abū Bakr al-Wāsitī (d. some time after 320/932) was driven out of seventy towns before he found safe quarters in Khurasan, first in Abiward and then in Marw,35

It would be too simplistic to assume that all these cases of conflict occurred between Sufis and non-Sufi opponents. Rather, it appears that some aspects of these conflicts were an intra-Sufi affair, pitting rival Sufis against each other and drawing the government into their quarrels and arguments. One personality, who came from Wasit to Baghdad in 264/877, stands out in this regard. He is Ghulam Khalil, who died in Baghdad in 275/888-9 but was buried in Basra.36 An ascetic scholar of hadīth and a gifted preacher, Ghulam Khalil represented the people of al-amr bi'l ma'rūf who watched over public conduct and enjoined the good and forbade evil. Taking offense at the Sufi talk of mutual love between the Creator and His creature, he denounced al-Nūrī (see following paragraph) and his companions before the caliphal court and had government agents arrest some seventy of them.37 Besides a possible personal rivalry, it appears as if Ghulam Khalil may well represent the opposition of a deeply ascetic and traditional religiosity against the views of al Nüri as an exponent

of a more gnostic and mystically inspired spirituality.

Abū'l-Husavn Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Nūrī,38 born in Baghdad and educated by Sarī al-Sagatī (d. 251/865), became one of the most prominent Sufis in the city and received the honorific titles 'commander of the hearts' and 'moon of the Sufis' from his fellow mysties on account of his subtle mystical knowledge and his shining face. In 264/877-8 Ghulām Khalīl accused al-Nūrī of heresy before al-Muwaffaq (d. 278/891), the caliphal regent and brother of the caliph al-Mu<sup>e</sup>tamid (256-79/870-92).<sup>39</sup> Successfully responding to accusations centered on his belief in reciprocal love between God and the mystic, al-Nüri was released after an interrogation by the judge Ismā'il b. Ishāq al-Hammādī (d. 282/896) and took refuge in Raqqa for fourteen years. Three specific accusations are highlighted in the sources: his claim of reciprocal love ('I am in love with God and He with me'), his beginning to pray upon hearing the barking of a dog while cursing the muezzin ('stab and poison him!'), and his claim of meeting God in his house ('I am with God, whether I am at home or in an open field'). Al-Nürî countered each accusation with a Koranic verse: 'He loves them and they love Him' (5/54); 'Nothing is, that does not proclaim His praise' (17/44); 'We [i.e. God] are nearer to ham [i.e. man] than the jugular vein' (59/16).40 In his old age, al-Nūrī

<sup>30</sup> Ibn Kuthīr, al-Bidāya wa'l-nihāya (Berrut 1405/1984) x, 270, traces the story back to al-Sulami's Mihan al-mashā'ikh; see also R. Gramlich, 'Ahū Sulaymān ad Dārānī', Orsens ххин (1992) 22-85

<sup>31</sup> A.L. Arberry, Pages from the Kitab al-Juma' (London 1947) 6, Gramlich, Schlaglichter 551

<sup>32</sup> H Ritter, Abū Yazld al-Bisjāmi, El 1, 162-163. 13 Arberry, Pages 9: Gramheh, Schlaglichter 549

<sup>34</sup> al-Sarra, Kitāb al-luma\* 396-407, Gramhch, Schlagfichter 535-548.

<sup>35</sup> al-Sulami, Tabaqāt al-sūfivya 302.

<sup>36</sup> For Abū "Abdaliāh Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Ghālib al-Bāhālī al-Başrī, known as Ghulām Khalil, see al-Dhahahi, Siyar xiii, 282-285; Ta'rīkh al-Islām (years 261-280) 276-278 His Kitāb sharh al-sunna is extant in manuscript, see GAS i, 511, see also van Ess, Theologie und Geschichte zv. 281 8.

<sup>37</sup> Arbenty, Pages 5; Gramlich, Schlaglichter 549.

A. Schimmel, Abu'l-Husayn al-Nürī, El vin, 139-140; GAS 1, 650; al Dhahabi, Siyar xiv, 70-77; Ta2rikh al-Islām (years 291-300) 66-72; for has short treatise, Maqāmat al-qulūb, see P. Nuyia, Textes mystiques inédits 117-143; Grandich, Alte Vorbilder ii, 381 446.

al-Dhahabi, Siyar xiv, 71;

Arberry, Pages 5; Gramlich, Schlaglichter 549

returned to Baghdad, weak and partially blind, and met with the Sufis forming al Junayd's circle in the Shunüziyya Mosque. He died either in the ruins outside Baghdad or after lying unnoticed for days in the corner of a mosque, or by another account, of self-inflicted wounds received while running barefoot into a freshly cut reedbed in

a moment of ecstasy.41

Two other decisive instances when the government was drawn into Sufi quarrels involved al Hallaj (d. 309/922), who was convicted after a public trial and condemned to an excruciating death,42 and Abu'l- Abbās Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Adami, known as Ibn Atā' (d. 309/922), a renowned Hanbalt Suf of Baghdad. Ibn 'Atā' was at first a companion of al-Junayd (d. 297-8/910-11) and then became a close friend of al-Hallaj and a rival of Abū Muhammad al-Jurayrī (d. 311/924), al-Junayd's successor as leader of the Sufi circle of Baghdad.43 Especially known for his jealous love of God, Ibn "Atā" was highly respected among the Sufis for his subtle interpretation of the Koran and his deep mystical union with God. He is further said to have lost his wife, his ten sons and all his possessions under violent circumstances, perhaps when their caravan was ambushed on a pilgrimage to Mecca.44 Possibly against the background of such painful experiences, Ibn 'Atā' engaged in intra-Sufi controversies, maintaining, against al-Junayd, that ecstasy was caused by sorrow rather than joy, and asserting, against al-Junayd and al-Jurayri, that wealth held pride of place over poverty as a Sufi ideal.45 While such heated altercations earned him the curse of al-Junayd, his public rebuke of the vizier Hamid b. al- Abbas (d. 311/924) (not Alî b. Isa as al-Sarraj says), possibly in defense of statements by al-Haliaj, so enraged the vizier that he had the outspoken mystic brutally beaten to death.46

Two other examples, which indicate intense conflict within Suficircles and illustrate the link between Sufi polemics and politics, are the earlier cases of Dhū'l-Nūn al-Miṣrī and Abū Sa'īd al-Kharraz.

41 al-Sarra, Kitāb al-liana: 210, 290; Grambeh, Schloghehter 324, 418.

44 al-Ansārī, Tabaqāt al-sūfīyya, ed. 'Abd al-Hayy-i Ḥabībi (Kabul 1340) 295, 'Attār, Tadhkıra n. 68.

Dhū'l Nun (d. at Jīza between 245/859 and 248/862) lived mainly in Lower-Egypt (Misr). He visited Mecca and traveled extensively in Palestine and Syria, becoming familiar with yrian asceticism,47 His most influential contributions to Sufism remain his teaching on ecstasy (wajd) and gnosis (ma'rifa), and his description of the soul's journey to God along a path of stages and states, frequently called the 'seven steps' of the Sufi path. He defined the Sufi gnostics ('arifun) as those who exist in God and contemplate His Face within their hearts, so that He reveals Himself to them in a way not accorded to others. Although Dhū'l-Nūn's notion of ma'rifa seems to reflect his own mystical experience of inner religious knowlege of God, later Persian mystics tended to view him as an early Muslim exponent of a Hellenistic theory of gnosis.48 During his lifetime he met with opposition from the Muctazila and from the Maliki jurists of Egypt, among them 'Abd Allah b. 'Abd al-Hakam (d 214/829) who condemned him for public teaching about mystical experience. The Muctazila forced him to leave Egypt in 228/843 during the mihna. He was brought to Sămarrā' to preach at the court of caliph al-Mutawakkil (232-47/847-61) and may have been imprisoned in Baghdad for a short while, presumably for maintaining the 'uncreatedness' of the Koran. After being released on al-Mutawakkil's orders, he returned to Egypt. The case of Dhū'l-Nūn illustrates the controversial nature of the knowledge the Sufis claimed to have received as intuition and inner revelation.

Abū Sa'īd al-Kharrāz (d. in Egypt in 286/899), a leading figure in the Sufi circles of Baghdad, became an outstanding exponent of classical Sufi theory and was called 'the tongue of Sufism' by his contemporaries. Born in Baghdad, al-Kharrāz studied with prominent Sufis of his time and traveled extensively, visiting the major Muslim cities of Iraq, Syria, Arabia and Egypt, as well as Jerusalem in Palestine and Qayrawān in North Africa. 49 Al-Kharrāz's life and work as a Sufi author were marked by polemics and persecution. He

47 G. Böwenng, Du'l-Nûn Meşri, Encyclopaedia Iranica vu, 572-573.

<sup>42</sup> His case has been studied extensively by L. Massignon, The Passion of al Hallaj (Princeton 1982). See also L. Massignon/L. Gardet, al-Halladj, El iii, 99-104.

<sup>43</sup> al-Sulami, Tabaqāt, ed. I. Pedersen, 260-268; ed. N. Shurayba 265-272; al-Dhahalii, Siyar xiv, 255-256, Ta²rīkh al-Islām (years 301-320) 247-248; cf. Gramlich, Abu l-Abbās b. 'Atā' Sufi und Koranausleger (Stuttgart 1995) 1 10

<sup>45</sup> al-Makki, Qit al qulüb t, 201, Gramlich, Die Nahrung der Herzen u. 74.

<sup>46</sup> Arberry, Pages 9; Gramisch, Schlaglichter 555; al-Dhahabi, Styar xiv, 256; Ta'rikh al Islām (years 301-320) 248.

<sup>48</sup> Böwering, Mystical Vision S0-54. Yahya al-Suhrawardi (d. 587/1191) dubbed the wisdom tradition of the ancient sages "the pre-eternal leaven (al-khainīra al azaliyya)" and considered his own philosophy of illumination to stem from the confluence of the two puncipal strands of this wisdom tradition, the Persian legacy (transmitted through Kaykhusraw and continued in the Sufi milieu by Bāyazīd al-Bastāmi and al-Kharaqānī) and the Greek hentage (transmitted through Hermes and integrated into Sufism by Dhū'l-Nūn and Sahl al-Tustarī).

W Madelung, Al-Kharrāz, EI IV, 1083-1084

was accused of unbelief (kufr) by the scholars of Baghdad because they judged expressions he employed in his Kitāb al sirr to be heretical. Probably in 264/878 al-Kharrāz was forced to leave his home town and take up residence in Mecca where he lived for eleven years, until his teachings led to his expulsion by the local governor During the last part of his life al-Kharrāz found refuge in Egypt where he died in 286/899. He conducted a controversial correspondence on Sufi doctrine with Ibn "Atā" and al-Nūrī, sustained a lengthy polemic with the Sufi circles of Damascus concerning the nature of the vision of God, and he wrote his Kitāb al-kashf wa'l-bayān — perhaps a refutation of al-Hakīm al-Tirmidhī's theory of sainthood (wilāya). His case clearly reveals the friction that existed within Sufi circles over the issue of the precise nature of visionary experiences.

Al-Kharraz endeavored to synthesize theories of ecstatic mysticism with the traditional teachings of Islamic religious law. He maintained that the esoteric meaning of scripture and law had to be authenticated by their literal sense and he upheld the superiority of prophetical over mystical knowledge. He grounded such Sufi practices as recollection of God (dhikr) and listening to Koran recital (samā') in the regular and proper performance of five-fold daily prayer. Al-Kharraz developed the influential mystical theory of alfanā" wa'l-baqā" (self-obliteration and subsistence in God), which became a watchword of Sufism for the apex of mystical experience, combining mystical knowledge as anamnesis of the primordial covenant (alastu bi-rabbikum) with the vision of God (ru²ya),51 Through this theory he refined the Sufi argument favoring the substitution of divine attributes for human qualities in the experience of mystical union - a view condemned as heresy by later generations but one which remained influential in Sufi circles for many centuries.

The common denominator for both the intramural rivalries between Sufi ascetics and mystics and their external conflicts with the scholars of law and religion seems to have been the claim to reciprocal love between God and the mystic, particularly when such a claim was made publicly. Once the notion of mystic insight, whether visionary experience of God or symbolically-mediated encounter with the divine presence, became a public scandal, the Sufis were con-

fronted with persecution by the populace and prosecution by the government. As long as their teachings were confined within their own circles, the Sufis managed to find ways of peaceful coexistence with both the government and society at large. As soon as they proclaimed their teachings in public, however, they came into serious conflict with the authorities, as the trial and crucifixion of al-Hallāj demonstrate most dramatically.

Subsequent to public exposure, Sufi doctrines were attacked by the scholars of law and religion and eventually labelled as heretical. As in other areas of religious disputation, the polemical presentation of Sufi doctrine for purposes of refutation tended to caricature the actual teachings and to reinforce the objections raised by the adversaries of Sufism. This process can be amply documented with regard to the tenets of a group of Sufi theologians known as the Sālimiyya, who were allied with the Mālikīs and attacked by the Hanbalis. The case of the Sālimiyya also shows in quite some detail how Sufi Koran interpretation, in this case that attributed to their minth-century forebear Sahl al-Tustari, was exploited by opponents of Sufism to formulate accusations of heresy and to draw up heresiographical lists of false doctrines. Tracing that process requires an understanding of both the mystical exegesis of Sahl al-Tustari and its subsequent enshrinement and development by the Sālimiyya.

Sahl al-Tustarī (d. in Basra in 283/896)<sup>52</sup> is remembered in later hagiographical literature for his technicolor vision of God's supreme name, written in the sky from east to west in green light,<sup>53</sup> and for his visionary journeys to Noah's ark on Mount Qāf and the city of the 'Ād, built by the Jinn in Yemen.<sup>54</sup> When the Zanj occupied Tustar for a short time in 263/877, he was summoned to the camp of the Şaffārids to cure their ailing leader, Ya'qūb b. al-Layth, who had been wounded when defeated by the caliphal regent al-Muwaffaq in 262/876.<sup>55</sup> Expelled from his home town for political or doctrinal reasons, Sahl al-Tustarī took up residence in Basra early in 263/877, though another strand of evidence in the sources would suggest that he had already settled there as early as 258/871 when the Zanj sacked the town.<sup>56</sup> In Basra, Sahl al-Tustarī was initially welcomed

<sup>50</sup> al-Kharrāz, Rasā'il al-Kharrāz, ed. Q. Sāmamā'i (Baghdad 1387/1967) 31-37

<sup>51</sup> G Böwering, 'Baqā' wa fanā'', Encyclopaedia Iranica iu, 722-724

<sup>52</sup> Böwering, Mystical Visian 43-99

<sup>53</sup> Cf. Sahl al-Tustari, Tafsir al-Que'an al-karim (Cairo 1329/1911) 17, 24

<sup>54</sup> al-Makki, Qür al-qulüb ü, 138; Gramlich, Die Nahrung der Herzen 11, 564-565

Abû Nu<sup>\*</sup>ayın, Hilyat al-awliyâ<sup>2</sup> x, 210.
 al-Makki, Qin al-quliib u, 141, Gramhch, Die Nahrung der Herzen u, 569

by Abū Da'ūd al Sijastānī (d. 275/889) but, because of his claim to be 'the proof of God' (hujjat Allāh), soon became involved in religious controversy with Abū Yaḥyā Zakariyā' al-Sāji (d. 307/909) and Abū 'Abdallāh al-Zubayrī (d. 317/929), leading Shāfī'ī scholars of the city.<sup>57</sup>

The central focus of Sahl al-Tustari's mysticism is the Sufi recollection of God (dhikr), a key practice which he put on a firm theoretical basis. According to the extant biographical sources, all his life he observed the method of recollecting God by repeating the mental prayer 'God is my witness' (Allahu shahidi') and understanding this recollection to be his daily nourishment (quit). He interpreted it expersentially as the breakthrough to God, who effects His own recollection within the mystic's heart (dhikr Allāh bi'llāh; al-dhikr bi'l-madhkūr). Anchoring the foundations of dhikr in the self-revelation of God at the primordial covenant in pre-existence (alastu birabbikum, 7/172), Sahl al-Tustari understood this practice of recollection as anamnesis. The mystic rediscovers that primeval moment of humanity before God in the inmost recesses of his soul (sirr al-nafs) when he hears Pharaoh's blasphemous proclamation of his own lordship, 'I am your Lord Most High' (anā rabbukum al-a'lā, 79/24). Listening to God, the true speaker of the Koran, the mystic paradoxically perceives the actual essence of belief flowing from Pharaoh's tongue of unbelief and remembers in his expenence the moment when God, in pre-existence, affirmed His oneness and lordship before all humanity. There is only one who can truly say, 'I am' (anā). This is God Himself, giving expression to the secret of divine lordship (sirr al-rubūbiyya) as captured by the mystic in the experience of recollection.58

Sahl al-Tustari's mystical theology is deeply embedded in Koranic exegesis, and his interpretation of Koran 7/172, in conjunction with 79/24, as well as his interpretation of 2/30 in conjunction with 53/13-18, and his interpretation of 39/42, all provided focal points of controversy involving his circle of disciples in Basra. Interpreting Koran 2/30 and 53/13-18, Sahl al-Tustari developed the idea of 'the light of Muhammad' (nür Muhammad) to designate the primal man and prototypical mystic, conceiving of Muhammad as the column of light ('amūd al-nur) standing in primordial adoration of God, the crystal which draws the divine light upon itself and projects it onto

humanity. In his psychology, Sahl al-Tustari plays on the double entendre of nafas (breath; life-breath) and nafs (soul, self) and perceives the human soul as the theater of a struggle between two antagonistic tendencies, the spiritual self (nafs al rūh) and the natural self (nafs al-tab). Interpreting Koran 39/42, Sahl al-Tustari traces the two selves to the notion of tawaffi (God's taking the souls unto Himself in death, sleep and mystic ascent) and understands each of them as subtle substances, the one luminous, the other coarse.<sup>59</sup>

There are only fragmentary source texts which illuminate Sahl al-Tustari's resolution of the central problem of Muslim theology concerning the interrelation between divine omnipotence and human responsibility. From these we can reconstruct his view that God creates both good and evil and possesses two kinds of will, divine volition (mashī'a) and creative will (irāda). Since human action is caused by divine agency. God must possess divine foreknowledge ('ilm Allah al-sabia) of it prior to its actual occurrence. God's providence, made explicit in His commands and prohibitions, parallels His guidance, as expressed in His help (ma'ūna, also termed wilāya) and protection ('isma). When man performs an action in conformity with the divine commands and prohibitions, he is granted the divine succour of God's ma'una, i.e. divinely conferred success (tawfiq). Should be commit an act in opposition to the divine commands and prohibitions, man places himself outside the divine custody and is deserted by God, who withdraws His 'isma and forsakes man (khidhlan). It is man's duty to turn to God with thanksgiving when he performs a good deed and to seek God's succour through repentance when he commits an evil deed. Whether man conforms to, or opposes, the divine commands and prohibitions, in each case the action itself comes from God, although it is carried out through man.60

Shortly after his death in Basra, Sahi al-Tustari's direct disciples split into two groups. One group chose Baghdad as the center of their activity, either joining the Sufi circle of al-Junayd or associating themselves with the Hanbalis in the city's Muhawwal quarter. The other group remained in Basra and found acceptance among the local Māhkis. It formed the nucleus of a previously-mentioned theological school, the Sālimiyya, that was organized by Abū'l Hasan Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Sālim al-Basri (d. 356/967), the son of Sahl al-

<sup>57</sup> al Sha rani, al Tabaqar al-kubra (Canto 1315/1897) 1, 67

<sup>58</sup> Böwering, Sahl al Tustari, El viii, 841.

Böwering, Mystical Vision 149-153; 244-246.
 Böwering, Mystical Vision 175-184

Tustari's life-long associate Muhammad b. Sālim. The most famous exponent of the Sālimiyya, however, was Abū Tālib al-Makkī (d. 386/996) who, in his Qut al qulūb, frequently cites Abu'l-Hasan as 'our shaykh' and Sahl al-Tustarī as 'our imām'. The Sālimiyya, which also adopted ideas propagated by Abū Hulmān al-Fārisi al Dimashqī (d. circa 340/951), became the target of a refutation (al Radd 'ala Ibn Sālim) written by the Shāfi'i (or Zahirī) Ibn Khafīf (d. 371/981).61 Possibly on the basis of this refutation, a polemical list of eighteen objectionable propositions was drawn up in Hanbalī circles by Ibn al-Farrā' (d. 458/1065) and recorded in his Mu'tamad 'Abd al-Qadir al-Jilānī (d. 561/1167) copied and rejected twelve of these propositions in his Ghunya.62 The Mu'tamad presented the teachings of the Sālimiyya in a manner guaranteed to appear heretical to those Ḥanbalīs who were intent on casting aspersions on proponents of Mālikī Sufism.

Even after a cursory glance at a few of the eighteen propositions, one realizes how much such a polemical presentation is a distortion of Sahl al-Tustari's original teachings. In the third proposition of the anti-Sālimiyya polemic, Sahl al-Tustarī's conception of the light of Muhammad is transformed into the anthropomorphic heresy 'God will be seen on the Day of Resurrection in the form of a Muhammadlike human being'. This, in turn, seemed to be reinforced by the sixth proposition: 'The unbelievers will see God in the world to come while He calls them to give account' In the thirteenth proposition Sahl al-Tustari's distinction between God's volition and His creative will is misrepresented as introducing finitude into the concept of the Creator: 'The creative will is a branch of the divine volition, and divine volition is the root of the creative will; the divine volition is eternal but the creative will is originated'. Again, this misrepresentation appears to find confirmation in the seventeenth proposition. 'God has a single divine volition, as He has a single knowledge; but He has an (act of) creative will with each expressly willed object'. The fifteenth proposition seems to challenge the concept of prophethood: 'The Prophet knew the Koran by heart prior to the (call to) prophethood and before Gabriel came to him', an assertion apparently supported by the ninth propostion: 'Gabriel comes to the Prophet without leaving his (heavenly) place', and the sixteenth: 'God

61 This text has not come down to us; see Böwering, Sahl al-Tustari, El vin, 840

(Himself) recites through the tongue of every Koran reader, when one listens to the Koran recitation of a reader, one hears it from God'. 63

In addition to such polemical distortion, charges of heresy against Sufis took the form of direct attacks on Sufi Koranic commentary. A particularly virulent and enduring line of attack emerged from the increasing Sunni-Shii polarity In this regard, some of the harshest criticism was reserved for Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Sulamī (d 412/1021) who dared to integrate a considerable body of Shīcī material into his two Sufi Koran commentaries and thereby aroused the opposition of orthodox scholars. Already during al-Sulami's lifetime, Muhammad b. Yüsuf al-Qattan (d. 422/1031) disparaged him as an unreliable fabricator.64 Al-Wāhidī (d. 468/1076) likened the Haqā'ia al-tafsir to unbelief (kufr)65 and Ibn al-Jawzi (d. 597/1200) attacked it in his Talbīs Iblīs.66 Later authors such as Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328) in his Fatāwā issued highly critical judgements against the work.67 Al-Dhahabī (d. 748/1348) doubted even whether al-Sulami ought to be credited with the authorship of the Haqā'iq altafsir, since in al-Dhahabi's opinion the work was a compendium of distortion and heresy (tahrif wa-garmata).68

In fact, al-Sulami collected hundreds of glosses on the authority of Ja'far al-Ṣādiq (d. 148/765) in his Koran commentaries. The material derives from different sources, though much of it is explicitly quoted on the authority of two isnāds. One isnād is traced back through Abū Naṣr Manṣūr b. "Abd Aliāh al-Iṣbahānī, Abū'l-Qāsim al-Iṣkandarānī and Abū Ja'far al-Malatī to the chain of the Shī'ī imāms ascending from 'Alī al-Ridā (d. 203/818) through Mūsā al-Kāzim (d. 183/799) to Ja'far al-Ṣādiq. The other isnād links al-Sulamī to the same Shī'ī line of imāms through Ahmad b. Naṣr al-Dhāri', "Abdallāh b. Ahmad b. "Āmir and his father. Though al-Dāraqutnī (d. 385/995), Ibn al-Jawzī and al-Dhahabī consider this latter chain totally unreliable, they substantiate a written source (nuskha) and well-known volume (juz' mashhūr) as the basis for much of the same material at-

<sup>62</sup> Ibn a. Farrā", al-Mu'tamad fi uṣūl al-dīn (Benut 1974) 217-221; "Abd al-Qādir al-Jilāni, al-Ghunya tı-tālibī jarīq al-haqq (Cano 1322) 1, 106-107

<sup>63</sup> Böwenng, Mystical Vision 94-95.

<sup>64</sup> al-Dhahabi, Tadhkirat al-huffdz (Hyderabad 1958) 1046.

<sup>65</sup> al-Khatib al-Baghdādī, Ta'rīkh Baghdād (Camo 1931) ii, 248

<sup>66</sup> Ibn al Jawzi, Talbis Iblix (Cairo 1340/1922) 354.

<sup>67</sup> Ibn Taymryya, Majmil\* fatöwä zhaykh al-Islām (Beuvit 1328/1910) x, 367, 681, xi, 41 43, 578, 581, xii, 240, 242-244, xviii, 72; 35, 184

<sup>68</sup> al-Dhahabi, Srwar xvii, 255; Ta'rīkh al-Islām (years 401-420) 307

tributed to Jacfar al-Şādıq.69

Al-Dhahabi further states that there were three versions of the family tradition transmitted by "Alī al Ridā and attributed to Jacfar al-Sādiq, one of which was 'a big volume' (nuskha kabīra) in the possession of Ahmad b. "Amir al-Ta"i. This information is confirmed by al Najāshī (d. 450/1058) who read the volume (nuskha) with his teacher and received an authorization (tjāza) to transmit it. The second version was in the hands of "Alī b. Mahdī al-Raqqī, a source with which al-Sulami became familiar at Kufa as transmitted by Muhammad b. "Abdallāh b. al-Muttalib al-Shaybani (297-387/910-97). The third version was in the possession of Dā°ūd b. Sulayman al-Jurjani who is cited in the local history of Qazwin as a transmitter of Shioi material on the authority of "Alf al-Rida, who spent time in Qazwin hiding from his enemies. Though not directly germane to my argument, it is worth noting that the polemical attitude of al-Dhahabi and others toward the Shifi tradition induced the polemicists to record the existence of this line of transmission and to establish evidence indicating the appropriation of the Shi<sup>c</sup>i tradition by Sunni Sufism in Khurasan in the fourth/tenth century.70

The opponents of Sufism, and the Hanbalis in particular, recognized the power of Sufi Koran interpretation and combatted it with polemical invective that tended both to misconstrue the actual teachings of the Sufis and to vilify their doctrines. These adversaries perceived the inner strength of the Sufi hermeneutics, an interpretive perspective based on direct access to a deeper level of meaning enshrined in the Koran, generally termed batin, the 'inner sense' of the Koran. They also detected the strong link that mutually bound Sufis and Shīcis in their employment of a bāṭin method of Koranic interpretation. Discounting the genealogical limitations through which the Shī°is restricted the validity of the bātin to authentic statements of the imams, the Sufis anchored themselves in the conviction that God continued to communicate directly with his mystical elect in the time after the Prophet. They discovered the foundations of their election in tawba, their total and unconditional turning to God, a movement accepted and rewarded by unequivocal divine selfrevelation. They remained anchored to this foundation in the face of conflict, persecution and accusations of heresy, from both within their own circles and beyond, by continuing to elicit from the Koran a level of meaning that unearthed treasures of insight. Despite their attempts throughout history to appease the scholars of law and religion by presenting themselves as law-abiding and tradition-respecting Muslims, the Sufis continued to challenge a rigid understanding of the unimpeachable transcendence of God and the finality of Muhammad's prophethood. This challenge was perennially reinforced both by their inner conversion and their belief in the importance of continually sending deep resonant probes into the inexhaustible text of the Koran.

## APPENDIX

#### A note on t-w-b in the Koran

The various derivatives of the stem *t-w-b* are cited 87 times in the Koran (63 times in Medinan, and 21 times in later Meccan verses) and their earliest occurrence falls in the second Meccan period with reference to God's turning to Adam in forgiveness. <sup>71</sup> Besides its general use in absolute construction, the root displays a characteristic usage in the Koran when joined with the prepositions ild and fald. Used with ild, it denotes man's turning to God in repentance, used with fald, it signifies God's turning to man in forgiveness. <sup>72</sup> Allah in *tawwdb rahlin*, most forgiving and merciful. <sup>73</sup> Though God is always ready to accept repentance. <sup>74</sup> from both then and women, <sup>75</sup> the Koran does not approve of deathbed repentance. <sup>76</sup> The Koran includes a telling example of God's forgiveness in answer to the repentance of the prophet David <sup>77</sup> and records Moses's outery, "I repent to You", for having requested to look upon God <sup>78</sup> Honoring Moses's words of repentance, a Meccan sura of the Koran cites the formula, 'I repent unto You and am of the Muslims', as the expression of a polytheist's conversion to Islam. <sup>79</sup> Just as Moses was thrown to the ground before the divine manifestation, so the convert accepts Islam in total surrender to God.

<sup>69</sup> G. Böwenng, 'The Major Sources of Sulami's Minor Koran Commentary', Oriens xxxv (1996) 35-56.

<sup>76</sup> Böwering, 'Major Sources' 52 56.

<sup>71</sup> Koran 2/37.

<sup>72</sup> Derivatives of the root frequently appear in such 9 which bears the title al-tawba. For a complete list of references for the stem t-w-b and its derivatives in the Koran, see Muhammad Fu'ād "Abd al-Bāqi, al-Mu'jam al-mufakras fi alfāz al-Qur'ān al-karim (Istanbul 1982) 156-158.

<sup>73</sup> Koran 110/3 (tawwäb); 2/37, 54, 128, 160; 9/104, 118; 49/12; 4/16, 64 (tawwäb rahīm); 24/10 (tawwäb hakīm); cf. the frequent parallel passage ghafūr rahīm, 2/173 et passim.

<sup>74</sup> Koran 40/3 (qābil al-tawb); cf. 42/25, 9/104.

<sup>75</sup> Koran 9/112 (al-tā'ibūn), 66/5 (al-tā'ibāt), 2/222 (al-tawwābīn).

<sup>76</sup> Koran 4/18.

<sup>77</sup> Koran 38/24-25 (anāba wa-ghafarnā lahu).

<sup>78</sup> Koran 7/143 (tubtu dayka).

<sup>79</sup> Koran 46/15 (tubtu ilayka wa-inti min al-muslunin)

Reflecting the awareness that repentance is a life-long practice and an abiding attitude of the Muslim, the hapax legomenon of a Medinan surah assues the highly intensive command, 'Behevers, turn to God in sincere repentance, 80 Sincere repentance for sins committed through ignorance 81 is preceded by asking forgiveness 82 and followed by works of faith 83 in the Koran repentance is necessary for a variety of transgressions such as idolatry, hypocusy, doubting revelation, persecuting Muslims, lending money at interest and slandering virtuous women 84 Repentance is especially required of the Mediaan turncoats (al-munifique) who publicly accept Muhammad's authority yet secretly undermine it,85 and imposed on those who have resisted Muhammad's cause by the force of arms, 86 Though the Koran leaves no room for repentance from apostasy, 87 it opens a loophole for an apostate's recantation 88 This mitigation accords with the general tenor of Koranic repentance, encapsulated in the succinct statements, 'Whoever repents after his evildoing and makes amends, God will turn toward him', 39 and, 'God will turn only toward those who do evil in agnorance and then repent without delay',90 The two-sided prepositional construction of the root, denoting God's act of restoring man to divine mercy and man's act of turning wholcheartedly to God in repentance, signifies a genume conversion, a mutual turning of Creator and creature to one another. Both the origin and the terminus of repentance is God. The initiative for tawba is with God, because 'His turns toward them, that they might repent 1,91 at becomes complete only when repentance as accepted by God, thus reintroducing the creature into the full compact and coverant with Him.92

81 Koran 6/54, 16/120.

91 Koran 9:118 (tāba "alayhum li-yatūbū); cf. Muhyī al-Din lbn ul-"Acabi, al-Futūbāt almakkeyva (Carro 1329) tt. 144

Three assues related to the notion of tawbo that are not treated in the preceeding discussion art: (1) the linguistic question of why Arabic usage did not follow the laws of Semrite soundshift when it adopted t-w-b with regard to its Syriac/Aramaic and Hebrew equivalents;93 (2) the historical phenomena of the Shi's Arab tanwabia, who sacrificed their lives for the familie of the community to fulfil its obligation to Husayn, the Prophet's grandson, and of the Sunni Arab tawwaban, mentioned by al-Mas'udi as a detachment of police who were recrusted from a group of former thieves who had repeated of their past misbehavior; 94 and (3) the theological discussion of the Muctazzla about tawba, ranging from its origins in the Kitāb al-tawba ascribed to Waşil b. "Atā" (d. 131/748) 95 to the disquisitions in the Mughni of Qadi "Abd ni-Jabbar (d. 415/1025)96 including the illustrations found in the Klitab al-tawba of Ibn Abi'l-Dunyā (d. 281/894)97 and the Hanbali treatise Kitāb al-tawwāblis of Ibn Oudama (d. 620/1223) 98

creature's turning to God in repentance without, however, amplying the reciprocity included in the use of t-w-b. Rather, as shown by the example of David, 38/24-25, derivatives of the frequently cited stem gh-f-r in the Koran (see 'Abd al-Bagl, al-Mu' jam al-mufahras 499-503), denoting God's forgiveness, express the Creator's turning to the creature, thereby establishing the divine response to the human act of repentance.

93 Hebrew teshübüle, Aramaic tethübüh.

95 For the Kitab al-tawba ascribed to Wasil b. "Ata", see GAS i, 596, van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft v. 137.

96 "Abd al-Jabbar b. Ahmad al-Asadabādi, al-Mughni fi awāb al-tawhīd wa'l 'adt (Cairo 1960-65) xiv (1385/1965) 311-461

97 For the Kitāb al-tawba of Iba Abi'l-Dunyā, 'Abdallāh b. Muhammad al-Qurashi, see A.J. Arberry, 'Ibn Abı'l-Dunyā on Penstence', Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (1951) 48-63; cf. GAL 1, 153-154, S 1, 247-248, Reinhard Weipert und Stefan Weininger, 'Die erhaltenen Werke des Ibu Abi d Donya', Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft extvi (1996) 449.

98 Ibn Qudāma, Kitāb al-tawwābīn, ed. G. Makdisi (Damascus 1961).

<sup>80</sup> Koran 66/8 (tawbatan nasûhan).

<sup>82</sup> Koran 11/3, 52, 61, 90. The prophet Sälth's admonition of his people, the Thamud, to ask forgiveness and repent of their idolatry (11/52, fa'staghfirühn thumma tübü ilayhi) may reflect a pre-felamic ritual of repentance, requiring intercession and a gesture of remorse before one receives pardon

<sup>83</sup> Koran 25/70-71

<sup>84</sup> E.g., Koran 9/3; 4/146, 9/126; 85/10; 2/279; 24/5.

<sup>85</sup> Koran 4/145-146.

<sup>86</sup> Koran 5/33-34.

<sup>87</sup> Koran 5/90 (lan tuqbala tawbatuhum).

<sup>88</sup> Korno 9/74 (fa-in yatübû yaku khayran)

Koran 5/39 (fa-man tāba min ba'di zulmihi wa-aslaha fu-unna Allāha yatābu \*alayhi).

<sup>90</sup> Koran 4/17 (innamā al-tawbatu 'alā'llāhi li'l-ladhina ya'malūna al-sū'a bi-jahālatin thumma yatübüna min qarīb)

<sup>92</sup> The Koran employs the root n-d-m nine times to denote either the act of remorse and regret (al-nidâma) or to express a person's attitude of feeling vexed at having done (or hidden) an evil deed. Thus, after killing his brother Cam became one of those who repeat (al-nadimin. 5/31) The use of al-nidāma and al-nādīmin, however, neutier includes a person's explicit act of turning to God nor connotes the reciprocity found in the root t-w-b which entails the mutual turning of Creator and creature to one another (for references to the stem n-d-m in the Koran, see 'Abd al-Băqi, al-Mu'yam al-mufahras 691). On the other hand, the derivatives of the root n w.b. used 19 times in the Koran (see 'Abd al-Baqi, al-Mu'jam al-naifahras 722), stress the

<sup>94</sup> Though the term towwaban has a Koranic origin (2/222), it is used with particular connotations in the historical sources; cf. al-Tabad, Ta'rikh al-rusul wa l-mulūk (Cairo 1387/1967) v. 551-563; Ibn Kathir, Al-bidāya wa I-nihāya vin, 250-253; 254-259; al-Mas ûdî, Murity al-dhahab, ed. B. de Meynard (Pans 1877) v. 217 223.

## THE MU'TAZILA AND SUFISM

### FLORIAN SOBIEROJ

Contrary to all appearances, Sufism and the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila share common roots. Al-Hasan al-Basri (d. 110/728), considered one of the founding fathers of Sufism, is known to have been the teacher of Wāṣil b. 'Aṭā', who is associated with the origins of the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila. Although the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila were not the major recipients of Hasan's religious legacy — ascetico-mystical ideas (ikhlās, 'ishq) flourished in particular in the circle of Hasan's student 'Abd al-Wāḥid b. Zayd and the Bakriyya<sup>2</sup> — nevertheless there were some Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila who were the woolen Sufi frock and manifested ascetic traits.

When, in the wake of the fall of the Barmakids (187/803), the ahi al-hadīth gained influence over Hārūn al-Rashīd, the Mu'tazilī poet Abū 'Amr Kulthūm al-'Atṭābī (d. probabiy 208/823) who sought the favour of the caliph began to 'wear wool (yalbis al-sūf) and displayed asceticism'. As Sufi tendencies increased yet further, an Iranian preacher of Mu'tazilī persuasion, Abū'l-Sarī Manṣūr b. 'Ammār al-Sulamī, enjoyed an even greater popularity than Kulthūm. Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Sulamī has included him in his collection of Sufī lives. 5

In addition to these developments, the occurrence of the civil war between al-Amin and his brother al-Ma<sup>3</sup>mūn brought about a certain

modification of Muctazili theology in the direction of Sufism 6 The protagonist in this process was the founder of the Baghdadi school of the Muctazila, Abū Sahl Bishr b. al-Muctamir (d. 210/825) Among his students Ibn al-Mu<sup>e</sup>tamir attracted certain people who are designated in a Muctazili text7 as sufiyyat al-muctazila. One of these Muctazilî Sufis was Abū 'Imran al-Raqāshı, Abū'l-Qāsim al-Balkhī8 who declared paid labour forbidden and considered the Islamic community to be a 'house of disbelief'. The prohibition of paid labour, tahrım al-makāsıb, was known in Sufism as a manifestation of extreme trust in God as preached by the Khurasanian ascetic Shaqiq al-Balkhi (d. 194/809-10). Certam of these Sufis also eschewed the pursuit of kash on the grounds of religious scrupulosity (wara'), since they considered everything in the dar al-islam to be corrupt and illegitimate. Thus, they viewed the gains of their mendicant activity as equal to carrion which is permissible for the Muslim in an emergency situation.9 Some of the sūfiyyat al-mu'tazila held anthropomorphic beliefs. An example is Abū Shucayb al-Nāsik, who is referred to as 'one of the old Muctazila' by al-Janiz and as a suft by al-Ashcari,10 He believed that God shows emotion; that He becomes glad or angry depending on the behaviour of man. The image of God thus constructed was far removed from that of the 'orthodox' Muctazila.

The sūfiyyat al-mu'tazila denied the legitimacy of political authority by arguing that as long as men knew the laws and kept them, a ruler was superfluous. 11 Besides Abū 'Imrān, Pseudo-Nāshi' also mentions Faḍl al-Ḥadathi, a student of al-Nazzām, 12 as a member of this group.

Ibn Khābit, a Mu<sup>c</sup>tazılî of Baghdad (d. between 227/842 and 232/847), who is often mentioned along with Fadl al-Hadathī, generally subscribed to the theological views of their teacher al-

<sup>1</sup> Cf Abū Tālib el-Makki, Qūr al-quiāb 'Al-Ḥasan was the first who revealed the path of this science' (cf Richard Grantich, Die Nahrung der Herzen. Abū Tālib al-Makkūs Qūr al-qulūb, eingeleitet, übersetzt und kommentiert, i-iv (Stuttgart 1992) i, 14. See also 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Sha'rāni, al Tabaqūt al-kubrā (Cairo n.d.) i, 25.

The Bakriyya were followers of Bakr b. Ukht 'Abd al-Wähid b. Znyd, the nephew of 'Abd al-Wähid, see Josef van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2. und 3. Jh. Hidschra. Eine Geschichte des religiösen Denkens im frühen Islam, i-vi (Berlin-New York 1991 7) ii, 108-118 The Mu'tazali leader Bishr b. al-Mu'tazali disputed with Bakr (van Ess, op. cit. ii, 109).

<sup>3</sup> Al Khatib al-Baghdādi, To<sup>2</sup>rīkh Baghdād, 1-14 (Cauxo 1349/1931) xii, 488; van Ess, op. cit. n, 100 f.)

<sup>4</sup> Ibid. m. 102 ff

<sup>5</sup> Abū 'Abd aı-Raḥmān al-Sulami, Tabaqāt al-ṣūfiyya, ed. Nūr al-Dīn Shurayba (Carro 1372/1953) 130-6, no. 17

<sup>6</sup> Van Ess, op. c₁t. ம்i, 106 f

<sup>7</sup> The author (Pseudo-Näsha') of this text, who wrote shortly before 236/850-1, is possibly identical with Ja'far b. Harb. For the Arabic text on the sūfiyyat al-mu'tazila see Josef van Ess, Frühe mu'tazilitische Häresiographie. Zwei Werke des Näši' al-Akbar (W.esbaden 1971), Arabic part.

<sup>8</sup> Al-Ḥākum al-Jushami, Fadi al-i'tizāl wa-tabaqāt al-mu'tazila, in Abū'l-Qāsım al-Balkhi, Qādi 'Abd al-Jabbār, al-Ḥākum al-Jushami, ed. Fu'ād al-Sayyid (Tums 1974) 284, 4, van Ess, op. cat. iii, 131.

<sup>9</sup> Ibid. iii, 133.

<sup>0</sup> Ibid. iit., 143.

Pseudo-Näsha<sup>3</sup>, translated in van Ess, op. cit. v. 329 f., text xvai, 1

<sup>12</sup> Van Ess, op. cit. sii, 132, 436.

Nazzam. However, Ibn Khābit and Fadl believed in the transmigration of souls. <sup>13</sup> Fadl, advocating an even more extreme form of tanasukh than Ibn Khābit, believed that the souls of the damned could be reincarnated not only in animals but even in plants and stones <sup>14</sup> Fadl and Ibn Khābit, who of course were excommunicated by the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila, also shared the belief that Jesus was 'logos', having been created as the first being and standing between the world and God. They viewed Jesus as their exemplary model rather than Muhammad whom they allegedly criticized for his numerous marnages. <sup>15</sup> According to them, Jesus directs the world, as a temporal, anthropomorphic deity, a demiurge or a second God of the creation. <sup>16</sup>

However, as the Mu<sup>o</sup>tazila and the ahl al-sunna wa'l-jamā'a developed into separate denominations, with the Sufis by and large being members of the Sunni community, their common heritage was soon lost sight of. Traces of a corps of Sufis within the Mu<sup>o</sup>tazila cease to be discernible. The Sufis criticized the Mu<sup>o</sup>tazila for their disagreement with Sunni doctrine. As a result, the Sufis experienced the repercussions of their criticism just as any other Sunni Muslim might, but at the same time they were also criticized for some of the distinctive views they held as Sufis.

One of the centers of Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili power at the time when Sufism first made its appearance as a distinct religious movement was Baghdad. While the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili theologians had ailted themselves with the Abbasid caliphs since al-Ma<sup>o</sup>mūn, the Sufi community of Baghdad was grouped around men like Bishr b. al-Hārith al-Hāfi, a former hadīth-scholar. 17 Bishr, of Khurasaman origin, lived well into the

period of the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili Inquisition, which lasted from about 218/833 to 237/851, i.e. for almost twenty years. Al-Ma<sup>3</sup>mūn and his two immediate successors used the inquisition, known as the miḥna, to impose the view that the Koran was a 'created' work. Bishr's seeming aloofness when Aḥmad b Hanbal was brought before the Inquisition exemplifies the lack of Sufi opposition to the Mu'tazila in the early third/mith century.<sup>18</sup>

Before the mihna many had regarded Bishr, by virtue of his piety (wara') and asceticism (zuhd), as superior to Ibn Hanbal. However, during the ordeal of the Mu'tazili Inquisition Ibn Hanbal emerged as the upholder of the Sunni faith and came to be seen as far excelling Bishr. Even Jāmī, the well-known later Sufi author, admits this: 'He (Bishr) was regarded as greater than Ahmad-i Hanbal until, when the fitna of declaring the Koran created occurred, he stayed at home and Ahmad stepped forward'. 19

Both Sufi<sup>20</sup> and non-Sufi authors relate how Bishr was scolded for his passivity when he was challenged to go out and assist Ibn Hanbal (qum bi-nā nanṣur hādhā'l-rajul).<sup>21</sup> Bishr contented himself with praising Aḥmad for his allegedly unflinching stance on the Koranic issue: His nephew 'Alī b. Khashram, later one of the teachers of al-Junayd, 'Abd al-Qādir b. Badrān al-Dāmī al-Dīmashqī<sup>22</sup> asked him. 'Abū Naṣr, why did you not go out to speak on behalf of religion and the ahl al-sunna?' Bishr is said to have replied: 'Do you expect from me the rank of the prophets? My body does not possess the strength for this. God protected Aḥmad from the front and from the back...'<sup>23</sup> Or according to another tradition: 'Ibn Ḥanbal was placed inside the furnace and he emerged from it as red gold'.<sup>24</sup> In private Bishr

<sup>13</sup> Tanāsukh, van Ess, op. cit. iii, 435

<sup>14</sup> Ibid in 436.

<sup>15</sup> Ibid in, 437

<sup>16</sup> Ibid. att. 438.

<sup>17</sup> Bishr was born near Marw in 150/767 or 152/769 and he died 226/840 or 227/841-2 in Baghdad. He was regarded as an accomplished mystic by contemporary Sufis such as Yahyā al-Jaliā' and by later ones like Ibn Khafif [Rukn al-Dîn Yahyā b, Junayd-ı Shirāzī, Sīrat al-shaykh al-kabir Abū 'Abd Allāh Ibn al-Khafif al-Shirāzī, ed. A. Schimmel (Ankara 1955) 121 f., 1271 Yahyā al-Shirāzī compared Bishr with Dhō'l-Nān and Sahi al-Tustarī [Abū Naṣr al-Sarrāj, Al-luma' fi l-tasawmaf, ed. R.A. Nicholson (London-Leiden 1914)] 184, 22. For Bishr see al-Khafib al-Baghdādī, Ta'rīkh vii, 67-80; Abū'l-Faraj 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ibn al-Jawzī, Manāqib Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, ed. Muḥammad Anūn al-Khanjī (Cairo 1349/1930) 116-120; Shams al-Din Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Dhahabā, Siyar a'lām al-nabalā', ed. Shu'ayb Ama'ūt et al. 1-xxiìi (Beirut 1401-5/1981-5) x, 469-77; El, s. v.

In a work by Ibn Khafif of Shiraz, a Sufi who fived in the fourth/tenth century (d 371/982), Bishr is depicted as not responding to the efforts of the non Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili caliph al-Mu<sup>c</sup>tadid (ruled 892-902) to gain his favours — a story which rannot be true for chronological reasons; cf. Muhammad b. Khafif, Kitāb al-iqtisād in F. Sobieroj, ibn Hafif aš-Šīrāzī und seine Schrift zur Novizenerziehung (Kitāb al-Iqtisād). Biographische Studien, Edition und Übersetzung (forthcoming) fast 47

<sup>19 &</sup>quot;Abd al-Rahman ı Jami, Nafahāt al-uns min hadarāt al-quds, ed. Tawhidipür (Teheran 1336) 48.

<sup>20 &</sup>quot;Abd Alläh-i Ansani, Tabaqūt ul-sūfivya, ed. Muhammad-i Sarwar-i Mawlā"i (Teheran 1362) 85.

<sup>21</sup> lbg al-Jawzī , Manāqib 119

<sup>22</sup> Cf. al-Sulamī in "Abd al-Qādir b. Badrān al-Dūmā al-Dīmashqi, *Tahdhīb Ta³rīkh b.* "Asākīr, vii vols. (Damaskus 1329-51) ni, 229

<sup>23</sup> Ibu al-Jawzi, Manāqib 118.

<sup>24</sup> al-Dhahabi, Siyar xi, 197 Al-Dhahabi has the following variant 'When Ahmad was

expressed his full agreement with Ahmad's stance on the Koranic Issue. "Abd Allāh, a son of Ibn Ḥanbal, relates that when his father was beaten, Bishr was told by his students: 'If only you had gone forth', and he said: 'I support what Ahmad says' (innī 'ala qawl Ahmad) 25

Another way Bishr expressed his support for Ibn Hanbal's standpoint was by reproaching companions of the latter - and of his own - who had given up their resistance to acknowledging the Muctazili dogma of khala al-auran. Of the religious dignitaries who surrendered under duress it was Abū Nasr al-Tammar26 and three other emment hadith-scholars whose defection, we are told, had distressed Ibn Hanbal the most. Ibn Hanbal declared that henceforth, hadith should not be copied from al-Tammar, a transmitter from Malık. A nephew of Bishr, Abil Hafs, reports that his uncle asked him about 'news of Abū Naṣr' (al-Tammār), on the day when the latter was summoned to the palace of Ishaq b. Ibrahim, the amir of Baghdad. Abū Hafs is quoted as replying that al-Tammar 'answered' (i.e. that he acknowledged the dogma) and that Bishr had him repeat this a number of times, until Bishr exclaimed: 'How beautiful this beard would be if it were coloured — i.e. with blood — and if he had not answered until he was killed'.27 Tradition also has it that Bishr expressed regret for having allegedly been incapable of assisting Ahmad in his 'ordeal'28 and that he envied Ibn Hanbal for the staunchness he is reported to have shown in his defense of the Sunni

taken out to be flogged, they went to Bishr b. al-Härith saying: "It is incumbent upon you that you speak." He said "Is it that you want me to adopt the stand of the prophets? I am not up to it."" (taysa thā 'tudī'), tbid. xi, 254

25 Ibn al-Jawzi, Mandqib 118. That Bishr defended Ibn Hanbal for the latter's view on the nature of the Koran is also implied in a report included in al-Dhahabi's biography of Bishr (Styar x, 473 f): "A student of Bishr said to "Abd al-Rahmān b. Abi Hātim: "Someone reported to Bishr while I was present: "It was said to that man — and he meant Ahmad b. Hanbal "Is God not sternal and everything beside him is created?" And Bishr did not leave this man alone, discoursing (yatakallam) until he said. "No, everything is created except the Koran""

26 Abū Naṣr al-Tammār as well is known to have associated with Bishr He reports (fbn al-Jawzi, Manāqib 117) that Bishr came to him during the days of the miḥna when Aḥmad was flogged and said. 'Abū Naṣr, this man today performs a work of which men at large are incapable ('ajaza 'anhu 'I-khalq)'

27 Cf. 1bid 386, and the following variant. Would that their heads were tinged with their blood and that they had not answered (al-Dhahabi, Siyar xi, 323).

28 Abū'l-Haytham, the 'worshipper' (al-'ābid), reports that a man came to Bishr informing him that by that moment Ahmad had already been flogged seventeen times. Bishr extended his leg and looking down at his feet, exclaimed: 'How agly is this foot since it does not bear a chain for having supported this man' (fibrial Jawzi, Manāqib 119).

doctrine on the Koran.29

Whereas on the whole the Sufis apparently did not show any noteworthy opposition to the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili-backed regime in Baghdad, or, for that matter, any active solidarity with Ibn Hanbal who was perceived as the standard bearer of Sunni doctrine, they soon began, possibly by way of compensation, to honour and glorify Ibn Hanbal. Thus, on the authority of Bishr, the Sufi author Abū'li-Hasan 'Alī b. Jahdam (d. 414/1023) transmits a lengthy description by Sufyān al-Thawrī of the virtues of the fatā, i.e. the chivalrous young man. Bishr concludes the quotation of Sufyān by designating Ibn Hanbal as a man in whom all the characteristics of chivalry (futuwwa) were united. Yahyā al-Jallā', who was a disciple of Bishr and a leading Syrian Sufi shaykh, I acknowledged the spiritual superiority of Ibn Hanbal over his Sufi companions, Yahyā al-Jallā' 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Warrāq' as emerges from a report with an usnād of Abū Nu'aym, recorded by Ibn al-Jawzī.

By means of this hagiographical activity, Ibn Hanbal is finally elevated to the rank of one of the guardian saints of Baghdad. Alsulami mentions Ibn Hanbal among the four awliyā' whose tombs in Baghdad were believed to guard its population against affliction (hum hisn lahum 'an kull balāyā). The other saints are Ma'rūf al-Karkhī, Bishr al-Ḥāfī, and Manṣūr b. 'Ammār.'33

The Sufis also transmitted statements of Ibn Hanbal reflecting an awareness of the crucial importance of his stance on the Koranic issue, 34 as well as sayings ascribed to him concerning renunciation

<sup>29</sup> Cf. ibid. 119 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Ibid, 120

<sup>31</sup> al-Sarrāj (al-Luma\* 184, 22) mistakenty claims that Yahyā's son Abū 'Abd Allāh (d. 306/918) was the disciple of Bishr (the chronological error is pointed out by al-Sulami in Ibn Badrān, Tahdhib iii, 233).

<sup>32</sup> A certain Abū Hafs of Tarsus relates (Ibn al-Jawzi, Monāqib 196 f.) that he and Yahyā al Jallā<sup>3</sup> 'who was sand to be one of the abdāl' went to Ibn Hanbal and asked hum what it is that softens the heart (bi-mā talin al-qulāb). Ibn Hanbal replied 'My son, it is through eating titually pure food' The visitors then put the same question to Bishr and a certain 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Warrāq. They both intended to quote Koran 13/28 ('Do not the hearts come to rest through the remembrance of God?') but upon bearing ibn Hanbal's answer, they admitted that his reply was the best: 'He has expressed the essential (jā'ā bi'l-asi'jā'āka bi'l-jūwhar). The answers Bishr and 'Abd al-Wahhāb gave stressing activities of the heart rather than matters of ritual, distinguish these men as members of a religious group separate from Ibn Hanbal.

<sup>33</sup> Ibn al-Jawzi, Manāgib 145.

<sup>34</sup> Ahmad b. Abi'l-Hawari, a Syrian Sufi, relates a tradition concerning Ibn Hanbal's milina; 'Since I became embroiled in this matter, I have not heard a more powerful word than

(zuhd). In addition, Sufi stories about Ibn Hanbal's heavenly rewards, narrated in the form of dreams, are numerous. One example is a story related by Ibn Khafif, in which Ibn Hanbal appears to one of his followers in a dream. Ibn Hanbal struts with the gait of 'the servants of Paradise' and he is quoted as saying that God adorned him with a crown and dressed him with golden shoes for having declared: 'The Koran is My [God's] speech'.35

Another story describes how "Ali b. al-Muwaffaq (d. 265/878-9), a Sufi from Baghdad, had a vision of Paradise. Here he sees Ibn Hanbal being honoured along with two other Sufis of Baghdad, Bishr and Ma"rūf al-Karkhī. Ibn Ḥanbal stands at the gate of Paradise and is ordered to look at the faces of the people of the Sunna and to decide whom to admit. Bishr, for his part, is seated at a table-cloth, served by two angels with food and drink, as a reward for having departed from this world hungry and thirsty. Ma"rūf is situated at the center of the Garden. His eyes are fixed on the Throne and he gazes at the Lord, this being his reward for having served God while longing to behold Him. 36

A nephew of Ma'rūf al-Karkhī tells how one time when he was asleep during the period of the milina, Moses and Jesus appeared before him. They joined Ibn Hanbal, the bearers of God's Throne and all the (other) angels, in declaring that the Koran was 'the uncreated word of God'.<sup>37</sup> In their dreams the Sufis also saw Ibn

that of a bedougn who spoke to one at Rahbat Tawq (on the Euphraies). He said: "Ahmad, if God kills you, you die a martyr, and if you live, you live in praise" (al-Dhahabi, Siyar xi, 241). Fath b. Shakhraf, a student of another Syrian Sufi, "Abd Allah b. Khubayq al-Antaki, relates a similar story about 1bn Hanbat. "When 1bn Hanbat was in prison, awaiting his public punishment, one night a man appeared to him asking him to persevere because he would be rewarded with Paradise." (Aba Nu'aym, Hiyat al-awliya' wa-tabaqat al-asfiya', x vols. (Berut 1967 1387) ix, 193].

35 Cf Strat-1 fbn Khaftf 169, 3-170,15; Ibn al-Jawzi, Managib 439

37 Abū Nucaym, Hilya ix. 193

Hanbal seated together with his opponent Ibn Abi Dū'ād (d. 240/854), the Mu'tazili leader and closest confidant of al-Ma'mun. The two men were in the presence of Muhammad who was praising the one and condemning the other. The Sufi Ibn Abi'l-Ward is reported to have heard Yaḥyā al-Jallā' say: 38 'I saw the Prophet in a dream standing at Ṣīniyyat al-Karkh, with Ibn Abī Dū'ād sitting on his left site and Ahmad b. Muhammad sitting on his right. The Prophet turned to Ibn Abi Dū'ād and said. 'If they disbelieve it, we shall appoint a people who do not disbelieve it, and he pointed at Ahmad b. Hanbal'.39

The hagiographical assimilation of Ibn Hanbal's image by a certain traditionalist brand of Sufism was facilitated through correspondences between Sufi teachings and Ibn Hanbal's attitudes towards poverty, tawakkul and zuhd. Likewise, the high respect in which he seems to have held certain Sufis of his time may have encouraged the process. He is said to have turned to Abū Hamza al-Baghdādī (d. 289/902), a companion of Sarī al-Saqaṭī and Abū Turāb al-Nakhshabī, and asked him to answer questions in his gathering (ajib yā sūfī). 40 Ibn Ḥanbal considered Bishr al-Ḥāfī as one of the saints (abdāl) of his time 'who was only comparable to 'Āmir b. Qays, the lover of Laylā, as they both were perfect in their renunciation of the world'. 41 Similarly, it is reported that Ibn Ḥanbal's eyes were 'bathed in tears' when mention was made of the nakedness and the perseverance in poverty ('uryuhū wa-şabruhū) of the pious ascetics al-Fudayl b. 'Iyād and Fath al-Mawsili 42

However, Ibn Hanbal's sympathy with Sufism had its distinct limits, as is clear from the advice he gave his followers not to associate with al-Muḥāsibī. Nevertheless, Ibn Hanbal was once moved to tears when he heard this Sufi theorist deliver a sermon on mysticism.<sup>43</sup>

The only Sufi who physically suffered for his refusal to acknowledge the dogma of khalq al-qur'an seems to have been the famous Egyp-

al-Dhahabi, Siyar xi, 349; also Ibn al-Jawzi, Manāqib 443. In a similar story which was quite popular among 3rd/4th-century Sufis (Abā Nu'aym, Hilya ix, 190), Ibn Hanbal is associated with Bishr as well as with Sufyān al-Thawri. The transmitter, Abū 'Abd Allāh b. Khuzayma, says that Ibu Hanbal told him in a posthumous dream that he was rewarded by God in Paradise for maintaining that the Koran is God's uncreated word. According to this story, in Paradise Ibn Hanbal also meets Sufyān, whose prayer he used to recrite during his lifetime. Sufyān has grown two green wings by means of which he flies from date-palm to date-palm. After giving information about the fortunes of another inhabitant of Paradise, "Abd al-Wahhāb al Warrāq. Ibn Hanbal explains how God treated Bishr: 'God sand to me: "Well done, you and those who are like Bishr." I left Bishr before the Almighty and in front of him there was a table-cloth [covered with] food, and turning to him, the Almighty said: "Eat, you who did not eat, and drink, you who did not drank, and five in ease, you who did not live in ease."

<sup>38</sup> Ibn al-Jawzi, Manāqib 445

<sup>39</sup> As a variant of the story (Iba al-Jawzi, op. cit, 444) explains, this remark refers to Koran 6/89: "These are the ones to whom we have given the book, the judgement and the prophethood."

<sup>40</sup> Ansāri, Tabaqāt 148.

<sup>41</sup> Ibn Badtān, Tahdhāb ii, 233.
42 Ibn al-Jawzī, Manāqib 273.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. 186.

tıan mystic Dhū'l-Nūn who died in 245/860. Both al-Anṣārī<sup>44</sup> and Jāmī<sup>45</sup> transmit a report by the Syrian Sufi shaykh Ibrāhim b. Dawūd al-Qaṣṣār al Raqqī Abū <sup>c</sup>Abd Allāh b. al-Jallā<sup>346</sup> In the report al-Raqqī relates:

FLORIAN SOBIEROJ

'At the time when 'the Koran was declared to be created" and Ahmad b. Hanhal was in prison, people reported that Dhū't-Nūn al-Miṣrī (as well) was being taken to the caliph's prison to force him declare that the Koran is created. I had heard of Dhū't-Nūn's repetation, and the people were going to look at him. At the time I was a child. I also went to look at him, at the bridge of Manhij. When I beheld him he appeared wretched in my eyes, for indeed he was wretched in terms of his outer appearance. I said to myself: "Can this be Dhū't-Nūn who has so great a name and reputation?" Dhū't-Nūn immediately turned his face to me in the midst of the large crowd and said: "Boy, when God turns away from a servant, the servant's tongue becomes long in criticizing the Friends of God." I felt into a swoon and they poured water over me to bring me back to consciousness. When I stood up, I was a Sufi' 47 Al-Dhahabi also adduces a report about Ibn Hanhal and Dhū't-Nūn being in prison together, and indicates that the reason for the imprisonment of the mystic was his declared conviction that 'the Koran is the uncreated word of God.' 48

In one story in his *Tabaqāt* Anṣārī al-Harawī seems to imply that Dhū'l-Nūn was more consistent and radical in his opposition to Mu'tazilī rule. It is instructed that whereas Ibn Ḥanbal in principle considered himself obliged to obey the commands of the 'sultan', Dhū'l-Nūn felt free of such constraints <sup>49</sup> As a matter of fact, in one

of his credos, 50 Ibn Ḥanbal defines the faith as including the requirement 'to persevere under the banner of the sultan whatever justice or injustice he may perpetrate' (wa'l şabr taḥta hwa' al sultān 'alā mā kān minhū min 'adl aw jawr).

Another setting for conflict between the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila and Sufism was fourth/tenth-century Shiraz<sup>51</sup> The Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili man of letters al-Muḥassin al-Tanūkhī mentions that the Sufis in this city were numbered in the thousands and that their chief was 'Ibn Khafīf al-Baghdādī'.<sup>52</sup> Ibn Khafīf had already come into contact with the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila around 300/900 when, as a very young man, he was present at a debate between Abū'l-Hasan al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī and the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila of Basra. On this occasion al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī displayed his mastery of theology and his rhetorical skill, and is meant to have outwitted the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila. Ibn Khafīf claimed to have become al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī's student (kuntu aṣḥabuhū) thereafter.<sup>53</sup> His life-long adherence to the theological doctrine of al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī is attested by al-Bāqillānī (d. 403/1013).<sup>54</sup>

Thus, Ibn Khafif had a negative attitude towards the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila which is evident in the biography, Sirat-i Ibn Khafif, which his disciple "Alī al-Daylamī composed about him. His derision is directed at a Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī theologian who, for some time, gave instruction to the Saffārid ruler "Amr b. al-Layth.<sup>55</sup> In Ibn Khafīf's biography the theologian in question teaches that it is Iblīs who wills evil.<sup>56</sup> and thus appears to subscribe to the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī tenet of a relative freedom of the human will and the corollary belief in the justice of God (aṣl al-ʿadl) who does not will evil. "Amr is then portrayed as having refuted the doctrines of his erstwhile teacher by dint of his intelligence. He remains loyal to the teachings of Sunni orthodoxy and in the end

<sup>44</sup> Anşari, Tabaqās 19; 409

<sup>45</sup> Jami, Nafahat 166

<sup>46</sup> al-Raqqi was a student of al-Junayd and Abū 'Abd Allāh b. al-Jallā', the son of a disciple of Bishr. Given that al-Raqqi died at a very advanced age in 326/937, his claim that as a smal, child he had seen Dhū'l-Nūn cannot be roled out on chronological grounds. On al-Raqqi see Abū'l-Qāsim 'Abd al-Karim al-Qushayri, al-Risāla fi'l-taşawwif (Cairo 1359/1940) 27

Ansari, Tabaqāt 409 This coercion to which Dhû'l-Nûn was subjected to make him acknowledge the Mu'tazili dogma should be distinguished from the persecution he suffered towards the end of his life (possibly as late as 244/858). Probably because of his mystical teachings (al-Sulami calls him the first person to speak about the mystical states and stations in Egypt), he provoked the wrath of the authorities and, having been dubbed a zindīq, he was evicted by the Egyptian "ulamā" (al-Dhahabi, Siyar xi, 534). He was brought before al-Mutawakkil in 244 A.H., under orders to be killed but won over the calliph by means of his effective preaching (ibid 535)

<sup>48</sup> Ibid xi, 535

<sup>49 &#</sup>x27;Dhū'i-Nūn al-Mıştī was in prison with Aḥmad b. Hanbal at the time of the militio to do with declaring the Koran created. One day when evening arrived, extending his hand and removing his chains and fetters, he went up to Aḥmad and said: "Will you come along?" He replied: "No, because I am in the prison of the sultan". This (he answered) because Aḥmad was in prison because he was a Koran-receier. Dhū'i Nān then left Baghdad and performed the

moraing prayer (namāz-l bâmdād) in Egypt. He turned his face round and said "Pray for Ahmad!" (Ansārī, Tabagāt 19).

<sup>50</sup> Ibn al-Jawzi, Mondgib 176.

<sup>51</sup> For an overall picture of the Mu\*tazila under the Büyids see Henbert Busse, Chalifund Großkönig, Die Büyiden im Iraq (945-1055) (Beunt 1969) 437-447

<sup>52</sup> Abū "Alī al Muhassiu b. "Alī al-Tanūkhi al-Qādi, Nishwār al-muhādara, vu. vols., ed "Abbūd al-Shāhri (n. p. 1972/1392) iii, 228

<sup>53</sup> Abū'l-Qāsim 'Alī b. al-Ḥasan b. Hibat Allāh Ibn 'Asākir, Tabyin kadhib al-muftarī fi-mā nusiba dā'l-imām Abī'l-Ḥasan al-Ash'arī (Beirut 1399/1979) 94-97

<sup>54</sup> Abō'l-'Abbās Ahmad b. Muḥaṇmad b. Aḥmad al Tilmsōnī al-Maqqanī, Azhār al-riyād fi albbār "Iyād, ed. Muṣṭafā al-Saqqā et al. (Carro 1358/1939) us. 80.

<sup>55</sup> Cf Sirat-i Ibn Khafif 186-9, faşl 21

<sup>56 [</sup>bid. 188.

orders the theologian to be flogged and driven out of town.57

When the Shii sultan of the dynasty of the Al Büya "Adud al Dawla (d 372/982) assumed rule over Shiraz in 338/949-50, the situation of the Sufis in Fars took a turn for the worse, since the Büyid amīr was under the influence of prominent Mu"tazilī theologians who sympathized with the Shia. 58 A judge of Baghdad, Abū Muhammad "Abd Aliāh b. Ahmad al-Fazārī, was dispatched to Shiraz and is said to have founded a convent (khānaqah) in the city for the followers of Ibn Khafīf. 59 Although al-Fazārī was treated badly by "Adud al-Dawla, in the end he was confirmed in his office and actually outlived the ruler. 60

Towards the end of Ibn Khafif's life a tyrant arose in Shiraz whose injustices are referred to by al-Daylami<sup>61</sup> as the milina of Danaqsh.<sup>62</sup> This designation may have been chosen by al-Daylami or his informant, Ibn Khafif, as a deliberate allusion to the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī milina under which the Sunni community suffered one and a half centuries earlier. The zālim Danaqsh might be identical to the Baghdādī Abū Tālib <sup>a</sup>Abd al-<sup>a</sup>Azīz al-Danaqshī who belonged to the same generation as Ibn Khafif and who was appointed qadi of the town of Rāmhurmuz, a locality between Arrajān and Ahwāz.<sup>63</sup> The population of Rāmhurmuz is reported to have adhered to the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī doctrine.<sup>64</sup> Al-Danaqshī was an associate of the son of al-Muḥassin

57 Amr ruled over Işfahân in 265/878-9 where there was a strong Mu<sup>\*</sup>tazili community Perhaps this was the town in question. In al-Daylami's *Stro*, the story is preceded by eight sections dealing with personalities who came from Işfahân (fast 13-19).

ai-Tanūkhī, whose strong dislike of Sufism is clearly demonstrated in the stories he narrates about the Sufis in his Nishwar. <sup>c</sup>Abd al-Raḥim, one of Ibn Khafīf's servants, relates in the Sīra how Danaqsh perished after Ibn Khafīf invoked God against him at the request of the inhabitants of Shiraz. <sup>65</sup>

THE MU°TAZILA AND SUFISM

Many stories sympathetic to Ibn Khafif and Traditions from him were transmitted by gadis of Shiraz who were Māliki and Shafi'i scholars. Oadis belonging to the Māliki and Shāficī schools had been the chief judges of Fars for several decades until 372/982. From that year the office was occupied by a Zahiri jurist and Muctazili theologian, Bishr b. al-Husayn, who was summoned to Shiraz by 'Adud al-Dawla In the Tabagat al-fugahā' of Abū Ishāq al-Shīrāzī66 he is referred to as the 'chief gadi of Fars, Iraq and all the administrative districts (a'māl) of 'Adud al-Dawla'. The disdainful, or at best bemused, attitude of Bishr b. al-Husayn towards Sufism is well attested 67 In al-Tanükhī's Nishwār68 we read that Bishr complained of a predominance of the Sufis in Shiraz. Al-Tanükhī also tells a story about a trial presided over by Bishr and attended, along with the litigants, by al-Tanükhī's informant, the Muctazilī mutakallim and fagih, Abii'l-Oàsim 'Abd al-Rahman al-Sirafi, known as Ibn al-Sammāk, Al-Sirāfi relates:

"I was in Shiraz, with the city's qadi, Abū Sa'd Bishr b. al-Hunayn al-Dāwūdī, when a male and a female Sufi brought their case before him. This business of Sufism had exceeded all bounds so that one could say the Sufis numbered thousands of men and women. The woman had appealed to the qudi for assistance against her husband, and when they were convoked before him, she said. "Oh Judge, this is my husband who wants to divorce me but it is not fitting for him to do so. If only you could make him desist!" Then Abū Sa'd began to arouse my amazement concerning this discourse and revealed to me how the Sufin pursue it. He said to

<sup>58</sup> Al-Tanükhî, Nishwār vn. 210 f., informs us about the close relationship between 'Adud al-Dawla and Abū 'Abd Allāh Husayn b. 'Ali al-Başrî (d. 369/979), a leading Mu'tazilī theologian and ascene The latter, a student of Abū Hāshim al-Jubbā'i and a teacher of Qādi 'Abd al-Jabbār, combined his Mu'tazilī discourse with strong 'Abd leanings [Ibn al-Murtadā, Tabaqār al-mu'tazila, ed. S. Diwald-Wilzer (Wiesbaden 1961)] 105; Abū Rāshid Sa'īd b. Muhammad al-Naysābūrī, Masā'il fi l-khilāf bayn al-başriyyīn wa'l-baghdādiyyīn, ed. Ma'a Ziyāda and Ridwān al-Sayyid (Beirut 1979) 162.

<sup>59</sup> Zarkūb-i Shirāzi, Shirāznāmo, ed. Ismā'il-i Wā'iz-i Jawādi (Teherau u.d.) 35.

<sup>60 [</sup>bn al-Balkhi, Färsnäma, ed G. Le Strange and R.A. Nicholson (London 1921) introd. xix, 117 f

<sup>61</sup> Strat-1 Ibn Khafif 206, fasl 14, 12.

<sup>62</sup> I propose to read ¿un minnai-i Danagsh ba-Shirāz uftād for ¿un muhibb-i Danagsh ba-Shirāz uftād as in Schimmel's edition of the Persian text. She was uncertain of the reading as is indicated by the question mark in the text after Danagsh.

<sup>63</sup> Abū Sa'd 'Abd al-Karim b. Muhammad al Sam'ānī, Kitāb al-ansāb, i-xiu (Hyderabad 4962) v, 384 f

<sup>64</sup> Hembert Busse, Chalif und Grosskönig. Die Büynden im Iraq (945-1055) (Beirut 1969) 438

<sup>&</sup>quot;When the inquisition of Danagsh befell Shiraz, the people complained of his injustice to the shaykh and entreated the shaykh to invoke God against him. He would not agree to do this. However, when Danagsh's oppression (zidin) transgressed the limits, one night the shaykh said during his prostrations. "God, let him be preoccupied with himself!" The next day I said to the shaykh: "Yesterday I heard that you invoked God." He replied "Oppression has transgressed the limits" A few days later a letter arrived ordering that those who had been imprisoned be released and the confiscations be annulled. When we examined what the cause of this night be, they said. "At the foot of a/the mountain he was seazed by a fit (dar dāman i kāh ŭ-rā sar'ī rasīd), he went mad and died in a most dreadful state."

<sup>66</sup> Abū Ishāq al-Firūzābādi al-Shīrāzā, Tabaqāt al-fuqahā², ed. Ihsān "Abbās (Beirut 1401/1981) 122.

<sup>67</sup> Abū'l-Paraj 'Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Jawzi, Talbīs Iblīs. Naqd al-'ülm wa'l-'ulumā', ed. Muḥammad al-Şabbāh (Beirut 1409/1989) 380

<sup>68</sup> Nishwar iii, 227, nr 147

her "Why is it not fitting for him?" She replied: "Because when he manned me, his substance (or essence? wa-ma'nāhu qā'im) was persistent but now be says his substance has come to an end with regard to me (inqadā ma'nāhu minnī), whereas my substance still persists in him and has not come to an end. So he should endure patiently until my substance comes to an end in him, the same way his substance has come to an end with regard to me." Then Abà Sa'd said to me. "What do you think of this kind of figh?" Thereupon he made peace between them, and they departed without divorcing."

The words al-Tanükhi puts in the mouth of the female Sufi who sued her husband, also a Sufi, may be interpreted as ridiculing the Sufi concept of annihilation in God and the terminology associated with it, or possibly, such annihilation in one's partner as a pedagogical preparation for attaining the highest goal. The husband has perhaps run out of patience with his wife whom he regards as incapable of keeping up with his pace of 'spiritual' advancement.

Leaders and representatives of the two major rival schools of Muctazili theology at the time of 'Adud al-Dawla, the Bahshamiyya and the Ikhshidiyya, participated in religious debates at the Buyid court. There was considerable tension between the two schools. This is amply illustrated by the diatribes levelled against al-Ahdab, the leader of the Ikhshidiyya in Baghdad, by the prominent polemicist of the contemporary Bahshamiyya, Abū Rāshid Sa'īd al-Naysābūrī. Al-Navsābūrī in his Masā'il69 reproaches al-Ahdab for allegedly collaborating with Nasr b. Härun, the Christian vizier of the Buyid sultan. Moreover, Nasr b. Hārūn is said by al-Daylamī<sup>70</sup> to have acknowledged Ibn Khafif's sanctity. In this connection 'Abd Allah, the qadi of Bayda' and a follower of Ibn Khafif, tells a story about the conversion of the vizier to belief in the wilaya of Ibn Khafif. This belief may be based on the teachings of Ibn Ikhshid, whose school al-Ahdab belonged to: Ibn Ikhshid was one of the few exceptional Mu<sup>e</sup>tazilites who did not deny the possibility of saintly miracles (karāmāt).71 If al-Naysābūrī's allegation of collaboration between the Christian vizier and the Ikhshidi theologian al-Ahdab is correct, the vizier would have been associated with the opposition to Bishr, the chief gadi of the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila. Thus, the complexities of politics in

conjunction with the rivalry between the two schools of the Muctazila would explain why Ibn Khafif and the Sufis of Shiraz en-

joyed protection by some representatives of the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili-dominated political elite and suffered oppression by others.

One of the targets of ridicule of the Mu<sup>\*</sup>tazili adib at Tanūkhī in his Nishwār al-muhādaru was, as we have seen, the Sufi movement of Shiraz. He attacked Ibn Khafīf personally in a story<sup>72</sup> which he claims to have heard from a group of trustworthy<sup>73</sup> and learned people:

"They told me that in Shiraz there was (or, there is) a man called Ibn Khafif al-Baghdādī, the local Sufi shaykh, with whom they meet. He speaks about random thoughts (khāṭirāt) and Satanic instituations (wasāwis), and thousands attend his assembly, (and I was told) that he is quick, intelligent and elever and that he has seduced the weak among the people to join his school."

Later in the story, al-Tanükhi charges Ibn Khafif with having encouraged his male disciples to have promiscuous sex with their female counterparts after a funeral he conducted for one of his followers. This he does while giving an explanation of Sufi vocabulary. The words of consolation Ibn Khafif imparted to a female Sufi whose husband had just died are meant to be an example of Sufi discourse (kalām min kalām al-sūfivya). The first term al-Tanūkhī explains is the word ghayr in the question Iba Khafif put to the women: hāhunā ghayr? Al-Tanūkhī translates the expression as 'Is there anyone who disagrees with our way?' Subsequently, a second question is quoted by means of which Ibn Khafif urges the women to consent to spending a night in orgies with his numerous male disciples: 'What good is it to hold on with the souls to the calamities of sorrows and of tormenting them with the pain of grief, and why should we abstain from mingling (intizāj) so that the lights meet, the spirits become pure, that successors are brought forth and the blessings descend?' Of the words included in this phrase al-Tanükhī singles out as 'technical terms' imtizāj, explained subsequently as sexual intercourse,74 'meeting of lights', i.e. 'according to their beliefs everyone is endowed with a divine light', ikhlāfāt, i.e. 'every one of your husbands, be he dead or (otherwise) absent, may have a successor (khalaf)."

<sup>69</sup> Masă'il fi'l-khilâf bayn al-başriyyin wa-l-baghdādiyyin. Ed. Ma'n Ziyāda and Ridwān al Sayyid (Berrut 1979) 284 ff., introd. 21

<sup>76</sup> Sirat i Ibn Khafif 200
7. Cf Richard Gramlich, Die Wunder der Freunde Gottes. Theologien und Erscheimungsformen des islamischen Wunders (Wiesbaden 1987) 122.

<sup>72</sup> Nishwār tii, 228 f., also Ibn al-Jawzi, Talbis 499.

<sup>73</sup> Nishwar iii, 229, 6.

<sup>14</sup> Intizāj, commugling, is explained by Abū'l-Ḥasan 'Alī al-Daylamī, 'Atī al-aliī al-ma'lūī 'alā'l-lām al-ma'tūī, ed. J. C. Vadet (Cairo 1962), as one of the terms by which various groups of people have defined love, of the translation by Joseph Norment Bell and al-Shāfi'l (Cairo: in the press), 100 f. The practice of licentious deeds, however, al-Daylami asentes to a set of people who hold that 'love is knowledge' (ibid. 99).

With this allegation of sexual promiscuity al-Tanükhi would seem to be perpetuating the well-known stereotype of heretics as people who declare their women licit for the male members of the group. Thus, one of al-Tanükhi's objectives in this story is to present Ibn Khafif, whose staunch adherence to Sunni orthodoxy was widely recognized, as an *ibāḥi*, rather than to educate the reader, as is one of the stated objectives of *adab* literature. At the end of his story al-Tanūkhi claims that he feels shocked (*hādha 'indī 'azīm*) by the alleged incident and that he would not have reported it had his informants not been 'far removed from telling lies'.75

Al-Tanükhī also singles out other Sufis, Baghdādis in particular, to be the butt of his crude humour. In the Nishwar two stories deal with Abū Bakr al-Shiblī (d. 334/945). The first one functions as an appendix to a long story76 about a would-be saint who is exposed as a charlatan after having vainly tried to prove that his body is immune to boiling oil. Al-Tanükhī claims that his informant Abū'l-Tayyib b. Harthama had seen al-Shibli dip his hands into a heated cauldron which contained fālūdhaj pudding. Al-Shibli is supposed to have repeatedly extracted some mouthfuls of the fālūdhaj from the boiling cauldron with his bare hands. A Sufi present on one of these occasions exclaimed to al-Shibli: 'Woe unto you! See to it that you wear a thimble. Is your throat a water-tank?' (wayhaka, i'mal anna fi yadika kashtban, halquka musahraj?). This may be an allusion to the suspicion he harboured that the master had protected himself by unsound means. The remark is also somewhat sarcastic because it proposes a stratagem to al-Shibli which is actually rather unsuited for keeping a man exposed to fire unscathed. This story illustrates the negative attitude which the Mu'tazila had towards karāmāt or 'miracles of the saints.'

The second story? begins with a reference to the miracles and amazing actions attributed to al-Shibli. One such action, al-Tanūkhī says, he heard about from the vizier al-Muhallabī. Al-Muhallabī reports that once when he was walking through the streets of Baghdad, he saw people gathered around a man lying prostrate on the ground. He was told that this was al-Shiblī who, a moment earlier, went past

a seller of harisa (= a dish of meat and bulghur) as the latter's crier called out: 'How long will you err?' Just then a fit came over al-Shibh. He let out a shout and fell down unconscious. Al Muhallabi walked on wondering to himself about al-Shibhi's 'ignorance' until he met a Sufi to whom he described what had happened. He said to the Sufi: 'Woe unto you! What is this that causes al-Shibhi to let out a shout and enter into ecstasy?' The Sufi replied: 'He believes that God is speaking to him through the tongue of the crier'. Al-Muhallabi said: 'This is most amazing. If there was another harisa-seller's crier opposite the first crier and he called out: "How long will you err?" (ulā kam taghlat), which of the two would be the speech of God?' The Sufi said: "It is for him to give an answer to this."'

Thus, the story contains criticism of al-Shibli's ecstatic states, for which he had rightly become famous. It is demonstrated that if the reasoning put forward by a Sufi in the story to explain al-Shibli's behaviour was followed through to its logical conclusion, one could infer the existence of two deities. Al-Tanūkhī's rejection of al-Shibli's wajd may be explained in terms of Mu°tazili epistemology: knowledge of God is not obtained by inspiration (ilhām) or ecstasy (wajd), but through a process of reasoning and inference.

Among the other stories al-Tanükhī relates about the Sufis of Baghdad, there is one? In which a certain Ahmad b. Muḥammad al-Madā'inī acts as a jester among the Sufis with the intention of fooling them. Al-Madā'inī relates:

"I once stood in the Madian Mosque of Baghdad before a circle of Sufis while they discoursed about random thoughts and insinuations which occur in the soul (al-khotarāt wa'l-hawājis) and on questions of Satanic whisperings (al-wasdwis) — I did not understand what they were saying. It then occured to me to mock them and I said: "Oh presiding shaykh (al-shaykh al-musaddir), I have a question!" The shaykh and. "Ask iti" I asked: "Tell me, if you are a master in your subject... should a cracking afflict your vertex, by way of knowledge, while you are under the will of God, will snything injure your qualities, while you are attached to the rope of Omnipotance, o champion?" Those gathered around the shaykh thought thus was a scrious question and began to discuss a possible answer. But the shaykh understood I was making fun of them. Fearing he would have them do me some harm, I ran away."

The question: 'Should a cracking afflict your vertex... while you are under the will of God?' seems to be mocking the belief in divine predestination which the Sufis, as Sunni Muslims, adhered to. The Sufis are also derided for being too stupid to distinguish between a

<sup>75</sup> Al-Tanükhi's narrative also contains some factual mistakes: Ibn Khafif was not known as Ibn Khafif al Baghdādi nor were the groups constituting his Sufi community dispersed by 'Adud al-Dawla (wa-shattata jumii'ahum). On the contrary, padging by the evidence, they continued to flourish.

<sup>76</sup> Nichwär n. 128.

<sup>77</sup> Nishwar n. 129, nr 65.

<sup>78</sup> Ibid. i, 99, nr. 48.

85

serious question and a sarcastic joke. The shaykh, however, is presented as clever (faţin), just as in the story about Ibn Khafīf, where it is said that the shaykh lured to his school those who were intellectually feeble. In both stories the underlying assumption is that the Sufi shaykh is an outright chariatan.

In many of al-Tanūkhī's stories we meet with al-Ḥallāj who is portrayed as a very negative figure. In one story<sup>79</sup> the miracle ascribed to al-Ḥallāj of going without food for a month or so is represented as a deception in another story<sup>80</sup> al-Tanūkhī depicts al-Ḥallāj as preoccupied with enticing the Shiis to believe in him. Al-Ḥallāj is said to have tried to win the Shii theologian Abū Sahl al-Nawbakhtī as a recruit, but then gave up hope of doing so when he realized that Abū Sahl was only pretending to believe in him. Al-Nawbakhtī asked al-Ḥallāj to use his miraculous powers to cure his baldness, but at the same time he made it clear that he would never believe in him. The story concludes with the assertion that al-Ḥallāj tried to win over many different groups of people by promising to satisfy some particular desire they had. These attempts to win followers is again qualified as 'deception' or 'enticement' (istighwā').

Al-Tanükhī also gives an account<sup>81</sup> of the proceedings of the trial which led to al-Ḥallāj's condemnation. He mentions that al-Ḥallāj repudiated letters from his missionaries who allegedly addressed him with titles which refer to God or the Prophet. It is mentioned that al-Ḥallāj had put forward the idea that a symbolic enactment of the hajj be permitted as a substitute for a Muslim who is physically incapable of performing the pilgrimage. Al-Tanūkhī quotes the conflicting fatwas issued by two leading faqīhs concerning al-Ḥallāj. He reports that the more severe of the fatwas came to be adopted and he expresses his approval of this judgement.<sup>82</sup> The story ends with a reference to the alleged belief of some followers of al-Ḥallāj (Hallājiyya) that a work-horse was killed in his place and that al-Ḥallāj will return at some time in the future. Al-Tanūkhī dismisses these beliefs as forms of stupidity.

The existence of contacts between the Muctazila of Abū cAlı al-Jubbācī and al-Hallāj is asserted in another story related by alTanükhi.83 In this case al-Ḥallāj is implicitly categorized a trickster on the authority of the Muctazilī leader. Al-Tanükhi sets out to explain that the people of Ahwāz and the neighbouring districts were deceived (ifiatana) by al-Ḥallaj and by the 'foods and dishes which he produced out of season' as well as by the dirhams he called 'Dirhams of omnipotence' (darāhim al-qudra). Al-Jubbā'ī heard about all this and is said to have instructed his students as to how to prepare themselves in order to expose al-Ḥallāj's alleged prestidigitation.84 Suhsequently to this, al-Ḥallaj is said to have departed from Ahwāz.

In another story<sup>85</sup> the method al-Hallāj employed in writing books is described by al-Tanükhi as 'following the way of the Sufis, in accordance with mad raving' (fi'l-hawas) However, al-Tanūkhī concedes that when al-Hallāj uttered intelligible words, his art of letter writing was attractive and his enunciation intelligent (kāna tarassuluhū hasanan wa-talaffuzuhū malihan).86

Al-Tanükhī also sets out<sup>87</sup> to ridicule al-Ḥallāj's followers who are said to have organized themselves in Tustar around one of al-Ḥallāj's sons. They are represented as believing that the divinity (al-lāhūt) which resided in al-Ḥallāj subsequently took up residence in his son (ḥutūt). According to the same story, another member of the local school believed that the spirit of the Prophet Muḥammad had also settled inside him. 88 The theological point of this story is the denial that God or the 'spirit of Muḥammad' can dwell in chosen individuals: By highlighting what, in their claims, appears to be a logical

<sup>79</sup> Ibid i, 159 f., ar 81

<sup>80 [</sup>bid. i, 161, nr. 82.

<sup>81</sup> Told. i, 162-4, nr 83

<sup>82 &#</sup>x27;In his matter the faiwā of Abû 'Umar was followed, in view of what was known and learned of his affair and what was manifest of his apostasy and disbelief, and of his seducing the people, etc.', Nishwār i, 163

<sup>83</sup> Nishwar i, 172, nr. 88.

<sup>84 &</sup>quot;These things are being kept at places where ruses can be employed. Lead him inside one of your houses, not to a place of his, and enjoin on him that he produce two black and red pearls. If he does that, then declare him truthful."

<sup>85</sup> Ibid. i, 169, nr. 85.

<sup>86</sup> To illustrate this point al-Tamikhi relates that al-Ḥallāj once remarked about the sad tune of a wind-instrument he heard nearby: "This is Satan's lamentation over the world" (hādhā narch fiblis 'alā'l-danuā').

<sup>87</sup> Ibid. i , 173-176, nr. 89-91

Al-Tandkin illustrates these alleged behefs with a story (i, 173, nr 89) he heard from someone whom the Halläppya in Basra tried to make acknowledge their leader as master. It visited the leader of the Halläppya and they thought that I sought guidance. Then the leader spoke in my presence and this man was cross-eyed so that his eyes were directed to the ceiling of the house, while his mind was boiling in that madness (vojish bi-dhālika 'i-hawas). When we left, the man [with me] said. "Do you believe?" I said: "I have never disbelieved your words more than now. This person in your view holds the rank of the Prophet? Then why does he not cure himself of being cross-eyed?" He replied: "You fool! As if he were really cross-eyed! He simply directs his eyes upwards to the heavenly kingdom!".

contradiction, the Ḥallānyya are presented as being irrational, hence,

astray from the truth.

Another Sufi ridiculed by al-Tanukhî is Ruwaym b. Ahmad from Baghdad.89 He transmits Jacfar al Khuldī's judgement on this Sufi: 'He who wants to confide a secret may confide it to Ruwayin, for he kept hidden his love for this world for forty years'. This is an allusion to Ruwaym's promotion from relative poverty to the rank of deputy to the chief qadı of Baghdad, Ismā'il b. Ishāq. Indeed, Ruwaym's changed condition drew criticism from some of his Sufi colleagues. According to 'Abd Allāh-i Anṣān,90 however, this change in no way affected the inner state of Ruwaym who was 'great in dissimulation' (talbīs).

In a story of the al-Faraj ba'd al-shidda-type,91 al-Tanükhī ridicules the Sufi concept of tawakkul, as understood and practised by its most extreme quietistic adherents. The protagonist of the story is introduced as a Sufi who used to speak to his companions about 'tawakkul, sustenance (arzāq), and the weakness and strength of the soul in their regard'. The Sufi takes an oath 'not to savour anything other than a fatudhaj pudding to be sent [to him] in a bowl', and 'not to eat except after having been urged to do so by means of an oath'. The story goes on to relate that the Sufi travels to a distant place and nearly perishes in a mosque after having been deprived of food for days. Eventually he is forced to eat by a slave-girl when she threatens to beat him to death. Later the slave-girl explains the bizarre circumstances that led her to force the Sufi to eat the fālūdhaj: Her master, a village-headman, had ordered a faludhaj pudding to be prepared for him but then dishing out the pudding had been delayed. This had angered the headman so much that he took an oath: He would divorce his wife if anyone other than a stranger in the village ate the dish 'The Sufi's misfortune turns out to be the cause of the deliverance of the headman (from having to divorce his wife). Here al Tanükhı rejects the Sufi doctrine of tawakkul showing how precarious the consequences of this doctrine are for the Sufi protagonist: with his form of 'trust in God' the Sufi is presented as suicidal. However, the anti-Sufi aspect of the story is subordinate to the more important concern of demonstrating the workings of God's providence.92

Absolute tawakkul as a distinctive feature of the Sufism of his day is also criticized by al-Tanükhi elsewhere in the Nishwar.93 A case in point is the reported claim by Ja' far al-Khuldi that he had performed the hajj fifty-six times 'ala'l-madhhab, i.e. by trusting in God and without provisions or riding-beast.

However, in the story of the revenge of a grieving mother elephant,94 the Sufi protagonist Ibrahim al-Khawwas is depicted with outright positive traits. Having suffered shipwreck, al-Khawwās vows not to eat any elephant meat. When a young elephant is caught and subsequently eaten by al-Khawwas' companions, he chooses to abstain from the meal. When the revengeful mother elephant crushes his companions to death, al-Khawwas survives the carnage and is returned to civilization on the elephant's back. Theological aspects of the story include just retribution for good and bad deeds, as well as God's wisdom which is also manifest in the animal kingdom: the revengeful elephant distinguishes between the men who ate her young and the person who abstained. Like the story which focuses on tawakkul, this narrative is incorporated in al-Tanükhi's book al-Faraj ba'd al-shidda. The general theme of the work is God's unfailing mercy towards those who bear tribulation with fortitude. The stones demonstrate that by acting thus the latter bring about their own deliverance.95

Many of the stories referred to above attest to the rationalist outlook of the Mu'tazıla. The Sufis of the early and middle 'Abbasid periods considered this outlook inappropriate. Generally speaking, rationality was deemed 'frivolous' with regard to religion but especially when it came to the more essential questions concerning man's relationship to God. Speculation on religious questions was cautioned against out of fear that an error might endanger salvation. The ambition to know

<sup>89</sup> Third, at, 120, pr. 77

<sup>90</sup> Ansāri, Tabagāt 262 f

<sup>91</sup> Nishwar ui, 76 f., nr 54, also Iba al-Jawai, Tolbis 428

<sup>92</sup> For a literary analysis of this story see Julia Ashnyani, 'Al-Tanükhi's al-Faraj ba'd al-shidda as a Literary Source', Arabica Felix (1991) 108-128.

<sup>93</sup> Mishwar in, 119, nr. 70.

<sup>94</sup> Nishwär ill, 195, nr. 127

<sup>95</sup> in the Nishwär, al-Tanükhi includes among his literary materials on Sufis a number of examples which consist of little more than a sentence, i.e. an utterance by a Suft and an isnad Intended criticism of the Sufis does not seem to be discernible in them (cf. ibid. ni, 123, nr. 81 mi, 122, or 79, iii, 121, or 78, in, 119, or 75). These materials reflect al-Tanükhi's interest in pithy phrases and lexicographical rarities, nawādir. For instance, his lexicographical interests may be the reason why he quotes a saying of the Sufi Ja far al Khuldi (abid, ai, 117, ar. 73) in which the latter contrasts the sciences of hadith and Sufism ("ilm al-khiraq!"ilm al-waraq).

more than the Koran and hadith was considered blasphemous pride. 96 Polemics as a basic trait of rationalist theology was viewed as unseemly in religious discourse. The relevant hadith often quoted by the Sufis in their tracts 97 stipulated that there be 'no dispute in religion' (la mirā'a fi'l dīn). The rationalist theologians were also accused of pursuing their science solely because of worldly ambitions. 98 Sufis contrasted disputation (mujādala) as engaged in by the speculative theologians with the 'fraternal counsel' (waṣiyya) which they ideally practised

The antagonism in the attitudes of Sufism and Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila towards the concept of the transcendence of God is expressed in a pronouncement of the fourth/tenth century Egyptian Sufi Abū <sup>e</sup>Ali b. al-Kātib: 'The Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila have striven to eliminate anthropomorphic elements from the concept of deity (or: declared God transcendent) by way of reason (nazzahū'llāha min haythu'l-'uqūl'aql) but they have failed. The Sufis have done so by way of religious knowledge (nazzahūhu min haythu'l-'ilm) and they have succeeded'.99 The dogma of a created Koran was a consequence of the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila's reflections on the oneness of God.

The Sufis, as natural allies of the traditionists, criticized the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila for their scepticism vis-à-vis hadith and the ultimate source of hadith transmission, the Companions of the Prophet. For their part, the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila looked down upon the Sufis as 'adherents of blind imitation, reports and traditions, who transmit a report and its opposite, who believe in both while one of them abrogates the other ...'.100 Important Sufi personalities in the third and fourth centuries shared the traditionists' view that the discord between the sahāba, which the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila highlighted and discussed, ought to be suppressed and passed over in silence: Ibn Khafif relates in the Sīra101 that when Muhammad b. 'Alī al-Kattānī, one of his masters

in Mekka, asked the Prophet in a dream about the 'temptations (fitanha) by which the Companions were afflicted in their mutual relations', the Prophet asked al-Kattānī to keep silence (kuffa 'alayka lisānak).

The Sufis held the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazili concept regarding qadar to be an infringement on God's omnipotence and dignity. This is illustrated in the derogatory story which Ibn Khafif tells about the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazili theologian. On the early fourth-century Sufi Abū Bakr al-Wāsitī (d. 320/932) even compared the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila, on the basis of their belief in free will, with Pharaoh who had claimed to be God. Pharaoh openly claimed lordship for himself (idda<sup>e</sup>ā Fir<sup>e</sup>awn al-rububiyya); the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila do so covertly. They say: "I do whatever I want". On Likewise, when addressing a student of the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazili leader al-Jubbā<sup>e</sup>i, al-Hallāj explains his stance regarding qadar. He maintains that the motives behind God's actions are unfathomable to human reason, and that man's actions are not governed by free will. The Mu<sup>e</sup>tazilī concept of God's justice (al-eadl) which implies that God redresses any wrong that man suffers undeservedly is also ridiculed by the Sufis, as for instance in Ibn Khafīf's Sīra.

The Sufis' main criticism of Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilī rationalism, which focuses on the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilā's rejection of the hadīth and their view of qadar, is shared by Sunnism at large. Moreover, the Sufis differed from the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilā in that they believed that knowledge of 'the unseen' (alghayb) can be acquired by means of inspiration, <sup>196</sup> whereas the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilā taught that knowledge of God could only be attained by a process of ratiocination and not by intuition. <sup>107</sup> In their commitment to the belief that God can be known through some form of inspiration and not only by the prophets, the Sufis shared common ground

<sup>96</sup> Cf Tilman Nagel, Geschichte der islamischen Theologie von Mohammed bis zur Gegenwart (Müschen 1994) 133 ff.

<sup>97</sup> Cf. Ibn Khafif, Ignsåd, fest 46.

<sup>98</sup> Ibn Khafif warns the novice. 'Be on guard and endeavour to shun the assemblies of those contemporaries given to theological speculation (ahl al-nazzār) who are characterized by disputation (mujddala). For the Prophet forbade this and strictly restrained (his followers) from it He said that it is unbelief to argue about the Koran. Firstly, that would engender hatred and sow discord among them. Then it would cause a person to engage in hate and enmity while striving after a position of leadership (rījāsa)' (ibid.).

<sup>99</sup> al-Sulami, Tabaqār 386 f., al-Qushayti, Risāla 29.

<sup>Bishr b. af-Husayn, in Ibn 'Asākir, Tabyin 119.
Sīrat-t fbn Khafif 65, 16 f.</sup> 

<sup>102</sup> fbid. 186-9.

<sup>103</sup> al-Qushayri, Rısāla 5, 1-6.

<sup>104 &</sup>quot;As God brought forth bodies without cause, he also brought forth the attributes (splatcha) contained in them without cause, and as man does not own the root of his action (ld yamlik al-'abd agla fi'libi'), he also does not own his action' (as-Solami, Tabaqāt 311). It is precisely this knowledge that 'all escation's movement and rest is the action of God alone (barakat al-lihalq wa-sukünihum fi'l Allāh wahdahū)' which al-Junayd defines as the contents of tawhād (al-Qushayti, Risāla 5,-7 ft.).

<sup>105</sup> Sirat-i Ibn Khafif 186-189.

<sup>106</sup> lihām, mukāshafa; op. cit. 66.

<sup>107</sup> Cf. al-Jāhiz, in his Kitāb al-masā'il wa'l jawābāt fi'l-ma'rifa, G Vajda, 'La connaissance naturelle de Dieu selon al-Gāluz, critiquée par les Mu'tazalites', Studia Islamica axiv (1966), van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft iii, 382, The Cambridge History of Arabic Literature, cd. A.F.L. Beeston et al., iii vols. (Cambridge 1983-1992) n, 85.

with the Shiis.

From the contents of al-Tanükhi's Nishwar presented above, we may conclude that the Muctazila primarily criticized the Sufis for being insincere, ignorant and irrational. The Sufis are insincere because they are glad to be promoted from poverty to worldly positions in order to become rich (the case of Ruwyam). 108 They pretend to work miracles (as in the case of al-Shibii and al Hallaj). 109 They deceive the weak-minded into joining their school by means of trickery or by appealing to the base motives of potential converts (al-Hallaj).1.0 They are licentious in their relations with fellow-Sufis of the opposite sex (Ibn Khafif). 111 The Sufis are ignorant and irrational because they can be hoodwinked by a buffoon (al-Mada ini).112 Their terminology and science ('the figh of the Sufis') is cause for ridicule (Qadi Bishr regarding the Sufi couple in the lawcourt),113 Madness governs how the Sufis compose their books (al-Hallāj) 114 Their concept of tawakkul runs the risk of having suidical effects for its practitioners. 115 They hold superstitious beliefs, as for example that certain prayer-formulas 116 and talisman-like objects have magic powers,117 They claim to hold the rank of the Companions of the Prophet and believe that God or the spirit of Muhammad dwells within them (hulūl), whereas they cannot even cure themselves of being cross-eyed.118

Besides asserting that the Sufis are insincere, ignorant and irrational, al-Tanūkhī criticizes them for claiming to be able to work miracles. The stories involving Sufis in the Nishwār expressly maintain that the great Sufis — such as al-Shiblī, Ibn Khafīf and al-Hallāj — are not really saints, nor are the miracles (karāmāt) attributed to them accepted as saintly gifts. On the contrary, any miraculous behaviour associated with the Sufis is due to sorcery (siḥr) and ultimately stems from Satan whose purpose is to lead man into per-

dition.<sup>119</sup> The majority of the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila denied that miracles were a confirmation of the sainthood of pious individuals. Only mu<sup>c</sup>jizāt, miracles confirming the prophethood of the historical Messengers (and ayāt, 'signs' of God), were accepted as real. Among the arguments advanced by the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila for rejecting karāmāt was the claim that miracles of this kind could not be distinguished from magic <sup>120</sup> The stones about a wish-fulfilling charm and talisman a Sufi was given seem to be motivated by the kindred denial by some Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila of the belief that prayers are answered by God.<sup>121</sup>

Thus the original Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili criticism, as revealed in al-Tanükhi's stories involving Sufis, seems to be that the Sufis had elaborated a science based on an apparently irrational epistemology. As a consequence of this epistemology, the Sufis claimed to have become receptacles of God's indwelling and to be able to work miracles. The notion that the Sufis are distinguished by God by being able to perform miracles was perceived by the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazila as undermining the unique position of the Prophet, which in their view rests on the mu<sup>c</sup>jiza which God effected through him. If the status of the Prophet is challenged by persons distinguished by the performance of miracles, the sharia itself, whose guarantor is the Prophet, might eventually be rendered irrelevant. Preventing this from happening is a requirement of the Mu<sup>c</sup>tazili principle of actively defending the

<sup>108</sup> Nishwär 12, 120.

<sup>109</sup> Ibid. 1, 159 f., .bid. iz, .28. and .bid. 1, 172.

<sup>1.0</sup> Ibid 1, 161

<sup>111</sup> Ibid. in. 228 f

<sup>112</sup> Ibid. t. 99

<sup>113</sup> Ibid in, 227

<sup>114</sup> Told 1, 169

<sup>115</sup> Ibid in, 76 f

<sup>116</sup> Ibid iu, 198. 117 Ibid iu, 199

<sup>118</sup> Ibid i, 173-6

<sup>119</sup> Prestidigitation such as al-Tanükhi attributes to al-Ḥallāj in some stories was commonly associated with sorcery; cf. Gramitch, Wander 131

<sup>120</sup> Gramhch, op. cit. 106 f. Other arguments the Mu\*taziln employed include the following: 1) If a maracle is performed by someone who is not a prophet as proof of his enjoying divine distinction, it could be performed at any time, so that it would eventually lose its maracles character 2) if miracles could be performed by non-prophets this would detract from the pre-emmence of the prophets. But the obligation to obey prophets is based on the fact that they perform miracles. 3) A miracle provides evidence by way of demonstrating the distinctive and exceptional (ibdina wa-takhiis; 'the argument of Abū Hāshim al-Jubbā'i'). Qādī 'Abd al-Jabbār explains. 'A miracle proves the special distinction of the prophets above anyone else. Were it not for miracles, they would not be different from other people' (cf. Gramlich, op. cit. 189). 4) If miracles could be performed by non-prophets, this would diminish the effectiveness of a miracle to prove prophethood (cf. ibid 98).

<sup>121</sup> Gramlich, op. cit. 106, mentions the Andalussaa theologian Ibn Hazm as an example. The author of Käzarüm's vita, Mahmüd b. "Uthmän, on the other hand, attributes to the Mustazila at large the belief that God answers the prayers of both the righteous and sinners [Mahmüd b. \*Uthmän, Firdaws ul-murshidiyya fi astär al-pamadiyya, ed. Iraj i Afshär (Teheran 1358) 66, 11 f.]

precepts of divine law (al-amr bi'l-ma'rūf wa'l nahy 'an al munkar, 'to enjoin the good and to forbid the reprehensible'). This principle, inherited from al-Hasan al-Basm, would seem to be the fundamental basis of Mu'tazilī opposition to Sufism. 122

# LE PROCES POSTHUME D'IBN "ARABĪ

## MICHEL CHODKIEWICZ

Dans l'introduction d'un ouvrage publié à Médine en 1990 un auteur wahhabite, le Dr. Mūsā b. Sulaymān al-Darwish, exprime son souci de défendre l'islam contre les manœuvres perfides des orientalistes et de leurs disciples musulmans (cette dernière remarque visant particulièrement Abū'l-'Alā 'Afīfī, éditeur des Fusūs al-hikam). Aussi se réjouit-il d'avoir en la bonne fortune lors d'une visite au Caire de découvrir dans la bibliothèque d'Al-Azhar et de pouvoir offrir au lecteur un recueil de textes qui ont en commun de dénoncer la doctrine impie d'Ibn 'Arabi. Le premier de ces textes est d'Ibn Taymiyya. Le demier est une fatwa de Sacd Allah Efendi (ob. 1538-1539) qui fut mufti hanafite à Istanbul En réponse à une longue question, ce juriste donne son avis en quelques lignes: celui, dit-il, qui accorde créance à la doctrine d'Ibn 'Arabi ou simplement hésite à se prononcer sur son caractère hérétique, celui-là est un kāfir. S'il persiste sans se repentir, il doit être mis à mort. l'Cette sentence, nous le verrons, est remarquablement indulgente.

Qu'un wahhābite exhume quelques écrits anciens hostiles au Shaykh al-akbar n'a évidemment rien de surprenant. Ibn 'Arabī était déjà l'une des cibles de Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb qui accusait les syriens de "l'adorer" (ya'budūna lbn 'Arabī) et de faire de sa tombe une idole. La polémique anti-akbarienne occupe toujours une place importante et même souvent centrale dans la plupart des publications saoudiennes récentes qui s'attaquent au soufisme dès qu'elles abordent des problèmes doctrinaux: Ibn 'Arabī y apparaît comme l'imām des soufis, celui dont l'enseignement pernicieux, de manière ouverte ou cachée, est partout présent. Cela est vrai de simples brochures de propagande comme les Fadā'ih al-sūfīvya du

<sup>122</sup> Of Hellmut Ritter, 'Studien zur islamischen Frömungkeit. 1. Hasan al-Başı'ı', Der Islam xxi (1933) 1-83, 66.

Rasă'il wa-fatăwâ fi dhamın ibn 'Arabi al-şúfı (Médine 1990) 122

Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhāb, Majmū'ar al-fatāwā wa'l rasā'd wa'l ajvaba (Beyrouth 1987) 46

<sup>3</sup> Ce diagnostic, à vrai dire, me parat fort lucide Cf. M. Chodkiewicz, Un océan sans rivage (Paris 1992) (An Ocean without Shore, Albany 993) introduction

shaykh 'Abd al-Rahman 'Abd al-Khāliq4 selon lequel, pour Ibn Ambi, "Iblis est une partie de Dieu" comme d'ouvrages plus ambitieux tel celui que le Dr. Şābir Tucayma a consacré aux croyances et pratiques des soufis5 et où la critique de l'auteur des Fusüs occupe plusieurs dizaines de pages. Je limiterai toutefois à ces brèves remarques - pour une ratson que j'exposerai bientôt - mon incursion dans le champ de la littérature wahhābite contemporaine. Je me bornerai de même à rappeler, sans y insister, la position bien connue des salafiyva, telle qu'elle s'exprime dans le Tafsīr almanār6 mais aussi dans d'innombrables livres ou articles depuis plus d'un siècle. Les arguments utilisés dans les campagnes antiakbariennes inspirées par ces deux courants ou par les mouvements qui en sont issus sont, à vrai dire, à peu près identiques. Au delà de leurs effets directs, aisément repérables, c'est à dire des convictions militantes qu'elles suscitent dans certains secteurs du public musulman, ces diatribes ont des conséquences indirectes qui méritent de retenir l'attention. Désormais, au Proche-orient, et particulièrement en Egypte, les dignitaires officiels du tasawwuf. anxieux d'écarter tout soupçon d'hétérodoxie, désavouent généralement avec un empressement peu glorieux le soufisme "étranger à l'islam" que représentent Ibn 'Arabi et ses élèves: "ils n'ont plus aujourd'hui", assure d'ailleurs le shaykh de la tariga muhammadiyya shādhiliyya, "ni disciples ni héritiers".7 Bien que

Fadå ih at-süffiya (Riyad 1991, 2ème ed.), voir 23 f et 58.

Al-sūfiyva mu'taqadan wa-maslakan (Riyad 1985) 388. Sur Iba 'Arabi, voit notamment 165 à 183 et 205-245. Parmi ces polémiques wahhābites récentes contre l'an "Ambi seralent à relever aussi divers onyrages relatifs aux jurne et en particulier conx d''Abd al-

Rahman Dimushqiyya dans la collection Dirasat fi'l-taşawiraf, publide à Riyad.

nombre de facteurs extra-doctrinaux soient à prendre en considération dans l'analyse d'une affaire compliquée, on peut voir une manifestation de cette prudence dans la condamnation, en 1975, par le "Conseil suprême soufi" de la Tabri at al-dhumma du Shaykh Muhammad 'Uthman al-Burhanı (ob. 1983): le livre édité sons son nom n'est en effet qu'une vaste anthologie où figurent de longs extraits - très précisément référencés - d'œuvres d'Ibn 'Arabi et d'Abd al-Karim al-Jīlī. Ce sont donc ces derniers qui en fait sont visés par les chefs d'accusation retenus contre Muhammad 'Uthmān.8

La même année 1975 vit s'ouvrir une controverse célèbre où, cette fois. Ibn "Arabī lui-même était expressément mis en cause. Je me trouvais au Caire lorsque, le vendredi 14 novembre, le quotidien Al-Akhbar publia une "lettre ouverte au ministre de la culture" signée du Shaykh Kamāl Ahmad 'Awn, directeur d'Al-Azhar à Tanta, qui dénoncait les Futûhāt comme un ouvrage blasphématoire et s'indignait du patronage accordé par le ministère à l'édition critique établie par le Dr. Osman Yahva.9 Cette première salve fut suivie de beaucoup d'autres sous forme de conférences et d'articles. 10 Le zèle d'un député de Tanta (coïncidence qui n'a évidemment rien de fortuit) devait, on le sait, amener une commission du Parlement égyptien à décider en février 1979 d'interdire la diffusion des Futühāt. Je ne m'étendrai pas sur les remous provoqués par cette décision qui dût finalement être annulée. Un article de Th. Emil

revue al-Tasannouf al-islàmi, organe du "Conseil soufi suprême" égyptien.

l'avais précisément rendez-vous de bon matin ce pour-là avec O. Yahyā et lui fit lire cette "lettre ouverte" qui, sur le moment, lui parut plus ridicule qui inquiétante

<sup>6</sup> Tafsir ol-monar va. 439; ix, 170 Sur ce point, comme sur benucoup d'antres, il est difficile de discerner dans le Tafsir al-manăr une position proprement sulafivva et distincte de celle du wahhäbisme, dont l'influence sur Muhammad Rashid Rida est bien connne. On sait que Muhammad 'Abduh avan rédigé vers 1874 une Risalat al-maridat où il exprimant son udhesson à la doctrone de la wahdat al-winiid. Au cours des années trentes, un shaykh algérien. Ahmad b. "Aliwa, se fera d'anteurs un plaisir de rappeler certaines phrases particulièrement compromettantes de cet écrit dans sa riposté à un article paru dans la revue de Benbadis, al-Shihāb. (Ce texte a été repris dans le recneil d'articles du Shaykh Ibn "Aliwa intitulé Risâlat al Noste Ma'riif publie à Damas, ca. 1960, voir, sur Ibn 'Arabi, 58 et 112-115). Bien que l'évolution d'éAbout l'ait entraîné fort loin des idées exprimées dans son œuvre de jeunesse, on geut penser que, s'il avait véen assez longtemps pour en contrôler la rédaction, certains passages du Tafsir auraient exposé des vocs certes hostiles à Ibn 'Ambi mais plus personnelles et peut-être plus nuancées

Interview du Shaykh Muhammad Zaki Ibrāhīm dans le no. d'aout 1992, 43, de la

Sur les polémaques autour de la Buthāmyya et de son chef, voir l'article de P J Linzard, 'Le rôle des confrênes dans le système postique égyptien', Maghreb Mashrek exxxi-(1991) et celui de Valerie Hoffman-Ladd, 'Devotion to the Prophet and his Family in Egyptian Sufism', IJMES xxiv (1992) 615-637, ainsi que le chapitre 10 de l'ouvrage du même auteur. Sufism, Mystics and Saints in Modern Egypt (University of South Carolina 1995) Voit également mes remarques dans 'Le modèle prophétique de la saintelé en islam', Al Masag viu

<sup>10</sup> Parmi les innombrables articles suscités par cette affaire signalons la réponse d'O Yahyā dans al-Akhbār le 8 mars 1976 et la réplique de Kamāi Ahmad 'Awn dans ce meme journal le 9 avril 1976 (jour où parassart, dans al Abrâm, une déclaration d'Ibrâhîm Madkûr préfacier de l'édition des Futühät) O Yahyā répondit de nouveau à Kamāl Ahmad 'Awn dans al-Akhbar le 14 and 1976. Kamal 'Awa entrepart d'autre past de développer sa dénonciation des creeurs d'Ibn "Arabi dans sux numéros successifs de la vevue Lové" al-islâm à partir d'avri. 1976.

Homerin<sup>11</sup> décrit les principales étapes de cette affaire. Plusieurs membres du "Conseil suprême soufi" se décidèrent à intervenir publiquement en faveur d'une levée de la censure (le Shaykh al-Sutūhi, le Dr. Abū'l-Wafā al-Taftāzānī, le Dr. Kamāl Ja<sup>c</sup>far)<sup>12</sup> mais assez tardivement et à un moment où la conjonction d'une volonté politique d'"ouverture" et de vigoureuses protestations d'intellectuels "laics" avait assuré le succès d'une solution libérale. Bien des signes me donnent à penser qu'aujourd'hui, quinze ans après cette bruyante querelle, la pratique de la taqiyya en ces matières est encore plus fréquente chez les notables que par le passé.

Quoiqu'il en soit, je n'ai évoqué quelques aspects récents de la polémique contre le Shaykh al-akbar que pour rappeler qu'elle n'est jamais éteinte. Son intérêt est donc d'une toute autre nature que celui qu'on peut porter à la condamnation de Siger de Brabant ou de Maître Eckhart. Si, pourtant, je renonce à proposer une analyse détaillée de ses formes les plus actuelles, c'est pour une raison que suggère le titre donné à ces réflexions. L'emploi du singulier (le procès) y est délibéré: de siècle en siècle, nous ne nous trouvons pas, en dépit des apparences, devant une série de procès mais devant un même procès indéfiniment recommencé, avec les mêmes accusations appuyées sur les mêmes arguments. Un inventaire exhaustif des milliers de pages qui ont été écrites contre lbn 'Arabi — et dont la masse s'accroît chaque année — est bien entendu impossible. Les listes qu'a dressées O. Yaḥyā¹³ n'ont qu'un caractère indicatif. Très incomplètes à partir du seizième siècle, elles ne tiennent compte

12 Abū'i Wafā' al-Taftāzāni est l'auteur, entre autres, d'un volummenz ouvrage libr Sab'in wa-falsafatuhu al-sūfivva. Le Dr. Kumāl Ja'far a notamment édité plusaeurs tratés d'Ibn Masarra

13 Histoire et classification de l'oeuvre d'Ibn 'Arobī (Damas 1964) i, 114 f., édition du Nașș al nusüs de Ḥaydur i Amoli ("Le Texte des Textes"). (Paris Téhéran 1975) 36-65 du texte arabe

d'autre part que des sources arabes et ignorent les innombrables écrits rédigés en d'autres langues dans toutes les régions du monde musulman: Iran, Inde, Indonésie. Mes propres recherches portent d'ailleurs elles aussi sur le domaine arabophone. Sur les autres secteurs du dar al-islam, mes connaissances sont lacunaires et le plus souvent de seconde main. Je ne crois pas me tromper cependant en affirmant que des études pluridisciplinaires couvrant en étendue et en profondeur la totalité du champ de la ittérature anti-akbarienne n'apporteraient guère de surprise: dès l'époque mamlouke, le cadre du débat est tracé, la liste des thèses condamnables arrêtée, les armes scripturaires fourbies et prêtes pour un long usage. 14 Certaines des controverses ultérieures reprendront l'ensemble du réquisitoire; d'autres s'attacheront plus particulièrement à la dénonciation d'une ou de quelques unes seulement des thèses hérétiques cataloguées. Mais ces rhapsodies, quels qu'en soient les signataires, cousent avec plus ou moins d'habilité des morceaux dont chacun appartient originellement à une production littéraire qui s'étend du début du quatorzième à la fin du quinzième siècle - disons de 1305, date de la lettre d'Ibn Taymıyya au shaykh al-Manbıjî, à la mort, en 1497, de Muhammad al-Sakhāwī, auteur d'al-Qawl al-munbī 'an tarjamat Ibn al-'Arabi C'est donc à l'examen de quelques épisodes majeurs de la polémique au cours de cette période que je vais m'attacher dans les remarques qui suivent.

Comme l'indique d'autre part le titre du présent exposé, le procès d'Ibn 'Arabi est un procès posthume. Contrairement à des légendes tardives — parfois entretenues par les défenseurs d'Ibn 'Arabi — ce dernier ne semble pas avoir été victime de persécutions de son vivant (l'histoire selon laquelle il aurait été menacé de mort en Egypte est dépourvue de toute crédibilité) et il a bénéficié de l'amitié et du

<sup>11</sup> Th. Em.i Homeria, 'Îba 'Arabî in the People's Assembly', Middle East Journal xl (1986) 462-477 Il resterast beaucoup à dire sur les dessons politiques de cette affaire comme aussi sur les luttes d'influence --- notamment au soin d'al-Azhar - qui en expliquent certains aspects. Le recteur d'al-Azhar, 'Abd d-Halim Mahmüd (ob. 1978), dont l'intérêt bienveillant pour Ibn 'Arabi et pour le sontisme en général était de notoriété publique, fut au départ l'une des cibles de cette campagne. Il était d'ailleurs expressément visé dans l'un des articles de Kanā. 'Awn (Liwā' al-islām, déc. 1976, 45) à propos du chaputre 2 de son livre al-Madrasa al-shādhttivwa al-hadtha (Le Caire, ca. 1968). Ce chaputre, où il évoquait la constitution en Egypte au début du stècle d'une association "Akhariyya", n'est en fait que la reprise d'une brochure publiée par lut (s. d.; lorsque j'en fis l'acquisation au Caire au printemps 1954, elle était de publication réceate), al-Forlassif al-muslim, 'Abd al-Wāhd Yahyā

<sup>14</sup> II me faut toutefois préciser que je laisse délibérément de côté, comme relevant d'une toute autre analyse, un type de débat qui conceroe aussi la doctrine akbarienne celui qui oppose, à l'intérieur du soufisme, partisans de la wahdat al-wujūd et partisans de la wahdat alsuhād En dépit des efforts de certains auteurs indiens [et, par exemple, tout récemment, de Muhammad Abdul Haq Ansan dans Sufism and Shari'ah (Londres 1986)] pour faire d'Ahmad Sirhindi un successeur d'Ibu Taymiyya, je pense avec Y Friedmann, Shavkh Ahmad Sirhindi (Montreal/Londres 1971) et avec I G I Ter Haar, Volgeling en erfgenann van de profest (Leyde 1989) que le Mujaddid, s'il critique fbn 'Arabi, ne peut être considéré comme un adversaire du Shaykh al-akbar II avance d'ailleurs des thèses qui ne sont pas moins 'hérétiques' II est vrai, néanmoins, que al-Sakhāwi (al-Qawl al-munh), ms Berlin 2849, Spr 790, ff 845-85) earégimente Sumaini dens la liste des témoins à charge. Mais al-Sakhāwi fait feu de tout bors.

patronage de fuquh㺠émments.15 Les premières réactions hostiles à son sujet résultent de la diffusion progressive, après sa mort, de certains de ses écrits demeurés jusque là confinés dans le cercle de ses élèves et en particulier des Fusus al hikam qui, de mamère relativement concise, énonçaient plus explicitement que d'autres ouvrages quelques uns des thèmes les plus provocants de l'enseignement akbarien. Les effets de cette diffusion furent de toute évidence aggravés par la présentation systématique et la formalisation dans un langage marqué par la falsafa que donnèrent de ces thèmes les commentaires de l'œuvre d'Ibn 'Arabī - et surtout des Fusūs - dûs aux disciples directs ou indirects: Şadr al-Din al-Qünawi (ob. 1274), al-Jandi (ob. 1301), al-Qashani (ob. 1329). Al-Dhahabī déclare expressément que c'est à la fin du septième siècle de l'hégire, lorsque les Fusus commencèrent à circuler parmi les ulama, qu'Ibn 'Arabi fut démasqué. 16 Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalani, dans le Lisan al-mizan,17 constate de même qu'Ibn 'Arabi fut jugé favorablement par ses contemporains et y voit la preuve qu'ils ignoraient "ce qu'a rendu évident son livre des Fusûs". Le faqīh malikite "Abd al-Latīf al-Su"ūdī (ob. 1335) confirme — selon une version imprimée d'une de ses fatwas - cette datation. 18

Le combat contre l'"hérésie akbarienne" ne s'engage véritablement, en tout cas, qu'au début du huitième/treizième siècle et c'est Ibn Taymiyya qui ouvrira les hostilités Le docteur hanbalite a certes des précurseurs, tel ce Qutb al-Din al-Qastallani (ob. 1287) - fils et neveu, pourtant, de personnages avec lesquels l'auteur des Fusûs fut très lié 19 - dont les invectives contre al-Hallaj, Ibn 'Arabi et surtout Ibn Sabein nous sont connues à travers les citations qu'en donnent, outre Ibn Taymıyya lui-même, différents auteurs plus tardifs 20 Mais il ne s'agit encore que de guérillas dispersées. C'est avec Ibn Taymıyya que se fait jour une stratégie cohérente à défaut d'être efficace: elle vaudra en effet à celui qui la met en œuvre des infortunes successives dont la chronique est trop connue pour que je l'évoque ici et n'empêchera pas les thèses qu'il dénonce de se répandre. Mais elle prépare déjà d'autres assauts.

Ibn Arabi et ses disciples sont à maintes reprises mentionnés dans les trente-sept volumes de l'édition saoudienne des fatwas du shavkh al-islâm. Ces écrits de circonstances sont toutefois extrêmement répétitifs. Dans cette copieuse production, je retiendrai donc principalement trois textes qui sont les plus significatifs et les plus complets la lettre au shaykh al-Manbiji, qui paraît être le premier exposé systématique de la position d'Ibn Taymiyya,21 et les deux traités publiés sous les titres d'Ibiāl wahdat al-wujūd22 et de Haqīqat madhhab al-ittihādiyyīn.23 Ce dernier, qui est le plus long, est une réponse d'Ibn Taymiyya à un disciple qui n'a pu entendre jusqu'au bout les explications orales de son maître. Le ton en est plus abrupt que celui de la lettre à al-Manbiji, qui s'adressait à un partisan d'Ibn "Arabī, Mais, surtout, le catalogue des hérésies y est plus complet, la documentation plus abondante. Cette différence ne s'explique sans doute pas seulement par celle des destinataires mais aussi, me semble-t-il, par une meilleure connaissance du dossier: tout au long de son combat solitaire contre la conjuration des impies, Ibn Taymiyya poursuit son enquête, accumule les pièces à conviction.

<sup>15</sup> Je renvoie sur cas points à Claude Addas, Ibn "Arabi ou la quête du Soufre rouge (Paris 1989) 230-232 at chapitre x; Quest for the Red Sulphur (Cambridge 1993) 191-192 at

<sup>16</sup> al-Sakhāwī, op. cit., f. 102

<sup>17</sup> Lisān al-mīzān (Hayderabad 1329 h.) v. 312-315. Iba Hajar pratiquait-il in tagivyo ca ces matières? Je a oscrais I affirmer mais a est en tout cas curieux de consister que son nom figure dans une chaîne de transmission (remomant à Sadr al-din al-Qünawi) de la riwawi des ocuvres d'Ibn "Arabi [voir la thèse de B. Aladdin, 'Abdolgoni an-Nabulusi, oeuvre, rie, doctrine (Paris I 1985)] n. .53). Il conserva d'autre part tout au long de sa vie des relations amicales avec le Shaykh Muhammad al-Hanafi (orphelins tous deux, ils s'étaient comms dès l'enfance) dont les audaces de langage aurasent pu l'inquiéter, "Ali al-Batanuni, al-Surr al-safi (Le Carre 1306 h.) 17 Sur Phu Hayar, voir également infra, note 92.

<sup>18</sup> Le texte de cette fatwa se trouve inclus dans le recueil signalé note 1 Le passage cité figure p. 76. (Toutefois, dans le ms. de Berlin de al-Sakhāwī, op. cit., f. 74b, la notice sur al-Su'adi, qui reproduit cette phrase, mentionne le sauème et nou le septième siècle de l'hégire) Voir aussi le propos de Kamāl al-din al Marāghi rapporté par Ibn Taymiyya, Majmū'et alrasă u wa'l-masă'it, ed. Muhammad Rashid Ridă (Le Caire s.d.) iv, 75-76, selon lequel ce sont les commentaires oraux de "Afuf al-din al-Tahmsani (ob. 1291) sur les Fuyaş que las oat ouverties year.

<sup>19</sup> Sur le père de Qutb al-dia, Abbil-"Abbăs, voir al-Fuiihāt al-Mokkiyvo, (Büsag 1329 h.) 1, 391-392, 1v. 474

<sup>20</sup> al-Sakhāwi, al-Qawl al-mumbi, f 44, fait référence à deux de ses ouvrages, le Knāb al-irtibăt et la Nusha sariha dopt je ne connais pas de mss. identifiés.

<sup>21</sup> Majmü fatāwā shavkh al-islām Ibn Tavmevya (+MF) (al-Riyād 1340-1382 h.) n. 452-479; Majmū'at al rasā'd wa'l-masā'd ( MRM), éd. Muḥammad Rashid R.dā (Le Catre s d ) i

<sup>22</sup> MF n. 286-361 MRM ni. 61 120.

<sup>23</sup> MF n. 134-285; MRM iv. 1-102. Les références à ces trois textes seront er après à l'édition Rashid Ridà, plus accessible et moins encombrante.

Une remarque s'impose néanmoins: dans la lettre à al-Manbiji, il convient qu'ayant lu d'abord les Futühāt Makkiyya il avait retiré de cette lecture une impression plutôt favorable. Il précise même que les modalités du sulūk, telles que les present Ibn 'Arabi, sont conformes à la tradition.<sup>24</sup> Or il ne s'agit pas là d'une simple concession à un soufi influent. Dans la Haqīqa, où il n'a aucune raison d'user de prudence, Ibn Taymiyya déclare que, bien que cette doctrine (celle d'Ibn 'Arabi et de ceux qui le suivent) soit du kufr, Ibn 'Arabi est, parini eux, le plus proche de l'islam et qu'on trouve dans son œuvre d'excellents propos.<sup>25</sup> Les caudataires tardifs d'Ibn Taymiyya seront, on ne s'en étonnera pas, insensibles à ces nuances. Leur information sera d'ailleurs souvent moins étendue que celle du polémiste hanbalite: outre les Futūḥāt et, bien sûr, les Fuṣūṣ, Ibn Taymiyya mentionne également la Durra fākhira,<sup>26</sup> le 'Anqā mughrib, le Kunh mā lā budda li'l-murīd minhu et le Amr al-muḥkam.<sup>27</sup>

Sans doute exagère-t-il quelque peu en affirmant que l'ignorance des ittihādiyyūn de son époque est telle que "lorsque j'expliquai à certains de ces gens et à leurs chefs la signification réelle de ce qu'ils professent et le secret de leurs opinions, ils se mirent à faire grand cas de cela au point que, si je n'avais accompagné mes paroles d'un blâme et d'une réfutation, ils m'auraient considéré comme un de leurs imāms". 28 Mais on ne peut mer son souci persévérant de connaître — sinon de comprendre — les œuvres qu'il censure.

Les citations textuelles d'Ibn 'Arabī qu'on trouve dans les trois opuscules que je considère ici sont cependant empruntées pour la plupart aux Fusūs et surtout au prologue et aux chapitres 2, 3 et 4 de cet ouvrage. <sup>29</sup> Mais Ibn Taymiyya fait aussi très largement appel, il faut le noter, à des citations d'autres ittihādiyyūn: Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Qūnawī, Ibn Sabcīn, Ibn Isrācīl, cAfīf al-Dīn al-Tilmsānî, Awhad al-Dīn al-Balyānī: c'est à un madhhab qu'il s'attaque, à une école

où les épigones sont plus coupables et plus dangereux que le fondateur. Il s'ensuit que, malgré le souci plusieurs fois exprimé d'innocenter Ibn 'Arabi de certaines des abominations professées par ses successeurs, Ibn Taymiyya tend à globaliser ses critiques et opère donc de facto un amalgame. Seul un lecteur ayant une connaissance directe et solide de l'œuvre du Shaykh al-akbar pourra garder présentes à l'esprit les distinctions qui s'imposent Cette connaissance faisant presque toujours défaut à ceux qui prétendent aujourd'hui revêtir le manteau d'Ibn Taymiyya, il n'est guère surprenant de les voir imputer au Shaykh al-akbar des idées qui ne sont aucunement les siennes. C'est ainsi qu'Ibn 'Arabi sera fréquemment par la suite accusé de considérer comme licites les rapports sexuels d'un homme avec sa mère ou sa soeur. Or, chez Ibn Taymnyya cette opinion évidemment scandaleuse n'est pas attribuée à Ibn 'Arabi mais (tout aussi injustement d'ailleurs: on reconnaît là un des topoi favoris des chasseurs d'hérésie) à Ibn Sabein et à al-Tilimsäni.30

On peut regrouper les thèses condamnées sous quatre têtes de chapitres.<sup>31</sup> En premier lieu vient, on ne s'en étonnera pas, la doctrine de la waḥdat al-wujūd,<sup>32</sup> mère de toutes les erreurs, et qui, selon Ibn Taymıyya, consiste à croire que l'être de Dieu est l'être même "des djinns, des démons, des infidèles, des prévaricateurs, des chiens et des porcs".<sup>33</sup> Ceux qui professent cette croyance impie protestent d'ailleurs, note à juste titre Ibn Taymiyya, contre l'accusation de hulūl ("inhérence") et refusent la dénomination d'ittihādiyyūn, ces deux termes impliquant une dualité (tathniya) que leur doctrine exclut. A la critique de l'"unicité de l'Etre" se rattache directement celle de l'interprétation blasphématoire par Ibn 'Arabī du ḥadīth qudsī selon lequel Dieu aime le serviteur qui s'est "approché de Lui" par l'accomplissement des actes surérogatoires (nawāfil) et obligatoires (farā'id) et devient alors "son ouïe par

<sup>24</sup> MRM i, 171 Ibn Taymiyya semble même avoir consulté, sinon un manuscrit antographe des Futühāt du moins in manuscrit révisé par Ibn "Arabi

<sup>25</sup> MRM IV. 6.

<sup>26</sup> MRM t, 17.

<sup>27</sup> MF IV. 18. 28 MRM IV 4

<sup>29</sup> Mentionnous, à titre d'exemples: MRM iv, 42-43, référence au chapitre 3 (Nûḥ), suivi d'une longue citation, 46, citation du chapitre 9 (Yusūf); 46-50, longue citation du chapitre 2 (Shith): 58, citation du chapitre 14 ('Uzayr); 63, nouvelle citation du chapitre 2; 79, citation du chapitre 3 (Nûḥ), 80 f., très longue citation du chapitre 24 (Hūrūn). Des Futūḥāt sont surtout cités qualques vers (MRM I, 62 et 81, 112)

<sup>30</sup> Bughwat al-murtadd, MF iii, 1326-1329

<sup>31</sup> Pour une analyse beaucoup plus détaillée que celle, très sommante, que je propose toi voir Cyntile Chodkiewicz, Les prenuères polémiques autour d'Ibn 'Arabi Ibn Tavan voa (Thèse de 3ème cycle dactylographies, Pans iv 1984).

<sup>32</sup> L'expression wahdat al wujud, qui est devenue en quelque sorte le nom de code de la métaphysique akbaneune, ne se trouve pas chez llin 'Arabi. Elle apparait furtivement chez al-Qunawi et ne commence à revêtir un sens technique que chez al-Farghāni. Elle devra sa fontune au moins autant aux adversaires qu'aux partisans du Shaykh al-akbar.

<sup>33</sup> MRM iv. 5.

laquelle il entend, son pied par lequel il marche, sa main par laquelle il saisit..." Pour Ibn 'Arabi, ce hadīth implique l'identification totale de Dieu et du 'abd — ou plutôt l'actualisation par le 'abd d'une identité qui n'a pas à advenir car elle n'a jamais cessé d'être même si la créature n'en avait pas conscience 34

La deuxième thèse visée est celle de la wahdat al-adyan. expression qui n'appartient pas au vocabulaire du Shaykh al akbar. Ibn 'Arabi et ses disciples sont accusés de ne faire aucune différence entre le shirk et l'iman au point que "chez eux, le shaytan lui-même est considéré comme un lieu théophanique (majla ilahī) qu'il faut donc honorer: et [selon eux] celui qui l'adore n'adore que Dieu",35 Cette présentation — évidemment caricaturale — de textes akbariens - et plus spécialement du chapitre 3 des Fusüs, celui consacré à Noé — qui semblent mettre sur le même plan la vraie foi et les pires idolâtries ne peut bien sûr qu'hornfier le commun des croyants. La troisième thèse, d'ailleurs logiquement liée à la précédente, est celle - indubitablement présente chez Ibn 'Arabi - de la non-éternité du châtiment des damnés lesquels, sans quitter le séjour infernal, seront néanmoins, eux aussi, enveloppés par "Ja Miséricorde qui embrasse toute chose" (Cor. 7/156); cette forme islamique de la doctrine de l'apocatastase est récusée de façon particulièrement violente comme propre à écarter toute crainte du jugement de Dieu et donc à encourager les pires turpitudes.36 Un cas particulier qu'on peut inclure sous cette tête de chapitre donne lieu, chez Ibn Taymiyya, à de fréquents développements et son importance sera même souvent hypertrophiée par les auteurs ultérieurs au point d'être l'unique sujet de certains écrits polémiques: je veux parler du statut posthume de Fir awn, qu'Ibn 'Arabi déclare sauvé par l'acte de foi qu'il énonce au moment où la mer l'engloutit. Ni musulman, ni juif, ni chrétien

34 MRM in., 13, tv, 17 Sur l'anterprétation de ce hadith par îbn 'Arabi, voir par exemple Futünêt tv, 24 et 449; Fusiq 1, 81

n'avait jamais osé proférer une erreur aussi scandaleuse, déclare Ibn Taymiyya.<sup>37</sup>

La quatrième cible du docteur hanbalite est l'hagiologie akbarienne avec toutes les notions qui lui sont liées: celle de haqique muhammadivva et celle de "Sceau de la sainteté" en particulier (pour laquelle la principale pièce à conviction est le chapitre 2 des Fuṣūs, celui consacré à Shith). 38 L'identification de la "Réalité muhammadienne" au qalam ou au 'aql awwal est rejetée avec force comme l'est aussi la thèse prêtée (à tort) à lbn 'Arabī selon laquelle le walī serait supérieur au nabī. Quant au khatm al-walāya — terme dont lbn Taymiyya attribue correctement le premier emploi à al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmidhī — c'est une invention exhorbitante qui ne peut se prévaloir d'aucun appui scripturaire et attente à la dignité du Prophète.

On pourrait certes allonger cette liste de "propositions condamnables" en y incluant des critiques portant sur diverses formulations qu'Ibn Taymıyya juge erronées ou scandaleuses. Mais si l'on s'en tient aux attaques visant Ibn 'Arabi lui-même, en excluant donc celles qui visent ses disciples, on constate qu'elles peuvent être regroupées sous l'un ou l'autre des quatre chefs d'accusation mentionnés ci-dessus. Reste par conséquent à s'interroger sur les procédés mis en œuvre par Ibn Taymiyya dans cette entreprise polémique dont je ne soulignerai jamais assez le caractère fondateur. Nul ne saurait contester l'habileté dialectique du shaykh al-islam et l'éclat de son talent. J'ai déjà dit, d'autre part, qu'il s'appuyait sur une documentation étendue et de première main S'il me parait évident que sa lecture d'Ibn 'Arabī comporte de graves contresens (que dénonceront les défenseurs de l'auteur des Fusus), il n'en est pas moins vrai qu'elle n'est pas superficielle. Quand il fait le procès de la wahdat al-wujūd, il se borne parfois à en appeler ironiquement au simple bon-sens. C'est ainsi qu'il raconte qu'un shaykh appartenant au madhhab honni déclara un jour: "Si

<sup>35</sup> MRM iv, 85. Sur cet aspect de la docume akbanenne voir par exemple, outre le chapitre 3 des Fusüs, Futühāt iv, 400s , 449. L'idée selon laquelle Dieu est al-ma'būd fi kull ma būd n'est évidenment pas chez Ibn 'Arabi une invitation à adorer les idoles ou le diable. Son appur scripturaire est le verset 17/23 dont l'interprétation, ou va le voir, est un élément majer r de la polémique.

<sup>36</sup> MRM îv. 74. La formulation chrétienne de l'apocatastase — condomnée par le magistère (Canon 9 du Concile de Constantinople en 453) — apparaît chez Origène. On la trouve aussi, entre autres auteurs, chez Grégoire de Nazianze, Grégoire de Nysse, Scot Erigène De nos jours la doctrue de l'apocatastase a été avancée — comme "hypothèse" et non comme "thèse" — par le théologien Urs von Basthasar

<sup>37</sup> MRM iv. 91-92 et 98-101 Sur ce problème veu D Gril, 'Le personnage coranique de Pharaon d'après l'interprétation d'Ibn 'Arabi', Annales islamologiques xiv (1978) 37-57 et Carl W Erust, 'Controversies over Ibn al-'Arabi's Puşüş: the facth of Pharaoh', Islamic Culture cix (1985) 259-266

<sup>38</sup> MRM IV, 48-50 et 58-70, la critique de l'hagiologie d'Ibn 'Arabi est longuement développée dans al Farq bavn audiva' al-Rahman wa-audiva' al-shayan, MF xi, 156-310 Sur la doctane d'Ibn 'Arabi en cette matière, voir M. Chodkiewicz, Le Sceau des saints (Paris 1986), id., Seal of the Saints, (Cambridge 1993).

quelqu'un prétend qu'il y a dans l'existence autre chose que Dieu, il ment !" A quoi quelqu'un objecta: "mais alors qui ment?" Confondu, le shaykh ne sut que répondre.39 Mais Ibn Taymiyya ne se contente pas de ces arguments faciles et analyse par exemple avec attention la notion de 'avn thabita dont il voit bien qu'elle est fondamentale dans la métaphysique d'Ibn 'Arabi. Il ne peut évidemment s'accommoder de l'exemplarisme akbarien qui, à ses yeux, réifie les objets de la science divine en leur conférant le thubut, la permanence, l'immutabilité: il voit aussitôt poindre là la croyance impie en l'éternité du monde. On observe d'ailleurs, sur ce point précis, les limites de sa capacité d'analyse: pour Ibn 'Arabī, les a'yān thābita sont effectivement éternelles. Mais elles sont éternelles en Dieu. Elles ne sont donc pas "le monde" car - pour reprendre la formule d'Alain de Lille - "tout ce qui est en Dieu est Dieu", Mais Ibn Taymiyya perçoit clairement, d'autre part, ce qui sépare l'école d'Ibn 'Arabi, pour laquelle l'univers est le déploiement des théophanies (tajalliyāt), de la waḥda muṭlaqa d'Ibn Sab'ın ou de al-Balyani<sup>40</sup> même si, dans le feu de la polémique, l'anathème confond finalement les uns et les autres.

Les arguments linguistiques tiennent une grande place. l'expression coranique ât Fir'awn (Cor. 40/45), selon Ibn 'Arabī, désigne les "gens de Pharaon" à l'exclusion de ce dernier. Ibn Taymiyya entend, lui, démontrer que cette interprétation n'est pas conforme au bon usage de la langue arabe. 41 Autre querelle de vocabulaire particulièrement vive, car elle porte sur l'appui scripturaire de ce qu'Ibn Taymiyya appelle wahdat al-adyān, celle qui concerne le verbe qadā dans le verset 17/23 (wa qadā rabbuka an lā ta'budū illā iyyāhu). s'agit-il d'un décret (imprescriptible) ou d'un commandement (auquel on peut désobéir)? 42 Ibn Taymiyya s'applique aussi à démontrer l'inauthenticité de hadīth-s souvent cités par Ibn 'Arabī comme celui qu'il emploie pour légitimer la notion de haqīqa muḥammadiyya: "J'étais prophète alors qu'Adam

était encore entre l'eau et la boue". 43 Mais les armes le plus fréquemment utilisées relèvent de l'exégèse et opposent le sens obvie des versets ou des hadūths aux interprétations qu'en donne le Shaykh al-akbar, 44 constamment accusé de tabdil ou de tahrif de la Parole divine au point qu'il est "pire que Musayhma". 45 Si les disciples sont plus hérétiques que leur maître — al-Qūnawī, entre autres, est "le plus impie et le plus dépourvu de science et de foi "46 — il n'en reste pas moins qu'Ibn 'Arabī cumule — cette liste n'est pas limitative — les erreurs des jahnnyva, des qarmates, des falāsifa, des mu'tazīla et que les chrétiens eux-mêmes sont moins égarés que lim

Husayn b. al-Ahdal, qadi d'Abyāt Ḥusayn — où a eu lieu, dit-il, l'enterrement d''Abd al-Karīm al-Jili<sup>47</sup> — meurt lui-même en 1451, au moment où va prendre fin le règne de la dynastie rasūlide sur le Yémen. <sup>48</sup> De même que la campagne menée par Ibn Taymiyya contre Ibn 'Arabī est explicitement en relation avec le péril mongol et constitue un appel urgent aux dingeants, trop complaisants pour l'hérésie, d'une umma affaible, l'œuvre polémique d'al-Ahdal s'inscrit, elle aussi, dans un contexte politique qui en explique la violence. Au Yémen, les souverains rasūlides, d'une manière

<sup>39</sup> MRM to 76

<sup>40</sup> Voir MRM iv, 5-17 La distinction entre ashāb al-tayalli et ashāb al-waḥda al-maṇlago sera très clairement marquée aussi chez Lisân al-din Ibn al Khaṭib et chez Ibn Khaldūn. Sur ce point, voir notre introduction à la traduction de l'Epître sur l'Unicité absolue de al-Balyânī (Paris , 986), souvent attribuée à tort à Ibn 'Arabī.

<sup>41</sup> MRM sv 99 (Cf Futühät v. 277).

<sup>42</sup> MRM ., 79, 89, 173.

<sup>43</sup> MRM 1, 8 et 70 (Cf. Futthat 1, 243, iii, 22, 141, etc.) Autre exemple intéressant, colu. du hadith "zidni fiko tahaysuran" fondement de la doctune akbanenne de la hayra (qu'on peut assimiler à l'epokiasis de Grégoire de Nysse). Voir MRM iv. 45 (Cf. Futthat 1, 270, 420; n. 137, 607, 661, m. 490, etc.).

<sup>44</sup> Voir notamment la criuque de l'interprétation par lha 'Arabi du verset 8/17 (wa-mô ramayta...). MRM 1ii, 95 f. (Cf. Fuṣūṣ, ed. 'Afifi, 185, Futühāt iv, 41, 280...) et du hadīth quidsi célòbre maridus fa-lam ta'udni ..., MRM i. 169 (Cf. Futühāt i 407, ni., 304..., 451) Je souligne que le sens obvie n'est pas nécessairement le sens littéral (auquel l'herméneutique d'Ibn 'Arabi est très fermement attachée. Voir à ce sujet notre Un océan sans rivage, chap. 1).

<sup>45</sup> MRM iv. 44-45

<sup>46</sup> MRM IV, 18

<sup>47</sup> al-Ahdal, Kashf al-ghijā\* 'an haqā' iq al-tavhid, ed. A. Bakır (Tums 1964) Sur la mort de al-Jill, voir p. 214. Un manuscrit médit d'al-Ahdal mentionné (p. 131) par l'historien yéménite contemporam Muhammad al-Hibshi dans son ouvrage al-Şūfivva wa't-fuqahd fi't-Yaman (San'ā\* 1976) conduirait à situer la mort de al-Jili vers 826/1423. Mais, selon une indication donnée par le fils de al-Jili à la fin d'un manuscrit de l'Insân kâmir que ente le copiste du ms India Office 459 B (f. 295b) du Ghunya arbāb al-samā\* la mort de al-Jili serait à dater de Jumadā [I 811/novembre 1408. Selon in même source al Jili serait né en Inde, à Calicut.

<sup>48</sup> Sur l'Instoire des sultans rasülides, vou A Chronicle of the Rasülid Dynasty, édité par Hikolein Yaguna (Tokyo 1976) d'après un manuscrit anonyme de la Bibliothèque Nationale.

générale, témoignent - par calcul on par conviction - une bienveillance excessive pour les soufis - y compris ceux qui, venus d'autres contrées, trouvent un refuge au Yémen en cette époque troublée et y importent des doctrines suspectes.49 L'un d'eux, surtout, est mis en cause par al-Ahdal pour son patronage systématique des soufis akbanens: il s'agit du sultan al-Nāsir, qui régna de 1400 à 1424 et dont nous savons, par un témoignage contemporain, qu'il détenait dans sa bibliothèque de nombreuses œuvres d'Ibn 'Arabi, parmi lesquelles un exemplaire des Futühât Makkivya sur certaines parties duquel figurait l'écriture de l'auteur.50 Al-Ahdal déplore amèrement la protection qu'al-Nāşir et son père al-Ashraf ont accordée à un personnage qu'il exècre, Abii I-cAbbas Ahmad Ibn al-Raddad, ami de al-Jīlī et, comme lui, disciple du shaykh al-Jabarti.51 II ne cache pas le soulagement que lui ont apporté les morts d'al-Raddad (en 1418) puis d'al-Nasir quelques années plus tard: délivrés de cette longue mihna, les fuqahā' prennent enfin leur revanche sous les sultans al-Mansur 'Abd Allāh (1424-1427) et Zāhir Yaḥyā (1428-1439).52

A quel moment la doctrine d'Ibn 'Arabī a-t-elle été introduite au Yémen et par qui? Selon certains, on en trouverait déjà des traces dans les ouvrages attribués à Abû'l-Ghayth Ibn Jamīl, mort en 1253, soit treize ans après le Shaykh al-akbar. Telle n'est pas l'opinion d'al-Ahdal, qui conteste l'authenticité des textes imputés à Ibn Jamīl.<sup>53</sup> Les coupables qu'il dénonce — et dont le rôle parait en effet historiquement certain — dans le passage où il évoque ce problème sont surtout "Umar b. 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Maqdisi (ob. 688h.) et Raḍī al-Dīn Abū Bakr al-Yaḥyawī (ob. 709 h.).<sup>54</sup> Ce qui est tout à fait sûr, d'autre part, c'est que l'importance reconnue par al-Ahdal au shaykh Ismā'īl al-Jabartī comme diffuseur de l'enseignement d'Ibn 'Arabī est pleinement justifiée. Outre les indications qu'on relève à

49 Sur les rapports entre les sultans rasandes et les soulis, vour al-Hibshi, op. cit. 45-50.

ce sujet dans les écrîts de al Jîlî, il est établi que al-Jabartı avait reçu une ijaza 'āmma des Futuḥāt Makkivya.55

Dans l'introduction de son Kashf al-ghita', al Ahdal expose les motifs qui le conduisent à prendre la plume. l'ignorance abonde, les fitan se répandent. Il importe donc de mettre à nu les erreurs d'Ibn 'Arabi, de rappeler les fatwas qui le condamnent, de démasquer ces hashwiyya, mujassima, hululiyya, ittihadiyyun que sont ses partisans A maintes reprises ces qualificatifs pris en bloc, ou d'autres semblables, seront généreusement appliqués aux disciples du Shaykh al akbar. Mais plus de la moitié du livre est d'abord consacrée à un exposé, appuyé sur de nombreuses citations, de la théologie ash arite, seule orthodoxe aux yeux d'al-Ahdal. Les témoignages de al-Qushayrī, al-Suhrawardī, Tāj al-Dīn al-Subkı, al-Yāfī'î sont, entre autres, invoqués pour établir que le soufisme authentique est en plein accord avec le kalām le plus sûr. Ce n'est qu'à la page 181, avec le chapitre trois, que commence la dénonciation en règle des hashwiyya, falāsifa, bātiniyya, malāhida, jabrīyya dont l'apparente dévotion abuse les croyants.

Bien qu'Ibn Taymiyya soit souvent mentionné<sup>56</sup> et que l'on retrouve dans le Kashf une liste de chefs d'accusation qui ne diffère guère de celle qu'a dressée le docteur hanbalite, il est clair qu'al-Ahdal ne s'est pas borné à démarquer le réquisitoire de son prédécesseur et qu'il a pris personnellement connaissance d'ouvrages d'Ibn 'Arabī. La critique de la wahdat al-adyān s'appuie sur une phrase du chapitre 10 des Fusūs, puis fait référence à la muqaddima des Futūḥāt.<sup>57</sup> Suit celle de la croyance en l'éternité du monde, où l'auteur renvoie aux chapitres 2 et 73 des Futūḥāt. Viennent ensuite les attaques contre la wahdat al-wujūd, la négation du châtiment corporel des damnés et de son éternité, la notion de sceau de la sainteté, la "foi de Fircaym", l'interprétation akbarienne du hadīth: kuntu sam'ahu, la thèse selon laquelle "les amis de Dieu

<sup>50</sup> Vorr al-Hibshi, op. cit. 81 qui s'appuie sur une citation de al-Mizjāji (ob. 1425-1426).
Voir aussi al Qāri al-Baghdādi. Manāqīb Ibn 'Arabi (Beyrouth 1959) 64.

<sup>51</sup> Kashf 217-218 Sur nombre des personnages qui interviennent dans cette longue controverse, voir Ahmad af-Sharji (ob. 1488), *Tabaqãi al-khandişs* (Le Caire 1321 h.). (Sur al-Jabarii, 37-40, sur al-Raddäd, 30-32)

<sup>52</sup> Kashf 22, 222.

<sup>53</sup> Kashf 220; voir al-Hibski, op. cit. 69-82. Une notice biographique sur Abū I-Ghnyth b. Janii, figure chez al-Sharji, op. cit. 187

<sup>54</sup> Kashf, ibid. Sur al-Maqdisî, voir al-Sharjî, op. crt. 107

<sup>55</sup> Il tenant cette tjäza du Shaykh Qäsim b. Mozaffar b. Mahmad b. Asākir Nous devons cette information à D. Gril qui l'a relevée dans le ms. 1520 à Dâr al kumb. Une autre chaîne de transmission est donnée par B. Aladdun, op. cit. 153.

<sup>56</sup> Ces mentions sout plus nombreuses que ne le donne à penser un tadex très incomplet foir 105, 126, 100, 203, 212

<sup>57</sup> La phrase des Fusüs ("Sous la materia prima de toutes les formes de croyance") figure p. 113 de l'édition "Afifi. Celle de la muquidama des Futühār est celle où Ibn "Arabi déclare que la "aquida de l'"álite de l'élite" est volontamement dispersée dans l'ensemble de son hyre (Futuhār 1, 47). Al-Ahdal (227) le cite de nouveau

sont cachés [dans le Coran] sous la forme de ses ennemis", la notion de 'alam al khayāl: tout cela s'accompagnant d'abondantes références aux Fusus (chapitres 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 24) mais aussi aux Futuhāt (chapitres 2, 5, 559) et aux Tanazzulāt mawsiliyya (que, sauf erreur, ne cite pas Ibn Taymiyya). Al-Ahdal se réfère également, à la fin du chapitre, aux œuvres de al-Qāshani (en l'occurrence les Ta'wilāt, qu'il n'attribue pas à Ibn 'Arabi comme s'obstinent à le faire les éditeurs modernes), de al-Qayṣarī et à la Ta'tyya d'Ibn al-Fāriḍ.58

Commence alors la reproduction d'une série de fatwas, dues à Badr al-Din Ibn Jamā'a, Ibn Taymiyya, Shams al-Din al-Jazarî, Nür al-Din al-Bakrī, 'Isā al-Zawāwī, Taqī al-Dīn al-Subkī, toutes condamnant la doctrine d'Ibn 'Arabī. Ce n'est là qu'un florilège: al-Ahdal nous informe en effet qu'il extrait ces responsa d'un recueil de près de deux cent fatwas qu'il a composé antérieurement. De chiffre est peut-être une exagération mais il n'est pas invraisemblable: al-Sakhāwī, qui, malgré sa rage de collectionneur, ne peut tout recenser, enregistre quatre-vingt fatwas ou opinions défavorables à Ibn 'Arabī entre la mort d'Ibn Taymiyya et celle d'al-Ahdal.

Le Kashf al-ghiță aborde ensuite les données strictement yéménites de la controverse, mettant violemment en cause al-Jabarti, al-Jili et surtout al-Raddăd mais, plus généralement, les soufis de Zabīd. Aux accusations proprement doctrinales, s'ajoutent celles visant les moeurs de ses adversaires (ils boivent du vin, pratiquent l'échangisme..) Les efforts des fuqahā pour défendre la vraie foi et imposer l'observance de la sharia ont, hélas, été tenus en échec par la complatsance du souverain qui, même après la mort d'al-Raddád, a continué à leur imposer le silence et à prendre parti pour les malāhida. L'accession au trône de sultans lucides et énergiques a permis enfin aux fuqahā de redresser la tête — et à al-Ahdal de rédiger une seconde fatwa plus sévère que celle qu'il avait rédigée pendant la miḥna: il nous en donne le texte, qui confirme sa préoccupation — commune aux hérésiographes, toutes religions confondues — de ramener chacune des erreurs qu'il combat à un

Kashf 59 Kashf 213 précédent historique (hashwiyva, qarmates.). 60 On constate en outre que, si la polémique a un caractère yéménite très marqué, al Ahdal ne néglige pas de viser aussi des cibles plus lointaines comme les égyptiens Ibn 'Atā' Allāh et Ibn Abi Mansūr dont les œuvres, bien qu'elles ne soient pas à proprement parler akbariennes, témoignent d'une inclination pour les idées pernicieuses et la personne même d'Ibn 'Arabi. 61 Mais il faut sans doute aussi voir là une manière de rappeler que ce sont des influences venues de l'étranger qui ont corrompu le soufisme yéménite — un type d'argument promis à un long succès, "l'étranger" ayant, bien sûr, une identité variable selon les époques (les persans, les turcs... — aujourd'hui l'improbable conturation des sionistes, du Vatican et des loges maçonniques).

En définitive, on constate que, bien qu'al-Ahdal ait pris la peine de se reporter aux écrits d'Ibn 'Arabī, sa critique des idées akbariennes proprement dites est loin d'avoir la précision de celle d'Ibn Taymıyya: il se contente, en somme, de mettre en regard les thèses du kalām ash arite et celles d'Ibn Arabī - telles qu'il les comprend - l'autorité qu'il attribue aux premières suffisant, selon lui, à établir la fausseté des secondes. On retiendra surtout que, dans sa deuxième fatwa al-Ahdal, qui au fond se soucie moins d'Ibn 'Arabi que de ses disciples yéménites avec lesquels il a bien des comptes à régler, adopte une position qui m'a fait juger indulgente, par comparaison, celle du mufti turc mentionné au début de cette étude. Peut-on accepter le repentir des partisans de ce kāfir - qui, par là-même, sont eux aussi des kuffar? Al-Ahdal mentionne cinq attitudes possibles, plus ou moins rigoureuses, à l'égard de ce problème et conclut pour sa part au refus de la tawba - ce qui, légalement, prive en principe l'accusé de toute possibilité d'échapper à la peine capitale.

Comme al-Sakhāwī, l'auteur d'un livre de cinq cents pages de critique sur l'auteur des Futūhāt et des Fusūş, que nous retrouverons bientôt (et qui le considère comme un carriériste prêt à tout pour qu'on le remarque) Ibrāhīm al-Biqācī (ob. 1480) est un élève d'Ibn Ḥajar al-cAsqalānī. Son caractère manifestement trascible est sans

<sup>58</sup> En raison de la brièveté de ce chapitre 3 (181 201) et de l'imbrication des thèmes qui y sont abordés, je ne crois pas nécessaire de renvoyer, pour chacun d'eux, à une page précise du Kashi

<sup>60</sup> Kashf 225-228.

<sup>61</sup> Kashf 273. L'accusation contre Ibn "Alā" Allāh vise les Laṭā if al-minan. Où Ibn "Arabi est mentioané à trois reprises. Celle concernant ibn Abi Manşör renvoie au paragraphe 115 de sa Risāla, ed. Dems Gril (Le Caire 1986).

doute, au moins autant que ses convictions, responsable de l'obstination avec laquelle il jouera un rôle central dans un de ces épisodes paroxystiques qui, de loin en loin, font que le débat permanent mais feutré entre spécialistes éclate sur la place publique. Depuis Ibn Taymiyya, nombre d'auteurs avaient exprimé des positions hostiles à Ibn 'Arabi et, parmi eux, des personnages notoires: al-Dhahabi, Sırāj al-Din al-Bulgini, Ibn Khaldun, Sacd al-Dīn al-Taftāzānī et son disciple "Alā" al-Dīn al-Bukhārī, al-Ahdal... Al-Biqācī, on va le voir, n'ajoute rien à un argumentaire depuis longtemps figé et ses œuvres sont loin d'être les plus intéressantes dans le vaste corpus des écrits anti-akbariens. La critique de la wahdat al-wujud à laquelle se livre l'atrabilaire al-Bukhari dans sa Fādiḥat al-mulhudīn, sans être ni vraiment nouvelle ni très profonde. a au moins le mérite de présenter une certaine rigueur formelle - ce qui explique que, près de trois siècles plus tard, al-Năbulusi consacre encore plusieurs pages d'un de ses ouvrages à sa réfutation.62 Al-Bukhārī est d'ailleurs en 1428, sous le règne du sultan Barsbāy, à l'origine d'une crise qui préfigure celles (car il y en a plus d'une) que déclenchera al-Bigā'î trente ans plus tard. Il s'agit, déjà, d'Ibn 'Arabī et d'Ibn al-Fārid, souvent associés dans l'opprobre car les principaux commentateurs de la Tā'iyya du second sont des disciples du premier: al-Farghānī, al-Qayşarī, al-Aykī. Al-Bukhārī, lors d'une discussion entre fugahă', prononce le takfir contre Ibn 'Arabî et exige la destitution du qudi Muhammad al-Bisăți, lequel estime qu'une interprétation adéquate de leurs propos permet de justifier les partisans de la wahdat al-wujūd. Al-Bisātī finira par céder et jettera lui aussi l'anathème sur Ibn 'Arabī. Mais al-Bukhārī, furieux de n'avoir pu obtenir sa destitution, quittera définitivement l'Egypte pour la Syrie... où il se livrera à des attaques dirigées, cette fois, contre Ibn Taymıyya et ses disciples hanbalites,63 se brouillant ainsi avec ceux qui seraient ses alliés naturels: la Syrie de cette époque, plus provinciale que l'Egypte, est en général aussi plus réfractaire aux idées akbariennes, plus prompte à les condamner sous la plume de ses ulama. Le soufi marocam 'Alî b. Maymun al Fāsi, lorsqu'il

62 It's agit d'al-Wujûd al-haqq, dont l'édition critique a été établie par B. Aladdin (Damas 1995) Sur la relation entre cet ouvrage et la Fâdiha, voir l'introduction de l'éditeur, chapitre 2

63 Sur cette affaire, voir l'introduction d'al Wijüd al-haqq 14 15. Th. Emil Homerin, From Arab Poet to Muslim Soint (University of South Carolina Press 1994) 59-60; Enc Geoffroy, Le soufisme en Egypte et en Syrie (Damas 1995) Seme partie.

arrivera à Damas à la fin du quinzième siècle, découvrira que l'emplacement du tombeau d'Ibn 'Arabi n'est plus qu'un terrain vague<sup>64</sup> et il faudra, quelques années plus tard, une décision énergique de Salim ler pour que soit construit le mausolée qu'on visite encore anjourd'hui.

Parmi les procureurs qui se succèdent dans le procès posthume d'Ibn 'Arabi, al Biqā'i, disons le tout net, est un des plus médiocres. Il a en commun avec Ibn Taymiyya et al-Ahdal d'avoir choisi le mauvais moment: comme Ibn Taymiyya sous Baybars II, comme al-Ahdal sous al Nasir, il n'a pas la chance d'écrire sous des règnes où les anti-akbariens ont pour eux cet appui des princes sans lequel leur cause n'a aucune chance de triompher Comme eux, il va s'exposer à de sérieux désagréments. Le destin lui offrira une ironique revanche: vilipendé de son vivant, il prendra rang post-mortem parmi les autorités qu'invoqueront des polémistes plus tardifs... mais il devra aussi une notoriété paradoxale à l'un de ses plus sérieux adversaires. A son Tanbih al-ghabi ilà takfir ibn al-'Arabi al-Suyüţi, retournant le compliment à l'expéditeur, répliquera par un Tanbih al-ghabi fi tabri'at ibn al-'Arabi qui contribuera à perpétuer le souvenir de al-Biqā'ī.65

Ce dernier, en tout cas, va se signaler à l'attention à trois reprises au cours de sa vie agitée. En 1460, au Caire, c'est principalement à Ibn 'Arabī et subsidiairement à Ibn al-Fārid qu'il s'en prend. Dix ans plus tard, en 1470, il entreprend une nouveile campagne de dénonciation. Elle vise les mêmes auteurs mais, cette fois, c'est Ibn al-Fārid qui est le plus directement mis en cause. L'affaire prend aussitôt de vastes proportions: les vers d'Ibn al-Fārid sont plus répandus que les écrits d'Ibn 'Arabī, sa tombe est vénérée au Caire. Le sultan Qāytbāy demande au grand qadi Zakariyyā al-Anṣārī de trancher le débat. Al-Anṣārī a la réputation d'être un pieux juriste.

<sup>64 °</sup>Alî b Maymûn, Risâla fi'l-intişâr li'l-shavklı Muhvi'l-din (=Tanzîh al-şiddîq), ms Berlin 2851, we 1545, ff 55-59b.

<sup>65</sup> La première édition du Tanbih al-ghabi de al-Biqà'i a été publiée au Caire en .953 jointe à celle de son Tahdhir al-tibād min ahl al-tinād, sous le titre de Maşra' al-tasawwif La véhémence hystérique de l'introduction et des notes de l'éditeur ('Abd al-Rahmān al-Waki), mêrite le détout. Quant au Tanbih de al-Suyūti, il en existe une édition publiée au Caire en 1990 par le Shayth 'Abd al-Rahmān Hasan Mahmād (qui s'emploie — avec plus de piéte que d'acribre — à éditer des œuvres d'Ibn 'Arabi). Fant-it voir la mance d'un typographe gagné aux thèses wahhābites dans la fante d'impression qui, dans le titre, transforme fi tabri'at ibn al-'Arabi en fi takhit'at ibn al-'Arabi

Mais il n'est pas que cela: c'est un soufi et même, selon al-Sha'rānī qui fut son élève, un saint éminent.66 Son nom apparaît dans plusieurs silsilas. Toutefois il a, dès sa jeunesse, choisi sur le conseil d'un walt le tasattur bi'l-fiqh. Sanctus absconditus, il cache les charismes dont il a été grattfié. Sa fonction, au demeurant, lui impose une certaine réserve. Il hésite à donner une fatwa sur le problème qui lui est soumis Finalement, sur l'insistance du katib alsirr. Ibn Muzhir (qui était un défenseur d'Ibn al-Fárid), al-Ansārī acceptera de rendre une sentence, modérée dans ses termes mais qui exonère Ibn al-Farid des accusations portées contre lui. L'entêtement de al-Biqă°ī allast provoquer sa disgrâce: en 1473, c'était lui qui, à son tour, était dénoncé comme kăfir et devait quitter l'Egypte.67 Réfugié en Syrie, il couronna sa carrière de polémiste en s'en prenant à al-Ghazăli.68 Le résultat ne se fit pas attendre: "il s'en fallut de peu qu'il ne fût mis à mort et il dût se cacher dans sa maison sans pouvoir même se rendre à la prière du vendredi".69

Si al-Ahdal se bornait — comme tant d'autres avant et après lui — à reprendre les chefs d'accusation que retenait déjà lbn Taymiyya, du moins prenait-il la peine de se reporter lui-même aux sources, c'est à dire aux œuvres du Shaykh al-akbar, sans se limiter aux classiques passages-témoins des Fuṣūṣ. Al-Biqā'ī, lui, est un pamphlétaire paresseux Sa tactique semble déduite de la remarque dédaigneuse que Saint Jérôme adressait aux pélagiens: sententias vestras prodidisse superasse est. Le Tanbîh al-ghabî est en effet, pour une large part, constitué de longues citations d'Ibn 'Arabī, toutes tirées des Fuṣūṣ, dont il semble penser qu'elles suffiront à inspirer une pieuse horreur (le chapitre 3 des Fusūṣ est ainsi presque entièrement reproduit) et qu'il ne commente que de manière très expéditive. 70 Les thèmes sont ceux qu'on attendait: la waḥdat al-

66 al-Sha'rānī, al-Tabaqāt al-kubrā (Le Caure 1954) ii, 122-124. Selon Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī, al-Anṣārī fut même ie ṣāhib Miṣr, le saint qui détenuit l'autorité spirituelle sur 1 Egypte toute entière, al-Fatāwā al-ḥadāthiywa (Le Caure 1970) 50.

67 Sur cat épisode voir E. Geoffroy, op. cit., 5ème partie, et Th. Homerin, op. cit. 63-75

69 al-Suyōṭṭ, al-Taḥadduth be-m'mat allāh, dans E. M. Sactam, Jalāl al-dīn al-Suyōṭṭ (Cambridge 1975) n 187, von aussi i 54-55. wujūd (qui consiste selon al Biqācī à professer que Dieu est la somme des parties de l'univers), la wahdat al adyān, la foi de Fir awn, l'apocatastase, la supériorité de la hayra sur le cilm, le tahrīf al-qur'ān. Seul de la liste habituelle est absent le problème du "Sceau de la sainteté".

Bien que diverses opinions hostiles à Ibn 'Arabi soient mentionnées dans la première partie de l'ouvrage, c'est dans la seconde71 que al-Biqaci les entasse dans le désordre, aucun critère chronologique ou thématique ne déterminant leur succession. On retrouve là Ibn Taymıyya, al-Dhahabı, Sa'd al-Dın al-Taftazānī, al-Bukhārı (longuement cité), al-Ahdal, al-Bisāţī, Ibn Khaldūn (avec un extrait de sa fatwa, dont il sera question plus loin, prescrivant l'autodafé des ouvrages d'Ibn "Arabi), Ibn Hajar al-"Asqalani... Un cas précis démontre que al-Bigaci ne s'embarrasse pas de scrupules: il cite un passage de la Rawdat al-ta'rīf de Lisān al-Dīn Ibn al-Khatīb d'une manière qui fait apparaître ce dernier comme condamnant, lui aussi, les thèses akbariennes. Or il suffit de se référer à la Rawda pour constater que ce passage concerne lbn Sabein et ses disciples et que la section précédente, celle où Lisan al-Din parle d'Ibn 'Arabi et de ses fidèles, les décrit comme des muhibbün, mustahlikün [fī'llāh] et, à propos de leurs doctrines, déclare: hādhā'l-ra'v nabīl 72

L'éditeur du Tanbīh y a joint l'opuscule rédigé par al-Biqā<sup>v</sup>ī en 878/1473 sous le titre Taḥdhīr al-'ibād et qui, cette fois, s'attaque plus particulièrement à Ibn al-Fārid. Mais, pour une raison signalée plus haut, l'auteur de la Khamriyya et celui des Futūhāt sont fréquemment considérés comme solidaires — certains supposent même qu'il y eut entre eux des relations personnelles qu'aucune donnée historique n'autorise à juger vraisemblables. Al-Biqā<sup>v</sup>ī va donc, lui aussi, les confondre dans l'anathème et, cette fois encore, utiliser des citations des Fusūṣ. Les autorités qu'il invoque sont les mêmes que dans le Tanbīh: Ibn Taymiyya, al-Dhahabī, al-Bulqīnī, Ibn Hajar, al-Ahdal... Mais, pas plus que l'ouvrage précédent, celui-

<sup>68</sup> Les attaques de al-Bique portaient sur la famense formule lavsa fi'l-imkan et prirent la forme d'un nouvel ouvrage le Tahdim al-arkan. Sur cette querelle, vou Eric L. Ormaby. Theodics in Islamic Thought (Princeton 1984) 135-160.

<sup>70</sup> On trouve ainsi des citations de la ichiniba des Fusüs (p. 37), du chap 3 (42 à 62), du chapitre 4 (62 à 73), du chapitre 7 (74-75), du chapitre 9 (p. 76), du chapitre 10 (88-103), des chapitres 15 et 16 (104-108), du chapitre 18 (p. 109), du chapitre 19 (p. 110), du chapitre 22.

<sup>(111-115),</sup> du chapitre 23 (116-120), du chapitre 24 (120-126), du chapitre 25 (127-139), du chapitre 27 (140-149). Les citations d'Ibn 'Arabi sont entrecoupées, sei et là, de citations d'Ibn al-Fàrid.

<sup>71</sup> De la page 149 à la page 206 Cette deuxième partie est suivie du Taḥdhīr al 'abâd dont nons partons infra.

<sup>72</sup> Tambih 169-170. Cf. Rawdat al-ta'rif (Le Caure 1968) 582-601 (4ème "rameau"; le 5ème "rameau", celui où il est trané d'Ibn Sab'in, figure 602-612).

ci n'apporte de contribution véritablement personnelle au débat. De toute évidence, c'est à son activisme brouillon, plutôt qu'à l'intérêt de ses écrits que al Bigaci doit de se signaler à l'attention de ses contemporains. Il connaîtra d'ailleurs un long purgatoire avant d'apparaître, beaucoup plus tard, comme un héros de la cause antiakbarienne. C'est ce que rappelle, non sans malice, Ibn Hajar al-Haytamī (m. en 974/1567): al-Biqā"i, dit-il, a été puni par Dieu de son attitude à l'égard du Shaykh al-akbar car personne ne lit plus ses ouvrages ni ceux des disciples qui, à son exemple, ont condamné Ibn 'Arabi ou Ibn al-Fand. Il aurant d'ailleurs reconnu, à la fin de sa vie, que seuls une quinzaine de passages des Futühāt lui paraissaient condamnables et que ce qu'enseigne Ibn 'Arabi sur les "secrets des mu'āmalāt" était plus beau que ce que l'on trouve chez al-Ghazālī sur le même sujet. 73

Mort en 1497, Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Sakhāwī n'aura pas eu l'amertume d'assister à ce triomphe d'Ibn 'Arabī qui va survre la conquête ottomane de la Syrie et de l'Egypte: dès 1517, Kamāl Pāshā Zādeh (m. 1534), futur shaykh al-islām, délivre une fatwa affirmant l'orthodoxie du Shaykh al-akbar.74 lbn Tülün (m. 1546), imam de la mosquée d'Ibn "Arabi édifiée par Salim Ier, reproduit le texte de cette fatwa dans un ouvrage dont le titre - al-Nuțg al-munbi 'an tarjamat Îbn al-'Arabi - est une réplique à celui du livre d'al-Sakhāwī, al-Qawl al-munbī. La protection impériale ne fera certes pas taire toutes les critiques anti-akbariennes. Hājji Khalifa (m. 1657) évoque dans son Mīzān al-haqq ces querelles sans cesse renaissantes et qui l'exaspèrent.75 Mais les accusateurs d'Ibn

74 Pour B. Aladdra (op. cit., introd 63-64) Kamāl Pāshā Zādeh aurait contresigné cette fatwa sans en être proprement l'auteur. Il est en sont cas l'auteur d'une Risala fi wahdat alvujūd (Berlin, ms. or 4106, f. 110-113)

'Arabī, y compris en Syrie, trouvent généralement peu d'échos et s'exposent même parfois à une sévère répression. 76 Des auteurs de poids, tel al-Nābulusi (m. 1731) les contredisent sur la base d'une argumentation serrée et avec moins de précautions oratoires que celles dont s'entourait, naguère, un al-Suyūtī.77 Aux dermers jours de la dynastie, un Yüsuf al-Nabhānī (m. 1931) s'emploiera encore à maintes reprises, dans son abondante production, à la défense et à l'illustration du Shaykh al-akbar - mais, cette fois, pour répondre à des adversaires - wahhābites et salafiyya - plus résolus et plus

dangereux que l'infortuné al-Biqă°i.

Si le Oawl al-munbi n'est donc pas le chant du cygne de l'opposition à Ibn 'Arabi, il n'en marque pas moins la fin d'une époque et, d'une certaine manière, épuise le répertoire des arguments anti-akbariens. Quiconque a lu les cinq cents folios où le zèle policier d'al-Sakhāwl a consigné l'essentiel des condamnations anténeures peut se dispenser d'examiner la littérature polémique des siècles suivants: il n'y trouvera rien de nouveau. Frustré dans ses ambitions, jaloux en particulier de al-Suyūți qui fut son élève et qu'il critique avec aigreur dans son Daw' al-lâmi',78 al-Sakhāwī compile avec un même enthousiasme minutieux des fatwas respectables et d'infâmes calomnies (que, de nos jours, certaines brochures d'inspiration saoudienne reprennent avec complaisance). Sa méthode est simple: "J'ai rassemblé dans ce livre les paroles et les textes qui critiquent l'auteur des Futühāt et des Fusüs et je les ai disposés seion la date de décès de leurs auteurs" déclare-t-il en préambule.79 Ainsi pourra-t-on constater "le consensus (innă") des savants de tous les madhāhib et de toutes les disciplines". Cette déclaration d'intention est survie d'une liste des principales sources écrites d'informations utilisées (mentionnées en ordre chronologique inverse: Mansûr al-Kāzarūnī, mort en 860/1456 apparaît en premier, suivi d'al-Ahdal, puis de "Ala" al-Dîn al-Bukhari..., le dernier nom cité, et donc le plus

position pro-akbarienne.

<sup>73</sup> at-Fatāwā al-hadithiyya 52-54. La remarque sur les "secrets des mu'āmalāt" ≈ rapporte sans doute aux chaptires des Furühür trattant des 'rbādāt Defenseur d Ibn 'Arabi (voir aussi, op cit , 296, 335...). Ibn Hajar al-Haytamî, s'il vise phisieurs des adversaires de ce dernier, souagne surtout le rôle d'Ibn Taymuyya, qu'il critique avec véhémence (114-117). c'est, dit-i,, un innovateur (mubiadi"), un égaré qui égare les autres.. On doit observer que l'œuvre d'Ibn Hajar (encore utilisée aujourd'hui, notamment en Turque, dans des publications anti-wahhabites) n'est guère plus originale que celle de al-Biqà'il comme elle, mais en sens contraire, elle recourt avec profusion à l'argament d'autorité

<sup>75</sup> Traduction anglaise par G.L. Lewis, The Balance of Truth (Londres 1957) (voir chap. ix et x). Soucieux, comme le suggère le ture de cet écrit, de s'en tenur à un juste milieu entre ies parties opposées. Hājjī Khalīfa n'en prend pos moins, quoiqu'en termes modérés, une

<sup>76</sup> Enc Geoffroy (op. cit., 2ème partie, chap. 2) rapporte le cas de Mahammad al-Falüji, condamné à mort à Alep en 1535 pour avoir accusé Ibn 'Arabi de professer une doctrine hérétique aussi que celai du mufti Jawizādeh, révoque pour une raison analogue en 1541

Outre le Wunted al-haqq, déjà mentionné, qui date de 1693, al-Nabulosi est l'auteur du Îdâh al-magsûd min wahdat al-wujiid (Damas 1969:, écrit en 1680 et d'un traité médit, al-Radd al-matin 'ală muntagis al 'àrif Muhvi'l-din. rédigé en 1672 (ms. Zāhunyya, 9872, ff. 1-

Sur les rapports de al-Sakhāwī et de al-Suyūţi, voir E.M. Sartam, op. cit. 1, 72-77

<sup>79</sup> Nous utilisons sei le ms. de Berlin 2849, Spr. 790, ff. 1 250.

ancien, étant celui de al-Qastallani), Vient alors l'annonce d'une introduction divisée en huit sections, qui s'étendent du folio 3 au folio 43. La section 1 a pour objet d'établir l'illégitimité d'un ta'wil qui viserait à découvrir une signification orthodoxe à des formulations dont le sens obvie est hétérodoxe. La section 2 est destinée à démontrer que les Futuhat, les Fusus et tous les ouvrages du même genre ont été constamment condamnés et que leur saisie et leur destruction ont été prescrites par les ulama (la fameuse fatwa d'Ibn Khaldun est mentionnée sur ce point). La section 3, démarquée d'al-Ahdal, évoque les événements survenus au Yémen sous les Rasulides. La section 4 analyse les diverses positions qu'ont adoptées les lecteurs d'Ibn 'Arabi et, notamment, les excuses que certains ont invoquées pour le défendre: les propos qui lui sont reprochés ne sont-ils pas des interpolations malveillantes? Ne donnait-il pas lui-même aux formules qu'on lui reproche un autre sens que celui qu'elles ont si on les prend à la lettre? Quelles qu'aient pu être ses erreurs, qui peut affirmer qu'il ne s'en est pas repenti avant de mourir? La cinquième section accompagne un choix de citations d'Ibn 'Arabī (dont le célèbre poème laqud sara quibī) d'une énumération des principaux chefs d'accusation éternité du monde, supériorité du wali sur le nabi, wahdat al-wujūd, etc. La section 6 passe en revue ses disciples et ses partisans: al-Qaysari, al-Farghanī, al-Tilimsānī, al-Qāshānī, al-Jabartī, al-Raddād... La septième et la huitième section de cette muquidima confuse et répétitive reviennent sur le problème examiné dans la première et la troisième. S'appuyant sur des autorités déjà invoquées précédemment (et qui le seront de nouveau abondamment par la suite) al-Sakhāwī, une fois de plus, exclut toute circonstance atténuante en faveur d'Ibn 'Arabi. On relèvera que, comme Ibn Taymiyya avant lui, il n'hésite pas à inscrire in fine Ibn Sabfin dans la liste des témoins à charge bien que ce dernier "soutienne des thèses réprouvées et professe des croyances corrompues".

A cette laborieuse introduction succède un long défilé d'environ cent-cinquante personnages. Le premier est Muhammad b. Shaddad al Halabī (m. 684), suivi de Qutb al-Dīn al-Qastallanī, mort deux ans après lui. L'ordre chronologique est rigoureusement respecté jusqu'au bout, année par année et, le cas échéant, mois par mois et nous amène à la fin du neuvième/quinzième siècle. La longueur des notices est, elle, très variable. Quelques lignes pour les uns (six pour al Biqa'î, que al-Sakhawî détestait, guère plus pour chaque nom de la turba magna des auteurs les plus tardifs) mais une trentaine de

folios (ff. 53-68) pour Ibn Taymiyya ou pour 'Abd al Latif al-Su'udı (ff. 69-84b), une dizaine pour Ibn Hajar al- Asqalani (ff. 209-213) ou al-Ahdal (ff. 213-217). L'avantage de cette présentation est d'offrir au chercheur une vue panoramique sur un peu plus de deux siècles de polémiques. L'inconvénient réside dans le fait que la plupart des individus mentionnés font état des propos de leurs prédécesseurs qui, à leur tour...On a donc souvent affaire à des citations dans les citations dans les citations. Les mêmes phrases, d'abord apparues dans la notice consacrée à celui qui les a proférées. reviennent ainsi, ad nauseam, dans nombre de notices ultérieures. Les plus significatives ayant déjà fait leur apparition dans la muqadduna, la lecture de l'ouvrage se révèle assez fastidieuse. Tels propos du gadi "Izz al-Din b. "Abd al-Salām (dont il existe deux versions contradictoires)80 ou d'Ibn Taymiyya (dont les écrits sont une référence obligée pour la plupart des critiques postérieurs) sont inlassablement répétés. Al-Sakhāwī, d'autre part, n'hésite guère à tirer dans son camp des soufis comme al-Yafi'i (f. 112b) dont la position vis-à-vis du Shaykh al-akbar est prudente (= ses écrits ne peuvent être mis entre toutes les mains) mais ne peut en aucun cas être considérée comme une condamnation de sa doctrine.81 Il classe résolument parmi les adversaires d'Ibn "Arabī un personnage comme al-Firūzābādī (f. 163), auteur d'une fatwa bien connue, rédigée à la demande du sultan yéménite al-Nāsir, et où l'auteur du Qāmūs justifie la possession par al-Nāsir des œuvres du maître andalou, dont il fait l'éloge avec éloquence. Selon al-Sakhāwī, qui déclare tenir cette information d'Ibn Hajar, al-Firūzābādī aurait révisé son attitude avant de mourir. Cette affirmation reste fort sujette à caution. Le souci de faire nombre amène aussi al-Sakhāwī, par exemple, à inclure (f. 52b) un auteur - le faqih hanbalıte Mūsā al-Yūsī (m. 726) — lequel se borne à constater que "seule une petite partie de ses [=lbn 'Arabi] écrits est compréhensible" mais que "ce que l'on peut comprendre et qui parvient à l'esprit [du lecteur] est bon et beau

<sup>80</sup> Sur cette affaire compliquée, nous renvoyons à Cl. Addas, op. cit. 297-299. Al-Sakhāwi rapporte les deux versions mais privilégie évidemment celle qui condamne Ibn 'Arabi

Al-Sakhāwī convicut que al-Yāfi'î était comu pour pencher en faveur d'Ibn 'Arabī (ce que confirme la notice que Yāfi'î consacre à Ibn 'Arabī dans le Mir'at al-janān iv, 100-101) mais retient surtout qu'il réprouvait l'étude de ses ouvrages par des lecteurs qui n'avaient pas une connaissance éprouvée des fondements de la Loi sacrée — position qu'i lui est commune avec nombre d'admirateurs du Shaykh al-akbar

(hasan jamil)". Certes, ajoute-t-il "il y a dans ses œuvres des paroles malsonnantes mais certains de ses disciples prétendent qu'elles ont un autre sens que le sens apparent": jugement réservé, donc, d'un

auteur qui avoue son incompétence.

Si l'on écarte, d'une part, les cas douteux de ce genre et, d'autre part, les supputations sur les mœurs des ittihadiyyun (licence sexuelle, consommation de vin ou de hashish) ou les légendes qui ridiculisent Ibn 'Arabi (son mariage avec une djinn femelle qui l'aurait battu), reste une quantité à première vue impressionnante de jugements catégoriquement hostiles à la doctrine akbarienne. Cet effet de masse ne doit pas cependant dissimuler la minceur du dossier. Il est d'abord, quantitativement, moins substantiel qu'il n'y parait si on élimine les répétitions incessantes que j'ai signalées plus haut. La densité des notices n'y est pas, d'autre part, proportionnelle à leur longueur. J'ai mentionné le nombre important de folios réservés à l'obscur al-Su<sup>c</sup>ādi.<sup>82</sup> Il a, nous dit al-Sakhāwī, composé en 711 (du vivant d'Ibn Taymiyya, notons-le en passant) un ouvrage intitulé Bayan hukm mā fi'l-Fuşūş min al-iftigadāt al-mafsūda où, mettant en application le hadith: al-Din al-nasiha, il réunissait déjà! - les responsa relatives à l'hétérodoxie d'Ibn "Arabī. Mais une large part de cette notice est occupée par cinq qaṣīdas extraites de ce livre. Al-Su<sup>c</sup>ūdī, verstficateur plus besogneux qu'inspiré, y dresse en désordre un catalogue sans surprise des hérésies akbariennes (wahdat al-wujūd, wahdat al-adyān, non-éternité du châtiment des damnés, sceau de la sainteté...) dénonçant à diverses reprises dans les Fusüs un kalâm bāṭil, un kufr sarīh, une zandaga, un soufisme corrompu par la falsafa. Puis, dans les passages en prose, il énumère les premiers critiques: al-Qastallani, 'Izz al-Din b. 'Abd al-Salām, al-Ja'barī... mais insiste sur Ibn Taymiyya dont il célèbre le zèle et la science et chez qui il semble en fait avoir puisé une grande part de son information. En guise de réfutation des erreurs d'Ibn 'Arabī, il procède -- comme le fera plus tard al-Ahdal - par juxtaposition en citant un passage de la 'Aqīda d'Abū Ja'far a]-Takhāwî et de l'ouvrage sur les usul du hanafite al-Awsî. Il rapporte enfin des propos de quarante soufis (al-Nürî, al-Sarî al-Saqatī, Dhū'l-Nūn, al-Bıstāmī, al-Tustarî, al-Shiblî, etc.) pour établir à partir de ces témoignages qu'il n'est pas de tasawwuf authentique sans observance de la sharia.. ce en quoi Ibn 'Arabi est parfaitement d'accord avec ces maîtres du passé. Le libelle de al-Sueudi est-il en réalité plus riche que ne le donnent à penser les extraits retenus par al-Sakhāwī? J'en doute fort. Ce qui est sûr, c'est que l'espace concédé à cet épigone n'est pas justifié par l'intérêt des extraits

offerts an lecteur.

Dans d'autres cas, il est clair que al-Sakhāwī n'a pas tiré le meilleur parti possible des ulama qu'il mobilise dans son combat contre l'erreur. D'Ibn Khaldun, il ne renent que la fameuse fatwa où ce dernier conclut qu'on doit brûler les écrits d'Ibn 'Arabi (et de beaucoup d'autres) ou en effacer le texte par l'eau.83 L'argumentation y est des plus sommaires. La Muqaddima ou le Shifa' alsā'il, que al-Sakhāwī ne mentionne même pas, contiennent des analyses qui révèlent qu'Ibn Khaldūn - grâce, sans doute, à son ami Lisan al-Din Ibn al-Khatib - est capable d'analyses plus fines et perçoit fort bien par exemple les divergences doctrinales entre l'école d'Ibn 'Arabī et celle d'Ibn Sab'in.84 'Alā' al-Din al-Bukhārī n'a droit qu'à deux foltos et demi. Les propos rapportés (Ibn 'Arabī est akfar al-kāfirīn, etc.) ne nous instrusent guère. Or al-Bukhāri. s'il n'a pas, et de loin, la stature de son maître al-Taftazani a été formé aux disciplines du kalām. S'il lui arrive d'utiliser, comme les fugahā', des arguments simplistes — de soutenir, par exemple, que la wahdat al-wujud conduit à identifier Dieu aux ordures les plus répugnantes — il recourt aussi à des raisonnements plus serrés, notamment sur la notion d'être (wujūd) à propos de laquelle son nominalisme s'oppose radicalement à l'interprétation akbarienne. Comme al-Taftāzānī85 — et contrairement à Ibn Taymiyya, qui percoit cette distinction mais n'en tient finalement aucun compte il évite la confusion usuelle entre la wahdat al-wujūd, l'ittihād et le

<sup>82</sup> Ce personnage mai connu (sut loquel voir GAL ni, 9; EI<sup>1</sup> iv, 600; Kaḥḥāla, Mu<sup>c</sup>jam al mu'aliffin vn. 12) ne semble devoir quelque notonété qu'à la notice de al-Sakhiwi. Le Mu'jam lui attribue à tort la patermié du Quel al-mumb!

<sup>83</sup> Les erconstances qui amènent Ibn Khaldün à délivrer cette fatwa présentent un intérêt historique. On constate en effet que des soufis d'Alexandrie défendaient Ibn 'Arabi en s'appuyant sur l'éloge qu'en avait fait Abû'l Hasan al Shadhili (voir f. 138b). De fait, l'influence du Shaykh al-akbar sur al-Shādhili et ses premiers successeurs m's toujours paru évidente et je ne puis sousenre aux réserves que formulait P. Nwyta sur ce point, Ibn. Ajā" Allah et la naissance de la confrérie shadhulite (Beyrouth 1972) 25-26.

<sup>84</sup> Discours sur l'histoire universelle (= Muqadduna), trad V Montes, (Paris, 2e ed 1978) 1017-1022, Shifa' al-sa'd (Beyrouth 1959) 51-52, trad. fr. de R. Perez, La Loi et ia Voie (Paris 1991) 180-184

<sup>85</sup> al Tafiāzāni, Sharh al-maqāşīd (Beyrouth 1989) rv, 59-60. Ibn 'Arabi, ciascement vise dans ce passage, n'y est toutefois pas nommé

hulul. Or al Sakhāwī, s'il cite le titre de la Fadīha, précisant même que la rédaction en a été achevée en 831 h., n'en fait aucun usage. 86

La question qui se pose alors est celle-ci: si al-Sakhāwī avait été plus exigeant dans ses choix, s'il avait fait place dans sa "Somme" à des personnages ou à des textes qu'il a écartés, volontairement ou par ignorance, le bilan serait-il intellectuellement moins décevant? Je répondrai personnellement par la négative. Le Qawl munbi, quels qu'en soient les défauts, me paraît donner un tableau historiquement exact de la nature des polémiques anti-akbariennes au cours des siècles dont il dresse l'inventaire. La triste vérité est que la médiocrité de l'ouvrage est un fidèle reflet de la médiocrité de la plupart de ces polémiques. Les débats internes au soufisme sur la validité de la doctrine d'Ibn 'Arabi - qui relèveraient d'une toute autre étude et que je n'ai donc pas pris en considération ici - ne sont pas moins violents (j'ai cité le nom de "Alā" al-Dawla-i Simnānī).87 Mais ils ne se limitent pas à des querelles de vocabulaire. Les critiques des fuquhā° ne portent en définitive que sur les mots. Elles visent des formules en excluant non seulement tout ta'wil (ce refus s'exprime avec obstination de génération en génération) mais tout effort pour replacer ces formules dans un contexte qui, s'agissant d'un auteur comme Ibn 'Arabī, devrait être pris au sens large: même si les expressions visées proviennent, comme c'est généralement le cas, des seules Fusüs, c'est aussi à d'autres écrits - et surtout aux Futühāt - qu'il faudrait se reporter pour en saisir la signification exacte. Autrement dit, ce que montrent sans peine les auteurs de ces responsa, c'est que l'œuvre d'Ibn 'Arabi ne dort pas être mise entre toutes les mains. Cette position est parfaitement compréhensible... et elle est aussi celle des plus fermes partisans du Shaykh al-akbar.88 Entendues littéralement et hors contexte, nombre de phrases justifient effectivement la censure des fuqahā", qu'Ibn "Arabī lui-même, d'ailleurs, excuse d'avance89 à

87 Voir note 14. Sur Simnäni, voir H. Landolt, "Simnäni on wahdat al-wujüd", in Wisdom of Persta 1v (Téhéran 1971), ainst que son édition de la correspondance entre Simnäni et Gäshöm (Téhéran Paris 1972).

condition qu'ils demeurent dans les limites de leur compétence et donc se bornent à condamner les expressions litigieuses prout sonant. Le problème, c'est que justement, ils ne s'en tiennent pas là et se prononcent sur le fond sans recourir aux procédures qui autoriseraient à le faire. L'anathème, proféré de manière incantatoire (wa-hadhā kufr mubin), tient lieu de démonstration. Feinte ou sincère, l'indignation qu'éprouve le faqih devant tel ou tel passage d'Ibn 'Arabi - le plus souvent choisi dans une liste standard de formules condamnables - lui parait devoir être automatiquement partagée par tout lecteur musulman sans qu'il soit besoin d'en dire plus et, surtout, de s'interroger sur la possibilité d'une interprétation orthodoxe d'un propos qui, pris ad litteram, est suspect ou choquant. Cette indignation est parfois comique car il arrive qu'elle soit suscitée par des textes qui ne sont ni spécialement obscurs, ni spécialement scandaleux. Un bon exemple, sur ce point, nous est fourni par une critique qui n'est pas, cette fois, médiévale mais contemporaine. Il s'agit de la "lettre ouverte" déjà citée du Shaykh Kamāl Ahmad 'Awn dans laquelle ce dernier, se référant à des expressions extraites des chapitres 2 et 4 des Futühāt Makkiyya. accuse en quelque sorte Ibn 'Arabi d'hypostasier les Noms divins et d'en faire autant de dieux. Or il n'est pas besoin d'être particulièrement perspicace pour comprendre que le Shaykh al-akbar a recours dans ces pages — comme en plusieurs autres de ses écrits - à un procédé littéraire destiné à illustrer la fonction propre de chacun des asmā<sup>2</sup> traditionnels — c'est à dire des attributs divins dans la création de l'univers. 90 Cette scénographie est d'autant moins susceptible d'engendrer de malentendus qu'Ibn 'Arabi ne cesse d'affirmer que les Noms divins ne sont que des "relations" (nisab) dépourvues de toute consistance ontologique et qu'ils n'introduisent par conséquent aucune multiplicité dans l'unité de l'essence divine.91 La réaction violente que soulèvent ces passages relève-t-elle de la naiveté ou de la mauvaise foi? A chacun d'en décider.

Les remarques qui précèdent doivent s'accompagner d'un caveat.

<sup>86</sup> On trouvera d'unies précisions sur la Fâdiția dans l'introduction de B. Aladdin à l'édition, déjà signatée, du al-Wujüd al-haqq de al-Năbulusi qui en est partiellement la refutation Comme le précise M. Aladdin, c'est la Fâdiția que, sous le titre Radd abățil al-Fuyüş, O. Yahyā attribue à al-Taftăzâni.

<sup>88</sup> Je tenvoie sur ce point à l'introduction d'Un océan sans rivage.

<sup>89</sup> Voir par exemple dans Futühüt is, 79, la fin de la réponse à la question 57 de al-

Hakim al Tirmidhi. Ibn "Arabi y approuve les fuquhă" de "fermer la porte" à des assertions en faveur desquelles ils ne trouvent ancune preuve légale ('nous feur donnous raison et nous jugeous qu'ils mératent que Dieu leur accorde une pleuse récompense'). Mais cela sous réserve qu'ils ne tranchent pas catégorquement (lam vaqta'û) en déclarant autrassèquement erroné tout ce qui s'écarte de leur propre pond de vue

<sup>90</sup> Voir 'Angă mughrib 32 f. de l'édition du Caire 1954; et Futühät 1, 322 f

<sup>91</sup> Voir par exemple Futühât iv, 294. Ce passage est traduit par William Chittick, The Suft Path of Knowledge (Albany 1989) 52.

J'ai parlé des fuqahā' en termes généraux, ce qui peut suggérer que je souscris à la thèse soutenue, entre autres, par al-Sakhāwī, selon laquelle il y aurait eu un ijma' des gardiens de la foi pour condamner Ibn 'Arabī. Certains spécialistes ont été tentés de le croire et le "néowahhabisme" s'emploie activement à entretenir cette illusion d'optique.

Il convient donc de relativiser l'étendue et la force de cette opposition. Je suis convaincu qu'elle fut et qu'elle demeure encore minoritaire même si, depuis que le wahhabisme lui assure une base logistique et de considérables moyens de communication, elle a la possibilité de se faire entendre plus fort et plus loin. Si les mêmes autorités — au premier rang desquelles Ibn Taymıyya — sont constamment invoquées dans la suite des âges pour appuyer les positions hostiles, c'est bien parce qu'il est malaisé de rassembler un large effectif de noms prestigieux. Quantité d'obscurs fugahā', figurants plutôt qu'acteurs, sont enrôlés par al-Sakhāwī dans le Qawl munbî à côté de ces personnages notoires et s'abritent derrière leur renommée. Mais on ne doit pas oublier qu'il y eut aussi - et sans attendre la période ottomane pendant laquelle l'école akbarienne bénificia souvent de la faveur impériale — des fatwas favorables à Ibn 'Arabī: les listes que donne O. Yahyā n'en épuisent pas le nombre. Il y eut d'autre part, majoritairement, des fuqahā' qui, quelles que fussent leurs opinions personnelles, observèrent un strict tawaqquf. Les uns le firent sans doute par prudence (les malheurs d'Ibn Taymiyya ou de al-Biqaci étaient une lecon pour les téméraires). D'autres le firent par sagesse, signalant — c'était leur rôle — le risque inhérent à la diffusion imprudente de formulations qui pouvaient troubler la foi des simples tout en refusant de juger sur le fond et donc de prononcer le takfir. Nous disposons d'ailleurs sur ce point d'un témoignage de poids, celui d'Ibn Hajar al-Asqalani, dont al-Sakhawi fut l'élève. Interrogé, au mois de safar 849, sur le statut légal de ceux qui lisent les Fusûs ou d'autres ouvrages d'Ibn 'Arabī et de ses pareils et qui adhèrent à leurs croyances, il constate - c'est la phrase initiale de sa réponse - que 'de nombreux imams se sont abstenus de parler en mal [d'Ibn 'Arabî et de ses disciples]' (fa-gad tawaqqafa kathır min al-a'imma 'an al-gadh). Les motifs de cette abstention ne sont pas toujours les mêmes. Tantôt, dit-il, elle s'inspire de la supposition charitable que les auteurs en cause sont revenus de leurs erreurs. Tantôt elle se fonde sur leur réputation de piété et de renoncement et sur leurs nombreux charismes. Tantôt enfin les fugahā' s'abstiennent parce qu'il est possible que la

véritable croyance de ces personnages ne soit pas celle qui se déduit de la lettre de leurs écrits. Ibn Hajar exprime ensuite, en des termes sévères mais qu'il faut peut-être décrypter à la lumière de ce qui précède, son avis personnel: aucun homme de jugement droit ne peut s'abstenir de voir de l'infidélité (kufr) et de l'erreur (dalai) dans l'énoncé (maqāla) des thèses de ces auteurs. En conséquence, celui qui s'en fait le propagandiste (al-dā'i) est coupable d'un crime grave. Tel est aussi, ajoute-t-il, le point de vue des maîtres qu'il a connus ou dont l'enseignement lui a été transmis. 92

La circonspection des "nombreux imāms" dont Ibn Hajar rapporte avec honnêteté la position n'est pas toujours une vertu facile à pratiquer. Pace à la stratégie d'intimidation que mettent en œuvre certains courants radicaux, elle devient parfois héroïque et les héros ne sont pas légion, je l'ai dit. Il s'en trouve cependant. On peut constater même qu'en dépit de campagnes agressives et qui n'épargnent pas les personnes (volontiers dénoncées comme complices d'un complot occidental) les études sur Ibn 'Arabī, les éditions de ses œuvres ou de celles de ses disciples se multiplient dans le dār al-islām. Ces travaux sont assurément de valeur inégale. Mais leur existence atteste en tout cas que, si le procès posthume d'Ibn 'Arabī n'est jamais clos, les procureurs ne restent pas seuls à y revendiquer le droit à la parole.

<sup>92</sup> al-Qavel al-munhi, f. 213. La complexité (signalée note 17) de la personnaule d'Ibn Haper est soulignée par la présence de son nom à la fois dans la liste dressée par O Yahyā des fatwas défavorables à Ibn 'Arabī (*Histoire et classification*, no. 105, 130) et dans celle des fatwas favorables (no. 13, 134). Je n'ai pu consulter les deux manuscrits auxquels O. Yahyā fait référence pour justifier l'insertion d'Ibn Haper dans la seconde liste.

## ZAYDI ATTITUDES TO SUFISM

## WILFERD MADELUNG

The relationship between the religious movement of the Zaydiyya and organized Sufism has been predominantly antagonistic throughout their history. At the root of the conflict was a clash about authority in religion. As a Shii sect, the Zaydiyya recognized the ultimate religious authority of the Family of the Prophet (ahl al-bayt). The Zaydī imams demanded obedience from their followers not just as political rulers but also as teachers and guides in religious matters. Unlike the imams of the Twelver Shia and the Isma'iliyya, however, they were not endowed with impeccability and infallibility ('isma) and their religious authority could be challenged. The Sufi shaykhs, who were mostly Sunni, demanded absolute personal obedience from their disciples, though not from their community at large.

Apart from this clash concerning leadership, there were also profound differences in religious motivation which were never bridged Zaydī Islam was essentially sober, sharia-onented, legalistic, and rationalist. It lacked and repudiated any dimension of ecstatic intuition, esoteric knowledge and gnosis, and any form of mystical approach to the world of the divine. The Twelver Shia and Ismā'īliyya shared such features with Sufism, leading Henry Corbin to his view that Sufism itself was in essence a Shii phenomenon. Zaydīs vigorously condemned antinomian and laxist tendencies in Sufism. They took offence at, and strictly forbade. Sufi practices which aimed at inducing states of ecstasy, such as the samā", singing, music, dancing, and gazing upon beautiful youths. They totally rejected the speculative mysticism of Ibn al-cArabi and his school. Rationalist, anti-mystical Mu<sup>e</sup>tazilī theology was adopted by the Zaydiyya more consistently than by the Twelver Shia and evidently suited them better. In agreement with the Muctazila they generally restricted the possibility of miracles to prophets and denounced claims of miracles by Sufi shaykhs as sheer fraud.

There was, however, an aspect of Sufi piety which could be fully appreciated by the Zaydiyya. Like early Sufism in particular, Zaydi religious devotion contained a strong element of asceticism, i.e. rejection of this world - both its attractions and imquities - and of a

preoccupation with, and preparation for, the world to come. A popular Zaydī movement like the Mutarnfiyya in the Yemen, which summoned to withdrawal from the world in hijras, to repentance, to ascetic exercises, purification and meditation, can certainly be described as analogous to early Sufism, although there is no evidence of direct Sufi influence on it. Zaydi imams and scholars, however, did not hesitate to praise early ascetic Sufis such as al-Fudayl b 'Ivad, Bishr al-Hafi, al-Junayd and Dhū'l Nun al-Misri. Indeed they cited their sayings and held them up as individuals who had led exemplary religious lives.

This positive attitude towards early Sufi ascetic piety, together with some reserve, is reflected in the Risālat siyāsat al-murīdīn of the Caspian Zaydi Imam Ahmad b al-Husayn al-Mu'ayyad bi'llah (d. 411/1021). The Risāla apparently represents the earliest extant Zaydī literary reaction to Sufism, written by an imam otherwise known as an author on Mu'tazilī Zaydī kalām and Zaydī (Hādawī) figh. The title, Management of the Desirous, employs Sufi terminology. Al-Mu'ayyad begins by observing that he has found all (religious) disciplines thriving in his time except for the discipline of mu'amala, i.e. devotional practice, which had become neglected and abandoned. He goes on to quote al-Junayd's characteristic praise of 'Alī as the potential master in this fie.d. Al-Junayd says: 'God shall call to account those who turned the attention of our master', meaning 'Alī, 'away from us through (the battles of) the Camel and Siffin. If he had not been preoccupied with these wars, he would have set forth for us what we are incapable of accomplishing with regard to these disciplines (mā lā qibala lanā bih)'.

The first station of devotion, al-Mu'ayyad explains, is repentance (tawba), and the foundation of repentance is fear. The murid must therefore instill fear into his own heart, especially by imagining the woes and pains of death. Repentance is followed by desire (irāda), which is defined as seeking to turn to God exclusively while cutting oneself loose from everything else (talab al-inqitā' ilā'llāh min kull mā siwāh). Here al-Mu'ayyad quotes in extenso a lengthy exhortation (wasiyya) of al-Junayd, stressing the great benefit which the murid may draw from it. Throughout the Risāla, al Mu'ayyad cites the prophets, members of the Prophet's Family such as 'Ali, Zayd b. Alī, Muhammad al-Baqir, Jacfar al-Şadıq, and al-Qasim b. Ibrāhim, and Sufis such as al-Fudayl b. 'Iyad, Dawud al-Ta'i, Abū Sulaymān

Ms. Ambrosiana C 186.

al-Dārānī, Dhū'l-Nūn al Mıṣrī, Abū'l-Husayn al Nūrī, and Ruwaym b, Ahmad; but he also warns of the defects of Sufism. The Sufi Ruwaym is quoted as saying: "This our path consists of expenditure of the spirit (badhl al-ruh). If you are capable of it, good; but if not, do not occupy yourself with the farces of the Sufis (turrahāt al-sū-

fiyya)'.

The search for closeness to God requires constant exertion (mujāhada). Yet the enemy, Satan, attempts to delude the murīd by suggesting that reaching the goal is simply a gift from God and that effort and struggle in fact place a veil between God and the servant This delusion affects in particular those who associate with the Sufis (man yu'āshir ahl al-tasawwuf). The Enemy may also suggest to the murid that practices contrary to the sharta may bring him closer to his goal, practices such as listening to singing, dancing, and amusing oneself with games like backgammon and other forms of entertainment. He may encourage him to neglect his religious duties and even to abandon the obligatory prayers while making him believe that he has reached a noble and distinguished station. Al-Mu'ayyad adds that he had met an old shaykh from among the ignorant Sufis (min jahalat al-sūfīyya) who used to lament about the long years (of his vain struggle) since he had not reached the goal, and who now thought that this would come about by merely removing the screen between himself and God. Al-Mu°ayyad expresses shock at such monstrous ignorance. He also refers with disgust to the class of Sufis who call themselves the blameworthy (ahl al-malama). They claim that by involving themselves in evil situations and committing reprehensible acts they abase their ego, yet in reality they fall from the state of repentance and may well revert to being offenders (fussāq). Al-Mu°ayyad ignores any mystical states beyond that of irada. Instead he concludes his treatise with a chapter on worship ("ibāda) and holds up as examples the Prophet and Ali Zayn al-Abidin who spent their lives in strenuous and never-ceasing worship.

Al-Mu'ayyad's treatise set the guidelines for later Zaydi attitudes to Sufism. It was brought to the Yemen together with his major works and is quoted at length in his biography in Humayd al-Muhalli's al-Hada'iq al-wardiyya, the large Yemenite collection of the lives of the early Zaydi imams.2 The guidelines did not entirely prevent internal Zaydi controversy with respect to later developments in Sufism. Some time after 560/1165, a Zaydī pretender to the imamate, Muhammad b. Isma'il al-Dāwūdī, was active in the Caspian region. He had written a book entitled al Wasīla ilā 'l-fadīla which is described as similar to al Ghazālī's al-Munaidh min al dalāl. His followers were accused of being negligent with regard to the sharia. The Caspian Zaydi community was split concerning him, and his opponents backed a counter-candidate, "Ali b. Muhammad al-Ghaznawi, for the imamate. The conflict continued for thirty years.3 The prominent religious figure of al-Ghazālī and his Sufism evidently evoked a mixed response among Zaydis. His espousal of a strong ethical dimension in the sharia appealed to many of them. The more esoteric aspects of his Sufism and his defence of the practice of samā° mostly met with opposition.

In the eighth/fourteenth century the Caspian Zaydi community came under the rule of an 'Alid dynasty, which lasted until the community's absorption into Twelver Shiism in the early Safavid period. The founder of the dynasty, Sayyid "Alī Kıya b. Amir Kiya al-Malati, was the leader of a Sufi movement of 'penitents' (tā'ıbān). The Zaydi scholars of Lähijan, according to Zahir al-Din Marcashi's account, recognized him as the imam, testifying that he possessed all five qualifications required by Zaydī law for the imamate. Only one of them, Yahyā b. Muhammad al-Şālihi, dissented and went into exile in Rasht.4 At present nothing further is known about the Sufism and religious policy of the dynasty.

In the Yemen, conflict between the Zaydiyya and Sufis did not arise until the seventh/thirteenth century.5 The Zaydis seem to have kept Sufism out of the highlands from \$a^da to San^a and Dhamar where they were dominant, and there is little mention of Sufism in the writings of the early Yemenite imams. Organized Sufism spread in the Sunni, mostly Shāfī°ī, lowlands, Tihāma, Zabīd, and Ta°ızz from the fifth/eleventh century on.6 As Zaydi imams sought to extend their domination into the lowlands, Sufi shaykhs, who wielded

<sup>2</sup> See W Madelung, Arabic Texts concerning the History of the Zavdi Imams of Tobariston, Davloman and Gilán (Berrut 1987) 293-305

<sup>3</sup> W Madelung, Der Imam al-Q\u00e4sım ibn Ibr\u00e4h\u00e4m und die Glaubenstehre der Zaiditen (Berlin 1965) 217-8.

<sup>4</sup> Zahir al-Din Mar'ashi, Tārikh-: Gilān wa Daylamān, ed. M Sutüdeh (Tehran 1347/1969) 16, 41

<sup>5</sup> The following account is based in part on the study of Abd Allah Muhammad al-Habshi (al-Hibshi), al-Şüfiyya wa'l-fuqaha' fi 'l Yamen (San'a' 1396/1976). My thanks are due to Mr. Bernard Haykel for making available some of the literature used in this study and for valuable advice.

al-Habshi, op. cit. 12.

considerable influence over the local populace, put up resistance. The Zaydī Imam al-Mahdī li-dīn Allāh Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn, who ruled from 646-656/1249-1258, wrote a letter to Abū'l-Ghayth b. Jamil (d. 651/1253), the most prominent Yemenite Sufi shaykh — at that time active in the viliage of Bayt 'Aṭā' in Wādī Surdud — and summoned him politely to unite with him in 'ordering what is proper and forbidding what is reprehensible'. Abū'l-Ghayth replied to him with equal politeness, assuring him that if God supported al-Mahdî no one could overcome him. He, Abū'l-Ghayth, had heard and was following the summons of the Truth (haqq), God, and after that there was no room left to respond to the summons of any creature.

The surface politeness concealed the deep aversion of the Sufi to the pretense of the Zaydī imam. It was at this time, or perhaps on an earlier occasion when a Zaydī imam intended to invade the lowlands, that Abū'l-Ghayth wrote to another Sufi shaykh, Muḥammad b. Ismā'īl al-Ḥadramī, proposing that they both depart from Yemen because of the spread of civil strife (zuhūr al-fitan) there. Al-Ḥadramī, who was active in al-Dahī near al-Mahjam, replied that he was unable to leave because of his many dependents and close relatives and suggested that each one of them protect his region. Abū'l-Ghayth also decided to stay in the Yemen.8

Abū'l-Ghayth's rejection of Imam al-Mahdi's overture did not lead to a serious deterioration of the relations between Zaydīs and Sufis. Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Daylamī, a Zaydī scholar who came to the Yemen from the Caspian region, does not deal with the Sufis among the heretics and opponents of the Prophet's Family in his large Kitāb qawā'id Āl Muḥammad completed in 707/1307.9 In his Kitāb al-ṣirāṭ al-mustaqīm bi'l-dīn al-qawīm, written a year later, he endorses Sufi ethics and ascetic practice, describing fear of God, taqwā, as the only condition for salvation. He names al-Ghazālī's Iḥyā' 'ulūm al-Dīn and 'other books of the ascetics' as works in which all errroneous opinions are rejected. Occasionally he refers to Imam al-Mu'ayyad's Risālat siyāsat al-murīdīn, to the Shii imams

at-Habshi, op. cit. 53-4

Ja<sup>c</sup>far al-Sādiq and al-Qāsim b. Ibrāhīm, but most often he cites Sunni Sufis. <sup>10</sup> His Kitab al tasfiya 'an al-mawāni' al-murdiya al-muhlika, a work on religious ethics, was later read and quoted by Zaydis inclining to Sufism. <sup>11</sup>

The Zaydi Imam al-Mu'ayyad bı'llah Yahya b. Hamza, who reigned from 729-749/1328-1349, maintained good relations with the well-known Yemenite Sufi shaykh and historian 'Afif al-Din 'Abd Allāh b. As'ad al-Yāfi'ī (d. 768/1376-7) residing in Mekka. Al-Yāficī notes in his large history Mir'āt al-janān wa-'ibrat alyaqzan that the imam appreciated a qaşīda in which al-Yāfi'i extolled the Sufis in general and his shaykh 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh al-Tawāshī in particular, using metaphors (isti'ārāt) which were censured by some Sunni scholars. While on a raid against the Ismā'īlīs of Harāz, al-Mu'ayyad asked a pilgrim on his way to Mecca to bring back from the latter city some of the writing (kalām) of al-Yāfīcī, stating that he admired two of his qaşidas, one of them in praise of his shaykh. Al-Yāfī'ī expresses astonishment that the metaphors in his gasida should be appreciated by one of the opponents (of Sunnism) who deny the stations (magamat) of the mystics, while they were denounced by a Sunni.12

Imam Yahyā b. Ḥamza, a prolific author, wrote a large book on religious ethics and etiquette entitled Tasfiyat al-qulūb min daran alawzār wa'l-dhunūb. It may well have been patterned on al-Ghazālī's Ihyā' 'ulūm al-Dīn as has repeatedly been suggested. 13 The work reflects Yaḥyā b. Ḥamza's lack of sectarian zeal and his openness to Sunni learning. Hardly anything is said about the religious rank of the ahl al-bayt as is common in Zaydī works. In the section about the death of the Prophet and the early caliphs, Abū Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmān are treated virtually on a par with 'Alī. Al-Mu'ayyad Aḥmad b. al-Ḥusayn's Siyāsat al-murīdīn is not mentioned. While there are few citations of 'Alī and his descendants, quotations from early Sufīs, including Ibrāhīm b. Adham, al-Ḥārith al-Muḥāsibī, Abū

<sup>8</sup> a. Sharji, Tabaqāt al-khawāṣṭ ahl al-ṣidq wa'l-ikhlāṣ (Beirut 1406/1986) 280. Al-Habshī seems to interpret the passage incorrectly in suggesting that Abū'l-Ghayth was at this time active in the highlands and proposed to move to Tihāma (op. cit. 55). Abū'l-Ghayth had been active in the highlands at an earlier stage (al-Sharji, op. cit. 407), but when he wrote his letter to al-Ḥaḍrami he was certainly living in the Tihāma.

<sup>9</sup> Ms. Şan°ā¹ Great Mosque; see al-Sayyid al-Ḥusayni, Mu¹allofāt al-Zavdivva (Qumm. 413.1993) µ, 357

<sup>10</sup> See Madelung, Der Imam al-Qasım 220

<sup>11</sup> Mu\*allafär i, 290-1 The Zaydi Sufi Ibrähim al-Kayna\*i (d. 793/1391) owned a copy of the book and quoted from it (Yahyā b. al-Mandi, Silot al-ikhwān, Ms. Ambrosiana D 222, Iol. 26a).

<sup>12</sup> al-Yāfi'i, Mir'āt al-janān (Hyderabad 1347 49/1919-21) ıv, 315, al-Ḥabshi, op cit 63-4. Al-Mu'ayyad is called by al-Yāfi'i imām al-Zaydiyya al-'allāma al fāḍdi Yaḥvā b. Hamza

<sup>13</sup> al-Habshi, op. cit. 65; Ismā'il b. Ahmad al-Jirāfi, introduction to his edition of Tasfiwai al-quiāb (San'ā) 1408/1988).

Yazīd al-Bistamī and al Shiblī, abound. The author points out that the Sufi shaykhs (mashyakhat al-tariq fi 'l-tasawwif) had much to say about the reality of good ethics (haqiqat husn al-khuluq), though they said more to explain its norms and the way to achieve its fruits than about its nature and definition.14

Yahyā b. Hamza is adamant, however, that singing belongs to the evils of the tongue. The masters of the ahl al-bayt and the imams from among them are agreed that it is prohibited and reprehensible, that it is contrary to virtue and religion, and that its practice invalidates legal testumony and integrity. This was also the view of Sunni jurists such as Abū Hanīfa, al-Shāfī'i, Mālik, Sufyan al-Thawrī and others. The deviant opinion of Abu Hamid al-Ghazálí that it is permitted and recommended deserves no consideration. Al-Ghazāli's evidence in support of his opinion was tenuous and based on statements of men without authority, which should be seen as an outrage and baseness in religion. Al-Ghazālī, Yaḥyā b. Hamza adds, surely could have dispensed with lending support to the permissibility and promotion of singing and have avoided discussing it in his religious books, not to mention arguing in favour of it.15 Imam Yahya evidently had strong feelings on the subject, for he also wrote a shorter book entitled 'Iqd al-la'āli' fi'l-radd 'alā Abī Hāmid al-Ghazālī in which he specifically refuted al-Ghazālī's chapter on samā° in the Ihvā° 'ulūm al-Dīn,16

Sufism is not expressly mentioned in Imam Yahya's condemnation of singing. In a chapter on the delusion (ghurūr) of various religious and social groups, however, the Sufis (ahl al-tasawwuf) occupy a prominent position. Imam Yahya describes the kinds (smf) of delusion to which Sufis commonly fall victim. These range from their dress and speech, in which the 'would-be Sufis of our time (mutasawwifat ahl zamāninā) imitate the true Sufis such as al-Junayd, al-Shibli, al-Bistāmī and others, to fraudulent claims of gnosis, antinomian libertunism, and false hopes of having reached the ultimate goal (wuşûl)".17

Imam Yahyā b. Ḥamza, it should be noted, also espoused the Muctazili theological doctrine of the school of Abū'l-Ḥusayn al-

14 Tasfivat al-quiùb 37 15 Tasfiyat ol-quiüb 139 The passage is quoted by ni-Manşür al-Qăsim b. Muḥammad ia

Başrı which, in contrast to the doctrine of the school of Abū Hāshim al Jubba'î previously prevalent among the Zaydiyya, upheld the possibility of karamāt, miracles of non prophets including Sufi saints.18 His teaching evidently furthered the emergence of an indigenous order of moderate Sufism in the Yemenite Zaydī community. Substantial information about this development is furnished by the sayyid Yahya b. al-Mahdı b. Qasim b. Mutahhar al Zaydî al-Husayın in his hagiographical account of the life of his shaykh and founder of a Sufi order, Ibrahim b. Ahmad al Kayna'ı (d. 793/1391), entitled Silat al-ikhwan fi hilvat barakat ahl at-zamān. There is some evidence for an influence from the Caspian Zaydi community, where Sufi currents had been strong for a long time Yaḥyā b. al-Mahdī mentions the sayyid Ahmad b. Amīr [b. al-Nāşir al-Hasanī] al-Jīlānī, who came to the Yemen from the country of the Jīl and Daylam intending to visit Imam al-Mu'ayyad Yahya b Hamza. When he arrived al-Mu'ayyad had already died, and he visited his successor, Imam al-Mahdi 'Ali b. Muhammad (750-73/1359-71) in Sa'da and al-Mahdī's son and later successor, al-Nāşir Şalāḥ al-Dīn Muhammad b. 'Alī, who at that time was teaching Koran-reading in Maşnacat Bani Qays. This sayyıd, according to Yahyā b. al-Mahdī, was the author of books on devotion and asceticism, among them

one entitled Şafwat al-şafwa fi zuhd al-şahāba. 19 When Yahyā b. al-Mahdī visited Sayyid Ahmad b. Amīr al-Jīlānī in Mekka, he found the qadi20 al-Hasan b. Salman with him. This Yemenite from Wādī'l-Hār near Dhamār is described by Yahyā as the first person to practice the worship of the Sufis (ahl al-jarīqa) in the land of the Zaydiyya and the first to dress in their garb. At the same time he was an erudite scholar in religious law, hadīth, and Koranic exegesis. In particular he was an expert in the legal doctrine of the Caspian Zaydî imam al-Nāṣir li'l-Ḥaqq.21 He may well have been introduced to this subject by the Jilani sayyid.22 Al-Hasan b.

his Holf and al-liftk (ms. in a private collection in Dahyan) 63-4. Al-Mansar omits the mention of a -Ghazālī.

<sup>16</sup> al-Habshi, op. cat. 65, al-Husayni, Mu'allafar n., 272. 17 Tasfiyar al-qulüb 498 501

<sup>18</sup> Der Imam al-Oasim 222 and note 456

<sup>19</sup> Silat al-ikhuða 19b 20a. Ahmad b. Amir al-Jilāni was later remembered among the Yemenite Zaydiyya as the one who brought the six-volume Kuāb al-jānus al kāfi of Abū 'Abū Alläh al-"Alawi on early Kūfan Zaydī figh to the Yemen and presented it to Imam al-Mahdī \*Alī b. Muhammad (see Der Imam al-Oāsim 88, note 236).

<sup>20</sup> The title add was in Yemente usage of the time often applied to non- Alid scholars, who were not necessarily judgets.

<sup>21</sup> Yahvā b. al-Mahdi, Silat al-ikhwān 19a.

<sup>22</sup> The Nasiri religious law was not practiced in the Yemen and presumably not normany taught Yaliya b. al-Mahdi does not provide any information about at Hasan b Salman's teachers. It is to be noted, however, that he must have been well-known as a Sufi ascetic

Salmān was an active supporter of Imam al-Mahdī urging the people to join his *jihad* against the opponents of the Zaydiyya and to pay their alms-tax to him.<sup>23</sup> The *hijra* which he founded in Wadī'l-Hār is still thriving under his name <sup>24</sup>

Yahvā b. al-Mahdī refers to al-Hasan b. Salman as the shaykh and model in piety of Ibrāhīm al-Kaynaci, who later continued to visit him every year. More important, however, as al-Kayna'i's teacher seems to have been "Alī b. "Abd Allāh b. Abī'l-Khayr [al-Sāyıdı],25 whom Yahyā also designates as his shaykh in asceticism and his model in words and deeds. Ibn Abī'l-Khayr was a prominent Zaydī scholar at the time, learned in religious law, legal methodology and theology, and author of books on many subjects, including refutations of the predestinarians (mujbira), Islamic sects, and Ismā'ilis (malāhida). He also wrote on the sciences of Sufi devotion, asceticism, and the stories (hikāyāt) of the Sufis, the praiseworthy as well as the reprehensible.26 Probably late in his career, he personally became engaged in Sufi practice and devotion. In 773/1371-2 he was initiated into the practice of dhikr by Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Nassākh who in turn had been initiated by Yūsuf [b. Abd Allāh b. "Umar al-Kurdī] al-Kūrānī (d. 768/1367), an Egyptian shaykh wellknown for his special practice of dhikr which he propagated among his followers in many countries.27 Al-Kūrānī traced his silsila back through Abū'l-Najīb al-Suhrawardī (d. 563/1168) to Jacfar al-Sādiq and 'Alī b. Abī Tālib.28

In spite of his involvement with Sufism, Ibn Abī'l-Khayr upheld the critical attitude of the Zaydiyya to some aspects of Sufi belief and practice. Yaḥyā b. al-Mahdī quotes his treatise al-Muqaddima wa'l-wazā'if fī ṭarīq al-murīd wa'l-ṭā'if in which, after describing

already before al-Jilant's arrival stace Yahya reports that the latter went to visit him when he arrived in the Yemen (Silat al-ikhwān 20a)

the obstacles on the path to spiritual perfection, he specifically warns against the belief of some Sufis that contravention of the sharia is permissible once one has reached a high station, against false doctrines like anthropomorphism, determinism, and  $irj\bar{a}^2$ , against refusing *jihād* on behalf of the Prophet's Family and failure to respond to their summons, and against listening to music, dancing and ecstatic love (wajd).<sup>29</sup>

Ibrāhīm al-Kaynacī received the dhikr and his Sufi frock (khiraa) from Ibn Abi'l-Khayr.30 The Banū'l-Kaynacī, his family, were local chiefs and owned castles one day's journey (barid) west of Dhamar. Ibrāhīm was fully trained in Zaydī religious law and theology. He became closely associated with Imam al-Nāsir Şalāh al-Dīn Muhammad b. Alī (ruled 773-793/1371-1391) and participated in some of his campaigns against the Tayyibi Ismā'īlis,31 who at this time were closely allied with the Sunni Rasulids ruling in Tacizz and were the major rivals of the Zaydis in the highlands. The imam backed him and used to visit him annually in Dhamar, seeking the blessing of his prayer. During al-Kaynacī's stay in Sancāa, al-Nāsır would visit him monthly or every second month for a solitary session with him. Al-Kayna<sup>c</sup>l received material help for his Sufi brethren from the imam, who, at his request, gave a house confiscated from the Ismā°ilīs in San°ā° to one of them.32 According to Yahyā b. al-Mahdi, al-Kaynaci stated that he had met no one better informed about the practices and disciplines of the mystics than Imam al-Nasir. He had seen in the imam's library rare books on the sciences of the ascetics and stories about the saints which he had not seen anywhere else, among them al-Ghazāli's Kīmiyā\* al-sa\*āda and parts of his Ihvā' 'ulūm al-dīn.33

Al-Kayna<sup>c</sup>i founded Sufi communities all over northern Yemen which he visited regularly, in Dhamār, Ma<sup>c</sup>bar, Maṣna<sup>c</sup>at Banī Qays, Khubbān (?), San<sup>c</sup>ā<sup>c</sup>, Thulā, Ḥūth, Wa<sup>c</sup>ra, Qāra, Zafār, and Sa<sup>c</sup>da.<sup>34</sup> He established hijras for retreat and devotion, among them Hijrat Ma<sup>c</sup>bar in Jahrān, Hijrat <sup>c</sup>Arām and Hijrat al-Washal in Wādī Zubayd and the region of Dhamār. One of his followers, al-Ḥasan b.

<sup>23</sup> Silat al-ikhwān "9b. 34b. Al-Hasan b. Satmān is said to have reached an age of more than 130 years.

<sup>24</sup> H.,pat Hasan Sa.mān, see ol-Qādī İsmā'il b. "Alī al-Akwa", al-Madkhol ilā hyar al 'ilm wa-ma'āgdibī fi 'l-Yaman (Berrut 1415, 1995) 82

<sup>25</sup> Sāvid is a branch of Hamdan.

<sup>26</sup> Şifat ol-ikhwān 46a. On extant works by Ibn Abî'l-Khayr see Mu'allafāt in. 227-8. where he is iisted under three different names. "Alī b. "Abd Allāh Abī'l-Khayr, "Alī b. "Abd Allāh ar-Sā'idī, and "Alī b. "Abd Allāh b. Abī'l Khayr

<sup>27</sup> On Yüsuf al Küränī see Ibn Ḥajar, al Durar al-kāmina (Hyderabad 1350/1931-2) iv. 463 Anmad b. Muhammad al-Najrl al-Nassākh was, according to Ibn Abī'l-Rijāl (Mattar al-budūr, ms., s v ), a religious scholar from Khubbān (Khabbān?) with whom the Zaydī unam Ibn al-Murtadā read al-Zamakhsharī's Koran commentary al-Kashshāf.

<sup>28</sup> Stlat of ikhwan 48b-49a. On Aba'i Najib al-Suhrawardi see GAL 1, 436, S 1, 780

<sup>29</sup> Silat al-ikhwān 47b.

<sup>30</sup> Silat al-ikhwān 49a. Yahyā b. al-Mahdī in turn obtained his initiation and khirqa from al-Kayna<sup>c</sup>i.

<sup>31</sup> Silat al-ikhwân 36b.

<sup>32</sup> Şilat al-ikhwan 29a-b.

<sup>33</sup> Silat al ikhvan 36b-37a, al-Habstii, op ext 62-3,

<sup>34</sup> Silat al-ikkirim 19a, 66b.

Mūsā al-Awtānī, founded Hijrat al Awtān in Bilād Madhhij. 35 The qadi 'Abd Allāh b. Hasan al Dawwārī (d. 800/1397-8) invited him to come to Sa'da in order to spread his blessings there. Many of the local inhabitants responded to his call and began to practice asceticism and dhikr and to read books of devotion in the mosque of Imam al-Mansūr in the desert of Sa'da (barriyyat Sa'da). Their leader in worship was another Caspian immigrant to the Yemen, Dāwūd b. Muḥammad al-Jilānī, known for his great miracles and as the author of books on Sufi devotional sciences. 36

Al-Kayna<sup>c</sup>i evidently represented a Zaydī form of ascetic Sufism which found favour with Imam al-Nāsir Salāh al-Dīn because it supported the Zaydi imamate and repudiated the Sufi practices generally condemned by the imams. In contrast, the uneasy truce which had prevailed between the Zaydis and the Sunni Sufis was shattered towards the end of al-Nasır's reign due to a particular incident Ahmad b. Zayd al-Shāwiri, a Shāfi'i scholar of the law and Sufi shaykh active in the region of Hajja,37 at that time just outside Zaydī territory, used to denounce the Zaydi creed and doctrine, and wrote a short book in which he raised the call to support Sunnism and warned against innovation.38 In 793/1391 Imam al-Nāṣir raided his area with a strong army. The house of the shaykh was attacked, and he, his son Abū Bakr, and several of his companions were killed, although they had not put up any armed resistance. As a result of the conquest, the people of the region converted from Shāfi'i Sunnism to Hādawī (Zaydī) Shiism.39 The violent death of the respected shaykh provoked a strong Sunni reaction. The prominent Shāfi'i scholar and poet Ismā°īl b. Abī Bakr al-Muqrī, who belonged to al-Shāwırî's people, composed a lengthy elegy on his death in which he sharply condemned the imam for his transgression.40 Ismā'il al-Muqri, it should be noted, later became a vociferous Sunni critic of Sufism, in particular of the school of Ibn al-'Arabi.41 At this time he was evi-

35 al Akwas, ot-Modkhol 82

dently still attracted to the Sufis.

Al-Nāsir Salāh al Dīn's first successor, overthrown and imprisoned after only one year, was Imam al-Mahdi Ahmad b. Yahya al-Murtada (d. 840/1437), author of the massive Zaydī theological and legal encyclopaedia al-Bahr al-zakhkhār. In the eleventh book of the encyclopaedia, entitled Takınılat al-ahkām wa'l-tasfiya 'an bawatın al-athām, Ibn al-Murtadā dealt with religious ethics in a Sufi fashion. He provided a large commentary to it under the title Thamarât al-akmām. The book, according to al-Habshi, was widely studied among Yemenite Sufis. As late as the eleventh/seventeenth century commentaries and supplements to it were written by the Zavdi scholar Salāh b. Abd al-Khāliq al-Jahhāf (d. 1053/1643),42 as well as al-Hasan b, Ahmad al-Jalal (d. 1084/1673)43 and others. Ibn al-Murtadă furthermore composed some smaller treatises on Sufi topics such as his Havāt al-qulūb fī ihvā? "ibādat 'allām al-ghuyūb and al-Zahra al-zāhira bi-tahgīr al-dunvā wa-ta'zīm al-ākhira.44 Yet he also wrote a book which refutes those scholars who allowed amusements and the use of musical instruments, entitled Kıtāb al-gamar al-nawwar fi 'l-radd 'ala 'l-murakhkhısın fi 'l-malahi wa'l-mizmar Here he quotes at length the hadiths condemning musical instruments, singing, the purchase of singing slave girls, etc., which Imam al-Mansur 'Abd Allah b. Hamza (d. 614/1217) had collected in his Kıtāb al-shāfī.45 In a Qasīda gāfīyya Ibn al-Murtadā censured those who 'proscribed the books of right guidance and allowed the tambourne and the flute (mizmār) in the markets', whose enemies were the scholars ("ulamā") and whose friend was the dancing and clapping would-be Sufi (al-mutaşawwif al-raqqās al-saffāq).46

the Muhyiddin thu 'Arabi Society ix (1992) 50-6, Ţāhā Aḥmad Zayd, Ismā'īl ol-Muqrī hayātuliā wa-ski'rithā (Berut 1996)

<sup>36</sup> Silat al-khwān 66b-67a. A Kitāb al-Magāṣīd al-ukhrawiwa al-muntaza\* min kitāb alanwār al-mudiwa by hun is extant as part (Mu²allofāt iii. 44).

<sup>37</sup> The region of Hajja is sumed in the account of al-Sherji. Yahyā b. al-Ḥusayn b. al-Mu'ayyad speaks of the Bunū Shāwir in Bitād Lā'a and Bitād Qatāba [Anbā' al-zaman, quoted in Muḥainmad b. Muḥainmad Zabāra, A'immat al-Yaman (Tu'izz 1372/1952) i, 277].

<sup>38</sup> al Sharji, op. crt. 78 According to al-Habshi, op. cit. 55, note 3, the book was refuted by Muhammad b. Yüsuf al Façhi in his al-Inşāf fi 'l radd 'alā ahl al-zaygh wa'l r'inṣāf.

<sup>39</sup> Zabara, A'ummat al-Yamon 1, 277

<sup>40</sup> al Sharji, op. est. 78; al Habshi, op. est. 55-6.

<sup>41</sup> al-Habshi, op cit. 135-42, Alexander Knysh, 'Ibn 'Ambi in the Yemen', Journal of

<sup>42</sup> Nihāvat al-afhām li-ma'āni Takmilat al-aḥkām, see Mu'allafāt us, 132

<sup>43</sup> Talqih al-afhām br-sahīh al-kalām 'alā Takmilas al-aḥkām, sec Mu'allafās v. 325.

<sup>44</sup> al-Habshi, op. cit 65-6

<sup>45</sup> This section of Ibn al-Murtadā's al-Qumor al-nauwār is quoted by al Mansūr al-Qāsum b. Muhammad in his Haif anf al-āfik 34-7 In the edition of al-Mansūr 'Abd Alhāh b. Hamza's Kitāb al-shāfi (Ṣan'ā' 1406/1986) the text is found in vol. 1, 221-3. 'And Alhāh b. Hamza reports these hadīths in the context of his censure of the behaviour of the 'Abbāsid caliphs, not as anti-Sufi polemics. By contrast, the Amir al-Nāṣur li-Dīn Allāh al-Hī sayn b Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Yahyā (d. 662/1261) in his Kitāb al-wanābi' al-sahīḥa, after cuting the traditions forbidding play and amusements, adds a warning for the secker of right guidance not to be deceived by the Ṣūfiyya and the empty thetone of the Hashwiyya (al-Yanābī' al-fahīha, facsimīle edition by Ahmad Muhammad Ḥajar, Ṣan'ā' n.d., 352) The passage is quoted by al-Mauṣūr al-Qāsun b. Muhammad in his Haif anf al-āfik 42.3

<sup>46</sup> Quoted by al-Mansor al-Qasım b. Muhammad, Hatf anf al-afik 40-1

Another book on religious ethics entitled Kanz al-rashad wa-zād al ma'ad was written by Imam al Hadı 'Izz al-Dîn b. al-Hasan al Yahyawi (ruled 879-900/1474-1495) 47 'Izz al Din deals with the reprehensible character traits which must be avoided and the sound ones which the worshipper should strive to acquire. In the introduction he mentions his having read books encouraging asceticism (kutub zuhdivya) and treatises of 'the people of the approved path (aht al tariq al mardivva)' 48 At the end, however, he stresses that he never pursued the path and apologizes to those readers who believe that only those who have reached a high rank travelling the path themselves ought to write about the subject 49 In the course of his exposition he frequently quotes from Sunni hadith-collections. He praises al-Ghazālī for his powerful discourse in condemning man's attachment to worldly chattels,50 but rarely mentions any other Suffi.51

"Izz al-Dīn's predecessor, Imam al-Mutawakkil al-Mutahhar b. Muhammad b. Sulayman al-Hamzı (ruled 840-879/1436-1474), was evidently more hostile to Sufism. Al-Mansur al-Qasim b. Muhammad in his Hatf anf al-afik quotes some lines of poetry in which this imam derides the doctrines of a people whose religion consists of play and who dance and clap in the darkness of night. They end up in the intoxication of ecstasy deprived of their minds. Never has any sunna supported this practice, nor does the Book of God justify it.52

Systematic persecution of Sufis was initiated by Imam al-Mutawakkıl Sharaf al-Din Yahyā b. Shams al-Din, who ruled from 912 to 965/1506-1558. In his Kitāb al-athmār, a popular abridgement of Ibn al-Murtadā's Kıtāb al-azhār, Sharaf al-Dîn accused the Sufis of generally furthering hostility to the 'Alids (nasb) Some of them maintained that the Family of Muhammad (āl Muhammad) consisted of all followers (atbar) of the Prophet.53 Al- quotes Imam Sharaf al-Din's response to someone asking him about the Sūfiyya.

"This sect (firma) which in our time and before it has been called the Sūfiyya has no foundation in religion on which they can rely and no proof (burhān) in reason or in the law of religion (shar') to which they can refer. Rather, their affairs are based upon false fancies, empty metoric, deceptive illusions, and claims remote from truth and veracity. Whoever enters into these fancies to any extent departs from Islam and embraces unbelief (kufr) without any doubt. He becomes a corporealist and a denier of divine attributes in the recognition of the Omniscient King. This is well-known to every scholar who peruses their books and to every wise learned man who takes cognizance of their secrets. It is therefore incumbent upon every Muslim not to affiliate himself with them, nor to wear any of their emblents, nor to imitate (volashobboh) any one of them; for it has come down in tradition that whoever initiates a people is counted as one of them. The rules for charging someone with unbelief and grave immorality (alphan al-tokfir wa'l-rafsIq) are based on this' 54

Imam Sharaf al-Din thus clearly branded the practice of Sufism as potentially constituting infidelity. According to Ibn Abī'l-Rijāl, he forbade adherence to Sufism and entering upon their path from the beginning of his reign. As a result, all public display of Sufi ceremonial practices disappeared, and Sufi dancing, clapping, and singing became concealed in houses.55 In 939/1532 he summoned several Sufis, among them the gadi Muhammad b. 'Atf Allah al-Absi,56 to a debate concerning their religious beliefs. After the debate Sharaf al-Din threatened to treat gadi Muhammad as an apostate, to force him to relinquish his spouses, and, after a period of grace, to execute him if the latter did not renounce his Sufism. Qadi Muhammad recanted after having been beaten and tortured and wrote a declaration in which he explained his renunciation of Sufism. The others also repented,57 A sayyid Abd Allah b. al-Qasim was likewise forced by Imam Sharaf al-Din to sever his ties with Sufism. The sayyid had been enticed to the heresy by the shaykh "Alī al-Jabartī who had visited al-Zahrayn in the region of Hajja, and had accompanied him on his travels. When his Sufi proclivities be-

<sup>47</sup> The book was completed in 897/1492. Kanz al-rashād (San\*ā\* 1392/1972) 138.

<sup>48</sup> Kanz 21

<sup>49</sup> Kanz 137 8

<sup>50</sup> Konz 71

<sup>51</sup> al Habshi, op. cit. 66. Al-Habshi erroneously states that Imam "Izz al-Din in his introduction warns against the cestatic seacuces ("ntiim shathi year) of the Sufis and quotes a quildo criticizing them. This introduction is however, by the modern editor of the text, "Abd al Wāsıº b. Yahyā al-Wāsuºī, not by the mam.

<sup>52</sup> al-Mansur, Hotf anf al afik 46

<sup>53</sup> See the quotation in al-Habshi, op. cit. 57

<sup>54</sup> al-Mansür, Half 41-2. Al-Mansür adds that the text of the response is much longer and invites anyone interested to read it in the original.

<sup>55</sup> fbn Abi l-Rijäl, quoted by al-Habshi, op. cit. 58 Al-Habshi is avidently mistaken in suggesting (57) that Sharaf al. Din's hostility to Sufism was the result of the Sufi support of the Ottoman Turks and their forsaking him in his struggle against the invaders. From the account of Ibn Abi'l-Rijāl and other sources it is clear that Sharaf al-Din began to persecute the Sufis long before the Ottoman myasion in 945/1538.

<sup>56</sup> Thus the name given by al-Habshi, op. cit. 57 In Zabāra, A'immot of-Yaman i, 408. the name appears as Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah al. 'Aasi.

<sup>57</sup> al-Habshi, op. cit. 57 8; A'immat al Yaman 1, 408.

came apparent, the imam imprisoned him in the fortress of al-cArūs and reprimanded him. He was only released after writing a declaration in which he dissociated himself from the teaching of the Sufis.58

Less fortunate was another Sufi shaykh, Hasan b. 'Ali al-Jadr, who espoused the Sufism of the practitioners of ecstatic utterances (shattahun) and attracted many followers. The imam disdained to debate with him at the time of his debate with other Sufis since he considered him deficient in religious learning. When the forces of the imam seized Sacda in 940/1533, a letter of Hasan al-Jadr was found in which he encouraged the Sufis there to remain faithful to their convictions, assuring them that the words and rebukes of the imam did not frighten him. The imam wanted to kill him, but the imam's son, Shams al-Dīn, persuaded him to have him imprisoned Hasan al-Jadr then swore that he had renounced Sufism both in secret and in public and that his letter had been written before the repentance of Qāḍī Muḥammad al- Absī. The imam accepted his excuses, and had him released. Some time later, however, it became apparent that Hasan al-Jadr still secretly adhered to his Sufi doctrines. He was executed in Sanºaº in Safar 942/Aug. 1535 at the order of the imam, 59

Anti-Sufi polemics reached their chmax with Imam al-Manṣūr al-Qāsım b. Muḥammad (ruled 1006-1029/1598-1620), the founder of the Qāsimī imamate. Al-Manṣūr's reign was dominated by his relentless struggle against the Ottoman occupation of the Yemen. The Yemenite Sufis had initially welcomed the Ottoman invasion, and they were in turn courted by the Turkish governors. Al-Manṣūr's fierce attack on Sufism was in part a reaction to this alliance. Early during his reign al-Manṣūr composed a sixty-line poem entitled al-Kāmil al-mutadārik fī bayān madhhab al-ṣūfī al-hālik together with brief explanatory notes. The poem opens with a complaint about the rejection (rafā) of Muhammad's Family by the majority of the Muslim community ever since the death of the Prophet, which has resulted in its split into numerous sects; he then exposes the heresies of the Sufis and in particular accuses them of gross sexual debauchery. He is said to have sent the poem to his son,

58 al Habshi, op. c.t. 58

60 al Habshi, op. cst 52-3

probably Muhammad, who was then engaged in fighting the Turks A!-Mansur's biographer, al-Hasan b. Muhammad al-Jurmuzi quotes another anti-Sufi Risāla followed by a Qaşıda which the imam addressed to all the Muslims it could reach. In the risāla, as in al-Kāmil al-mutadarik, al-Mansūr describes the Sufis as a sect of the Bātiniyya whose religion was founded on the remnants of the Zoroastrians (mujūs) when they recognized they could not fight Islam openly. He accuses them of equating their lord with beautiful women and beardless youths while denying the real Lord of the world, and of adopting the singing of love poetry, music, and pleasant diversions as their religion. When they feared for their lives, they would mix exclamations of la ilaha illa 'llah into these diversions and celebrate the Prophet's birthday (mawlid al-nabī) in order to deceive the ignorant. It was the duty of all Muslims to declare light the shedding of their blood and the seizure of their property because they were infidels and polytheists. Indeed their polytheism was greater than that of the polytheists whom the Prophet had fought in holy war because those had affirmed the existence of God while associating idols as partners with him, whereas these consider only beautiful women and beardless youths as their gods and do not recognize any other lord. In the Qasīda al-Mansūr repeats these accusations and calls Muslims to allegiance to the Family of the Prophet.62

The Turks evidently took note of this. Sinān Pasha, then commander of the Ottoman forces in the Yemen and later governor, ordered the sayyid Muhammad b. 'Abd Allāh (d. 1008/1599-1600)63, a grandson of Imam Sharaf al-Dīn known as a poet and man of letters (adīb), to compose a refutation of the al-Kāmil al-mutadārik. Earlier in 994/1586, Sinān's master, the Ottoman governor Hasan Pasha, had rounded up the most prominent descendants of Imam Sharaf al-Dīn and deported them to IstanbulSayyid Muhammad was not among them, but he was evidently frightened into submission to Ottoman domination.64 He complied with the order by composing a poem in which, besides defending moderate Sufism and the practice of music, he sang the glory of the Ottoman Sultan Mehmet III and

<sup>9</sup> al-Hapshi, op. cst. 58 9; Ibn al-Mu'ayyad, Ghāvat al-amāni 680-1

<sup>61</sup> Ms Brit Mus Or 3851, 101b 105. Al-Mansôr membons three Sufi sanctuaries, one of them in the region of Dhamār in which women allegedly acted as prostitutes, and claims that the Yemenute Sufis regularly engaged in a communal sexual orgy lasting three nights.

during the month of Rabi<sup>c</sup> al-awwil. Two Sufi works which al-Mansūr quotes so illustrate objectionable Sufi doctrine are al-Lunt<sup>c</sup>o al-nūriyva (of Abū<sup>c</sup>l 'Abbās Ahmad b 'Ali al-Būdī, d. 622/1225, GAL i, 497) and Rayhān al-quiūb fi 't-townssut itā 't-mahbāb (of Yūsuf b 'Abd Allāh al-Kūrānī, GAL, ii 205, the shaykh through whom Ibrāhūm al-Kayna'i traced his silsuo)

<sup>62</sup> al-Jurmūzi, al-Nubdha al-mushira [facsımile edinon (San'ā' ca. 1981?)] 31-2

<sup>63</sup> Ghàvat al-amani 782

<sup>64</sup> In his response to Sayyid Muḥammad's qaşida, al-Manşur suggested that Sayyid Muḥammad had been compelled by Sinān to wote it. Haif 2-3

praised Sman Pasha as well.

Some time after the death of both Sayyid Muhammad and Mehmet III, but while Sinan Pasha still held the governorship, al-Mansur responded with another poem and a commentary on it entitled Kitāb Hatf anf al āfīk fī jawābihi 'ala 'l-Kamil al-mutadārik.65 From the outset, he again pursued the line of accusation that Sufism was derived from Zoroastrianism and Mazdakism, addressing his opponent as:

'O you who have departed from the religion of the Pamily of Muhammad

by entering into the Khurrami religion of the Maginus'

(và khāryan 'an địn: ảii Muhammadin bi-dukhālihim fi dini 'i-mujūsi 'i-khurmadi').

In his commentary he explains that the root of the Bātiniyya was the religion of the Mujūs and that Khurramdīn, a Persian word, had become a name for them. This was the old accusation against the Ismā°īliyya that their religion was a Mazdakite conspiracy to undermine Islam — which was now being applied to the Sufis.

By way of justifying his accusation, al-Manşūr quotes the Kitāb alirshād of 'Abd Allāh b. Zayd al-'Ansī, a prominent Zaydī scholar writing in 632/1234, who had described the Baumyya, meaning the Ismā-īlīyya, as the most dangerous heresy in Islam founded by descendants of the Mujūs and remnants of the Khurrainiyya with the aim of destroying Islam. Some of the Batiniyya had infiltrated the Imāmīyya and the Sūfiyya, and had lured them away from Islam. Al-Mansur approves of this interpretation and comments that the Ismā'īliyya, in contrast to the Sufis, were universally condemned by Muslims as infidels, although both groups were equally Bătinî. The reason was that the Ismā°tlīs cursed the Companions of the Prophet, and many religious schools (meaning the Sunnis) were more inclined to get angry at insults to their ancestors than at insults to God Thus without adverse reaction they would listen to these Sufis proclaiming their doctrine of incarnation and their denial of the prohibitions of God and the Prophet.66

Later in his commentary al Mansür quotes at length the fatwas of the Caspian Zaydī imams and scholars against the Bāṭiniyya in which they authorized the execution of Isma<sup>c</sup>ilis as apostates without allowing the option of repentance.<sup>67</sup> These fatwas reflected the fierce and bloody conflict between Zaydis and Isma<sup>e</sup>ilis in Daylamān and Gilān and were certainly not meant by their authors to be applied to the Sufis with whom they had no serious quarrel. Al-Manşūr's adroit transfer of them to the Sufis placed the latter in the highly dangerous position of heretics and apostates. Although there is no evidence that these fatwas were actually applied to Sufis in the Yemen, the Sufis certainly felt they were under extreme pressure to conceal their beliefs.

Al-Manşur brushes aside the somewhat feeble defense of Sayyid Muhammad that not all Sufis upheld dancing, incarnation (hulut), and umon (ittihad) of the mystic with God, as al-Mansur had indiscriminately accused them of doing. In fact moderate Sufis, Sayyid Muhammad had argued, charged those who followed these doctrines with unbelief. Al-Mansur counters that this was the usual manoeuvre of the Batiniyya: whenever they were reproached for their doctrines. they ascribed them to others. Yet one of the learned had already replied to Sayyid Muhammad's Qasida pointing out that he had read the Tariyya of Ibn al-Farid, the Diwan of Ibn al-Hakkak, and the writings of Ibn al- Arabi only to find they contained the most shocking unbelief. Elsewhere al-Manşūr singles out for condemnation the Fusus of Ibn al- Arabi, whom he describes as the chief of the incarnationist Sufis (kabīr al-sūfīvva al-hulūtīvva). In this work Ibn al-'Arabi praises idols, justifies all the accursed men who have pretended to divinity such as Pharaoh, and virtually allows all forbidden acts.68

In refuting the arguments of his opponent, al-Manşūr relies on a wide range of citations from Zaydī imams and scholars, as well as on non-Zaydī authors. Thus he quotes at length from anti-Sufi writings of two Zaydī authors active in the time of Imam al-Mutawakkil Sharaf al-Dīn, a response about Sufism by Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā b. al-Muzaffar (d. 925/1519)69 and a treatise by the qadī Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā Bahrān (d. 957/1550), probably al-Kashf wa l-bayān fi 'l-radd 'alā 'l-mubtadi'a min mutaṣawwifat al-zamān.70 He quotes the anti-Sufī remarks of the Mu'tazilī Koranic exegete al-Zamakhsharī from the latter's comments on Koran 3/31 and 5/54 and

<sup>65</sup> al-Manger's response is thus to be dated between Mehmet III's death in 1012/1603 and the end of Sinān's governorship in 1016/1607

<sup>66</sup> Hatf 43-4.
67 Hatf 69-14. The text is quoted from al-Mansor 'Abd Alläh b, Hamza's al-Risāla al-'Ālima bi'l-adilla al-hākima, published in Madelung, Arabic Texts concerning the History of

the Zaydi Imams 163-70.

<sup>68</sup> Harf 7

<sup>69</sup> For other works by him see Mu'allafat in, 240, s.v. Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Hamdi.

<sup>70</sup> Mn²allafāt ii, 385. An equally anti-Sufi dhavl to Muhammad b. Yahyā Bahrān's treatise was written by Yahyā b. Muhammad b. Humayd al-Miquñ'i (d. 990/1582) It is partly extant in Ms. Ambrosiana D 222, 102o 110.

the polemics against alleged Sufi miracles by the sayyid 'Abd al-Samad b. 'Abd Allāh al-'Alawi al-Damaghāni (tenth/sixteenth century), author of a critical assessment of the doctrines of the various madhāhib in Islam. 71 There are long excerpts from an anti-Sufi Qaṣīda of the previously mentioned Shafi'i scholar Isma'il al-Muqri, 72 followed by excerpts from the equally anti-Sufi response to it by the Zaydi sayyid Jamāl al-Dīn al-Hadī b. Ibrāhim b. al-Murtaḍa (al-Wazīr; d. 822/1419). 73

At the end of his treatise al-Mansur directs his attack against the Turks and the Ottoman sultan in response to Sayyid Muhammad's eulogy of them. The Turks are the people whose 'faces were like shields covered with skins (majann mutraqa)', about whose advent the Prophet had warned the Muslims in well-known apocalyptic hadiths. Pretense to the sultanate (tasallut) is not a sign of salvation (sacāda) as Sayyıd Muhammad described it, but rather a sign of damnation (shaqāwa). The sultan's possession of the House of God in Mekka was no valid argument for his being the legitimate protector of the Holy Cities. The polytheists and idol worshippers had been able to force the Prophet to emigrate from Mekka, and to prevent him from visiting the Sanctuary. Similarly, the innovations and Sufi practices which the sultan had introduced there were a sign of his damnation rather than his salvation. God had already responded to Sayyıd Muhammad's prayer on behalf of the sultan by causing both Sayvid Muhammad and Mehmet III to perish. Al-Mansur concludes his poem by calling upon God to destroy Sman and to defeat the Turks, and by invoking blessings on the Prophet, his Family and Companions, and forgiveness for himself and the faithful.

Al-Manşûr al-Qâsım b. Muhammad's harshiy antı-Sufi position set the pattern for the later imams of the Qâsımî dynasty. His son Imam al-Mutawakkil Ismā°īl b. al-Qāsim in 1073 or 1074/1662-3 gave the order for Ibn al-"Arabī's Fuṣūṣ al-hikam to be burned because of the 'pure unbelief' contained in it 74 Not much later Şalih b. Mahdī al-Maqbalī (d. 1108/1696-7) in his al-'Alam al-shāmikh observed with

satisfaction that 'God has granted us in the Yemen the extinction of this stuff (Sufism) in the mountains through the action of the imam reigning there. One of the best things he has done there is to prevent swinging and dancing as an amusement, for their (the imams') doctrine entails the proscription of singing'.75 Persecution of Sufi orders by the imams continued down to the twentieth century. After the departure of the Ottoman Turks from the coastal regions of the Yemen in 1918, Imam Yahya Hamid al Din (1322-1367/1904-1948) took repressive measure against the Fasiyya Shādhiliyya brotherhood there, detaining the son of its shaykh as a hostage Under Yahya's son and successor al-Nasır Ahmad (1367-1382/1948-1962), the shaykh of the brotherhood Muhammad b. Hisan was himself imprisoned and several of his followers were accused of anti-state activities and beheaded. Muhammad b. Hisan died in prison.76 Al-Habshi notes that al-Nasir Ahmad ordered the destruction of the tomb of the Sufi shaykh Ahmad b. 'Alwan in Yafrus and of other tombs of Sufis in al-Hudayda and Tacizz 77 These actions, however, may have been triggered more by Walihābī influence than by traditional Zaydī doctrine.

Briefly mentioned may be here the pro-Sunm school within the Zaydiyya which, founded by the sayyid Muhammad b. Ibrāhīm al Wazīr (d. 840/1436), became progressively more influential over time and with Muhammad b. 'Alī al-Shawkānī (d. 1250/1834), chief judge under several imams, came to dominate the religious establishment of the imamate. The school was primarily influenced by Sunni traditionalism, Ḥanbalism and Ibn Taymiyya. Thus for the most part it shared the negative outlook on Sufism of the Shii Zaydīs. In his al-'Awāṣim wa'l-qawāṣim fi 'l-dhabb 'an sunnat Abī'l-Qāsim, Ibn al-Wazīr defended other Sunni school doctrines which the Zaydiyya rejected but not Sufism.' The next major representa-

<sup>71</sup> Had 46-52 The work of al Dămaghānî quoted by al-Manşūr is presumably al-Jawhara al-khāliṣa 'ān al-shawā' ib fi 'l-'aqō' id al-manqūma 'alā jamī' al-madhāhib. Mu'allafāt ... 387, GAL, S n. 966

<sup>72</sup> Hatf 52-5 A different anti-Sufi Qaşida by İsmā'il al-Muqu'i is quoted by Şalih b. Mabdi al-Maqbali, al-'Alom al-shāimkh fi ithar al-haqq 'alā 'l-ābā' wa'l-mashāvikh (Cairo 1328/1910) 504-8

<sup>73</sup> On the author see al-Sakhāwi, al-Daw al-lāmi (Cairo 1353-55/1934-36) x, 206.

<sup>74</sup> al-Habshi, op. cst. 60, quoting Ibq al-Mu'ayyad's Bahjat al-zaman.

<sup>75</sup> Al- Alom al-shomikh 481

<sup>76</sup> See F De Jong, 'Les confréres mystiques musulmanes au Machreq arabe', in A Popovic et G. Veinstein (eds.), Les ordres mystiques dans l'islam. Cheminements et stuation actuelle (Pans 1989) 232.

<sup>77</sup> al-Habshi, op. cit 60

The context of his anti-Mu'tazili polemics, Ibn al-Wazir quotes poetry of the Sūfiyya arbāb al-dhawq wa'l-ahwāl al-raft'a and refers to the spiritual poems (raqā'iq) of lbn al-Fāriḍ with evident admiration [al-'Awāşim wa'l-qawāşim, ed. Shu'ayb al-Arnā'ūt (Amman and Beirut 1405-12/1985-92) vi. 127 9]. While enticizing as baseless Ibn al-'Arabi s arguments in his Fuṣūṣ in favour of tītihād (vii. 107), he praises Shihāb ar din 'Umar al-Suhrawardi, calling him al-shaykh al ṣāliḥ al-'ārif al-ṣūfī, because of his repudiation of the claims of extremist Sufis that they were mere tools of God's action in their violations of the

tive of the school, Sälih al-Maqbali, was, as noted, hostile to Sufism and in his al-'Alam al-shamkh quoted many pages of Sunni anti-Sufi polemics, especially against Ibn al- Arabi and his school.79 Muhammad b. Ismā'īl al-Amīr (d. 1182/1768-9), a poet and a prolific writer on religious subjects, produced a refutation of the doctrine of the unity of being (wahdat al-wujud) entitled Nusrat alma'būd fi 'l-radd 'alā ahl wahdat al-wujūd, and denounced this doctrine in others of his writings as well.80 A short polemical treatise Tathīr al-i'tiqād 'an adrān al-ilḥād, in which he denounces the veneration of tombs and the false claims to gnostic knowledge by Sufis who disregard the law of the Koran and Sunna has recently been published 81 Al-Shawkānī, too, shared the general traditionalist aversion to Sufism, although he disapproved of some Wahhabi excesses in enticizing Sufi visitation of saints' tombs. In his youth he wrote a treatise al-Sawārim al-hidād al-gāti a li-calā ig arbāb al-ittihād in which he condemned the proponents of wahdat al-wujud, quoting extensively from Salih al-Maqbali's al-'Alam al-shamikh. 82 Before his death, however, he retracted his criticism and stated that he now abstained from judging the religious status of the followers of Ibn al-

religious law (va. 140).

°Arabi.83

# THE WAHHABIYYA AND SUFISM IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

### **ESTHER PESKES**

Over the last two-hundred-and-fifty years Wahhābism has given rise to a confrontation within Sunni Islam which has found expression partly in violent military campaigns, and partly in emotionally heated discussions leading to a stream of polemical literature that has continued up until today. The outcome of the teachings of the movement's founder, Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb (1703-1792), is well-known with regard to Muslim society in the Central Arabian Peninsula: his teachings and his movement, the Wahhābiyya, have resulted in the creation of a new community and state, presently known as the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In other parts of the Islamic world the Wahhābiyya has exerted a more purely intellectual influence which has been brought about through a slow process of assimilation by non-Wahhābi scholars of Wahhābī ideas concerning the history and development of Muslim societies.1

The lasting influence of Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb is not only manifest in the ongoing reception and approval of Wahhābī thought, but also in the multiple forms of enmity and refutation which his thought has generated in the past and present. One group which was especially hostile and negative towards the teachings of Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb was the Sufis and the Sufi-brother-hoods. Polemical literature emanating from Sufi circles since the eighteenth century has been relatively consistent in its anti-Wahhābī message: Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb is a kind of Iblīs and the Wahhābiyya a perennial evil which threatens all Mushims and in particular the Sufi interpretation of Islam.' This is, of course, a one-dimensional view: besides the Wahhābiyya, many other factors have contributed to the changes in Islamic societies since the eighteenth

<sup>79</sup> Al-Atam al-shāmikh 490-510

<sup>80</sup> al Habshi, op. cit. 103. According to al-Habshi, the Nusrat ol-ma'biid is lost.

<sup>81</sup> Taihir al c'uqād 'an adrān al dhād, ed. Muḥamməd Subhi Ḥasan al Ḥallāq (San'ā'1411/1990)

<sup>82</sup> Al-Shawkānī, al Şawörim al-hidād al-gōji'a li 'alā'iq arbāb al-ittihād, ed. Muhammad Şubhī Həsən al Ḥal.āq (Şan'ā' 1411/1990).

<sup>83</sup> al-Habshi op. est 103.

On this process see the article Islah in El: Werner Ende, 'Religion, Poatik und Literatur in Saudi-Arabien: Der geistesgeschichtliche Hintergrund der heutigen feligiösen und kulturpolitischen Situation', Orient xxn (1981) 377-90; Albert Houram, Arabie Thought in the Liberal Age (London-New York 1962) 150, 225 f., 231 f., 344

See e.g. Hüseyn Hilau Işık, Vehhâbîve nasîha: (Istanbul 1971).

century and account for the decline of Sufism and its institutions.3

Over the course of time, the Wahhābiyya and its relationship with the rest of the Muslim world has evolved. In the eighteenth century Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhab claimed to stand alone against the rest of the world, and in this judgement he was quite right. Today the Muslim World League, one of the major international Muslim organizations, is Wahhābiyya-dominated, yet it acts on behalf of Muslims in nearly all Muslim countries throughout the world.4

During its two-hundred-and fifty-year history the Wahhabiyya has had to pass through several stages of success and failure before reaching accommodation with the Sunni Islamic world. Some indications of an inner-Wahhābī development beginning at a relatively early stage in the movement's history are commentaries on the works of Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhab5 and treatises written by his sons and grandsons Later generations of Wahhābi scholars have added to this corous of texts.6 Historical research on the internal development of Wahhābism is still in its beginnings, and our knowledge of Wahhābī literature remains rather superficial For this reason sweeping statements concerning the absolute incompatibility of Wahhābism and Sufism should be avoided. The need for a more careful assessment of Wahhābi-Sufi relations is evidenced by the

3 See e.g. Frederick De Jong, 'Die mystischen Bruderschaften und der Volksislam', in Werner Ende and Udo Steinbach (eds.), Der Islam in der Gegenwart (Munich 1984) 498.

4 For the history of the Muslim World League and recent developments see Reinhard Schulze, Islamischer Internationalismus im 20. Jahrhundert. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte

der Islamischen Weltliga (Leiden 1990).

fact that the Wahhābiyya apparently tolerated the Sufi shaykh Ahmad b. Idris and his followers in Mecca at the beginning of the nineteenth century; Ahmad b. Idris showed sympathy for at least some parts of Wahhābī dogma and lived the last years of his life in a pro-Wahhābi milieu in 'Asir.7 On the other hand, rigorous refuta tions of Wahhābi teachings were produced in one mid-nineteenthcentury Sufi milieu 8 Such contradictions can only be explained on the basis of detailed long-term research on Wahhabi history and teachings. The present article has a more modest aim, namely to examine the actual teaching of Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb with regard to his argumentation against Sufism. His writings which will serve as our primary sources consist of a variety of texts collections of Koranic verses and hadiths concerning specific subjects (e.g. Kitāb al-tawhīd, which is his most famous book), a number of treatises in the form of rasā'ıl (e g. Kashf al-shubuhat), and an important collection of letters written in the mid-eighteenth century to followers and opponents, mainly in the center of the Arabian Peninsula.9 From the bulk of his writings it is evident that Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb was not so much a theorist but a scholar concerned with daily life and with polemics and confronting his opponents in Naid.10

Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhab was born in the center of the

10 On contemporary opposition to his teachings see Esther Peskes. Muhammad b. 'Abdalwahhab (1703 92) im Widerstreit Untersuchungen zur Rekonstruktion der Frühgeschichte der Wahhäbissa (Berrit 1993) 49-121

<sup>5</sup> Sulaymän b. 'Abdallah b. Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb (d. 1233/1818), Taysir al-'azīz al-hamīd fi sharh Kudb al-mehid (Bernt 1390/1970); 'Abd al-Rohman b. Hasan b. Muhammad b 'Abd al-Wahhāb (1779-1868), Querat 'nviin al-muwahludin fi tahqiq da'wat al-anb.ya" wa'l-mursailn (Herret a.d., printed together with Muhammad b. "Abd al Wahhab. Kuāb al-tawhīd und Fath al-majīd fi sharh Kitāb al-tawhīd (Catro 1347/1929). A recent commentary is Muhammad b. 'Abd al-'Azîz al-Sulaymân al-Qur'ñwî (1934- ), al-Jadid fi sharn Kuāb al-tawhīd (Riyadh, 2nd ed. 1979)

See the Majmu'at al-tawhid, ed. Muhammad Rashid Rida (Carro 1346/1928). mounting treatises by "Abd af-Rahman b. Husan b. Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab, Sulayman b. "Abd A..āh b. Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, "Abd Allāh b. Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahnāb (1751-1826), "Abd Alfāh b. "Abd al-Raḥmān Abā Buṭaya (1780-1865); and also al-Hodiyya ol-saniyya wa'i tuhfa ol-wahhābiyya al najdiyya, ed. Sulaymān b. Sahmān al-Naidi (Carro 1342/1923, new edition Mecca 1393/1973), with treatises by 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, "Abd al-Latif b. "Abd al-Rahmān b. Hasan b. Muḥammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb (1810-1876), Muhammad b, 'Abd al Latif b. 'Abd al-Rahman b. Hasau b. Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb (1865-1947); further Majmū'aa al rasā'il wa'l masā'il alnagayya, w vols. (Cago 1346-49/1928-30).

<sup>7</sup> R. Sein O'Pahey, Enigmatic Saint. Ahmod Ibn Idris and the Idrisi Tradition (Evanston 1990) 65-9, 104 f.: John Spencer Teimingham, The Suft Orders in Islam (London/New York 1971) 115 f., Johannes Reissner, 'Die Idrisiden in 'Astr. Ein historischer Überblick', Die Welt des Islams xxi (1981) 166.

See the fierce anti-Wahhābī writings of the Naqshbandi-Khālidi Shaykh Dā'0d b. Sulaymän b Jirjis al-Baghdädi (1816-82), Sulh al-liduvān mun ahl al-imān wa-bayān al-din algovynm fi tabri'at ibn Tavmivya wa-ibn al-Qavyim (Bombay 1306/1889, written in 1856), Risāla fi "t-radd "alā "t-marhūm al-Savnd Mahmūd Efendī al-Ālūsī (Bombay 1306/1889), at-Minha al-wahbirra fi radd al-Wahhabirrya (Bombay 1305/1888, reprinted Istanbul 1394/1974); Ashadd al-jihād fi ibiāl da'wat al-ijrihād (Bombay 1305/1888; reprinted Istanbul 1394/1974).

<sup>9</sup> An attempt at compiling a comprehensive bibliography of his writings an and out of point has been undertaken by Ahmad Muhammad al-Dubayb, Athar al-Shayke Muhammad b "Abd al-Wahhāb. Sijill bibliviijrāfi li-mā nushira min mu allafātihi wo-li-ba"d mā kutība "anhu (Rryadh 1982); an annotated list of his writings is to be found in 'Abd Allah a. 'Uthaymin, al-Shavkh Muhammad b "Abd al-Wahhāb Havātuhü wa-fikruhü (Rıyadh, 2nd ed. 1986, 81-111, hus letters are published in Husayn Ibn Ghannām, Tazrīkh Noyd, ed Nāşir al-Din al Asad (Berrut, 2nd ed. 1985) 209-393

Arabian Peninsula in a province then called al- Arid - part of the region of Najd In this area he lived in the oases al-'Uyayna, Huraymıla and al-Dir iyya for most of his life. He also spent a few years travelling which were interrupted by periods of residence in Basra, the oasis of al-Ahsa', and at Mecca and Medina. The Hanbali madhhab of the population of al-'Arid11 was the scholarly tradition in which he had grown up and been educated. His father was a reputed Hanbali qadī from the locally well-known scholarly family Al Musharraf 12

ESTHER PESKES

Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb himself claimed to be independent of any scholarly tradition of his time. 13 He rejected the authority of his teachers in al-Arid, embodied primarily in the person of his father, as well as that of others outside al- Arid. 14 The fact that he frequently quotes from the works of Ibn Taymiyya (d. 1328) and Ibn Qayyım al-Jawziyya (d. 1350) was ın no way meant to imply taqlīd with regard to these famous authorities - so he himself declares but was merely intended to strengthen his argumentation.15 A recurrent theme in anti-Wahhābī polemical writings from the days of Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhab up to the present maintains that he misread and misunderstood the words of Ibn Taymiyya and that this

helps to explain the fatal consequences his teachings have had, as far as his opponents are concerned. 16

Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhab presumably had personal encounters with Sufism, not only during his travels outside the center of the Arabian Peninsula but also in the countryside where he was born and lived most of his life. On the basis of scattered remarks in his writings, especially in his letters, we may conclude that there were some Sufis in the oases of al-Arid whom he attacked along with other groups of the indigenous population. He does not mention any specific Sufi-brotherhoods or names of contemporary Sufis except a certain Ibn 'Azzāz17 from one of the oases in Najd whom he suspected of having been a pupil of 'Abd al-Ghani known as al-'Ārif bi'llah - most probably the famous Damascene Nagshbandi 'Abd al-Ghani al-Nābulusī<sup>18</sup> — as well as the names of some Sufis from Mackāl,19 such as Wuld Mūsā b. Jaweān and Salāma b. Mānic,20 People in al-Riyad are described as 'supporting the madhhab of Ibn "Arabi and Ibn al-Fărid". 21 Other persons in his environment remain even more shadowy. He attacks Abū Hudayda<sup>22</sup> and a certain 'Uthman for being seducers who have led the common people to belief in others than God, and calls them kilab (dogs). But we do not actually know whether these persons were Sufis or from a Sufi background,23 A person he mentions only once and simply calls 'al-'Aydarūs'24 was probably a member of the Hadrami 'Aydarūsiyya Sufi-brotherhood. However, his identity as well as his place of

<sup>11</sup> On the Hambali tradition in al- Arid see Uwarda M al-Juhany, The History of Nayd prior to the Wahhabls A Study of Social, Political and Religious Conditions in Naud during Three Centuries Preceeding the Walhabi Reform Movement (Ph.D. Diss., Washington 1983). 240-53, and Abdulla M. Mulawa, The Ulama of Najd. From the Sixteenth Century to the Mideighteenth Century (Ph D. Diss., Los Angeles 1989).

<sup>12</sup> On his family see al-\*Uthaymin, al-Shavkh Muhammad b. \*Abd al-Wakhab 25-7; a summary of his vita is given in the article Ibn 'Abd al Wahhab in El

<sup>13</sup> See Michael Cook, 'On the Origins of Wahhabism', Journal of the Royal Americ Society, 3rd senes, n (1992) 202

<sup>[4]</sup> Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-Tāskira, in Iba Ghannām 309 f. Apart from Muhammad Hayat a.-Sindi, a Medinese scholar, with whom Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb is said to have established a short-term popul-teacher relationship, his teachers were scholars who were otherwise not well-known. Their names are mentioned in Wahhābā sources. as "Abd Alläh b. Ibrāhim b. Sayf al-Naidi in Medina und Muhammad al-Maumil'i in Basra; see Ibn Ghanam 82, and 'Uthman Ibn Bisht, 'Unwith al-maid fi to'rikh Noid (Mecca 1349/1930-1) i, 7 f. On al-Sindi see John O. Voll, 'Muhammad Hayyā al-Sindi and Muhammad Ibu 'Abd al-Wahhāb: An Analysis of an Intellectual Group in Eighteenth-Century Madina', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies xxxviii (1975) 32-39.

<sup>15</sup> One of his harshest reactions to the statement that his teachings were simply the 'modhnab of lbn Taymryya' is to be found in Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhab, al Mas ala al sādisa in Ibn Ghannām 415: for the afe and works of Ibn Taymryya see Henn Laoust, Essan sur les doctrines sociales et politiques de Taki-d-din Alunad b. Taimiva, canoniste Hanbalite né à Harran en 661/1262, mort à Damas en 728/1328 (Cauto 1939); see ibid. 489-92, for information on Ibn Qayyun al-Jawziyya and El, s.v. Ibn Kayvim al-Diavziyya.

<sup>16</sup> This argument already occurs in the writings of contemporary Hanbali opponents of Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb; see Peskes, Muhammad b. "Abdalwahhāb 81 f., 84 86-89 105 f, then again, in the nineteenth century in the writings of Da'od b. Sulayman al-Nagshbandi al-Khätidî, al-Minha al-wahbiyya (Istanbul 1974) 14, and Sulh al-ikhwān 2 ff., today again, e.g. in the writings of contemporary Turkish Suff opposition to the Wahhābiyya, see Isik, Vehhâbîye nasîhat 123 78

<sup>17</sup> Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-sābi'a, in Ibn Ghunnām 284

<sup>18</sup> For the life and works of al-Nābulusi of the articles "Abd al-Ghani in El, and Abdiligam in Islam Ansiklopedisis, for further details on his life see also Heribert Busse, "Abd al-Gani an-Năbulusi's Reisen im Libagon (1100/1689-1112/1700)', Der Islam xliv (1968) 71-

<sup>19</sup> A place otherwise not mentioned in the sources.

<sup>20</sup> Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risdla al 'oshira 311.

<sup>21</sup> Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Mas'ala al-sādisa 420

<sup>22</sup> Montioned several times as being a venerated person, e.g. Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al Risāla al-hādiva wa'l 'ishrīm, in Ibu Ghannām 344, 349

<sup>23</sup> See Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhāb, al Mas'ala al thôniva wa'l 'ishrūn, in Ibn Ghannam 470

<sup>24</sup> Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-ḥādiya wa'l-'iṣhrūn 344

residence remain obscure. And who was the enigmatic 'lame itinerant dervish' (al-sā'iḥ al a'raj), who was leading astray the simple-minded with spurious miracles?<sup>25</sup> The sources do not contain an answer to any of these questions.

In other sources too information on Sufism in the milieu of Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb and Najdī society in the eighteenth century is very scarce. Early Wahhābī historiography mentions destruction by the Wahhābīs of graves and domes built over graves, as well as other sites and buildings <sup>26</sup> The Wahhābis considered these structures to be places for un-Islamic veneration of saints, prophets and dead persons in general, i.e. for worship of others than God. Yet, these sources do not explicitly mention the destruction of Sufi sites during the early Wahhābī expeditions in the center of the Arabian Peninsula or during the later expeditions in the Hijāz. The conquest of al-Tā²if, Mecca and Medina according to the sources did not entail the destruction of specifically Sufi buildings and establishments.

Likewise, in the refutations his contemporary opponents wrote against his teachings one meets with no specifically Sufi/anti-Sufi polemics or discussions. He was, however, accused of having burned the Dalā'il al-khayrāt²? and the Rawd al-rayāhīn,²8 two books which were widely read in Sufi circles, and presumably in other circles as well.²9 Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb kept silent about the Rawd al-rayāhīn. Concerning the Dalā'il al-khayrāt, he rejected the above accusation, stating that he only advised his followers not to pay attention to this kind of literature because it distracted the faithful from submitting to the word of God, the Koran.³0

Thus, the sources do not mention anything which points to a direct confrontation between Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb and contemporary Sufis, nor do they provide evidence that Muhammad b 'Abd al-Wahhab especially directed his teachings against Sufis or

25 Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Rudla al-thālitha, in Ibn Ghannām 245.

26 Ibn Ghannam 84, 182; Ibn Bishr, 'Unwith al-mayd', 9, 122/2, 130, 135.

Sufi structures in Najd, al-Ahsa<sup>3</sup>, Basra or the Haramayn. No actual conflict with contemporary Sufis has overtly shaped his teachings, and no historical figures of Sufism are singled out for special disapproval. Exceptions are Ibn 'Arabi, whom he mentions several times, <sup>31</sup> and Ibn al-Fārid, <sup>32</sup> both of whom he declared to be unbelievers. 'Abd al-Qadir al-Jīlānī, <sup>33</sup> Aḥmad al-Badawi, <sup>34</sup> and 'Adī b. Musāfir <sup>35</sup> are not themselves attacked, but Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb blames others for venerating them after their death, in line with his general condemnation of visiting and venerating tombs as un-Islamic, <sup>36</sup>

Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb's teachings were not directed against a particular social or religious orientation, such as Sufism, but he did attack the status quo in Islamic societies on a much more fundamental level. He frankly declared that he did not accept tasawwuf, figh or kalām, or anyone of their representatives as at all authoritative.

"I am not calling you to the way of a *stift* or a *facilit* or a *mutakallum* or one of the great Imams such as Iba al-Qayyum, al-Dhahabi, Iba Kathir or others. But I am calling you to Goc alone who has no partners, and I am calling you to the *sunna* of the Prophet which he be queathed to the first and the last of his *summa*." 37

Nowhere in his writings is Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb's obvious rejection of Sufism as detailed as in the case of the demands of the movement known as the 'pre-Wahhābī fitna' in Ottoman Cairo in 1711.38 The demands of this short-lived movement express a di-

<sup>27</sup> Dalā'ıl al-khayrāt wa-shawāriq al-anuār fi dhikr al-salāt 'alā 'l-nabi al-mukhtār The author of this book of prayers to the Prophet is the North-African Sufi Abū 'Abd Allāh Muhammad al-Jazūlī (d. 870/1465); on al-Jazūlī see Trimungham, Sufi Orders 85.

<sup>28</sup> Rawd al-rayāḥīn fī hikāvāt al-sāhḥīn The author of this collection of Sufi biographies and hagiography is the Yemenite Sufi "Afit al Dīn "Abd Allāh al-Yāfi"ī (d. 768/1367); on al-Yāfi"ī see Truningham, Sufi Orders 273

<sup>29</sup> On this accusation by the Najdī Ibn Suḥaym see Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-sābi'a 271.

<sup>30</sup> Muhaminad b 'Abd al Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-thālitha 'ashra, in Ibn Ghannām 321.

<sup>31</sup> Muhummad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb. al-Resāla al-'āshira 312, 315, al-Resāla al-sābi'a 280, 284, al-Resāla al-rābi'a, in Ibn Ghannam 254, al-Mas'ala al-sādisa 420.

<sup>32</sup> Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhöb, al-Rusila al-'ashura 312, 315, on 'Umar b. al-Farid (d. 1235) see Annemaric Schimmel, Mystical Dimensions of Islam (Chapel Hill, North Carolina 1978) 274 ff., and Th. Emil Homerin, From Arab Poet to Muslim Saint Ibn al-Farid, his Verse, and his Shrine (Columbia, South Carolina 1994).

<sup>33</sup> On 'Abd al-Qödir al-Jilàni (d. 1166), founder of the Qödiriyya order, see El, s.v 'Abd al Ködir al-Djilāni; for the veneration practices at his tomb see Constance Padwick, Muslim Devations (London 1961) 240-2.

<sup>34</sup> For Ahmad al-Badawi (d. 1276), founder of the Badawiyya order, see El. s.v. Ahmad al-Badawi; Trumngham, Sufi Orders 45, 79, Ignaz Goldziber, Veneration of Saints in Islam, in Ignaz Goldziber, Mushin Studies, ed. S. M. Stem (London 1969-71) in 307-310

<sup>35</sup> For 'Adi b. Musäfir (d. 1162) see EL, s.v. 'Adi b. Musäfir

<sup>36</sup> This would be the same as if one blamed 'Alī b. Abi Ţālib for the Rāfida. See e.g. Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Mas'ala al-thāniya wa't 'istarān 468, al-Rīsāla al-sābi'a 277, 280, 281, and al-Mas'ala al-sādisa 'ashra, in Iba Ghanaām 454

<sup>37</sup> Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Rivāla al-ilā, in Ibn Ghannām 211

<sup>38</sup> Barbara Flemming, 'Die vorwahhabitische fitna im osmanischen Kanto 1711', in

rect attack on the Sufi institutions, practices and beliefs which were prevalent in the contemporary Cairene Sufi milieu.39 The demands included the abolition of the tekkes of the Gülshenis, Mevlevis and Bektäshis and their conversion into madrasas for instruction in the religious sciences; prohibition of dhikr at the tombs of al-Shāficī and other shuvūkh, and prohibition of dhikr at Bāb Zuwayla during the nights of Ramadan. The movement rejected the belief that saints could perform miracles after their death, and considered any reports of such miracles, such as those in al-Shaerānī's al-Tabaqāt al-kubrā, as absolutely false 40 Moreover, veneration of shrines, expressed by kissing the shrines or the sarcophagus, or by lighting candles and lamps, is seen as unbelief. Constructions over tombs and any decoration on graves should be destroyed.41 Only this last category of demands concerning the veneration of shrines recurs some thirty years later as a central element in Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab's teachings.

The Cairene 'pre-Wahhābī fitna' was essentially a short-lived socio-religious outburst against a well-established Sufi milieu. Its focus was local. It was at once suppressed by the authorities and in the end proved to be of no lasting consequence. The teachings of Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, however, aimed at changes in Islamic societies at large: they succeeded in exerting new ethical pressure on all his Muslim contemporaries, Sufis and non-Sufis alike, khāṣṣa and 'ānima. The result was a widespread movement of desacralization of the public sphere and a new orientation in Islam.

The veneration of shrines was a ubiquitous phenomenon in the Muslim world (as in numerous other religions<sup>42</sup>) and its manifestations were perhaps as diversified as the tombs' locations and the number of their occupants. Shrine veneration constituted an institutional setting intricately linked with Islamic worship. In this setting various religious traditions coincided or intersected with each other. One of these was mystical Islam with its veneration of the awlivā'

Ismail Hakki Uzunçarsihi'ya Amağan (Ankarı 1976) 55-65; on the same subject see also the article of Rudolph Peters, 'The Battered Dervishes of Båb Zuwayla. A Religious Riot in Eighteenth-Century Carro', in Neheuna Levizion and John O. Voll (eds.), Eighteenth-Century Renewal and Reform in Islam (New York 1987) 93-115.

allāh ('the friends of God' or 'saints'). Other traditions belong to a more diffuse sphere which for want of a better term is often referred to as 'folk-religiosity', i.e. a variety of religiously motivated forms of conduct, connected with countless local customs, not bound by dogma but arising from the needs of daily life of the pious.<sup>43</sup>

However diverse the coinciding traditions, the practice of veneration always aimed primarily at effecting contact with the sacred sphere and at reducing or bridging the gap between God and Man. A Sufi might engage in venerating the tomb of a great Sufi shaykh in order to benefit from the latter's baraka and to draw closer to God; a non-Sufi believer might visit and venerate a tomb in the hope that his wants would be provided for by means of the saint's intercession. Veneration of the Prophet, of one of his relatives, of one of the sahāba or tābi'ān, of persons held to be şāliḥūn, or of a dead Sufi shaykh in his tomb, in each case aimed at obtaining ment and help, both in this world and the world to come.

In his teachings, Muḥammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb did not differentiate between Sufi practices and the manifold diffuse forms of 'folk religiosity'. The term he used to denote the manifestations of piety and religious feelings connected with shrine veneration was altitiqād fi'l-anbiyā' wa't-şāliḥīn. To him all this implied manifestations of kufr: 'Believing in the pious (al-i'tiqād fi'l-ṣāliḥīn)... is idolatry ('ibādat al-aṣnām) and whoever engages in this is an unbeliever (man fa'alahū kafara)',44 and: 'Touching the graves or visiting them with the intention of invoking (the dead) do not belong to the religion of the Muslims'.45

Muḥammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb's opposition to any sort of shrine veneration led to the well-known destruction by the Wahhābis of single tombs, buildings like mosques or domes erected over burial sites, or even whole cemeteries, whether these were Sunni or Shirte, Sufi or non-Sufi places. 46 The destruction of tombs and shrines was

<sup>39</sup> Flemming, 'Fitna' 59 f., Peters, 'Dervishes' 94 f

<sup>40</sup> Flemming, 'Fitna' 59 f.

<sup>41</sup> Ibid.

<sup>42</sup> For tomb veneration in the Christian tradition see Amold Angenendt, Heilige und Renquien. Die Geschichte ihres Kultes vom frühen Christentum bis zur Gegenwart (Munich 1994) 132-7 and passim.

<sup>43</sup> See the criticism of the term 'folk-Islam' or 'folk-religiosity' in De long, 'Die mystischen Bruderschaften' 489 ff

<sup>44</sup> Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab, al-Mas'ala al-shōniya wa'l-'ishrūn 471

<sup>45</sup> Muhammad b. "Abd al-Walshāb, al-Mas" ala al-sādisa "ashra 455

<sup>46</sup> For examples see Johann Ludwig Burckhardt, Reisen in Arabien, enthaltend eine Beschreibung derjenigen Gebiete des Hedjoz, welche die Mohammedaner für heilig achten (Weimar 1830, reprint of German translation of English original) 122, 166, 188, 252-6, 440, 459 f., 509 f., 526 f., 550-3, 555; on tombs in al "Arid destroyed by Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb hunself see Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-sābi"a 288, on the destruction of the strine of al-Husayn b. "Alī b. Abī Ṭālīb at Karbalā" in 1802 see Ibn Bishr i, 121

only one of the more visible and spectacular results of his analysis and criticism of present and past Muslim societies. The Wahhabis ever thereafter remained associated with these acts of destruction; it is one of the first things which come to mind whenever the name 'Wahhabiyya' is mentioned. Yet, the impact and continuity of Muhammad b, 'Abd al Wahhab's doctrinal position underlying his categorical rejection of saints, shrines and the practice of veneration, is more significant than the physical destruction of shrines which he inspired or engaged in personally.

Central to this position is his conception of the various dimensions of tawhid. In this regard, he distinguishes between tawhid al-rubūbiyya, tawhīd al-ulūhiyya and tawhīd al-sifāt. One of these dimensions, tawhīd al-sifāt, i.e. the believer's affirmation of the unity of God's attributes mentioned in the Koran, is the least developed dimension of tawhid and is seldom mentioned in Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb's writings.47 On the other hand, the concept of tawhid al-rubübiyya, affirmation that God is the omnipotent Lord of the world, and the concept of tawhīd al-ulūhiyya, the confirmation of the previous form of tawhid through deeds and in particular by worshipping God alone, are crucial to the way Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb has worked out his doctrinal positions. Indeed, the tawhīd al-ulūhiyya is clearly the most decisive of these two. Its fulfilment or non-fulfilment constitutes the difference between faith and infidelity, between islam and kufr.48 In this context Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahnāb writes:

"Tawhid al-ulihivya, oh maukiad, includes your actions such as invocation (du'a'), fear (khawf), hope (raja'), trust in God (rawakkul), repentance (indba), desire (raghba), respect/fear (rahba), making a vow (nathr) and beseeching belp (isinghātha), and other forms [of behaviour] to do with divine worship ('ibāda).49

All these feelings and actions along with others such as performing sacrifice (dhabh), begging forgiveness (istighfär) or seeking intercession (shafā a),50 when not addressed to God Himself but to

human beings, be they dead or alive, be they prophets or not prophets. Sufis or not Sufis, are the opposite of tawhid al ulūhivya and constitute idolatry and unbelief.

But the greatest idolatry (al-shirk al-akbar), the worship of idols ('abādai al-aṣnān) [is the following]: One man goes to the tomb of a prophet, another man to the tomb of a Companion of the Prophet such as Zubayr or Talha, another to the tomb of a pious man, another invokes him in distress not visiting the grave, another makes vows to him, another sacrifices to the firm, another asks [a prophet, a Companion of the Prophet, or a pious man] for help against the hardships of this world and the hereafter, and another begs him for good fortune here and now and in the world to come. All this is shirth, and the worship of idols and anyone who practices it stands outside Islam', 51

The definition of the contents of tawhīd al-ulûhiyya — the profession of God's unity through deeds - became essentially a catalogue of negative forms of behaviour from which a believer must abstain. In order to fulfill tawhīd al-ulūhiyya it was of crucial importance to abstain from any forms of worship, veneration or belief in someone or something besides God. Thus, if a person pronounced an oath in the name of someone other than God, believed in the power of a talisman or an amulet, sacrificed to someone other than God, visited tombs and graves and performed ceremonies at these locations, he was far from fulfilling tawhīd al-ulūhiyya. Any of the above behaviour, according to Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, clearly revealed that God alone was not being worshipped and venerated but that many other powers and persons were being wrongly accredited with a kind of sacred superhuman capacity. All such beliefs and actions, which Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb describes at length, were the irrefutable proof that a person was not fulfilling tawhīd al-ulūhiyya but committed shirk and therefore stood outside Islam.

The precepts for fulfilling tawhid al-ulūhiyya were simple but with a far-reaching impact on daily life and social relationships, living in conformity with tawhid al-ulūhiyya not only entailed abstaining from anything which infringed on God's absolute oneness, but also entailed the obligation to assess one's fellow-men and to combat all those who did not live according to the precepts and prohibitions by which this form of God's oneness was defined and circumscribed, 52

<sup>47</sup> E.g. Maḥammad b. \*Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Mas\*ala al-thāmma, in Ibn Ghanam 432, and a discussion of this category including also later Wahhābī writings in al-\*Uthaymīn, al-Shaykh Maḥammad b. \*Abd al-Wahhāb 128-133

<sup>48</sup> Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Iawāhr al-muḍi'a. m Majmū'at al-rasā'il wa'lmasā'il al-najdīvva iv, 2 l., Rīsāla ukhrā fi kalimat al-towhīd 37

<sup>49</sup> Muhammao b. "Ahé al-Wahhāb, al Rīsāla al khāmīsa fi talqīn uṣūl al-'aqīda li'l-'āmīna bi l-as'ila wa'l-apviba, in Maprūt'ai al-tawhīd 119

<sup>50</sup> Mohammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, ol-Risāla al thāmya, ia Iba Ghannam 228

<sup>51</sup> Muḥammad b, 'Abd al-Wahhāb, al-Risāla al-thālitha 244 f

<sup>52</sup> See Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, Risāla ukhrō fl kahmat at tawhīd 37, al Mas'ala al-thālitha, m Ibn Ghamām 405 f., al-Risāla al 'āshira 311, al-Risāla al-sādīsa wa'l-'ishrūn,

The state of the faith — so argued Muḥammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb — was by no means better in the twelfth century A.H. than at the time when God's law was first revealed. On the contrary, the faith was now far worse, because various forms of worshipping and venerating others than God had proliferated to such a degree that even persons entirely unworthy of respect were taken as authorities in addition to God.53 Following this line of argument, Muḥammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb especially attacked the persons we identified above as belonging to the shadowy Sufi milieu in the oases of al-"Arid These were persons, who according to him, only strove after money and worldly possessions, pretended to have miraculous powers and seduced others to venerate shrines which thrived from lucrative ceremonies they practiced.54

In only one period in Islamic history had the faith been maintained according to God's will as revealed to mankind by the Prophet Muhammad. That was the time of the Prophet himself, his Companions and the Followers, i.e. the early days of the Islamic community. Only by purifying the faith of historical accretions of arbitrary human practices, could the community return to the path of salvation on which the early Muslims had been rightly guided. Whatever else might be subject to change, the faith was not. The faith was one for all times, always the same; and the Koran and sunna were as binding for the Muslim of the twelfth century A.H. as they had been in early Islamic times, in the age of pure faith. 36

The religious and political elites of society. 'ulamā', fuqahā', mutakallimūn, and Sufi shuyūkh, as well as rulers who with their help established and maintained un-Islamic regimes, were responsible for the present unacceptable situation.<sup>57</sup> Their instruments (of power), the madnāhib, their science of figh and their figh-compendia, must be replaced by the Koran and sunna as the sole guarantee for a life according to the will of God.<sup>58</sup> This meant that the creation

ın Ibn Ghannam 372

of a new community was a primary duty, the corollary of which was the abolition of all hierarchies and authorities which were engaged in maintaining the unlawful and un-Islamic system

These were the primary ideas which constituted the intellectual foundation for Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab's new Islamic community which conceived of itself as being in possesion of the one true faith and automatically excluded and fought against all others who did not conform to its interpretation. The development and spread of this community were not without difficulties. The proclaimed necessity to abolish established political and religious authorities would create the preconditions for a new political power to arise. In 1745 Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab obtained the support of the local ra'is of the oasis of al-Dir'iyya, Muhammad b. Sa'ūd, but this did not result in immediate success. The history of the early Wahhābī movement, which led to the establishment of the First Wahhābī State, is a history of military campaigns and propaganda activity to win over all the oases of al-cArid and the adjacent provinces in Central Najd.59 Only in the last quarter of the eighteenth century did the movement become a power of regional importance extending beyond the local boundaries. By the beginning of the nineteenth century it had obtained a degree of influence which attracted the attention of Muslims outside the Arabian Peninsula. The conquest of the Hijaz and the Haramayn in the first decade of the nineteenth century obliged the whole Islamic world to take Wahhābism seriously,60 The expansion of the Wahhābi movement implied the spread of Wahhābī teaching. This teaching undoubtedly elicited different responses from various social and religious groups, which adhered to other conceptions of Islam. Our knowledge of Wahhābī responses to the challenges posed by groups such as nomads, semi-nomads, sedentaries, townspeople, villagers, Arabs and non-Arabs, local elites and common people, Sufis and fugaha", is defective.

Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhab 147, and Bekri Shaykh Amin, at Haraka al-adobevea fi 1 mamlaka al-arabevea al-sa sidevea (Bercut, 6th ed. 1994) 51, a summary of his arguments against the madhabib and fiah in Peskes, Muhammad b. 'Abdalwahhab 33-44

<sup>53</sup> Muhammad b "Abd al-Wahhāb, al Risāla al-thālitha 244.

<sup>54</sup> Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhāb, nl-Risāla al-sābi" a 280 f.; ol-Mas" olo al-sādiso 413 f. 4.6. ol Risāla al-tāsi" a. us ībn Ghamām 300

<sup>55</sup> See e g. Muhammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb, al Risāla al-illā 217 f

<sup>56</sup> For his idealization of the early Muslim community see Peskes, Muhammad b. Abdatwahhōb 43-6.

<sup>57</sup> Disobedience to un-Islamic authorities was incombent on the true believer, see Muhammad b. \*Abd al-Wahhāb, Kuāb al-tawḥīd 123 and Kashf al-shubuhāt, in Majmū'at al-tawhīd 77

<sup>58</sup> On the pre-emmence of the Koran and sumno see also al-"Uthaymin, al-Schaylth

<sup>59</sup> For a case study on the Wahhābī expansion in Central Najd and the slow spread of Wahhābī power through military and propagandistic efforts see Michael Cook, 'The Expansion of the First Saudi State. The Case of Washin', in Clifford E. Bosworth, Charles Issawi et a. (eds.). The Islamic World. From Classical to Modern Tunes. Essays in Honour of Bernard Lewis (Princeton 1991) 661-699, esp. 669, 673, 675.

For a survey of the expansion of the first Wahhābi state sec "Abd Alāh al-"Uthaymin, Ta"rīkh al-mandaka al-"arabiyya al-sa"ādiyya (n.p. 1984) i, 93-168

Yet, Wahhābī control of the public domain had an effect on all of them, and the form this control took is relatively well-documented, in particular in Wahhabi and anti-Wahhābi sources which deal with the Wahhābī conquest of the Ḥijāz. These sources document the widespread destruction of tombs and graveyards, 61 already mentioned above, the destruction of books to do with all categories of knowledge, 62 enforced instruction in the teachings of Muḥammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb, 63 the imposition of the observance of the daily prayers, 64 and hindrance of the annual hajj, especially the pilgrim caravans coming by way of Syria and Egypt. 65 The justification for this radical action was that the behaviour of the majority of pilgrims demonstrated that they were not Muslims and consequently they were prohibited from entering the Ḥaramayn. 66

Wahhābī control of the public sphere profoundly affected all who were living under their rule. Wahhābism monopolized knowledge: writings which deviated from the Wahhābī conceptions of tawhīd were prohibited or destroyed, the institutionalized framework of the madhāhīb was dismantled.<sup>67</sup> Religious and legal instruction in mosques, madrasas and other institutions was administered by Wahhābī teachers in conformity with Wahhābī writings. Likewise, Wahhābism abolished the plurality of public manifestations of the faith and imposed rigid uniformity. The mosque became the sole location where the religious feelings of the pious were allowed to manifest themselves. The shrines of saints and any setting for the mediation of divine grace and salvation were closed and/or dismantled. Any ceremonies accompanying the veneration of saints (e.g. the mawlids)<sup>68</sup> or the veneration of living human beings such as

the sada 69 were prohibited as being shirk or leading to shirk.

Not only relationships between living human beings, but also those between the living and the dead were redefined. The dead, including the Prophet, 70 were declared to have entered barzakh, where they awaited the day of Resurrection without being able to help the living through any form of intercession. The believer was left on his own with the prescribed duties towards God and only the fulfilment of these duties could help him attain salvation.

Wahhābism, in its early stages, confronted Sufism primarily at the level of popular practice and daily routines. Discourse was not carried out on the level of sophisticated theological argumentation. The Sufi system of thought, as well as the varied organizational structures of the Sufi-brotherhoods, ran counter to Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb's conception of the Muslim community based on immutable uniformity of faith and the absolute transcendence of God. The Sufi shuyūkh disappeared from the public sphere and their authority was pretty much eradicated. Veneration for such persons. living or dead, and the characteristic 'blind' obedience accorded by the murid to his murshid were viewed as shirk and expressions of unbelief. The Sufi institution of rabita71 based on an intimate tie with a shaykh possessing baraka, the general Sufi claim of a special relationship with the Prophet through a silsilsa, and the veneration of the tombs of Sufi saints and other pious persons, which is one of the most visible public manifestations of Sufi practices, were all condemned as sheer kufr. Actions which aimed at eradicating these practices and beliefs implied tearing up the very roots from which Sufism sprang. Wahhābism made the physical existence of the Sufibrotherhoods impossible and even threatened the transmission of their spiritual heritage outside the Arabian Peninsula, since Wahhābism provided an example for anti-Sufi movements to follow throughout Sunni Islam.

<sup>61</sup> Ibn Bishr 'Unwân al-majd 1, 122/2, 135; Ahmed b. Zayni Dohlân, Khulâşar al-kalâm fi umarâ² al baiad ai-harâm, Beitst n d ) 302 3.

<sup>62</sup> Ahmad b. Zaynî Dahlân, al-Durar al-sanıyva fi 'l-radd 'olâ 'l-wahhâbreva (Canco n.d.) 144 f., and Khalâşei al kaiêm 297 ff

<sup>63</sup> That 302

<sup>64</sup> Ibn Bistir, 'Unwân al-majd i, 141, 151, 157, John Lewis Burckhardt, Notes on the Bedowns and Wahâbys (Bestit 1992, reprint of the first edition of 1831) 101

<sup>65</sup> Ibn Bishr \*Unwän al-maid i, 117, 141, Dahtan, Khulösot al-kaläin 326.

<sup>66</sup> Ibid. 326.

<sup>67</sup> The madhāhib reflected ikhtilāf al-'ulomā' which Muḥammad b. 'Abd al Wahhib vehemently condemned; see al Mas'ala al-sābr'a, in Ibn Ghonnām 421, 425, 427, fiqh and figh-compendia had to be subjected to the Koran and sunna, ibid. 424.

<sup>68</sup> For Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhāb's negative attitude towards marchid-ecremonies see al Risāla al-sābi'a 281, al-Risāja al tāst'e 300

<sup>69</sup> E.g. special reverence paid to the sāda as descendants of the Prophet, see al-Risāla al-sābi'a 272, al-Risāla al-sābi'a 'ashra 331 f.

<sup>70</sup> See Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb, Kuāb al-tawhīd 105-7; in al Risāla al-thāmva 228 he says: 'the prophets have no special rank with God', al-Risāla al-thāmma ft 't-uṣāl al-thalātha al-wājiba 'alā kuli muslim wa-mislima, in Majmū'at al-tawhīd 127 f', al Uthaymin, al-Shaykh Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb 167, cf also Burckhardt's observations concerning the Wahhābi assessment of the Prophet both as prophet and as a mortal human being. Notes 102

<sup>71</sup> On räbija see Fritz Meier, Zwei Abhandlungen über die Neqšbandivvo (Islanbul 1994) 17-241

THE WAHHĀBIYYA AND SUFISM

Traces of specific Sufi-Wahhabi confrontation in the sources documenting the early stage of Wahhabi history are few and do not indicate serious direct conflict. Sufi institutions in the center of the Arabian Peninsula (in al-cArid and the neighbouring provinces) would have been too weak to offer much resistance to Wahhabism; the lack of any reference in the sources to damage done to Sufi establishments or persecuted Sufis in central Najd bears out this impression. Nevertheless, during the first Wahhābī occupation of the Hijaz and the Haramayn, great damage was done to tombs and graveyards in the Holy Places. Because of the numerous tombs and sacred places and because of the annual hajj, several Sufi-brotherhoods had been established here. It is reported, however, that Sufis and certain Sufi-brotherhoods survived under a polity which was opposed to Sufism during the short period of less than a decade at the beginning of the nineteenth century. These brotherhoods were thriving in the Hijaz, especially in Mecca, at the end of the nineteenth century.72

Western scholars often claim emphatically that Wahhābism is a kind of neo-Hanbalism. More particularly, Wahhābī thought is presented as an elaboration of the teachings of Ibn Taymiyya, whom these scholars are prepared to quote as authoritative when the actual writings of Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb do not provide answers to their questions.'73 However, research has shown that Hanbalis could also be Sufis, while even Ibn Taymiyya himself was a member of a Sufi-brotherhood, the Qādiriyya.'74 On the other hand, no follower of Wahhābism was ever publicly affiliated with Sufism. What Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb achieved through his teachings was endowed with a new dimension, even if their doctrinal roots undeniably grew out of Hanbalism: he succeeded in establishing a

72 See Christiaan Snowck Hurgrouje, Mekka ii. Aus dem heutigen Leben (Den Haag 1889) 277-90

73 See e.g. the chapter 'De Wakhabieten en de mystick' in Roelof W van Diffelen, De Geloofsteer der Wohhabieten (Leiden 1927) 52-72 specific definition of the faith, one which entails a comprehensive conception of Islamic knowledge, obligatory action and individual responsibility to the complete exclusion of Sufism and its institutions.

<sup>74</sup> Cf George Makdist, 'L'ıslam hanbalisant tür Soufisme et hanbalisme'. Revue des études islamiques xlin (1975) 57 f.; for other Hanbalis who had been Sufis see the same article, 59, in this context see also G Mardist, 'Ein Taymaya'. A süfi of the Qādirīya order', American Jaurnal of Arabic Studies 1 (1973) 118 29; Fritz Meier 'Das sauberste über die vorbestimmung. Ein stuck Ibn Taymayya', Saeculum xxxii (1981) 79, n. 9, rejects Makdisi's argument that Ibn Taymayya had been a Sufi

## KRITIK AM NEO-SUFISMUS

#### BERND RADTKE

Trägt man über die islamische mystik vor einem breiteren publikum vor, das aus hörern aus der islamischen welt und dem westen bestehen kann, so begegnet man bei einer anschliessenden diskussion immer wieder einem urteil — man kann fast von einem klischee sprechen: Die islamischen mystiker, die sufis, sehen sich oder stehen in opposition zum offiziellen "orthodoxen" islam durch ihre nichtbeachtung der regeln und vorschriften des heiligen gesetzes, der sharia. Stellt man die richtigkeit dieses urteils in frage, so begegnet man zumeist ungläubigem staunen, ja geradezu einem widerwillen. Auf den hiermit angesprochenen fragenkomplex soll nicht weiter eingegangen werden. Ich denke jedoch nicht ganz falsch zu liegen, wenn ich behaupte, dass die polemiken auf diesem gebiet nur einem "nebenkriegsschauplatz" gelten, also gefechte ausgetragen werden, die die aufmerksamkeit und die reflexion über weit bedeutenderes und grundsätzlicheres geradezu behindern. 1

Ich brauche nicht zu betonen, dass ich nicht die relative gültigkeit des klischees bestreite. Aber sehr viel grundsätzlicher scheinen mir, was die gegenüberstellung sufik — normaler islam betrifft, fragen zu sein, die ich in einem grösseren rahmen aufwerfen möchte.

Das göttliche gesetz ist geoffenbart worden, um in der welt, im staatlichen, gesellschaftlichen leben zur anwendung zu kommen. Alles was Gott der welt und speziell der mushmischen gemeinde hierüber mitteilen wollte, ist bis zum tode des profeten Muhammad an die welt ergangen. Damit war die göttliche offenbarung ein für allemal beendet, sie ist versiegt.<sup>2</sup> Dass das zunächst, im 1.-2. jh. der hidschra, noch nicht allgemein akzeptiert wurde, soll nicht näher interessieren.<sup>3</sup> Das heil der post-Muhammad-gemeinde und des einzel-

nen hing von der richtigen auslegung und anwendung des gesetzes ab. Damit ist die frage des imamats, der autorität zur leitung der gemeinde, angesprochen, worauf bekanntlich verschiedene antworten gegeben wurden. Die mehrheitslösung ist die sogenannte sunnitische: Der kahf, der aus dem stamm des profeten kommen sollte, aber nicht aus der familie selbst, wie nach schittischer ansicht, siehert zusammen mit den gelehrten, den 'ulamā', die rechte auslegung und praxis des heiligen gesetzes. Die gelehrten sind die eigentlichen erben des profeten: al-'ulamā' warathat al-anbiyā'. 4 Ihre gesetzesauslegung erfolgt durch die betätigung der kräfte der vernunft, die ein "normales" bewusstsein zur grundlage haben, sowie normale sinnestätigkeit, normale wahrnehmung.

Uneinigkeit herrschte darüber, welche rolle der individuellen urteilskraft im einzelnen fall hie et nune zugestanden werden durfte, und welche der von den vorgängern übernommene brauch, der zwar auch durch die tätigkeit der vernunft begriffen, aber eben nicht durch das individuelle schlussverfahren gefunden wird. Höchstes ziel musste es sein, im individuellen fall zur erkenntnisgewissheit (yaqīn)<sup>5</sup> zu gelangen. Dass die normale, allgemeine verstandes- und sinnestätigkeit diese nicht verschaffen kann, war die überzeugung der schiitischen gruppen, die darum als höchste rechtsprechungsautorität den göttlich inspirierten imam setzten, dessen inspiration durch seine leibliche abstammung vom profeten gegeben war

Auch eine andere gruppe wollte nicht die vernunft als letzte rechtfindungsinstanz anerkennen: die mystiker, seit dem 4./10. jh. allgemein sufis genannt. Ihre position wird im klassischen handbuch der sufik, den Luma des Abū Nasr al-Sarrāj (gest. 378/988), so beschrieben: "(Religiöses) wissen ist entweder ein vers des korans, ein heiliger bericht vom gesandten Gottes oder eine weisheit, durch sinnerschliessung gefunden, die dem herz eines gottesfreundes einfällt." Koran und sunna sind die grundlage des äusseren wissens, das der gelehrte überliefert oder das ihm überliefert wird, und das er durch vernunftbetätigung begreift. Sie sind die grundlagen des äusseren wissens, des 'ilm al-zähir, wie bereits in traktaten des 3/9 jh.s formuliert wird. Der mystiker verwirft oder übertritt

Ygl dazu auch Bernd Radike, 'Warum ist der Sufi orthodox?', Der Islam lxxi (1994) 302-307

<sup>2</sup> Dazu Fritz Meier, 'Das sauberste über die vorsehung. Ein stück Ibu Taymiyya'. Saeculum xxxii (1981) 75 f.; auch veröffentlicht in Fritz Meier, Bausteine. Ausgewählte Aufsätze zur Islamwissenschaft, i in (Istanbul: Stuttgart 1992) ii., 697 f.

<sup>3</sup> Josef van Ess, Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2. und 3. Jahrhundert Hidschra. Eine

Geschichte des religiösen Denkens un frühen Islant, 1-vi (Berlin New York 1991-1997) 1, 3-6

<sup>4</sup> Richard Gramlich, Schlaglichter über das Sufitum. Abū Nasr as Sorrägs Kitäb al luma\*, eingeleitet, übersetzt und kommentiert (Stungart 1990) 39 f

Josef van Ess, Die Erkenntnislehre des 'Adudaddin al-lei (Wiesbaden 1966), passim.

6 Abü Naşr al-Sarrāj, Kitāb al-Luma' fi'l-taşawwuf, ed R. A. Nicholson (Leiden-London 1914) 6, 1-3/Gramlich, Schlaglichter 40.

KRITIK AM NEO-SUFISMUS

165

selbstverständlich nicht die vorschriften des äusseren wissen — ich erinnere an die zu beginn getroffenen feststellungen. Aber er will ein "mehr". Und dieses erreicht er durch innere aktivität, die ihn zu einer, wie er meint, "höheren" art der wissenschaft führen kann: dem wissen des inneren, der wissenschaft des inneren, 'ilm al-batin. 7 Das ist ein erhöhtes, gescharftes bewusstwerden der eigenen seelentatigkeiten: die im 3./9. jh. vor allem in den schriften al-Muhäsibīs (gest. 857) und al-Hakim al-Tirmidhīs (gest. ca. 910)8 herausgearbeitete kunst der introspektion. Die innere aktivität zielt auf die erziehung der seele, des mederen ichs, die zurückdrängung und endliche auslöschung der eigenen aktivitäten. Das führte zur unio mystica, erlebt als verlöschen (fanā") in Gott. Dass bisweilen die unio durch ekstase gesucht wurde, die äusserungen und verhaltensweisen zeitigen konnte, die die grenzen der sharia verletzten — das soll hier nicht näher beachtet werden. 9

Es war jetzt Gott, der durch den mystiker wirkte, dachte und urteilte: daher besass dieser dann auch erkenntnisgewissheit. Er war der wahre wissende, der wahre erbe des profeten, der einzig legitimierte ausleger des heiligen gesetzes: warathat al-anbiyā al-aw-liyā. Sein durch innere aktivität entstandenes wissen konnte bereichert werden durch göttliche begnadungen: göttliche inspiration (hadīth), heilsversicherungen (bushrā), wahrträume — von vielen als teil der profetie angesehen —, schliesslich die fähigkeit wunder zu verrichten. 10 Im wahrtraum konnte dem mystiker der profet Muhammad begegnen, 11 der ihm erhöhte gewissheit in den fragen der gesetzesauslegung zu geben vermochte.

7 Etran, s. Bäten Bernd Radtke, 'Theologen und Mystiker in Hotäsän und Transoxanten', Zeuschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft exxxvi (1986) 559 f., Bernd Radtke & John O'Kane, The Concept of Saunthood in Early Islamic Mysticism (London 1996) u.a. 42/Bornd Radtke, Drei Schriften des Theosophen von Tirmid, Zweiter Teil Übersetzung und Kommentar (Bearut-Stutigart 1996) 13, Radtke, 'Warum' 304 f

Zur biografie und zum todesdatum Trimadhis vgl. Bernd Rudtke, Al-Hohm al-Tirmadi. Ein iskunischer Theosoph des 3.79. Jahrhunderts (Freiburg 1980) 1-38. Radthe & O'Knae, Concept 1-13. Radthe, Drei Schriften 1-8. — Ich erkaube mir die bemerkung, dass es erfreulich würe, wenn meine "chronologischen versuche" zum leben al-Hakim al-Tirmadhis zumindest zur kenntnis genommen würden, dazu auch Drei Schriften. Vorwort.

9 Für den fall ol-Haltāj verweise ich auf die grundlegenden äusserungen Fritz Meiers in seinem artikel 'Ein wichtiger handschriftenfund zur söfik', Oriens xx (1967) 104 f./Bausteine 1, 320 f.). Dieser artike, wird demnächst auch in engascher übersetzung zugänglich sein (Fritz Meier. Essays on Islante Pietv and Mysticism).

10 Dazu Radike & O'Kane, Concept, passum, Index of Arabic and Persian Words, s.vv. hadāth, bushrā, korāmāt, ru'vā: Radike, Dret Schriften, Index der Begriffe.

11 Bernd Radike, "Tirmidiana Minora", Oriens xxxiv (1994) 248, 257, 258-60

So wurde der mystiker zum gottesfreund, zum heiligen (wali, pl. awliya<sup>2</sup>), zur ausnahmepersönlichkeit und für seine mitwelt zu einem mittel und vermittler zu Gott.<sup>12</sup>

Gesellschaftliche legitimation versuchte der mystiker durch den ansprüch zu erhalten, über eine höhere art des wissens zu verfügen, das dem der gewöhnlichen "ulamā" zwar nicht widersprach, aber in seinen augen eine notwendige ergänzung darstellte — und u.a. an diesem punkt, das sei angemerkt, setzten kritiker der alten sufik wie Ibn al-Jawzī<sup>13</sup> und Ibn Taymiyya<sup>14</sup> an.

Wir machen einen grossen sprung ins 18. und 19. jh. Es hat sich in der englischsprachigen literatur und in ihrem gefolge auch in deutschen veröffentlichungen eingebürgert, von massgeblichen persönlichkeiten der neueren, westlichen, arabisch-sprachigen sufik als von Neo-Sufis zu sprechen und mit dieser bezeichnung gewisse eigenheiten der lehre und praxis dieser persönlichkeiten zu verbinden, durch die sie sich von der älteren sufik unterschieden haben sollen. Wieweit bezeichnung und charakterisierung berechtigt sind, haben Seán O'Fahey und der verfasser in dem aufsatz Neo-Sufism Reconsidered<sup>15</sup> einer ausführlichen kritik unterzogen, die hier nicht zu wiederholen ist.

Die wichtigsten persönlichkeiten des Neo-Sufism sind der algerier Ahmad al-Tijäni (1737-1815), <sup>16</sup> der gründer des ordens der tijäniyya, und der marokkaner Ahmad b. Idrīs (1749/50-1837), <sup>17</sup> Der wichtigste anhänger Ahmad al-Tijānis im 19. jh. war der gründer des tijäniyya-staates in Westafrika, al-Hājj "Umar (1793-1864), <sup>18</sup> Als bedeutendste schüler des Ahmad b. Idrīs sind zu nennen Muḥammad b. "Alī al-Sanūs" (1787-1859), <sup>19</sup> gründer der sanūsiyya und somit im

<sup>12</sup> El. s.v. Walt.

<sup>13</sup> Ibn al-Jawzi, Talbis Iblis (Kairo 1928) 166.

<sup>14</sup> Meier, 'Das sauberste' 74-77/Bausteine ü. 696-9.

<sup>15</sup> Rex Séan O'Fahey & Bernd Radtke, 'Neo-Sufism Reconsiderd', Der Islam Ixx (1993) 52-87, p.a. 55-61

<sup>16</sup> Jamil M. Abun-Nasr, The Tipanyva. A Sufi Order in the Modern World (London 1965) 15 ff

<sup>17</sup> Grundlegend ist Rex Seán O'Fabey, Eurginatic Saint (London 1990): ALA = Rex Seán O'Fabey et alia, Arabic Literature of Africa, HdO 13 (London 1994) 1, 124-138

<sup>18</sup> Zu seiner biografie s. Berud Radike, 'Von Iran nach Westafrika', Die Welt des Islams xxxv (1995) 40-3; eine analyse der quellen seines hauptwerkes Rimäh hizh al-rahim 'alo nuhür hizh al-rajim findet man bei Berud Radike, 'Studies on the Sources of the Kitäh Rimäh Hizh al-Rahüm of al-Hän 'Umar, Sudanic Africa vi (1995) 73-113.

<sup>19</sup> Grundlegend ist Knut S. Vikør, Sufi and Scholar on the Desert Edge Muhammad b \*Ali al Sanüsi and his Brotherhood (Loudon 1995); ALA 1, 166.

weiteren des staates Libyen; dann Muhammad <sup>e</sup>Uthmän al-Mirghani (1793-1852),<sup>20</sup> gründer der khatmiyya, die vor allem im Sudan wirkt; schliesslich Ibrahim al-Rašīd (1813-74), von dem die orden der rashidiyya, sālihiyya and dandarāwiyya ausgingen.<sup>21</sup>

Alle diese persönlichkeiten und ihre anhänger haben ein reiches schrifttum hervorgebracht, das jedoch noch kaum erschlossen, geschweige denn erforscht ist. 22

Wie der artikel Neo-Sufism Reconsidered deutlich macht, darf angezweifelt werden, ob die bezeichnung der genannten persönlichkeiten als Neo-Sufis überhaupt sinnvoll ist. Eines fällt jedoch bei der lekture ihrer schriften auf — und das gilt vor allem für Ahmad al-Tijänī,<sup>23</sup> für Ahmad b. Idrīs<sup>24</sup> und für al-Ḥājj 'Umar<sup>25</sup>: Vieles was sie lehren, geht auf den marokkaner (gest. 1132/1718-9)<sup>26</sup> zurück. Ahmad b. Idrīs führt eine seiner affiliationen auf Dabbāgh zurück.<sup>27</sup> Über leben und lehre Dabbāghs wissen wir von seinem schüler Ahmad b. al-Mubārak al-Lamaţī<sup>28</sup> (gest. 1742), der seine

erinnerungen in dem buch al-Ibrīz min kalam sayyıdī al-ghawth 'Abd al-'Azız al Dabbagh<sup>29</sup> niederlegte. Dabbāgh selbst hat nichts schriftliches hinterlassen. Er wird von Lamatī wiederholt und nachdrücklich als analphabet (ummī)<sup>30</sup> bezeichnet. Ob zu recht, ist an anderen stellen von mir diskutiert worden.<sup>31</sup>

Der *Ibrīz* kann durchaus eine art bibel des sogenannten *Neo-Sufism* genannt werden. Das ist seit längerem bekannt,<sup>32</sup> eine monografie fehlt jedoch bisher.<sup>33</sup> Sie hätte u.a. den einfluss der lehre Ibn al<sup>6</sup> Arabis zu untersuchen.<sup>34</sup>

Was erinnert im werk al-Lamatīs an die lehren der alten sufik — auch und vor allem in den strittigen punkten, die die kritik der sufigegner hervorrufen konnten? Betrachten wir die frage erkenntnis und erkenntnisgewissheit. Diese steht — sehr viel mehr, als es in der alten sufik der fall zu sein scheint — im zusammenhang mit einem ideenkomplex, der als tarīqa muḥammadiyya bezeichnet werden kann. 35 Kannte die alte sufik den wahrtraum als möglichkeit der begegnung mit dem profeten Muḥammad, so die neuere die der leiblichen begegnung mit dem nachtodlichen Muḥammad, der also nicht als tot vorgestellt wurde, sondern in einer anderen daseinsform weiterwirkend Die betonung der möglichkeit einer leiblichen begegnung "in fleisch und blut" ist, wie mir scheint, eines der charakteristika der modernen sufik. 36 Diese begegnung wird von al-Dabbāgh

<sup>20</sup> ALA s. 187-198, Bernd Radtke, 'Lehrer - Schüler - Enkel Ahmad b. Idris, Muhammad 'Ujman al-Mirgani, İsma'il al-Wali', Oriens xxxiii (1992) 98-103

<sup>21</sup> ALA 1, 153-55

<sup>22</sup> In ALA, 1st das material zum erstenmal gesichtet. Entsprechende arbeit zur tigännyya in ALA ii = Arabic Literature in Africa. Volume ir The Writings of Central Sudanic Africa, computed by John Hunwick (Leiden 1995) u.s. 256 ff. 550 ff

<sup>23</sup> Al-Dabbügh wird namentlich genannt Janühlir al-ma'āni i, 55, 174, 214, u, 62, vgl. auch Bernd Radtke, 'Was steht in den Gawähir al-ma'āni? Versuch einer Ehrenrettung' forthcoming). — Pitr die Jawähir al-ma'āni habe ich den drock Kairo 1380/1961 benutzt.

<sup>24</sup> Vgl. anm 27

<sup>25</sup> Ein verzeichnis der zitäte aus dem *Ibrīz* in den *Rimôh* findet man bei Bernd Radtke, 'Stoches' 85 f., vg. auch ibid. 111, ich zähle insgesamt 84 zitäte; das ist rund ein achtel aller — Für die *Rimâh* habe ich den druck, der sich am made der *Javrahir ul-ma'ânî* befindet, benutzt — Für bibliografische angaben zim *Ibrīz* vgl. ann. 29.

<sup>26</sup> Gest. 1132.1718-9, zu diesem todesdatum vgl. Fritz Meier, Fritz Meier, Bohô\*-i Watad. Grundzüge seines Lebens und seiner Mystik (Leiden 1989) 207; GAL, G n, 462 f., S ii, 704.

Al-Dabbägh und der Ibriz werden in den folgenden arbeiten behandelt. Bernd Rodtke. Stefism in the 18th Century. An Attempt at a Provisional Appraisal. Die Weit des Islams xxxvi. (1996) 326-364. — id., 'Zwischen Traditionalismus und Intellektualismus. Geistesgeschichtliche und instoriografische Bemerkungen zum Ibrīz des Ahmad b. al-Mubārak al Lamati., in Butt. on Soud Rock, Festschrift für Ebbe Knudsen (Oslo 1997) 240-267. — id. Ibrīziana.', Sudanic Africa vit (1996) 113-158. — id., 'Der Ibrīz Lamatis', in 26. Deutscher Orientalistentag. 199'. (fortheoming). — id., 'Wat betekent poriga muhammadi vva in de Islamtische mystiek von de 18e en 19e eeuw?', in MOI publikaties (fortheoming).

<sup>27</sup> The Letters of Ahmad Ibn Idris, ed. by Emar Thomassen and Bernd Radtke (London 1993, 64-5, Bernd Radtke, Seán R. O'Fahrey & John O'Kane, 'Two Sufi Treatises of Ahmad Ibn Idris', Oriens xxxv (1996) 151

<sup>28</sup> Zur form der nisbe s. Meier, Bahā 208, anm. 25.

<sup>29</sup> So der titel der zweibändigen damaszener ausgabe von 1984-6 von 'Adnön al-Shammö'

<sup>30</sup> Ibrit 1, 33 f. und häufig.

<sup>31</sup> U. a. 'Zwischen Traditionalismus' 241

<sup>32</sup> Michel Chodkiewicz, 'The Diffusion of Ibn 'Arabi's Doctrine', Journal of the Muhyaddin Ibn 'Arabi Society ix (1991) 46

<sup>33</sup> Ibid. 55, ann. 41. — Ich arbeite zusammen mit John O'Kane an einer kommentierten übersetzung ins englische.

<sup>34</sup> Ibid. 47; vgl. jedoch die bemerkungen Radike, 'Der Ibriz Lamatis'

<sup>35</sup> Eine monografic fehlt, vgl. vorläufig Fritz Meier, 'Eine auferstehung Mohammeds ber Suyfiti', Der Islam ixii (1985) 43/Bonsteine u., 820; O'Fahey & Radtke, 'Neo-St fism' 64-71, Bernd Radtke, 'Between Projection and Suppression. Some Considerations concerning the Study of Sufism', in Frederick De Iong (ed.), Shi'a Islam, Sects and Sufism (Utrecht 1992) 74. id. 'Erlenchtung und Aufklärung, Islamusche Mystik und europäischer Rationalismus', Die Welt des Islams xxxiv (1994) 59; id. 'Sufism in the 18th Century. An Attempt at a Provisional Appraisal', Die Welt des Islams xxxvi (1996) 353 ff. und den artikel von van Ess, hier p. 43 f.

<sup>36</sup> Sie ist jedoch nicht ersatz für die anio mit Gott, sondern eine stufe des weges zu dieser; dazu Bernd Radtke, 'Ismä'il al-Wali Ein sudanesischer Theosoph des 19 Jahrhunderts', Der Islam bzü (1995) 153 f. und id., 'Sufism in the 18th Century' 360. + Ein beispiel aus dem 16. jh., Der scheich Ahmad al Zawäwi (gest 1517) vergleicht Gott mit einem weltlichen könig. Zu diesem direkten zugang zu verlangen, wäre unhöflich, ein vernuttler isl

KRITIK AM NEO SUFISMUS

und anderen als ein überwältigendes erlebnis beschrieben, das einerseits für den mystiker kaum zu ertragen ist, ihm andererseits ein unsagliches glücksgefühl verschafft 37 Es gibt ihm eine absolute erkenntnisgewissheit in allen fragen der rechtsfindung - sollten alle rechtsschulen verschwinden, ware er durch seinen direkten kontakt mit dem profeten imstande, die gesamte sharia wiederherzustellen 18 - wohlgemerkt. Es handelt sich um eine wiederherstellung, nicht um eine neustiftung oder einen ersatz! Und die leibliche begegnung mit dem profeten führt den erleuchteteten mystiker schliesslich zur unto mystica mit Gott 39 Sein wissen ist dem eines gewöhnlichen theologen und juristen weit überlegen. Absurd muss ihm geradezu der fanatismus der einzelnen rechtsschulen (ta'assub al-madhāhib) vorkommen, der doch nur auf die tätigkeit des fehlbaren verstandes gegründet ist.40

Neben der erkenntnisgewissheit in rechtsfragen besitzt der erleuchtete mystiker ein allumfassendes wissen, das er göttlicher inspiration verdankt. Al-Dabbägh, angeblich ein ungebildeter analphabet, kennt den inhalt aller theologischen bücher und auch anderer literatur. Er weiss buchstäblich alles, kann "Gott und die welt erklären". So darf al-Lamati den inhalt seines buches als göttliche gabe bezeichnet. Auch die Jawāhir al-ma'anī, das von dem schuler Ahmad al-Tijānis, Alī Harāzim Barrāda, zusammengestellte grundwerk der tijāniyya über leben und lehren des stifters des ordens entsprang dessen aussagen nach göttlicher inspiration 41 — Gegner der tijäniyya wollen es aber als plagiat einer älteren schrift nachweisen können. Dieser schwere vorwurf, der die glaubwürdigkeit des ordensstifters radikal in frage stellt, wurde meines wissens zuerst in den zwanziger jahren in Marokko aus salafitischen kreisen erhoben42 und wird bis heute

notwendig Bei Gott ist das Muhammad. So zu lesen bei 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Sha'riinî. Lawagir al anvear al-quelsiyya fi'l 'uhiid al-muhammadiyya (Komo 1321) 116 f. vgl. auch Mahammad al-'Arabi al-Sa'ab, Bughyat al-mustafid le-shorh Munyat al-murid (Kairo 1380/1959) 79 f - Die darsteilungen von Enc Geoffroy, Le soufisme en Egypte et en Surie (Damas 1995) 101-3 und von Jonathon G. Katz, Dreams, Sufism & Samthood (Leiden 1996). vor allem p. 227-9, sind wesig hilfreich. Bei Katz ist das problem überhaupt meht erkannt.

aufrecht erhalten 43 Nach ihnen seien teile der Jawahir al-ma'anī aus der vita des Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah Ma'n al-Andalusı (gest. 1708), verfasst von 'Abd al-Salām al-Qadirī (gest.1698), mit dem titel al-Maqşad al-ahmad fi'l-ta'rīf bi Sayyidinā Ibn 'Abd Allāh Ahmad,44 abgeschneben worden. 45 Diese vorwurfe sind bisher nicht überprüft werden.46 Ein erster, oberflächlicher vergleich von Jawahir almacani und Maqsad zeigt, dass sie nicht ganz unberechtigt zu sein schemen.

Hinter der behauptung, die dhikr-formeln und die litaneien des ordens persönlich vom profeten oder dem schutzpratron der mystiker, Khadir, erhalten zu haben, steht der anspruch, mit göttlichem wissen begnadet zu sein. Das sagen al-Dabbagh,47 Ahmad al-Tijānī48 und Ahmad b. Idrīs.49 Dass diese formeln und litaneten teile aus älterer literatur enthalten konnten,50 wurde nicht als widerspruch angesehen

Selbstverständlich ist der allwissenheitsanspruch etwa eines al-Dabbägh für den normalen theologen nicht akzeptabel. Und zumindest für gewisse theologen - ich denke hier vor allem an die wahhābiyya - auch meht die behauptung, den profeten in "fleisch und blut" begegnen zu können. Für die wahhäbiyya ist nicht nur der direkte zugang zu Gott seit dem tode des profeten Muhammad verschlossen, auch der profet selbst ist wirklich tot, nicht mehr kom munizierbar.51

Betrachten wir die lehren des enkelschülers von al-Dabbägh, Ahmad b. Idrîs. Obwohl gerade im vergangenen jahrzehnt grosse fortschritte in der erforschung seiner persönlichkeit gemacht worden sind, stehen wir, was seine lehren betrifft, noch am anfang.52 Wir besitzen eigene schriften Ahmads, daneben aufzeichnungen seiner schüler und berichte über ihn.53 Unter seinen eigenen schriften wiederum kann man, wie es scheint, solche unterscheiden, die für ein grösseres publikum bestimmt, und solche, die an den kreis seiner in-

<sup>37</sup> Ibrīz 1 56 58, 400; p. 56-8, 274-303.

<sup>38 |</sup> Ibrīz u. 97: wa-law ta'attalot al madhāhib bi-asrihā la-gadara 'nlō thyō' al-sharī'a; dazu auch Bernd Radtke, 'Iştihād and Noo-Sufism', Asiatische Studien xiviji (1994) 920.

<sup>39</sup> Ibrīz n. 287 ff., Radtke, 'Ismā'il' 153; id. 'Lehrer' 102, 115.

<sup>40</sup> Ibrīz 11, 97 f.

<sup>41</sup> Jawahir al ma'ānī ., 39; dazu Radtke, "Versuch einer Ehrenreitung"; Abun-Nasr, The

<sup>42</sup> Abun-Nasr The Tijanivwi 24 f

<sup>43</sup> Vei, u.a. den beitrag von Muhammad S. Umar, p. 364.

<sup>44</sup> Zu buch und verfasser vgl. E. Lévi-Provençal, Les historiens des chorfus (repr Casablanca (991) 277 f.

<sup>45</sup> Abun-Nasc, The Tipanivsa 24 f

Radtke, 'Versuch einer Ehrenrettung'

Ibriz s. 51 f

Jawāhir al-ma'āni i, 37 f : 91

<sup>49</sup> Radike usw., 'Two Sufi Treatises' 161-4.

<sup>50</sup> Ibid. 162: Javeähir al-ma'ani i. 103-6, dazu Radike, 'Versuch einer Ehrenrettung'

<sup>51</sup> Meier, 'Eine Auferstehung' 24 f.

<sup>52</sup> Vgl. dazu auch den literaturbenicht bei Radike, 'Sufism in the 18th Century' 327-30

Im einzelnen ALA i. 124-138.

timen schuler gerichtet waren. Als letztere sind vor allem sein briefe aufzufassen. 54 In ihnen erscheint Ahmad als im ständigen kontakt mit dem profeten stehend. Er empfängt von diesem heilsbotschaften für sich und seine anhänger und fragt ihn um rat in rechtsfragen. 55

Eine streitschrift ist die Risālat al Radd 'alā ahl al-ra'y.56 Die ahl al-ra'v sind die vertreter der traditionellen rechtsschulen, deren autoritätsanspruch, der sich allein auf den fehlbaren verstand gründet,57 von Ahmad verworfen wird.58 Der normale muslim kann auf alle rechtsfragen antworten aus dem text von koran und sunna erhalten. wenn er nur die rechte gottesfurcht (taqiva) besitzt. Ist das der fall, so erhält er aufklärung durch göttliche eingebung (furgån).59 Hier handelt es sich also um eine stufe vor den erfahrungen der tariqa muhammadiyya, die in dieser schrift nicht erwähnt werden. Aber schon diese ansichten mussten den protest der "theologenzunft" hervorrufen, denn auch sie stellten den autoritätsanspruch der rechtsschulen (madhāhib) radikal in frage. Ahmad b. Idrīs spricht, allerdings nur andeutungsweise, von schwierigkeiten mit den 'ulamā' in seiner heimat Marokko, die seiner endgültigen abreise vorangingen.60 Auch in Mekka, wohin er wahrscheinlich 1799-1800 über Ägypten gelangte, scheint er sich mit dem establishment der rechtsschulen angelegt zu haben - auch in diesem falle sind die quellen, überwiegend hagiografischer natur, leider alles andere als deutlich.61 Interessanterweise überstand er jedoch die wahhäbitische besetzung (1803-13) unbeschadet. Anscheinend erst auf druck der ägyptischen besatzer ist er 1828 zum verlassen Mekkas gezwungen worden.62 Er wandte sich dem Jemen zu und liess sich schliesslich in Sabyā in 'Asir meder, das damals unter der herrschaft des wahhabitischen emirs 'Alı b. Mujaththal stand.63

Aber auch jetzt war ihm kein rubiges verweilen beschieden Er sah sich am ende seines lebens<sup>64</sup> zu einem streitgespräch mit wahhabitischen 'ulamā' gezwungen — veranlasst durch das verhalten seiner zahlreichen schüler,<sup>65</sup> die ihm hierhin gefolgt waren, über das wir den bericht seines schülers 'Akiš besitzen.<sup>66</sup>

Was wird Ahmad von seinen gegnern vorgeworfen? Neben der von der ihrigen abweichenden ausführung des ritualgebets vor allem zwei dinge: Er sei anhänger der lehren Ibn al-'Arabis<sup>67</sup> — für die wahhäbiten selbstverständlich das rote tuch — und er pflege eine interpretatio ab intra des korans, die dem ausserem wortlaut widerspreche. Ech will nicht im einzelnen schildern, mit welchen argumenten Ahmad seine gegner widerlegt. Ihre vorwürfe sind alles andere als originell. Man kann sie sogar als klischeeartig bezeichnen. Selbstverständlich geht er als überlegener sieger aus dem streitgespräch hervor — und da der bericht von seinem schüler stammt, kann das wohl auch nicht anders sein.

Bemerkenswert ist, dass kein wort über den komplex *farlqa* muhammadiyya gesagt wird, während Ahmads verwerfung der kodifizierten tradition, also der autorität der rechtsschulen, sehr deutlich zur sprache kommt.<sup>71</sup>

Zum schluss: Bereits al-Ḥakīm al-Tirmidī im 3./9. jh. hatte in träumen die heilsbotschaft erhalten, er sei das siegel der gottesfreundschaft (khatm al-walāya) und nehme nach dem profeten den zweiten rang in der geistigen hierarchie der welt ein. 72 Bei unseren "Neo-Sulīs" kann man eine steigerung dieser heilsgewissheit feststellen. Nicht nur, dass ihnen der profet selbst ihren hohen rang mitteilt: 73 sowohl Ahmad al-Tijānī als auch Muhammad "Uthmān al-

<sup>54</sup> The Letters of Ahmad Ibn Idris, General Introduction.

<sup>55</sup> Vgl. z b. ibid. 90 f. (Letter xm) and General Introduction.

<sup>56</sup> ALA 1, 133 ar 33. — Die schrift wird zusammen mit der Munägara (hier anm. 60) tind zwei briefen mit edition und englischer übersetzung in Bernd Radtke, John O'Kane, Knut S Vikor & Seán O Fahey, The Evoteric Ahmad İbn İdris. A Sufi's Critique on the Madhāhib and the Wahhābīs erscheinen. Die angegebenen paragrafen (§) beziehen sich auf diese edition.

<sup>57</sup> Risôlat at Radd § 14

<sup>58</sup> Er verwirft also durchaus nicht die sharia! Dazu Radtke, 'Erleuchtung' 48, anm. 4, 64.

<sup>59</sup> Risātat al Radd § 14 Radtke, 'Erleuchtung' 58

<sup>60</sup> Munăzara § 42 (vgl. anm. 56). — Zu früheren editionen vgl. A Sufi's Critique. Introduction; hier nenne ich: Hasan 'Ākish, Munăzarat Ahmad ibn Idrīs ma'a fuqahā' 'Asīr, ed. 'Abd Allāh Abū Dāhish, Al 'Arab v und vi (1406/1986).

<sup>61</sup> A Suff's Critique, Introduction; O'Fahey, Enigmatic Saint 64.

<sup>62</sup> Ibid 68

<sup>63</sup> A Suff's Critique, Introduction.

<sup>64</sup> Das streitgespräch fand fünf jahre vor seinem tod 1837 ende 1832 statt

<sup>65</sup> Pitr etnzelheiten vgl. Scan R. O'Fahey, "Gomes, Dancing and Handelapping". A Sufi Controversy in South Arabia', in Heikki Palva and Knitt S. Vikør (eds.), The Middle East — Unity and Diversity. Papers from the Second Nordic Conference on Middle Eastern Studies (Copenhagen 1993) 123-132, A Sufi's Critique, Introduction.

<sup>66</sup> Hier ann. 60

<sup>57</sup> Munăzora § 8-9

<sup>68</sup> Munăzara § 9.

<sup>69</sup> Munăzara 58 21 ff

<sup>70</sup> Dazu der beitrag von M. Chodkiewicz, hier p. 93 ff.

<sup>71</sup> U.a. Munāzara § 28.

<sup>72</sup> Radike, "Tirmidiana Minora" 260-63.

<sup>73</sup> Für Alımad al Tijâni Jawahir al ma'ani 1, 97, Bernd Radike, 'Gesetz und Pfad in der

Mirghani erhalten von ihm die mitteilung, das siegel der gottesfreundschaft zu sein. Auch ihren anhängern wird paradiesesgewissheit versprochen. Aber alles das, über das wohl nur im engeren kreis der ordensmitglieder besprochen wurde, drang doch an die öffentlichkeit und rief die gegner auf den plan. Die deutlichsten formulterungen dieser gegnerschaft aus den kreisen der etablierten theologenschaft sind in dem fatwa des Azhar-gelehrten Hasan al-Attär zu finden. 75

Kommen wir zu einem resumé! Lassen wir den vorwurf des antinomismus beiseite, so zeigt sich, dass die grösste gegnerschaft der
anspruch der mystiker hervorrufen musste, über eine höhere,
"sicherere" form der erkenntnis zu verfügen, die sie zur eigentlichen
rechtsautorität machte. Das war für den normalen theologen und juristen schlechthin nicht zu akzeptieren. Mit aller vorsicht kann auch
gesagt werden, dass in der neueren sufik eine steigerung dieses autoritätsanspruches platz gefunden zu haben scheint. 16 Unannehmbar ist
ferner der anspruch auf heilsgewissheit, den man mit dem gebrauch
der ordenslitaneien verband, denen profetische und göttliche
herkunft zugeschrieben wurde. Sie wurden dadurch in ein unerlaubtes, ja unmögliches konkurrenzverhältnis zum text des korans
gesetzt.

Die wahhabiyya andrerseits, die selbst erbitterter gegner der madnähib, zumindest in ihrer frünfase, war und in dieser hinsicht der Neo-Sufik nahestand, konnte deren mystische, sufische seiten unmöglich akzeptieren: interpretatio ab intra der heiligen überlieferung und tarīqa muḥammadiyya etwa. Insbesondere der lebenslauf Aḥmad b. Idrīs' zeigt mit aller deutlichkeit das

frithen islamschen Mystik. Einige Bemerkungen', in U Bianchi (ed.), The Notion of «Religion» in Comparative Research. Selected Proceedings of the xvi IAHR Congress (Rom 1993) 519, filr Ahmad b. Idris vgl. Radike et alii, "Two Sufi Treatises" 163; für al-Mirghani vgl. Radike, 'Lehrer' 104

76 Das stellt auch Reinhard Schulze fest Islamischer Internationalismus im 20. Jahrhandert (Leiden 1990) 19; zur kritik an seiner darstellung vgl. Radike, Erleuchtung 61 f., weiteres A Sufi's Critique, Introduction.

konfrontiertsein mit der gegnerschaft des etablierten islams — des establishments der rechtsschulen — einerseits und des sogenannten fundamentalismus — der wahhäbiyya — andrerseits.<sup>77</sup>

<sup>74</sup> Radtke, 'Gesetz' a.n.o.

<sup>75</sup> Vg. Hassa al-'Altär, Risåla fi'l-ijithåd 103-4, ed. Knut S. Vikør, in Sources for Sanüri Studies (Bergen 1996). Er wirft Ahmad b. Idris vor, zu behaupten, im direkten mündlichen kontakt mit dem profeten zu stehen. Bereits der osmanische theologe Birgili aussert sich im 16 jb. [al-Tariqa at mahammadiyva wa l-sīra al ahmadiyva (Cairo 1379/1960). 3] über den anspruch gewisser sufis seiner zeit, emen direkten zugang zum profeten und zu Gott zu besitzen, sehr negativ. Die frage ist, ob er die meinung der sufis korrekt wiedergibt oder böswißig verdreht. — Zum ganzen auch A Safi's Critique, Introduction.

<sup>77</sup> Seit der erstfassung dieser arbeit sind über der jahre vergangen, in denen ich mehrere artikel zu einzelnen der hier angesprochenen themen veröffentlicht habe. Ihre bibliografie findet man in den fussioten.

П

## AL-ANDALUS, NORTH AFRICA, AND THE MIDDLE EAST

## OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN AL-ANDALUS

## MARIBEL FIERRO

The history of Islamic mysticism in al-Andalus still remains to be written, notwithstanding the availability of a growing number of formerly unknown sources, and the equally growing number of studies focusing on personalities, trends, or particular issues. This lack of a comprehensive survey of the history of Andalusian Sufism makes it difficult to deal meaningfully with its detractors. Yet, we feel it is possible to sketch some of the general trends by analyzing a number of specific cases of opposition in three different periods, viz. opposition to Muhammad b. Masarra and his followers in the Umayvad and Taifa periods; to Abū Hāmid al-Ghazālī, Abū'l-Hakam Ibn Barrajān, Abū'l-cAbbās Ibn al-cArīf and Abū'l-Qāsim Ibn Qasī in the Almoravid period; and to certain groups of Sulis in the Nașrid period.1 At the same time we will attempt to establish who the opponents of Sufism were: fuqahā', rulers, or common people, why they opposed Sufism or certain Sufis (for doctrinal, political or socio-economic reasons), and in what way their opposition was expressed (by literary, political and/or legal action).

The Arab and Berber warriors who had conquered the Iberian Peninsula at the end of the first/beginning of the eighth century, were neither ascetics nor propagators of values associated with asceticism. One of the first ascetic values to appear among the emerging group of the "ulamā"2 was the avoidance of whatever is illicit, in conjunction with the related ideal of restricting or avoiding contact with rulers (ingibad 'an al-sultan) 3 By the middle of the third/ninth century some Muslims were seeking more than a style of behaviour and general ethics. This is evident from what is known about the spread of certain new forms of religious practice and belief. As regards practice, some pious Muslims devoted themselves to the constant recitation of the Koran, to prayer and fasting in excess of what was prescribed, and to alms-giving. The combination of asceticism with an interest in theology, specifically Muctazili theology, as was characteristic of certain 'ulama' of the period, points to developments relative to religious belief.4

A pivotal religious scholar in the Umayyad period in al-Andalus is Muhammad b. Waddāh (d. 287/900), who was responsible for the first stage of integration of 'ilm al-hadīth into Māhkism. He travelled in the East in order to collect material on ascetics and asceticism, and he himself is described in the sources as leading an ascetic life and attracting a group of ascetics around him. His role should be assessed as one of 'Islamicizing' the ascetic tendencies of his contemporaries, who were Muslims of different ethnic origins. This process of 'Islamization' is of special interest in the case of a pupil of Ibn Waddāh, Asbagh b. Mālik (d. 299/911 or 304/916), a non-Arab

3 See M. Marin, 'Inqibid' 'an al-sultān: 'ulamā' and political power in al-Andalus', in Saber religiosa y pader político en el Islam (Madrid 1994) 127-140

<sup>1</sup> To attain a more comprehensive picture of Sufism in al-Andalus, Sufi opposition to non-Sufi groups and doctrines should also be studied. Appropriate texts for such a study are not lacking, for example, the writings of Ibn 'Abbād (see P Nwyia, Un mystique prédicateur à la Qarawīyīn de Fès: Ibn 'Abbād de Rondo (1332-1390) (Bearut 1961) 185; and some works of Muhyi'i-Dīn Ibn 'Arabi, Risālat al-quds, Spanish transl. M. Asin Palacios, Vidas de santones andoluces la 'Epistola de la santadad' de Ibn 'Arabi de Murcia (Madrid 1939; 2nd ed. Madrid 1981), English transl. R.W.J. Austin, Sufis of Andalusia. The Rüh al-quds and al-Durrat al-fäkhrah of Ibn 'Arabī (London 1971) 104-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> \*Abd al-Malik b. Habib al-Sulami (d. 238/852) wrote a Kudb al-wara' see J. Aguadé, 'El libro del escrápulo religioso (Kudb al-wara') de 'Abdalmalik b. Habib', in Actas del Xli Congreso de la U.E.A.l. (Málaga 1984) (Madrid 1986) 17-34. By contrast, Yahyā b. Yahyā al-Laythi (d. 234/838), Ibn Habib's contemporary and the transmitter of Mālik'a Mawajja', declared himself against chastity, extreme tawakkul and poverty, see al-Qāḍi "Iyāḍ, Tarīb al-madārik (Rabat 1983), iii, 379 He was mildly criticized by his disciple Sa'id b. Hassān al-Ṣā'igh, who seems to have taken ascetic values more semously. This difference between teacher and student may reflect their different social background, involving on the one hand the sūq (Sa'id b. Hassān) and on the other the khidma (Yahyā b. Yahyā,

<sup>4</sup> See M. Fierro, La heterodoxia en al Andalus durante el periodo ome ya (Madrid 1987) 49-53; idem, "Religious beliefs and practices in al Andalus in the third/minth century", Rivista degli Studi Orientali livi (1992) 18. On the combination of asceticism and Mu<sup>e</sup>tazilism, see S Stromesa, "The beginnings of the Mu<sup>e</sup>tazila reconsidered", Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam xiii (1990) 265-293.

of very recent conversion who never left al-Andalus. Asbagh also seems to have been known for his ascetteism outside his home town of Cabra. The fact that he is mentioned as having 'companions like monks' (kāna lahu ashāb ka'l-ruhban) suggests the possibility that his ascettersm was influenced by practices he observed among Christians 5 Ibn Waddah, who lived in Córdoba, was attracted by Asbagh's asceticism, and used to visit him in Cabra. Later, when Ibn Waddah returned from the East bringing with him akhbar about Muslim ascetics, it was Asbagh who joined him in Córdoba in what seems to have been an attempt to 'Islamicize' his own ascetic practices.6

Although Asbagh himself was an ascetic and not a mystic, we have information about several mystics who were his contemporanes.7 Most notable among them were Abū Wahb 'Abd al-Rahmān al-<sup>e</sup>Abbāsī al-Zāhid (d. 344/955),8 a non-Andalusī who settled in Córdoba, Abū Bakr Yumn b. Rizq al-Zāhid (d. before 322/933) who lived in Tudela in the Northern frontier region, and Maslama b. Qāsim al-Zayyāt al-Qurṭubī (293-353/906-64). Yumn b. Rızq was suspect for his beliefs (he was called sāḥib wasāwis), which he expounded in his Kitāb al-zuhd.9 This book, which has not come down to us, was censored by the Cordovan Ahmad b. Khālid Ibn al-Jabbāb (d. 322/934), the author of a refutation of Ibn Masarra (see below) Maslama b. Qasim al-Zayyat al-Qurtubi was a pupil of the eastern mystic Abū Sacid b. al-Acrabi (d. 341/952). This master had other pupils who, like Maslama, are described as being preoccupied with inner knowledge ('ilm al-batin).10 Maslama transmitted a work of the Egyptian mystic Dhū'l-Nūn al-Mişri and was considered suspect in his doctrines (he is called sahib ruqan wa-nīranjāt) 11 He seems to have been grappling with the difficulties of what Friedmann calls 'substitutes for prophecy' This problem arose from the need to keep open the channel linking the believers with God, and to give the assurance that 'the cessation of prophecy does not imply the disappearance of divine guidance for the Muslim community', since 'divine inspiration would find alternative ways to reach the community'.12 In the second half of the third/ninth century, Abū 'Alī al-Sarrāj, who seems to have been an Ismā'ili (perhaps Fāṭɪmɪd) propagandıst, presented himself as an ascetic. He dressed in wool (suf), wore sandals, rode a donkey, and preached jihād against the Christians. According to the sources, however, his real aim was to promote civil strife (fitna). He persuaded an Umayyad pretender, Ibn al-Qitt, to lead what turned out to be an unsuccessful rebellion in 288/900. Ibn al-Ont's followers were Berbers living in the Middle Frontier, who were attracted to him because of his predictions. Ibn al-Qitt presented himself as the Mahdi and claimed that God had granted him the power to perform miracles. His followers came to consider him a prophet.13 The sources describe him as a sha badh (magician) and kāhın (soothsayer). Before and after Ibn al-Qıtt's lifetime some Andalus Muslims are mentioned as being mujāb al-da'wa, people whose prayers are answered by God and therefore have the ability to perform miracles.14 Thus, at the beginning of the Islamization of al-Andalus, the repositories of religious authority for Muslim converts

<sup>5</sup> On the 'Christian' origins of Islamic mysticism, see J. Baldick, Mystical Islam. An introduction to Suffam (London 1989). Baldick does not mention M. Asin Palacios, who put forward the same view in his Et Islam cristianizado. Estudio del Sufismo a través de las obras de Abenarabt de Marcia (Madrid 1931), French transf. by B. Dubant (Paris 1982). For developments in Iraq similar to those of al-Andalus, see M.G. Morony, Iraq after the Muslim conquest (Princeton 1984) 448-453

See Fierro, 'Religious beliefs and practices' 30.

The first Andaiusi to be called suff in a biographical dictionary was 'Abd Allah b. Nasr (d. 315/927), see M. Marin, 'The early development of zuhd in al-Andalus', in Frederick De Jong (ed.), Shī'a Islam, Sects and Sufism. Historical dimensions, religious practice and methodological considerations (Utrecht 1992) 85

<sup>8</sup> See M. Marín, 'Un nuevo texto de Ibn Baškuwāl Ajbār Abî Wahb', Al-Qantara x

<sup>(1989) 385-403.</sup> 9 On Yumn b. Rizq, see M. A. Makki, Ensayo sobre las aportaciones orientales en la España musulmana y su influencia en la formación de la cultura hispana-árabe (Madrid 1968) 157, Fierro, Heterodoxia, index M Marín, 'Zuhhād de at Andalus (300-420/912-1029)', Al-Quantara XII (1991) 454. We do not know whether Yusun b. Rizq represented a 'local' Sufi tradition (the sources do not mention that he travelled to the East), whereas Abū Wahb seems to represent the introduction of Eastern (mainly Iraqi) Sufi tendencies. For a similar pattern, see J Chabbi. 'Zuhd et soufisme au Khurassan (IVe/Xe siècle)', in La signification du Bas Moyen Age dans i histoire et la culture du monde musulman. Actes du 8me Congrès de L'Union Europeenne des Arabisanis et Islamisants. Aix-en-Provence Septembre 1976 (Aixen-Provence 1978) 53-62.

<sup>10</sup> Fierro, Heterodoxia 130; M. Marín, 'Abû Sa'id Ibn al-A'rābī et le développement du şüfisme dans al-Andalus', Revue du Monde Musulman et de la Méditerranée ixin-iv (1992) 28-38.

<sup>11</sup> Fierro, Heterodoxia 129-130.

<sup>12</sup> See M. Fierro, 'The polemic about the karamist al-awtiva' and the development of Sofism in al-Andalus (4th-10th/5th-11th conturies)', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies Iv (1992) 246. The quotations are from Y Friedmann, Prophecy Continuous Aspects of Ahmadi Religious Thought and its Medieval Background (Berkeley/Los Angeles/London 1989).

<sup>13</sup> Fierro, Heterodoxía 106-111.

<sup>14</sup> See M. Marin's introduction to Iba Bashkuwal, Kitab al-mustaghithin bi'tlah (Madrid 1991) 59-65.

appear to have been local pious men, still rooted in the former religion (as in the case of Asbagh b. Mālik). In the towns, however, other figures of authority were emerging: the figh-oriented scholar, and the hadith-oriented scholar. Ibn Waddāḥ represented an attempt to combine both tendencies and to cultivate asceticism (zuhd) within the bounds of Islamic models. Abu Wahb, Yumn b. Rizq and Maslama b. Qāsim would seem to represent different (urban) Sufi trends, whereas Abū 'Alī al-Sarrāj embodies the possibilities of political action associated with belief in the mahdī or in saints.

The first Andalusi Sufi thinker whose system of thought and doctrine we know in some detail is Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah b. Masarra (d. 319/931).15 Ibn Masarra, who was a non-Arab, performed a rihla to the East where he came into contact with Sufi doctrines. After his return to al-Andalus, he settled in his village near Córdoba where his pupils used to visit him. 16 According to one source, the real purpose of Ibn Masarra's rihia to the East was to escape from an accusation of heresy (zandaga). Since he does not seem to have held any Sufi belief before his journey to the East, such an accusation, if true, may have been related to his penchant for kalām and his Muctazīlī ideas. These ideas were probably passed on to him by his father who is known to have had an interest in Mu<sup>c</sup>tazilism. In the East he became familiar with the works and Sufi doctrines of Dhū'l-Nūn and Abū Ya'qūb al-Nahrajūrī. Although the sources do not mention the influence of Sahl al-Tustari (d. 283/896),17 the latter's influence is clear in Ibn Masarra's preserved works. Addas, in her analysis of these works, concurs with Muhyi'l-Din b. al-"Arabī's view of Ibn Masarra as a Sufi.18 Tornero, however, finds that Ibn Masarra is primarily a philosopher who is very much influenced by Neo-Platonic doctrines, and whose principal aim is to show the concordance between the Koran and philosophy.

15 Two of his books were published by the Egyptian scholar Muhammad Kamal Ibrahim Jaffar 'Min mu'allafat Ibn Masarra al-mafqüda', Majallat Kulliyyat al-tarbiya (Tripoli), ui-iv (1972-4) 27-63, and Min al-turāth al-falsaft it-ibn Masarra (Cano 1402/1982).

Thus, his Risālat al i'tubār deals with the way in which man can know God through the signs inherent in created things. Ibn Masarra's Kitāb al-ḥurūf, in which he deals with the letters found at the beginning of some Koranic verses, does not aim at magical interpretation or predicting the future, but intends to show that the Koran is nothing less than an explanation of creation. Thus, man can achieve prophecy, not so much through spiritual purification, but through the speculative process. 19

Opposition to Ibn Masarra took the form of scholarly refutations both by eastern and Andalusi authors. 20 One of the latter was Ahmad b. Khalid Ibn al-Jabbāb al-Qurtubi (d. 322/934), who has already been mentioned as the censor of Yumn b. Rızq's Kitāb al-zuhd. He was a muhaddith who also wrote al-Radd 'ala Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah b. Masarra. Neither of these texts has come down to us. Since Ibn Masarra does not quote hadīth in his extant works, Ibn al-Jabbab's tract may have focused on this, and thus represent the mistrust some traditionists felt towards mystical experiences which side-stepped the narrative framework imposed by the hadith material. The eastern authors Abū Sarīd Ibn al-Arrābī (d. 341/952), and Ahmad b. Sālım (d. 356/967)21 also rejected Ibn Masarra's doctrine, which they must have known through their Andalusī pupils. These three authors probably refuted Ibn Masarra while he was still alive. Refutations such as Kitāb fī'l-radd 'alā lbn Masarra by the Cordovan Ibn Yabqā (d. 381/991) and a tract by the Cordovan grammarian al-Zubaydi point to the survival of Ibn Masarra's teachings after his death. None of these works have come down to us, but we do possess a fragment of the refutation written by Abū 'Umar al-Talamanki (d. 429/1037), himself inclined towards Sufism. In his refutation, also known as al-Radd 'ala'i-batintyya, al-Talamankî says that Ibn Masarra claimed to be a prophet (Ibn Masarra idda'ā 'l-nubuwwa wa-za'ama annahu sami'a l-kalām fa-thabata fi nafsihi annahu min

<sup>16</sup> For further information relevant to an assessment of Ibn Masanra's contribution to the development of Sufism in al-Andalus, see the studies by Marin, "The early development of zuhd' and 'Zuhhād de al-Andalus', and Fierro, 'Religious beliefs and practices' and 'The polemic about the karāmāt al-awliyā".

<sup>17</sup> On this Suff from Basia, see G. Böwering. The Mystical Vision of Existence in Classical Islam. The Qur'anic Hermeneutics of the Suff Sahl at-Tustari (d. 283/896) (Berlin/New York 1980).

<sup>18</sup> C. Addas, 'Andalusī mysticism and the rise of Ibu 'Arabi', in S.Kh. Jayyusi (ed.), The Legacy of Muslim Spain (Leiden 1992) 917 8.

<sup>19</sup> See E. Tornero, 'Noticia sobre la publicación de obras inéditas de Ibn Masarra', Al-Qanjara xiv (1993) 61 Concerning Neo-Piatonic influence on Sulism, see Baldick, op. cit. 21 Cf. V.J. Cornell, 'Mirrora of Prophethood: the Evolviag Image of the Spiritual Master in the Western Maghrib from the Origins of Sülism to the End. (Ph.D. Diss. Univ. of California, Los Angeles 1989) 173, who maintains that Neo-Platonic philosophy had no influence in the 200 ment of Sulism in al-Andalus.

<sup>20</sup> See Fierro, Heterodoxia 139, note 52 (those refutations are mentioned in Ibn al-Paradi's Ta'rith 'ulomā' al-Andalus in the biography devoted to Ibn Masarra).

<sup>21</sup> Ou Ibn Sāhm al-Tustari, see Bowering, op. cit 21-2, 92-9. He was a follower of Sahl al-Tustari like Ibn Masarra and leader of the Sufi group called al-Sāliniyya, see El, s.v. Sāliniyya.

'ind Allah), 22 Finally, there is evidence that a specific practice of Ibn Masarra (related to ittibā athār al-nabī) was refuted by his teacher Ibn Waddāh, 23

The criticism of Ibn Masarra's doctrines and practice that we have so far reviewed seems to have been a scholarly activity, undertaken without any prompting by the ruler and his delegates. I mentioned above that Ibn Masarra is described as having left the capital and retired to his village near Córdoba, where he was visited by his propagandists and students (du'atuhu wa-ashabuhu) and where he gave them instruction,24 thus implying that in his seclusion he could propagate his doctrine in a way that would have been impossible in Córdoba. It is also said that Ibn Masarra obliged his followers to keep his teachings secret.25 These precautions would explain the fact that he was neither persecuted nor prevented from proselytizing. Yet, it should be pointed out that the source which provides this information does so in the context of describing the persecution of 1bn Masarra's followers after his death. Thus, it may be an interpretation of Ibn Masarra's behaviour based on unjustified inference from later circumstances: his relative seclusion may have been due not to any fear of persecution but rather in conformity with his doctrinal views. On the other hand, Ibn Masarra was active during a period of intellectual ferment, when the 'ulama' were competing with one another in order to impose their preferred doctrines and to win the ruler's support. The major competition was that between the ahl alra'y and the ahl al-hadith, which led to the imprisonment and trial of a member of the ahl al-hadith, Baqi b. Makhlad, at the instigation of members of the other group. Ibn Masarra may well have chosen to withdraw from the arena where such contests were taking place, i.e. the political capital of al-Andalus, not so much out of fear for the emir's reaction, but rather to avoid clashes with his colleagues. It should be borne in mind that the second half of the third/ninth century was a period of political weakness on the part of the Umayyad emir.

After Ibn Masarra's death, his followers continued to propagate his teachings in secret among different social groups (khaṣṣa wa-'amma) both inside Córdoba and beyond. Towards the end of his

22 Fierro, 'The polemic about karamat al-awliya'' 247, note 103.

25 The same is said of the Salimnyya; see note 21.

reign, the Umayyad caliph 'Abd al-Rahmān III (300-50/912-61) ordered their persecution as heretics, apparently under pressure from those who represented 'orthodoxy' (ahl al sunna). Decrees of the caliph condemning Ibn Masarra's followers and demanding their repentance were read in the central mosque of Córdoba in 340/952, 345/956, and 346/957. These decrees were also dispatched to the provinces to be read in every town. At the same time, the caliph ordered his governors and military commanders to investigate the secrets of the Masarris and to draw up a list of the names of suspects to be transported to Córdoba, where those found guilty would be

punished.

In these caliphal decrees the Masarris are depicted as ascetic in external appearance, thus rendering them attractive to the populace, but as being able to hide their innovations because they lived in remote or secluded places. The impression one gets is that Masarris were both an urban phenomenon consisting of literate men who read books from which they derived their doctrines and who even produced their own books,26 as well as a rural phenomenon consisting of men who lived in remote places. The connection between the urban and the secluded Masarris escapes us; they may or may not have been the same persons. Accounts of their doctrines mention that they believed the Koran to be created, and denied repentance (akdhabū al-tawba) and intercession (abtalū al-shafā'a). Moreover, they engaged in polemics about the signs of God (aktharū al-jidāl fī āyāt Allāh) and undertook a false interpretation of the Traditions from the Prophet (harrafū al-ta'awwul fī hadīth rasūl Allāh).27 Other accusations leveled against them included insulting the salaf and not recognizing the legitimacy of the first three caliphs (this explains the insistence on the legitimacy of the Umayyads and the attack against the "Abbasids in the caliphal writings); refusing to return the salutation (salām) of the Muslims (which means that they considered those who did not share their beliefs to be infidels), practicing seclusion, and declaring that it was licit to shed the blood of the Muslims (which again means that they considered the 'others' to be infidels).28 The stress on the figure of the Prophet in the caliphal decrees

<sup>23</sup> See M Fierro, 'Una refutación contra Du Masarra', Al-Quajara x (1989) 273-5.

<sup>24</sup> Ibn Hayyan, Muqtabas, ed. P. Chalmeta, F. Cormente and M. Sobh (Madrid 1979); Iransl. M.J. Viguera and F. Corriente (Saragossa 1981) v. f. 11 (text 200transl. 26).

<sup>26</sup> For the 'urban' Masanis, see Fierro, Heterodoxa 137 140, 155-6, 166-8.

<sup>27</sup> See Figure, *Heterodoxia* 132-40. All this is not specifically Sufi. Different doctrines, including Mu<sup>\*</sup>tazilism, may have been lumped together under the label 'Masarri' or 'follower of Ibn Masarra'. On the other hand, Mu<sup>\*</sup>tazilis were not necessarily Masarris and, in fact, Mu<sup>\*</sup>tazilis (all of them belonging to the urban elites) were not persecuted.

<sup>28</sup> This attitude is related to their i'tizāl. They are never called 'Khārijites'

OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN AL-ANDALUS

against the Masarris could be understood as directed against Ibn Masarra's doctrine concerning the possibility of acquiring prophecy. But this doctrine is not specifically mentioned in the decrees.

The followers of Ibn Masarra who are known from the biographical dictionaries and considered to be 'ulamā' (like Ibn Masarra himself) were, so it seems, not persecuted. The only exception appears to be a case of persecution in Pechina (Almería), where what happened may be connected with the peculiar mode of Islamization of this town <sup>29</sup> In 350/961, the faqīh (later qadī) Ibn Yabqā granted a number of persons, who seem to have belonged to the Masarris of Córdoba, the opportunity to repent, and had their books burnt. <sup>30</sup> In the same period the Masarris, in their turn, attacked the Mālīkī fuqahā', whose doctrines had been accorded 'official status' by the caliph. They accused the Mālīkīs of having abandoned both Koranic and prophetic precepts, and forced them to defend their doctrine in scholarly debate, <sup>31</sup> which indicates that the Massarīs were still very active.

In spite of persecution, Ibn Masarra's followers did not disappear. 32 There was a community of them in Almería in the first half of the fifth/eleventh century. Their leader, Ismā'īl al-Ru'aynī, is described by Ibn Hazm as claiming prophecy, predicting future events, being considered *imām* of the community, receiving money (zakāt) from his followers as a consequence, and considering all those who did not adhere to his community to be unbelievers. 33

Thus, opposition to Ibn Masarra took the form of scholarly debate in a period of intellectual ferment marked by eschatological speculation.<sup>34</sup> Different, even mutually exclusive, views of Islam (ra<sup>3</sup>y, hadith, Mu<sup>4</sup>tazılısm, Sufism) were competing with one another.

29 See P Guschard. Les musulmans de Volence et la Reconquête (XIe-XIIIe siècles) (Damascus 1990-,99.) 279, 285

None managed to eliminate the others, primarily because the ruler, due to his political weakness, was motivated to keep the competition alive. Persecution began once political unity had been achieved, after the proclamation of the Umayyad caliphate when the new caliph became interested in imposing religious uniformity. This stage was marked by the execution of a son of 'Abd al-Rahmān III called 'Abd Allah al-Zāhid, who was a Shaficite. He was beheaded after having been accused of conspiring against his father. 35 Shāficism, which was closely linked with the aspirations of the ahl al hadīth, never recovered from this setback. The Mālikīs, the former ahl al-raby, were henceforth supported by the caliph. Two years later, the first edict against the followers of Ibn Masarra inaugurated the persecution.

As an intellectual, esoteric and rigoristic movement, Masarrism stressed the attainment of personal spiritual perfection through such means as living outside towns in secluded places where adherents were free from the direct control of the Muslim authorities,36 Their belief in the possibility of attaining prophecy, of having direct contact with God, could also lead them to dispense with the Prophet, whose heritage was distributed between the caliph and the 'ulamā'. Mistrust of the figure of the saint, especially when he was endowed with the power to perform of miracles, is evident in the scholarly debate that took place on this issue during the times of the vizier al-Mansur b. Abi 'Amir (second half of the fourth/tenth century). The debate degenerated into civil strife (fitna), and al-Mansur sent the 'ulama' involved into exile. It is clear that the figure of the saint was seen as a rival form of authority. One of the consequences of this episode was that the 'ulama' decided not to talk openly about the karāmāt al-awliyā2,37 This restraint is one of the reasons for the scarcity of biographical literature devoted specifically to the zuhhād, nussāk and Sufis in al-Andalus, many of whom were assimilated into the tabaqat al-'ulama' without specifying their 'saintly' attributes.

The Masarris considered themselves to be the true believers, and they were accused of denying 'fellowship' to the other Muslims. This could be seen as a potential cause for civil strife and political rebellion. The caliph, by dint of his right to decide with the help of

<sup>30</sup> A figure suspected of Masarrism was Mundhir b. Sa'id al-Ballūti, who held the post of judge of Córdoba when Ibn Yabqā persecuted the Masarris. The sources do not specify whether al-Ballūti was persecuted at this time but mention only that be was censored and punished at an unspecified moment.

<sup>31</sup> See M Fierro, 'Los mālikies de al-Andalus y los dos árbatros (al-hakamān)', Al-Oantara vi (1985) 79-102

<sup>32</sup> Fierro, 'Una refutación' Iba Yabqā himself conducted the funerary prayers for a

<sup>33</sup> See Fierro, Heterodoxia 166-8. We do not know whether the earlier Masarris also paid zakāt to their Imam.

<sup>34</sup> M. Fierro, 'Mahdisme et eschatologie dans al-Andalus', in A. Kaddouri, Mahdisme. Crise et changement dans l'histoire du Maroc (Rabat 1994) 49-50, 56-7

<sup>35</sup> See Fierro, Heterodoxia 127

<sup>36</sup> We do not know the nature of these 'secluded places'. The recently discovered rabita of Guardamar seems to belong to the caliphal period and may have been such a site; see R. Azuar, La rábita califal de las dunas de Guardamar (Alicante). Cerámica. Epigrafía. Fauna. Malacofauna (Alicante 1989). It is more likely, however, that it was an 'official' building.

<sup>37</sup> Fierro, "The polemic about the kardmit al-awliva" 249

the ahl al-sunna who is and who is not a Muslim, intervened to maintain both the unity of the community and his own authority. The actions against the Masarris were not indiscriminate attacks on Sufism, 38 but rather the outcome of a specific political and social constellation. Those who were only Muctazilis were not persecuted, nor were those who devoted themselves to asceticism, even in groups, Yaḥyā b. Mujahid b. Awāna al-Fazārī al-Ilbīrī or who held Sufi views as individuals.

Two of the most important representatives of Andalusi Sufism, Ibn Barrajān and Ibn al-'Arīf, died in 536/1141 after being recalled to Marrakesh by the Almoravid ruler, who obviously perceived some kind of threat from them.<sup>40</sup> Three years later (539/1144), the revolt of Ibn Qasī and his Sufi novices (murīdūn) took place in the West of al-Andalus. The spread of Sufism in al-Andalus represented by these figures has been explained as due to al-Ghazālī's influence,<sup>41</sup> which is also meant to have had an effect on Ibn Tümart,<sup>42</sup> the leader of the Almohad movement that put an end to Almoravid rule in the Maghreb shortly after Ibn Qasī's rebellion. Contrary to what Ası́n Palacios believed, Ibn Masarra's doctrines do not seem to have had any influence on those Andalusī Sufis.<sup>43</sup>

Sufism had spread in al-Andalus already during the first half of the fifth/eleventh century, as is clear from the attacks directed against it by Ibn Hazm (d. 456/1064). Its flourishing in the first half of the

sixth/twelfth century is the culmination of developments that had taken place during the fifth/eleventh.44 various works in the field of asceticism and mysticism, especially those of al-Qushayrī (d. 464/1072),45 were in circulation, and 'ulama' such as Abū 'Umar b. Lubb al-Ṭalamankī (d. 428/1036 or 429/1037), whose interest in uṣūl al-dīn and in Sufism were closely connected, aimed at the absorption of Sufism into mainstream Islam.46 At the same time, the scant information that can be gleaned from the sources reveals a variety of Sufi beliefs. Alongside the kind of 'orthodox' Sufism represented by al-Muḥāsibī and even by al-Qushayrī, other Sufi beliefs met with criticism or rejection. The latter included the belief that saints could perform miracles, that prophecy could be acquired through spiritual perfection; that saints could be superior to prophets; that some saints were exempt from performing the religious duties and could even perform forbidden acts; that saints beheld God and talked to Him.

Muḥammad b. cīsā al-Ilbīrī, an ascetic and preacher, stated that saints, like the Prophet, are not obliged to pay zakāt. Ibn Ḥazm, who heard al-Ilbīrī preaching in Almería, thought that his sermons were full of error, but did not dare to refute him out of fear that the populace (al-cāmma) would react violently against him. Ismācīl al-Rucaynī, the Masarrī leader of Pechina, also held 'unorthodox' views about zakāt and property. He maintained that zakāt had to be paid to him, and that every kind of property. in whatever way it was obtained, was contaminated, whereas only income necessary for daily sustenance was licit.

Thus, al-Andalus at the beginning of the sixth/eleventh century was characterized by an atmosphere which facilitated the reception of al-Ghazālī's works, especially the /hyā'. Indeed, two of the most influential fuqahā' of the period, al-Ṭurṭūshī (d. 520/1126) and Abū Bakr b. al-'Arabī (d. 543/1148), were attracted to al-Ghazālī's doctrines. They tried to imitate the /hyā'47 and later became its

<sup>38</sup> Political action, combined with ascenc and theological beliefs, could meet with direct responses as seen in the case of Abü'l-Khayr, during al-Hakam II's reign (350-66/961-76); see Fierro, Heterodoxia 151-2.

<sup>39</sup> E.g. the group of ascetics around Yahyā b. Mujāhid b. \*Awāna al-Fazāri al-libīrī (d. 366/976). On him see Maria, "Zuhhād" 457-9

<sup>40</sup> I will not deal with the third man persecuted at the time, Muhammad b. al-Husayn b. Ahmad b. Yahyā b. Bishr al-Anṣārī al-Mayūrqi, a Zāhiri, as I am not convinced he was inclined towards Sufism. My impression is that he was associated with mysticism simply because he was persecuted together with Ibn al-'Arīf and Ibn Barrajān.

<sup>41</sup> See for example A. Bel, 'Le sufisine en Occident musulman au XIIe et au XIIIe stècle de J.C.', Annales de l'Institut d'Études Orientales (Alger) i (1934-5) 145-161, and A. Demerseeman 'Le Maghreb a t-tl une marque ghazzalienne?', IBLA locani (1958) 109-16.

<sup>42</sup> On such influence see the recent studies (where mention can be found of previous works) by A. ben Hammadi, 'Encore sur la rencontre entre Gazáli et Ibn Tilmart', IBLA civi (1985), 32 9, D. Urvoy, 'Les divergences théologiques entre Ibn Tümart et Gazáli', Mélanges offerts à Mohamed Talbi à l'occasion de son 70e anniversaire, vol. ii (La Manouba 1993) 203-212. I agree with those scholars who think that the encounter between Ibn Tümart and al-Ghazáli is legendary

<sup>43</sup> In this connection see Addas, 'Andalusi mysticism', 925.

<sup>44</sup> This overview of Sufism during the lifth/eleventh century follows M. Fierro, 'Religión', in Los Reinos de Taifas Historia de España fundada por R. Menéndez, Pidal y dirigida por J.M. Jover (Madrid 1994) viti/1, 437

See J.M. Vizcaíno, "Las obras de zuhd en al-Andalus". Al-Quatura xil (1991) 417-38.
 See M. Fierro, "El proceso contra Abil "Umar al-Talamanki a través de su vida y de su

obra', Sharq al-Andalus ix (1992) 93-127

<sup>47</sup> On their imitations see al-Turtüshl, Kitāb al-hawādith wa'l-bida' (El libro de las novedades y las innovaciones), traducción y estudio por M. Fierro, Fuentes Arabico Hispanas 14 (Madrid 1993) 73-75. Hereafter quoted as Fierro, 'Estudio al-Turtüši.

critics.48 Their contemporary, the Cordovan qadi Ibn Ḥamdin (d. 508/1114) is alleged to have written a tract, which has not come down to us, refuting al-Ghazalı. He is held responsible by some for the burning of al Ghazālī's writings.49 Yet, other sources mention that the Almoravid sultan, "Alī b Yūsuf b. Tashufin (500-37/1106-43), ordered the book burning in 503/1109, and threatened all those who possessed al-Ghazāli's books with death and confiscation of their property. 50 Abū'l-Hasan al-Barji (d. 509/1115),51 a faqih from Almería, wrote a fatwa opposing the burning, which shows that the fuqaha' were not a cohesive group in their attitude towards al-Ghazālī's works. Later on, in 538/1143, there was another move against al-Ghazālī's Ihyā', this time clearly instigated by the ruler.52 Al-Qādī 'Iyād (d. 544/1149) and Ibn Hirzihim (d. 559/1165) issued fatwas in favour of burning the lhyar.53 Al-Turtūshi, at some point in his life, also declared himself in favour of burning al-Ghazāli's books the same way the Prophet's Companions had burned those copies of the Koran which deviated from 'Uthman's copy.54

The context in which Ibn Barrajān, Ibn al-'Arif, and Ibn Qasī were acive was therefore familiar with Sufi trends, be it in favour or against. Both Abū'l-Ḥakam b. Barrajān and Abū'l-'Abbās b. al-'Arif

48 For another alleged refutation of al-Ghazáli written by Muhammad b. Khalaf al-Awsi (d. 537/1142) see D. Urvoy, 'Le manuscrit ar. 1483 de l'Escurial et la polémique contre Gazáli dans al-Andalus', *Arabica* xl (1993) 114-119.

were 'second-generation' Andalusis, their fathers having come to al-Andalus from North Africa.55 Ibn Barrajān was active in Seville and Ibn al- Arīf in Almería. Both were literate and wrote books in which they presented their doctrines; but neither appears to have received training as a fagih.56 Ibn Barrajan wrote a Tafsīr al-qur'ān from a Sufi perspective, which contained predictions of future events (like the conquest of Jerusalem by Salah al Din), and a commentary on the names of God,57 a subject which interested Sufis who wished to acquire the qualities and characteristics inherent in those names. Ibn Barrajān is said to have been considered imām in 130 villages,58 which probably refers to spiritual leadership, not leadership of an actual political rebellion. But even if his imamate were only religious, the considerable extent of his influence would have been perceived by the political authorities as dangerous. This would explain why Ibn Barrajan was recalled to Marrakesh by the Almoravid sultan, at a date when the Almohads were threatening the Almoravid empire in the Maghreb.

Ibn al-°Arif considered Ibn Barrajān as his teacher and addressed him in his letters as shaykhī wa-kabīrī. Other teachers of Ibn al-°Arīf were al-Barjī, who had opposed the burning of al-Ghazālī's works, and "Abd al-Baqī b. Muḥammad b. Burriyāl, a pupil of al-Talamankī, a scholar of Sufī tendencies who had been persecuted as the leader of his community of followers. 59 Ibn al-°Arīf obviously had spiritual authority over all those who wrote to him asking for advice. 60 His followers appear to have been mostly city-dwellers, who sought spiritual advice on such questions as the coming of the

<sup>49</sup> The Banii Hamdin were an important family of Córdoba, with many qadis among its members. Abii "Abd Alläh Muḥammad b. "Ali b. Ḥamdin (439-508/1060-1114) is usually identified as the refuter of el-Ghazāli, because his dates match the date of the reaction of al-Barji (d. 509/1115). However, Muḥyi "I-Din b. al-"Arabi identifies Ibn Ḥamdin"s son, Abii "I-Qisim Aḥmad b. Muḥammad (d. 521/1127) as the 'Ibn Ḥamdin' who wrote a refutation of al-Ghazāli and was responsible for the burning of the latter's books; see RūhiDurra, no. 40.

<sup>50</sup> See al-Marrakushi, Mu'fib, ed. R. Dozy (Leaden 1881) 123; transl. E. Fagnan (Alger 1893) 148-9. See also S. Ghrāb, 'Hawin iḥrāq al-murābitin Iḥyō' al-Ghazāli', in Acras dei IV Coloquio Hispano-Tunecino (Palma de Mallorca, 1979) (Madrid 1983) 133-63. Ghrāb doubts that the burning really took place.

<sup>51</sup> See M. Asíu Palacios, Abenmasarra y en escuela. Orígenes de la filosofía hispanomusulmana (Madrid 1914); teps. in vol. i of M. Asín Palacios, Obras escogidas (Madrid 1946) 1-216; teps in M. Asín Palacios, Tres estudios sobre pensamiento y mística hispanomusulmanes (Madrid 1992); The Mystical Philosophy of Ibn Masarra and His Followers, transl by E.H. Dougles and H.W. Yoder (Leiden 1978) 109, note 1.

<sup>52</sup> Letter of Tāshufin b. 'Ali to the people of Valencia, edited by H. Mu'nis, 'Nusūs siyāsiyya 'an fatrat al-intiqāl mm al-murābuju ilā'l-muwahhndin', Revista del Instituto Egipcio de Estudios Islāmīcos ili (1955) 107-113, and analyzed by D. Cabanelas, 'Notas para la historia de Algazel en España', Al-Andalus xvn (1952) 223-232.

<sup>53</sup> See al Zabīdī al Murtadā, hhāf sādat al mutaqīn (Carro 1893) 1, 27

<sup>54</sup> Fierro, Estudio al Turtusi 64

<sup>55</sup> Much scholarship on Islam in al-Andalan assumes either explicitly or implicitly that within the Andalan population the Berbers were more eithered to 'heterodox' beliefs than the Arab or native elements. Ethnic background, however, is often unknown, and social background would no doubt be a more relevant variable to consider Ibu Barrajān and Ibn al-'Artif were only second generation Andalusis and therefore did not belong to an established clite concerned to perpetuate old ways of doing things Furthermore, the Sufi Ibn Qasī was of local origin and his doctunes were more extremist than those of Ibn Barrajān and Ibn sl-'Artif

<sup>56</sup> Ibn al-'Axif was included by Ibn Bashkuwäl in his jabaqār of Andalusi scholars. Ibn Bariajān was not, but was 'integrated' later in Ibn al-Abbār's work.

<sup>57</sup> The commentary has been edited by Purification de la Torre as a Ph D. Disa in the University Complutense of Madrid (1996), under the supervision of M.J. Viguera.

<sup>58</sup> See al Sha'rāni, al Tabaqār al kubrā (Cauro 1299) i, 15, and Addas, 'Andalusī mysticism' 921

<sup>59</sup> On him, see the reference in note 46.

See his Miftäh al-sa'dda for some of these rasā'il.

Mahdi61 and the obedience due to the sultan.62 Ibn al 'Arif seems to have been persecuted because of the denunciation of the qadi of Almería, Ibn Aswad, who probably allerted the ruler to Ibn al-Arif's connection with Ibn Barrajan and the extent of his authority.

A contemporary of Ibn al- Arif and Ibn Barrajan was Abu'l-Qasim Ibn Qasi (d. 546/1151). He was of muwallad origin and had been a tax-collector for the Almoravids. One day he sold his possessions, distributed the money as alms and took up a life of wandering. Eventually, he founded a rābita in Jilla (in present-day southern Portugal) where he gathered his muridun. Nothing is known about his teachers, although he seems to have known al-Ghazăli's doctrines.63 He rejected both reason and figh and stated that prophecy should be periodically revitalized by means of sanctity.64 He taught that the authenticity and legitimacy of the imām maeşūm would not be based on the latter's genealogy, or his efficient organization, but would be due to the qualities of his character.65 lbn Qasi preached the coming of the Mahdi, and apparently he at first claimed to be the

Mahdi himself, though after his contact with the Almohads he renounced this claim. He also wrote a tract entitled Kitāb khalc al na layn, which gives prominence to eschatological elements. 66 Some sources say that he performed miracles.67 Ibn Oasi led a rebellion in Mértola in 539/1144 and ruled for one year. He then lost his position to a political, not a religious leader, and sought refuge with the Almohads, with whom he returned to al-Andalus in 541/1147. A few years later he tried to make an alliance with the Christians and was killed in 546/1151 by some of his own followers. At the beginning of his rebellion he had followers in many parts of al-Andalus. The threat which his revolt posed to the traditional forms of political legitimacy and authority is underscored by the fact that the revolt of the judge Ibn Hamdin in Córdoba in 539/1145, which provoked a series of rebellions against the Almoravids in other towns, took place after Ibn Qasi's revolt and seems to have been motivated by a desire

to stop Sufis of Ibn Qasi's type from seizing power.

Ibn Qasi's abandonment of his worldly career in favour of what appears to have been a quest for the spiritual, is not unique for the period but accords with a well-established pattern found among his contemporaries. One of his followers. Ibn al-Mundhir, had been trained as a faqih and was a qadı under the Almoravids, but he also abandoned his previous life-style and retired to a ribāt near the sea in Silves.68 Ibn al-Hāji al-Lūrqī (d. circa 550/1155)69 had served the Almoravids in Marrakesh as secretary, but ended by disliking this form of service. After his return to his home town, Murcia, he devoted himself to an ascetic life, maintained contact with the mystics (fuqarā<sup>2</sup>) and corresponded with Ibn al-cArīf. During the revolt against the Almoravids, he was proclaimed amir of Murcia and

<sup>61</sup> See Ibn al- Arif. Miftāh 212-3. Ibn al- Arif's opinion was that 'No judicious man.. and no Muslim who is not weak[-minded] is in favour of striking at the government and waiting for a mahdi to come and reform it' (Addas, 'Andalusi mysticism' 923). There is more evidence for the spread of the belief in the coming of the mahdi. Ibn Qasi's doctrines; a pupil of Ibn al-"Arif, "Auq b. "Îsă b. Ahmad al-Khazraji (d. 548/1153) wrote a Rusăla fi 'I-fitan wa' Iashrāt (see Khayr al-Dīn al-Zirikli, al-A'lām iv. 202); al-'Uryābi, a teacher of Muhyi'l-Din Iba gl-'Arabi, thought that he had recognized al-mahdi in one of his contemporaries. For an attempt to explain why al-Andalus forms part of eschatological geography but is not the place where the mahdi will appear, see Fierro, 'Mahdisme' 64.

<sup>62</sup> Ibn al- Arif, Mifiah 170, 174, 179.

<sup>63</sup> See A.E. Afifi (al-"Afifi), "Abb l-Qasim Iba Qasi wa-kitabuhu Khal" al-na laya", Majallot Kulliyyat al-adab (Alexandria) xi (1957) 53-87; as well as the more apanced view in D R. Goodrich, A Sufi revolt in Portugal Ibn Qasî and his Kitâb Khal' al-na'layn (Ph.D. Diss. Columbia University 1978) 44. Ibn Qasi was thought to have been a pupil of Ibn al- Arif, but the evidence shows that this was not the case. Nor was he a pupil of Ibn Barrajin. His correspondence, as well as that of his follower Ibn Mundhir, with Ibn al- Arif (years 525-29/1131-35) dates from the period when Ibn Qasî was already a Sufi and a person of influence in his region. See P. Nwyia, 'Notes sur quieques fragments inédits de la correspondance d'Ibn al "Arif avec Ibn Barraján", Hespéris xiñi (1956) 217-221, and his article in al-Abhāth xxvii (1978-9) 43-56

<sup>64</sup> Cornell, Mirrors 178, quoting Ibn Khaldun

<sup>65 1</sup> Dreher, 'L'imamat d'Ibn Qasi à Mértola (automne 1144-été 1145): Légrimité d'une domination soufie?', Mélanges de l'Institut Dominicain d'Études Orientales du Caire xviii (1988) 203 ('Ainst l'imâmat d'après Ibn Qasi repose sur l'expérience subjective de la vocation recue sous forme d'une vision or sudrion et sur les qualités objectivement constatables de l'imam') See also V Lagardière, 'La Tariqu et la révolte des Munitin en 539H/1144 en al-Andalus, Revue de l'Occident Musulmane et la Méditerranée xxxv (1983) 157-70.

<sup>66</sup> Ibn Oasi's doctrine shows traces of syncretism. For example, he believed that at the beginning of the hereafter, Jesus and John the Bapust will accompany Muhammad on the pulpu. The unusual practice of Iba Qasi's followers of having the preacher of the Friday sermon flanked by two men, one on each side, seems to be based on this belief; Goodneh, A Sufi Revolt 45, 56-7 As a Sufi thinker, he was judged rather negatively by other Sufis; see Addas, 'Audalusi mysticism' 927

<sup>67</sup> Dreher, 'L'imamat d'Ibn Qasi' 210, note 47, quoting Ibn al-Khatib, A'mdl (Ibn Qasi performed the pilgrimage during the night, communicated in the form of munajat with whomever he washed, etc. ).

<sup>68</sup> See A. Sidarus, 'Novos dados sobre Ibn Qasi de Silves e as taifas almorávidas no Gharb al-Andalus', in I Jornadas de Silves Actas. Silves (3 e 4 de Setembro 1992) (Silves 1992) 35-40

See M. Fierro, 'The gadi as ruler', in Saber religioso y poder político (Madrid 1994,

acknowledged Ibn Hamdin, but soon renounced any political commitment. 'Abd al-Ghafūr b. Ismā'īl b. Khalaf al-Sakūnī studied with Ibn al- Arif and Ibn Barrajan. Although Abd al-Ghafur was a member of an important family of Niebla, he chose to live with the bare necessities, giving away any surplus to the poor; he performed miracles and his prayers were answered by God. He travelled to the East around the year 540/1145, apparently in order to escape from the civil strife of the time. 70 In line with this pattern of spiritual quest, even the Almoravid ruler Tashufin b. 'Alī b. Yūsuf (537-39/1143-45 or 541/1147) is described as being inclined towards Sufism and as having read the writings of the muridum.71

By way of explaining the appeal of Sufism during the latter days of the Almoravid Empire, I would like to make the following observations. Fear and a sense of insecurity spread as the Almoravids proved unable to stop the Christian conquest, while simultaneously military requirements (both offensive and defensive) led to an increase of the same illegal taxes the Almoravids had promised to abolish when they dethroned the Taifa kings. This was accompanied by a concern for deeper religious experience. The spiritual crisis and the resulting search for new types of religious authority appear to be due to the failure of the 'ulama' to meet the high expectations of moral renewal which the Almoravids had aroused, even if the 'ulamā' themselves had experienced and were experiencing many changes.72 There was disillusionment with existing patterns of life and that distillusionment was not being remedied by traditional forms of authority. There was a social need for a new type of authority, the Sufi master, in opposition to the traditional authorities of the scholar ('ālim) or the faqih, and that need spread from the towns (where Ibn Barrajān and Ibn al- Arīf were active) to the countryside where their influence was also felt. If the Sufi master met the need for a new type of authority, it was partly because he embodied certain moral qualities, whereas the 'ulama' and fugaha' were criticized for the fact that they did not lead a model virtuous life, which weakened their position as interpreters of God's law.73 But this shift was also partly due to a reaction against the impersonal and institutional framework of figh-oriented religious observance. This view of religion was becoming replaced by a search for a personal link between creature and creator (dreams, miracles, visions) embodied in the Sufi master. The latter had the additional advantage of belonging to two worlds: on the one hand, he had access to the 'high tradition' of the 'ulama' and on the other he was closer to practices and beliefs of the 'little tradition' of the people. It is in this period as well that we find the first examples of Sufis developing views concerning social problems.74

After this overview of Andalusi Sufism during Almoravid and Almohad times, we shall now analyze the criticism made against al-Ghazali, Ibn Barrajan, Ibn al-cArif and Ibn Oasi. The specifics of al-Turtūshī's criticism of al-Ghazālī are found in his two works, Risāla ilā 'Abd Allāh b. al-Muzaffar and Kitāb al-asrār wa'l-'ibar.75 He reproaches al-Ghazālī for quoting weak ahādīth of doubtful veracity; for not clearly stating the necessity of 'ilm al-tawhld; for being influenced by the Rasa'il Ikhwan al-safa', by philosophy and by al-Hallaj. Moreover, he criticized al-Ghazali for maintaining that the Sufi form of listening to music (samā') is permissible, 76 and for the

73 The lack of legitimacy of the rulers also contributed to a decrease in the authority of religious scholars. For example, the ruler's failure in jihād negatively affected the status of jurists and scholars who received part of their income from the government

<sup>70</sup> Ibn al-Zubayr, Silat ol-sila, ed. "Abd al-Salām al-Harrās and S. A'rāb (Rahat 1414/1994) pv. 38, no 50.

<sup>71</sup> He is also said to have visited the tomb of Abū Wahb (the Sufi of the fourth/tenth century mentioned above): see Kassis, "Iyad's doctrinal views' 51 The Almoravid ruler Täshofin is the author of the letter against al-Ghazālī sent to the people of Valencia (see note 52). This indicates that enticism of al-Ghazālī did not necessarily go hand in hand with rejection of Sufism.

<sup>72</sup> The best example is Abū Bakr b al- Arabī

<sup>74</sup> Sec F. Rodríguez Mañas, 'Hombres santos y recaudadores de impuestos en el occidente musulmán (VI-VIII/XII-XIV)', Al-Qantara xii (1991) 479, C de la Puente, 'La familia de Abû Îshâq Îbu al-Hâ\*\* de Velefique', in Estudios onomásticos-biográficos de al-Andolus v., ed. M. Marin and J. Zapón (Madrid 1992) 316. In the sixth/twelfth century, there was not only tension between Sufism and figh-oriented Islam, but between Sufism and philosophy as well. On the opposition between the Andalusi philosophers (Ibn Bājja, Ibn Tufayl, Ibn Rushd, etc.) and Sufism, see E. Tornero, 'La filosofía', in Historia de España fundada por Ramón Menéndez Pidal y dirigida por J. Jover Zamora, ed. J. Vigueta (Madrid 1997) 587-602. Philosophy also influenced Sufism. Some Sufis, on the other hand, were Suspicious of those involved in 'ulum al-awa'il

<sup>75</sup> See Ghráb, 'Hawle' 139-141 and 158-163; and Ficuro, Estudio Turtūši 61-4, no 19. for the Risāla; and al-Manūnī's article, 'Iliyā' 'olūm al din fi manzūr al-gharb al-islāmi ayyām al-murābitin wa'l-muwahindin', in Abū Hāmid al-Ghazāli (Rabat 1988) 125-137

<sup>76</sup> Al-Tortushi devoted one of his books to condemning the sama. See on this issue A. Gribetz, 'The sama' controversy: Sufi vs. legalist', Studia Islamica lxxxv (1991) 43-62 Gribetz's study shows how the sama" was not generally accepted among the Sufis either. One of the dangers of samar from the jurist's point of view was that it might supersede organized Prayer, apart from the fact that samā' sessions are usually accompanied by other forbidden

objectionable material in some parts of the *Iḥyā*, such as in the sections devoted to the miracles of prophets and saints. Al-Ghazālī, according to al-Ṭurtūshī, ceased to be an 'ālim but did not become a Sufi, since he did not understand Sufism. For his part, Abū Bakr b, al-'Arabi, in his Sirāj al-muridīn, attacked al-Ghazālī for using Sufi concepts such as 'isha<sup>77</sup> and shawa that lack a basis in the sharia.<sup>78</sup> Ibn Hamdīn's refutation and other contemporary texts are unfortunately lost.<sup>79</sup>

Modern scholars have advanced a variety of reasons for the opposition of the fuqahā' to al-Ghazālī's works. It should be pointed out, however, that the implied generalization is misleading: some fuqahā' opposed his works, while others did not. In Urvoy's view, al-Ghazālī's works were subjected to a syncretistic form of interpretation which was unacceptable to the Almoravids, apart from the fact that al-Ghazālī's position was rather eclectic to begin with. 30 A. ben Hammadi wonders whether burning al-Ghazālī's works was not due more to the fact that he was a Shāfi'sī than to his being a Sufi. 31 According to other scholars, the opposition of the fuqahā' to al-Ghazālī was probably determined by the latter's attacks against the fuqahā', accusing them of corruption and of cooperation with unjust rulers. 32 Another explanation is that al-Ghazālī was seen as con-

practices Soc also L. Pouzet, 'Prises de position autour du samé\* en Orient Musulman au VIIe/XIIIe stècle', Studia Islamica Ivit (1983) 119-134.

77 For the rejection of this concept, see J N. Bell, Love Theory in Later Hanbalite Islam

(Albany 1979) 24-5

79 Except for the text studied by Urvoy, see note 48.

81 A. ben Hammadi, 'Hawla murtir Ibn Tümart be'l-Andalus fi tariquhi dä'l-Mashriq'. Deräsät andalusiyya vi (1411/1991) 20. nected with Ibn Tūmart,83 the leader of the Almohad movement which put an end to Almoravid rule in the Maghreb — Ibn Tumart having learnt from al-Ghazāli the doctrine of al-amr bi'l ma'rūf.84 In any case, the idea that it was primarily an opposition on the part of the Mālikī fuqaha', which in turn determined the Almoravid rulers' opposition, seems to predominate. My own view, however, is that the fuqahā' and the rulers were concerned with different things.

As for the opposition of a number of Maghribī  $fuqah\bar{a}^3$ , they judged that certain of al-Ghazali's dogmatic positions were dangerous. They were especially disturbed by his acceptance of particular religious practices deemed suspect by Mālikīs (as shown in al-Turtūshī's criticism), as well as by his different criteria for ascertaining truth and knowledge. Thus, their opposition to al-Ghazālī points to specific areas of conflict between jurists and Sufis. At the same time, however, not every  $faq\bar{i}h$  or 'ālim agreed on the importance of such areas of conflict and on how to deal with them (cf. the example of al-Barjī, the  $faq\bar{i}h$  of Almería, who opposed burning books by al-Ghazālī, mentioned above).

The variety of attitudes among the fuqahā' should not be overlooked, or for that matter the fact that during the same period the tendency towards assimilation of Sufism by the ulama continued. This is indicated by the incorporation of Sufi books into the 'reading lists' of the ulama<sup>86</sup> and by the emergence of fuqahā' with leanings towards Sufism,<sup>87</sup> although Muḥyi'l-Din b. al-'Arabī (d. 638/1240) considered the compound labels 'traditionist (muḥaddith) and Sufi'

<sup>78</sup> See Ibn al. 'Artf, Miftäh al-sa'āda wa-taḥqīq tarīq al-sa'āda, ed. 'Iṣmat 'Abd al-Laṭif Dandash (Betrut 1993) 47. Abū Bakr b. al-'Arabi would also have objected to al-Chazāli's acceptance of samā', since he disapproved of music in general, as a qadi, Abū Bakr b. al-'Arabi ordered the cheeks of a flutist to be perforated.

<sup>80</sup> D. Urvoy, Le monde des ulémas andalous du V/XIe au VII/XIIIe stècle (Geneva 1978) 129-131; idem, Pensers d'al-Andalus. La vie intellectuelle à Cordoue et Sevilla au temps des Empires Berbères (fin XIe stècle - début XIIIe stècle) (Toolouse 1990) 167-175. Cf. J. Puig, 'Ibn Rushd versus al-Ghazáti. Reconsideration of a Poleunc', The Muslim World IXXXII (1992) 1.3-31

<sup>82</sup> This seems to be the predominant view, see e.g. Nwyra, the "Abbād 150; and F. Rodríguez Mañas, El sufismo en Morraecos en los siglos XI-XIII- aspectos sociales y políticos (Ph D Diss Univ Complutense Madrid 1992). A more muanced discussion is found in Guichard, Les musulmans de Valence 85. I find this position unconvincing, since al-Ghazālī's attacks on the fuquhā' did not stop some of them, e.g. nl-Turṭūshi and Abū Bakr b. al-"Arabī, from being attracted to his doctrines. When they criticized al-Ghazālī later, it was because they thought his doctrines were dangerous both in theory and in practice, not because they felt

under attack for being 'corrupt' and 'collaborators'. The latter charge had been made against the fuquhā' by non-Sufis and Sufis alike in the past; moreover, it was being made by contemporaries and would continue to be made to the future.

<sup>83</sup> See the articles by A. ben Hammadi and D. Urvoy mentioned in footnote 42, also for references to earlier publications.

<sup>84</sup> See M. García-Arenal, 'La práctica del precepto de al-amr bi-l-ma rúf wa-l-nahy 'an al-munkar en la hagiografía magrebi', Al-Qantara xnt (1992) [47-170]

Muhyi'i-Din b. al-'Arabi stated that a saint can accept a hadith considered apocryphal by the scholars and reject a hadith they considered authentic. He can do so because he is endowed with an internal certainty such as the Propiet had, see C. Addas, Ibn 'Arabi on La quête du Sonfre Rouge (Paris 1989) 127.8, who presents a clear example of this procedure followed by saints, contrary to the training of the fugahā'.

<sup>86</sup> Sec Vizcalno, op. cit.

<sup>87</sup> See the figures given in Urvoy. Le monde des ulemas. The detailed study of the specific cases still remains to be carried out. See also the emergence of sitsilas which combine Sufis and fugahā? (for example, a silsila which mentions al-Ghazāli and his two opponents and former admirers. Abô Bakr b. al-'Arabi and Iba Eurzhim).

and 'jurist (faqīh) and ascetic' to be truly amazing combinations. 88 The process of assimilation progressed during the Almohad period, as illustrated by the efforts of an 'alim like Ibn Bashkuwāl (d. 578/1183) to integrate the ulama into the new forms of religious authority which had less to do with 'ilm than with the ability to manipulate divine forces, 89 and to popularize practices usually associated with Sufis 90 The portrayal of ulama with 'saintly' attributes is also to be found in the work by Tāhir al-Ṣadafī (alive between 552-72/1157-77). 91 This book, together with the Ruh al-quds and al-Durra al-fākhira by Muhyī'l-Dīn b. al-'Arabī (d. 638/1240), allow us to penetrate the world of Andalusī Sufis and to see them in action. 92

A striking indication of this process of convergence and assimilation is a fatwa by the Mālikī faqīh Ibn Rushd al-Jadd (d. 520/1126), in which he discusses the categories of al-'ārifūn bi'llāh and al-'ārifūn bi-ahkām Allāh<sup>93</sup> as found in al-Ghazālī's Ihyā'. For al-Ghazālī, the former (the Sufis) have precedence over the latter (the jurists), and Ibn Rushd does not disagree, though he is himself a Mālikī and a faqīh.<sup>94</sup> Ibn Rushd, of course, would have disagreed

88 Muhyi'l-Din b. al-'Arabi, Rah/Durro no 38, 39, 45, 56. On this issue also see Bel, 'Le süfisme' 153. A pupil of Iba 'Abbād, Yaḥyā al-Sarrāj (d. 803/1400 or 805/1403) was never able to conciliate his activities as a traditionist and as a Sufi. see Nwysa's book on Iba 'Abbād al-Rundi

89 Ibn Bashkuwā) wrote books on the excellent qualities of a number of scholars from al-Andalus (the jurists Shabtūn and al-Qanāzi\*i, and the ascetic/mystic Abū Wahb) and from the East (al-A'mash, ibn al-Mubārak, Ibn 'Dyayna, the Māliki jurists Ibn al-Qāsim and Ismā'il al-Qāḍi, and the mystic al-Muḥāsibi). In addition, he wrote a variety of books with the aim of conveying knowledge about the special powers of scholars (e.g. his Kitāb al-mustaghithin). He also collected criticism against the Sulis, see for example the biography of Husayn b. al-Hasan al-Dunyāti in his Sila

90 See C. de la Puente's study in her edition of Ibn Bashkuwâl's Kitāb al-qurba ilā rabb al-'ālamīn (El acercamento a Dios) (Madrid 1998). This trend had already been started by Ibn Bashkuwāl s teacher, Abū 'Alī al-Sudafi (d. 514/1120).

91 Kutāb al-sırr al-maşūn funā ukrima bihi al-mukhlaşūn, contents and analysts given by F Meier, 'Tähir os-Şadafis vergessene Schrift über westliche Heilige des 6./12. Jahrhunderts', Der Islam İxi (1984) 14-90. H. Fethat has prepared an edition of the text and published 'As-sırr al-maşūn de Tähir al-Şadafi un itinéraire mystique au XIIe siècle', Al-Qantara xvi (1995), 273-288

92 On the use of Muhyi'l-Dîn b. al 'Arabi's material see Addas, 'Ibn 'Arabi' 43, 151, 165-6, 197 8, 201-2, 206-7, 214, 223, 230, 233-4, 261 and 283-4, 264.

93 Fatāwā, ed. al-Mukhtār b. al-Tāhir al-Takili (Berrut 1987) mi, 1624-29, no. 642.

94 One of the arguments for the precedence of saints over scholars is that saints can perform nuracles and therefore are superior to fuquhā? Ibu Rushd had previously established that such miracles do exist, see Fierro, "The potente about karāmāt al-awhyā?" 239-242.

had the saints been given precedence over prophets, as that could be viewed as a way 'to open the gate to rejection of Islamic law, seen as founded by Muhammad'.95 That the ulama were aware of this danger is shown by the fact that the Māliki al-Oādi "Iyād found it necessary to write a book which was to become one of the most influential works in Islamic societies: his al-Shifa' bi-ta'rif huaua al-Mustafa. The objective of this work was to defend the privileges of the Prophet and to establish clearly his rank with regard to competing figures of authority.% The fugahā3 were fearful that Sufis would be channels for the penetration of the values and interests of the 'little tradition' into the 'great tradition'. What we have here, therefore, is a scholarly debate over religious authority. The debate was about a book, al-Ghazālī's Ihyā', that the vast majority of Muslims could not read, and that was being used convincingly to claim textual support for certain beliefs such as 'isha and practices such as samā'. In Almohad times, al-Ghazāli's works were accepted,97 but reservations continued to be expressed.98

As far as the rulers' opposition to Sufism is concerned,99 the latter could have chosen to support those fuqahā' who had Sufi inclinations, but the rulers were cautious in this regard, especially about the political use of Sufi doctrines (not only those of al-Ghazālī, which

Compare this to the references of Muhyi'l-Din b. al 'Arabi to contemporaries (one of them a philosopher, another a traditionist) who denied the possibility of miracles: Addas. *Ibn* 'Arabi 93, 135-6; Muhyi'l-Din b. al-'Arabi, *Durra* no. 3.

95 Baldick, Mystical Islam, 40. On this issue see also M. Chodkiewicz, 'La saintaté et les saints en islam', in Le culte des saints dans le monde musulman (Paris 1995) 13-32.

96 For example, "I man was an attribute of prophethood and in the sixth/twe.fth century it was being attributed to the mahdi; see H. Kassis, "Tyād's doctrinal views and their impact on the Maghreb'. The Maghreb Review xiii (1988) 49-56. The portrayal of the Prophet in al-Andalus contains a marked tendency to atress his ascetic and even mystic behaviour, see Fierro, "The polemic about the karāmāt al-awlyd" 242-5

97 See Ibn Tumlüs' commentaries in Fierro, 'Hereay in al-Andalus', in S. Jayyusi (ed.)
The Legacy of Muslim Spain (Leiden 1992) 895-908. A different interpretation of Ibn Tumlüs'
text in Urvoy, 'Le manuscrit at 1483 de l'Escurial'.

98 Al-Fishtäli (d. circa 660/1261) accepted the works by al-Muḥāsibi, but condemned the works of al-Qushayri and al-Ghazāli, because doctrines of the latter on 'ilin al-ghayb tended to lead people astray rather than educate them. For his part, al-Qabbāb (d. 779/1377) said that Sufix of his age read the section of the lhyā' devoted to 'tim al-muhāshofāt and that he would like to see someone abridge the lhyā' and climmate that section and the numerous apoctyphal hadāths it contained; see Nwyia, lbm 'Abbād 226.

99 The Almoravid opposition to al-Ghazālī is somewhat surprising when we think that a letter of his had been used in the process of legitimizing Almoravid rate see J Viguera, 'Las cartas de al-Gazālī y al-Ţurţūši al soberano almoravid Yūsuf b. Tāšufin', Al-Andalus xlu (1977) 341 374.

197

were not particularly dangerous<sup>100</sup>). Ibn al- Arif's doctrine concerning the ruler was one of submission, even cooperation, and not confrontation, and we have seen that Ibn Barrajan's imamate was a spiritual one. However, it is in their religious and social influence that the rationale for Ibn Barraian's and Ibn al- Arif's persecution should be sought, not in their writings which were never attacked or refuted (as far as we know), and which, in the case of Ibn al-'Anf's work, contained only 'mild' Sufism. 101 There is no evidence that either of them tried to organize their followers in any way, 102 as Ibn Qasi did. Therefore, I take what late sources state to the effect that the Almoravid rulers feared that Ibn Barrajan and Ibn al-'Arif might start a rebellion like that of Ibn Tumart, to have been just that, a fear. Real danger was embodied in the person of Ibn Qasi and his short-lived imamate. Curiously enough, this danger the Almoravid ruler seems to have overlooked.

The opposition of some fugahā' to certain trends of Sufism deemed to be dangerous (again, not to Sufism per se) took the form of scholarly debate. Refutations were written, but only against al-Ghazālī, not against Ibn al- Arīf or Ibn Barrajān. 103 Imitations of al-Ghazālī's Ihvāº were also written, which indicates that the work itself was considered as catering for certain religious needs. At the same time, there was a recasting of the figure of the falim with certain attributes of the Sufi. I see all this as an effort directed at making Sufism 'complementary' to figh and 'ilm, and as an attempt to address some of the needs which were causing people to turn to new types of religious authority. This does not mean that all the beliefs and practices found in Sufi circles were accepted or incorporated. The process involved fluidity, tension, an ongoing 'orthodoxyin-the-making', to borrow Knysh's expression. 104 The available evidence shows that, contrary to what has often been claimed and is still frequently repeated, 105 the Andalusi ulama were flexible, alert to new social demands and eager to avoid social conflict by adapting what could be adapted. What I perceive some of the ulama as trying to do is to avoid the emergence of an unbridgeable dichotomy between figh and 'acceptable' taşawwuf.106

The Sufis had various political attitudes: some cooperated with the established rulers or at least avoided confrontation, some confronted the rulers by word and some did so by action. This last position is represented by the case of Ibn Oasi. According to Ibn Khaldun, he and his muridun failed because they lacked the support of an ethnic group. But one might also see their failure as due to the strength of the 'ulama' in al-Andalus and the latters' ability to adapt. Part of Andalusi society reacted to Ibn Oasi's rebellion against the Almoravids by investing the gadis with political and military power, 107 thereby counteracting the 'political' Sufi with the fagih.

By the end of the sixth/twelfth century, Sufism was well-established in al-Andalus. Well-known mystics such as Ibn al-Mar'a (d. 611/1215), Ibn Sabein (d. 669/1270), his pupil al-Shushtari (d. 668/1269), and Abū Marwān al-Yuḥānisī (d. 667/1268) thrived during the subsequent century. Al-Shushtari's doctrines of philosophical Sufism were considered suspect, 108 and al-Yuhānisī was

<sup>100</sup> See Guichard, Les musulmans de Valence 86, which shows how al-Ghazáli's doctrines were well adapted to Almoravid rule. I have not been able to consult M. Hogga, Orthodoxie, subversion et réforme en issam. Gazall et les Selsuquées autri de textes politiques de Gazālī (Paras 1993).

<sup>101</sup> See B. Halff, 'Le Mahāsın al-mağāhs d'ibn al-'Arif et l'ocuvre du sonfi hanbalıte al-Ansart', Revue des Études Islamques XXXX (1971) 321 335, R. Bulliet's comments on Ibn al-\*Arif's work in his Islam. The view from the edge (New York 1994) 170, are outdated (he does not seem to be aware of Haiff's study which has changed our understanding of the 'originality' of Ibn al 'Arif's work, apart from the fact that Bulliet accepts certain 'extremist' passages as written by Ibn al-"Arif, when they are an interpolation according to Asin Palacios).

<sup>102</sup> C Addas, 'Iba 'Arabi et al Andalus', Il Jornadas de Cultura islámica. Aragón vive su historia. Teruel, 1988 (Madrid 1990) 91-100. Addas states that, while in the East there was a progressive structuring of Sufism, in Andalusi Sufism 'la quête de Dieu reste encore .argement une entreprise purement individuelle, fibre et souple", "Ibn "Arabi" 91.

<sup>103</sup> Actually, their works eajoyed a wide diffusion throughout the Islamic world as shown by the number of extant manuscripts in the case of Ibn Barrajan and by the number of references in the bibliographical dictionaires in the case of Iba al- Arif.

<sup>104</sup> A. Knysh, 'Orthodoxy and heresy in Medieval Islam: an essay in reassessment', The Muslim World Ixxxiii (1993) 48-67

<sup>105</sup> For example, see Baldick, Mystical Islam 69-71: 'What is known about Islamic Spain up to the early twelfth century is that there was an immense intolerance on the level of ideas; even the works of the sober Muhammad Ghazali were burnt."

<sup>106</sup> Iba Khaldiin's criticism of al-Chazáli was precisely that the latter did not prevent the emergence of such a dichotomy; on the contrary, he would have promoted it and, by promoting it, he would have opened the way for the creation of Sufi orders as independent and autonomous structures, see E. Chaumont, 'Notes et commentaires', Studia Islamica Ixiv (1986) 151-7

See Fierro, 'The aadi as ruler'

<sup>108</sup> See A.W. al-Taftāzāni, 'Al-Madrasa al-shūdhiyya fi'l-taşawwuf al-andalusi', Revisto del Instituto Egipcio de Estudios Islámicos xxim (1985) 173-181. The author collects the arguments of the fuquide against Ibn Sab'in's and al Shushtari's doctrines, including the accusation that Ibn Sab'in did not feel obliged to follow the religious norms of the sharia. See also Fierro, "Mahdisme et eschatologie" 62, for Ibn Sab'in's political doctrine which could have been considered dangerous. In the above article, I follow Massignon's view on this issue

among the first to engage in the much debated practice of celebrating the mawlid al-nabi 109 We also meet with Sufis such as the Sabeini Ibn Ahlā (d. 645/1247) and al-Fazārī (alive in 666/1267), who held political power for brief periods. 110 Among the many Andalusis who emigrated in this period and later, we also find Sufis such as Ibn <sup>e</sup>Ashır (d. 764/1362) and Ibn <sup>e</sup>Abbād al-Rundī (d. 792/1390). Both were representatives of a 'moderate' Sufism which opposed extreme doctrines involving hulul and ittihad, both renounced karāmāt and collaborated with the rulers.[11] One of their contemporaries who was active in al-Andalus was Ibn al-Khatib (d. 776/1374). This famous historian, poet and fagih, was also the author of a treatise on Sufism.112 Another of their contemporaries was Abū'l-Barakāt al-Balafiqi (d. 771/1370), a descendant of the Sufi Ibn al-Ḥāji (d. 616/1219) 113 The change in standards of Sufi behaviour are illustrated by the specific observation that al-Balafiqi, unlike his ancestor, did not perform miracles.

During the eighth/fourteenth century, Granada was a center of attraction for Sufis from other regions of the Islamic world as far off as Persia. 114 Many of the foreign Sufis were merchants such as the Tunisian al-Khalfāwi al-Tamīmī (d. 715/1315), who settled in Granada and devoted his life to the distribution of alms to the poor. 115 Sufism and commerce were closely connected. 116 and the network of

that I'm Sab'in's concept of muhaqqiq should be interpreted as 'messianisme politique'. I wish to thank M. Chodkiewicz for pointing out to me his reservations concerning Massignon's interpretation.

109 See N.J.O. Kaptean, Muhammad's Birthday Festival. Early history in the Central Muslan lands and development in the Muslan West until the 10th/16th century (Leiden 1993) 139

110 Fierro, 'Mahdisme et eschatologie' 62.

111 Nwyis, Ibn 'Abbād xim, 29, 47 (note 1 and of 67) 52, 99, 118 (note 2) 141, 153. As regards the renunciation of karāmāt, Ibn 'Abbād himself told the story of a man who wanted to use Ibn 'Abbād's baraka to cure his daughter. The man lost both his daughter and his son (.bid. 163, see also 223-4).

112 See de E. Santiago, 'Jatibiana mística I: El Kitāb Rawdat al-Ta'rif. Su temática', in Andolucia Islamica, Textos y Estudios : (1980) 105-122

113 See de la Puente, 'La familia' 340 and of. 318-323

314 See Ibn Battita, Rihla (Cairo 1383/1964) ii., 190; Spanish transl. S. Fanjul and F. Arbós (Madrid 1981) 765

115 See M.J. Rubiera, 'Un aspecto de las relaciones entre la Ifriquya Hafsi y la Granada Nașri la presencia tunecina eu las tariqăt místicas granadinas', *Culuers de Timisie* axvi (1978) 172, who points out that Granada was overpopulated (at least until the plague of 1348) due to the presence of Muslims coming from the territories conquered by the Christians.

116 On Nașrid Granada as a center of international commerce see R. Arié, L'Espagne musulmane au temps des Nasrides (1232-1492) (Paris 1973) 360-3.

zawiyas and ribats which covered the territory of the Naṣrid kingdom catered not only for the needs of merchants and travellers, but also for those of the local population.

It is difficult to establish when Sufi tariqas as organized groups, owning property and regulating the succession of their leaders, came into being in al-Andalus.<sup>117</sup> In any case, during the Nasrid period we know of the existence of at least two tariques.

One of the two known tariaas was the Banū Sidi Būna tariaa. which was active in the quarter of Albaicín in Granada, 118 The founder of the order was considered to be Abū Ahmad Jacfar b. Abd Alläh (d. 624/1227), who had been a pupil of Abū Madyan during his rihla to the East. Abu Ahmad returned to his village in the Guadalest valley (near Dema, in the east of al-Andalus) and founded a zāwiya where he became shaykh al-murīdīn. After his death his tomb became a center for pilgrimage (ziyāra). Around 650/1252, his family was forced to emigrate, fleeing the Christian advance. They settled in Granada where the founder of the tarīga was said to have once come on visit and prayed. A zāwiya was founded119 financed with the money the family had managed to take with them, as well as money given to them by followers of the tariaa. The leadership of the tariga seems to have been transmitted within the family. One of the leaders (d. 765/1364) succeeded the previous head of the tarīqa, who was a relative, and was elected to direct the prayers and deliver the khutba. He presided over the Sufi life of the tariga and managed its legal affairs under the supervision of the gadi of Granada. Information about the teachings and rituals of the tariga is limited. We know, however, that its members were considered to be Shādhilīs, that they recited poems of al-Hallaj, were endowed with a sense of 'aşabiyya and blindly obeyed their shaykh (taqlīd), to whom they were bound by an oath. They covered their faces with veils, hated the sound of the flute called shabbaba, 120 and performed dhikr with

<sup>117</sup> In this connection see M.Kh. Masud, Islamic Legal Philosophy. A Study of Abii Ishāq al-Shātibi's Life and Thought (Islamabad 1977, 60-1

<sup>118</sup> See H. Lévi-Provençal, 'Le voyage d'Ibn Battūja dans le royaume de Grenade', in Mélanges W Marçais (Paris 1950) 217; J. Bosch Viai, 'Nota de toponimia para la historia de Guadalest y su valle', Mixelánea de Estudios Arabes y Hebraicos xn-xiu (1963-4) 48, and especially M.I. Calero Secall, 'Los Banil Sid Bûna', Sharq al-Andalus iv (1987) 35-44.

<sup>119</sup> See L. Massignon, 'Documents sur certains waaf-5 des beux saints de l'Islam', Revue des Études Islamques xix (1951) 86.

<sup>120</sup> Ghālib b. Sīd Būna (653-733/1255-1332) wrote a tract on this question Ta'lif fī taḥrīm samā' al-yarā'a al-musammā bi'l-shabbāba

dances which induced ecstasy. The members of the *tariqa* were mostly artisans, peddlers and weavers, but included vagrants and mendicants as well. Good relations existed between the *tariqa* and the Naşrıd rulers, <sup>121</sup> as evidenced by the fact that members of the *tariqa* went to the Alhambra palace to perform some of their mystical practices at the invitation of the ruler who wished to benefit from the *baraka* of the rite. <sup>122</sup>

The second tarīqa was that of al-Sāḥilī (d. 735/1335), 123 who recommended prayer as a means of escaping from difficult situations and who experienced visions of Muhammad. After al-Sāḥilī's death, his pupils sought out his son who was living in the Maghreb and asked him to succeed his father as shaykh al-murīdīn and gave him an enormous amount of money. The son, Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Sāḥilī al-Mu'ammam, honoured their request and returned to Málaga where he founded the first madrasa in al-Andalus 124 and established a waaf for its maintenance. 125

The surviving documentation on the pious endowments (waaff hubs) of the rawābit and the mosques in Granada<sup>126</sup> shows that the founders of the tarīqas benefited from the institution. Waaf was resorted to as one of the ways to preserve wealth within a family and

keep property from being confiscated. 127

121 See Arié, L'Espagne musulmane 420-3

122 See Rubiera, 'Un aspecto' 167, where he quotes Lévi-Provençal.

123 See Kohhāla, Mu'jam viii, 275; see also M. Charouiti Hasnaoui, 'Una familia de juristas en los siglos XIV y XV: los Banū 'Āşim de Granada', Estudios onomástico-blográficos de al-Andalus, ed. M. Marín (Madrid 1994) vi, 180. On this tariqa see I. Colero Secall and V. Martínez Enamorado, Málaga, ciudad de al-Andalus (Málaga 1995) 238-243.

124 On the first madrasas to appear in the Maghreb, see M. Shatzauller, 'Les premiers Ménnider et le milieu religieux de Fès. l'introduction des médresas', Studia Islamica xlin (1976) 109-118. The reason why the Merinids introduced madrasas was that they wished to create new cadres of scholars devoted to them and to reduce the teaching at the mosques which they could not control. In the case of al-Andalus the reason seems to have been just the opposite. See also J. Samsó, Las ciencias de los antiguos en al-Andalus (Madrid 1992) 394-9 for the motives behind the foundation of a madrasa by the Nasrid king.

125 See Rubiera, op. cit., and Coloro Secali/Martínez Enamorado, Málaga 247-250. Rubiera's information contradicts what G. Makdiss states in 'The madrasa in Spam: some remarks', Revue de l'Orient Musulman et de la Méditerranée xv-xvi (1973) 153-8 (Makdiss seems not to have been aware of Rubiera's article). Cf. Masud, Islamic Legal Philosophy 55 (in his view the establishment of madraxas led to a loss of control on the part of the 'ulamã' and fuquhã' over intellectual movements, and their resistance to mysticism became move relaxed)

126 See M.C. Villanneva Ruco, Habices de las mezquitas de la ciudad de Granada y sus alquerías (Madrid 1961).

127 See Rubiera, 'Un aspecto' 169. Cf. Makdisi's article (quoted in note 125) 155-6,

The Sufi tariques were associated with certain religious celebrations and practices, for example, the celebration of the mawlid al nabī, both at court<sup>128</sup> and in their lodges (rawabit).<sup>129</sup> The performance of dhikr and samā<sup>c</sup> sometimes led to ecstatic experiences. There is evidence that jurists attended gatherings where these rituals took place. At this period in al-Andalus, many of the urban élites venerated saints and Sufi shaykhs just as much as illiterate country people did.

Opposition to Sufi groups in the Nasrid period revolved around three main issues: particular contested practices, the question of religious authority, and the economic implications of the zawiyas and ribāts for the wider society. 130

Some Sufi practices were condemned by the fuqahā° as well as by the Sufis themselves, and a wide range of attitudes existed concerning these controversial subjects. Some condemned the celebration of the mawlid, 131 others censored certain practices, such as singing and falling into a trance, introduced into a festival which in itself they considered to be a 'good innovation' This latter censorship has come down to us in recorded fatwas. 132 These fatwas show that the condemnation of such practices was closely connected with financial issues. In fact, legal advice is sought in cases when money, left as legacies and pious endowments, was used for Sufi celebrations and diverted from the lawful heirs or from use for the poor. 133 Other fatwas concern dhikr, samā° and recitation practiced by groups of

where he states that in al-Andalus scarce use was made of the waaf institution, because Manki law prohibits the founder from appointing himself as trustee of the waaf

128 See Kaptern, Muhammad's Birthday Festival 132-3.

129 Sec Arié, L'Espagne musulmane 422-3; C. Villanueva, 'Rabitas granadinas', Miscelánea de Estudios Arabes y Hebraicos 11i (1954) 79-86

130 They have already been pointed out by Masud, Islamic Legal Philosophy 62-3.

131 This opposition may also have led to the end of such celebrations at the court. See Kaptein. Muhammad's Birthday Festival 138, where it is pointed out that there is no evidence for the celebration of the mawlid after the beginning of the second reign of Muhammad V, although the mystics probably continued the celebration (the monscos celebrated the monitod; Kaptein, op. cit. 139).

132 We have an abundance of fatwas related to Sufi matters from the eighth/fourteenth century. It is interesting to compare this situation with that of previous centuries. In the collections of fatwas of Ibu Sahl and al-Sha'bi (fifth/eieveath century) there is no mention of Sufis, and the same can be said about the compalations by al-Qādi 'Tyād (although of H. al-Warāglī, 'Patāwā ghamāṭiyya fi'l-ḥawādith wa'l-bida'', in Yāqūtat al Andalus. Dirāsāt fi'l-turāth al-andalust [Beirut 1994] 174-S, for a report from Mālik b. Anas concerning a group of Sufis transmitted by 'Tyād). It is with Ibu Rushd (fifth-sixth/eleventh twelfth century) that Sufi matters start to be discussed in fatwas collections.

133 See Kaptein, op. cit. 135.

mystics and followers of Sufi shaykhs (tawa'if min al fuqarā' waatba' shuyūkh al sūfiyya) and which induced states of ecstasy. Sometimes it was argued that the presence of fuqahā' attending such gatherings legitimized ritual practices. Aspects of ritual practice were dealt with by the Sufi jurist Abu'l-Barakat al-Balafiqu (mentioned above), and the jurists Ibn Lubb (d. 782/1380) and al-Shātibi (d. 790/1388) 134 One of the fears current among the fuqahā3 was that such practices might eventually come to replace the rituals prescribed by religious law. According to al-Shatibi, replacing the prescribed rituals this way would constitute infidelity (kufr) and deserved to be punished with the death penalty.135 Al-Shātibi, like other authors of treatises against innovations (bidca),136 was not against Sufism per se. They argued against certain innovations attributed to the influence of the ahl al-kitāb, and against practices lacking precedent and constituting a danger to, or contravening, the sharia. It was not always clear where to draw the line, as illustrated by the case of the mawlid celebrations, which in the end commanded almost universal acceptance notwithstanding acknowledgement of its bidca status, 137

The Sufism of the tarīqas demanded complete obedience to the shaykh, the one who possessed and conferred access to God. This submission could undermine the religious authority of the fuqahā', whose access to the Divine will was not a personal one, but mediated by the revealed law. Between 774/1372 and 776/1374, Andalusi 'ulamā' discussed whether the murīdūn needed a spiritual master or

134 See al-Wansherisi, al-Mi'yêr al-mu'rib, i-am (Rabet 1401/1981) ai, 35-46, and the studies by J. López Ortiz, 'Fatwas gransdinas de los siglos XIV y XV', Al-Andalus vi (1941) 73-127, Nwyia, Ibn 'Abbād xxxii, xxxiv; Masud, op. cit. 122-5; and al-Warāgli (some of them have used sources other than the Mi'yār). On the position of Abū'l-Burakāt al-Balafīgi, see de in Puente, 'La familia' 338 (quoting S. Gibert)

135 Al-Shāubī also dealt with the case of Sufis who made their own interpretation of the Koran, claiming that commands about worship were metaphone, that direct knowledge of God was possible and that books did not provide true knowledge. In al-Shātibi's view those who held these beliefs were kuffür who should be sentenced to death: see Masud, op. cit., 120-1, where he quotes the Mi'yār

136 Such as The al-Ḥāy, et-Turkumānī, Ibn al-Jawzī, Ibn Taymayya; see M. Ficino, "The treatises against unnovations (kutub al-bida")", Der Islam biix (1992) 204-46.

could learn from books.<sup>138</sup> This was clearly a discussion about the *loci* and nature of religious authority. The discussion was limited to scholarly debate and does not seem to have led to any intervention on the part of the ruler.

Denunciations of Sufi lodges as being a burden on society and as encouraging idleness emerge in the eighth/fourteenth century. Pious endowments were established on behalf of the lodges, which also received other kinds of donations Besides the devotees of the tarigas, travellers as well as the poor were also attracted to these establishments. In the case of the Banū Sidi Būna tariga, the leader of the order was in charge of the religious and economic affairs of the zāwiya, even though he was under the supervision of the judge of Granada. 139 Recent archaeological research has shown how ribāts and other religious buildings punctuated the landscape throughout most parts of the Granadan kingdom, 140 especially in the countryside. The buildings are of different types, some situated on top of heights overlooking cultivated fields and villages. Those (individuals or communities) who used the religious buildings were not able to provide for their own sustenance. This means that their food must have come from the near-by villages. What is interesting is that the lands immediately surrounding zāwiyas and ribāts could have been cultivated, but were not. Thus, the economic relationship between the religious building and the village was premeditated, implying a specialization of functions.

Denunciations of the Sufi lodges claim that the institutions were encouraging idleness, since many of their occupants abandoned all wordly pursuits and lived from the resources accruing to their lodge. The at least partial economic dependence of lodges on villages in-

<sup>137</sup> Gramset has proposed that rule by the 'chte' over lower ('subaltera') groups was maintained through the latter's acceptance of the former's culture, something which was facilitated by 'chte culture' itself 'assimilating' elements of 'popular culture' itself in order to be more persuasive P Biller, 'Heresy and literacy; earlier history of the theme', in P. Biller and A Hudson (eds.) Heresy and Literacy, 1000-1530 (Cambridge 1994) 1-18.

<sup>138</sup> See Nwyia, Ibn 'Abbād xiviin-liv; M. Mahdi, 'The Book and the Master as Poles of Cultural Change of Islam', in S. Vryonis, Jr. (ed.), Islam and Cultural Change in the Middle Ages (Wiesbaden 1975) 3-15, Masad, op. cit. 107-8 (they are basically following the Mi'vār ku 293-307). Ibn Khaldūn wrote a tract on this question. Shifā' al-sā'd li-tahdhib al-masā'd, cd. Muhammad b. Tāwit al-Ţanji (Islanbul 1958); ed. P. Iguace 'Abdo Khalifé (Beirut 1959), La Voie et la Lon ou te Maître et le Juriste, traust. R. Pérez (Paris 1991). Pérez's translation was reviewed by M. Garcia: Archael in Al-Qantara xiv (1993) 512-4

<sup>139</sup> Cf. Masud, op. cit. 63 ('Fuqaha' were appointed for the supervision of the expenses of such donations, although the supervision and maintenance of such properties was left to the shaykh of the zāwiwa and his associates').

<sup>140</sup> See the study by J. Rodríguez López & L. Cara Barrionnevo, 'El fenómeno místico teligioso miral en los últimos siglos del Islam andalusi mirodiscinón al estudio arqueológico de las rábitas alpupameñas', in Almería entre culturas (siglos XIII-XVI). Actas del Coloquio (Almería, 19-21 de abril 1990) (Almería 1990) 227-254.

creased the economic burden of the peasants which eventually caused the latter to complain.<sup>141</sup> Complaints of this kind by the inhabitants of a village about a group of Sufis are most strikingly reflected in a fatwa by al-Haffar (d. 811/1408), who states that they:

\*, are more dangerous for Islam than the infidels. They have no virtue... None of them knows how to clean himself or to make ablation. In the same of religion they only know how to sing, to utter nonsensical statements and to encroach upon other's property unlawfully. What made this bond of people adopt this way of life which is so dangerous for the religion? Was it that they needed things basic for the human being, food, drink, clothing and such things, and they did not know any trade or craft to live from? Or if they know a trade, did they find it hard to toil to earn their livelihood? .. The devil seduced them and suggested to them this path which was full of fun and pleasure. They confuse the ignorant with the practice of dhike... wearing patched clothes... as these were the signs of the virtuous people of this path... A certain achoiar said that the people in a city must be libe the ports of the body. As every part of the body has a particular use and none of them is futile... so are the inhabitants of a city. The soldiers guard the city, the fugaha' and judges protect the law... and also teach it... Therefore one who is of no use in a city whereas he is capable of being so... must be expelled from the city. A philosopher (hakim) taught his disciples to be like bees in a beehive... they do not let any idla member stay there. They would drive it out of the have, because it would cramp their space, would use their honey and would spread idleness, and abandonment of trades... It is incumbent upon whoever can do so to restrain this people who are like a gangrenous some in the side of religion. He must obstruct the way to this group for those who are inclined towards it. He must expel them from these piaces. (If he does so) he is a warrior of faith (mujdhid) in this respect\* 142

The economic reasons behind the opposition to certain groups of Sufis and their practices alluded to in al-Haffar's fatwa do not need any comment. However, we have also seen that the urban tarīqas and certain Sufis active in the towns had a 'good' social function as distributors of alms and caterers for the material and spiritual needs of the urban poor. The tarīqas also supported commerce, crucial in the economy of Naṣrid Granada. In any case, what is clear is that by the eighth/fourteenth century, Sufism was influencing not only the religious, social and political spheres, but the economic sphere as well. Thus, Sufism came to pervade all levels of Andalusî society and substantially contributed to the complexity of its fabric. The Sufi practices on which the debate focused were not discontinued: in fact,

many of them are known to have continued among mudéjares and moriscos.

From the sixth/twelfth century onwards we have examples of Sufis acting as social mediators. At the end of the fourteenth century, however, we find members of the productive sectors of society resorting to the fuqahā to solve their conflicts with unproductive Sufis. Thus, when Sufism became part of the economic structure of society, the faqīh was needed to guard against and regulate its abuses.

In spite of their conflicts, ulama and Sufis in al-Andalus were seen by Muslim society at large as legitimate ritual figures. <sup>143</sup> The Sufis increased their influence on society through different means: religious practices and beliefs; spiritual authority which led them to become communal leaders, solving personal and collective problems in the community; and economic power. Muslims resorted to Sufis against fuqahā and to fuqahā against Sufis, according to their particular needs.

The fuqahā<sup>2</sup> often resorted to scholarly means when they took issue with the Sufis: debate in the form of refutations or emulations, and exclusion of certain Sufi works from the curriculum of the 'ulamā<sup>2</sup>. Occasionally recourse was taken to the burning of books which implied having the ruler's support. Such courses of action did not impede a gradual fusion of Sufis and fuqahā<sup>2</sup>. Any presentation of Sufis and fuqahā<sup>2</sup> as incompatible, and as constituting a dichotomy in Muslim society is apparently erroneous as a generalization and based on a narrow view promoted by certain tendentious authors (both Sufis and fuqahā<sup>2</sup>). This purely oppositional view can easily be counteracted by means of numerous contemporary examples to the contrary. Where such presentations occur, they should be seen as grounded in polemic or determined by the fact that persons who combined the credentials of a Sufi and an 'ālim were still rare in the period when the author in question was writing

The fugahā' rarely turned to the ruler for intervention against the

<sup>141</sup> See Masud, op. cit., 63-4. See also Rodríguez Mañas, 'Encore sur la controverse entre soufis et juristes au Moyen Âge: critique des mécanismes de financement des confréries soufies', Arabica xlin (1996) 406-421

<sup>142</sup> Masud, op cit, 64-5; see also Nwyra, lbn 'Abbâd xxxii xxxiii xxxiii; and a shortened version in Mt vār xi, 42

<sup>143</sup> See H. Touati, Entre Dieu et les hommes. Lettrés, sauts et sorciers au Maghreb (17e siècle) (Paris 1994) 270, where he quotes P. Bourdieu, 'Genèse et structure du champ religieux', Revue française de sociologie xu (1971) 295-334, 320, to the effect that their basic conflict arose through competition over the 'pouvoir de modifier durablement les représentations et les pratiques des faics'

<sup>144</sup> This aspect is dealt with by H. Munson, Jr., Religion and Power in Morocco (New Haven/London 1993), 83, 81, discussing E. Gellner, Muslim Society (New York 1981).

Sufis. When rulers did intervene, their action was prompted by fear of social and political upheaval and was most likely to happen at times when they were facing problems of legitimation. The existence of mystics claiming access to prophecy and establishing the boundaries of their community could not be tolerated by the Andalusi Umayyad caliphs, because it undermined their legitimacy, based on genealogy and on a set of doctrines embedded in Malikism. By the time of the Almoravids, and contrary to received opinion, both Sufis and fugahā' were accepted as channels to God. If at the end of their rule the Almoravids persecuted the most important Sufis of the time, that was because by then they felt they were under threat from the Almohads in their Moroccan power base, and because some Sufis, such as Ibn Qasi, were preparing a political take-over. Ibn Qasi's conviction that prophecy should be revitalized by sanctity and that the ruler should be a Sufi was opposed by most sectors of the population of the Andalusi towns. Those sectors were by then also against the Almoravids, but preferred to be ruled by their judges. Ibn Qasi's experiment (i.e. that the ruler's legitimacy should be based on his sanctity) was a bold one, as was the solution of the 'judges' that counteracted it. The judges' rebellion was not so much against the Almoravids as against the possibility of having a Sufi as political leader of the community with all the dangers that the charismatic leadership of the Sufi or the saint presented to the figure of the Prophet, whose charismatic leadership had become routinized in traditional norms interpreted by the fugahā'. This was the borderline that the fugahā°, for all their assimilation of Sufism, and most Sufis as well, avoided crossing, 145

### FAQĪH VERSUS FAQĪR IN MARINID MOROCCO EPISTEMOLOGICAL DIMENSIONS OF A POLEMIC

#### VINCENT J. CORNELL

One of the oldest stereotypes in Islamic Studies is that of the eternal conflict between scholar and Sufi, legist and mystic, doctor and saint, or sharia and haqiqa. Like all stereotypes, this image persists because it contains at least a modicum of truth. There is no doubt that a significant difference exists between scriptural literalism at one extreme and the illuminationism of a Shihāb al-Dīn al-Suhrawardī (d. 587/1191) or the Neoplatonism of an Ibn Sab'īn (d. 669/1270) at the other. Furthermore, it is the legitimate task of the ulama, as guardians of normative Islam, to establish a clearly demarcated community of belief by maintaining common standards of doctrine and practice. Mystics, on the other hand, seek to "push the envelope" of these boundaries by appealing to a higher truth that transcends such limitations.

Yet despite these differences, one can always find instances of agreement between legists and Sufis. This was certainly the case in the Maghrib, where a juridically-minded "censor of the Sufis" (muhtasib al-sūfivya) such as the Shādhilī master Aḥmad Zarrūq (d. 899/1493) could assert:

'There is no Sufism except through flqh, because God's exoteric laws (ohkhin Allih al zāhira) can only be known through it, and there is no flqh but through Sufism, for praxis ('amal) is only carried out through truthfulness (sidq) and an orientation toward the civine (toway)th)' 1

One can also find works of Mālıkî dogma, such as the Rısāla of Ibn Abî Zayd al-Qayrawānî (d. 386/996), which contain such apparently "Sufistic" statements as:

'God, may He be glorified, has created heaven as an eternal resting place for His awhya', whom He honors with the light of His noble countenance'.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>145</sup> This paper was written during my stay (1994-5) at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, as a Herodotus Fellow

Ahmad Zarrûq, Qawā'id al taşawwnf, ed. Muhammad Zuhrī al-Najjāt and 'Alı Mu'bid Firghalī (Beirut 1992) 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Abū Muḥammad b. Abī Zayd at Qayrawönī, Motn at-Risāla (Rabat 1984) 12 Zarrēq, Qawā<sup>c</sup>id at-tasawwif 8, also cites the following saying of Mālik b. Anas (d. 1797795) 'He who practices Sufism without figh is a heretic, he who practices figh without Sofism is

Does the appearance of the word awliya' in Ibn Abi Zayd's Risāla necessarily mean that this Mālīkī jurist from Fatimid Ifrīqiya approved of either Sufism or the Sufi definition of sainthood? Not at all. In fact, it has long been known that Ibn Abī Zayd was so opposed to esoterism that he rejected even the possibility of post-Prophetic miracles (karāmāt).3 It is true that he accepted the juridical validity (jawāz) of the awliyā' Allāh, but only because the term was used in the Koran. His acknowledgement of the walī in principle did not imply that he thought of the walī as a Sufi saint.

When used in the Koran, the term wali signifies "manager," "guardian," "protector," or "intercessor" — not "holy man". The same ideas of management, guardianship, and protection also apply to the word walāya, which is used twice in the Holy Book and is often cited by modern scholars as the "correct" Islamic term for sainthood. When the Koran says: "Verily for the awliyā of Allah there is no fear, nor shall they grieve' (10/62), there is no a priori reason for an exoterist like Ibn Abī Zayd to think of a Sufi saint. Rather, he was more likely to think of the walī as a person very much like himself — a scholar whose piety and knowledge has given him trusteeship over the Muslim community. As a judge or a musti the walī manages the people's affairs; by censoring or validating their behavior he guards their souls and protects them from error; by acting in his political capacity as one of "those who loosen and bind" (ahl al-hall wa'l-'aqd), he functions as the people's intercessor or representative.

It will be contended in this article that the issue of interpretive authority lies at the heart of the tension between the legist (faqih) and the Sufi (faqir) in Muslim society. As critical theory has demonstrated, the definitions given to contested terms and concepts are more dependent on the politics of discourse than on the rules of lexi-

unrighteous, but he who combines them both has attained certainty' (man taşawrafa wa-lain vatafaqqah fu-qad tazandaqa, wa-man tafaqqaha wa-lain vataşawraf fa-qad tafassaqa, wa-man tama'a baynahuna fa-qad tahaqqaqa).

cography. By denying the possibility of mutual accountability and relying instead on exclusivistic definitions of important terms, both exoterists and esoterists stake out artificial positions that in the end paradoxically depend on each other for validation. When the mystic's definition of what the walt is depends on what the jurist's definition of the walt is not (and vice-versa), the result becomes what Michel Foucault has termed a "discursive formation" -- a rhetorical construct in which the living man of God is obscured by a forest of tropes. 7 This new figure, who is constructed for his audience through the rhetoric of sacred biography and Sufi theoretical treatises, is more of an œuvre, or product of the discursive environment, than a real human being. Consequently, his career is "read" according to the epistemologies that inform each perspective — either the juridical or the mystical.8 Thus, if the modern historian of Islam wants to deconstruct the polemics about sainthood and Sufism in a particular context, he must first identify the "enunciative modalities" of the situation: Who is doing the speaking? What gives him the right to do so? Who bestows authority on the speaker? Where is the institutional locus from which he speaks? What is the epistemological field of discourse?9

In Morocco, the dispute over sainthood, Sufism, and the role of the spiritual master was largely a product of the Marinid era (669-869/1268-1465), a span of two centuries that is roughly contemporaneous with the Mamluk period of Egyptian history. Contemporary historians tend to view this era as a time of gilded decadence, in which the spirit of innovation that characterized early Almohadism was replaced by a traditionalism that was tailored to the needs of the Banû Marin clan of Zanâta Berbers who ruled Morocco from their capital at Fez. 10 In the fertile lowland regions that French colonists would later describe as le Maroc utile, a tribal mafia of Berber war-

<sup>3</sup> See Marchel Fierro, 'The Polemic About the Karāmāt al-Audiwā' and the Deve, opment of Sufism in al-Audaius (Forrth/Tenth— Fifth/Eleventh Centuries)', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies Iv (1992) 238. For a refutation of Ibn Abi Zayd's rejection of intracles see Ahmad b. Yaḥyā al Wansharīsi (d. 914/1508), al-Mi'vār al-mu'rīb wa l jāmi' al-mughrīb 'an fatōwī ahl Ifrīqivā wa'l-Andalus wa'l-Maghrīb, ed. Muḥammad Hājji et al. (Rabut 1981) xi, 248-252.

<sup>4</sup> See, for example, Koran 9/107, 9/74, and 32/4. See also, Edward William Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon (Cambridge, reprint 1984) ii, 3060.

<sup>5</sup> Koran 8/72 and 18/44. See also, the discussion of this term in Michel Chodkiewicz, Le Sceau des saints. Prophetie et sainteté dans la doctrine d'Ibn Arabi (Paris 1986) 29-39.

<sup>6</sup> This view of accountability is derived from fürgen Habermas' model of communicative reason. See David Couzens Hoy and Thomas McCarthy, Critical Theory (Oxford and Cambridge, Mass. 1994) 74-93. See also, Jürgen Habermas, The Theory of Communicative Action, trans. Thomas McCarthy (Boston 1984), see also fin 44

<sup>7</sup> Michel Foncault, The Archaeology of Knowledge, trans. A. M. Sheridan Smith (New York 1972) 38. See also, Hayden White, Tropics of Discourse: Essays in Cultural Criticism (Baltimore 1978).

Foucault, The Archaeology of Knowledge 15 note 2, 23-24

<sup>9</sup> Ibad. 50-55. See also, Paul Ricocur, Oneself As Another, transl. Kathleen Binmey (Chicago 1992) 16-23.

<sup>10</sup> See, for example, Abdallah Larom, The History of the Maghrib An Interpretive Essay, trans. Ralph Manheim (Princeton 1977) 102

riors (the shaykhs of the Banū Marin) regarded nearly everything of value — land, tax revenues, and political influence — as alienable and concessible. According to the Moroccan historian Mohamed Kably, this spoils system was maintained by an alliance of convenience among three mutually dependent interest groups: Zanata tri bal segments related by kinship to the Banū Marin ruling family, collectivities of Arab and Berber "associates" whose loyalty was ensured through marriage or the prospect of legalized plunder, and what Kably calls the "sedative" participation of the ulama and the mercantile elites. It In his view, the corrosive effects of this system caused the sharia to lose its vitality while the rise of rural Sufism eroded much of the authority that urban religious institutions still commanded 12

The intellectual ethos of this period was formed in what another Moroccan historian, Muhammad al-Manūnī, has termed the "First Marinid Age"— an era that spanned six decades from 667/1268 to 731/1330.13 To urban scholars this was a time of peril for both Islam as a whole and for the Maghrib in particular. In 656/1258 came word of the conquest of Baghdad by the Ilkhan Hülägü and the execution of the "Abbasid caliph al-Musta'sim. Compounding this calamity were the depredations of Castilian and Aragonese armies in al-Andalus, which raided at will throughout Muslim Spain as the Almohad state unraveled. These attacks struck Morocco itself in 659/1260, when Castilian raiders sacked and burned the city of Salé, taking more than 3,000 women and children captive.14

As the raid on Salé demonstrated, the western Maghrib was particularly isolated, and its inhabitants lived in constant fear for the future. The faqih Muhammad al-'Abdari al-Tilimsani, who performed the hajj in 688/1289, reports in his Rihla that the hinterlands of Tlemcen in western Algeria were so overrun by Arab pastoralists that pilgrims had to pay protection fees and journey with an armed

11 Mohamed Kably, Société, pouvoir, et religion au Maroc à la fin du Moven-Age (Paris 1986) 222 escort if they wanted to reach the central Maghrib unharmed. Further to the east, the disruption of seaborne commerce by Christian corsairs and bedouin raids on overland caravans had reduced formerly prosperous trading centers, such as Bijâya, to mere shadows of what they had been only fifty years before. 15

To make matters worse, Berber nativism and antinomianism were common in the mountains of the Far Maghrib. In the Imi-n-Tānūt region southwest of Marrakesh, the independent emirate of Saksyūwa provided a refuge for Abū Zayd Ibn 'Umar al-Murtada (d. 712/1313), the lone survivior of the Almohad ruling family. The most famous ruler of Saksyūwa, 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Wāhid al-Saksyūwī (d. 762/1360-1), was suspected by the ulama of dabbling in philosophy, alchemy, and other types of esoterism. Most scandalous to his juridical opponents was the rumor that he held gatherings with Jewish rabbis, from whom he learned the arts of magic and divination. 17

The Berber rulers, or *igelliden*, of Saksyüwa were not alone in contesting the authority of either Mālikīsm or the Marinid state. Near the Tizi-n-Test pass that linked the High Atlas mountains with the Sūs valley, the Masmūda tribes of Tīnmāl continued to honor the memory of the Almohad *mahdī* Ibn Tūmart (d. 522/1128). Calls for a mahdist revival continued in this region throughout the Marinid period. The doctrines of this post-Almohad messianism were disseminated by wandering preachers known as 'Akākiza (staff-bearers), so named for the walking-stick ('ukkāz) that they carried on their journeys. According to the Algerian legist Aḥmad b. Yahyā al-Wansharīsī (d. 914/1508), these Berber missionaries claimed that the Almohad doctrine of tawhīd was incumbent on every Muslim, that eating the food of Mālikīs or praying in their company was a major sin (kabīra), and that one need not bathe after performing sexual intercourse. 18

Also worrisome to the Mālikī ulama were outbreaks of messianic Shrism in al-Andalus and Morocco. 19 In 666/1267-8, an Andalusian

<sup>12</sup> Ibid. 223 Kably's views are based on the theories of Alfred Bel, La Religion musulmane en Berbérie Esquisse d'histoire et de sociologie religieuses (Paris 1938) See also, Janu. M. Abun Nasr, A History of the Maghrib in the Islamic Period (Cambridge 1987) 102; and Larou. The History of the Maghrib 212-215

<sup>13</sup> Muhammad al-Manüni, 'Madkhal ilä ta'rikh al-fikr al-tslämi fi 'l-"aşr al-Marini al-nawal', m dem, Waraqāt 'un al-nadöra al-maghribivva fi 'aşr Banī Marin (Rabat 1979) 192-211

<sup>14</sup> Kabiy Société pouvoir et religion 55-57

E5 Sec "Abû "Abd Allāh Muḥammad al-"Abdaci, Riḥlat al-"Abdaci, ed Muḥammad al-Fāsī (Rabat 1968) 9, 11, 26-27, 64

<sup>16</sup> al-Manimi, 'Madkhal' 196-197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Died, 196

<sup>18</sup> Muḥammad al-Manūni, 'al-Tayyārāt al-fiknyya fi 'l-Maghrib al-Murini', in id., Varaqūr 246-247

<sup>16</sup> See M.A. Makki, 'al Tashayyu' fi'l-Andalus', Revista del Instituto Egipcio de Estudios Islámicos (1954) 93-149.

named Ibrāhīm al-Fāzān proclaimed the millenium at Málaga. In time, al-Fazan rose in his pretensions from the rank of walī Allah to that of al-Muntazar (The Expected One), and ended his life claiming to be a prophet with a new revelation. By the time his revolt collapsed, large numbers of Mahkī ulama in southern Spain had been imprisoned, tortured, or killed by his followers. Two decades later, in 686/1287, a Berber called al-Haij al-Abbās b. Salih from the al-Şanhāja al-Şaghīr tribe in the Moroccan Rif arose and proclaimed himself the "Messenger of the Fāṭimī" (Rasūl al-Fāṭimī). His rebellion caused widespread destruction in northern Morocco and succeeded in capturing the port of Bādis before being put down by Marinid troops. 21

To counteract the intellectual decline that these movements augured, the ulama of the Marinid period relied on abridgments (mukhtaṣarāt) as a means of popularizing the study of grammar, theology, and fiqh.<sup>22</sup> First brought to Morocco at the beginning of the fourteenth century by scholars from the Sūs, these works formed the basis of the curriculum in semi-independent city-states such as Sabta and Bādis on the Mediterranean coast and in ribāṭs such as Tīt-n-Fitr and Asafi along the Atlantic littoral.<sup>23</sup> Although they were introduced in order to raise the level of knowledge in general, the practice of using mukhtaṣarāt was denounced by the noted Sufi poet and mathematician Ibn al-Bannā' al-Marrākushī (d. 721/1321), who feared that an over-reliance on abridged texts would lead to a lessening of intellectual standards.<sup>24</sup>

Despite Ibn al-Bannā's objections, the use of abridgments soon spread to the major cities of the Far Maghrib. In large part, this was due to the fact that their rhymed and easily memorized texts facilitated the principal agenda of the Marinid ulama—the creation of a unified, or "orthodox" epistemology. Like other orthodoxies in the making, this officially sanctioned approach to knowledge was not defined on the basis of religious doctrine alone, but also consisted of

a methodology or "science" that was delimited by the tenets of juridical reasoning. As such, it fit the definition of a science as proposed by Thomas Kuhn: 'an implicit body of intertwined theoretical and methodological belief that permits selection, evaluation, and criticism'.<sup>25</sup>

The key to the development of this epistemology was a standardized educational system that depended on a finite set of approved sources and procedures. In order to ensure that the Mālikī school of law would never again be challenged by a methodological rival, the study of the sources of jurisprudence (usul al-figh) was made obligatory for all students who passed beyond the elementary level. By the first half of the eighth/fourteenth century, when the Marinid state was at the height of its power and influence, the Mālikī version of usul had developed into a discipline that was long on authority and short on personal initiative. Acceptable sources of precedent were limited to the Koran, the Prophetic Sunna, the practice ('amal) of the Prophet's Companions and the community of Medina, and the opinions ('ainal ijtihādī) of previous Mālikī jūrists. Although it was still possible for an individual scholar to practice inihad, the ability of this method to effect change was limited by its use of syllogistic reasoning and its emphasis on the normativeness of established tradition. As for the principle of binding consensus (ijmā'), this became an impediment to change rather than a safety-valve for the expression of minority points of view.

One cannot overestimate the influence of uşūl al-fiqh on the formation of Marinid-era intellectuals. Whether they were poets, historians, geographers, judges, or government officials, all were trained in the same methods of reasoning. In time, the idea of an uṣūl-driven orthodoxy spread to other disciplines, so that one also studied a fiqh of grammar, a fiqh of logic, or a fiqh of Koranic interpretation. Some scholars even went so far as to apply jurisprudential reasoning to fields that were not normally related to the study of law. Ibn Khaldūn (d. 808/1406), for example, sought in his Muqaddima to create a science of uṣūl al-ta²rīkh that was based on the principles of uṣūl al-fiqh 26 Although it was not possible, in this premodern period, to impose a seamless orthodoxy on the entire intellectual tradition of the Far Maghrib, the majority of scholars studied and memorized the

<sup>20</sup> See Ahmad b. Ibrāhīm al-Qashtālī (d. early cighth/foorteenth cent.), Tuḥfat al-maghtarib bi-bilād al-Maghrib li-man lahu mm al-lithwān fi korāmāt al-Shaykh Abī Marwān, ed Fernando de la Granja (Madrid 1974) 81 82

<sup>21</sup> See 'Abd at Haqq b. Ismā'il al-Bādisi (fl. 711/1311), al-Maqaad al-sharif wa'l-manza' at-tafif fi 'l-sa'rīf bu-sulahā' al-Rīf, ed. Sa'id Ahmad A'rāb (Rabat 1982) 115

<sup>22</sup> al-Manüm, 'Madkhal' 199

<sup>23</sup> Ibid. 200-201

<sup>24</sup> On 1bn al-Hannk' and his works, see Mohamed B.A. Benchekroun, La Vie Inteltectuelle Marocaine sous le Mérinides et les Wațțăsides (Rabat 1974) 178-185

<sup>25</sup> Thomas S. Kuha, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions (Chicago 1970) 10, 16-17

<sup>26</sup> See Benchekroun, La Vie Intellectuelle 67 See also, Azaz al Azmeh, Ibn Khatdûn (London and New York 1990) 153-155.

same works. In the field of jurisprudence these offenngs included: the Risāla of Ibn Abī Zayd, the Mukhtaşar of Ibn al Hājib, the Mukhtaşar of Khalil b. Ishaq, the Tahdhib of al Barādhi'i, and the Mudawwana of Sahnūn.<sup>27</sup> Other disciplines, such as grammar and tafsīr al-qur'ān, also had their own body of standard or abridged texts.

Throughout the Marinid period, the ulama of the capital city of Fez saw themselves as the arbiters of epistemology, or "those who know what one is supposed to know." That issues of authority were paramount in the minds of these scholars is proven by their concern for "proper" sources of precedent and the privileged position of the ulama as an intellectual class. These attitudes are expressed in a variety of manuscripts, from collections of fatwas to the memoirs of court officials and the biographies of Sufi saints. This article, however, will focus primarily on two works that appeared at the end of their respective centuries: Ahmad b. Yaḥyā al-Wansharīsī's ninth/fifteenth-century compendium of juridical opinions entitled, al-Mi'yār al-mu'rib wa'l-jāmi' al-mughrib 'an fatāwī ahl Ifrīqiyā wa'l-Andalus wa'l-Maghrib and Ibn Khaldān's eighth/fourteenth-century fatwa on Sufism, Shifā' al-sā'il li-tahdhīb al-masā'il.29

The first round in the conflict between the Marinid ulama and the Sufis involved a reprise of earlier, Almoravid-era arguments against Abū Hāmid al-Ghazālī (d. 505/1111) and his magnum opus, Ihvā' 'ulūm al-dīm. The point of this debate seems not to have been about al-Ghazālī's views on Sufism, but rather about his critical attitude toward taqlīd, or loyalty to a single school of law.30 The text of al-Wansharīsī's al-Mt'yār contains a fatwa by al-Ghazālī for his student Abū Bakr Ibn al-'Arabī (d. 543/1149), who had questioned the permissibility of using uṣūl methodology in a Mālikī context. In this fatwa al-Ghazālī (a Shāfī'ite by both training and preference) pays lip-service to the equality of the four Sunni schools of law, but in fact dismisses the collective traditions of the early Mushm community as untrustworthy.31 This opinion undermines the authority of the

27 Benchekronn, La Vie Intellectuelle 65.

31 al-Wansharisi, al Mi'var xi, 163-165.

Māliki madhhab, which looks to the practice ('amal) of first/seventh-century Medina as its primary source of precedent.

Despite the subversive implications of al Ghazali's legal doctrines, few ulama in the Marinid period dared to challenge this paragon of Islamic scholarship and master of uşūl methodology. As if to underline this point, in his memoir of court life under Sultan Abū'l-Hasan al-Marini (r. 731-49/1331-48), the governmental secretary and Suhrawardī Sufī Muhammad b. Marzūq al-Tilimsānī (d. 781/1379) recounts a nightmare sent by God to punish the Naṣrid ruler of Granada for allowing himself to be turned against al-Ghazālī by his advisers. 32

A second and more successful type of anti-Sufi polemic was directed against the phenomenon of institutionalized Sufism. This critique was influenced by the teachings of the Hanbalite jurist and theologian Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328). Two of Ibn Taymiyya's students, the brothers "Abd al-Rahman and Aba Musa "Isa al-Burashki, were present at the court of Abū'l-Hasan al-Marīni,33 From the very beginning, the prime target of this polemic was rural, or ribāt-based Sufism, which proliferated widely during the unrest that accompanied the disintegration of the Almohad state. Somewhat surprisingly, one of the earliest opponents of the rural fuqurao was a Sufi himself the juridically-minded Abū Muhammad al-Fishtālī (d. 660/1261) who denounced them as 'hypocrites who use religion to extort the goods of simple and credulous people in order to satisfy their thinly-disguised cupidity'.34 A similar attitude is expressed by Ibn Marzūq, who grumbles about the 'ignorant sulahā' of the countryside' and their 'failure to follow the ways of the folk of knowledge and discipline ('adam sulūk masālik ahl al-'tlm wa'lrivāda)<sup>2</sup>,35

These criticisms, however, should not cause one to assume that all forms of rural Sufism were unacceptable. On the contrary, from the very beginning of their reign, the Marinids sought to legitimize their tribally-based regime by promoting popular as well as elite forms of piety. Umm al-Yumn, the mother of Abū Yūsuf al-Marinī (r. 656-85/1258-86), is portrayed by official historians as a saint and was the

<sup>28</sup> Thanks are due to Professor Jonathan Lipman of Mount Holyoke College for this phrase

<sup>29</sup> For al-Wansharisi s al Micwär, see op. cut... For Ibn Khuldün's Shifā' al sā'il, see idem, La Voie et la Loi, ou le Moître et le Juriste, trans. René Pérez (Paris 1991).

<sup>30</sup> For Andalusian critiques of al-Ghazáli's views on Sufism, see Maribel Fierro, 'Opposition to Sufism in al Andalus', p. 186 in this volume

<sup>32</sup> Muhammad b. Marziiq al Tilimsäni, al-Musnad al-sahih al-hasan fi ma'dihir wamohäsin Mawlinii Abi'l-Hasan, ed. Maria-Jesus Vigocia (Algiers 1981) 307-309

<sup>33</sup> Ibid. 265-266.

<sup>34</sup> Benchekronn, La Vie Intellectuelle 488.

<sup>35</sup> Ibn Marzüq, al-Musnad 162.

disciple of a Rifian Sufi named Abū 'Uthman al Waryaghli.36 According to the hagiographer 'Abd al-Ḥaqq al-Bādisī (fl. 711/1311), the Sufis of Baqqūya in the Rif mountains would gather three times a year at the hermitage endowed by this pious woman (saliḥa), who was the daughter of a tribal leader from the same region.37

Within Fez itself, a considerable number of Sufis could be found among the ulama, and included some very prominent figures. One was the noted muhaddith Muhammad b. Rushayd al-Sabti (d. 721/1321), who served as the imam of major mosques in both Morocco and al-Andalus.38 In addition, Muhammad b. "Alī b. "Abd al-Razzāq al-Jazūlī (d. 749/1348) the grandson of one of the principle disciples of the Andalusian shaykh Abū Madyan (d. 594/1198), served as a gadi, an instructor in 'ilm al-usul, and a preacher for no less than three Marinid rulers.39 Another Sufi was the Andalusian courtier and biographer Lisan al-Din Ibn al-Khatib (d. 776/1375), whose mystical treatise, Rawdat al-ta'rīf bi'l-hubb al-sharīf, provided the pretext for his execution.40 Finally, at the very end of the Marinid period, one finds in the Fihris of Muhammad b. Ghāzî al-Miknäsi (fl. 896/1491) that this conservative and highly respected legist and historian saw no contradiction in following a Khadirian Sufi tradition.41

This last example, which involves the iconoclastic jumping of links in a Sufi silsila, or chain of doctrinal transmission, brings up the related issues of authority and the nature of legitimate tradition. These two issues, far more than doctrinal concerns, were at the crux of the debate between the legist and the Sufi in Marinid Morocco. Indeed, the nearly total absence of doctrinal disputes in juridical sources from this region is in marked contrast to the situation in

Mamluk Egypt, where such critiques were commonplace.<sup>42</sup> The majority of anti-Sufi fatwas in al Wansharisi's al Mi'yār deal with issues of praxis, such as dancing, singing, and the involvement of women in Sufi rituals. The most detailed opinions, however, are concerned with what might be called the "intellectual chain of command". An unusually overt expression of this problem can be found in an opinion by the Fāsi legist 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Qayrawānī (d. 750/1349-50), who ostensibly critiques ecstatic dancing (raqs) and sessions of rhythmic chanting or singing (samā'). As the following excerpt demonstrates, however, the real issue is not these practices per se, but the fact that the Sufis see themselves as alternatives to the ulama as sources of authority:

'This illiterate (unumiya), ignorant (phila), and stupid (phabiyya) faction (phila) has appeared, who enjoy gathering ignorant people around them and targeting the commoners (al-'awdina), who are receptive to them but deficient in intellect. The Sufia enter among them by means of religion, making themselves their advisers, (and claiming) that their way is the way of the lovers of God. They urge them to practice repentance, altruism, love, true brotherhood, the denial of affluence and lust, and the heart's complete devotion to God with purpose, desire, and intent.

These attributes are praiseworthy and exemplary in religion, but when they are found among the sects of the Sulis (al-quiun) they are a deadly poison and a great calaimty. This faction is the most harmful of all to the Muslims because they are rebellious salams. They are also the most difficult of factions to cure, for they are the furthest from understanding the ways of reasoned proof. This is because the basis (ast) of their sect is hatred for the ulumn and flight from them. They imagine that (the ulumn) are highway-robbers, who are veiled by their knowledge from the station of attainment. One who is in this state has lost the ability to engage in discourse (saqajat mukilamatuhu) and is beyond any cure. There is no use in saying anything to him, for speaking with him is as futile as striking cold from But one who does not involve himself with them (man him wanghamis fi khābiwahhun) and does not fall into their abyas, may perhaps be safe from their entiry and protected from their error.

Know that this innovation (of Sulism), which consists of corrupting the behels  $("aqa^2id)$  of the commoners, is quicker than the coursing of poison through the body and is more harmful to religiou than sexual promiscuity  $(zin\bar{a})$ , theft, or any of the other transgressions or sins. For the evil of these latter sins are well-known, both to the one who commist them and to the one who avoids them. The sinner cannot fool anyone and can only hope for repentance and restraint. But the perpetrator of this (Sufi)  $bid^2a$  imagines that (his behavior constitutes the most perfect type of obedience and the most exalted form of worship. There-

<sup>36</sup> See Abū'l-Ḥasan 'Alī b. Abī Zar' al-Fēsī (fl. 726/1326), al-Anīs al-muṭrib bi-rawḍ al-quṭās fī akhbār muṭūk al-Maghrib wa-ta'rīkh madīnat Fās (Rabat 1973) 297

<sup>37</sup> al Bădisi, al-Maqsad 92.

<sup>38</sup> On Ibn Rashayd and his works, see Benchekroon, Lo Vie Intellectuelle 186-194.

<sup>39 [</sup>bn Marzüg, al-Musned 262-265 and 410-411

<sup>40</sup> See Pérez, Introduction to Ibn Khalden, La Voie et la Loi 75-77. See also, Benchekroun, La Vie Intellectuelle 265-276. For the text of this work, see Lisän al-Din Ibn al-Khalib al Ghamati, Rawdat al-ta'rif bi'l-hubb al-sharif, ed. Muhammad al-Kattáni (Beurut 1970).

<sup>41</sup> Abb 'Abdallāh Muḥammad b. Ghāzi al-Miknāsī, Fihris Ibn Ghāzī al-Ta'allul binisām al isnād ba'd intigāl ahl al-manzil wa'l-nād, ed. Muḥammad al-Zhh (Casablanca 1979)

91 In premodem Merceco, a Khadirian turiga was analogous to the Uwaysi traditions of the
Mashriq and meant that the training of one's spiritual master was not wholly dependent on an
established spiritual genealogy

<sup>42</sup> See, for example, Th. Emile Homerin, 'Suffs and Their Detractors in Mamlink Egypt', p. 225 ff.. in this volume

FAQÎH VERSUS FAQÎR IN MARINID MOROCCO

fore, the door of repentance is closed to him and he is cast forth from it, wandering numbers if For how can one hope for repentance from one who believes that (his error) is obedience and worship? $^{4.3}$ 

Al Qayrawani's contention that the Sufis and the ulama have lost the ability even to speak with each other reveals the epistemological dimension of the debate between the faqih and the faqir in Marinid society. It also brings to mind Jürgen Habermas' theory of communicative action. This pragmatic model of rationality focuses on how speaking and acting subjects acquire and use the shared forms of knowledge that lie behind consensus.44 It is clear from the above passage that al-Qayrawani and the Sufis he criticizes are not participants in the same communicative situation. Since each has completely different definitions of obedience to God, worship, and piety, there appears to be no common ground from which one can rationally judge the beliefs of the other. Indeed, far from sharing a basis for consensus, their "lifeworlds" are so separate as to pose a threat to each other's existence. For al-Qayrawani, the only recourse is to avoid the Sufis like the plague, lest their doctrines infect the Muslim community with a disease that cannot be cured.

If one switches from a Habermasian to a Foucauldian perspective, one notices that what started as a problem of communication has now become a contest for power. Al-Qayrawānī is a jurist from Fez, the seat of the Marinid sultanate and the educational center for all of the western Maghrib. As a member of the ulama, he is one of the "people who count" (al-a'yān) and belongs to a family of scholars whose ties of kinship and patronage link him to the other elites who speak for the capital city as a whole. His status as a man of means is revealed by his patronizing attitude toward the lower classes (who are dismissed as creatures of little intellect) and his desire to present himself as far from outside influences. In a later passage from this fatwa he declares:

'I do not mean by the claims those in our age who bosy themselves with the disciplines of debate and disputation. Nor (do I mean) those who rely on the study of juridical decisions (masti'd al-aqqiva) and the rules of testimony (shahādāt) only to amass wealth, to become intimate with rulers and authority-figures, or to attain leadership over the commoners'.45

Beyond any question of doctrine or practice, al-Qayrawani's main

43 al-Wansharisi, al-Ma' var xt. 30-31

problem with the Sufis is that they are unqualified to act as his peers, yet cover the prerogatives of "one who knows" ('ālun, pl 'ulamā') These presumptuous mystics are not the products of a state-sponsored education, nor did they attend the study circles of the scholars at the Qarawiyyin mosque. Although they are acquainted with few, if any, of the sources that form the basis of a fagih's training, they nonetheless call into question the legitimacy of the intelligentsia who have guided the Marinid state through decades of dynastic strife and intertribal conflict. 'If you see anyone avoiding the ulama', al-Oayrawani cautions, 'avoid him, for no one avoids (the ulama) but the misguided innovator, who is neither guided by the Law nor a follower (of tradition). The ways of the Law can only be learned from the ulama, who are the heirs to the prophets (warathat alanbiva3). Indeed, how could it be otherwise, since God has made His testimony and the testimony of His angels like the testimony of the foremost in knowledge?'46

For al-Qayrawānī, it is the faqīh, and not the faqīr, who is the true saint, or walī Allāh. After all, he maintains, of what real value are the evidentiary miracles of the Sufi saint compared with the knowledge, rectitude, and wisdom of the pious legist?

'Let not any of you be deceived by the imaginings and fantasses of the annovators and the misguided and believe that they are misacles. On the contrary, they are (signs of) heresy and snares set by Satan to entrap those who believe in annovation and commit the sin of cupidity. In truth, the real numeric that God bestows on the person who displays rectified (istighma) is rectified (istelf) in following the Book and the Sunna and the practice of the forebears of this community ('annal sate) haddith al-numma'), 47

Likewise, it is the jurists, and not the Sufi shaykhs, who should be seen as the true heirs to the prophets and the imams of guidance (a'immat al-hudā):

'(The believer) is obliged to take them as examples and to pattern his conduct after them, to follow in their footsteps, and to memorize their traditions . . . they are the real red sulphus (al-kibrit al-ahmar). 48 Thus, if one falls short and is mable to reach their station but still

<sup>44</sup> See H. J. Habermas, The Theory of Communicative Action 10-22 (on lifeworlds), 286-287 and 307-308 (on agreement).

<sup>45</sup> al Wansharisi, al-Mi'vās xi, 32

<sup>46</sup> Ibid. This last sentence refers to Koran 3/18: 'God bears witness that there is no God but He, and that the angels and the foremost in knowledge are the uphoiders of justice (shahida Allāhu annohu lā itāha illā huwa wa't-matā'ikatu wa-ulā t-'dim qā'unan hi't-qist). Al-Qayrawānī's inclusion of the testimony of the angels and the foremost in knowledge in his exegosis of the verb shahida (to testify, bear witness) goes beyond the hieral meaning of the āva.

<sup>47</sup> al-Wansharisi, al-Mi'nor xi, 32.

<sup>48</sup> The use of this term is a verted reference to the Sufi practice of alchemy and may also be a back-handed reference to the doctrines of Muhyi al-Dia Ibn al "Arabi (d. 638.1240). See

knows the truth, he should not deceive himself or be misled. This is true counsel for those honest brothers and Sufis (al-ikhwān aṣ-ṣādiqīn wa'l-murīdīn) who are able to comprehend it 49

When faced with such an uncompromising assertion of juridical authority, it is easy to forget that there were other exoteric legists in Fez who disagreed with al-Qayrawani and were more accomodating to the ways of the Sufis. However, even these liberal fuqaha' shared similar assumptions about the centrality of legal methodology as a way to knowledge. This can be seen in a fatwa on the necessity of following a spiritual master by Ahmad al-Judhami al-Fāsi (d. 778/1376), who was more widely known as "al-Qabbāb" (the bucket-maker).50 This scholar attempts to stake out an intermediate position between the faqih and the faqir by describing them as specialists who work from different, yet complementary epistemes.51 Despite its open-mindedness, however, this middle-of-the-road fatwa contains a subtext that differs markedly from its surface discourse. At first, al-Qabbāb feigns ignorance of the Sufi way of knowledge, saying:

'I have no expertise in (lit., "my mind and bands are empty of") this 'ilm. I have no knowledge of the terminology (margalahite) of the Sufis, I have not specialized in any of their disciplines, I have not devoted myself to their ways (minq), I have not followed their should be not sat with their pendits (a 'limatum), and I have not experienced the attenument of their goals', 52

This protestation of ignorance should not be taken at face value. Although he is not a member of a Sufi tā'ifa, al-Qabbāb is fully aware of Sufi doctrines and has met at least one of their most important shaykhs. We know this because he admits that he is acquainted with the teachings of the juridically-oriented mystic Abū Muhammad al-Fishtālī (see above) and has actually visited the reclusive patron saint of Salé, Ahmad b. 'Ashir al-Ansari (d. 764/1362) 53 What is more, his knowledge of these two Sufis is sufficient for him to attempt a formal definition of Sufism as an intel-

for example, Claude Addas, Quest for the Red Sulphur: The Life of ibn 'Arabî (Cambridge 1993)

50 For information on al-Qabbāb, see Ahmad Bābā al-Timbukti (d. 1036/1627), Nayl alibhhāj bi-taṭriz al-dībāj (Pez 1377/1899) 52-53. lectual discipline. This he sums up as comprising two "arts" (fann) (1) the knowledge of spiritual states and the means to their at tainment (i.e., mysticism); and (2) the maintenance of virtuous acts, their purification from vice, and knowledge of the sins of the lower soul (i.e., piety and ethics).<sup>54</sup>

Although al-Qabbāb clearly prefers pietistic and ethical Sufism over mysticism, he is hesitant to condemn mysticism outright Rather, he asserts that Sufism, like medicine or fiqh, is a discipline that includes both theoretical and practical forms of knowledge. As a form of theoretical knowledge, mysticism is too complex to be learned from books alone. Thus, the aspiring mystic must have a qualified teacher (i.e., a Sufi shaykh) for guidance. As an example of such a teacher he cites the late-Almohad-era Sufi al-Fishtālī, whose fame in the art of miracle-working (fann al-karāmāt) was based on a juridically validated reputation for rectitude. Those who sought al-Fishtālī's guidance, we are told, were first ordered to study with a faqīh named Abū Muhammad Sālih<sup>55</sup> This is because the only legitimate entry into Sufism is through the "gate of repentance":

"As for the rules of Sufism's proper practice... these are to be found in the books of fight,... for what lies behind repentance is an infinity (ghāw) that cannot be attained. Sufism is a fearful, difficult, and unsafe path and few are those who partake of it and are guided to it. Therefore, it is best and safest for the repenter to limit himself to the knowledge of the exotence legists (fugahā' al-zāhir). In fact, it is not even permissible today to join a shaykh as one's first step in following the Sufi way: 56

With these words, al-Qabbāb shows his true colors, and joins his less liberal colleagues in asserting the superiority of the juridical path of knowledge over that of mysticism. It is for similar reasons as well that he recommends the *Risāla* of al-Qushayrī (d. 467/1074) and the *Iḥyā* of al-Ghazālī as introductions to Sufi doctrine. Al-Qushayrī poses little threat to the ulama because he is universally recognized as a "Sunni Sufi" (sic.) and upholds the authority of orthodox tradition. As for al-Ghazālī, he possesses unimpeachable credentials as a paragon of proper 'tim. This is proven by his unsurpassed knowledge of orthodox theology as well as a practical expertise in applied jurisprudence (al masā'il al-fiqhiyva) that both precedes and informs his

<sup>49</sup> al-Wansharisi, al-Mi' võr xì, 32-33. Al-ikhwān (the brothers), al-sõdigān (the truthful) and al-muridün (the aspirants) are terms that Sulis use to describe themselves.

<sup>51</sup> For the text of this fatwa, see al-Wansharisi, al-Mi var xi, 117-123, see also, Perez, Introduction to Pen Khaldun, La Voie et la Lat 48-52.

<sup>52</sup> al-Wansharisi, at-Mi var xi, 117.

<sup>53</sup> Tord, 120-121

<sup>54</sup> Ibid. 119.

<sup>55</sup> It is nuclear whether this individual is Abū Muhammad Şārih b. Yansāren al Māgiri (d. 631/1234), the greatest Moroccan successor to Abū Madyan and the founder of Ribāt Asnfi If this were the case, he would be a juridically-oriented Sufi, not a faqih

<sup>56</sup> al-Wansharisi, al-Mi'var xi, 121

mystical doctrines. 57

The Tunisian jurist, historian and social theorist 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ibn Khaldun follows a similar line of reasoning in his fatwa on Sufism, Shifa' al-sa'ıl. Starting from the discussion of fields of knowledge that comprises the first book (Kitāb al-cilm) of al-Ghazāli's Ihvā' 'ulum al-dīn, he divides Sufism into two epistemological categories: (1) the science of praxis ('ilm al-mu'amalat), in which Sufism is linked to the sharm, and (2) the science of "unveiling" or mysticism ('ilm al-mukāshafāt), in which Sufism goes beyond the sharta. These epistemes, in turn, form the basis of two separate, but complementary disciplines, which Ibn Khaldun characterises as types of figh: (1) outward figh (figh al-zāhir), which consists of the laws relative to the actions of the body; and (2) inward figh (figh al-batin), which concerns the laws relative to the inner workings of the conscience and the salvation of the soul.58 It is this latter figh, Ibn Khaldun claims, that constitutes the true domain of Sufism.

Ibn Khaldun is unique among Marinid-era jurists in that he deals explicitly with Sufi doctrine. Despite this departure from the norm, however, his perspective on Sufism is little different from that of al-Qabbāb and includes a similarly exoteric approach to esoterism. For example, he sees no contradiction in eventually negating the epistemological dimension of figh al-batin (what we would call Sufi metapsychology), and redefining it in purely exoteric and behaviorallyoriented terms as figh al-warar, or the figh of pious scrupulousness.59 Equally significant is his repudiation of Sufi mysticism ('ilm almukāshafāt). Completely rejecting this 'ilm as a legitimate form of knowledge, he dismisses such well-established doctrines as Ibn al-'Arabi's theory of the Divine Names as "bizarre" derivations of the teachings of the philosophers 60

For Ibn Khaldun, the mystical dimension of Islam - which Sufis and Western Islamicists alike tend to think of as the very essence of taşawwuf - is nothing but an innovation of an innovation, a bid a compounding a bidea. This brusque dismissal of mysticism is expressed even more strongly in another (and much shorter) fatwa in which he calls for the consignment of Ibn al-'Arabi's works to the

By the end of his fatwa, Ibn Khaldun strips away the epistemological or "scientific" dimension of Sufism entirely and reduces it to mere asceticism. Thus denuded of its doctrinal content, tasawwuf comprises spiritual combat, heroic piety, and little else - a model that poses no threat to the juridically-defined epistemology of the ulama. In this highly circumscribed system, the Sufi shaykh has only two legitimate roles left to play: he can either teach an orthodox science like the shaykhs of the Qarawiyyin and the other educational centers of the Maghrib (al-shaykh al-mu'allim), or he can act as a moral and spiritual adviser who helps others attain happiness and salvation (al-murabbī al-nāṣih).62 In these roles, the function of the Sufi shaykh is similar to that of a jurisconsult (shaykh al-fatwā), in that he imparts his knowledge to his own students and guides the masses in the ways of proper conduct. Thus, for Ibn Khaldun both the juridically-legitimated Sufi and the fagih specialize in praxis, the only difference being that the Sufi deals with the inward rather than the outward aspect of figh al-mu'amalat. In other words, the Sufi is a faaih of the heart rather than of the body

A similar analogy also applies to sainthood. According to Ibn Khaldun, the difference between the wall Allah and any other pious specialist in figh is one of degree rather than of kind. This is not to say that sainthood is unreal. True saints do, in fact, exist; they are pious men and women who have retired from the world and have purged all earthly attachments from their hearts. However, like any other Muslim exemplar, the essence of their sanctity does not lie in their mystical doctrines, but in their internalization of the teachings of the Koran and the legal rulings (mashrū'iyvāt) that are derived from it.63

The opinions of al-Oabbab and Ibn Khaldûn represent — from a jurist's point of view— what has variously been called "sharia-minded", "Sunni," or "juridical" Sufism.64 Although the legists of the

flames. But if mysticism is not legitimate Sufism, what is? The answer, Ibn Khaldun believes, can be found in the 'way of the Sunna and the Righteous Forebears (al salaf al-sālih), which conforms to the example of the Holy Book, the Sunna, the Righteous Forebears, the Companions of the Prophet, and their Followers'.61

<sup>57</sup> Ibid

<sup>58</sup> Ibn Kha.d0a, Sh.fa° al-sā°il , in Pérez, La Voie et la Loi 112

<sup>59</sup> Ibid

<sup>60</sup> Ibid. 180

<sup>61</sup> Ibid 251-253.

<sup>62</sup> Ibid 197

<sup>63</sup> Thid 211

<sup>64</sup> For a detailed discussion of these concepts, see my monograph, Realm of the Saint Power and Authority in Moroccan Sufism (Austin 1998).

Marinid era had different thresholds of tolerance in regard to matters of doctrine and practice, they all shared an abiding interest in maintaining an epistemology that was defined by themselves alone — the exclusive fraterinty of ulama. In the significant minority of cases where a faqih was also a Sufi faqir, his tasawwif continued to be expressed in juridically-oriented rather than mystical terms. Like his exoteric colleagues, the juridical Sufi upheld the authority of a fighbased epistemology that was predicated on a highly circumscribed list of acceptable sources: the Koran, the Sunna, the 'amal of the Prophet's Companions and their Followers, an ijtihād that was based on a commonly-held conception of logic, and a theory of consensus that was defined and mediated by the ulama themselves.

At times, when the influence of those Sufis who advocated a different epistomology became too great, the Marinid ulama would call upon the power of the state to enforce their will and secure their role as the arbiters of both knowledge ('ilm) and practice ('amal). In urban areas, where centralization was the norm, their writ was seldom challenged. In the rural periphenes, however, and especially in the mountains, it was all the ulama could do to maintain respect for their views in the face of a popular mysticism that challenged not only their sociopolitical influence, but also their very reason for being. It is highly ironic that the stable, rational, and well-ordered universe of the Moroccan fagih would be undone in modern times, not by the dreaded Sufi, but by royal absolutism, the twentieth-century nationstate, a simplistic form of Salafi exoterism that reduces theology and dogma to the lowest common denominator, and an information technology that disseminates alternative and even alien modes of knowledge to the masses. Under such conditions, the epistemological perspective of the premodern jurist was no longer relevant, and thus could not survive.

# SUFIS AND THEIR DETRACTORS IN MAMLUK EGYPT A SURVEY OF PROTAGONISTS AND INSTITUTIONAL SETTINGS

#### TH. EMIL HOMERIN

A sense of confidence is conveyed by the Islamic architecture of Cairo. Though on occasion subject to earthquake and fire, medieval Cairo escaped the brutal destructions incurred by most major urban centers of the Islamic heartlands. We can still trace the pattern of Fustat's water works, of al-Hākim's imposing mosque, and we may enter Saladin's Citadel, which now guards the small serene sanctuary of Sulaymān Pasha together with Muḥaminad 'Alī's grandiose mosque pretentious to Ottoman power.

Security and authority are the impressions initially left by the magnificent domed structures of the Mamluk period, from the stately mosques of Qalā'ūn, al-Nāṣir Muḥammad, and Sulṭān Ḥasan, to the vast desert complexes left by Barqūq, Bars Bāy, and Qā'it Bāy. Yet, drawing nearer one sees that Saladin's walls bear marks of the Crusades; al-Nāṣir Muḥammad's mosque reveals the Mongol presence, while Sultan Ḥasan's sumptuous mosque and madrasa embody a financial legacy left by the Black Death.<sup>2</sup>

Threats of invasion, of famine and infestation — whether real or imagined — were conspicuous features of Mamluk society. Frequently, they were all too real, and the Mongols and the bubonic plague, in particular, were by far the most devastating. Due to their strength, severity, and continued recurrence, both were experienced by Muslims as painful trials sent by God to test his community. These were realities shared by everyone, from the masses praying

I wish to thank Ruth Zoe Ost, Daniel Beaumont, and William Scott Green for reading earlier drafts of this paper and for offering their valuable suggestions.

<sup>2</sup> See Doris Behrens-Abousest, The Islamic Architecture of Cairo (Leiden 1989) and Richard Parker, R. Sabin, and C. Williams, Islamic Monuments of Cairo: A Practical Guide (Cairo 1985). For a description of Saladin's construction of the walls, which were largely built by Crasader prisoners, and the larger citadel complex, see Architecture 78-85; and Monuments 233-45; regarding architectural elements brought by the Mongols and visible in al Näşir Muhammad's buildings, see Architecture 108-110; and Monuments 201 202, 267, and for Sulfan Hasan's complex financed by a windfall of legacies appropriated by the state from victims of the 1348 Black Death, see Architecture 122 28, and Monuments 76-83

227

merely to survive, to the Mamluk military elite struggling to maintain order and retain power 3

These crises were decisive to the religious concerns that dominated the Mamluk period, and both threats were especially acute for the religious authorities who endeavored to ward off chaos and provide solace for the bereaved. Indeed, it was their task to invoke spiritual protection, to oppose those gone astray and re-establish right guidance in the hope that they might set things right with God. Of course, personal, economic, and political privileges were factors in many religious debates and controversies, together with matters of doctrine and ratual. Yet, whatever the particular dispute, a sense of urgency and anxiety was often present regarding the salvation not only of individual Muslim souls, but of the larger Islamic community, as well.4

That elements of Sufism were part of these concerns and debates is not surprising for Islamic mysticism was pervasive in Mamluk culture, having been present in Egypt for centuries. By the thirteenth century, the very term Sufi could designate a legitimate professional occupation within the religious establishment, and this fact, alone, calls into question accounts of Mamluk religious life as polarized between "orthodoxy" and "heresy," or between logists and Sufis. Such two-tier models of religion relegate vital elements of Islam and its mystical tradition to an amorphous "popular" faith at odds with a literate religion of an august elite when, in fact, Sufism's importance to Muslim life was rarely challenged by religious authorities in the Mamluk period,5

3 Concerning the impact of the Mongols see the El vii, 230-5; for the bubonic plague ace Michael W. Dols, The Black Death in the Middle East (Princeton 1977, Second Printing With Corrections 1979).

4 Especially see Dors, Black Death 109-21, 281-302, and id. 'Al-Manbiji's 'Report of the Plague' a Treatise on the Plague of 764-65/1362-64 in the Middle East', in Daniel William (ed ), The Black Death Impact of the Fourteenth-Century Plague (Binghamton 1982) 65 75 For the Mongol threat see below p 231.

Yet, while many aspects of Sufism were acceptable to the vast majority of Muslims, particular types of Sufis, and their beliefs and practices were often the target of criticism and censure. A number of such critiques and polemical works suggest that fundamentally at stake were issues of religious authority. Although the Koran and prophetic sunna served as the primary sources for Islam's doctrine and ritual, over the centuries Muslims sought to elaborate and enhance the original message in a variety of ways. Individual spiritual inspiration and mystical experiences made their contributions to this endeavor, but the value and authority given to such experiences varied. In the opinion of some scholars, mystical experiences were of limited worth due to their highly personal and individual nature. In so far as these experiences confirmed Islam's fundamental revealed truths, they were of value for infusing the faith with belief and emotive power. Other religious authorities, however, placed a higher premium on mystical states which, they believed, brought the mystic into a close loving relationship with God. For them, mystical experiences were an essential source for both moral purification and gnosis, and so an invaluable asset to Muslim religious life.

What we find, then, was not so much a polarization of religious authorities between mystics and non mystics but, rather, a gradation of opinion regarding mystical experiences, their content, and value vis-à-vis other kinds of authoritative sources. Correspondingly, there was a range of views regarding specific Sufi doctrines, rituals, and related practices - such as the veneration of saints - and their degrees of validity with respect to Islamic law and existing social norms. This, in turn, mirrored a similar flexibility regarding the appropriate models of personal piety and social behavior, and the possible types or "tracks" of religious education available.6

Naturally, the place and power of mystical ideas, practices, and practitioners within Mamluk society varied over time and changing circumstance, often reflecting social, economic, and political constderations, as well. Clearly, the religious establishment relied heavily on the patronage of the Mamluk military elite, whose beliefs, aims, and motives were, then, quite influential. But the much poorer masses, too, made their needs and presence felt, and the effects of both groups may be seen in the issues, categories, and language employed

<sup>5</sup> In fact, the very definition of "orthodoxy" is open to question, see Alexander Knysh, "Orthodoxy" and "Heresy" in Medieval Islam: An Essay in Reassessment", Muslim World Ixxxiii (1993) 48-67. On the two-tier model and its continued application to Islam see Th. Emil. Homerm, "Umar I'm al-Färid, A Saint of Mamfuk and Ottoman Egypt", in Grace Maxim Smith and Carl W. Ernst (eds.), Manifestations of Sainthood in Islam (Islambul 1993) 85-94, esp. 93-94, also see Boaz Shoshan, Popular Culture in Medieval Cairo (Cambridge 1993) 67-78. For a brief survey of Sufis in Egypt from the Islamic conquest through the Mambuk period, see Jalāl al Dīn al-Suyūji, Husn al-muhādara fi akhbār Misr wa'l-Qāhira (Cairo 1903) i, 243-53, a. 155-64, which is a major source for an account of Sufism in Ayyubid and Mamluk Egypt by "Abd al-Latif Hamza, al-Haraka al-fikriyya fi Misr fi'l-'asraya al Avyābī wa'l-

Mantlükī al-awwal (Cano 1945?) 95-146, esp. 120-46, 104-12

<sup>6</sup> For a general discussion of some of the issues at stake see Steven T Katz, 'The 'Conservative' Character of Mystical Experience', in S.T. Katz (ed.), Mysticism and Religious Traditions (Oxford 1983) 3-60.

in religious debates, as well as in the outcomes of specific disputes between Sufis and their detractors in the Mamluk empire.

As with political legitimation and military organization, the Mamluk world was in religion, too, the direct heir to developments of the preceding Zangid and Ayyubid period. During the twelfth-thirteenth centuries, key dimensions of Islamic mysticism coalesced around several issues and personalities which would substantially shape Sufi notions and institutions for centuries. Perhaps the most outstanding figure was Muhyī al-Dīn Ibn al-cArabī (560-637/1165-1240) who wrote and taught on reality and the mystical quest for gnosis. His non-dualistic theosophy posits that all existence is the outward appearance of one true and necessary being and, so, things have only a relative, not absolute, existence. To grasp this truth and find real oneness, however, the sincere seeker must devote himself to both study, and a rigorous life of moral and spiritual punfication.8

A number of Ibn al-'Arabī's disciples, including Şadr al-Dīn al-Qūnawī (605-673/1207-1274) and 'Afīf al-Dīn al-Tilimsānī (616-690/1219-1291), expounded their own variations of their master's mystical teachings, and these various theosophies were soon referred to collectively under the rubric wahdar al-wujūd or "the unity of being". Adherents of the wujūdī school read the Koran and interpreted Islam in light of their own mystical beliefs and experiences, and their ideas and interpretations became a major intellectual force throughout the Islamic world.9

Indeed, al-Qūnawī, al-Tīlimsānī, and others sought to find wujūdī notions in the work of a second major figure of the Ayyubid period, the master Arab poet "Umar Ibn al-Fāriḍ (576-632/1181-1235). While there is no credible evidence that this poet knew Ibn al-"Arabī or his teachings, Ibn al-Fāriḍ's verse is tinged with a mystical and monistic view of life. Ibn al-Fāriḍ was regarded by many of his contemporaries as the greatest poet of his time, and his verse was a do-

minant force in the Arabic poetry of the Mamluk period Further, two of his poems — the Khamriyya, and the massive  $T\bar{a}^2$ iyya kubrâ — became classics of Sufi literature, and wujudi commentators employed both poems as a verse medium through which to spread the doctrines of Ibn al-cArabi. 10

Two other celebrated Egyptran mystics, Abū'l-Ḥasan 'Alī al-Shādhili (593-656/1196-1258) and al-Sayyid Ahmad al-Badawī (596-675/1200-1276), represent further important religious trends of the time. Both were founders of distinctive Sufi orders, which developed their own particular rules and practices for lay members, as well as for adepts. <sup>11</sup> These and other Sufi orders were popular among all strata of Muslim society, and al-Shādhilī and al-Badawī, together with Ibn al-Fāriḍ and Ibn al-'Arabī, were soon numbered among God's saints, whose spiritual power (baraka) and intercession could be called upon by believers in need. <sup>12</sup>

Along with the Sufi orders and cult of the saints came another major institutional development, the rise of establishments known variously as zāwiyas, ribāṭs, and khānqāhs. In general, a zāwiya was a meeting place and, occasionally, a residence, for a teacher and a few students. Normally of modest size and endowment, zāwiyas were often associated with a specific Sufi master or order. By contrast, ribāṭs and khānqāhs — the terms were often synonymous — constituted more substantial institutions serving as Sufi hostels; they were designed to provide cells and other accommodations for resident and itinerant mystics, as well as space for communal worship. Increasingly in the Mamluk documents, the term ribāṭ came to designate a residence for the poor, indigent, and elderly, while khānqāh specified

<sup>7</sup> For an overview of Mamluk religious life see Annemarie Schunnel, 'Some Glimpses of Religious Life during the Later Mamluk Period', Islamic Studies iv (1965) 353-92, and id. 'Sofismus and Heiligenverehrung im spätanttelalterlichen Ägypten', in Ench Gräf (ed.), Festschrift für W. Caskel (Leiden 1968) 274-89; and Donald P. Little, 'Religion Under the Mamluks,' Muslim World Ixxiii (1983) 165-81

<sup>8</sup> For Ibn al 'Arabī, see EI m, 707-11, C. Addas, Quest for the Red Sulphur (Cambridge 1993), and William Chittick, The Sufi Path of Knowledge (Albany 1989).

<sup>9</sup> El vin, 753-55, El, 1st ed., rv 766, and see William Chitick, "The Five Presences: From al-Qünawî to al-Qaysarî", Muslim World ixxii (1982) 107-28.

<sup>10</sup> Th. Emil Homerin, From Arab Poet to Muslim Sount. Ibn al-Fărid, His Verse, and His Shrine (Columbia 1994) and csp. 26-32 regarding early mujidi commentaries on the poet

<sup>11</sup> EI, 1st ed. ii. 280-81, Ei vii., 246-49; J. Spencer Trimingham, The Sufi Orders in Islam (Oxford 1971) esp. 44-50; and Annemarie Schimmel, Mystical Dimensions of Islam (Chapel Hill 1975) 228-58

Concerning Muslim saints and sainthood see Schimmel, Dimensions 199-213, Ignaz Goldziher, Muslim Studies, edited and translated by S.M. Stern and C.R. Barber (London 1967-71) in, 254-341; El. s.v. walt; Frederick M. Denny, 'God's Friends the Sainthy of Persons in Islam', in Richard Kieckhefer and George D. Bond (eds.), Sainthood (Berkeley 1988) 69-97; Michel Chodkiewicz, Le scean des saints (Paris 1986), Jane I. Smith and Yvonne Y. Haddad, The Islamic Understanding of Death and Resurrection (Albany 1981) 183-91, 241-43; John Alden Williams, Themes of Islamic Civilization (Berkeley 1971) 307-70; and Christopher S. Taylor, In the Vicinity of the Righteous. Ziyāra and the Veneration of Muslim Saints in Late Medieval Egypt (Leiden 1999)

a distinctly Sufi establishment. 13

Whatever its precise origins and early functions, the khānqāh by the twelfth century appears to have served Zangid and Ayyubid efforts to support a vigorous Sunni Islam, both ideologically and institutionally. In this sense, the khanqāhs were a Sufi parallel to the madrasas, institutes of higher education with a primarily legal orientation and curriculum. While madrasas might promote sound doctrine and law, the khānqāh served as a devotional counterpart for the transmission of correct beliefs, rituals, and exercises involving meditation, invocation, special prayers, and similar spiritual matters. 14

A substantial reason for patronizing Sufis and their khānqāhs appears to have been the spiritual power perceived to be vested in the religious classes. Once when preparing for battle against the Crusaders, the Zangid sultan Nūr al-Dīn Maḥmūd (r. 541-69/1146-74) was urged to divert funds set aside for ascetics, Sufis, and other religious professions in the interests of his war effort. According to one account, Nūr al-Dīn sternly rejected this advice, declaring:

'By God, I can't hope for victory save by means of them, for they sustain and assist the weak among you. How can I cut off the pensions of a folk who, while I'm asleep in my bed, fight for me with arrows that never miss, and then turn around and spend their money on someone whose arrows are lat or miss?" 15

Similarly, as Saladin proclaimed his Ayyubid sultanate in Cairo, he established several madrasas and, in 569/1174, the first khānqāh in Egypt, the Dār Sa°īd al-Su°adā<sup>3</sup>. Also known as the al-Salāḥiyya, this khānqāh was assigned as a hostel for as many as 300 Sufis arriving from foreign lands. Aḥmad al-Maqrīzī<sup>16</sup> Saladin and his Ayyubid successors undoubtedly patronized members of the religious elite already present in Egypt. But his efforts to promote foreign men of religion in both legal and Sufi matters suggests an attempt to create a

religious establishment loyal to him and perhaps, also, a Sunni ideology untainted by Shii or Christian elements. Whatever the case, Saladin's khanqah became a major center of Sufi activity and a prototype for the Mamluks who would later replace his descendants as the champions of Sunni Islam.<sup>17</sup>

Faced with the crusader invasion of St. Louis (647-49/1249-50) and the impending threat of a Mongol onslaught (657-58/1259-60), the Mamluks, or royal "slave" soldiers, succeeded their Ayyubid masters to become the ruling dynasty of Egypt, Syria, and the Ḥijāz. The Mamluks proved to be great patrons of the arts and religion, as well as able defenders of the faith. Mamluk forces repeatedly engaged the Mongols who had ravaged vast portions of the Muslim world, including the caliphal capital Baghdad in 1258. This last event was an unprecedented catastrophe for Muslims, as the largely pagan hordes moved mexorably across what had been Muslim domains for centuries. Muslims fled with their families before the Mongols, and many went to Syria and Egypt seeking the protection of their brothers in the faith, the Mamluks. 18

Among the refugees was the family of Ahmad b. Taymiyya. Ibn Taymiyya (661-728/1263-1328) was a great Hanbali theologian and jurist who zealously attacked anything which he perceived as a threat to true Islam. On several occasions, he took part in or preached jihād — against Christians and Shiites, as well as against the Mongols, and he tirelessly opposed religious innovation, which be believed had left the Muslim community in a weak and vulnerable state. 19

For Ibn Taymiyya, Islamic society's most virulent internal disease was caused by beliefs in divine incarnation, mystical union with the

<sup>13</sup> Donald P Lettle, 'The Nature of Khāngāhs, Ribūts, and Zhwiyas Under the Mamlūks', in Waol B. Hallaq and Donald P Lettle (eds.), Islamic Studies Presented to Charles J. Adams (Leiden 1991) 9. 105; Leonor Fernandes, The Evolution of a Sufi Institution in Mamluk Egypt the Khānaāh (Berlin 1988) csp. 10-19; and El sv. 1025-26; sv. 433-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Hamze, al-Haraka 104-107, El iv, 1025-26; Fernandes, Khānqāh 20-22; and P.M. Holt, The Age of the Crusades (London 1986) 80

<sup>15</sup> Hamza, at Haraka 106, who cites the Ayyubad chronicler Ibn Wāşil (d. 697/1298). Regarding Nür al-Dīn's patronage of the religious classes, including the Sufus and their khāngāhs, see Muḥammad b. Wāṣil. Mufārrij al-kurūb fi okhbār Banī Ayyūb, ed. Jamāl al-Dīn al-Shayyāl (Carro 1957) i, 263-86, esp. 281-84, and Ibn al-Athīr, al-Kāmil fi 'I-ta' rūkh (Beirul 1979) xi, 404-405

<sup>-6</sup> Fernandes, Khānqāh 21 25, Trimingham, Orders 18-20, and Ahmad al-Maqrīzī, al-Mawā'iz wa'l-t'tibār bi-dhike al-khijaj wa'l-āthār (Baghdad 1970) ii, 415-16.

<sup>17</sup> Hamza, al-Haraka 106-107. Stephen R. Humphreys, 'The Expressive Intent of Mamiluk Architecture in Cairo', Studio Islamica xxxv (1972) 69-119, esp. 78-87, 93-94, and Holt, Crusades 78-81, esp. 50-51, where he notes that in 566/1171, Saladin named a Kurdish jurist and supporter Sadr al-Din al-Hadhabāni as his Sunni chief judga of Egypt. Also see Jonathan Berkey, The Transmission of Knowledge in Medieval Cairo (Princeton 1992) 130-32.

<sup>18</sup> For a brief largely political history of the Mambik dynasty see Holt, Crusades; and El vi, 314-31, vii, 165-77 A more detailed account of the Bahri period (1250-1382) is Robert Irwin's The Middle Ages in the Middle East (Loudon 1986), for the Burji Period (1383-1517) see Carl F. Petry, Protectors or Proteorians. The Last Mamilik Sultans and Egypt's Waning as a Great Power (Albany 1994). For more on Mambik religious, social, and political institutions see Ira M. Lapidus, Muslim Cities in the Later Middle Ages (Cambridge 1967); and Susan J. Staffa, Conquest and Fusion. The Social Evolution of Cairo, A.D. 642-1850 (Leiden 1977) esp. 84-226.

<sup>19</sup> For Ibn Tayunyya's biography and bibliography see El 11, 951 55

divine, and monism, which he collectively referred to as ittihād or tttihādiyya. Both were common Sufi terms for mystical union suggesting a "uniting" or "unification" of two or more things, whether substantially identical (e.g., water mixing with water), or different but indistinguishable (water in milk) Similar to some other conservative Muslims, Ibn Taymiyya regarded belief in union between the divine and human as incarnationism (hulul/hululiyya), the "indwelling" or "incarnation" of God or divinity in a creature. Understandably associated with Christianity, incarnationist beliefs and doctrines have been considered by most Muslims to be a form of polytheism. On the other hand, many Sufis maintained that union was metaphorical; since only God truly exists, there is, in fact, no incarnation or uniting (ittihad), only "unity," "oneness" (wahda/tawhīd), or a "unity of being" (wahdat al-wujūd/ittihādiyya).20

These and similar positions, Ibn Taymiyya asserted, made a travesty of the God-humanity distinction upon which true monotheistic religion was based. Thus he was intractable and outspoken in his condemnation of any mystical writings and their authors whom he believed adhered to the unity of being. These monists, whether out of ignorance or willful disobedience, blatantly encouraged deviation from God's truth as revealed by the Koran, the sunna of Muhammad,

and codified in the divine law.21

For polemical and rhetorical purposes, Ibn Taymiyya often reduced abstract and sophisticated mystical doctrines to the grossest pantheism, while at the same time resorting to attacks on personal character. He characterized Ibn Sabein (613-669/1217-1270), for example, as more at home with pagan Hindus than with good Muslims, and in several scathing assaults on al-Tilimsanî, Ibn Taymiyya

20 The precise meaning of these terms may vary due to their varied and particular contexts, see El m, 570-71, 1v, 282-83.

claimed that his older contemporary had declared incest lawful based on a belief in absolute monism.22

In a number of other places, however, Ibn Taymiyya made careful distinctions among the monists, demonstrating his firm grasp of their ideas and works involved. He also noted that Ibn al-'Arabi was not as insistent as others on the issue of absolute montsm, and Ibn Taymivva even praised him for respecting the law and paying careful attention to the Suft path.23 Nevertheless, Ibn Taymiyya could not tolerate the doctrine of unity underpinning Ibn al-cArabi's teachings. Particularly odious was Ibn al-cArabi's Fusus al-hikam and his mystical meditations on the Koran, which appeared to pay scant attention to and even pervert the literal message of God's final revelation. Such obvious disregard for the Koran and true Islam by Ibn al-Arabi and others of his ilk had opened the door wide to unbelief and immoral practices.<sup>24</sup> Further, because these malignant doctrines of oneness and union had been passed on and spread as correct interpretations of Islam, often in elegant forms such as Ibn al-Parid's verse, their pernicious effects upon the community were both chronic and latent.25

Rejecting any compromise or accommodation, Ibn Taymiyya declared that refutation of the monists was comparable to Muslims waging holy war against the Mongols.26 The context of this assertion suggests a rhetorical and polemical function, yet it underscores Ibn Taymiyya's deep hatred of both groups. Indeed, in a telling aside on Sufi advocates of oneness, Ibn Taymiyya once mused:

'Often I have thought that the prominence of their like is the major cause for the Mongol conquest and the obliteration of the law of Islam, and that they are the vanguard of the Anti-Christ (al-Dajjäl), that one-eyed liar who will declare himself God! 27

Given such a view, Ibn Taymiyya's fanatic and incessant

23 Ibn Taymiyya, MRM i. 183; i. 179-82. Also see Ansari, Criticism' 148-9; and Menon. Struggle 35-46.

<sup>21</sup> Ibn Taymiyya wrote a number of refutations of monism and monists, several of which are found in his Majma'at al-rasa'il wa-'l-masa'il (=MRM) edited by Mohamanid Rashid Rada (Csiro 1922-30; reprint ed. Beurut 1983). These refutations include: Fi ibiāl waḥdat al-wujūd (i. 75-131), Kitāb Shaykh al-Islām ilā'l-ārif bī'llāh al-Shaykh Nasr al-Manbijī (i. 169-90) and Haqiqat madhhab al-itthādiyyīn (iv. 3-114). For other works, and evaluations of Ibn Taymryya's opinious regarding speculative Sofism also see the useful study by Muhammad Umar Mesnon, Ibn Taymiyya's Struggle Against Popular Religion (The Hage 1976) esp. 24-46, and Abdu. Haq Ansart, 'Ibn Taymeyya's Criticism of Sufism', Islam and the Modern Age (August 1984) 147-56; see also the semmal article of Fritz Meier, 'Das sauberste über die vorbestimmung Ein stiick Ibn Taymiyya', Saeculum xxxu (1981) 74 ff; also published in Fritz Meier, Bausteine. Ausgewählte Aufsätze zur Islamwissenschaft, i-in (Istanbul-Stattgart 1992) n, 696 ff.

<sup>22</sup> Ibn Taymyya, MRM 1, 183-88; iv, 27. Also see Memou, Struggle 30-31, 43-44, and Ansari, 'Criticism' 149.

<sup>24</sup> Ibn Taymryya, MRM rv, 49-50, 53-63, 66-67, 88-94, 103-104, i, 103-111; i, 180-82 Also see Memon. Struggle 43-44. \$\[ \] Regarding [bit Taynuyya's position on Koranic excessis in general see Norman Calder, 'Tafsir from Tabari to Ibn Kathir', in G.R. Hawting and Abdul-Kader A. Shareef, Approaches to the Qur'an, (London 1993) 101-40, esp. 125, 130-33

Ibu Taymryya, MRM 1, 130-31, 1, 97, 1, 185-86.

<sup>26</sup> Ibn Taymiyya, MRM i, 178 This and the following reference to the Mongols are found in Ihn Taymiyya's epistle to Nasr al-Manbui, a supporter of Ibn al-'Arabi and spiritua. advisor to the powerful amir and later, sultan, Baybars al-Jäshnikir (r. 708-709/1309-1310).

<sup>27</sup> Ibn Taymiyya, MRM i. 186.

campaign against religious innovation becomes more understandable. Yet together with his near total rejection of speculative mysticism. Ibn Taymryya explicitly embraced mystical experience and the Sufi path as valuable aids to personal faith. Spiritual inspiration (ilhām) or mystical intuition (dhawa) could be legitimately employed by believers in their sincere efforts to obey God's will, provided that both were thoroughly grounded in the literal message of the Koran and the prophetic sunna. Such grounding was requisite, for a misguided person and a Christian, too, could have a mystical experience or trance.28 In Ibn Taymiyya's view, then, such experiences were no guarantee of religious truth or right guidance, which must be derived from prophetic - not mystical - revelation and Muhammad's sunna. On this basis, Ibn Taymiyya ranked Sufis in three degrees: the truly illumined Sufis, the sincere and civil Sufis of the khāngāhs, and Sufis in appearance only. Among this last group were charlatans and other evil folk who led naive Muslims astray, and Ibn Taymiyya actively opposed them and their practices with the same resolve that he displayed against the monists. But Ibn Taymivva did not number such devious people among the genuine Sufis who practiced love and devotion to God:

'As for the Sufis, they affirm the love (of God) — this is more evident among them than all other issues. The basis of their Way (tariqa) is simply will and love. The affirmation of the love of God is well-known in the speech of their early and their recent masters, just as it is affirmed in the Book and the sunna and in agreement of the salaf. 29

Ibn Taymiyya was neither the first nor last critic of Sufi beliefs and practices in the early decades of Mamiuk rule. But he was, perhaps, the most systematic, articulate, and vocal of those calling for restrictions and reforms. His influence upon later generations of scholars was pronounced, but this is not to say that Ibn Taymiyya's views were those of the majority of religious scholars, not to mention of Muslims in general. Ibn al-'Arabi and other speculative mystics had strong support among the religious and ruling elite, whose wrath Ibn Taymiyya felt on several occasions. Further, while Ibn Taymiyya's sincerity and zeal were appreciated by many, his re-

ligious certainty led him to confrontations with several respected Sufi shaykhs, including the famous Shadhili master Ibn 'Atā' Allāh (d. 709/1309). This, together with Ibn Taymuyya's insistent and vociferous condemnation of the popular cult of the saints as polytheism earned him the public's ire and contributed to his final incarceration.<sup>30</sup>

There was another prominent scholar of the Mamluk period with a disdain for monism, the celebrated historian Ibn Khaldūn (d. 808/1406). A jurist and judge of the Mālikī school, Ibn Khaldūn is credited with a legal opinion recommending the destruction of monistic writings — particularly works by Ibn al-'Arabī, al-Tilimsānī, Ibn al-Fārid, and commentaries on the latter's  $T\bar{a}^2iyya\ kubr\bar{a}$  — in the interests of preserving "the common good of the community". Ibn Taymiyya's influence is apparent in this ruling, as it is in another rebuttal by Ibn Khaldūn to monistic doctrines, contained in a reasoned and sensitive discussion of Islamic mysticism. However, what is especially significant about this second account, found in the Muqaddima, is that Ibn Khaldūn explicitly numbers Sufism as one of "the sciences of religious law that originated in Islam" (min al-'ulūm al-shar'iyya al-ḥāditha fi'l-mulla).32

Ibn Khaldun noted that the Sufi calling to asceticism and to devotion to God were common characteristics of the earliest Muslim community. But succeeding generations became more and more inclined toward worldliness until only a few Muslims remained who sincerely "aspired to divine worship". These pious folk came to be known as Sufis.<sup>33</sup> Then as Islam became progressively more syste-

<sup>28</sup> Ibn Taymiyya, MRM i, 189 Also see Th. Emil Homerin, 'Ibn Taymayya's al-Sûfiya wa-al-fugarê'', Arabica xxxxx (1985) 219-44, and Ansan, 'Criticism' 147-56, esp. 151-52.

<sup>29</sup> Homerin, 'Bu Taymiyya' 237, transtation by T Michel, 'Bu Taymiyya's Sharh on the Futüb al ghayb of 'Abd al-Qadir al Biani', Hamdard Islamicus iv, 2, 57 Also see MRM 1, 133 55, and Memon, Struggle 62 66 For several accounts of religious scams in the Mamluk period see Shoshan, Popular Culture 9, 18 20, 76-77, and Lapidus, Cities 104-107, 180-83, also see Little, 'Rengion' 175-78.

<sup>30</sup> EI iii, 951-55; Memon, Struggle 51-57, 82-85; and Shoshan, Popular Culture 12-16, 67-69. Also see Donald P. Little, 'Did Ibn Taymiyya Have a Screw Loose?', Studia Islamica xii (1975) 93-111; and Niels Hearik Olesen, Culte des sauts et pètermages chez Ibn Taymiyya (Paris 1991). For other critics and controversies involving Sufis or elements of Sufiam at this time in the Mamluk empire, see Idris b. Baydakin al-Turkumani (fl. early 8th/14th c.), Kitāb al-luma' fi 'l-hawādith wa'l-bida', ed. Subhi Labib (Wieshaden 1986) esp. 76-96, 138-48, Muhammad b. al-Ḥājj al-'Abdati (d. 737/1336), al-Madkhal (Cairo a. d.) in, 26-218, esp. 93-147, Homerin, Arab Poet 30-32, 39-44, and Shoshan, Popular Culture 68-69

<sup>31</sup> See Ibrāhīm al-Biqā"i, Tanbīh al-ghabī ilā takfir lbn "Arabī, ed. "Abd al-Raḥmān al-Wakīl (Cairo 1953) 165-68; and Sālīh al-Maqbalī (d. 1108/1696), al-"Alam al-shāmikh (Cairo 1910) 500. Also see Ibu Khaldūn's Shifā" al-sā"il, ed. Ignace-Abdo Khaldīf (Beirut 1959) esp., 50 ff.; and M. Perlman, 'Ibu Khaldūn on Sufism', Bibliotheca Orientalis xvii (1960) 222-23, and Franz Rosenthal, The Miqaddima (2nd edition, Princeton 1967) xxix-lxvii

 <sup>32</sup> Ibn Khaldün, al-Muqaddima (Beunt n.d.) 467 and Rosenthal translation, iii, 76
 33 Ibid. 467-68; and Rosenthal iii. 76-77

matized over the centuries, religious thinkers began to specialize as jurists, theologians, exegetes, and Sufis, with their own formalized studies. Just as jurists composed works on jurisprudence and its principles so, too, Sufi experts wrote on mystical and ecstatic states, and on the rules and practices that might bring sincere Muslims closer to God. Thus, Sufis had developed their own particular practices and terminology, which gradually led to a distinct mystical discipline.<sup>34</sup>

Ibn Khaldūn clearly viewed Sufism as complementary to other important religious subjects, whose study had developed along parallel lines. In fact, Ibn Khaldūn claimed that there had been strong reciprocal borrowing between early Sufis and extremist Shittes, which was manifest in their respective discussions of spiritual hierarchies and succession. Ibn Khaldūn found these doctrines highly suspect, as he did speculative and monistic assertions recently made by some Sufis. At best, their statements were unverifiable as they were premised on a putative experiential revelation (kashf); as such, they were not open to independent verification and, so, were better left alone. 35

Holding a position close to that of Ibn Taymiyya, Ibn Khaldun measured the validity and value of mystical experiences against the Koran, sunna, and accepted doctrine. This was indeed necessary because Christian and Hindu ascetics, and other non-Muslims who underwent similar austere practices of fasting and meditation (dhikr) could also have mystical experiences and revelations (kashf), as well as access to unseen worlds. However, since these peoples had deviated from true belief, their mystical experiences and state (hāl) were similarly distorted.<sup>36</sup>

Nevertheless, despite these reservations, Ibn Khaldun went much farther than Ibn Taymıyya to declare the "science of Sufism" as essential to a Muslim's true happiness and religious life:

'The Sufis aspire to total concentration upon God and upon the approach to Hun, in order to obtain the mystical experiences of gnosis and Divine oneness (adhwaq ahl al-'urfan wa't-lawhid) In addition to their training in concentration and hunger, the Sofis feed on dhibr exercises by which their devotion to that training can fully materialize. When the soul is reared on dhibr exercises, it comes closer to the gnosis of God, whereas without it, it comes to be a Sa-

tante one\* 37

Ibn Khaldūn's account is indicative of Sufism's respected place within Islam, both as a mystical tradition, and as a field of academic inquiry, with its own focused curriculum. As with other religious specialties, students wishing to pursue Sufi studies were required to spend several years in close relationship to teachers in order to master their subject. Given mysticism's highly personal and experiential components, a master-disciple relationship was essential for those wishing to study not only about Sufis, but how to become one.<sup>38</sup> The Sufi orders made this possible by providing individual master-student instruction within a communal life organized around mystical teachings and practices, such as seclusion, meditation, and prayer.<sup>39</sup>

Though masters and orders were pivotal to mystical training, places for Sufi study were much less fixed. Zāwiyas and khānqāhs were natural and frequent places for such instruction, and endowments sometimes provided students with a place of residence, a stipend, and/or a work-study program. But Sufi masters, similar to other scholars of the period, also taught in mosques, madrasas, and private homes where students could gather. Such institutional ambiguity was a product of the flexible character of medieval Islamic education. While serious students normally had a particular religious concentration, such as Shādhili Sufism or Shāfi°i law, they also read and studied other religious subjects, in general.40

A similar flexibility is readily apparent in religious occupations, where any number of teaching and administrative positions were open to Sufis with the proper qualifications and/or political backing. Conversely, a minimum of Sufi studies could qualify even a non-specialist for a position within several distinctive, if fluid, career tracks involving mysticism. There was a range of opportunities available, from occupations such as teachers, preachers, and coun-

<sup>34</sup> Ibid. 469; and Rosenthal (ii., 79-80.

<sup>35</sup> Ibid. 470-485, and Rosenthal rii. 87-103.

<sup>36 [</sup>bid. 470, 108 109; and Rosenthal fit, 82, 1, 221 22

<sup>37</sup> Ibid. 109, 474; and Rosenthal i, 222; iii, 100.

<sup>38</sup> Regarding the teacher-student relationship in the Mamluk world see Berkey Knowledge 21 43, csp., 26-27; and Perliman, 'Ibn Khaldun' 222.

<sup>39</sup> Schunnel, Dimensions 228-58, and Transingham, Orders 1-30.

<sup>40</sup> Berkey, Knowledge 44-60, 74; Fernandes, Khānqāh 13-16. Ibn Khaldūn, though an exceptional scholar, was probably not an exception in having studied something of Sufism, while mastering Māliki law. Conversely, the Shādhili Sufi master Ibn "Atā" Allāh had studied Māliki law Significantly, when Ibn "Atā" Allāh mitially choose to pursue legal studies, fellow students cautoued him not to become too involved with Sufism if he expected to become a legal specialist; see Victor Danner, Ibn "Atā" Allāh. The Book of Wisdom (New York 1978) 23-27.

selors among the Muslim public at large, to more exclusive religiopolitical positions as spiritual advisors to sultans and amirs. A few living shaykhs were also sought out for blessings, baraka, and karāmāt popularly associated with the cult of the saints.<sup>41</sup>

Probably the largest employer of Sufis were the khānqāhs, at least twenty of which were endowed and built by Mamluk patronage. Though not all of them were in operation concurrently, by a conservative estimate, there must have been hundreds of Sufis in khānqāh residence at any given time in the fourteenth century. In addition to receiving food and lodging, these Sufis normally received monthly stipends and gifts of food, clothes, and money on special occasions. Khānqāh residents might also augment this sum by performing specific religious duties, such as leading prayers, reciting the Koran, or by carrying out more mundane tasks for the khānqāh, such as cooking and cleaning. Of course, top administrative positions within the khānqāh such as shaykh or, later, shaykh al-shuyūkh, were particularly lucrative and so became sources of contention among the religious elite, no matter what their specialty.<sup>42</sup>

On a political level, Mamluk patronage of the khānqāhs undoubtedly aimed to influence Sufis and other members of the religious establishment who might benefit from the endowments. The amirs and sultans, however, clearly frequented the rites and ceremonies at the khānqāhs for spiritual and aesthetic reasons, and in times of plague, the sultans may have sought out the khānqāhs, particularly those in the desert, as places of spiritual power and refuge. 43 Yet,

whatever the case, these services were in addition to the major task assigned the Sufis by the deeds of endowment, namely the wazifat al-tasawwuf, the "Sufi duty". This duty was the hudūr, the daily congregation of Sufis to perform communal readings from the Koran and prayers. So important was this task that the attendance of the Sufis was strictly required and regulated; teaching or other activities stipulated by the endowments were of a secondary nature and scheduled around the hudūr session.44

The centrality and importance of the hudür stem from the fact that most khānqāhs and many other religious establishments endowed by the Mamluks were characterized by the presence in or near them of the founder's tomb and/or the graves of his relatives. By means of their pious endowments, Mamluk patrons intended to surround their graves with religious activities and pious men whose blessings and baraka might then flow over and be absorbed by the founder and his family. But in contrast to these diffuse and rather fortuitous blessings were the focused and regularized benefits of the hudūr. Indeed, a major feature of many khānqāh endowment deeds is not only that they stipulate hudūr performance, but that they also designate its appointed times, as well as some of the Koranic passages to be read, and prayers to be said.

Almost invariably, sessions were to be held immediately after one or more of the five canonical prayers. Specific Koranic passages often included the āyat al-kursī or "Throne Verse" (2/256), chapters al-tkhlās (112), al-fātiḥa (1), the final two surahs known as the almu'awwidhatān (i.e., the two requests for refuge with God = 113-114), and the beginning and end of al-baqara (2). Prayers were a combination of repetitions (dhikr) usually of God's praise (tamḥīd), declarations of God's greatness (takbīr), glory (tasbīh), and power (tahlīl), prayers for the prophet Muhammad, and petitions for God's forgiveness (tstighfār). These recitations and prayers were to be said

<sup>41</sup> See, for example, Shoshan, Popular Culture 9-22, 76-77; Lapidus, Cities 107-115. 135-37, Corl F. Petry, The Civilian Elite of Cairo in the Later Middle Ages (Princeton 1981) 221-23; Beckey, Knowledge 90, 118, 125, 195, Fernandos, Khāngāh 96-97, 101-104; and Holt, Crusades 151-52

<sup>42</sup> Fernandes, Khāngāh 20-68; Muhammad M. Amin, al-Awgāf wa'l-hayāt algitmā'iyya fi Misr. 648-923 A. H./1250-1517 A. D. (Cairo 1980) 204-208. Also see Homerin, Arab Poet 39-44.

<sup>43</sup> Several sultans are known to have gone to khôngāhs during outbreaks of plague, though it proved to be no protection; still, this may have been one motive for building in the desert area outside of Cairo; see Dols, Black Death 157, 167, 248-50; and Fernandes, Khōngāh 104-108, also see Schimmel, 'Religious Life' 376-80. Nevertheless, the Sultans at least partially intended these imposing desert khōngāhs to be architectural expressions of Mamluk power and authority, as well as of Islam's dominant position in the land; see Humphreys, 'Expressive Intent' 83, 90-91, 117-19, esp. 91, n. 2, 1. Fernandes, 'Three Sēfi Foundations in a 15th Century Waqfiyya', Annales Islamologiques xviii (1981) 144-45, has suggested further that at least one desert khōngāh — that of Bars Bāy's (r. 824-41/1421-37) — was part of a conscious policy to relieve urban congestion. Though intriguing, her suggestion is not

convincing given the fact that following the Black Death of 1348-50. Caro's population dramatically declined and never recovered until much later in the sixteenth century, see Dols, Black Death, esp. 183-85.

<sup>44</sup> Amin, al-Awqāf 208-10; Fernandes, Khānqāh 18, 54-58, 119 a. 37; and Berkey, Knowledge 59-60, 79-81, 84-85.

<sup>45</sup> Humphreys, 'Expressive Intent' 112-19, Berkey, Knowledge 143-46. Of course, there were political motives and economic considerations involved with most endowments involving the donor's relatives and benefactors who usually oversaw the endowments; see Amin, al-Awqdf 69-98; Carl F. Petry, 'A Paradox of Patronage During the Later Mamluk Period , Muslim World Ixxiii (1983) 182-207, esp. 190-95; Fernandes, Khānqāh 4-9, and Berkey, Knowledge 134-42

on behalf of the donor and his family, who were named as recipients of a portion of the session's religious merits and divine blessings.46

The wording of the endowment deeds indicates that these were not ideosyncratic or random recitations and prayers, but established invocations and appeals  $(du^c\bar{a}^a)$ , largely on behalf of the dead. This is borne out by several popular Mamluk manuals on dying, death, and the afterlife; they cite the exact Koranic passages and prayers specified in the endowment deeds, as being the most efficacious for helping the dead. These Koranic recitations and prayers on behalf of the dead were believed to ease their tortured time in the grave and to atone for their past misconduct so that, on the Day of Judgment, the deceased would arise pure for paradise.<sup>47</sup>

The hudür, then, was the vital task assigned to the khānqāh Sufis who, due to their ascetic lives and training in such recitations and prayers, were regarded as the most able to achieve positive spiritual results. But the Sufis' prayers were not only for the dead, they were also dedicated to living Muslims, who often feared that they would be numbered among the dead all too soon, especially in times of famine or plague. During those crises, Sufis and other members of the religious establishment gathered for special services and prayers. Though such ceremonies may not have averted God's tests of His creation, they might, at least, have eased some of the salvation and survival anxiety felt by individuals and the community at large.<sup>48</sup>

Given the religious importance of the hudur in Mamluk society and the number and size of the khānqāhs, it is not surprising that they were scrutinized by religious officials who criticized lapses in standards and practice. It should be stressed, however, that the insti-

46 For partial texts of some of these deeds see Fernandes, Khāngāh 54-58; and Amin, al-Awqāf 211-16. Further evidence that these hudür recutations, prayers, and related activities were standard may be found in Muhammad al-Asyūji's (b. 813/1410) notarial manual Jawāhir al-'aqūd, ed. Muhammad Hāmid al Fiqi (Cairo 1955) 1, 356-59, where he cites them in his formulary for a khāngāh endowment deed; see Little, 'Khāngāha' 98-99.

48 See, for example, Dots, Black Death 236-55, esp. 248-53; Fernandes, Khānqāh 42, 106-108, and Petry, Protectors 105

tution's legitimacy and mission were rarely in question. A critical scholar such as Ibn Taymiyya approved of both praying on behalf of others, and of the "funded Sufis" (sufiyyat al-arzaq) of the khānqāhs, provided that they followed the sharia and accepted Sufi rules of conduct, while avoiding religious innovation and the pursuit of wealth. 49 But, here was a problem.

Endowment deeds and other Mamluk sources indicate that the Sufi profession could be a means to accrue substantial material benefits. Of course such commercial use and practice were deemed inappropriate with regard to any religious office. But it was particularly audacious when such blatant and hypocritical manipulation involved Islamic mysticism, which held poverty (faqr) as a foundational principle. Publicly deploring such abuses were a number of respected scholars of the Mamluk period, including the famous scholar and biographer, Tāj al-Dīn al-Subkī (727-771/1327-1370).50

A Shāfi'i jurist and judge, and a partisan of Sufi pietism, al-Subki denounced the impostors who had given the true Sufis a bad name. With an eye to reform, al-Subkī urged a careful evaluation of the khāngāhs and their residents, advocating a policy of close scrutiny to determine the extent to which Sufis might be entangled in worldly affairs, whether for wealth, power, or reputation. According to al-Subkī, an individual could properly reside in a khānaāh to cover only the minimum living expenses enabling him to pursue a mystical life. As for those who entered to obtain a livelihood supporting a way of life devoid of asceticism and constant worship, they must be turned out of the khāngāh; these liars — Sufis in dress only violated the conditions of the endowment which stipulated that residents be true Sufis Al-Subkî felt that many residents fell into this category of worthless sluggards who used the khāngāh as a cover for their lives of perfidy, hashish use, and absorption in worldly ephemera. The sooner such frauds were exposed and run out, the better it would be for the khangahs and the sincere brethren there, who re-

<sup>47</sup> See Muḥammad al-Qurtobi (d. 681/1273) al-Tadhkra fi aḥwāl al-mawtā wa-umār al-ākhtra (Cairo 1986) i, 118-31, Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭi (839-911/1445-1505) Shark al-ṣudār bi-sharh al-mawtā wa l-qubār, edited by Muḥammad Hasan al-Ḥimṣī (Beirut 1986) 406, 409, 411-12, 416-21, 424, and El u, 617-18. In terms of function, then, the khānqāh is a striking parallel to the chantries of Medieval England — such as All Souls College—founded around this same time by kings and queens with the express purpose of saying mass on behalf of both the dead and the living so as to free them from Purgatory For medieval chantries see T. S. R. Boase, Death in the Middle Ages (London 1972) 59-69.

<sup>49</sup> Homerin, 'Ibn Taymiyya' 233, 243, and El il, 617. The Hambali scholar Ibn al-Jawzi (d. 597/1200) is exceptional in that not only did he denounce the immoral behaviors and practices which he believed filled Sufi residences (ribāts) but he also held that the institution from its inception was an innovation and error, and among the Devil's delusions of the Sufis, Ibn al-Jawzi, Talbis al-Iblir (Carro n.d.) 169-70

<sup>50</sup> For al-Subki see 'Umar Kaḥhāla, Mu'jam al-mu'allifin (Damascus 1957) vt. 225-226. For other critiques of the khānqāh Sufus see Fernandes, Khāngāh 11, 96-103, Schummel, 'Glimpses' 379-80.

sponsibly fulfilled their obligations in total devotion to God.51

Al-Subki's critique should be read in context of the persistent criticisms leveled against the religious class as a whole for their love of wealth and reputation; al-Subki also denounced the prevalent custom of scholars holding a number of positions simultaneously. In fact, several endowment deeds specifically forbade any such practice among the Sufis to be employed by the endowment. 52 This, together with al-Subki's remarks, and those by other members of the Mamluk religious establishment reflect, to a degree, the effects of the steep economic decline in the final century and a half of Mamluk rule. The Black Death of 1348-49 had devastated the population of Egypt and Syria, and successive waves of the plague over the next centuries made certain that the economy would not soon recover. Further, though the Mongols were no longer a menace. Mamluk sultans extracted heavy taxes in order to restock their supply of slave soldiers killed by the plague, and to ward off foreign threats, usually to their outlying territories in Syria and Anatolia.53

Several new and architecturally impressive khānqāhs were endowed in the late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, but overall Mamluk support for Sufis and other religious professionals probably declined substantially. Some khānqāhs fell into ruin, while others were combined with madrasas, and salaries for the religious occupations were often reduced or in arrears. Of course, the religiously essential hudūr continued to be performed by Sufis, but increasingly this occurred at many, more economical, mosque-tomb complexes favored by the Mamluks of this period. Though Sufis were paid for their services, they did not necessarily receive room and board This may also partially account for an apparent increase in the smaller and, hence, cheaper zāwiyas at this time, as Sufis sought a mystical communal life and residence elsewhere.<sup>54</sup>

51 Tilj al-Din al-Subki, Mu'id al-m'am wa-mubid al-nuqum, ed. David Myhrman (Leiden 1908) 171-80, esp. 178-79; al-Subki cites several colorful criticisms of such frauds by others.

The overall economic decline in the fifteenth century undoubtedly affected the religious establishment by increasing competition and tension, as individuals struggled to maintain their positions or to acquire new ones. Disputes invariably arose on a variety of topics and, periodically, around Sufi doctrine and practice. The focus of several quarrels involved the status of wahdat al-wujud, the legality of mystical exegesis (ta'wīl), and the roles of mystical experience and the cult of the saints within Islam. As Ibn Taymiyya and other detractors of Sufism had realized at least a century earlier, these issues posed a formidable challenge to their attempts to delimit a final absolute law binding for all. Both monism and mystical exegesis denied the existence a simple literal Truth, while mystical experience and the saints offered direct access to spiritual power largely beyond the range of scholarly supervision. But debates on such complex theological issues were not merely academic; they inevitably involved disputes of a much more mundane nature where the stakes could be very high, as Ibrāhīm al-Bigā°ī was to learn.55

When Qā'it Bāy assumed the sultanate in 872/1468, he faced an empty treasury and a desperate need to campaign against Shāh Suwār (d. 876/1471), the Ottoman backed Dulkadırlı ruler of Elbistân. Qā'it Bāy quickly began to extort gifts from the people and to appropriate as much money and moveable property as possible. As part of this financial program, Qā'it Bāy seized savings from the religious scholars, cut their wages, and annulled arrears owed them. Not surprisingly, then, Qā'it Bāy's foreign crisis was matched by a domestic one of exorbitant prices and lawlessness, which was further exacerbated by an outbreak of plague, and it was at this time, in the years 874-875/1469-1470, that the Ibn al-Fāriḍ controversy broke out and spread in Cairo.56

For many Egyptian Muslims, Ibn al-Farid had become a cultural and religious icon, and his grave was a popular pilgrimage site for people of all classes. In addition, several Mamluk amirs had recently

<sup>52</sup> Berkey, Knowledge 182-88; 31-33, Fernandes, Khōngāh 24, 27-28, 31, 34, 38, 44-45, and her 'Three Sofi Foundations' 151

<sup>53</sup> Dols, Black Death 178-231, 261-80; Petry, Civilian Elite, 19-36, Petry, Protectors

<sup>54</sup> Fernandes, Khânqâh \*7-46, 111 13, ami her 'Some Aspects of the Zāwiya in Egypt at the Eve of the Ottoman Conquest', Annales Islamologiques xix (1983) 9-17; Doris Behrens-Abouseif, 'Change in Function and Form of Mamluk Religious Institutions', Annales Islamologiques xix (1985) 73-93, esp. 81-93; and D. Behrens-Abouseif and L. Fernandes, Sufi Architecture in the Early Ottoman Period', Annales Islamologiques xix (1984) 103-14. For an insightful example of the economic decline of the khânqāh in the late fourteenth century and one resulting in disputes among the religious class, see the incident involving Islāti

al-Din al-Suyütī when he was the *shaykh* of the Baybassiyya *Khānqāh* in 906/1501, detaued by E. M. Sartain in *Ialāl al-Dīn al-Suyūtī* (Cambridge 1975) 1, 94-102, 127-28

<sup>55</sup> For some of the issues involved and several controversies at this time see Homerin, Arab Poet 31, 55-60, 67, and Alexander Knysh, 'fbn 'Arabi in the Later Islamic Tradition', in S. Hirtenstein and M. Tiernan (eds.), Muhyiddin 1bn 'Arabi. A Commemorative Volume (Rockport 1993) 307-27 Also see al Husayn b. al-Ahdal (d. 855/1451), Kashf al ghitā' 'an haqā' 'iq al-tawhād, ed. Alimad b. Maḥmād (Tunis 1964) esp. 13-16 of the introduction; and Sarain, al-Suyāfī i, 53-60.

<sup>56</sup> See Homera, Arab Poet 60-75, for a detailed account of the following controversy

provided endowments for the grave, bequeathing revenues to erect a domed shrine with an attendant and a zāwiya with resident Sufis, and to finance the celebration of the poet's mawlid or "saint's day".<sup>57</sup> Perhaps these attentions during a period of severe economic crisis offended some religious scholars who took exception to the regime's revenue policy, as well as to Ibn al-Fāriq's verse.

In any case, following a public reading of a wujudi commentary on the Tā'iyya kubrā, several religious scholars issued legal opinions condemning wahdat al-wujud and Ibn al-Fārid's use of the feminine gender for God. Those opposed to Ibn al-Fārid included several senior religious officials, including the chief Ḥanafī judge Muhammad Ibn al-Shiḥna (804-890/1401-1485), and the chief Ḥanbalī judge, ascetic, and Sufi, "Izz al-Dīn al-Kinānī (800-876/1397-1471). But the ring-leader — and, perhaps, instigator — of the controversy was the Shāfi'i scholar Ibrāhīm al-Biqā'ī (809-885/1407-1480).

In several inflammatory attacks, al-Bigācī denounced Ibn al-Fand and his supporters as followers of Ibn al- Arabi; their belief in absolute unity annulled the separation between the Creator and His creation, destroying the basis of Islamic law. Quoting verses from the Tā'iyya kubrā, al-Biqā'ī charged Ibn al-Fārid with declarations of human-divine union and monism (utthad), and with violations of the literal meaning of the Koran and the sunna. Further, al-Biqari forbade metaphorical readings and interpretation (ta'wil) of the poem, maintaining that symbolism, metaphor, or technical terminology could not be sound if they contradicted the letter of the law. If such interpretations were allowed, not even Christians and Jews could be accused of infidelity, and surely morality would be overturned. Al-Braācī warned those who wished to quell the controversy that they should defend the faith against what was morally repugnant, especially the heretical doctrines of Ibn al-Fand and Ibn al-Arabi. As for those who openly praised Ibn al-Farid or attempted to defend him as a saint, they were obviously ignoramuses, heretics, or infidels. These people, and heretics such as Ibn al-Farid, were worse than thieves, for whereas the latter robbed their victims of material possessions, the former made off with the spiritual good of the community by leading Muslims astray.58

Al-Biqācī's condemnation of Ibn al-Fārid and Ibn al-cArabī, along with his blanket ruling of infidelity against their supporters—not to mention his shrill, self-righteous tone—offended a number of his colleagues. Over a dozen of them publicly defended the poet, and several including the renowned scholar, Jalal al-Dīn al Suyūtī (839-911/1445-1505), wrote refutations of al-Biqacī. In their opinion, illumined gnostics like Ibn al-Fārid had access to an experiential knowledge derived from union with the Divine. Those opposed to Ibn al-Fārid could hardly fathom this profound experience and the unique, certain vision (kashf) which it offered, and these literalists were in no position to judge the sayings and writings of genuine gnostics. Ibn al-Fārid was innocent of all charges brought against him, and he was a great saint whose poetry should be read and whose grave should be visited. As for al-Biqācī and other narrow-minded critics, they had hurt only themselves. 59

Al-Biqā<sup>c</sup>ī fired back calling his opponents liars and infidels, and he enlisted the support of Ibrāhīm al-Matbūlī (d. 877/1472). A Sufi popular for feeding many people from his farm and hostel as food prices soared, al-Matbūlī dismissed Ibn al-Fāriḍ by saying: 'He and those like him fill the world with clamor' None of them has been given enough of the divine mystery to cover a mosquito's proboscis!''60 But Ibn al-Fāriḍ's supporters stood firm, blasting al-Biqā<sup>c</sup>ī and his allies with invective poems, which were attached to Ibn al-Fāriḍ's shrine for all to read. One poem declared:<sup>61</sup>

"Umar Ibn al-Fāṇḍ, the wise one, all thought falls short before him! No one would wrong him save a fool, so reject the fool; be pleased with "Umar!

sā'il al-murtāb al-mu'ārid al-mujādil fi kufr lbn al-Fārid, Ms. Leiden Or. 2040, 39a-64a. Al-Biqā'i composed two further refutations. Kitāb al-Nāṇq bl'l-ṣawāb al-fārid li-takfīr lbn al-Fārid, Ms. Oxford, Bodleian Library, Arabici I, 68-69 (Maish. 642) 48b-292b, and al-Radd al-kāshif li-murād ahl al-ittihād, Ms. Ox. Leiden 2040, 64a-196b. Al Biqā'ī also denounced lbn al-Fārid in two poleimes aimed at monists in general and lbn al-'Arabi, in particular the Tanbīh al-ghabī ilā takfīr lbn 'Arabi, and his Tahdhīr al 'ibād min ahl al-'inād. Both were edited by 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Wakīl in Masra' al-tasawwaf (Casto 1953).

59 Homerin, Arab Poet 64-65. Ialāl al-Din al-Suyūti, Qam' al-mu'ārid fi nuṣrat ibn al-Pārid, in 'Abd al-Khāliq (ad.), Jalāl al-Din al-Suyūti wa'l-taṣawwuf al Islāmi (Cairo 1987) 48-102

<sup>60</sup> Abd al Wahish al Sha'râni, al-Tabaqāt al-kubrā (Cairo 1965) ii, 77-80; also see Schimmel, 'Religious Lafe' 380-81

61 Muhammad b. 1yās, *Badā'i' al-zuhūr fi woqā'i' al-duhūr*, edited by Muhammati Mustafā (Cairo 1984) iii, 47-49. The poet was Muhammad b. Qānsūh min Sādiq, primarily a court poet of the late fuffecuth-early sixteenth centuries; see Kabhāla, *Mu'ijam* xi, 148-49

<sup>57</sup> Th. Emil Homeran, 'The Domed Shranc of Ibn al-Färid', Annales Islamologiques xxvxxvi (1989-90) 125-30.

<sup>58</sup> Homerin, Arab Poet 62-64. Al-BiqaT's major polemic was the Sawab al-jawab li'l-

officials who had opposed Ibn al-Farid in the controversy. Oa<sup>2</sup>it Bay

The invectives and feuding raged for over seven months suggesting that the Ibn al Fāriḍ controversy involved more than Sufi doctrine and practice, though both, of course, were central issues. The political and economic crisis beginning Qā'it Bāy's reign had evoked a response from religious conservatives who demanded a return to the Islam of their pious forefathers and the suppression of suspected religious innovation. While Ibn al-Fāriḍ's supporters had a broader, more inclusive view of Islam, the controversy was not a conflict between mystics and legal authorities, as Sufis, jurisprudents, and judges from among the four major law schools were numbered among the advocates of both sides. Old grudges and personal scores, however, were obviously a factor, and generational conflict may have been important as well.

At the time of the dispute, the major antagonists of Ibn al-Fărid were elder scholars, sixty years or older. By contrast, the majority of Ibn al-Fārid's supporters were between the ages of twenty-five and fifty-five thus representing the next generation of senior religious officials. The Ibn al-Fārid debate, then, could have been used by both young and old to promote or defend their own self-interests. In fact, several of al-Biqā'ī's contemporaries insinuated that he may have instigated and sustained the dispute in an attempt to procure professional notoriety and advancement.62

If this was the case, al-Biqā'ī severely misjudged the affair's political dimensions. Given the empire's instability and strained economy, Qā'it Bāy could not afford a prolonged religious conflict, which might further unsettle his already precarious situation. More specifically, al-Biqā'ī had directly challenged Qā'it Bāy by threatening one of his most dependable amirs, Barqūq al-Nāṣirī (d. 877/1472), who had been one of the original patrons of lbn al-Fārid's shrine; at the time of the controversy, Barqūq was the overseer for its endowments. Al-Biqā'ī's charges of infidelity had implicated Barqūq and others involved with the shrine, and Qā'it Bāy could not afford to alienate one of his most reliable amirs to serve the controversial cause of several elder scholars.<sup>63</sup>

Qā'it Bāy thus forcefully resolved the affair. Backed by a legal opinion exonerating Ibn al-Fārid and his supporters from charges of heresy and infidelity, Qā'it Bāy began to purge the senior religious

As for al-Biqācī, he was publicly disgraced and packed off to Damascus where, a few years later, he was nearly executed by several Mamluk amirs. In the end, perhaps al-Biqācī realized that his efforts to radically restrict Sufi thought and practice were doomed to failure. Opposed by many religious scholars, Mamluk amirs, the sultan himself, and by the larger populace, as well, al-Biqācī and his allies found themselves in an untenable position. Like Ibn Taymiyya nearly two centuries before, they stood in futile resistance to strong, prevailing currents in Islamic mysticism, and above all, in open opposition to the religious, political, and popular consensus of their Muslim community.

subsequently bestowed many of their former offices and holdings on Ibn al-Fārid's younger supporters, and the new sultan may have found the controversy useful for realigning the religious hierarchy in a balance more favorable to himself <sup>64</sup>

As for al-Biqāci, he was publicly disgraced and packed off to Da-

<sup>62</sup> See Homerin, Arab Poet 65-69, for details.

<sup>63</sup> Ibid. 60-62, 68-73, and see Petry, Protectors, esp. 42-46, for more on Qă<sup>2</sup>it Bāy<sup>2</sup>s economic and political problems at this time.

QUELQUES MODALITÉS D'OPPOSITION ENTRE MARABOUTS. MYSTIQUES ET ÉLITES DU POUVOIR, EN ALGÉRIE A L'ÉPOQUE OTTOMANE

#### KAMEL FILALI

La mystique maraboutique, proprement maghrébine, tire ses principes de la murābata. A la différence du soufisme oriental la transmission initiatique du maraboutisme se combine avec l'hérédité de la baraka, "marqueur" perpétuel de sainteté. Cela lui confére une sacralisation, en quelque sorte par la référence constante à l'ancestral nobiliaire et religieux du charifisme. Enfin, il y a le maraboutisme individualiste des suwwāḥa (pérégrinants), et le maraboutisme sélectif de groupe qui par sa légitimité historique, basée sur la noblesse et la gloire des aïeux prosélytes, arriva à se fixer sur le terroir et fonda à partir du quatorzième siècle des "fiefs maraboutiques" que bon nombre de tribus prenaient comme éponymes dans leur généalogie.

Dès les premiers temps, il était très rare de trouver au Maghreb, des saints initiés proprement dit, des soufis qui ne sont pas d'origine nobiliaire sharif et "combattants de la foi" (en temps de guerre). Vraisemblablement dans un but de renforcement de l'aspect de sacralisation, de puissance, de rayonnement et d'aménagement social, la mystique maghrébine opta pour une sacralisation généalogique et spiritualiste. Abū Yaʿazza (mort en 572/1177), saint d'origine berbère, qui fut, dit-on, le premier initié à la gnose orientale, était l'un des plus illustres chérifs. Le berbère rifain (du Rif marocain), Ibn Mashish² (mort en 625/1228), et Abū Madyan³ le qutb (pôle) de la mystique maghrébine qui naquit à Séville, en Andalousie, vers l'année 520/1126, étaient, sans contredit, des saints initiés et héréditaires de la noblesse chérifide.

Enfin, après avoir cumulé tant de signes sanctificateurs, par le

Sur le charifisme of 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Tigāni, al-'lqd al-jumān fi dhikr al-a' vān,
 Revue africaine xxxv (1891) 244-250.
 Louis Ridd, Marabouts et khomm (Alger 1884) 219.

Naquat ca. 509/1115-6, mort 594/1198; cf. Vincent Cornell, The Way of Abû Madvan (Cambridge, Mass 1996) 1-15. charifisme, et par le ribat (jihād) collectif et guerrier, 4 à l'époque qui nous occupe, le maraboutisme très expansif et imprégnant, débordant toutes les formes légales de la mystique islamique, se renforçait de multiples signes et symboles sacrés. Frondeur, 'Il dispute non seulement au sultan, mais au juriste et au théologien le primat social'. 5

Composite et confusionniste, le mysticisme maghrébin était, comme nous l'avons esquissé, principalement maraboutique. Malgré l'interférence historique, il est parfois très difficile de différencier, à partir de la fin du quinzième siècle, le saint soufi du marabout. Un seul personnage peut être en effet soufi, chérif, marabout et adepte d'une congrégation mystique, voire fondateur d'une confrége.

On peut dire que la mystique maghrébine, et notamment algérienne, n'était en fait qu'une synthèse de la mystique soufie et du charifisme basé sur la noblesse religieuse et la murābaṭa. Saints soufis et saints marabouts se superposaient subtilement au fil des années et finissaient par donner naissance, en Algérie, à partir du dix-huitième siècle, à des confréries hybrides alourdies par de multiples habits de sainteté. Rien de plus naturel que les luttes du sacré contre le profane et que l'antipathie du pouvoir politique envers la mystique.

Bien que puissante par son influence, fondée sur le rayonnement spirituel, la mystique au Maghreb n'était pas sans nombreux ennemis. L'opposition aux saints mystiques est très ancienne et remonte au douzième siècle, époque de l'apparition des premiers saints initiés au Maghreb. Historiquement, les premiers opposants étaient les exotéristes, 'ulamā' al-zāhir, et les juristes, ahl al-sharī'a, investis par les dirigeants politiques. Pour préserver l'orthodoxie et maintenir leur suprématie sacerdotale, leur situation et leur influence, les ulama gravitant autour des palais, ont réagi dès les premiers temps contre l'émergence de la mystique. Pour des raisons dogmatiques, spiritualistes et parfois politiques, sous l'alibi de l'orthodoxie, la mystique se voit confrontée à une série de détracteurs.

Les monarchies maghrébines nourrissaient une très ancienne opposition contre la mystique expansionniste et séditieuse. Affichant les premiers leur antagonisme à la mystique, sultans et émirs virent

5 Jacques Berque, L'intérieur du Maghreb, XV<sup>E</sup> XIX<sup>e</sup> siècle (Paris 1982) 56.

<sup>4</sup> La murabata, ici, synonyme de mujăhada, individuellement monacule dirigée contre l'âme négative, et collectivement combative contre les mécréants.

d'un œil prévenu et antipathique des religieux spirituellement très influents et très populaire, qui s'inspiraient de leurs contradictions politiques et de leur iniquité sociale (zulm) pour se dresser parfois

directement et ouvertement contre leur pouvoir séculier.

Pendant les trois siècles qui précédèrent l'époque ottomane, la mystique maraboutique, efficace symbole communautaire, plus affirmée sur les espaces maghrébins, encouragée par la crise multidimensionelle qui frappa le Maghreb, trouva des conditions favorable à son dévelopement. En revanche, les sultans épouvantés de ces "moines", contraignants par leurs préceptes très dogmatiques et menaçants par leur rigorisme et leur austérité, s'opposèrent d'emblée à ces "foqueteux " qui semblaient leur forcer la main. La mystique révolutionnaire, ne cachant pas ses préceptes et ses revendications militantes, regardait les membres des lignées seigneuriales,6 comme des perfides vivant dans un luxe extravagant et profanateur, prêts à sacrifier les intérêts de la nation (umma) et de la religion. Enfin, cette mystique est jugée en fonction de l'opposition entre sa morale et celle de l'Etat, Celui-ci s'arroge le droit de la contrôler et de la stigmatiser par l'intermédiaire des *'ulamā'* dépositaires de la légitimité canonique.

Les États Maghrébins, qui se sont succédés depuis les Almoravides (1039-1147) jusqu'aux Ziyânides (1235-1557), virent en la mystique un concurrent nuisible à leur pouvoir ainsi qu'aux prestiges et aux honneurs dont ils jouissaient depuis la nuit des temps. Ils nourrissaient de perpétuelles craintes pour la tranquillité de leur

royaume et la sûreté de leur trône.

Les modes d'oppositions étaient si violents, notamment aux douzième et au treizième siècles, qu'ils aboutissaient souvent à des assassinats: gêné par les prêches mystiques et l'ascendance d'Ibn al"Arîf (un soufi originaire de Tanger, Maroc), mort en 536/141,7 l'émir Almoravide "Alî b. Yūsuf, ordonna de l'empoisonner avant même de le juger. Les accusations les plus déshonorantes, les pratiques les plus humiliantes et les procédés les plus virulents furent surtout réservés aux saints les plus illustres des pionniers de la

mystique maghrébine. Abū Tujīn, un thaumaturge très favorable à l'Emir, faisant, dit-on, métier de sorcier, fit assassiner par ses partisans en l'an 625/1227/28 Ibn Mashish,9 maître de tous les saints. 10 Plus vigilant, Abu Madyan, entouré de vénération à Béjaya où il décida de se consacrer à l'enseignement et à la piété, quand tout à coup, son énorme popularité porta ombrage à l'émir Almohade, Ya'qūb al-Mansūr. Intrigué par son audience auprès des "masses" bougiotes, l'émir le convoqua sous prétexte de le consulter sur quelques questions religieuses. Redoutant cette entrevue, Abū Madyan quitta la ville pour Tlemcen; à la vue du ribāt d'al-'ubbād (les adorateurs), il s'écria prophétiquement: 'oh! que ce lieu est propice au sommeil! Il y mourra juste après, selon la tradition, et y fut d'ailfeurs enterré. 11

Les marabouts étaient des hommes sanctifiés détenteurs d'une force à la fois réelle et supranaturelle; c'est à leur militantisme que l'on s'adressait pour se débarrasser des tyrannies. L'influence des marabouts du Rif était si grande sur les masses berbères qu'ils s'opposèrent ouvertement au second souverain mérinide de Fès, Yüsuf b. Ya'qūb (685-706/1286-1307); celut-ci fut obligé de prendre des mesures pour éviter le soulèvement des masses exaltées par leurs maîtres spirituels contre son pouvoir. 12

Les éléments mystiques les plus influents et les plus "encadrants" spirituellement étaient souvent traqués et poursuivis partout où ils étaient. En 713/1313, le sultan Hafs de de Tunis fit une marche armée contre Tulga dans le Sud de l'Algérie actuelle "pour mettre au respect" (li-ta'dhib) un des disciples de 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Tāsūlī

et faire cesser ses prêches enflammés.13

L'affaiblissement de l'autorité sultanienne Ziyanide et la défaillance des pouvoirs publics, à partir notamment de la moitié du quinzième siècle, obligeaient les émirs dont la popularité commençait à être affectée par la présence de disciples et de descendants de saints autour des zawias, à recourir à la répression.

Les tribus maraboutiques, qui devaient se multiplier à l'époque

<sup>6</sup> Fernand Braudel les assumale aux borons français de l'époque, ef. son Méditerranée et monde méditerronéen à l'époque de Philippe II (Paris 1982).

<sup>7</sup> Celui-ci passa une grande partie de sa vie à se recycler dans les centres de la mystique intellectualiste à Almería (Andalousie) — où avaient retenti les prenners eris anti-mystiques et où furent brûtés, en prenner, les œuvres de al-Ghazăli.

<sup>8</sup> Alfred Bel, Les confréries religieuses musulmanes en Afrique du Nord (Paxis 1878)

<sup>9</sup> L'assassinat du cheikh, notait Abū Rās, fut la cause de la hijra du voyage que fit, en Orient, son disciple Abū'l-Ḥasan al-Shādhili où il fonda la Shādhiliyya, ef 'Ajā'lb al-akhbār wa-lajā'if al-asfār, Revue africaine xxv (1881) 374.

<sup>10</sup> Il fut en quelque sorte le trossième maillon de la silsila au Maghreb.

 <sup>11</sup> Cf. Abū'l 'Abbas al-Ghubrīni, 'Unwān al-dirāwa fi awlıyā' Bijāya (Alger 198.) 60
 12 Cf. Alfred Bel, 'Le soufisme dans l'occident musulman', Annales de l'Institut d'Études Orientales (Alger 1934-35) 158

<sup>13</sup> Auguste Cour, L'établissement des dynasties des chérifs au Maroc (Paris 1904) 11

ottomane, firent alors lentement leur apparition au courant du quatorzième siècle. Les princes avaient souvent intérêt à se concilier les ulama influents et à reconnaître avec déférence leurs mérites. C'est par l'ascendant moral des fuqahā' que le sultan voulait imposer sa légitimité et préserver son pouvoir. L'autorité de ce dernier s'étale sur la légitimité religieuse représentée par les ulama objets de sa politique; quant à celle du saint, elle repose sur l'autorité de son zèle et de ses influences très spirituelles lesquelles dissimulent parfois des intérêts mondains. Abū'l-fAbbās Ahmad b. Yüsuf al-Răshidī, un des plus grands soufis et saint (walī) reconnu. qui naquit à la Qal'a des Banū Rāshid vers 1450, s'étan un jour rendu à Oran. Le card jaloux de l'accueil que lui réservèrent les populations locales, écrivit aussitôt au prince ziyanide: 'Il existe chez les Huwwāra un homme se prétendant saint, très dangereux pour votre règne', 'Envoyez-le moi ou tuez-le', répondit l'Emir.14 Cet ordre hargneux et brutal montre bien l'ampleur de la crainte et de la haine que nourrissaient les princes Ziyanides envers les saints surtout lorsqu'ils jouissaient de populanté. Ahmad b. Yūsuf illustre la période de transition et de lutte pour le pouvoir entre mystiques et princes; il incarnait comme tant d'autres mystiques, tels Ahmad b. al-Qadī de Kabylie et Ahmad al-Kabīr de Blida, le soulèvement des autochtones contre les abus du pouvoir ziyanide. Le relâchement religieux de la dynastie régnante des Banū Ziyān et le danger chrétien qui ne cessait de grandir, poussèrent Ahmad à jouer un rôle éminent dans l'opposition anti-monarchique. Son influence et ses succès parmi la population d'Oran excita la jalousie de l'Emir Ziyanide "Abd Allah Muhammad surnommé al-Mutawakkil sala llah (866-880/1462-1475), qui le persécuta avant de le condamner à mort. Mais Ahmad b. Yüsuf, selon la légende, dut échapper miraculeusement au bûcher d'Abû Hammû II, émir de Tlemcen et s'enfuit à Ras al-Mas, auprès du caïd des Banū Rashid, "Alī b. Abi Ghānim. A l'arrivée des ordres de l'Emir Ziyanide, Ahmad s'éloigna en maudissant ses persécuteurs. 15 Le prince Hafside de Bougie, hostile aux Banû Ziyan offrit au saint marabout un asile sûr. 16 Bien qu'il eût attendu la mort du prince Abū 'Abd Allah pour revenir à Banti Rāshid, Alimad b. Yüsuf ne retrouva pas la sûreté ni la sérénité

dans l'Oranais, il fut de nouveau en butte aux exactions et aux poursuites du sultan Abū Ḥammū III Celui-ci envoya son bourreau pour l'exécuter dans sa prison, mais le cheikh, selon la tradition, devint invisible.<sup>17</sup>

L'opposition acharnée de la monarchie Ziyanide au saint Muhammad al-Huwwari, concrétisée par le meurtre de son fils commis par les Banū Ziyan, serait à l'origine de la prise d'Oran par les Espagnols en 1505, demandée par al-Huwwari, comme le note une tradition crédule. Un des disciples du cheikh n'a pas manqué de mettre en garde les Banū Ziyan dans une qaṣīda rimée sur la tā', 18

Au commencement du seizième siècle, les querelles et l'opposition des monarchies Ziyānides aux mystiques, aboutissent à l'intervention espagnole (1505). Les dissensions entre saints marabouts et sultans contribuèrent dans une large mesure à l'affaiblissement de la dynastie régnante et devinrent un des facteurs principaux qui permirent l'instauration d'un pouvoir ottoman en Algérie. Saints et marabouts lassés des persécutions et des bannissements des princes Ziyānides alarmés par les menaces du danger chrétien, finirent par appeler à leur secours les Barberousse, champions à ce moment là du phād en Méditerranée: 'Nul doute que l'action de la mystique au Maghreb septentrional n'ait contribué, par le détachement des choses terrestres, répandu dans les esprits, à la réussite si surprenante des Turcs'. 19

La première période, dite des Beylerbeys, couvrant le seizième siècle, marquée par la coalition turco-maraboutique, avant pour point de départ le soutien qu'avaient apporté les principaux saints et fiefs maraboutiques dans le processus de l'instauration du pouvoir ottoman. L'opposition des dirigeants ottomans à la mystique, au début, n'était en fait qu'une réaction contre les éléments hostiles à leur établissement et ne concernait que les anciennes lignées, sujets des Ziyānides, qui ne reconnaissaient pas la légitimité de leur khilāfa sur l'Algérie.

C'est surtout lorsque les intentions et les visées politiques turques se concrétisaient par la fondation du premier pashalik, en Afrique du Nord, que les controverses et les fatwas désapprobatrices de chefs mystiques, commençaient à devenir très nombreuses. Divisés en plusieurs attitudes, les opposants mystiques peuvent être

<sup>14</sup> Abū Rās al-Nūṣnā, 'Ajā'ib al-akhbār wo-lajā'if al-asfār, Revue africaine xxxv (1880) 139 sq

Ibn Maryam al-Madīti. ol-Bustān fi owleyā? Tilimsān (Alger 1978) 287

<sup>.6</sup> Cf René Basset. 'Les dictons satiriques attribués à S. Ahmad b. Youssel', Journal assatique ix (1890) 1-47

<sup>17</sup> Ibid, 19-20

<sup>18</sup> Abū Rās, op.cit. 139.

<sup>19</sup> Cf Emest Mercaer, Histoire de L'Afrique Septentrionale (Alger 1879) 14

essentiellement classés en trois catégories: ceux qui doutaient de la foi des Barberousse; ceux qui craignaient des représailles pour n'avoir pas apporté leur contribution dans leurs opérations de reconquête; et ceux qui se rattachant par principe à la foi, récusaient le pouvoir des Turcs qu'ils considéraient comme des 'ajam, des étrangers. Entre autres nombreux opposants, un marabout proclamant une fatwa parmi ses fidèles dans le Sud, disait que 'Celui qui tuast un Turc, avait autant de mérite pour Dieu, que celui qui tuait un renégat',20 Ibn al Maghūfal, un marabout descendant de Ibn Mashīsh disait également que 'les sultans turcs, al-'ajam ... en apparence pratiquaient le jihād, mais en réalité ils ne faisaient que guetter avec leurs intentions malignes les bilad al-islam' (faisant allusion à Alger),21 Băbā 'Arūj réagit brutalement à cette fatwa et fit prisonnier les deux fils du marabout en question, lors de sa campagne sur Tlemcen. Entre autres réactions hostiles, Ahmad b. Mulūka al-Nadhrūmī (de Nadhrouma), mis au courant par les fuyards des montagnes de Snassen (environs de Tlemcen) des massacres perpétués par Bābā 'Arūj à Tlemcen, s'écria, pris de colère: 'O Dieu, qu'il ne revienne jamais à Tlemcen!' (faisant allusion à Bābā 'Arūj), nous comptons sur toi, tu es le plus puissant!'22 La légende croit que Dieu a exaucé l'invocation du cheikh puisque Babā "Arūj fut assassiné, et ne retourna plus jamais à Tlemcen. Certains autres marabouts, extrêmement hostiles aux Tures, les qualifiaient de 'ajam en les plaçant parfois au même pied d'égalité avec les chrétiens, tel Muhammad al-Sanüsi, fondateur de l'illustre confrérie Santisiyya. Celui-ci pense que rien n'est plus illicite que de vivre au contact du chrétien et de subir la domination des Turcs (sic). Il récusait catégoriquement leur présence, d'ailleurs, il ne cessait de répéter: al-Turk wa'l-nasara al-kull fi zamra naqia'uhum fi marra (les Turcs et les Chrétiens, je les classe tous dans la même catégorie, je les taillerai en pièces tous en même temps).23

Beaucoup de ces opposants se virent bannis et poursuivis par les janissaires. Alors que certains marabouts, fuyant leurs persécuteurs, parvinrent à se réfugier dans les confins de l'extrême Sud, d'autres regagnèrent les foyers mystiques au Maroc pour se recycler ou pour

y enseigner, pendant que d'autres se vouèrent à la hijra (émigration de la foi), partirent à la Mecque pour vivre dans le recueillement et la sérénité. Abū cUthmān al Sacīd al-Tilmsani, avant de fuir le pays, composa un poème intitulé al-cAqd, où il traita Les Turcs de sauvages barbares.<sup>24</sup>

L'opposition entre saints et beys était souvent motivée par les luttes pour le pouvoir. Le cas du cheikh Ibn al-Qadī, illustre bien cette lutte. L'un des plus anciens alhés des Turcs, il finit par se détacher de cette coalition dès que Khayr ad-Din Barberousse se mit sous la suzerameté de la Porte, et lutta victorieusement pour préserver l'autonomie de sa confédération maraboutique de Kūkū. Selon la légende, il aurait été tué en 1527 au sein même de son fief à Kükü, par le marabout Kabyle Sīdi Mansūr,25 apparemment de connivence avec les Turcs. Méfiants, les beys s'adonnèrent alors à un "nettoyage" politique et décidèrent de liquider les personnages anciennement ancrés dans le terroir et les plus influents. Les Awlād 'Abd al-Mu'min, de la grande famille savante d'origine maraboutique, étaient également en lutte pour préserver la domination sur la ville de Constantine que leur dynastie exercait depuis l'ère des Almohades (1139-1267). Ils se montraient si infassablement hostiles à l'installation turque qu'ils furent victimes de leur résistance et de leur courage. Sălih al-cAntari, affirme que suite à leur attitude, Khayr ad-Din fit massacrer vingt quatre personnes parmi eux.26

A vrai dire, les marabouts ayant accueilli favorablement l'établissement turc se faisaient plus nembreux pour deux raisons. La première se rattache vraisemblablement aux principes de la foi: ils considéraient les Turcs, contrairement aux opposants, comme des coreligionnaires dignes de la khilôfa. La deuxième relève de considérations personnelles: les plus influents furent comblés de présents et de promesses avant même la fondation de la Régence. C'est sur cette catégorie de mystiques, que les Turcs vont élaborer une sorte de politique d'endiguement visant à annihiler ceux qui leur étaient hostiles et à gratifier leurs vassaux. Ce type de réactions approbatrices, qui enchantaient les Turcs, marqua les rapports entre les janissaires et cette catégorie de religieux qui jouissait, dès l'abord

<sup>20</sup> Charles Féraud, 'Les b. Djellab seigneurs de Touggourt', Revue africaine xxiii (1879)

<sup>21</sup> al-Urjūza, cité par Abū'l-Qūsum Sa'd Ališh, al-Ta'rīkh al-thaqāji (Alger 1982) 477

<sup>22</sup> Ibn Maryam. op. ctt. 146

<sup>23</sup> Charles Feraud, 'Les b. Djetlab', Revue africaine xxv (1881) 123.

<sup>24</sup> Ibu Saḥnum al-Rāshidi, al-Thaghr al-yumāni fi bitsām al-thaghr al-wahrāni (Alger 1981) 56

<sup>25</sup> Amar Boulifa, Le Djurdjura à travers l'Histoire (Alges 1878) 266.

<sup>26</sup> Şalāḥ al "Antari, ol Farīda ol mu'nasa (ms dans la collection personelle de l'auteur, s.d.) 39

et pendant toute l'époque ottomane, de gratifications et de faveurs. Cette attitude devint par la suite "une politique religieuse" largement

pratiquée par les pachas.

L'opposition saint-bey était à plus d'un titre objective puisqu'elle s'opérait entre deux élites d'origins différentes. Par sa personnalité quasi-surhumaine, par son détachement de la vie profane, par son austérité, le saint atteignait les couches populaires. En dehors du cercle de ses adeptes, au sens réduit du terme, tout un peuple d'admirateurs et de sympathisants gravitait autour de lui. On recourait à lui dans tous les moments faciles et difficiles de la vie: dans l'adversité, dans le malheur comme dans le bonheur. Il peut porter secours à distance, il est lui-même secours (al-ghawth) et l'assurance contre l'iniquité du bey et même contre les caprices de la nature Par sa popularité et son rôle d'intermédiaire entre le créé et le créateur par sa foi militante, il arrive à recruter des adeptes dans les classes sociales les plus variées. Il incarne aux yeux de l'élite des masses la foi militante et représente des valeurs à la fois subjectives et concrètes. C'est là que le saint porte ombrage au bey et devient un concurrent très menaçant pour le pouvoir. Celui-ci, contrairement au saint, menant une vie vaine et agitée, fait figure de profanateur et de tyran. L'entourage immédiat du bey est composé de 'ulamā' al-zāhir et de qudat. Le bey en tant que souverain accapareur de la force, protège le 'ālim qu'il a investi, ainsi, l'un et l'autre s'opposent à tout pouvoir se réclamant d'une légitimité nuisible, religieuse ou autre. De par son charisme et son audience sur les esprits des masses, le saint apparaît aux yeux des officiels du pouvoir et de la religion comme un éventuel concurrent intriguant. C'est dans cette "trilogie" politique, spirituelle et canonique que se situent les luttes et les conflits. Le saint, manquant de puissance matérielle, force concrète, agit d'une manière nuancée par la magie (sihr) et les miracles (karāmāt). Le bey évitant de provoquer "les foudres" du saint homme qui assure les rapports entre le social et le divin, utilise souvent des religieux compromis avec son pouvoir pour faire échouer toute prétention politique des éléments mystiques Enfin, une lutte s'inscrit dans le cadre d'un conflit de coterie et peut déborder les clans et s'interposer dans une même élite quand les intérêts se chevauchent et se superposent.

Des luttes plus ou moins douces et subtiles étaient secrètement engagées entre mystiques et pachas, notamment à partir du dixseptième siècle, époque de la prépondérance du sacré. Les marabouts, en l'occurrence ruraux, ne manifestaient pas seulement leur puissance par des symboles compatibles aux faits mystiques, mais beaucoup d'entre eux trouvèrent salut et grâce à la faveur de lenes karāmat.

Les réactions charismatiques, comme mode de résistance et d'opposition, étaient souvent liées aux conflits et aux phénomènes de crises. Celles-ci posaient d'une manière voilée la question du pouvoir et les différents aspects de sa légitimité. Les moyens de défense des saints thaumaturges dépendaient fondamentalement de leur fiabilité et de la crédibilité de leur charisme. Force réelle ou charismatique, comme toujours, la légende acclame plutôt la force superstitieuse et irrationnelle à laquelle elle attribue la véritable cause de la puissance mystique. Entre plusieurs autres exemples, le refus de la tribu maraboutique des Awlad Sīdī Khālid au Sud de Constantine, de payer la lazma (impôt annuel), en 1638, suscita l'intervention de Murad Bey de Constantine qui mena une razzia contre elle.27 En guise de renfort, il recut une colonne de l'ocak et fit marche sur la tribu rebelle.28 Confrontés à la puissance réelle des Awlad Sidi Khalid, en armes et en hommes, la campagne alla à l'échec. Cet échec était objectif, mais il fut tout de même attribué au charisme de Sidi Khālid; la vie sauve ne fut accordée aux i que sous des conditions révélatrices de cette extraordinaire croyance en la puissance supranaturelle:29 les janissaires devaient repartir droit sur Alger sans se retourner ni à droite ni à gauche, 'sous peine d'être tous taillés en pièces'.

La subtilité et l'audace politiques s'opposent parfois au charisme mystique. Certains Beys téméraires, moins dupes, ne manquaient pas de prendre le risque d'affronter le charisme mystique: après que sa caravane, qui se rendait à Umm al-malazim dans le Tell, eut été pillée par les partisans de Ja'far Bey de Titteri, Sidi Muhammad b. al-Atrash, marabout des Awlad "A"isa, alla se plaindre auprès du Bey; avec impertinence et audace, celui-ci lui répondit: 'Je suis chameau et le marabout la plante épineuse; mais le chameau mange la plante épineuse' (rānī imal wa'lamrābat chūk wa'l-imal ya'kul alchūk),30

Les inspirations charismatiques comme moyen de lutte, n'étaient

<sup>27</sup> Le Père Dan (rédempteur de l'Ordre de la Tranté), 'Histoire générale de la vie, des faits et des aventures des notables pris par les infideles', Revue asiatique xx (1884, 56

<sup>28</sup> Le refus de payer l'impôt était une manière implicite de désobéissance et refus de POUVOU

<sup>29</sup> Dan, op. cit 213.

Adréan Berbrugger, 'Notice sur l'administration du beylik de Titten'. Revue africaine 1x (1865) 294.

pas uniquement dressées contre le pouvoir officiel, elles étaient aussi utilisées dans les compétitions qui opposaient les différents fiefs maraboutiques et les composantes mystiques, 'Ā'isa b. Muhammad des Awlad Talba31 était un des marabouts les plus célèbres des territoires de Mostaghanem. Un jour il reçut la visite du seigneur de la Medjana, Ahmad al-Muqrani, qui avec des arrière-pensées d'héritage et de règne, invoqua une commune ascendance ancestrale. Sidi 'Ā'isa touché par ces intentions malveillantes, l'expulsa en récusant formellement toute parenté avec lui. Al-Muqrani furieux, partit en hâte, rassembla une armée de deux mille guerriers de la Kabylie et revint pour le châtier, mais Sidi "Ā"isa plus puissant en dons charismatiques, 'paralysa son armée et métamorphosa al-Muqrānī en femme'.32

Etant une preuve de puissance supranaturelle, les karāmāt furent aussi instrument fiable de pouvoir, de justice, et de concurrence de prestige entre saints-marabouts et saints-soufis. Elles étaient donc un moyen sûr de rehausser ou d'abaisser l'honneur, l'influence et la crédibilité des uns ou des autres. Enfin, les réactions supranaturelles étaient souvent un moyen d'opposition et de défense, notamment pour les marabouts ruraux. Ces manifestations mystiques, phénomène très à la mode à cette époque, ne manquaient pas d'attirer la currosité des voyageurs occidentaux: en visite à Alger en 1725, Laugier de Tassy (commissaire de la marine pour sa Majesté le Roi de Hollande)33 relata l'histoire d'un duel charismatique entre Sīdī "Īsūs et le saint rigoriste plus ou moins soufi Sīdī Sinnā. Voulant tirer prestige et autorité de l'échec de l'expédition de Charles Quint sur Alger, en 1541, chacun des deux saints prétendait être à l'origine du massacre de la flotte chrétienne. L'affaire fut même portée devant le Diwan du pacha Ḥassān (1533-1545) pour trancher et élire celui qui fut le véritable saint protecteur d'Alger.

L'autre modalité d'opposition et de lutte, en l'occurrence entre saints plus ou moins rigoristes et calim, consiste en polémiques finissant en véritables guerres verbales. Pour l'époque en question, dans les centres urbains où pullulaient madrasas et centres d'initiations mystiques, c'est surtout à partir de la moitié du dixseptième siècle34 que les fuqahā2 livrèrent bataille à l'ésotérisme qui

avait tendance à éclipser leur pouvoir dans la eyalet ( régence)

Les débats d'une manière traditionnelle étaient une guerre de principes entre deux groupes antagonistes d'une part: les tenants de la religion "officielle", qui n'adhèrent pas aux préceptes mystiques, et condamnent tout esprit de "superstition", toute interprétation ésotérique du Coran, tout caractère d'indoculité, de séduction et de révolte, tout esprit "d'irréligion" et de scandale; d'autre part la mystique qui blâme l'esprit d'attachement à l'autorité du souverain, prêche la soumission à Dieu, le service au jihād et l'esprit de zèle pour les intérêts de la religion. En fait, l'esprit de corps de certaines lignées maraboutiques et de congrégation de certains majalis, tel le mailis de Muhammad al-Buni de Būna (Annāba) très stigmatisé à cette époque, apparaissait comme un esprit de faction prêt à diviser la umma, un esprit de chiisme nuisant aux préceptes de la loi coranique, un esprit d'indépendance prêt à troubler les intérêts bevlicaux.

Cependant les plus rigoristes parmi les mystiques, s'attaquaient particulièrement à ce qu'ils appelaient les mondains et les "hypocrites" (al-munăfiqun). A leurs yeux, les premiers nuisent à l'ascétisme par leurs médisances et leur comportement frivole, les seconds le dénaturent et le ridiculisent par leur comportement contraire à la loi coranique. Les meilleurs exemples de polémiques se trouvent dans le Manshür satırıque du shaykh al-islām du beylik de Constantine. "Abd al-Karīm Lafgūn (1580-1662), le marabout citadin, le shaykh al-islam de Constantine, sujet de la politique des beys, se dressa contre la mystique de son époque.35 Il critiqua durement les marabouts et les pseudo-fakirs, qu'il traita avec fureur de dujjāl (imposteurs) et de mubtadi'un (innovateurs). Il écriva en exclusivité, à l'époque de Murad Bey (1622-1647), son livre Manshur al-hidaya, unique dans son genre par son caractère froid et sa critique pertinente. La troisième partie de ce Manshūr, intitulée Les prétendants à la sainteté et les faussaires classe les innovateurs de son époque en trois principales catégories: al-dajjālūn (que)que chose comme faussaires), al-dällūn (les ignorants égarés) et les

pouvoir, à l'époque des pachas (1585-1689), que "la guerre des influences" exacerbée.

<sup>31</sup> Ils furent appelés ainsi en mison du grand nombre d'étudiants qui vennient solliciter chaque année l'ensergnement du père de Sidi Mahammad et de las même

<sup>32</sup> al 'Adwāni. Kitāb a' vān al maghārība (Tunus 1863) 142. 13 Histoire du Royoume d'Alger, éd. H. Sauzet (Amsterdam 1725) 46-48.

<sup>34</sup> C est avec la pacification, la stabilisation institutionnelle et l'enracmement du

<sup>35</sup> Devant la prépondérance de l'ésotérisme, certains fagiles compromis avec le pouvoir sous une forme d'absorption de noyantage ou de réempération, d'autres pour un but de renforcement de prestige par l'habit saint, adhérèrent à la mystique pour lui porter des cor ps de l'intérieur. Nous pensons que "Abd al-Karim dont la fignée héritait de la nyasa et de la khaaba à la grande mosquée depuis déjà trois générations, en était un.

charlatans brigands (man idda al-wilāya fī'l-istilā arzāq al-nās). Parmi ces dujāl, Muhammad al-Ghurab qui, dit-il, en allant se plaindre au faqīh Sidī Abd al Raḥman, après avoir été chahuté par ses élèves, le traita par le verset coranique 83/18, de Shayṭān. 6 Ali al-Abid, l'illustre adepte de la Shabbiyya 7 "qui avait une grande renommée entre Constantine et Bilād al-Anab" (le pays du jujubier: Annaba), n'échappera pas aussi aux qualifications de mubiadi et de zindīq. 38

La plus dangereuse catégorie selon le Manshur, était celle des brigands et des voleurs. Il notait que certains saints faussaires (dajjālūn) utilisaient leurs dons charismatiques pour tromper et voler les biens qui ne leurs appartenment pas. Le plus visé dans cette catégorie de saints brigands était Qăsim b. Umm Hāni3, un saint charlatan, très impliqué dans la magie. Lorsqu'il apprenait que quelqu'un venait d'acquérir un bien, il envoyait ses agents pour faire main basse sur la chose. Les gens crédules et superstitieux, obéissaient généralement et cédaient aux menaces charismatiques même s'il s'agissait de leurs biens les plus précieux; et s'ils résistaient et ne cédaient pas au chantage, ce qui était pour l'auteur une chose vraiment rare, le saint leur promettait le mauvais sort; et le premier malheur qui touchait la personne ou son entourage était interprété comme la malédiction promise. Toutefois, la clientèle et les amis du cheikh ne manquaient pas de s'occuper de la propagande qui nourrissait des buts malsains. Si aucun malheur ne coïncidant avec l'événement dans un temps donné, le prétendu saint envoyait ses complices pour s'emparer du bien visé en faisant croire la victime à un fait extraordinaire provoqué par le mécontentement du saint, 39

Les polémiques et les controverses souvent perpétuées par des échanges d'accusations, n'épargnaient presque personne. Il serait même difficile de reconnaître le saint orthodoxe du saint factice. La liste des prétendus saints et des faussaires innovateurs (ad<sup>c</sup>tyà<sup>2</sup> al-wilāya wa'l-dayjālūn) dans le Manshūr est longue mais les reproches et les détails étaient ils vrais ou calomnieux? En tous les cas, aucune réplique ni démenti n'a jamais répondu à ces accusations, exceptée la

36 °Abd al Karim Lafgün, Manshür al-hidāya 118. Ms aimablement communiqué par Hasūna Lafgūn. modeste réplique du Sheikh al-Bunt, qui dans une quatda, rappela sagement que le shaykh al islām Lafgūn ne devait pas s'abaisser à de tels traitements, et 'qu'il était grave, pour Dieu, de blesser l'honneur des gens, en les accusant à tort et à travers, sans fondement'. 40 Cependant, toutes les polémiques ne sauraient dissimuler une lutte réellement politique. Le poème satirique qui suit, est fort révélateur: il commence par des accusations virulentes relatives aux principes, et finit par la contestation des fonctions religieuses de certains mystiques qualifiés d'hérétiques:

L'époque trébuche par sa génération.

Les burques de sauvetage se brisèrent sur les vagues de l'hérésie.

Les nuages de l'ignorance faisment ombre et les marchés de la science devenuent méventes. L'ignorant devint président, et le savant dans sa maison se traitait d'ignoble.

Les voix mystiques qui tombérent entre les mains de l'hérésie pri, le dessus de , orthodoxie. Les odeurs des négations et les expulsions se faisaient de plus en plus sentir (dans la vie).

Les deux class (mystiques) se sont attachés à la mondanité et prirent les postes qui revenuent légitimement et anciennement aux orthodoxes 41

La virulence des injures s'aligne sur une certaine subtilité beylicale et ne réussit pas à cacher la faiblesse de la dialectique souvent teintée de mondanité profane. Les accusations étaient souvent dénuées d'argumentations et de preuves.

Les affrontements qui en apparence étaient une bataille secrète entre ésotérique et exotérique, s'avéraient au fond des péripéties politiques marquées par des luttes entre ceux qui étaient impliqués avec le pouvoir et ceux qui lui étaient hostiles. La lutte pour l'autorité fut la principale composante des relations entre faqîr et 'âlim d'une part, et entre faqîr et pouvoir de l'autre. Les questions d'orthodoxie, d'hérésie et de moralité attisaient les débats et les polémiques même les plus politiques. Les fatwas qui remplissaient le discours politique servaient désormais de prétexte pour toutes les ambitions inavouées des dissérents clans

Les discours religieux et les controverses qui pouvaient être analysés comme une prise de conscience politique, étaient aussi un moyen habile de compétition pour la riyasa (prééminence)<sup>42</sup> entre les différents protagonistes parmi les lignées religieuses C'était aussi, d'une manière générale, une arme de lutte pour les fonctions

<sup>37</sup> Confrérre religiouse qui avant de forts succès à la fin du serzième et au début du dixseptième siècles, elle s'affirma bridamment sur la scène politique, notamment en Tunisse.

 <sup>38</sup> Manshür 168.
 39 Manshür 123-127

<sup>40</sup> Cf Tawfiq al-Madani et Nașr ad-Din aș-Şaydöni, el-'Ahd el 'utlunăni (A.get 1984) 164

<sup>41</sup> Manshür 31, 32.

<sup>42</sup> La rivāsa ne s'obtenat que par des moyeas de débats religieux subtiles et de polémaques, rarement par des moyeas thaumaturges.

disputées entre ceux qui n'avaient pas le pouvoir et ceux qui en avaient.

Les polémiques et les accusations mutuelles ne se limitaient pas aux saints locaux, elles survaient toujours les intérêts conditionnés par les rapports de force et pouvaient même déborder les frontières territoriales. Parmi les grandes polémiques qui ont marqué l'histoire de la mystique maghrébine, figure celle qui opposa Sidi Shaykh au saint marocain Abū Maḥallī. Les visées expansionnistes des deux adversaires, notamment celles d'Abū Maḥallī, envieux de la renommée de Sīdī Shaykh, étaient très grandes. Dans son Manjanīq, 43 il le stigmatisa d'une manière virulente en le traitant d'hérétique. Sīdī Shaykh répliqua savamment dans sa fameuse qaṣīda, al-Yāqūta<sup>44</sup> où il rappela ses expériences mystiques, les vrais préceptes de la foi et les tristes sorts réservés à ses détracteurs.

Par l'opposition entre les intérêts des saints et des ulama, le pouvoir essayait de conserver une sorte d'équilibre entre les clans en ayant recours à la compromission, ce qui lui assura une homogénéité politique. Le poste de qāqī Ḥanafī des Turcs était mis en compétition entre les "barons" de la mystique orthodoxe, Sīdī "Abd al-Laṭīf b. Barakāt (mort en 1031/1622), et Sīdī Bal "Abbās. Cette dispute pour la rivāsa, fit des deux amis d'enfance, des ennemis acharnés. Enfin, ce fut Sīdī "Abd al-Laṭīf, le plus populaire, le plus fort en charisme qui fut élu qāqī des Turcs.45

L'administration beylicale eut souvent tendance à exploiter les fatwas pour des motifs purement politiques, notamment pour endiguer les influences des différents clans religieux et contrôler les menaces grandissantes de la mystique. Elles servaient surtout à inculper les plus hostiles parmi les shuyūkh, à bannir les indésirables fastidieux et à neutraliser les plus influents parmi l'élément religieux. Les consultations canoniques recelaient souvent des intentions impures. Le halāl, le harām et la bid'a, devinrent ainsi des outils politiques de compétition et de lutte, pour les différents détracteurs parmi lesquels bon nombre de prétendus marabouts citadins et ulama se disputaient ad vitam les bénéfices de la puissance politique. Pour créer une atmosphère d'extorsion et de neutralisation, les beys allaient jusqu'à impliquer les plus influents

45 Cf Manshür 78-9

parmi les santons dans la corruption en les intégrant dans les affaires cléricales. Les Umm Hāni', lignée maraboutique constantinoise, très influente dans le milieu populaire, tout en se soumettant activement au service de la propagande des Turcs en proclamant au moment opportun des fatwas en faveur du système, 46 profitaient en contre partie de leur libre mouvement et de leurs manœuvres souvent douteuses.

Jouissant d'une bonne renommée dans les milieux orthodoxes, Sidi Abū'l-Hasan al-Gharbī, dit Abū'l-Fadl, contemporara de Oāsim Lafgun (oncle de 'Abd al-Karim), fut sévèrement stigmatisé par des religieux, agents du pouvoir. Inculpé d'héresie, il fut condamné par Jacfar Bey (1574/88). Quand certains ulama prirent sa défense et crièrent son innocence, l'administration du beylik fit de lui un fou dangereux et l'enferma en prison.47 D'autres mystiques, victimes des polémiques de certains sujets du pouvoir, se faisaient emprisonner ou expulser par le caid turc: les bannissements ottomans étaient nombreux et jalonnaient les chroniques de l'époque. Al-Warthilānj48 notait qu'il rencontra, en 1732, aux environs de Gabès (en Tuniste), le Shaykh Abū 'Azīz al-Hannāshī fuyant l'armée du bey qui l'accusait de sortir de la voie de la sharia. Les mystiques ne furent pas les seuls à souffrir de ces manœuvres démagogiques et de la ségrégation turque, bon nombre de fonctionnaires aborigènes furent évincés pour leurs positions controversées aux intérêts de l'administration beylicale; à titre d'exemple, Sidī Hamza b. Hasan (mort en 1050/1641) qadi sunni connu par son hostilité à la justice beylicale, fut inculpé de corruption.49

Les fatwas étaient souvent un moyen de censure et de prétexte pour la condamnation des partis rivaux parmi les saints qui commençaient à lui faire de l'ombrage sur la scène politique. Cette méthode était d'autant plus efficace qu'elle empêchait souvent la mobilisation des éléments les plus rivaux au pouvoir.

L'opposition beylicale au flux mystique, très favorisée par la nouvelle conjoncture de crise qui frappa l'Algérie au dix-huitième siècle, était d'autant plus rude que les époques précédentes qu'elle finit par la répression. Pachas et beys observant leur autorité se plier sous l'ombre imprégnant de la mystique, mettaient tout en œuvre

<sup>43</sup> Cf. Manjoniq al-şukhür le-hadm shaykh al-ghurûr (Bibliothèque Royale Robat ms

<sup>44</sup> La Yāqāta est toujours chantée dans la zāwiva à Sīdi Shaykh al-Brodh, fors de la prière du vendredt et après la prière qui sunt les funérailles (salāt al-ghā\*ib).

<sup>46</sup> Sur les comportements hétérodoxes et les manyaises arœurs of ibid 120-4

<sup>47</sup> Manshür 56

<sup>48</sup> Al-Husayn al-Warthilânî, Nuzhat al-anzār fi faḍl 'ilm al-ta'rīkh wa'l akhbār (A.ger 1908) 126

<sup>49</sup> Manshiir 75

pour enrayer le mouvement mystique et s'opposer à ses éléments les

plus hostiles

Très inquiets de l'usure de leur système face à l'épanouissement des ordres expansifs50 en l'occurrence des khwān,51 les beys s'adonnèrent à une authentique politique d'affrontement. Salih Bey (1771-1792) originaire de Smyrne, conscient de la situation minée de parallélisme politique, fut le véntable pionnier des reformes dans le beylik de Constantine, où le mysticisme connut une réelle floraison. Il privilégia le processus d'une réislamisation, favorisant l'orthodoxie au détriment des khwan les plus néfastes et les plus opposants. Il décréta des mesures palhatives et fit de la mosquée le fer de lance de ses réformes inspirées pour combattre l'avance de la zāwiya comme centre de rayonnement et de propagation des préceptes dogmatiques de la mystique confrénque.52 Les mosquées de la ville de Constantine furent en grande partie construites ou rénovées vers la fin du dix-huttième siècle, en pleine période de décomposition du système ture: Sälih Bey, en réformateur opiniâtre, entraîna les pachas à faire de la concurrence de la mosquée une sorte de courroie de transmission du système. Il s'adonnait sans relâche à construire et à entretenir les jawāmi' (lieu de prosternation et d'enseignement) et les masājid (lieu de prière uniquement), et édifia en premier les jawāmi' de Sīdī al-Akhdar et de Sīdī al-Kattānī. Obstinément bienveillant au bon fonctionnement de la grande mosquée, il la dota d'un énorme apanage religieux et confia sa gestion à la notoriété et à la grande bienveillance du Sheikh Lafgün à qui il délivra un diplôme.53

La multiplication des mosquées, à notre sens, s'inscrit comme une réplique tendant, non seulement à faire face physiquement à la zāwiya, mais plutôt à essayer de créer de nouveaux centres-relais d'endoctrinement et de propagande pour "un Islam moins rival". Dans ses réformes de l'institution de la madrasa, Sălih Bey fut aidé par un groupe de mufits: Abd al-Qādir al-Rāshidī, mufit Ḥanafī; Sha'bān b. Jallūn, qādī Ḥanafī également, et Lafgūn, shavkh al-islām

50 La majorité des confréries algémentes, à savoir la Tijamyya. la Raḥmāmyya et la Darqāwiyya font leur apparation à la deuxième moitié du dix-huttième siècle.

51 A la différence des adeptes des confréries orientales, les adeptes locaux se font appeter les khwān

52 Charges Férmió. 'Les anciens établissements musulmans de Constantine', Revue africaine xit (1868) 122-125

53 Ernest Mercier, 'L'émergence de la famille Lafgûn', Recueil de la Société Archéologique de Constantine xix (1878) 226

entre autres.<sup>54</sup> L'encadrement était assuré par des *imam* abusivement désignés comme des ulama, que les beys comblaient d'égards et d'honneurs.<sup>55</sup>

Malgré toutes les tentatives politiques et physiques, les zawiyas ne cessèrent de lancer leur défi, de réaffirmer encore plus leur organisation et leur propagation. Le pouvoir officiel, ne réussit donc guère l'affaiblissement de la zāwiya. Centre de décision, d'autorité spirituelle et politique, elle était d'autant plus obstinée que jamais à poursuivre sa lutte, qu'elle résista mieux aux manœuvres de décadence et d'isolement d'un régime turc, de plus en plus fragile, voire impuissant. A ces réformes s'ajoutaient des mesures d'alourdissement de la fiscalité. Les privilèges fiscaux accordés à certaines lignées marabout-mystiques notamment depuis 1772. furent subitement remis en question. Sālih Bey, pour qui la nouvelle politique avait manifestement d'autres visées, essaya de saper l'autorité des zāwiyas les plus proches de la imara (c.à.d. le beylik) dont le danger était particulièrement éminent. L'intouchable shavkhat des Awlad 'Abid fut dès lors obligée à payer l'impôt56 et Abd Allāh al-Zabūshī, le célèbre santon de Mila entre autres, fut affranchi de ses droits d'exemption d'impôts ainsi que de ses privilèges.57 L'Etat réticent et ahuri n'arrivait pas à trouver un équilibre pour sa politique qui visait à enrayer à la fois le processus de sa dégradation et éradiquer le phénomène mystique qui semblait jouer le teu de la concurrence et du défi. Cette dynamique multiforme et ces calculs politiciens, propres à cette époque deylicale, étaient de peu de secours; les beys ne faisaient en fait qu'accroître d'une façon spectaculaire la tension entre les tribus et les beylicats et compliquer leurs rapports avec les agents du pouvoir socio-religieux. 'La politique turque fut alors contradictoire, car d'un côté la coopération des chefs locaux était une garantie de paix de bonne rentrée des impôts, mais de l'autre, l'usage de la force poussait ces mêmes chefs à prendre la tête des révoltes populaires pour ne pas se déconsidérer tout à fait aux yeux de leurs clients'.58

Quand ces premières mesures n'arrivaient pas à refroidir ni à intimider l'ardeur mystique ni encore à remédier au problème financier, les beys lançaient des razzias notamment contre les tribus

<sup>54</sup> Mouloud Gaid, Chromque des beys d'Alger (Alger 1982) 41

<sup>55</sup> Férand, 'Les anciens établissements' 121

 <sup>56 \*</sup>Les Awläd Sidi \*Äbud\*, Archives d'Outre Mer (Aix-en-Provence) 10, H 12
 57 Férand \*Les anciens établissements 121

<sup>58</sup> Abdallah Larotn, Histoire du Machreb, un essai de synthèse (Paris 1976) u. 46

du Sud, Ce n'était que vers la fin de sa carrière politique dans le beylik de Constantine, notait al-'Antari, que Şāliḥ Bey commençait à paraître de plus en plus hameux et revanchard, envers les zāwiyas.<sup>59</sup> Irrité par les incessants défis de la mystique, ce fut par le marabout Shaykh al-Zawāwi qu'il commença sa politique de répression; il l'assassinat non loin de ses jardins; sa dépouille se transforma, dit la légende, en un corbeau<sup>60</sup> d'où le sumom de Laghrāb.

Dans toute cette série d'oppositions, oscillant entre les politiques les plus subtiles et la répression la plus sévère, la flamme de la mystique semblait triomphante des dialectiques des beys qui ne trouvaient pas de moyens pour éradiquer le mouvement ni même un terrain d'entente dans leur politique. Victime de sa politique religieuse et son opposition acharnée contre les marabouts, Salih Bey connut en 1792 une fin violente, à la taille de la banqueroute du système. Il fut assassiné par des janissaires avec la complicité de son favoris shaykh al-islām Lafgūn qui le livra à son boureau.61 Le désastre de Sālih Bey interprété par les "masses" comme une malédiction était en réalité le début de la chute d'un pouvoir confronté au sacré et banni par les saints. Enfin, depuis cet évènement jusqu'à la chute d'Alger entre les mains de la France (le 4 juillet 1830), ordres des khwān et disciples n'ont cessé de s'attaquer à l'État beylical.

# SUFISM IN SUSPENSE THE SUDANESE MAHDI AND THE SUFIS

## R. SEÁN O'FAHEY

Controversy between Sufis and, for want of a better description, non-Sufi Muslims is almost as old as Islam. The present contribution analyses not another episode in that particular controversy, but rather the complex relations between a Sufi-turned-Mahdi and those Sufis who supported, acquiesced in, or opposed him. The actors involved were Northern Sudanese, Muslims whose religious world was profoundly Sufi, but Sufi along a continu um that went from "intellectual", or perhaps better "scriptural", to "popular". The present contribution has a thesis; that during the crisis of the Mahdist revolution and state (1881-98), many, perhaps the majority of, Sudanese Muslims "suspended" one set of beliefs, practices and allegiances — their Sufi identity — for another, a belief in the Mahdi. The excitement of the Mahdiyya being dashed to the ground by Kitchener and his war machine, they quietly reverted to being as before, although they could never quite be the same as before.

The revolt led by Muhammad Ahmad b. "Abd Allāh (1844-85), the Sudanese Mahdt, against Egyptian rule in the Sudan was one of the cataclysmic upheavals in nineteenth-century Islamic history. The successful revolt permanently weakened Egypt's hegemony over the Nile Valley by creating or consolidating another Islamic identity and entity along the river — a fact still crucial today; 2 it became a major factor in the "Partition of Africa" 3 and, not least, it defined British attitudes to the region for several decades. Within the Muslim world

<sup>59</sup> al- Antari, Maja at Qusuntina (Bibliothèque Nationale Algerieune ms 2330) f. 12

<sup>60</sup> Rann, op.ca 429

<sup>61</sup> Cf Ahmad b. Mubārak, Ta<sup>2</sup>rīkh Qasanīna (Alger 1913).

I A term I have borrowed from Albrecht Hofheinz; see his dr philos, thesis. Internalizing Islam. Shaykh Muhammad Maydhib, scriptural Islam and total context in early nineteenth-century Sudan, University of Bergen 1996.

On the longterm significance of the Mahdiyya on Egyptian Sudanese relations, both politically and histomographically, see Gabriel R. Warburg, Historical Discord in the Nile Valley (London 1992). See also id., 'From Revolution to Conservatism: some aspects of Mahdist ideology and politics in the Sudan', Der Islam Ex. (1993) 88-111.

<sup>3</sup> The diplomatic significance of the Mahdist Sudan in its wider context is analysed in G.N. Sanderson, England, Europe and the Upper Nile, 1882-1899 (Edinburgh 1965).

it inspired activists like al-Afghani and Muḥammad 'Abduh; provoked discussion by establishment figures like the Shāfi'i mufti in Mecca, Aḥmad Zayni Daḥlān (d. 1886) and was a model for Islamic resistance to the British in Northern Nigeria.<sup>4</sup>

The Mahdi was a Sufi, the product of a very specific Sudanese Sufi milieu, thus, the intellectual and political history both of the revolt and the state it created offer a complex and fascinating interplay between Muslim Sufi identities and what may be termed supra or extra-Sufi identities. In this interplay, there are parallels with the contemporary experience of the National Islamic Front (NIF: al-Haraka al-qawmiyya al-islāmiyya), the de facto ruler of the country since June 1989, in both its internal and international aspects. Both the Mahdivva and the NIF tried/are trying to extrapolate from a specific Sudanese reality to a universal Islamic din, applicable to the Muslim world and beyond. Both the Mahdiyya and the NIF built/build upon an "enthusiasm"5 which has its roots in a certain strain of African Sufi Islam, far removed from, 'ce vague piétisme dont s'accommoderait le néo-hanbalisme', characteristic of much of the Sufism of the Arabic-speaking Muslim world of the nineteenth century.6

The relative obscurity surrounding the Mahdi's family origins and the story of the family's southward migration suggest that Muhammad Ahmad was a "new man", whose career before his ma-

4 On al-Afghāni'a views, see Nikki R. Keddie, Saynd Jamāl ad-Dīn "al-Afghāni" (Berkeley 1972) 229-46; on Dahlān, see Heather J. Shurkey, 'Ahmad Zaynī Dahlān's al-Futūhāt al-islāmiyya a contemporary view of the Sudanese Mahdi', Sudane Africa, A Journal of Historical Sources v (1994) 67-76. On the Nigerian connexion and the wider implications of the Mahdiyya, see Sabun Biobaku and Muhammad Al-Haji, 'The Sudanese Mahdiyya and the Niger-Chad Region', in I.M. Lewis (ed.), Islam in Tropical Africa (London 1966) 425-41, and Thomas Hodgkin, 'Mahdisin, Messianism and Marxism in its African setting', in Yasuf Faḍl Hasan (ed.), Sudan in Africa (Khartoum 1972) 109-27

6 Michel Chorikiewicz, review of Einst Thomassen & Bernd Radike (eds.), The Letters of Ahmad ibn Idris (London 1993), Studia Islamica Ixxx (1994) 169-70.

nifestation was shaped both by an attempt to "break into" the Sufi establishment of the and by the new economic opportunities offered his people by Egyptian colonial rule.<sup>7</sup>

The Mahdi's family claimed to be ashrāf who had emigrated from Egypt to the Dongola region in the fifteenth century and "became" Danāqia (sing. Dunqulāwī), that is Islamused Nubian-speakers. Some of the family seem by the early nineteenth century to have acquired at least a local reputation as holy men; thus one of his immediate ancestors, Muhammad w.[= walad] Sāttī, was an expert in tibb and hikma and was a murīd of Aḥmad al-Ṭayyib w. al-Bashīr (d. 1824), who introduced the Sammāniyya tarīqa into the Sudan.8 He later joined the Khatmiyya which he led in his home district, al-Khandaq. He died in Dongola where his tomb is still to be seen.9 Muhammad w. Sāttī, thus, initiated the family hink with the Sammāniyya, which was to be so crucial in the Mahdi's career.

Muḥammad Aḥmad was born, according to family tradition, in Rajab 1260/July-August 1844.<sup>10</sup> Just about the time of his birth, his branch of the family became involved in a dispute with the local ruler, Wad Numayrī, <sup>11</sup> and <sup>c</sup>Abd Allāh, his father, decided to move south. The Mahdi's father was a boatbuilder, which along with those of hunter, market broker, fisherman and sailor, was one of the trades that the Danāqla in their southward disspora virtually monopolised.

On recent visits (1993, 1994 and 1995) to the Sudan I have been struck by the "triumphalist" ione among NIF leaders and their supporters. Likewise, al-tajriba al-islāmiyya, "the Islamic experiment", as Hasan al-Turābā calls it, draws consciously on the Sudan's Islamic past Thus the role of the Mahdi is played down (to limit the pretensions of al-Ṣādiq al-Mahdi, present head of the family and political leader of the Umma Party) and that of the Khalifa exalted as the true state-builder Likewise, the NIF is assiduous in courting the smaller Sufi orders and its press always reports their participation in NIF meetings and processions. In contrast, the Khalimyya, because of their political opposition through their party, the Democratic Umomst Party, are as much the enemy of the NIF as they were of the Mahdiyya.

<sup>7</sup> The only scholarly biography of the Mahda is Muhammad Sa\*id al-Qaddāl, al-īmām al-Mahdā. Muhammad Almad b. 'Abd Allāh 1844-1885 (Beirut 1992) [this is in fact the 2nd edn. of an earlier work, published as al-īmām al-Mahdā. Lawka il-thā'ir Sūdānī (Khartoum a.d.)]. I am preparing a detailed analysis of the Mahda's background and early life based on new sources, to be published as 'Before the Mantfestation, the education of the Sudanese Mahda'

There is no monograph devoted to the study of the Sammaniyya, either in general or in the Sudan, although it is becoming increasingly evident that Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Karlm al-Samman (d. 1775) through his pupils from India, southeast Asia and the Sudan was a very influential figure in the "new", if not neo-, Sufism of the late-eighteenth-century Muslim world. On the writings of al-Samman and the Sudanese Sammaniya, see R.S. O'Fahey et alii, The Writings of Eastern Sudanic Africa to c. 1900, being volunte 1 of Arabic Literature of Africa, eds. J.O. Hunwick and R.S. O'Fahey (Leiden 1994) [hereafter, ALA i] 91 122. On al Samman's wider influence, see my "Sudanese Islam in a global context; three examples', in Awad al-Sid al-Kersani and Ali Salih Karrar (ed.), Sudanese Sufi Studies (forthcoming)

There is a notice in 'Abd al-Mahurid Nir al-Dă'im, Azāhir al riyād fi manāqib al-'ārif bi'llāh al-shaykh Alunad al-Tayrib (Caro 1954) 137

<sup>10</sup> Sayyıd 'Abd al-Rahman al-Mahdi, a son of the Mahdi, in al-Shatir Buşaylı 'Abd al-Jalil, Mo'alım ta'rüth Südön wödü 'l-Nil (Cairo 1955) 196.

<sup>11</sup> By one of lustory's ironics, Wad Numayri was the ancester of the former President, Jaffar al-Numayri, whose rule (1969-85) was dominated by conflict with the Mahdists.

It seems reasonable to deduce that the Mahdi's branch of the family had not achieved much more than artisanal status, but that for some reason Muhammad Ahmad chose or was encouraged by his family to seek a religious training/career beyond the relatively brief stay in a khalwa or Koran school customary at the time.12 The Mahdi's intellectual formation may be seen as having three stages; childhood study with local holy men - shadowy figures known only by their names; extended stays at two major centres, traditionally renowned but influenced by new ideas, Kutrānj<sup>13</sup> and Berber, and a final, i.e. up to his manifestation, formative period as a Sammani aspirant and shaykh. This is not the occasion to go into details, but the three stages epitomize the changes in Sudanese Islam of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries-rural holy lineages; quasi-urban lineagebased teaching centres, and neo-Sufi hierarchical centralism. 14 The stages also show that changing opportunities could be taken advantage of by "new men".15

In 1861 Muhammad Ahmad became a murīd of Muhammad al-Sharīf b. Nūr al-Dā<sup>5</sup>im (d. 1908), a grandson of Ahmad al-Ţayyib. He studied with Muhammad al-Sharīf for seven years until the latter gave him permission to teach independently as a shaykh of the Sammāniyya. <sup>16</sup> In 1870 Muhammad Ahmad moved to Ābā Island on

12 On the role of the Koran school (kholwo or mosīd), see al-Tayyib Muḥammad al-Tayyib, al-Masīd (Khartoum 1992).

The centre of an important holy family, the Ål 'Îsâ al-Anşârî, that combined a "traditional" holy status with an openness to new ideas; see ALA i, 14-17 and 'Îzz al-Din al-Amin, Qaryat Kutrâni wa-atharuhā al-'thnî fi'l-Sûdân (Khartoum 1975).

15 'While the Mahdi and his relative, the Khahfa Muhammad Sharif, claimed to be Ashraf, they did not belong to one of the greater old established religious claus', P.M. Holt, Studies in the History of the Near East (London 1973) 144.

the White Nile, where his brothers, the boatbuilders, had settled because of the abundant supply of timber and because of the island's strategic location in regard to the southern water-borne trade Muhammad Aḥmad's growing popularity with the local tribes appears to have brought him into collision with Muhammad al-Sharif; the quarrel, according to one version, came to a head at the circumcision of one of the latter's sons, when Muhammad al-Sharif permitted dancing, to which Muhammad Aḥmad strongly objected. His erstwhile teacher, quoting the vituperative proverb "The Dunqulāwi is a devil in the skin of a man", cast him out his circle. 17

In typical Sufi fashion, Muhammad Ahmad responded by finding another suitable Sammānī shaykh, whose suitability in regard to Muhammad Ahmad's future advancement was enhanced by his great age. He transferred his allegiance to al-Qurashī w. al-Zayn (d. 1878) of al-Masallāmiyya on the Blue Nile. Is In the year of his titular shaykh's death, Muhammad Ahmad made a visit to Kordofan, the province west of the White Nile where he seems to have made a wide range of contacts among religious, commercial and tribal leaders. We have an eyewitness acount of this visit, albeit written down over fifty years later. In this Muhammad Ahmad is portrayed as a holy and learned Sufi, bringing with him a new dhikr, "huwa alqayyūm, huwa al-qayyūm, allāh al-qayyūm", which is indeed part of the Sammānī dhikr, and preaching the renunciation of the vanities of this world. Sordofan was to be the cradle of the Mahdist revolution.

Soon after al-Qurashi's death, Muhammad Ahmad met with his "Stalin", the Western Sudanese holy man, "Abdullah b. Muhammad Karrar b. 'Ali, otherwise the Khalifa, a strongman who was to rule the future Mahdist state until its and his demise in 1899. The Khalifa is generally portrayed in Sudanese and Western historiography as a

<sup>14</sup> This concerts very complex processes. Two studies analyse, in complementary fashion, these developments in regard to the holy men and the juriay. Ali Salih Kamar, The Suff Brotherhoods in the Sudan (London 1993), and Neil McHugh, Holymen of the Blue Nile. The Making of an Arab-Islamic Community in the Nilone Sudan 1500-1850 (Evanston 1994). The argument is taken a stage further in Holbertz's thesis (see above fa. 1). The Sudanese socioeconomic context is analysed in Jay Spaulding, The Heroic Age in Simnär (East Lansing 1985), while the wider neo Suff context is discussed in R.S. O'Fahey and Bernd Radike, 'Neo-Sufism Reconsidered', Der Islam Ixx (1993) 52-87. As regards the Sodan, a synthesis of the religious, socio-economic and political analyses of the mneteenth century remains a goal for the future.

<sup>16</sup> The first document in the recently completed edition of the writings of the Mahdi, al-Athār al-kāmila li'l-mām al-Mahdī, ed. Muḥammad Firāhīm Abū Salīm, vii vols., (Khartoum 1990-94) [hereafter, Āthār], is an ijāza, dated 1292/1875-76, from the Mahdī issued to one Sirāj al-Dīn b. Aḥmad al-Junayd, Athār i, 47-52.

<sup>17</sup> Behind this baid summary lies a convoluted histomography, where not all the sources known to be extant, especially the Sammaniyya writings, are as yet fully accessible P.M. Holt, The Mahdist State in the Sudan 1881-1898 (2nd edn. Oxford 1970) 46-48 remains the clearest analysis. Holt implicitly contrasts Muhammad Ahmad's "fundamentalism" with Muhammad al-Sharif's Sudanese Sufi "holerance", I prefer tentatively to see the quarrel as a "new man" versus "establishment man" generational conflict, but we know too little to be too definite either way.

<sup>18</sup> On al-Qurashi, see McHugh, Holymen of the Blue Nile 140.

<sup>19</sup> See Salih Muhammad Nur, A Critical Edition of the Memoirs of Yüsuf Mikhā'īl (Ph.D. Diss, University of London 1963) 62-68 (English transl.). The Arabic text has recently been published as, Mudhakkirāt Yūsuf Mikhā'īl 'on awākhir al 'ahd al-Turkl wa'l Mahdiyya bi'l Sādān (London a.d).

"Western" outsider from the cattle nomads or Baqqara of Darfur, a semi-literate faqīr, of partly West African Fulani origin, obsessed with the ishārāt al sā'a, "the signs of the hour" and the coming of al-mahdī al-muntazar 20 Hitherto unremarked is the Sammāni connexion between Muḥammad Aḥmad and 'Abdullahi in that the latter's father, Muḥammad Karrār, is said to have been initiated into the Sammaniyya by Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Shakitābī, a student of Aḥmad al-Ṭayyib, who died at Sāni Karaw in Darfur.21

Under one perspective and in terms of its initial phases and earliest actors, the Mahdist revolt can almost be termed a coniuratio Sammāniyya.

Why was the Mahdi so successful? The causes of the revolt have been discussed elsewhere, 22 but one obvious point needs to be stressed; the emergence of a "new" northern Sudanese Islamic identity just before and during the period of colonial rule (1820-85) created a common discourse that the Mahdi was able to harness for his own purposes. The growth of an urban mercantile middle-class, the coming of the "neo-Sufi" turuq (Khatmiyya, Rashidiyya, Ismā°iliyya, Tijāmiyya and Idrīsiyya), the greater and more fluent use of Arabic both as a language of learning and the medium through song (madā'iḥ) of popular spirituality, all created a field in which the Mahdi's message could resonate. 23 And that field was overwhelmingly Sufi; Egyptian colonial attempts to implant a local Azhartrained "ulamā' class failed. In any event, a goodly proportion of the

Viviane Amina Yagi, Le Khalifa 'Abdullāhi, sa Vie et sa Politique (thèse de doctoral, Université Paul Valéry, Montpellier 1990) offers a revisionist portrait of the Khalifa as a "patron of learning", as does, in a more restrained fashion, Robert S. Kramer, Holy City on the Nile Omdurman, 1885-1898 (Ph.D. Diss., Northwestern University 1991). Holt, Studies 144, gives what maybe regarded as the mainstream view, "Abdatlähi was conscious of bearing, among the sedentanes, the stigms of an uncouth barbarian"

21 Nür ul-Dü'im, Azāhir al-rıyüd 327-28. \*Abd al-Mahmüd Nür al-Dü'im makes no ollusion to the identity of Muhammad Karrär, although most likely he knew. Viviane Yagi in an inpublished study pushes the Sammäniyya/Khalifa connexion a generation further back by describing on the basis of oral sources the Khalifa's grandfather, \*Ali, as the head of the Sammäniyya in Darfur (Silhouettes Mahdistes, unpublished typescript — I am most grateful to Dr Yagi for a copy)

Holt's succinct overview remains fundamental, Mahdist State 32-44. The deeper roots of socio-economic discontent are analysed in Anders Byerkelo, Prelude to the Mahdiyya. Peasants and Traders in the Shendi Region, 1821-1885 (Cambridge 1989).

colonial religious establishment were to go over to the Mahdı quite willingly.

Sudanese holy men were concerned with the Mahdi-idea and wrote about it. Thus Isma'ıl al-Wali (1792/3-1863), a student of Muhammad "Uthman al-Mirghani (d. 1852) founder of the Khatmivva tarīga, who established an independent order in central Kordofan, the Ismā'īliyya, wrote three treatises on the question.24 Likewise the Qâdirî shaykh, Ibrāhīm w. al-Kabbāshī (d. 1869/70). whose daughter was to marry the Mahdi's greatest general, 'Abd al-Rahman w. al-Nujūmī (d. 1889) wrote a work entitled, al Mahdi almuntagar.25 I am not suggesting here that it was only in the nineteenth century under the impact of colonial rule that Sudanese holy men began to be concerned about the end of time — after all, Hasan al-Turābī, present leader of the NIF, descends from Hamad al-Nahlān "Wad al-Turābī" (d. 1116/1704/5) who declared himself to be the Mahdi while on the pilgrimage and announced, 'I have wasted my life with Khalīl [= Mukhtasar of Khalīl b. lshāq]',26 But certainly the pre-occupation with the Mahdi-idea seems to have been greater in the nineteenth century; this is no doubt linked to an oppressive co-Ionial presence.

What was meant by the Mahdi-idea is still a matter for investigation. In his discussion of the Mahdist revolt in the Sudan, based presumably on reports from pilgrims and others coming to the Hijāz, the eminent Shāfi'i divine, Ahmad Zayni Dahlān, distinguishes between a mahdī as a divinely-guided reformer, here almost the equivalent of mujaddid, and al-mahdī al-muntazar, "the expected Mahdi". He speculates that Muhammad Ahmad may be a sincere example of the former, but is certainly not the latter <sup>27</sup> In similar fashion, al-Mirghanī seems to have a nuanced understanding of the Mahdi-idea; thus in talking of his own position:

<sup>23</sup> McHugh, Holymen of the Blue Nile 1 32 describes the historiography of this process, both the new Arab Muslim identity and its concomitant, the need for a new past. The literary flowering of the nineteenth century, both scholarly and popular, is recorded in ALA i.

They are (1) al-Bayan al-kamil fi ma'rifat al-kawkab al-fadil al-imam al-mahdi wa'l-khatim al-shāmil h-asrār al-fāli' wa'l-nāzil, (2) Jāmi' ma'dnī al-kalim al-wajiz al-nazm fi ma'rifat sayyadī al-mahdi wa'l-khatim, (3) al-Lam' al-bādī 'an kashf haqīqat al-khatim wa'l imām al-hādī. All three works were completed in 1239/1823-24 at a time when the author was in difficulties with the Egyptian colonial regime. On al-Wali's writings and theosophy, see ALA i, 228-37, Bernd Radtke, 'Lehrer-Schiller-Enkel', Oriens xxxni (1992) 106-119, and kl., 'Ismā'īl al-Walī. Ein sudanesischer Theosoph des 19. Jahrhunderts', Der Islam, txxni (1995) 148-55. For a general history of the Ismā'īliyya, see Mahmoud Abdalla Ibrahim, The History of the Ismā'īliyya Tarīqa in the Sudan: 1792-1914 (Ph.D. Diss, University of London 1980).

<sup>25</sup> ALA L 282.

McHugh, Holymen of the Blue Nile 102-3.
 Sharkey, 'Ahmad Zayni Dahlän' 72.

'The meaning of the seal is under four aspects, the first is the Prophetic seal, the second is the Mahdist seal, the third is my seal [i.e. Muḥammad 'Uthmān], and the fourth seal is that of him after whom there will be no other saint (wall)' 28

But whatever the theological niceties, there was among the holy men of the nineteenth century a sense of the *indirās al-islām*, "the extinction of Islam", a sense of the end of time, an expectation of calamity <sup>29</sup> How widespread such ideas were has yet to be investigated, but certainly the Sudanese learned classes, the Sufi ulama/holymen, were pre-occupied by such ideas.<sup>30</sup> And it was to the holy men—his own class—to whom the Mahdi first appealed.

One, more technical, question that remains to be investigated in depth is the influence of Ibn al-'Arabī on the "new" Sudanese Muslim consciousness. Indirect evidence suggests that it was considerable and nowhere more so than in the Mahdi's discourse.

Between his formal manifestation in Rabī<sup>c</sup> II/March 1881 and his death in June 1885, six months after the capture of Khartoum, the Mahdi wrote or dictated over a thousand letters, proclamations (manshūr), warnings (indhār), visions (hadra) and rulings on the great range of issues, doctrinal and practical, brought forth by the revolution.

Unsurprisingly, the first round of proclamations and announcements were sent to Sammānī and other leading Sufi shaykhs. In these the Mahdi, using Koranic, hadīth and other proof-texts, proclaims his Mahdī-ship, denounces the Egyptian colonial regime and their Sudanese collaborators as kāfirs, and invites their recipients to join him on hijra to Jabal Qadīr (=Māsa) in Kordofan. In the earliest letters, visions (hadra) or, as Holt prefers, colloquies, with the Prophet legitimize his status, often by reference to famous Sudanese Sufis, past and present. Thus, writing on 1 Sha<sup>c</sup>bān 1298/29 June 1881 to Muḥammad al-Ṭayyib al-Başīr of the Ḥalawiyyīn of the Gezira—

one of the earliest proclamations — after a visionary colloquy (hadra) with the Prophet, the Khalīfa 'Abdullāhi, one of the actors in the colloquy, continues:

Then Shaykh 'Abdullähi said, "O! Shaykh al-Tayyib Bashir<sup>32</sup> we believe in the Mahdiship of our shaykh, but the people do not believe," Shaykh al-Tayyib rephed, "Since the time of your shaykh's birth the people of the inner state and reality (ahl al-bātin wa'l-haqīqa) have known that he was the Mahdi. When forty days had passed, the plants and rocks knew that he was the Mahdi. The Sufi way (al-tarīqa) consists of humility (al-dhull), repentance (al-takisār), little food (qillat al-ja'ām), little to driak (qillat al-sharāb), patience (al-sabr) and visiting the saints (zivārat al-sādāt). 33 And these are six. Likewise the Mahdi-ship has six [characteristics], war (al-harb), resoluteness (al-harm), determination (al-'azin), trust in God (al-tawakkul), reliance upon God (al-i'timād 'alā 'llāh) and that his predictions turn out to be right (ittifāq al-qawl). And These twelve came together in no one save him'. 34

In other proclamations, especially those addressed to leaders outside the Sudan like Ḥayātū b. Sa<sup>c</sup>īd of Sokoto or Muḥammad al-Mahdī al-Sanūsī, head of the Sanūsiyya ṭarīqa, some of the great names in Sufism are brought in; thus one proof-passage brings together Ibn al-cArabī and Aḥmad b. Idrīs (d. 1837):

'With Him are the beys of the Unseen; none knows them but Hc (6: 59)." Shaykh Muhyi'l-Din b. al-'Arabi, may God be pleased with him, said, "The knowledge about who the Mahdi is is like knowledge of the Hour [of resurrection], which only God Most High knows ('tim al-Mahdi ka-'tim al-să'a wa-lâ ya'lam al-să'a iliă Allāh ta'ālā)" 35 And sayyidi shaykh Ahmad b. Idrīs, may God be pleased with him, said, "Fourteen books of the people of God (ahi Allāh) have lied about the Mahdi." He then said, "He will appear from a place unknown to them and in a condition they will deny' 36

<sup>28</sup> Ja\*far al-Şādiq al M.:ghani, Risālai al-khaim fi bo\*d al-mubashshirāt, m al-Rasā'il at Mirghaniyya (Casro 1979) 109-20; Radtke, 'Lehrer' 104

<sup>29</sup> See further my 'Islamic Hegemonies in the Sudan', in Louis Brenner (ed.), Muslim Identity and Social Change in Sub-Saharan Africa (London 1993) 28, n. 30.

<sup>30</sup> Again the parallels with modern times are striking. The dislocations, but also opportunities, of Egyptian colonial rule is the Sudan in the nineteenth century (as exemplified in the life-story of the Mahdi's family) may be paralleled in the dilemmas of modern Sudanese—and other—Mushim intellectuals. London may be Sodom, to mix metaphors, but at least you can publish freely in the former.

<sup>31</sup> O'Faney and Radtke, 'Neo-Suffsm Reconsidered' 71-73.

<sup>32</sup> Ahaned al-Tayyib w, al-Bashir

<sup>33</sup> The editor, Abû Salim, notes here, "The Mahdi did not forbid visiting the tombs of saints, but neither did he commend it. Thus, while they were on their way to Khartoum, he ordered the Khalifa 'Abdullāhi to visit the tomb of his father, but then countermanded his order, fearing that such visits were a custom ('āda) that could lead to dissension (filma)", Ālhār 1, 78, n. 8.

<sup>34</sup> Ārhār î. 78-79 ((76-81); see also Holt, Mahdıst State 105.

<sup>35</sup> This passage is the only one in the writings of the Mahdi where Ibn al-'Arabi is cited by same and is always followed by the quotation from Ibn Idris, which suggests that the Mahdi may have derived it from a text by or transmitted from Ibn Idris, who had several Sudanese students as well as a profound general influence on Sudanese Islam; see further my Enginetic Saint. Almad ibn Idris and the Idrist Tradition (London 1990). Chodicewicz identifies the quotation as being in fact from al-Qäshäni ('mais publiés sous le nom d'Ibn Arabi'). Ta'wildit (Beirnt 1968) ii, 460; see Michel Chodicewicz, Un Océan sans Rivage (Paris 1992) 166 n 37 where he speculates on whether the Mahdi may have had access to the "lost" major tafsir of Ibn al 'Arabi.

<sup>36</sup> The passage, with variants, appears in several proclamations; they are Athar 1, 92-93 ["To his beloved"], 1, 98 [Daf" Allih Baqawi], 1, 137 ["To his beloved"], 1, 141 [tribes of

A comprehensive analysis of the Mahdi's writings has yet to be made, a task for the future made easier by the publication of Abū Salīm's edition in seven volumes. Despite there being only one overt reference to Ibn al-'Arabī in the corpus, my impression is that the Mahdi's language was in fact profoundly coloured by the former's lexicon. This seems to come out more clearly in his sermons and "assemblies" (majālis)<sup>37</sup> than in his letters which, after the initial flush of calls to join him, begin to settle down to dealing with the more prosaic matters of booty, the status of wives of "unbelievers" (i.e. anti-Mahdist Muslims) and suchlike <sup>38</sup> It is the sheer range of the Mahdi's writings, produced, as it were "on the hoof", in the midst of an ongoing revolution, that make them so fascinating and unique.<sup>39</sup>

The manifestation of the Mahdi, of course, rendered the turuq and madhāhib null and void. This was the Mahdi's reason for ordering their abolition. In a letter of 1301/1883-84 to two leading holy men, Muḥammad al-Amīn Daf' Allāh and Ḥāmid Muḥammad Sulfāb, he gives his reasoning step-by-step. 40 He has, by authority, abolished the brotherhoods and schools; that, furthermore, the people of the schools and orders were cognizant of the fact that the Mahdi would abolish them before his actual manifestation. He has ordered the people to leave the schools and brotherhoods, whose leaders would themselves have disavowed them if they had been alive in his time. Finally, he reiterates a basic command given to all to whom he wrote, that it was their duty to emigrate to him, whose madhhab was the Book and Sunna.

How this translated into practical politics is less easily documented. In the heartland of the Mahdist state, al-buq<sup>c</sup>a al-jāhira, "The

northern Darfur], i. 163 [Muhammad al-Amin al-Hindi]; i. 213 [Hayātō b. Sa\*id], i. 337 [Muhammad al-Mahdī al-Sanūsī], and i. 341 [Muhammad al-Mahdī al-Sanūsī]. — I have been unable to trace the passage from Ibn Idrīs in any of his writings accessible to me, but it could well have been transmitted orally to the Mahdi via someone like "Abdullāhi al-Dulārī (d. 1908), a student of Ibrāhīm al-Rashīd, a student of Ibu Idrīs, who taught and later joined the Mahdi, see Karrar, Sufi Brotherhoods 110-13.

pure place", i.e. Omdurman, the adhkar and awrad of the orders were undoubtedly no longer sung aloud Occasionally warnings were issued; on 17 Shawwāl 1305/27 June 1888 the Khalifa wrote to the people of Kassala telling them to end their adherence to the Khatmiyya — but this may be interpreted as as much a political decree, given the Khatmiyya's support of the Egyptians, as a theological decision. Another kind of explanation was offered to Robert Kramer by a prominent Rikābī (an ancient holy lineage) member of the Khatmiyya in Omdurman:

'During the Mahdiyya, all Sufi awrdd were replaced by the Mahdi's rātib, 41 which was read devotedly by everyone; after the reconquest (and the outlawing of the rātib), people returned to their original Sufi practices. This represented no formal, structural change — the khalifa of the Rikābi Khatmiyya had also been their amīr during the Mahdiyya, since the rātib was intended as a substitute for the Sufi awrdd; nor, finally, did it represent any change in belief, since "All were means to the same end, the worship of God" 42

The ambiguity of this seems to be the hallmark of the relationship between the Mahdiyya and sūfiyya. Indeed, the Mahdi often seems himself to be inconsistent; on 17 Rabī' II 1302/4 February 1885 he writes to the descendants of the great Qädirī shaykh, Idrīs w. al-Arbāb (d. c. 1650), appointing "Alī Ḥamad Barakāt khalīfa in place of his father for "the way of right guidance (tarīq al-hudā)" — this may not have been understood in the same way by the letter's recipients as by its writer.<sup>43</sup>

Holt notes that "The men of religion who inaugurated and headed the revolt were parvenus", and elsewhere describes a division, a kind of crisis of identity, between indigenous Sufis and Azhar-influenced 'ulamā'.44 It may have been even more complicated. The classic example, as Holt describes, is that of Ahmad al-Azharī (d. 1882), a son of Ismā'il al-Walī, who chose the Azharī path, wrote a denuncation of the Mahdi's pretensions and died in battle against the

<sup>37</sup> Athar, vols. vi and vii.

<sup>38</sup> See Holt, Mahdist State 128-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The fascination, not the least, lies in the fact that whereas modern islamists often talk in theoretical terms of the need to apply *ifithad*, etc. to new situations, as for example does Hasan al Turābi in his *Qudâyā al-tajdīd* (Khartoum 1991), the Mahdi's writings provide a four-year record of an exceptional mand doing just that in a revolutionary situation.

<sup>40</sup> Äthär iis, 319-20

<sup>41</sup> The text of the rātib is given in Ãthdr vi, 36-70. This is followed by various writings on the rātib; see further ALA 1, 328-30.

<sup>42</sup> Kramer, Holy City 156-57.

<sup>43</sup> Athar iv, 285. Elsewhere, he openly acknowledges the existence of the orders, thus in writing to one Dufe Allah, a student of the Qadiri shaykh, al "Ubayd w. Badr (d. 1884), he calls him one of the "people of the Way (ahl al-sarāas); ibid. ii, 70.

<sup>44</sup> Holt, Studies 144 and 127. There thus developed the possibility of a schism between the official religious establishment, with which the Khatanyya had close links, and the older indigenous Muslim leadership, less orthodox in its theology than the recognised 'ulamā', but still with infinitely more appeal to the mass of the Sudanese'

Mahdists in his native Kordofan, 45 contra his brother, Muhammad al-Makki (d. 1906), who went over to the Mahdiyya and remained a close advisor of the Khalifa until the end of the state. 46

For others, the situation was perhaps more ambiguous. Those I have in mind here are what may be termed the key intellectuals around the Mahdi and the Khalifa, namely Isma'îl b. 'Abd al-Qadir al-Kurdufăni (d. 1897), who wrote a sīra of the Mahdi; Husayn w. al-Zahrā' (d. 1895), who wrote a verse defence of the Mahdi, and Hasan al-'Abbādī (d. 1907), who also wrote an extended defence (a lithograph of 268 pages) of the Mahdi — the first two had studied at al-Azhar. A question to be raised, even if it is impossible to answer, is whether among this group of intellectuals, to whom may be added the Hāshimāb and Āl Hajjāz families, there were to be found true Mahdists, or rather expedient "nationalists", adhering to an ideology that united them with the masses?<sup>47</sup> It was Husayn w. al-Zahrā' who in his al-Āyāt al-bayyināt uses, seemingly for the first time in Sudanese literature, Sūdāniyyīn and umma Sūdāniyya in a modern sense.<sup>48</sup>

What is also of interest is how easily some of these key intellectuals around the Mahdi and Khalifa changed allegiance with the destruction of the Mahdist state by the British in 1898. Hasan al- 'Abbādī served the Anglo-Egyptian Condomium as a qadi, while Abū'l-Qāsim b. Aḥmad b. Hāshim (d. 1934) and his brother, al- Tayyib (d. 1924) of the Hāshimāb family, both of whom had served as secretaries or qadis during the Mahdiyya, loyally served the new infidel regime; as did Muddaththir b. Ibrāhīm al-Hajjāz (d. 1937). 49 Muddaththir, indeed, had a varied career; after studying in the Hijāz, he joined the Mahdi and became his head scribe, writing the master copy for lithographing of the latter's Rātib. After the Reconquest, he became a member of the "Board of Ulema", a committee set up by the British to promote a "safe", i.e. non-revolutionary form of

Effendi Islam, but also was active in the Islamic World Congress held in Mecca in June 1926.50

Significantly the Hashimab brothers and Muddaththir al Hajjāz had in common an allegiance to the Tijāniyya, which they had taken before the Mahdiyya from the Mauritanian Tijāni missionary, Muhammad b. al-Mukhtār al-Shinqiti, known as Wad al-ʿĀliya (d. 1881-82). During the Mahdiyya, their adherence to the Tijāniyya was, as it were, in "suspense"; the Mahdiyya over, the Hāshimab brothers and Muddaththir moved quickly to establish a Tijāni zāwiya in Omdurman. They were equally expeditious in establishing in the same city in 1901 al-Maʿhad al-ʾilmī (the nucleus of the present Omdurman Islamic University) for the training of qadis, maʾdhuns, etc. 51

The same shifts in allegiances can be seen among the poets. The nineteenth century saw in the northern Sudan an efflorescence of sung praise poems to the Prophet and various Sufi shaykhs. During the Mahdiyya, this genre was devoted to singing the Mahdi's praises; indeed the Mahdi is said himself to have sung during one of his campaigns one of the most famous of these songs, that of Muhammad "Umar al-Bannā" which opens al-harb şabr. "War is steadfastness". Thus Ahmad w. Sulaymān (d. 1919), a follower of the Qādirī shaykh, al-"Ubayd w. Badr of Umm Dubbān, wrote poetry in praise of his shaykh. Like his shaykh, he became an enthusiastic Mahdist in whose praise he wrote poetry; after the Mahdiyya he returned to Umm Dubbān and reverted to writing traditional madā ih. Ahmad w. Sa'd (d. c. 1926) is perhaps the best known of several poets who went over to the Mahdi and wrote in praise of him; indeed the Mahdi wrote to him (9 Rajab 1301/5 May 1884)

<sup>45</sup> See ALA 1, 239-41, and the references given there

<sup>40</sup> ALA 1, 237-39

<sup>47</sup> Again, biographies and bibliographies on all referred to here will be found in ALA i.

<sup>48</sup> See Rudolph Peters, 'Islam and the legitimation of power: the Mahdi-revolt in the Sudaa', Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländschen Gesellschaft, supplement v. XI. Deutscher Orientalistentag vom 24. bis 29 März 1980, 409-20. In ninetecnth—century Sudanese documents, "Südänt" generally refers to "blacks", the assumption being that they were slaves or, at least, enslaveable

<sup>49</sup> For biographies, etc., see ALA i. On this generation and their immediate successors in the early Condominum see Heather J. Sharkey, Colonialism and the Culture of Nationalism in the Northern Sudan, 1898-1956 (Ph.D. thesis, Princeton 1998).

<sup>50</sup> On his career, see ALA i, 293-97 Muddaththir is an interesting figure, whose career would well repay study. There are sources in abundance in the National Records Office, Khartonan and in the library of his grandson, Muddaththir Majdhüb Muddaththir, in Omdurman.

<sup>51</sup> Two works that throw much light on Islamic institutions and thinking in the Sudan in the immediate aftermath of the Mahdiyya are Muhammad al-Mubarāk 'Abd Allāh. Ma'a 'l-ta'lim al-dīnī fi'l-Sūdān, vol. i (all published) (Cairo 1972), and Muhammad Sulaymān, Dawr al-Azhar fi 'l-Sūdān, (Cairo 1984).

<sup>52</sup> ALA 1, 73-90 records this development.

<sup>53</sup> Muhammad 'Abd al-Rahim, Nafathāt al-warā' fi'l-ādāb wa'l-ta'rīkh wa'l-ijitmā' (Khartoum 1936), vol. i (all published), 100; see further ALA 1, 339. On the role of poetry in the Mahdiyya, see Heather J. Sharkey, 'Mahdist Oral Pease Poetry', Sudame Africa: A Journal of Historical Sources v (1994) 95-110.

<sup>54</sup> ALA 1, 80-81

authorizing the composition of mada<sup>3</sup>ih.<sup>55</sup> After the Mahdiyya, he hid his Mahdist poetry and reverted to earlier themes.

Poetry was also used against the Mahdi. His old shaykh, Muhammad al-Sharif, was prevailed upon by the Egyptian Governor, 'Abd al-Qādir Pasha Hilmī (governor, 1882-83) to write in denunciation of the mutamahdī; the resultant long qaṣīda was printed on the government lithograph press. No complete copy survives, but the surviving fragments are pretty fierce against his errant student. 56 But, then Muhammad al-Sharīf joined the Mahdi.

In addition to various letters denouncing the Mahdi by Egyptian and Sudanese 'ulamā' that were written at the authorities' urging — Ahmad al-Azharī wrote an encouragement to obedience, al-Naṣīḥa al-'āmma li-ahl al-islām 'an mukhālafat al-hukkām wa'l-khurūj 'an tā'at al-imām,57 the Imām here being the Ottoman Sultan — the colonial regime also sought to mobilise Sufi opposition. Their main ally was the Mīrghanī family, the leaders of the Khatmyya tarīqa,

lonial regime also sought to mobilise Sufi opposition. Their main ally was the Mirghani family, the leaders of the Khatmiyya tariqa, whom the Mahdi tried unsuccessfully to win to his cause. In 1885, after the fall of Khartoum, Muḥammad Sirr al-Khatim (d. 1916), grandson of Muhammad 'Uthmān al-Mirghani, was still apparently sending lithographed appeals to his more prominent followers. One has survived, dated 8 Dhū'l-qa'da 1302/19 August 1885 (when its author was in Egypt), addressed to a leading northern Sudanese merchant, "Abd Allāh Bey Ḥamza. He describes the Mahdi as recalcitrant towards the sharia, the Islamic community and human compassion (al-shafaqa al-insāniyya); the Khedive of Egypt was the deputy of the khilāfa nabawiyya (i.e. Ottoman Sultan) and, in a more direct appeal to his addressee's source of income, that he, 'Abd Allāh Ḥamza, needed peace since he traded from "Egypt to the lands of the Fallāta". At the time of writing, the merchant in question was

55 Athar 11, 36.

indeed on his way to the safety of Egypt, despite an earlier personal

appeal from the Mahdi to stay and join him.59

59 The letter is NI 298 15/26a in the Bergen Collection; on 'Abd Allah Bey Hamza, see

The only major Sufi figure the Mahdi appealed to outside the Sudan was the leader of the Sanūsiyya tarīqa, Muḥammad al Mahdī al-Sanūsī (d. 1902), to whom he offered the Khalifaship of 'Uthmān in his new religious/political order. No reply was received.

When the British took over, there were many different responses from the Sudanese. Some tried to understand what had gone wrong and quietly wrote risālas on this theme and read the rātib; in the privacy of their homes for the twenty-five years or so after the Reconquest when it was banned.61 Others mounted small-scale revolts, none of which amounted to very much, but the British were to have an exaggerated fear of nabī 'Isā and the like for some twenty years after the Reconquest.62 The majority of the northern riverain Sudanese reverted to their previous Sufi allegiances — the suspension of earlier loyalties was over -, encouraged by the British who saw the orders as a bulwark against any possible renewal of the Mahdist contagion. To give only one example, Muhammad al-Makki, one of the Khalifa's closest advisors, but also the head of the Ismā°iliyya tariqa, in suspension during the Mahdiyya, after 1898 samply returned to his home in Kordofan to rebuild his order. Indeed, the early twentieth century saw a new flowering of the brotherhoods in new urban settings.

When the Mahdi family and its followers were allowed by the British to re-emerge after the First World War, both as a religious and later political movement, in organisation and character it began to resemble a Sufi order. Some Mahdists were (and are) not comfortable with this; others, especially in Darfur, combine, in a kind of final suspension of doctrinal consistency, adherence to the Tijāniyya with

62 See Alexander Solon Cudsi, 'Sudanese Resistance to British Rule, 1900---1920' (M.Sc. Diss., University of Khartoum 1969).

<sup>56</sup> Muḥammad Ibrāhim Abū Salīm, al-Harakat al-Mahdiyya fī 'l-Sūdān (Khartoum 1989) 51-52, see also ALA t, 118

<sup>57</sup> See Robert S. Kramer, 'An Annotated Translation of the Letter of Ahmad al-Azhani an Denunciation of the Sudanese Mahdi', (MA Diss., University of Chicago 1984).

<sup>58</sup> In a letter dated 13 Muharram 1301/14 November 1883, the Mahdi writes to Abb Bakr Jaffar al-Mirghani that he is aware of their plotting against him, but nevertheless offers them a pardon if they will join him, Āthār ii, 32-34. See further Holt, Mahdist State 83

Bjørkelo, Prelude to the Mahdiyya 124-30 and on Muhammad al-Mirghani, ALA i, 200-1 The letter from the Mahdi, dated 22 Sha'ban 1302/6 June 1835, as in Äthär u, 169-70.

<sup>60</sup> See Holt, Mahdist State 113.

<sup>61</sup> A number of these post-Mahdist treatises, attempting to understand what went wrong and which make fascinating reading, will be published at Muhammad Ibrāhīm Abū Salīm al-Khuṣūma hayna'l Mahdī wa'l-'ulamā' (forthcoming). See, as one example, Muhammad Ibrāhīm Abū Salīm and Knut S. Vikār, 'The man who believed in the Mahdi', Sudanic Africa A Journal of Historical Sources in (1991) 29-52. The ban on the Mahdi's rātib was effectively rescinded in 1925, in which year the British Director of latelligence commented, "... In view of the innocuous, not to say improving nature of the doctrines inculcated in the Rātib, it does not seem reasonable or possible to prohibit its sale" (National Records Office, Khartoum, Intelligence, 7/1/3).

support of the Mahdists, now the Umma Party.63

For a generation, the northern Sudanese suspended or sublimated their Sufi identity in favour of Mahdism; neither Mahdism nor Sufism in the Sudan were ever to be the same.<sup>64</sup>

### LES CONFRÉRIES SOUFIES EN IRAK ARABE AUX DIX-NEUVIÈME ET VINGTIÈME SIÈCLES FACE AU CHIISME DUODÉCIMAIN ET AU WAHHAB:SME

#### PIERRRE-JEAN LUIZARD

Berceau du soufisme, l'Irak! a été une terre d'élection pour les premiers mystiques de l'islam. Les plus célèbres d'entre eux, al-Hasan al-Başrī, Rābica al-cAdawiyya, Macrūf al-Karkhī, al-Muhāsibī, al-Junayd, al-Hallāj, al-Ghazālī, "Umar al-Suhrawardī et bien d'autres y ont marqué de leur empreinte une terre mésopotamienne déjà fertile en événements fondateurs. Là, sont enterrés les pères spirituels de deux des plus importants ordres soufis: "Abd al-Qadir al-Jilani et Ahmad al-Rifa"i. Si l'on ajoute le souvenir des Compagnons du Prophète, comme Zubayr, dans la ville qui porte son nom près de Basra, et le tombeau d'Abū Hanīfa dans le quartier d'Aczamiyya à Baghdad, sans omettre les mausolées des Imams chiites, qui figurent sur la plupart des généalogies de la sainteté soufie, on voit que la piété populaire, vers laquelle s'est ensuite orientée le soufisme confrérique, a dans ce pays des points d'ancrage qui semblent plus solides que dans n'importe quelle autre terre d'islam Cependant, le soufisme confrérique a connu en Irak un défi majeur, qui l'a réduit à un phénomène de plus en plus minoritaire au sein d'une communauté elle-même minoritaire. En effet. l'Irak est aussi le berceau du chiisme: c'est l'évolution de ce dernier, sous sa forme duodécimaine, et la particularité du chiisme en Irak, qui ont déterminé la situation actuelle du soufisme sur les rives du Tigre et de l'Euphrate. L'utilisation politique des confréries soufies par le pouvoir de la Porte, puis celui de la puissance mandataire, illustre bien quel fut leur rôle dans la genèse de ce qu'il est convenu d'appeler la "question traktenne" 2

<sup>63</sup> This is based on observations in Darfur over several years. As some of my informants, mostly Trjāni, explained in the inneteen-seventies, "We vote Umma, but we don't read the rātib." On a recent (1993) visit to Darfur, I gamed the impression that the most significant challenge to the NIF in the province was coming from a renewed Tijāniyya, linked to the branch of the Senegalese Tijānī shaykh, Ibrāhīm Niasse (d. 1975), rather than from the Mehdists.

<sup>64</sup> This is my first essay into Mahdist studies. I wish to acknowledge my intellectual debt to my teacher, Professor P.M. Holt.

<sup>1</sup> Cette étude se limite à la partie ambe de l'Irak, correspondant à *al \*trâq al-\*arabi* des géographes arabes. En conséquence, le Kurdistan n'est pas traité jei

On entend, par «question iraksenne», la pérennité d'un rapport de domination confessionnelle (des samutes sur les chutes) et ethnique (des Arabes sur les Kurdes), occulté en tant que tel dans un cadre politique mis en place par une puissance étrangère, la Grande-Bretagne. La population irakienne se compose de trois grandes communautés, les chutes.

Là où il existe un guide spirituel, il ne peut y en avoir deux. C'est sans doute à cette loi qu'on peut se référer pour expliquer le divorce entre le soufisme et le chiisme duodécimain à la fin du seizième siècle. Divorce, car le soufisme avait été jusque là un vecteur important des sympathies prochittes parmi les sunnites. Les relations délicates du soufisme avec les Imams des chiites expliquent que les cheikhs soufis font remonter leur chaîne initiatique (silsila) aux huit premiers Imams chites seulement. Le huitième Imam, 'Ali al-Ridă, est ainsi présenté comme le maître de Ma°rūf al-Karkhī, le premier à enseigner l'ésotérisme de l'unicité divine (tawhid), thème auquel s'attachera son disciple à Baghdad, al-Junayd. Au cours des treizième et quatorzième siècles, le chiisme s'appropria simultanément certains conceptions du soufisme ainsi que la falsafa, la philosophie d'inspiration helllénistique. L'interpénétration du chiisme et du soufisme s'intensifia aux quatorzième et quinzième siècles, notamment sous l'impulsion de Haydar Amuli, célèbre alim qui vécut à Baghdad à la fin du quatorzième siècle. En Perse, les plus importantes confréries soufies évoluaient vers des positions chittes, à l'instar de l'ordre des Séfévides. L'intégration du soufisme par la doctrine imamite, et l'avenement de la dynastie séfévide qui en fut l'un des aboutissements, allaient bouleverser la situation des chiites au sein du monde islamique, conséquence de la conversion massive de l'Iran au chissme, de même qu'ils allaient susciter les développement doctrinaux ultérieurs concernant le statut des ulama De nombreux ulama chiites, parmi les plus importants, avaient donc été influencés par le soufisme. Qu'il suffise de citer Ibn Tāwūs, 'Allama al-Hilli, Shahîd Awwal ou Shahîd Thânî qui, son avaient de la sympathie pour le soufisme, soit s'en réclamaient ouvertement. Ces relations intimes furent brutalement interrompues. Le soufisme fut supprimé par les ulama chutes sous les derniers chahs séfévides. Le chlisme se sépara alors du soufisme, tout en l'intégrant.3

Arabes dans leur grande majorité, et qui sont plus de la motté de la population, les Arabes sumités et les Kurdes. Le système potrique établi par la paissance mandataire en 1920 a été conçu pour assurer aux étites arabes sumités un pouvoir exclusif sur l'Etat trakten, pouvoir qu'elles ont conservé depuis.

A cette époque, les ulama chiites avaient suffisamment consolidé leur pouvoir pour commencer à prendre leurs distances avec les souverains séfévides. Ce n'est pas un hasard si le concept de nā'ib al-'āmm,4 qui n'était que la première étape de la légitimation par le dogme duodécimain de la montée en puissance des ulama, fut élaboré à l'époque des derniers chahs séfévides. Les ulama entendaient s'approprier une à une les prérogatives des Imams infaillibles, mouvement qui allait se poursuivre jusqu'à aujourd'hui.

La suppression du soufisme fut l'oeuvre de Muhammad Băqir Majhsî (mort en 1699), le shavkhulislam d'Işfahān, dont le père était membre de la confrérie soufie chute Dhahabiyya. Depuis la seconde montié du seizième siècle, les ulama chiites les plus influents étaient nommés shavkhulislams des villes traniennes les plus importantes, devenant ainsi les représentants officiels du chiisme. Avec le développement d'Işfahān comme capitale de l'empire séfévide, le shavkhulislam de la ville en vint à être considéré comme le premier de tous les ulama chiites. Majlisī était ainsi l'alim chiite le plus puissant de son époque. Aucun alim n'avait auparavant concentré autant de pouvoir entre ses mains. Il orienta donc le chiisme dans une direction qui ne s'est pas démentie jusqu'à nos jours.5

Les trois domaines où Majlisi fit porter son effort furent conjointement la suppression du soufisme et de la philosophie, la propagation d'une forme codifiée du dogme duodécimain et la suppression du sunnisme et des autres religions. Ceci ne doit pas occulter le fait que des générations d'ulama chiites, avant Majlisi, avaient combattu le soufisme, jusqu'à apparaître comme des persécuteurs de soufis. Majlisi ne fit qu'amplifier un mouvement déjà en cours. Le fait de déclarer hérétiques à la fois le soufisme et la philosophie permettait de se débarrasser des cheikhs soufis, mais aussi des représentants de l'école d Isfahān, influencée par la philosophie grecque, deux courants dont les cheikhs concurrençaient les ulama

Le processus d'éradication du soufisme s'intensifia et fut mené par

(Paris 1972) m. Les Fidèles d'amour Shi isme et sonfame

<sup>3</sup> Sur les relations intimes entre chisme et soufisme avant le divorce de la fin de la période séfévide, l'ouvrage le plus complet est la thèse de Ph.D. de Kāmil M al-Shaybi, Studies in the Interaction of Sufism and Shi'ism to the rise of the Safavids (Université de Cambridge 1961). Du même auteur, se référer à al-Fikr al-shi'i wa'l-naza'āt al-şūfiyya (Baghdad 1386/1966). Voir également Seyyed Hossein Nast, 'Le shi'isme et le soufisme. Leurs relations principielles et historiques', dans Le shi'isme imāmite, Colloque de Strasbourg (Paris 1970). Ainsi que Henry Corbin, En Islam iranien. Aspects spirituels et philosophiques

<sup>4</sup> Al-nā'th al-'āmun, c'est-à-dire «le représentant de l'Imam caché», est un concept central dans la théologie duodécimaine, qui a permis aux ulama chintes d'assumer au cours des siècles une part croissante du pouvoir dévolu aux linants rafailibles jusqu'à la revendication moderne directe du pouvoir avec la wilāvat al-faqīh de Khomeym.

<sup>5</sup> Muhammad Băqir Majlisi est l'auteux du célèbre recueit de Traditions Bihār alanwār, 110 volumes (Téhéran 1956-1972) Se référer à Muhammad Bāqir Khūnsārī Ravdat al jamañt (Téhéran 1988), ouvrage qui donne un bon aperçu de l'action de Muhammad Bāqir Majlisi.

tous les successeurs de Majlisī. Le soutien des ulama aux Séfévides conduisit les souverains chittes à persécuter les ennemis des ulama, et parmi eux les cheikhs de confréries soufies. L'aversion chitte pour le soufisme confrérique et une certaine philosophie s'est perpétuée jusqu'à nos jours parmi la majorité des ulama chiites.6 "Ils n'occupent leur temps qu'à des superstitions, des manières pompeuses et des activités futiles. Ils ne font que fumer de l'opium dans des salons de thé et écouter les histoires de Rustam (le héros national de la mythologie iranienne) et de Husayn le Kurde (un autre héros mythologique iranien). Ils n'ont d'autre profession que de mendier, d'être des derviches ou de perdre leur temps avec le soufisme", déclare ainsi en 1907 sayyid 'Abd al-'Azīm Khalkhālī, pour déplorer l'absence d'esprit d'entreprise des Iraniens.7

Le caractère implacable de la condamnation du soufisme par Majlisi a souvent été comparée, à tort, à la démarche d'Ibn Taymiyya. Car, si Majlisi considérait que le soufisme et l'union avec Dieu sont une hérésie, il intégra toute la puissance émotionnelle du soufisme dans le nouveau chiisme codifié sous sa houlette. Il mit à l'honneur les cérémonies de piété purement chiites: les visites aux tombeaux des Imams, notamment lors des grands pèlerinages, les assemblées de deuil, en commémoration du martyr de Husayn, à l'occasion de 'Āshūrā', les shabīhs, représentations théâtrales du drame de Karbalā', les rites de mortification, comme le tatbīr, au cours duquel les pénitents se frappent la poitrine et s'infligent des blessures. De même, il insista sur le rôle d'intercesseur des Imams, qui remplissaient ainsi dans le coeur des fidèles la place laissée vacante par les saints des cheikhs soufis.

Toutes les religions révélées ont dû faire face, au cours de leur développement, au fossé séparant un clergé établi, représentant une image austère et lointaine de l'orthodoxie, des appels des mystiques, qui satisfont le besoin d'intercession pour les croyants. Dans le sunnisme, les confréries soufies, qui ont en charge cette dernière sphère, ont parfois eu des difficultés à s'accorder avec l'islam des ulama, à plus forte raison depuis l'émergence du réformisme. Dans le chiisme, le danger existe aussi de cette séparation. Mais, dans la

Voir la contribution de N. Pourjavady dans le présent livre.

mesure où l'imamologie est devenue une part intégrante de la théologie duodécimaine, elle peut absorber le côté émotionnel de la piété populaire. Ainsi, à la différence du sunnisme, le chiisme a admis la poésie et les chants dans les actes dévotionnels. C'est évident dans les ta'ziyas, les cérémonies du deuil chiite, et les rawdakhanas, où l'on évoque par des poèmes la tragédie de Karbalā. La part de l'émotionnel et le sentimentalisme ont bien plus imprégné la culture chiite que ne l'a fait le soufisme pour le sunnisme.

Au dix huitième siècle, l'Iran connut une réémergence des ordres soufis chiites détruits par Marlisi et qui avaient fui en Inde. Parmi ces ordres, on trouvait notamment les Niematullahi, divisés en trois groupes, les Kubrawiyya (et leurs futuwwas, sortes de compagnonnages nés de corporations de métiers dans les villes du Khorasan), les Nürbakhshī (répandus en Iran, en Inde et au Cachemire), les Dhahabi et les Khāksār.9 Ces confréries étaient considérées comme une menace pour les ulama chutes, à un moment où ils étaient engagés dans un processus d'affirmation de leur autorité. L'attirance des souverains iraniens pour le soufisme illustrait leur tentative d'échapper à l'emprise des ulama. La réaction des ces derniers fut brutale. En 1729, le mujtahid de Kerman, Mulla "Abdullah, fit mettre à mort le cheikh des Ni matullah, qui recrutait parmi les élites de la famille royale. Wahīd Bihbahānī (1706-1792), qui mena la bataille décisive des usulis10 contre l'akhbarisme,11 mit un terme à cette timide renaissance. La victoire totale de l'usulisme conférait aux mujtahids chiites des pouvoirs sans précédent.12 La lutte des ulama usulis contre les cheikhs soufis fut menée conjointement avec celle contre les akhbārīs et, plus tard contre les

<sup>7</sup> Said Amer Arjomand, Authority and Political Culture in Shiftsm (New York 1988) 443

GAL, n., 541, S.n., 573-4, D.M. Donaldson, The Shr'ite Religion (Londrus 1933) 303-4.
 Khônsôrī Rawdat al jounāt 118-123: Henry Corbin, Histoire de la philosophie musulmane (Paris 1964) ... 44, 57-102, 181, 184

<sup>9</sup> Sur les ordres soufis chintes, voir R. Gramlich, Die schittischen Derwischorden Persiens, in volumes (Wiesbaden 1965-1981).

<sup>10</sup> L'uşülisme, ou tendance mujtahidie, a imposé l'usage de la raison ('aqi') et de l'utilitàd, réservé aux mujtahidis, comme principe fondamental de jurisprudence C'est Bilibahiani qui, à la fin du dix-huttième siècle, mena le combat qui aboutit au tromphe des thèses uşülies au sein du clergé chute.

<sup>11</sup> L'akhbārisme était hostile à l'usage de la raison et favorable à un recours direct aux Traditions des Imams (akhbār) dans la formulation des avis religieux.

<sup>12</sup> A propos des différents aspects de la lutte entre usulis et alchburis, se référer à R. Inan Cole, 'Imam lurisprudence and the Rôle of the Ulama', dans Nikki Keddie, Religion and Politics in Iran (Yale University Press 1983); Hamid Enayat, Modern Islamic Political Thought (London 1982); Momen Moogan, Introduction to Shi'i Islam (London 1985), Gianroberto Scarcia, 'Interno alle controversic tra Alchburi e Usula presso gli Imamit di Persia', Revista degli Studia Orientali xxxiii (1958), Corbin, En islam trancen iv., 248-252.

shayklus, 13 accusés de soufisme. Il existait, en effet, parmi les akhbāris comme parmi les shaykhis, une attirance indéniable pour le soufisme. Les soufis se défendirent en affirmant qu'ils représentaient une importante tradition au sein du chiisme, y compris chez les ulama séfévides, et qu'ils n'étaient pas un cheval de Troie sunnite. 14 Mais les ulama uşūlīs persécutèrent les cheikhs soufis jusqu'à leur disparition. Le fils de Bihbahānī reçut ainsi même le surnom de şūfīkush ("le tueur de soufis"), car il en fit, dit-on, exécuter beaucoup, 15 Les soufis furent ensuite mis sur le même plan que les Bābis, les philosophes et les sectes populaires comme les Ahl al-Haqq. 16

Dans les vilayets mésopotamiens de l'empire ottoman, au dixneuvième siècle, l'audience des confréries soufies ne dépassait pas les milieux citadins sunnites. Les chittes, 75% des Arabes des trois vilayets, leur restèrent totalement imperméables. Il faut y voir le résultat de l'évolution historique du chrisme duodécimain, de façon général, comme de la situation particulière du chiisme en Irak.

L'Irak n'a pas connu, à l'instar de l'Iran voisin, le développement d'ordres soufis chutes. Tandis qu'en Iran, la conversion au chiisme était largement due à l'alliance entre les ulama et la dynastie séfévide, d'origine soufie, en Irak, le chiisme était déjà bien implanté dès l'époque abbasside au centre et au sud du pays. Les princes bouyides (923-1025), qui ont eu une action décisive pour la consolidation des bases du chiisme en Irak, n'étaient pas soufis. Le chiisme irakien est largement moins redevable de relations avec certains ordres soufis que le chiisme en Iran. Et les soufis chiites n'avaient pas, en Mésopotamie, d'antécédents illustres. A l'exception de 'Abdak, le soufi chiite végétarien des huit/neuvième siècles, 17 il n'y avait guère que l'aventure des sayyids Musha'sha',

dynastie arabe chite, qui étendit, depuis Huwayza, son pouvoir de Baghdad au Golfe au milieu du quinzième siècle, et dont le soufisme chitte se perpétua jusqu'au dix-septième siècle, qui put réveiller quelque écho. 18 Certes, "Allama al-Hilli, aux douzième/treizième siècles, et Ibn Tawus, au treizième siècle, qui vécurent à Hilla, Najaf, Karbalā et Kāzimayn, étaient connus pour leur ascétisme. Par ailleurs, la rupture avec le soufisme avait été consommée par des ulama iraniens, en grande partie en fonction d'enjeux iraniens. Mais les villes saintes d'Irak intégrèrent ce divorce, qui allait dans le sens d'un nouvel élan pour les ulama chites, et elle le consommèrent. cette fois directement, lors de la bataille décisive entre usulis et akhbārīs, qui fut menée depuis Najaf au dix-neuvième siècle par les partisans de Bihbahānī. C'est à Najaf que s'installa le premier marji al-taglid, Murtada Anşarı (1799-1864). C'est lui qui codifia la forme moderne du chusme, inspirée des principes imposés par Bilibahâni: désormais, l'imitation d'un "mujtahid" vivant devenait une obligation pour les croyants.19

L'émergence du marji al-taqlīd a interdit toute autre forme de légitimité religieuse dans la zone chiite d'Irak. Le chiisme en Irak est, en effet, marqué par la présence de quatre des villes saintes chiites, lieu de résidence d'une hiérarchie religieuse de plus en plus combattive, dont le rôle a été dissuasif pour d'éventuels concurrents au pouvoir croissant des ulama chiites. L'Irak connut, tout au long du dix-neuvième siècle et à l'instar de l'Iran, une lutte féroce menée par les ulama chiites contre le bābisme et contre le shaykhisme, plus ou moins assimilé au soufisme.<sup>20</sup>

Par ailleurs, le mysticisme chute autour des tombeaux des Imams a

Au dix-neuvième siècle, le shaykhisme occupant une position intermédiaire entre l'issilisme et l'akhbărisme et pouvait être considéré comme une résurgence de l'Ecole d'Işfahān (expression utilisée par Henry Corbin pour désigner la lignée de philosophes qui essaima, au seizième siècle, dans les grandes villes tranteanes parmi les olams), avec de nettes influences soufies. Voir Corbin, En istam tranten v., 205-300.

<sup>.4</sup> fbi

<sup>15</sup> Sur l'action des Bihbahäni, père et fils, contre les soulis, voir Hamid Algar, Religion and State in Iran 1785 1906. The Rôle of the Utomo in the Qujur period (Berkeley-Los Angeles 1969) 36 et suivantes.

<sup>16</sup> Sur la répression menée par les vlama chaites açulfis contre les soufis, voir notamment Algar, Religion and State 36 et survintes.

<sup>17</sup> Abdak est considéré comme un cluste par la majorité des auteurs classiques.

musulmans. On sait peu de choses à son sujet. Ses disciples, la "Abdakiyya, professment qu'en l'absence d'un imam juste, le monde avait sombré dans la corruption généralisée, ce qui rendant lieute l'appropriation des biens dont on pouvait avoir besoin pour aubsister, par quelque thoyen que ce soit.

<sup>18</sup> Le parcours religieux de la dynastie des sayyids Musha'sha' dans le sud de l'Irak est parallèle à celui des Séfévides en fran. Comme les Séfévides, les sayyids Musha'sha' sont passés de l'extrémisme (ghuluww) d'un soufisme chite à une orthodoxie duodécimaine affirmée. Leur autonne capitale, Huwayza, devint au dix-septième siècle un centre important d'enseignement du dogme duodécimain.

<sup>19</sup> Le rôle de Murtadă Anşâri et les détaits du dogme qu'il codifia sont décrits dans Algar, Religion and State in Iran, et Cole, 'Insum hrusprudence', dans Keddie, Religion and Politics in Iran.

<sup>20</sup> Sur la répression du băbesme et du shaykhisme par les ulama chites en Irak, voir 'Ali al-Wardi, Lamahāt ijtimā' ivva min tărikh al-'Irāq al-hadāth (London 1992) i, 201-8. Sur les lieus entre le soufisme et le shaykhisme, voir Corbin, En islam immien iv, Livre vi, L'école shaykhie.

largement comblé le vide qui aurait pu résulter de la dispartion nominale du soufisme dans la religion populaire chitte. Le calendrier chitte est jalonné de nombreuses fêtes et commémorations. La plupart de ces manifestations, dont l'origine remonte à l'époque bouyide (923-1055), avaient été remises à l'honneur sous les Séfévides et n'avaient cessé de prendre de l'importance. Les rites chittes datent du seizième siècle et sont, pour la plupart, d'origine soufie iranienne <sup>21</sup> Ce n'est qu'après qu'ils furent exportés en Irak Le tatbir fut introduit en Irak par des pèlerins azéris et caucasiens au début du dix-huitième siècle.<sup>22</sup>

Le chusme irakien, davantage exposé au rayonnement des villes saintes que le chiisme iranien, n'a pas eu à lutter contre la concurrence des confrénes chiites. Les conceptions anti-confrénques ne servirent ici qu'à légitimer un combat visant à élargir l'autorité des ulama contre d'autres courants du chiisme.

Enfin, la conversion massive au chiisme, entamée depuis le dixseptième siècle, des tribus arabes du centre et du sud de l'Irak ne
laissait aucune chance à des ordres soufis assimilés à des pouvoirs
sunnites honnis successifs. Une majorité de la population chiite
irakienne d'aujourd'hui s'est, en effet, constituée à partir de vagues
migratoires successives de tribus arabes en provenance de la
Péninsule arabique. Ce mouvement s'est poursuivi jusqu'au dixneuvième siècle. 23 Ces tribus étaient, à l'origine, toutes sunnites. La
conversion au chiisme a correspondu à l'asservissement de tribus
bédouines en voie de sédentarisation, face aux tribus de grands
chameliers, les aristocrates du désert demeurés attachés au rite
sunnite. Le chiisme irakien demeura majoritairement rural et tribal
jusqu'au milieu du vingtième siècle. Il était ainsi fortement teinté de
valeurs bédouines. L'image de Husayn, le troisième Imam chiite
infaillible, est une bonne illustration de cette différence essentielle

entre le chiisme iranien, imprégné de soufisme, et le chiisme trakien. qui exprime avant tout des valeurs bédouines arabes: en Iran, Husayn est un saint mystique et rédempteur, en Irak, il est l'archétype de la virilité, un héros courageux qui alue fierté, honneur et esprit chevaleresque. Ces valeurs, ainsi que la permanence d'un semi nomadisme, étaient peu propices au développement d'infrastructures religieuses quelles qu'elles soient. Le chusme s'imposait aussi contre le gouvernement de la Porte, dont les grandes villes étaient les points d'ancrage. Sièges d'une autorité perçue comme irrémédiablement hostile à l'indépendance des tribus, ces villes symbolisaient, pour la masse paysans tribaux, la source de tous leurs maux. Les confréries soufies, dont l'influence se limitait à une partie de la population citadine, avaient donc un environnement radicalement différent de celui de la masse des tribus rurales. L'intégration des confréries au pouvoir sunnite ottoman les faisait assimiler aux yeux des paysans chiites à des élites lointaines au service d'un pouvoir étranger et despotique.

Le soufisme chiite en Irak se limita, avec l'arrivée des Ottomans, aux Bektashis,<sup>24</sup> assimilés aux Turcs par les Arabes et à des ghulāt par les duodécimains, à quelques Qalandar, et aux Ahl al-Haqq, en majorité Kurdes, dont les liens avec le chiisme sont lointains. "Soufi", en Irak, signifie "sunnite" avec tout ce que cela implique dans un pays majoritairement chute soumis à des siècles de domination sunnite. Le soufisme a donc totalement disparu de l'Irak chiite, où paradoxalement il survit comme attitude à travers le chiisme qui l'a condamné, davantage que dans les confréries ellesmêmes.

On ne peut parler de l'opposition aux confréries soufies en Irak, sans évoquer leur insertion particulière dans une société où les sunnites sont minoritaires, mais où la Porte a appliqué le même système politique que dans les provinces de l'empire à majorité sunnite. Sur les rives du Tigre et de l'Euphrate, les ulama sunnites et les chefs de confréries soufies furent considérés, à l'instar de ceux d'Egypte ou de Syrie, comme les relais locaux du pouvoir ottoman

Des trois capitales de vilayet de la Mésopotamie ottomane, Basra. Baghdad, et Mosul, les deux dernières étaient les principaux lieux de concentration des musulmans sunnites, et toutes les trois étaient le

<sup>21</sup> Ja'far Khayyāj et Ja'far al-Khalili, Mawsā'at al-'atabāt al-muqaddasa, qism al-Najaf (Baghdad et Beyrouth 1965) 222-4, 236-8, Jamil al-Tā'i, al Zürkhāna al-baghdāduwa (Baghdad 1986), Tāl.b al-Sharqi al Najaf al ashraf 'ādātuhā wa-taqālīduhā (Najaf 1978) 220-3, 232-253, Elizabeth Fernea, Guests of the Sheik. An Ethnography of an Iraqī Vitlage (New York 1969) 113, 203-6, Gustav E. Thaiss, Religious Symbolism and Social Change. The Drama of Husain, Ph.D. Diss., Université de Washington 1973, al-Wardi, Lamahāt i, 912, 56-78, at 109-11

<sup>22</sup> Le tatbir est l'autoflagellation des pénitents lors des séances de mortification de 'Ashūrū' Voir Yitzhak Nakash. 'An Attempt to Trace the Origin of the Ribials of 'Āshūra'', Die Welt des Islams xxx.ii (1993) 161-81

Voir à ce sujet <sup>c</sup>Ali al-Wardi, Dirăsa fi rabi\*at al-mujtama\* al-\*irăqi (Baghdad 1965), et Lamahât i, 17-32

<sup>24</sup> La Bektashiyya a perdu tous ses tekkés en Irak en 1914, voir "Abbās al-"Azzāwi, Ta"rīkh al-"Irāq bayn iḥilālovn (Baghdad 1955) iv, 152-156.

siège de leurs directions religieuses et politiques. Les ulama sunnites et les notables y appartenaient souvent à des familles d'ashrāf et de sayyids et héritaient de père en fils de fonctions hées à leur famille. Certains chefs religieux sunnites descendaient donc d'un saint musulman ou encore dirigeaient une confrérie. La plupart étaient d'importants propriétaires terriens,25

A Baghdad, qui était toujours restée la capitale d'une province et le siège de l'autorité gouvernementale, quelques familles d'ashraf et de sayyids monopolisaient le leadership religieux et social. Ces familles appartenaient au rite hanafite, celui de l'Etat, et c'est parmi elles que le pouvoir nommait les muftis hanafites et chaféites, le naqib, le chef des ashrāf, qui occupait une position prééminente dans la direction religieuse de chaque ville, et le nā'ib, le gādī officiel. Depuis 1531, les al-Jilani fournissaient les chefs (naqibs) des ashraf de Baghdad. Ils avaient ainsi la haute main sur les institutions islamiques, l'enseignement religieux et les waafs. La fortune de la famille al-Jilani provenait pour l'essentiel des waafs dont elle avait la gestion. Les ashrāf sunnites étaient, cependant, peu nombreux et devaient faire l'objet d'une reconnaissance officielle de la Porte. En 1894, l'annuaire du vilayet de Baghdad publiait une liste officielle, selon laquelle il y avait à Baghdad vingt-et-un ashrāf se répartissant parmi cinq familles seulement: seize pour les seuls al-Jilani, la plus riche et la plus influente de Baghdad, deux pour les Jamil, un pour les al-Alūsī, un pour les Sinawi et un pour les Haydari.26 La liste ne mentionnait pas d'autres familles célèbres de Baghdad, comme les Suwaydī, les Tabaqshali, ou celle de Rajab al-Rāwī;27 en ce qui concerne les deux dernières, probablement à cause de leur appartenance à la Rifa iyya, confrérie rivale de la Qâdiriyya, dirigée par les puissants al-Jilani. A Basra, deux familles accaparaient le pouvoir politique et religieux. Les al-Naqīb, la famille la plus fortunée et la plus puissante de la région, parmi lesquels était

25 Sur le rôle politique de ces familles, voir Pierre-Jean Luizard, La formation de l'Irak contemporain, le rôte politique des ulémas chutes à la fin de la domination ottomane et au moment de la création de l'État trakten (Paris 1991) 84, 87 8, 102, 103, 105, 429, 433.

26 La liste officielle des familles des ashraf sonnites de Baghdad est donnée dans Sālnāma-s Witāyat i Baghdād 1894 Sur la caste des sayyīds et ashrāf en Irak à l'époque ottomane, your Hanna Batatu, The Old Social Classes and the Revolutionary Movements of Iraq (Princeton 1982), le chapitre 7 consacré aux Săda, 153-74.

27 La Rifă'iyya à Baghdad étant l'objet d'une concurrence entre les Tabaqshafi et les Rāwī, chacune de ces deux families visant le contrôle de la confrérie à Baghdad.

traditionnellement nommé le chef des ashraf.28 Enfin, il y avait les Bāsha iyān, descendants d'un derviche afghan, qui contrôlait la quartier al-Mishraq à Basra par l'intermédiaire d'un réseau de mosquées.<sup>29</sup> A Mosul, le leadership social et religieux se répartissait entre deux familles: les Jalili, d'origine chrétienne, 30 et les 'Umari, une famille d'ashrāf dont le pouvoir religieux était sans partage sur la ville,31

Comme dans les autres provinces ottomanes, les ulama sunnites d'Irak étaient, au dix-neuvième siècle, tous imprégnés de soufisme. Dans la partie arabe des trois vilayets de la Mésopotamie ottomane, trois confréries s'étaient acquis une influence importante: la Rifăciyya, la Qădiriyya et, dans une moindre mesure, la Naqshbandiyya. Deux familles, les al-Jīlānī, à Baghdad, et les al-Naqib, à Basra, y monopolisaient le leadership soufi. A Baghdad, la famille al-Jilăni dirigeait la Qădiriyya, le mausolée de 'Abd al-Qădir al-Jilani dans le quartier de Bab al-Shaykh attirant une foule de pèlerins de l'ensemble du monde musulman. A la fonction de nagib al-ashrāf acquise à leur famille depuis le seizième siècle, les al-Jīlānī ajoutèrent celle de nazir des waqfs de la Qadinyya au début du dixneuvième siècle. A Basra, la famille al-Nagib bénéficiait de privilèges similaires.32 Revendiquant une filiation d'Ahmad al-Rifaci, dont le tombeau se trouve au nord de Basra, les al-Nagib, dirigés à la fin du dix-neuvième siècle par Rajab al-Naqīb, puis, au début du vingtième siècle, par son fils , jouissaient de l'héritage de la confrérie Rifa'iyya, pour les waafs de laquelle ils obtinrent la fonction de năzir. A Baghdad, la Rifaciyya existait également, avec trois principales familles, les al-Rāwi, les Al al-Shaykh al-Qamr et les Tabaqshalī, parmi lesquelles étaient choisi les cheikhs de la Rifa iyya pour la ville 33 Les Tabaqshali ont fourni plusieurs muftis à Baghdad, au début du dix-neuvième siècle 34

<sup>28</sup> Public Record Office, London, F.O. 'Personahties, Iraq (Exclusive of Bughdad and Kādhunam) [920], 93.4

<sup>29</sup> Ibid. 25.

<sup>30</sup> Public Record Office, London, F.O. (Confidential), 'Personalities, Mostil, Arbi., Kirkuk and Sulaymaniyyah 98

<sup>31</sup> Ibid. 98

<sup>32</sup> Carsten Niehulu, Voyage en Arabie et en d'autres pays circonvoisins (Amsterdam

<sup>33</sup> Mahmūd Shukrī al-Ālūsī, al-Misk al-adhfar (Baghdad 1930) 1, 89-90; Yūnus al-Shaykh Ibrāhām al Sāmarrā"i, of Savvid Ahmad al Rūā"i Havātuhu wa ārhāruhu (Bagadao 1970) 89-110.

<sup>34</sup> al-Ālūsī, al-Misk 90

Les cheikhs des mosquées, les écoles religieuses, les chefs de quartiers et des așnâf, les corporations, formaient la base sociale de ces familles. Quant aux relations qu'elles entretenaient avec les tribus sunnites en dehors des villes, elles étaient limitées et très fluctuantes. Leur pouvoir était d'abord à l'intérieur des villes. Cette élite locale préférait une bureaucratie turque médiocre et déficiante, qui obligeait la Porte à se reposer sur eux. C'était là une cause de leur conservatisme proverbial.<sup>35</sup>

Les grandes familles de notables, de sayvids et d'ulama sunnites avaient bénéficié pendant des siècles d'un statut privilégié. Leurs activités économiques et financières leur avait permis d'acquérir des fortunes importantes, contribuant encore au renforcement de leur base sociale. Les chefs religieux avaient le monopole de l'enseignement et ils détenaient le pouvoir de façonner l'opinion populaire à leur convenance. Mais leurs positions avaient été menacées à partir de la liquidation des Mamlüks en 1831 par l'armée ottomane. Conséquence de la politique de centralisation, les nouveaux valis avaient entrepris de renforcer l'autorité du gouvernement, ce qui ne pouvait se faire qu'au détriment des dirigeants locaux. L'autorité de ces derniers, jusqu'alors indiscutée. commença peu à peu à être remise en question. Certains valis tentèrent même de soumettre les sayyids à un impôt spécial.36 Dans le but de recouvrer leur prestige et leur influence, tout en diminuant le rôle politique de ces familles, les valis encouragèrent la promotion de certains sayyids et ulama à des fonctions officielles, ce qui permettait à ces dermers de s'enrichir au service du gouvernement.

L'objectif des autorités ottomanes était d'intégrer définitivement les directions sunnites locales à l'appareil de gouvernement ottoman, politique également suivie à la même époque dans toutes les autres provinces de l'empire. Les sayyids qui désiraient conserver leur indépendance par rapport au gouvernement, comme cheikh Abû'l-Thanâ' al-Alūsī, se virent évincés des fonctions qu'ils occupaient.<sup>37</sup> Menacés dans leur autonomie, certes déjà toute relative, notables et ulama sunnites durent également affronter une conjoncture économique défavorable. Une certaine récession, avec la stagnation des importations de produits indiens, affectait alors les trois vilayets.

La caste des sayyıds réagit en prenant la tête des principaux mouvements urbains du dix-neuvième siècle. Les grandes familles des Jamīl, al Jīlānī, al Alūsī, Haydarī et al Naqīb opposèrent ainsi une résistance larvée au gouvernement ottoman, 38 jusqu'à l'avènement du sultan Abdūlhamit, qui leur permit un rétablissement de leurs privilèges, mais dans des conditions qui les liaient irrémédiablement à l'Etat ottoman. 39 Cette intégration définitive des directions religieuses sunnites à l'Etat ottoman se passait au moment où la direction religieuse chiite, après la victoire des usulīs, agissait de plus en plus en tant qu'autorité religieuse et politique indépendante des Etats, et prenaît la tête d'un mouvement hostile à la domination européenne qui menacait les pays musulmans.

En dépit des tentatives de Midhat Pacha de briser le monopole des ulama sur le plan de l'éducation, en inaugurant de nombreuses écoles gouvernementales, la position des sayyids et des ulama s'améliora, en effet, de façon notable, sous le règne hamidien. La nouvelle politique manifestait un intérêt marqué pour l'application de la sharia et le soutien aux ulama et aux sayyids Parint ceux-ci, les chefs de confréries soufies furent les grands bénéficiaires du nouveau régime. Abdülhamit, qui était, dit-on, un adepte de la Shādhiliyya, devait exprimer à maintes reprises le respect qu'il vouait aux cheikhs des turna et à leur famille.

L'influence des chefs de confréries soufies fut illustrée par les relations étroites que Maḥmūd Abū'l-Shāmāt, cheikh de la Shādhiliyya de Damas, entretenait avec le sultan. Le murshid de la Rifāciyya d'Alep, Muḥammad Abū'l-Hudā al-Ṣayyādī, qui eut une influence déterminante sur la politique de la Porte, entreprit une tournée dans les vilayets de Mésopotamie. Grâce à sa position proche du sultan, il était en mesure d'exercer la plus efficace des protections. Rajab al-Naqīb, le naqīb al-ashrāf de Basra, vit sa position renforcée. Mais c'est son fils, Ţālib, qui exploita certainement le mieux ses bonnes relations avec cheikh Abū'l-Hudā

<sup>35</sup> Mahmud Shukti al-Alüsi, Türkh mosönd Baghdad wa-üthürihä (Baghdad 1927) 26

<sup>36</sup> al-'Azzāwi, Tārikh al-'Irāq vu, 50, 273, viii, 164.

<sup>37</sup> Abū'i Thanā' al-Ātīsi, Gharā'ib al-ightirāb wa-mizhat al-albûb (Baghdad 1909) 15-6. 24-5, 127 a. Word: Lamahāt u. 100-3

<sup>38</sup> Batatu. The Old Social Classes 166, 168-9

<sup>39</sup> al-"Azzāwī, Törikh al "frāq vir, 14-17, 50, 3., 119, 273, "Abbās al-"Azzāwi. Dhikrā Abī"?-Thanā" al-Alūxī (Baghdad 1958) 53-6; Muhammad Bahjat al-Alharī, A lām al-"frāq (Le Caire 1926) 100-1, Abū"! Thanā" al-Ālūxī, Gharā "ib 127, "Abd al Karam al-"Allāf, Baghdād al-qadīma (Baghdad 1960) 22

<sup>40</sup> Sur la politique hamidienne envers les sayyids sunnites d'Irak, voir l'awfiq al Suwaydi, Wujüh 'abra al-tărikh (London 1987) 28, 34-9,159-61, 'Alī a; Wardi. Lamahāt in. 62-72

<sup>41</sup> al-Wardi, Lonnahöt ut. 12-34.

al-Sayyādī Il obtint un salaire équivalent à celui d'un ministre, fut nommé mutasarrif du liva du Hasā, dépendant du vilayet de Basra, qu'il géra comme si c'était sa propriété privée. Les gens d'al-Hasa s'étant plaint auprès de la Porte, il fut finalement relevé de ses fonctions 42 A Baghdad, le cheikh de la Qadiriyya, Salman al-Jilani, vit également sa position renforcée. Un firman du sultan, en 1879, exemptait les al-Jilani du service militaire, en même temps qu'il faisait de cheikh al-Jilani un conseiller du vali et un membre permanent du mailis idara de Baghdad 43 Un autre firman impérial attribua aux wagfs de la Qādırıyya, que les al-Jīlānī administraient, certains impôts normalement prélevés au bénéfice de l'Etat. Cheikh Salman rechercha l'appui de ceux qui, auprès du sultan, favorisaient les confréries soufies. Parmi eux, al-Hāji 'Ali Pacha, un membre de la Oădiriyya proche du sultan, devint l'intermédiaire adéquat pour l'obtention de toutes les faveurs, titres et distinctions voulues par les al-Jīlānī. Parmi ces faveurs, il y avant l'attribution d'un dixième des terres du sultan (mīrīs) en Irak aux waafs gadīris. Les waafs gadīris les faisaient fructifier, comme s'ils en étaient le propriétaire, sans aucun contrôle.44 Que cheikh al-Jīlānī réclame un salaire supérieur, il en informait al-Hāji "Alī Pacha qui, aussitôt, lui attribuait un salaire de ministre. Le cheikh de la Qadiriyya de Baghdad demanda la même chose pour son frère, l'obtint, puis un salaire minimum pour tous les membres de sa famille. Quand sayyid Salman mourrut, il fut remplacé par son frère 'Abd al-Rahman, à la tête d'une immense fortune.45 Ces privilèges exhorbitants sont demeurés attachés à l'image peu flatteuse qu'ont aujourd'hui nombre d'Irakiens sunnites de cette famille.46 Mais, les al-Jilani, s'ils étaient les principaux bénéficiaires de la politique d'Abdülhamit, n'en étaient pas les seuls. Ainsi, les Al al-Qamr et les al-Rāwi, qui dirigeaient la Rifaciyya de Baghdad, furent également exemptés du service militaire sur décision du sultan Abdülhamit, entre autres privilèges.47

En tant que dirigeants de l'infrastructure religieuse dans les

42 al-Suwaydi, Wajith 159-60

vilayets, ulama et chefs de confrénes soufies constituaient la caste privilégiée sur laquelle se reposait le sultan pour appliquer sa politique panislamiste et de revification de la fonction califale. La décision d'Abdülhamit de former une assemblée spéciale pour les cheikhs de "tariqăt", dont les membres recevaient des appointements, illustre bien leur intégration à l'appareil d'Etat. Ceux qui, parmi les ulama sunnites, refusèrent cette intégration le payèrent chèrement. Lors de son séjour à Baghdad, cheikh Abū'l-Hudā al-Sayyādī avait tenté de gagner cheikh Mahmūd al-Ālūsī à la Rifāciyya, mais en vain. 48 Cheikh al-Ālūsī dut alors affronter l'hostilité du puissant "murshid", un adversaire acharné des wahhabites vers lesquels allaient justement les sympathies d'al-Ālūsī. 49 Sur le plan économique, la situation des notables et des ulama sunnites revint à une certaine prospérité, avec la pénétration commerciale croissante des puissances européenne, qui relançaient des transactions dont ils bénéficiaient indirectement. L'introduction de la vie moderne ne heurta pas l'establishment religieux et social des vilayets dans la mesure où il en était le bénéficiaire. Sayyids et ulama sunnites se mirent, ainsi, à la fin du dix-neuvième siècle, à envoyer leurs fils dans les écoles ottomanes,50 et ils finirent par se considérer comme faisant partie de l'aristocratie au service des Ottomans. A l'instar des élites éduquées dans les institutions ottomanes, les enfants des chefs religieux sunnites devaient, à leur tour, se faire les vecteurs de la culture occidentale.

La révolution jeune-turque inaugura une période difficile pour les directions religieuses sunnites locales. La restauration de la Constitution en 1908 ne fut pas bien accueilli par les élites urbaines des trois vilayets mésopotamiens. Le lien religieux avec le sultancalife, qui était à la base de leur position privilégiée, se trouva affaibli, tandis que le nouveau régime tentait d'établir une domination turque directe. Dès leur arrivée au pouvoir, les unionistes s'attachèrent à réduire le rôle des sayyids, ashrāf et autres représentants du pouvoir religieux local. La meilleure illustration en est l'annulation de leur immunité financière et le fait que les sayyids

<sup>43</sup> Ibrāhīm ar Durāba, al Baghdādayvān Akhbārahum wa-majālisuhum (Baghdad 1958) 283, al-Sawaydi, Wujih 34

<sup>44</sup> Batatu, op ett 169.

<sup>45</sup> al Suwaydi op. cst. 14-5.

<sup>46</sup> Le portrait brossé par Tawfiq al-Suwsydi (Wapih 34-9) de Sayyul 'Abd al-Rahmân al lilâni, naqib al-ashrāf de Baghdad et cheikh de la Qādmyyu, et de sa famille, en est une bonne illustration.

<sup>47</sup> al Durübī, op. cit. 282-3; et al-Wardī, Lamaḥāt u. 146.

<sup>48</sup> Sur la vandicte du cheikh Abû'l-Hudă al-Şayyâdi contre cheikh Maḥmād al-Ālüsī et sa fainille, voir al-"Azzāwī, Dhikrā, Solaymān Paydī, Fī ghomrat al-nidāl (Baghdad 1952) 56. Muḥammad Bahjat al-Athari, Mahmūd Shukri al-Ālāsi wa-ārā'uhu al-lughawaya (Le Caire 1957) 82-3, Batatu, op. ciz. 169

<sup>49</sup> al- Azzāwī, Dhikrā, chapitre 4

<sup>50</sup> Vour le chapitre sur les Sāda in Batatu, op. cit.

se virent interdire l'accès aux plus hautes fonctions. I Tous les waqfs qui avaient échappé jusque là au contrôle du gouvernement, et qui étaient administrés au bénéfice exclusif des familles qui en avaient la charge, se virent soumis à l'impôt. Lec is appliquait en particulier aux waqfs de la Qadiriyya. Parfois, le gouvernement prit même possession des waqfs des confréries. Les Jeunes-Turcs évincèrent les membres des grandes familles de leurs fonctions officielles. Abd al-Rahmān al-Jilāni fut ainsi écarté de la direction de la municipalité de Baghdad. A Basra, la situation était quelque peu différente. La révolution ottomane avait ramené Tālib al-Naqīb, désormais Pacha, dans sa ville, où il annonça son soutien au Comité Union et Progrès (C.U.P. après). Il partit pour Istanbul, comme représentant de Basra à la Chambre ottomane (Majlis al-mab'ūthīn). Mais les ambitions démesurées du puissant notable de Basra aboutirent rapidement à une rupture avec les unionistes.

Les tentatives des unionistes de s'opposer à la direction religieuse des grandes villes furent l'une des causes de la création des associations ottomanes d'opposition. La répression contre les ulama et l'emprisonnement de certains d'entre eux ne fit que renforcer l'hostilité des grandes familles sunnites aux Jeunes-Turcs. L'attitude méfiante des chefs sunnites locaux envers les Jeunes-Turcs au moment de leur arrivée au pouvoir se transforma rapidement en hostilité déclarée et les sayyids sunnites grands propriétaires terriens prirent la tête de l'opposition au C.U.P.55

Les élites citadines sunnites avaient d'abord manifesté une opposition larvée à la révolution jeune-turque, attaquant les constitutionnalistes au nom d'une conception conservatrice de l'islam. La proclamation de l'égalité et de la fin des privilèges des musulmans étaient sciemment exploitées par les ulama pour présenter les Jeune-Turcs comme des impies. Au-delà de leurs appels en faveur du sultan Abdulhamit et de leurs mots d'ordre pour un

retour au régime de la sharia, c'étaient bien les craintes d'un grand nombre d'ulama et de notables sumites de voir s'effondrer leur rôle et leur position sociale, qui furent la principale raison de leur opposition aux unionistes. L'élite soufie se tourna alors vers des conceptions conservatrices hostiles aux Jeunes-Tures. A Baghdad, le Lainat al-mashwara regroupa au début cette opposition. Le Lainat al-mashwara et, à sa suite, le Hizb al-hurr al-mu'tadil, étaient des partis conservateurs qui avaient pour pilier la famille al-Jilani. Le premier avait fait du refus de l'égalité entre les confessions et du retour à la sharia son mot d'ordre contre les unionistes. Le Lajnat almashwara avait des mots d'ordre religieux. S'il affirmait ne pas être hostile à la Constitution, il ne proposait rien d'autre que le respect de la sharia. Cheikh Muhammad Savid en fut membre. Ce cheikh Nagshbandî était le cheikh soufi arabe le plus populaire de l'Irak (tous les cherkhs nagshbandis arabes seront par la suite ses élèves),56 Réputé pour sa science, il fut enseignant à l'institut religieux de la mosquée de Sămarră<sup>3</sup> fondé par Abdülhamit, puis prédicateur à la mosquée Abū Hanīfa à Baghdad. Cette opposition religieuse devait évoluer, après l'amenuisement des activités du Lajnat al-mashwara, autour duquel elle s'était critallisée, vers la revendication du respect des droits des Arabes et d'une représentation plus équilibrée des Arabes dans les organes du pouvoir, ainsi que de l'utilisation de l'arabe dans l'administration et les écoles. Mahmūd al-Jīlānī, le fils de "Abd al-Rahmān, le plus farouche opposant aux Jeunes-Turcs à Baghdad, n'hésita pas à mettre en avant des mots d'ordre nationalistes, qu'il considérait comme plus efficaces que les arguments strictement religieux contre les Jeune-Turcs. Des ulama et des ashrāf sunnites de Baghdad en vinrent à soutenir al-Nādī alwațani (le Club patriotique), dont les mots d'ordre étaient nationalistes et laïcs. La facilité avec laquelle les chefs religieux sunnites troquèrent les mots d'ordre religieux du début de leur lutte contre le C.U.P. pour d'autres, qui s'inspiratent plus directement de la défense des droits des Arabes et de l'autonomisme, illustre bien le caractère conjoncturel de la conscience islamique qui avait aiors semblé les animer

A Basra, l'opposition au C.U.P. fut moins forte, car le monopole de Țălib Pacha, le chef de la Rifăciyya, sur les partis d'opposition la

<sup>51</sup> Public Record Office, London, F.O., 'Further Correspondance Respecting the Affairs of Asiatic Turkey and Arabia octobre à décembre 1908', 50-3, 102, et 107, et Batatu, op. cit., 70.

<sup>52</sup> Public record Office, London, F.O., '(Secret) Intelligence Report No. 24 of 27 November 1924', para 732

<sup>53</sup> Ibid, et Batatir, op. cit. 170.

<sup>54</sup> al-Sewaydi, Wujüh 160 t, al-Wardi, Launghät m, 209-11

<sup>55</sup> Sur l hostuité nux Jeunes-Eures de la phipart des sayyids et ulama sumutes d'Irak, se référer à Tawfiq al Suwaydi, Mudhakkarāti. Tārikh al-'Irāq wa'l-qadiwa al 'arabiwat (Beyrouth 1969), Ghassān 'Atiya, 'Iraq, 1908-1921, A Political Study (Beyrouth 1973); al-'Azzāwi, Tārikh al 'Irāq, volumes vii et viii (Baghdad 1956).

<sup>56</sup> Pour sa biographie voir Muḥammad Şāliḥ al-Suhrawardi, Lubb et-albāb (Baghdad 1933) ii, 270-80 Voir également Yönus Ibrāhim al Sāmarrā'i, Tārīkh 'ulanā' Sāmarrā (Baghdad 1966) 47

canalisait dans un sens modéré. Tālib Pacha opta, pour sa part, pour l'autonomisme arabe. Il fonda, en 1912, la Jam'iyyat al Basra alislāhiyya (l'Association réformiste de Basra), qui prônait une décentralisation de l'empire.<sup>57</sup> De tous les partis politiques d'opposition, ce fut celui qui symbolisa, mieux que tout autre, la revendication de l'autonomie arabe. Mais cela ne signifiait pas l'autonomie de la nation arabe ou du peuple irakien. Car derrière les appels de Tälib à l'autodétermination, au réformisme, au non centralisme, et à un certain nombre d'idées "modernes" (aidées en cela par ses contacts avec les Britanniques), il y avait ses ambitions personnelles et l'espoir que Basra devienne un émirat indépendant à l'image de Koweit ou de l'émirat de Muhammara dirigé par cheikh Khazeal. La demande d'autonomie arabe n'était pas seulement motivée par le désir de défendre l'identité culturelle arabe et l'islam face aux Turcs laïcisés. C'était aussi la volonté de préserver des institutions sociales avec tous les privilèges qui y étaient attachés. Pourtant, ces associations sont considérées aujourd'hui par l'histoire officielle irakienne comme les premières manifestations du nationalisme arabe. Lorsque survint l'occupation britannique en 1914, ces partis politiques disparurent tous, sauf Al-'Ahd.58

Avec une base principalement composée d'Arabes sunnites, ces courants politiques étaient limités aux villes. A Basra, la base sociale de toutes les organisations patronnées successivement par Talib Pacha était la même: riches notables arabes, commerçants fortunés et propriétaires terriens. Ils manifestaient avant tout la réaction des classes dirigeantes locales et des élites civiles et militaires face au défi que représentait le mouvement jeune-ture. Au même moment, la direction religieuse chite, maigré des déchirments internes, avant fait d'al-Najaf et de Karbalāº le phare du constitutionnalisme religieux dans les deux empires ottoman et persan, les bonnes relations des Jeunes-Turcs et des ulama chittes se justifiant par le désir commun prêtés par ces derniers aux unionistes de lutter à la fois contre l'absolutisme des souverains et contre les tentatives de domination de l'Europe sur les pays musulmans. Les campagnes tribales, c'est à dire l'immense majorité de la population, n'étaient pas concernées. Les chiites, en particulier, dans les campagnes, mais aussi dans les villes, restèrent à l'écart

58 Ibid

Lors de l'occupation britannique, les élites religieuses locales sunnites se mirent au service du colonisateur et des institutions qu'il mettait en place. Pour les classes aisées des villes, en effet, l'occupation britannique signifiant la sécurité des biens et la garantie du maintien de l'ordre. Certes, les bouleversements intervenus plaçaient les directions religieuses sunnites locales face à un redoutable dilemne. La suprématie des "infidèles" était une réfutation constante d'un passé islamique dont sayyids et ashrāf étaient le symbole. L'appel au jihād, lancé au début de la guerre par le shaykhulislām au nom du calife, n'avait reçu qu'une approbation verbale sans conviction. L'attitude dominante, au moment de la conquête du pays par l'armée britannique, se caractérisait par une absence de réaction. Une fois les dernières possibilités d'un retour des Ottomans évanouies, la grande majorité des chefs religieux sunnites choisit le camp des nouveaux maîtres du pays.

Lorsque la guerre avait éclaté, Tâlib Pacha était à Istanbul. Il revint à Baghdad pour prendre contact avec les Anglais, encouragé en ce sens par Ibn Sa'ūd et cheikh Khaz'al. Lorsque les Anglais entrèrent dans Basra, Tālib se voyait déjà chef d'un émirat indépendant ou même roi d'un futur royaume d'Irak. Après être tardivement revenu à une politique de soutien aux Turcs, Talib Pacha entreprit des marchandages avec les Britanniques, et le puissant notable de Basra, qui s'était fait le héraut de l'autonomie arabe sous les Jeune-Turcs, devint l'un des plus fervents partisans de la présence britannique dans le pays. 59 A Baghdad, le chef des ashraf, "Abd al-Rahman al-Jīlānī, fut encore plus explicite, puisqu'il déclara aux Britanniques. 'Je reconnais votre victoire et quand on me demande mon point de vue quant à la perpétuation de la domination de la Grande-Bretagne, je réponds que je suis le sujet du vainqueur'.60 Le cheikh de la Qădinyya de Baghdad, qui devait être le premier chef du gouvernement arabe local mis en place par les autorités d'occupation, fut le plus chaud partisan du mandat britannique.

D'une façon générale, les classes dirigeantes sunnites, ulama, ashrāf, chefs de confréries soufies, propriétaires terriens et membre des grandes familles, ainsi que les riches commerçants et les élites militaires et civiles, tous constituèrent ensemble la base sur laquelle

60 Arnold T. Wilson, Mesopotamia, 1917 1920: A Clark of Loyattes (Londres 1939), annexe iii A. A Political View of the Nagib of Baghdod

<sup>57</sup> Sur les partis et associations fondées par les sayyids et ulama sumnites d'Irak contre les Jennes Tures, se référer à 'Atiya, 'Iraq, 1908-1921' 45 et suivantes.

<sup>59</sup> al-Suwaydi, Wujih 161 3. Sur l'attitude des sayyids et ulama summies fors de l'occupation britannique, vous 'Atiya, 'Iraq, 1908 1921' 56-9, 86-97

se reposa la Grande-Bretagne pour construire l'administration mandataire locale, à partir de laquelle allait naître l'Etat irakien. Les Britanniques, qui cherchaient à contrôler la population par l'intermédiaire de ses dirigeants naturels, trouvèrent dans les sayvids et les ashrāf sunnites de précieux alliés. Les ulama sunnites, comme Ahmad Dāwūd et Yūsuf al-Suwaydī, qui s'opposèrent aux Anglais, ne furent qu'une infime minorité.61 Ces derniers décidèrent de rejoindre le mouvement patriotique de Baghdad, qui scellait l'alliance entre sunnites et chittes, avant d'aller se mettre sous la protection des grands marji's chittes à Karbalā' lors de la révolution de 1920 Quant au cheikh nagshbandi Muhammad Sacid, qui dirigea le parti nationaliste arabe al-'Ahd en 1914, il prit position contre les Anglais et fut l'instigateur d'actions contre leur présence. 62 Mais on sait que le parti al-'Ahd, au-delà d'actions anti-britanniques limitées. prit le parti des Anglais lors des batailles décisives qu'ils menaient contre les chittes, que ce sort lors de leur jihād en 1915-16 ou lors de la révolution de 1920.63

'Abd al-Rahmān al-Jīlānī n'était pas un homme politique. C'est la raison pour laquelle sir Percy Cox, de retour à Baghdad, le choisit comme chef du gouvernement arabe local,64 dont est issu l'Etat irakien moderne. Le résident britannique fut encouragé dans son choix par Tālib Pacha, qui convoitait le trône d'Irak. Cependant, devenu premier ministre, "Abd al-Rahman al-Jilani se mit à préparer l'arrivée de Faysal, sur lequel les Britanniques avait fixé leur choix comme roi du futur royaume d'Irak. Le premier ministre rompit avec Tālib Pacha. Mais l'agent britannique St John Philby, connu pour ses positions anti-chérifiennes et pro-saoudiennes, soutint Talib contre Faysal. Talib Pacha fut nommé ministre de l'Intérieur dans le premier cabinet Jīlānī, sous l'autorité de St John Philby. Mais, du fait de ses intrigues permanentes contre Faysal, le nouveau roi, il fut relevé de ses fonctions et exilé à Ceylan par les Britanniques.

61 Voir à ce sujet Luizard, La formation de l'Irak contemporain 387-92, 441-4, 451-3.

Autorisé à revenir à Basra en 1925, il mourut peu après.65 Avec lui disparaissait le dernier grand représentant du leadership soufi à Basra.

Le premier gouvernement d'al Jijani, du 11 novembre 1920 à septembre 1921, était transitoire. Surtout, il cachait mal le pouvoir absolu du résident britannique. Son second gouvernement fut institué, d'août 1922 à novembre 1922, sous le règne de Faysal, mais son pouvoir ne s'accrut pas pour autant. Le premier ministre fut pris entre les exigences des Anglais et les tentatives de Faysal de desserrer le carcan britannique. Le maintien au gouvernement d'al-Jilâni était considéré par les chérifiens comme un encouragement aux interventions permanentes du résident britannique. Quand le nagīb al-ashrāf de Baghdad comprit que Fayşal faisait davantage confiance à d'autres, il accepta de se retirer. Sous ses trois cabinets avaient eu lieu les premiers pas dans la mise en place des institutions de la monarchie, qui liaient le nouveau système à la puissance mandataire: l'intronisation de Faysal roi d'Irak et la signature du traité anglo-irakien.

Le premier ministre du nouvel Etat irakien sous mandat britannique avait ainsi été le cheikh de la Qădiriyya de Baghdad Les cheikhs de confréries soufies constituèrent une part importante de la classe dingeante de la monarchie hachémite. Base locale du pouvoir ottoman avant de se mettre, dans leur grande majorité, au service du colonisateur britannique, les cheikhs de confréries soufies prirent leur part dans la confrontation engagée par la puissance mandataire contre les dingeants religieux chiites, qui avaient pris la tête du mouvement hostile au mandat et à la Grande-Bretagne et pour l'indépendance complète du pays.

Conscients de leur situation minoritaire, les sunnites d'Irak ont évité de se diviser lors de l'émergence du réformisme. Les partisans des wahhabites ou de Muhammad 'Abduh demeurèrent d'ailleurs très peu nombreux. Lorsque Muhammad Rashid Ridā vint à Baghdad en 1911, il accepta d'honorer l'hospitalité de cheikh al-Jīlānī.66 Pourtant, le fondateur d'Al-Manar ne s'était pas fait faute de entiquer le soufisme, comme facteur d'arriération des sociétés musulmanes.

Ceux, et ils étaient très peu, parmi les ulama sunnites, qui

<sup>62</sup> Public Record Record Office, London, F.O., 'Dossiers de la direction de la sécurité publique de Baghdad, rapports de la police frakjenne (Major J. F. Wilkins), (Confidential) Personalities. Baghdad and Kādhimam 1920', 72

<sup>63</sup> Sur la politique du parti al 'Ahd envers les Britanniques et le mouvement andépendantiste de l'Irak, voir Luizard, La formation de l'Irak 417-8.

<sup>64</sup> Sur le chorx et les fonctions de "Abd al Rahman al-Jilână comme chef des premiers gouvernements traktiens, voir Linzard, La formation de l'Irak 429-62.

al-Suwaydī, Wujūh 162-3.

al-Wardi, Lamahât in, 191

adoptèrent des positions réformistes, comme les membres les plus iliustres de la famille al-Atūsī, ou Muḥammad Faydī al Zahawı, furent, de plus, la cible de la répression des autorités ottomanes.67 La famulle al-Alūsi eut un rôle prédommant dans la diffusion des idées de la salafiyya en Irak. L'influence du soufisme au sein même de cette famille n'avait pas été absente, ainsi, cheikh 'Abd al-Hamīd al-Alusi (mort en 1906) enseignait dans les deux confréries Nagshbandıyya et Qadırıyya 68 Mais, le premier à exprimer des positions dissonnantes avec celles de l'establishment religieux fut, au dix-neuvième siècle, cheikh Abû'l-Thanā' al-Alūsi. Ses mauvaises relations avec les représentants du pouvoir et leurs protégés, les cheikhs soufis, sont restées célèbres. Le désir d'indépendance des al-Alusi par rapport au pouvoir ne pouvait se concilier avec la totale soumission des al-Jilani à ce même pouvoir. A l'époque des Tanzimat, le vali Najib Pacha (1842-1847), qui était membre de la Qădiriyya, avait des rapports très tendus avec cheikh Abū'l-Thanā' al-Ālūsī, alors mufti de Baghdad.69 Ce dernier perdit toutes ses fonctions en 1847.70 C'est parmi cette famille, donc, que se trouvaient les partisans les plus actifs de la salafiyya en Irak: Nuºmān al-Ālūsī et Maḥmūd Shukrī al-Ālūsī Nuºmān al-Ālūsī est décrit par Albert Houram comme "le chef d'un mouvement réformiste islamique qui n'était pas différent du mouvement de Muḥammad "Abduh",71 Maḥmād Shukri al-Ālūsi devint, au début du vingtième siècle, l'un des principaux propagandistes du fondamentalisme. Il fut certainement celui qui alla le plus loin dans son aversion pour les confréries.72 Ses œuvres suscitaient des réactions véhêmentes des autorités ottomanes qui y voyaient l'influence du wahhabisme, qu'elles s'employaient à combattre dans le Nejd.73 Il y avait l'affront fait à cheikh Abû'l-Huda al-Sayyàdî et ses sympathies wahhabites. Le sultan penchait pour les confréries soufies, Mahmud Shukri al-Ālūsi reprenant à son compte les attaques des wahhabites contre les supersitions<sup>74</sup>: il fut accusé de sédition contre le sultan et reçut en 1904 un ordre d'exil vers l'Anatolie.<sup>75</sup> Bahjat al-Atharī, un disciple de Maḥmūd Shukri al-Alūsi, écrivit au sujet de son maître.

\*Ce siècle n'est pas terminé - c'est-à-dire le treizième siècle de l'hégire - que les gons du pouvoir, dont la politique est de satisfaire les magiciens de la religion et leurs pratiques méprisables, tentent d'attrer à eux la populace, puisant leur force de la bêtise, pour le plus grand plaisir de leur sultan, assouvissant leurs passions et goûtant au plaisir de leur ignorance, par la guerre qu'ils mênent à la science. Alors sont appurus les imposteurs des confréries, ceux qui se parent des habits de la religion pour répandre l'esprit de la corruption, séduire la populace, ceux qui ont derrière cux le pouvoir qui les soutient et renforce leur propagande, au point qu'ils en obtiennent ce qu'ils veulent pour construire des tekkés, des coupales sur les tombes de cheikha et de charlatans rifă'is, naushbandis, qădiris et 'aydarîsia Le règne de l'associationnisme et de l'hypocrisie a été glorifié. On a demandé des dons et des offrandes pour les tombes, ou a accroché dessus des annilettes, on y a allumé des lampes, de telle sorte que les pieux sont devenus, aux yeux des gens, ceux qui frappent sur des tembours et qui dansent lors des séances de dhikr, l'altm, celui qui a une longue barbe, se maquille les yeux au kohl, se but avec ses longues manches. A côté de cela, l'utéma indépendant, respeciable, s'il les critique dans lours errements, on lui crie: "walthabde!". On se moque de lui et on se venge de lui avec tout ce que leur permet le sultan despotique'

Toujours dans un souci de défense de cheikh al-Ālūsī, il poursuit:

'Al-Alost était au début contraint d'avoir recours à la dissimulation. C'est la raison pour laquelle il n'écrit un livre intitulé "Les secrets divins dans l'explication de la poésie rifà"ie" dans tequel il explique un poènie d'Abû'l-Hudà al-Sayyādi où ce demuer lone sayyad Ahimau al-Rifà"i. Ayant présenté son livre au sultan, il lui a donné l'astorisation d'enseigner à l'école de sayyid Sultan "Alf. Cependant, quand al-Alost a été enfin célèbre et que ses partisaus et ses disciples sont devenus nombreux, il u arraché le voile de la taquiyar et il a commencé à rappeler la nécessité de purifier la religion des innovations, lançant des attaques virulentes contre les superstitions enracmées dans les esprits et les traditions absordes...Les enturbannés en ont été exaspérés. Eux, aux longues manches et aux manteaux rejetés en arrière, imbit s'e eux-mêmes et de leux suffisance, pleins d'ignorance, d'hypocriste et de tromperie, ils se sont mus à re caloinmer dans leurs hadron et à le qualifier de "wabhābi", qui est un mot qui suscite l'aversion du commun des gens, dans la mesure où il est évident que cela ceir suggère l'abomination des Prophètes, et l'ennemi de tous les musulmans qui pille et viole les femmes...", 76

Peu originaux, comparés à la littérature alors abondante dans le même sens qu'on pouvait alors rencontrer au Caire ou à Damas, sans

<sup>67</sup> al. Azzāwi. Dnikrā 313-4.

<sup>68</sup> a. Alūsī, ol Misk 32.

<sup>69</sup> al-Wardi, Lamahat u, 146-50.

<sup>70</sup> al-Wardi, Lamahat u. 100-6 et al- Azzāwi, Tārikh al- Irdq vu. 83.

<sup>71</sup> Albert Hourant, at Fikr al 'orabî fi 'aşr al-Nalıda (Beyrouth 1977) îit. 297-8.

<sup>72</sup> a) Athari, Mahmid Shukri al-Ālūsi 64-72, 74-5.

<sup>73</sup> Maḥmūd Shukri a A.ūsi écrivit même une histoire du Nejd [Tārīkh Najd, u vols. (Le Cairé 1343 et 1347) préface de Muḥammad Bahjat al Atharī ] où il ne cache pas ses sympathies wabhabites.

<sup>74</sup> al-Athari, Mohmud Shukri al-Alüsi 82-3

<sup>75</sup> al Wardi, Lamahât iii, 69-74, et Faydi, Fî ghamrat al-mdâl 56.

<sup>76</sup> al-Athari, A'lām al-'Irāq 97-101

irakienne. Les mujtahids chittes accusèrent les Britanniques d'être

les véritables instigateurs de ces raids contre les tribus, dans le but de

parler des anathèmes wahhabites à l'encontre des confréries soufies, ces écrits n'en reflètent pas moins l'âpreté d'un combat qui, s'il fut extrêmement minoritaire en Irak, du fait du souci des sunnites de ne pas se déchirer face aux chites, n'en était que plus virulent, encore exacerbé par la proximité de la violence wahhabite, dont l'Irak était

la cible régulière.

A part les al-Âlūsī, cheikh Muhammad Faydi al-Zahāwī, mufti de Baghdad et père du poète Jamīl Şidqi al-Zahāwī, abordait tous les problèmes du temps, dans ses cercles politiques et religieux, où il se faisait également le défenseur des idées réformistes.77 Ces manifestations anti-soufies se sont limitées à des cercles très restreints d'ulama. Lorsque le réformisme touchait les confréries soufies elles-mêmes, il accentua encore leur marque sunnite. Le cheikh soufi kurde réformateur Khālid al-Baghdādī de qui se réclament aujourd'hui toutes les branches nagshbandies au Kurdistan, dont nombre de cheikhs s'illustrèrent contre les pouvoirs ottomans, n'avait-il pas, au début du dix-neuvième siècle, exprimé la plus sectaire et la plus traditionnnelle des visions anti-chiites en vigueur chez les sunnites?78 C'est à sa position très minoritaire dans un pays majoritairement chiite que le soufisme confrérique en Irak a été confronté, bien davantage qu'aux critiques réformistes ou à l'influence wahhabite.

Alors que le chiisme figurait une forme de patriotisme local, face aux ottomans, le réformisme était assimilé au mouvement wahhabite, l'ennemi devenu traditionnel de la population du sud de l'Irak, depuis que les Ikhwān multipliaient les raids meurtriers contre ses tribus ou contre les villes saintes chiites. L'une des dernières agressions wahhabites contre l'Irak eut lieu le 11 mars 1922. Les tribus chiites Muntafik et la ville sainte de Karbalā' furent alors victimes d'une razzia meutrière de grande envergure. Des événements tragiques suscitèrent une violente réaction de l'opinion

détourner l'opinion publique du problème du traité et de faire pression sur Faysal afin qu'il se soumette définitivement aux conditions anglaises. Un immense congrès fut organisé à Karbalā', qui fut l'occasion pour les religieux chites de proclamer leur refus du mandat et du traité liant l'Irak à la Grande-Bretagne. Le mouvement des Ikhwān wahhabites y apparaissait comme l'"agent des Anglais". Dans de telles conditions, il était difficile de se réclamer d'une filiation religieuse ou politique avec un mouvement unanimement rejeté dans le pays. C'est l'émergence, à la fin des années cinquantes, de l'islamisme chez les chiites, qui réhabilitera l'image des pionniers sunnites du mouvement réformiste en Irak.

Les cheikhs de confréries soufies ont été utilisés par les Britanniques pour établir leur domination sur l'Irak à travers des institutions étatiques qui institutionnalisaient, dans un nouveau cadre, le pouvoir exclusif des élites sunnites. Leur influence déclina ensuite rapidement, au profit d'autres élites, notamment les grands chefs de tribus, dont la base sociale était incomparablement plus étendue. Identifiée à la monarchie, l'élite soufie a perdu ses prérogatives avec la révolution de 1958. Les wagfs nfā'is dépendent maintenant du ministère des Waqfs. Les al-Jilānī conservèrent leur fonction de nāzir pour la Qādiriyya jusqu'en 1962, date à laquelle Qāsim transféra leurs wagfs au ministère 81 Aujourd'hui, il n'y a plus qu'un petit nombre de confréries encore actives en Irak arabe.82 Contraîrement au pays kurde, où Naqshbandiyya et Qādiriyya ont de solides places fortes, la partie arabe de l'Irak a vu ses confréries perdre toute consistance.

Avec soixante-douze tekkés, répartis entre Sămarră<sup>3</sup>, Baghdad, Tikrīt, Kirkuk, Salmān Pāk, Khāliş et Jalawlā<sup>3</sup>, la Rifā<sup>4</sup>iyya était la

<sup>77</sup> Sur les activités des protagonistes du contant réformiste et fondamentaliste simule en Irak ottoman, votr al-'Azzāwî, Dhikra 313-4 et al-Athari, Maḥmād Shukri al-Alāsī wa ārā uhu

<sup>78</sup> L'antichisme de Mawlänä Khälid, qui se doublait d'une violente antipathie envers les Iraniens, qu'il qualifiait de Perses apostats, fut exprimé à maintes reprises, que ce soit lors de son passage à Téhéran sur la route des Indes, on à Delhi où il composa une sorte de récit de son voyage, ou encore lors de son séjour à Baghdad où des ulama clintes vinrent le rencontrer avant de rénoncer face à son hostilité. Voir Ibrāhim Faşih al-Ḥaydarī, al-Majd al-tālid fi manāqib Mawlānā Khālid (Istanbul 1875) 33, et Mawlā "Abd al-Karīm Mudarns, Yādî-a mardān Mawlānā Khātidī-i Naqshbandī (Baghdad 1979) 642-3.

<sup>79</sup> al Wardī, Lamahāt vs 132 4, 140-52

<sup>80</sup> Voir le "Congrès de Karbalia", convoqué par les ulama chutes en avril 1922 en signe de protestation contre les raids des Ikhwân, au cours dequel les Ikhwân furent accusés d'être les agents des Anglais, in Pierre-Jean Luizard, Lo formation de l'Irak 440-8

<sup>81</sup> Les al-Jilani, parmi lesquels était choisi le naqib al-ashrāf de Baghdad depuis 1531, virent cette fonction supprimée par Qasim en 1962, au niême moment où le transfert de let re wagfs au Ministère des Waqfs rendant leur fonction de nāzir toute théorique. Voir Batalm, op. en 153

<sup>82</sup> Von Fred De Jong, 'Les confiéries mystiques musulmanes au Machreq ambe', in A Popovic et G. Veinstein (eds.), Les ordres mystiques dans l'islam (Paris 1986) 225-30, et Pierre-Jean Linzard, 'Le Moyen-Orient arabe', in A. Popovic et G. Veinstein (eds.), Les voies d'Allah (Paris 1996) 342-371

confrérie la plus importante en Irak arabe jusqu'au début du vingtième siècle. 83 Mais cette confrérie a connu une période de déclin qui l'a réduite aujourd'hui à l'état de confrérie fantôme. Privée de celui qui avait maintenu son influence, Talib Pacha, elle ne retrouva ensuite aucun représentant doté d'un charisme similaire. Certains cheikhs rifā'is tentèrent, à l'instair de cheikh Muhyi'l-Din Al Qainr (mort en 1933),84 d'enrayer ce déclin Depuis son quartier de Mahallat al-cizza, il développa la confrérie en direction des classes les plus défavorisées. Mustafa Âl Qamr, le cheikh actuel d'un tekké rifā'i à Mahallat al-fadl, un autre quartier de Baghdad, maintient aujourd'hui tant bien que mal une certaine tradition. Depuis une décennie, la confrérie tente timidement de renaître sous la direction de Khāshi' al-Rāwī, un cheikh soufi de Baghdad.

Ce qui reste de l'influence de la Rifaciyya est attesté par les fonctions occupées dans l'armée par nombre d'officiers issus de milieux rifăcis. Ainsi, les al-Rāwi et les Tabaqshali ont eu des responsabilités importantes dans l'armée irakienne, surtout après la révolution de 1958 85 Les liens familiaux des dirigeants du second régime baassiste avec des sayyids nfă°is ont été utilisés à un moment où le régime pensait que cette nouvelle légitimité islamique pourrait lui suffire dans un contexte d'affrontement avec le mouvement religieux chitte renaissant. Ahmad Hasan al-Bakr, président de la république et chef des forces armées jusqu'en 1977, le père adoptif de Saddam Husayn, appartenatt à une famille rifa le de Tikrit. La tribu Al-bu Nasır, dont étaient membres Ahmad Hasan al-Bakr et Khayrallah Tulfah, le beau-père de Şaddam, ainsi que les enfants de Hasan al-Majid, le cousin de Saddam Husayn, se prêta à la tentative de revification d'une légitimité qui semblait bien lointaine. Le mausolée d'Ahmad al-Rifa°ī fut restauré en 1980 sur ordre de Saddam Husayn, avec la construction d'une route en asphalte entre 'Amara et le village de Rifa'ī.

La ville soufie par excellence demeure Sămarră<sup>3</sup>, qui est aussi la quatrième ville sainte chiite d'Irak. A côté du mausolée scintillant d'or des dixième et onzième Imams chiites, là où la tradition chiite situe l'Occultation du douzième Imam, on trouve une concentration importante de tekkés, la plupart à l'abandon, dont deux tekkés

rifă<sup>c</sup>is. A Baghdad, la tradition quadine se perpétue à travers les séances hebdomadaire de *dhikr*, dans la mosquée jouxtant le mausolée de <sup>c</sup>Abd al-Qadir al-Jilani, ainsi que dans un nombre réduit de tekkés.

Instrument privilégié de gouvernement sunnite, dans un pays marqué par des siècles de domination confessionnelle des sunnites sur la majorité chitte, les confréries ont aujourd'hui perdu cette fonction qui était la leur. Elles doivent en conséquence affronter une réalité qui leur est défavorable: un chiisme majoritaire qui les assimile au pouvoir et les traite comme tel, à un moment où la marja'iyya chiite, encore renforcée par la révolution islamique en Iran, se pose comme pouvoir religieux et temporel indépendant des Etats, et des pouvoirs sunnites qui se passent désormais d'elles. Au cours du siècle passé, les confréries soufies et la marja'iyya ont fonctionné comme les directions religieuses de deux communautés aux histoires non pas séparées, mais distinctes et toujours conflictuelles. A chacun des grands événements qu'a connu le pays, elles se sont retrouvé dans des camps opposés.

<sup>83</sup> Voir al-Sămarră<sup>2</sup>i, ol-Savvid Ahmad al Rifâ<sup>2</sup>i 94-110, 113-7 et Bulatu, op. cit. 39.
84 a. Suturawardi, Lubb al-albāb ii, 447-8; et al-Sămarră<sup>2</sup>i, al-Savvid Ahmad al Rifā<sup>2</sup>i 99.
100.

Voir Batato, op. cit., livic ui, The Communists, the Ba<sup>c</sup>thists and the Free Officers,
 772, 785, 810 1, 872-3, 881 2, 913, 931, 971, 1003, 1006-7, 1011, 1065.

### 311

### OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN TWENTIETH-CENTURY EGYPT (1900-1970) A PRELIMINARY SURVEY

### FREDERICK DE JONG

Polemics and clashes between adherents of mystical and non- or anti-mystical conceptions of Islam are relatively rare in nineteenthcentury Egypt. Their frequency and intensity are only faint reflections of what is reported from earlier periods,1 In as much as opposition was expressed in writing, it consisted of criticism of practice and not of dogma. Examples are Wafa Muhammad al-Quni al-Misri in his al-Radd al-mubin 'alā al-jahalat al-mutasawwifin2 and Husayn al-Marsaff in his al-Kalim al-thamani.3 In addition, one might mention 'Abd al-'Azīz Jāwish, one of the nationalist leaders in the early decades of the twentieth century. Jāwīsh4 was a disciple of Muhammad 'Abduh's at the end of the nineteenth century. He attacked the Sufi orders in Egypt in the person of Muhammad Tawfiq al-Bakri, the supreme head of the Sufi orders in Egypt in 1892.5 Other reformist thinkers who addressed themselves to al-Bakri with the aim of convincing him of the need to reform the Sufi orders under his jurisdiction and to forbid practices considered to be unlawful are "Abd Allah al-Nadim" and Muhammad Rashid Rida.?

Al-Bakrī himself recognised the need for the elimination of certain elements of ritual, such as the use of musical instruments and the dabbūs in the hadra and the eating of glass and fire. During his tenure of office, the first regulations for the Sufi orders in Egypt

were promulgated by khedivial decree in 1895.9 These were changed and amended in 1903 and 1910 and supplemented by a set of regula tions known as al-lā'iḥa al-dakhiliyya li'l-ṭuruq al-sūfivya in 1905.10 They contained a number of paragraphs prohibiting those ritual practices on which reformist criticism was centred and they were presented as the long desired measures providing for a reform of the Sufi orders 11

The goals of Muhammad Tawfiq al-Bakri, who is known to have drafted the regulations himself, must have been twofold. With the paragraphs prohibiting and regulating certain aspects of ritual and belief, he responded to the principal challenge Sufism was facing at the time: the increasing calls for islah of the reformists and rumours casting doubt on the correctness of belief of some heads of the Sufi orders under his jurisdiction. 12 These paragraphs were few, and since they were not enforced thereafter13 it seems likely that they were included for opportunist reasons only. This seems even more probable in view of the fact that a prohibition of ritual aspects of the Sufi orders under attack could have been obtained through separate khedivial decrees issued to this effect, the way the well-known dawsg of the Sa'diyya was suppressed in 1881.14 The regulations, however, mostly dealt with administrative and organisational aspects of the Sufi orders. They were instrumental in consolidating the power-position of Muhammad Tawfiq al-Bakri by reaffirming his authority over the Sufi orders. He was in need of such a reaffirmation because upon his appointment a number of schismatic orders had arisen. 15 Also new Sufi orders, which refused to recognise al-Bakri as shaykh mashāyikh al-turuq al-sūfīyya, had been introduced into Egypt.16 Moreover, immediately after his appointment, the shaykh of the Maraziqıyya Ahmadiyya had disputed al-Bakri's authority. The shaykh of this order claimed that all the Ahmadiyya orders came under his jurisdiction and not under al-Bakri's. His claims were based

l See e.g Tawliq al Tawli, al-Tasawanf fi Mişr ibbāna al-"aşr al-"urhmānī (Cauxo 1946) 163ff

<sup>2</sup> Caure 1876.

<sup>3</sup> Care 1881, 17f.

<sup>4</sup> For a hiography, see Hasan al-Shaykhat, 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Jāwīsh (Cairo 1961).

Māhar Hasan Fahmi, Muhammad Towfig al Bakri (Cauro 1967) 47f.

<sup>6 \*</sup>Abd Alläh al-Nadim, 'Al-turuq wa-mä fihä mm al-bida\*', al-Ustödh xxxiv (1893) 786ff

<sup>7</sup> Muḥammad Rashie Riệā, Ta'rīkh al ustādh al-unām al shawkh Muḥammad 'Abduh (Carro 1324) 1, 129

<sup>8</sup> Muhammad Tawfiq al-Bakri, al Mustaqbal li I-islâm (Cauro 1893) 20

See al-Waqë<sup>\*</sup>i\* al-mlyriyya hvii (17 June 1895) 1426

<sup>10</sup> For a discussion of the contents of the Regulations, see F De Iong, Turing and Turinglinked Institutions in Mineteenth-Century Egypt (Leiden 1978) passin.

Sec al Mu'ayvad, 3 June 1905.

<sup>12</sup> al-Ustādh, xxxv (1893) 828ff

<sup>13</sup> See al-Mu'avend of 22 and 28 July 1915 as well as 5 and 6 August of the same year. This was done by the khedive Muhammad Tawfiq, who based himself on a fatwa of the qada al-qudat in Egypt.

<sup>15</sup> Cf De Jong, Turnq, Chapter 4, passim.

<sup>16</sup> Op. cat

on old firmans 17 and led to a conflict in which Nübār Bāshā was obliged to intervene. 18

The promulgation of the various regulations reaffirmed and strengthened the position of al Bakri. They made the office of shaykh mashayikh al turuq al-sufivva (the mashyakha), and the Sufi orders recognised by it, into an independent institution. They emphasised the identity of these orders under the mashyakha and thus provided a guarantee against incorporation into and supervision of lower offices by higher ones. They also transformed the Sufi orders into a fully fledged bureaucratic system which had khedivial approval, protection and support.

The protection of interests which the regulations offered the heads of the Sufi orders limited the need for a defensive response against those challenging Sufism. This would seem to be a factor explaining the absence of writings in defence of Sufism by these shaykhs, whereas shaykhs who did produce such writings did not share in the protection of the regulations. At the same time, however, the almost complete absence of reactions to reformist criticism of Sufism and the Sufi orders is characteristic of the period of decline of the Sufi orders which started after World War I, partly in consequence of the emergence of secularising trends and the loss of members to a variety of Islamic voluntary organisations, and especially to al-Jam'iyva al-shar'iyya (see below) and the Society of the Mushim Brothers, and which was to last till the early years of the Nasir era. 19

In the early years of the twentieth century, reformist criticism of Sufism became more intense and frequent. One of Sufism's critics was Muhammad 'Umar, who was the Keeper of the khedivial library and was involved with the nationalist circles around Sacd Zaghlūl 20 The views which Muhammad "Umar expresses in his Hadir almisriyyin aw sırr ta'akhkhurihim21 would seem to be representative for the "Europeanizers" (al-mutafranjiyyun), who constituted one pole of the continuum between modernism and conservatism in Egypt at the end of the nineteenth and the early twentieth century, 22 The members of the Sufi orders are qualified by 'Umar as a repulsive lot (qawm khubatha') who recite the tahlil for worldly aims, thus combining lies (kidhb) with trade (kasb). They are turning dhikr into scandalous occasions performed in the presence of foreigners to whom they present this as the basis of Islam. They turn mosques into taverns and night-clubs, whereas the bida' have entered into their 'aaā'id. 'Umar condemned mawlids and qualified karāmāt as selfdeception and superstition.23

Mawlids were also condemned by Muhammad 'Abduh, e.g. in his influential Tafsīr al-manār.24 Concerning karāmāt he stated in his Risālat al-tawhīd that any Muslim can deny the occurrence of any karāma without violating a fundamental doctrine of Islam.25 In the same treatise 'Abduh states that it is impossible to know God26 and that trying to penetrate the reality of God is futile and harmful.27 In conjunction with this position, "Abduh's conception of Islam does not recognise ilhām as a possible source of knowledge, or the idea that al-nur al-muhammadi is the substance of substances that preceded everything in the creation.28

'Abduh's views went virtually unchallenged by adherents of a mystical conception of Islam. One of those who did criticise and condemn his ideas, in sermons and in publications, was a shaykh of a Shādhiliyya branch, Muhammad al-Jinbayhi.29 In several of his

<sup>17</sup> Muhammad Mustală Shams el-Din, at-Mawō'ız al sūfiyva fi al tarīqa al-aḥmadiyva (Caro 1332) 24ff

<sup>18</sup> al-Ahrām, 20 September 1892, 3.

<sup>19</sup> This means that I am now in agreement with H.A.R. Gibb (Modern Trends in Islam, 37ff') and M.D. Gilsenan ['Some factors in the decline of the Sufi orders in modern Egypt'. Muslim World [vii/1 (1970), 11] that the Sufi orders did become peripheral institutions and ose their hold on the population, in as much as these two scholars' observations refer to the first half of the twentieth century. Here, I wish to revise my earlier view that the Sufi orders in Egypt continued to flourish up through the twentieth century [cf my 'Turuq and turuqopposition in 20th century Egypt' in F Rundgren (co.). Proceedings of the Vith Congress of Arabic and Islamic Studies (Stockholm 1975) 92].

<sup>20</sup> Of the preface to the tract mentioned in note 21,

<sup>21</sup> Cairo 1902, 249ff.

<sup>22</sup> Cf. P.J. Vatikiotis, The Modern History of Egypt (London 1969) 211.

Flådir al-misriyvin 253.

<sup>24</sup> Muhammad 'Abduh, Tafsir al-que'an al-hakim al-shahir bi-Tafsir al-manār (Cairo n.d.) n. 75.

<sup>25</sup> Muhammad 'Abduh, Risälat al-tawhid (Büläq 1315) 1316

<sup>26</sup> Op. cat. 30.

<sup>27</sup> Op. cit. 30

Therefore, C.C. Adains' [Islam and Modernism in Egypt A study of the modern reform movement inaugurated by Muhammad 'Abduh (London 1933) 32] observation that "Abduh was sympathetic towards Sufism throughout his life is not reflected in "Abduh's Withings. A similar opinion expressed by 'Uthman Amin, Ra'id of-fike al-misel al imom Muljammad 'Abduh (Casto 1965) 208, finds no support in the sources.

<sup>29</sup> On al-Jinbayhi and his writings, see F De Jong, al-Djanbihl, Mahammad b. Abd al-Nabi, in Supplement to the E.I., 244. Alternative vocalizations encountered are a, Junbihi and al-Junbayhi. However, his nisba refers to the village of al-Jinbāwi (markas Ityāy al-Barūd, Province al-Buhayra), and thus should be vocalized al-Jinbayta.

writings he stated that those calling for *iṣlah* were not different from the Wahhābiyya.<sup>30</sup> He attacked and denounced the inspirers of the *iṣlah* movement, Jamāl al-Dīn al-Afghānī and Muhammad <sup>c</sup>Abduh, in a number of tracts,<sup>31</sup> as well as its representatives such as Qasım Amīn and Muhammad Farīd Waydī.<sup>32</sup> According to al-Jinbayhī the call for *iṣlāḥ*, including the idea of *iṣlāḥ* of Sufism, is without doubt the most ugly of all *bid* <sup>c</sup>a.<sup>33</sup> "The incompetent ones" (al-sufahā) who call for it delude the common people.<sup>34</sup> In numerous passages in his writings al-Jinbayhī qualifies <sup>c</sup>Abduh and al-Afghānī as Wahhābīs, in conjunction with discussions bemoaning the discord and evil which Wahhābīsm<sup>35</sup> has brought to the world of Islam.<sup>36</sup>

The influence of Wahhābī Islam on the thought of Muhammad Rashīd Ridā and on those who belonged to what became known as the Salafiyya movement is well documented. The major vehicle for the dissemination of their ideas was the periodical al-Manār. According to H A.R. Gibb, this periodical circulated especially among the trading and artisan classes in which Sufism had its deepest roots, and it reopened the old conflict between transcendental unitarianism and Sufism.<sup>37</sup> It seems unlikely, however, that al-Manār actually prompted a decline in the membership of the Sufi orders, as suggested by Gibb.<sup>38</sup> Al-Manār did not offer an organisation with which they could identify. The mashyakhat al-turuq al-sūfiyya and the orders under its jurisdiction did not perceive the Salafiyya movement as a threat, as would seem, because the latter lacked formal organi-

30 See e.g. his Al-razāyā al-raznyva ti-shubbān al-umma al-mişriyva [n.p., n.d. (circa 1923)] 60, 1491

sation and structure and was not competing with the Sufi orders for assets

In the first decade of the twentieth century, Wahhābi activity was incidental, unorganised and did not present a serious challenge to Sufism. Information concerning Wahhābī activists in Egypt at the end of the nineteenth and the early twentieth century is recorded by Ibrāhīm al-Samannūdī. <sup>39</sup> He tells us about the removal of the *mufti* of al-Daqahliyya by khedivial decree in 1894 because of his Wahhābī sympathies. <sup>40</sup> He also gives an account of the activities of Wahhābī missionaries in al-Manṣūra and al-Dimyāt. <sup>41</sup>

Wahhābism became the most important challenge facing Sufism with the foundation of al-Jam'iyya al-shar'iyya li-ta'āwun al-'āmilīn bi'l-kitāb wa'l-sunna al-muhammadiyya by Maḥmūd Khaṭṭāb al-Subkī in 1913.42 Al-Subkī was an Azhar-educated shaykh who had been an active adherent of the Ṣāwiyya Khalwatiyya order before he became the most eminent exponent of Wahhābism in Egypt of his generation.43 He was a prolific author, and in many of his writings he violently attacked Sufism in its practical as well as theoretical aspects, using the arguments of Ibn Taymiyya and Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya and taking their side in matters of tawhīd 44 Opposition to al-Subkī's conception of Islam was widespread. Among those who wrote against him were well-known and respected scholars such as Muhammad Bakhīt al-Muṭī'i, the muftī of Egypt,45 Yūsuf al-Dijwī, the famous teacher of Mālikī fiqh at al-Azhar;46 Muḥammad 'Alī al-Biblāwī, the khaṭīb of the mosque of al-

E.g. Zahzahat al-ză\*ıghīn 'an mimāwashat al-mutawassilin (Cuiro 1336/1917-1918)
 63, al-Razāya al-'aşriyya 46ff, al-'Amai al-mabrūr fi rad' ahl al-ghurūr (Cuiro n.d.) 136,
 184

<sup>32</sup> See Irshād al-amem itā vanbū' al-hikam (Carro 1338/1919-1920), 90; Al-'amal al-mabrūr 49ff , Aşdaq al-naşā'ıḥ, al-Naḥy 'an al-mūbigāt wa'l-qabā'ıḥ (Caro n.d.) 110f.

<sup>33</sup> Cf Karom al-rubliblyva, 14.

<sup>34</sup> Muthabbit al-caql wo't-din fi radd 'alâ sufahâ' al mubashshirin (Carro n.d.) 23.

<sup>35</sup> Another source of evil, perhaps more distroctive than Wahhābism, is naturalist philosophy Although his attacks mainly concern European naturalist philosophy, he also attacks naturalism in the Islamic tradition and nonably lbn Sinā; of e.g his Risālat al-habīb wadatālat al-jabīb (Catro n.d.), 88 His most focused and comprehensive rejection of Naturalism is al-'Annal at-mabrūr (Catro n.d.)

<sup>36</sup> See e.g. al-Razāvā al-rasriyva, 149f.; cf. 47 where naturalist philosophy is mentioned alongside Wahnābism as bringing evil and discord. Al-Janbāhi's most comprehensive rejection of Naturalism is his al-'Amal al-mabrūr (see above, note 32).

<sup>37</sup> H A.R. Gibb, Modern Trends in Islam (Chicago 1945) 35.

<sup>38</sup> Op cit

<sup>39</sup> Ibrāhim al-Samannēdi, Sa'ādat al-dārayn fi'l-radd 'alā al-firgatayn al-wahhābiwa wa-muqallidat al-zāhuruya, ii vols. (Carco 1319-20).

<sup>40</sup> Op. cst. 127

<sup>41</sup> Op. cit. 149

<sup>42</sup> On al-Subki, see 'Abd al-'Azim Hāmid Khaṇāb, Lamahāt min ta' rikh al-unām al-shaykh Maḥunūd Muḥammod Khaṇāb al-Subki (Cairo 1985).

<sup>43</sup> On his early jariqu affiliation, see his al-"Ahd al-wathiq ll-man arāda sulūk ahsan jariq (Cairo n.d.; circa 1900). The biography which precedes the first volume of his al-Din al-khālis aw irshād al-khālq ilā din al-ḥaqq, vi vols. (Cairo 1964), written by Abū'l-Qāsim Ibrāhīm and sanctioned by Maḥmūd's son Amīn, is allent about his early involvement with the Ṣāwryya.

<sup>44</sup> See e.g. his Işābat al-sihām (Caiso 1320), and Ta'jll al-qodā' al-mubram 'alā man sa'ā didd sunnat al-rasūl al-a'zam (Cawo 1330)

<sup>45</sup> See F De Jong, 'Bakhit al-Muți'i al-Hanafi, Munammad', in Supplement to the E I
21

<sup>46</sup> For bio-and bibliographical data, see Zaki Muhammad Mujahid, al-A'lām al-sharqiyya fi'l-mi'a 'ashra al-hijriyya, ii (Cairo 1950) 192.

Husayn, <sup>47</sup> and Salāma al-<sup>c</sup>Azāmi, who who was teaching hadīth at al-Azhar and was also shaykh of a Naqshbandī order. <sup>48</sup> Salama al-<sup>c</sup>Azāmī prefaced a book by al-Subki's most vehement critic, Mustafā Abu al-Sayf al Hammāmi. <sup>49</sup> This shaykh, who was a Khalwati, produced several other texts against al Subki <sup>50</sup> Al Hammāmi's disdain for al-Subki was shared by Muḥammad al-Jinbayhī who published an extensive rejection of al-Sukbi's anti-Sufi opinions. <sup>51</sup>

From the nineteen-twenties the Jam'iyya al-shar'iyya engaged in active campaigning in the countryside and tried to obtain control over mosques and awqāf. These activities endangered vested interests of Sufi orders, and implied competition for assets between these orders and the Jam'iyya al-shar'iyya. On numerous occasions clashes between adherents of Sufi orders and adherents of the Jam'iyya took place, sometimes escalating to fierce battles with wounded and dead.<sup>52</sup>

Al-Bakrī and the shaykhs of the Sufi orders who recognised his authority did not respond to al-Subkī's attacks on Sufism and its institutions. Their apparent indifference to attacks on Sufism changed when they were confronting a direct challenge of their authority during the periods that Muḥammad Muṣtafā al-Marāghī held the office of shaykh al-Azhar, in 1928-1929 and from 1935 till 1945.53 Al-Marāghī was working for a reform of the teaching at al-Azhar in conjunction with administrative reforms. However, his final goal was the total reorganisation of the different bodies of Islamic bureaucracy and the Islamic voluntary associations into one single structure supervised by al-Azhar.54 In 1935, in a speech on the occasion of his reappointment, al-Marāghī stated his intention to eliminate the divisions between the madhhabs, while hinting at his intention to take

action against other groupings as well.55 Shortly afterwards, in the course of informal discussions, it became clear that he had meant the Sufi orders. His proposal was to abolish the mashyakha of the orders, i.e. the office held by al-Bakrı entailing supreme supervision over the orders, and to grant supervision to the Hay'at kibar al-'ulama' instead. Moreover, the heads of the orders who were not formally qualified as 'alim were to be removed from their offices and replaced by shaykhs who did have this qualification.56 These proposed reforms aroused opposition from various sides. In order to avoid jeopardising vested interests of the Sufi orders which might endanger his position, al-Maraghi found himself obliged to make concessions. Structural and administrative changes in the formally recognised Sufi orders were never officially announced as objectives of his reform policy.57 Changing his strategy, al-Maraghi abandoned the idea of direct control of the Sufi orders by al-Azhar and aimed at indirect control. This was to be obtained by means of a council with the explicit task of combating bida. This council was to consist of representatives from al-Azhar, Dar al-ifta', the Ministry of Awgaf and the Azhar department of al-Wa'z wa'l-irshād. In this way intervention in the affairs of the Sufi orders was made possible through a council formally detached from al-Azhar, yet dominated by it. The Council was manugurated in January 193658 and immediately met with strong opposition from the Sufi orders and "Abd al-Hamid al-Bakri, the then shaykh mashāyikh al-turuq al-sūfiyya, who issued a statement of disapproval.59 For a variety of reasons, mainly relating to competition between the members of the Council at a personal level and to competition between the agencies they represented, the Council never became truly operational. When al-Maraghi died in office in 1945, the Council had not yet initiated a reform of the Sufi orders as had been his objective.

In 1947, king Fārūq deposed the then shavkh mashāyikh al-ţuruq al-şūfiyya, Ahmad Murād al-Bakrī, allegedly for his activities in support of the separatist movement in the Sudan when on a visit there.60 His successor was Ahmad al-Sāwī, an Azharī scholar with-

<sup>47</sup> Cf Muştafā Abû Soyf al-Ḥammāmî, Rof" al-ḥyāb 'an balāyā lbn Khaṇāb (Cairo 1337) 34-36.

<sup>48</sup> Ibid 61-64

<sup>49</sup> See note 47

<sup>50</sup> The most substantial of these is litikshâf al-sirr al-magsûd min kunub al-shavkh al-Subki Mahinûd (Casco 1336)

<sup>51</sup> Irshād al-shavkh Mahmūd Khoṭṭāb ilā ṭorīg al-inābo ma'l-motāb (Caico 1336).

<sup>52</sup> For accounts and additional information concerning these conflicts, e.g. in Alexandria, Tükh, and Bahüm, see Ibrāhīm Hilmī al-Qādmī, Jalāl al-ḥaqq fi kashf aḥwāl shirār al-khalq (Alexandria 1936) passim.

<sup>53</sup> Por biographical data, see Abū'! Wafā al-Marāghi, ol-Shaykh al-Marāghi br-oqlām al-kuttāb (Casto 1957)

<sup>54</sup> See Ibrāhim 'Alī Abū'l Khashshāb, Avliyā' allāh al-sāliḥūn (Cauro 1955) 45ff

<sup>55</sup> Abū'l-Wafā al-Marāghi, op. cqt. 21ff.

<sup>56</sup> al-Ahrâm, 17 September 1935, 11

<sup>57</sup> Ibid., 29 September 1935, 9

<sup>58</sup> al Balāgh, 16 January 1936, 6.

<sup>59</sup> al-Jihād, 25 January 1936. See also al-Tawil, op. cut 185.

<sup>60</sup> F De Jong, 'Aspects of the Political Involvement of Sufi Orders in Twentieth-Century Egypt (1907-1970)', in G.R. Warburg & U.M. Kupferschmedt (eds.), Islam, Nationalism and

out a Sufi background who had been a student of Muhammad 'Abduh's,61 His candidature had been put forward by the shavkh al-Azhar, Mustafā 'Abd al-Rāziq, who was backed by the mufti of Egypt, 'Abd al-Majid Salīm, and the Prime Minister, Maḥmūd Fahmī al-Nuqrashi,62 The circumstances which led to Ahmad al-Sāwī's appointment,63 and his friendly relations with both the shaykh al-Azhar and the mufti, caused suspicion amongst the heads of the Sufi orders. They considered al-Şāwī to be a tool of the anti-Sufi and Wahhābī-oriented Azhar reformists who aimed at translating their criticism of the Sufi orders into concrete measures.64

Al-Şāwī's opinions concerning the Sufi orders were reflected in his efforts to initiate reforms. These aimed at the formal abolition of the established practice of hereditary succession to the office of head of a Sufi order, while restricting appointment to persons with an A2har-training. In this manner, the change of leadership envisaged by al-Maraghi would be accomplished. In addition, he considered measures impeding the trade in ijāzas. Since this was an important source of income for the shaykhs of the orders recognised by the mashyakha, it is not surprising that al-Sāwī was opposed and frustrated in his plans to implement the changes deemed necessary in reformist circles. Numerous committees for the study and discussion of appropriate regulations were formed and subsequently dissolved.65 When al-Sawi realised that he could not achieve an implementation of reform and islah of the Sufi orders by means of negotiations with the heads of the orders under the authority of the mashyakha, he changed his strategy. As al-Maraghi had done before him, he developed plans for islah of Sufism by means of a council in which a number of Islamic institutions were to be represented.66 The formation of such a council was delayed by the revolution of 1952, but in 1953 a council for islah of the Sufi orders was inaugurated within the framework of the Ministry of the Interior.67

Radicalism in Egypt and the Sudan (New York 1993) 189

With the inauguration of the Council, the discussions concerning teaching and practice of the Sufi orders took on a new dimension. The members of the Council consisted of representatives from al Azhar, Dār al-ifta, the Ministry of Awqāf, the Ministry of Social Affairs and the Ministry of National Guidance Moreover, no requirements were imposed regarding affiliations or sympathies with the Sufi orders on the part of those representing the participating institutions. Subsequently, members of the Society of the Muslim Brothers came to dominate the Council at a time when contempt for and aversion towards Sufism had become a major feature of the Brothers' orientation.68

In May 1953, the leader of the Muslim Brothers, Hasan Ismā'īl al-Hudaybi, declared that the Council had presented proposals to the Ministry of the Interior concerning a general prohibition of the Sufi orders in Egypt.69 This initiative brought about the most acute confrontation between the Sufi orders and the Brothers in the aforementioned Council in the Ministry of the Interior. When the resulting commotion threatened to assume nation-wide dimensions, the mufti of Egypt, Hasanayn Muhammad Maknlüf, issued a fatwa in favour of the Sufi orders, and the Minister of Awqaf, Hasan al-Baquri, declared himself against the proposed prohibition. Subsequently, the Revolutionary Command Council issued a decree providing for the dissolution of the Council in the Ministry of the Interior and the creation of a new one in the Ministry of Awqaf. This new council, however, turned out to be under the complete control of the Society of the Muslim Brothers. Its members in the Council started to attack Sufism in radio broadcasts and in pamphlets. Shortly before the final prohibition of the Muslim Brothers in 1954, Jamal 'Abd al-Nasır intervened and dissolved the Council in May of the same year.70

From early 1955 the military government started to take an active interest in the Sufi orders. Abd al-Hakim Āmir, then a member of the Revolutionary Command Council, was charged with supervision over reform efforts. This manifestation of a sudden interest in the Sufi orders would seem to be linked with the final prohibition of the Society of the Muslim Brothers: the members of the Command Council must have become aware of the use that could be made of

<sup>61</sup> For a biography, see Fathî Mahmîd Shahdi, al 'Ārif bi'lläh rajul al-şalêh wo'l-işlêh al shavkti Ahmad al Şāwî shavkh mashāyikh al turuq al şûfiyya (Shabin al-Küm 1948).

<sup>62</sup> Cf De Jong, 'Political Involvement' 190

<sup>63</sup> Op. cu 189f

<sup>64</sup> Ora, communication to the author by Aḥmad al Ṣāwi's son 'Iṣām.

<sup>65</sup> Muhammad Hosan Shams al-Din, al-Risāla al-almodivya al-thānīva (Cairo 1376) 55.

<sup>66</sup> On the opposition towards al-Sāwi's reform plans, see Mayollat ol-taḥrīr, 5 November 1957, 28-29; 12 November 1957, 26-27, 19 November 1957, 26-27; 26 November 1957, 26-27; 3 December 1957, 26-27; 10 December 1957, 26-27

<sup>67</sup> al-Muslim, 1955, xx. 3.

<sup>68</sup> On the attitudes of the Brothers towards Sufism, see R.P. Mitchell. The Society of the Muslim Brothers (London 1969) 214ff

 <sup>69</sup> al Jumhür al-mişri, 11 May 1953 (no. 123), 6
 70 Shams al-Din, al-Rizāla al-ahmadiym, 56.

<sup>71</sup> al-Ahrām, 18 March 1955, 4

mystical Islam and of the administrative organisation of the Sufi orders to combat the opposition inspired by the Brothers as well as to strengthen and widen its own base of support by stimulating and favouring the adherents of conceptions of Islam that were rooted in and partly identical with some of the central conceptions in popular Islam.72 The optimal exploitation of the potential usefulness of mystical Islam for the regime required the neutralisation of the reformminded faction and its principal exponent, Ahmad al-Sāwī. Thus, <sup>c</sup>Amir arranged for the latter's dismissal<sup>73</sup> and for the appointment of Muhammad Mahmüd 'Ilwan as his successor.74 Under 'Ilwan's supervision an era of revival of organised Islamic mysticism began in Egypt. Colloquies on Sufism were organised under the auspices of the Supreme Sufi Council,75 a periodical (al-Islām wa'l-tasawwut) was founded, which was to be the official mouthpiece of organised Islamic mysticism; several Sufi orders obtained official recognition, while membership of the orders steadily increased. In addition, organised mysticism was given a more prominent role at official religious celebrations, while more mawlids76 were celebrated and on a larger scale than had been the case in the decade before the revolution.

As a rule, since its creation in 1961, the Arab Socialist Union (ASU) was involved in the organisation of these celebrations which were used for making propaganda for the regime by means of pamphlets, banners and public speeches. In addition, the Sufi orders themselves, under the supervision of the Sufi Council headed by "Ilwān, were increasingly used for the distribution of political and ideological propaganda, whereas the Arab Socialist Union became directly involved with the administration of the orders when a certificate of good character signed by a member of the local Union committee, among other signatories, became a prerequisite for ap-

76 For an anthropological study, see Fariq Ahmad Mustafa, al-Mawalid Dirasa li'l-fadat wa'l-taadild at-sha-buwa fi Misr (Alexandra 1981).

pointment to the various offices in the hierarchy of the officially recognised Sufi orders. Moreover, the staging of *hadras* in mosques was made subject to permission from the Ministry of Awqāf.

Muhammad Mahmud 'Ilwan's administration of the Sufi orders served the aims of the regime: to prevent or minimise any conflict between the Sufi orders among themselves and between Sufis and their opponents. The use of censorship to implement this policy seems to have been limited and polemics were allowed. Notable are the polemics between the monthlies al-Muslim, 77 defending the mystical point of view, and al-I'tisām, the monthly of al-Jam'iyya al-shar iyva. This organisation continued to agitate against the Sufi orders and occasionally resorted to force.78 Also the polemics between al-Muslim and al-Hady al-nabawi should be mentioned. The latter periodical was the monthly of Ansar al-sunna almuhammadiyva, an organisation of Wahhābī orientation, founded by Muhammad Hāmid al-Fiqī (d. 1959).79 After his death, the leadership of the Ansar went to "Abd al-Rahman al-Wakil who had authored a number of pamphlets against Sufism.80 His most substantial tract rejecting Sufism, Hadhihi hiya al-sūfiyya,81 occasionally refers to aspects of Sufism in Egypt in the past, i.e. in the nineteenth century or earlier Its criticism of Sufism in Egypt in the nineteen-fifties is only implicit, and it never enticises individual shaykhs of Sufi orders or the mashyakha. The tract would seem to be representative of the sort of enticism of Sufism which was acceptable under the new regime. Both organisations, al-Jam'iyya al-shar'iyya and Ansar al-sunna al-muhammadiyya, were allowed to continue their propaganda against Sufism throughout the Nāşir era when their opposition was tolerated apparently because of its moderation, its confinement to periodicals with a limited circulation, and the numerical insignificance of their membership,82

<sup>72</sup> For a discussion of the nature of this partial identity, see F. De Jong, 'Der Volksislam und die mystischen Bruderschaften', in W. Ende & U. Steinbach (eds.), Der Islam in der Gegenwart (Munich 1991) 487-504.

<sup>73</sup> On the events during al-Sawi's last years in office, see the references in note 64.

<sup>74</sup> On the factors determining the choice of 'llwin for the office of shruth mashayith at turng al-sūfivvo, see De Jong. 'Turng and turng opposition' 91, and 'Political Involvement', 97

<sup>75</sup> The Supreme Sufi Council (al-Majtis al sūfi al-a'lā) consisted of four members selected by the shavkit mashāyakh al-juruq al sūfivya from among cight shaykhs nominated every three years by the General Assembly of the heads of all the officially recognised orders.

<sup>77</sup> The monthly was published by al-"Ashire al-muhammadivva, an organisation affiliated with a Sufi order, the Muhammadiyya Shādhiliyya. On "Ashira and the latter tariqa, see Julian Johansen, Sufixm and Islamic Reform in Egypt. The Battle for Islamic Tradition (Oxford 1996).

<sup>78</sup> See 'Ali 'Abd al-Patišh 'Allšim, al-Sirr al-milham wa'l-kanz al-a'zam (Csiro 1962)

<sup>79</sup> For his biography, see his Nûr min al-qur'ân (Cauro 1384) 4 ff

<sup>80</sup> E.g. Zandagat al-Jili (Cairo n.d.), and \$liftyvät (Cairo 1949).

<sup>82</sup> Cf. Isas Istefan, Directory of Social Agencies (Caino 1956) 48, 149

The major manifestations of opposition to Sufism in twentieth-century Egypt and the responses to the challenges posed by its critics were largely conditioned by structural features of the socio-political context. Thus, the proclamation of the regulations for the Sufi orders in the first decade of the century provided an institutional safeguard for the officially recognised Sufi orders and mitigated the need to respond to external criticism. Only those not belonging to the Sufi establishment, i.e. to one of the Sufi orders officially recognised by the state-sponsored mashvakhat al-turua al-sūfiyya, wrote in defence of Sufism and against reformist and Wahhabi-inspired criticism. When one of the Wahhābī-oriented organisations turned radical, the members of the established Sufi orders were occasionally drawn into physical confrontations. Reform in al-Azhar entailed efforts to reform the Sufi orders and to place them under the supervision of this institution. These efforts implied opposition to Sufism in its traditional organisational and administrative context. This was perceived as a major threat which was met with political manoeuvres aimed at eliminating this threat to established interests. The success of the Society of the Muslim Brothers in obtaining key administrative posjtions enabled this organisation to conduct a campaign for the abolition of the Sufi orders. These opponents of Sufism were eliminated by the revolutionary regime in 1954. Thereafter, the opponents of Sufism to be taken seriously were reduced to two organisations of Wahhābī orientation. Since the regime had started to cultivate the Sufi orders with its own political objectives in mind, opposition and criticism from Wahhābī circles could be safely ignored. To the extent that this resulted in polemics, these were conducted in relatively insignificant periodicals with no national impact.

In Egypt, the marginal existence of the Sufi orders came to an end after the revolution of 1952 when political considerations seem to have induced the regime to stimulate a revival of organised Islamic mysticism. After the death in December 1969 of the key person behind the revival, Muhammad 'Ilwan, the shavkh mashayikh al-turuq al-sufiyya, this policy was maintained by his successor Muhammad al-Sutūnī After the death of President Jamāl 'Abd al-Naṣir in 1970 the revival continued and broadened out under Anwar al-Sādāt whose regime seemed to arm itself against the anti-mystical religiously inspired opposition by cultivating mystical Islam. In so doing, the regime at the same time provided its critics with further arguments to justify their opposition and their refusal to accept the

legitimacy it claimed. This situation contributed to the growing cleavage between mystical and anti-mystical Islam in Egypt from the late nineteen-seventies onwards. The development in question may be traced in a variety of publications and documented events from that time to the present.<sup>83</sup>

<sup>8.3</sup> A major source for tracing this development is Majullat at-tasarway of islami, which has been published as a monthly by the Higher Sufi Council in Cairo since 1979.

Ш

### **AFRICA**

# LA POLÉMIQUE CONTRE LE SOU FISME ET LES ORDRES SOUFIS EN AFRIQUE DE L'OUEST POST-COLONIALE

#### **OUSMANE KANE**

Présent depuis le onzième siècle en Afrique de l'Ouest, l'islam était pratiqué principalement par certains souverains, leurs cours, des lettrés et des commerçants impliqués dans le commerce transsahanen. Il faudra attendre les grands mouvements de réforme qui se sont produits au cours des dix-huitième et dix-neuvième siècle pour y assister à des conversions massives à la religion musulmane. 1 Menés par des idéalistes<sup>2</sup> ayant qui séjournés dans le monde arabe, qui acquis une culture arabo-islamique suffisamment solide pour faire la différence entre l'islam des théologiens et jurisconsultes musulmans et l'islam tel que pratiqué dans leur terroir et aspirant en tout état de cause à réformer l'islam noir, ces jihāds devaient contribuer à faire de l'islam la religion qui compte le plus d'adeptes dans cette région.

Il résulta de ces jihāds une solide implantation d'institutions politiques et sociales musulmanes, une augmentation considérable du nombre des lettrés en arabe, une dissémination des centres de transmission de savoir islamique, mais surtout une diffusion des turuq dont les leaders avaient été les fers de lance de ces jihāds.<sup>3</sup> Pour ne citer que les leaders de jihāds suivants, rappelons que

'Uthmān b. Fūdī (Caliphat de Sokoto), Ahmad b. Ahmad (Empire de Masina), Karamokho Alfa (Futa Jalon), al-Haji 'Umar al Fūtī (Empire de Ségou), Ceerno Suleyman Baal (Futa Toro), Maba Diakhou (Sénégambie centrale) étaient tous des fervents adeptes de l'islam soufi. Pour ces derniers et à la différence des réformateurs de l'époque post-coloniale d'inspiration wabhābī ou salafī, la restauration de l'islam dans sa pureté originelle passait surtout par l'erradication des survivances paiennes. En aucune facon, n'était mise en cause l'orthodoxie du soufisme, tant l'islam noir était influencé par le modèle maghrébin et nous savons qu'au Maghreb. après la chute de l'Etat almohade et du fait de l'absence d'un Etat musulman fort, les Espagnols et les Portugais menaient des attaques incessantes contre les musulmans et que les ordres soufis furent les fers de lance de la résistance contre les envahisseurs, ce qui contribua à renforcer considérablement leur influence et leur diffusion au Maghreb et ensuite au sud du Sahara.4

Les mouvements de réforme wahhābī ou salafī n'eurent pas grand écho en Afrique de l'Ouest avant la deuxième guerre mondiale. Ceci, parce que pendant la période coloniale, les administrations française et britannique avaient établi un cordon sanitaire visant à isoler l'univers sub-saharien du monde arabe afin d'éviter que les mouvements panarabes et/ou panislamistes ne fassent des émules au sud du Sahara. Ce n'est qu'à partir de la deuxième guerre mondiale avec l'augmentation des ouest-africains qui effectuaient le pélermage à la Mecque et le retour des étudiants noirs qui ont séjourné dans les Universités d'Egypte et du Maghreb que des mouvements de réforme anti-soufi ont commencé à avoir un écho en Afrique de l'Ouest. C'est à partir de cette époque, et notamment à l'époque post-coloniale, que le polémique sur l'orthodoxie (ou l'hétérodoxie) des turua va avoir une ampleur sans précédent. Notre hypothèse est que cette polémique s'inscrit sur le plan doctrinal dans une tradition de recherche de régénerescence profondément enracinée dans le monde musulman,5 tout en étant nourrie par des demandes hées à un contexte donné, ici celui de l'Afrique de l'Ouest post-coloniale

<sup>1</sup> Voir David Robinson, La guerre saunte d'al-Hāji Umar Le Soudan occidental au muteu du XIXe stècle (Paris 1988) 53-90

Voir Mervyn Hiskett, The Development of Islam in West Africa (London 1984) 156-171 227 243

<sup>3</sup> Op. ch. 244-260

<sup>4</sup> Op. cat. 9-11

<sup>5</sup> Voir Ernest Gellner (ed), Islamic Dilemmas, Reformers, Nationalists and Industrialization. The Southern Shore of the Mediterranean (Becl.n/New York Amsterdam 1985) 1

Les arguments utilisés par les adversaires des *turuq* sont de plusieurs ordres. Sur le plan religieux, ils ne présentent pas une très grande originalité dans la mesure où ils puisent dans le même registre que les théologieus classiques (voir *infra*). Sur le plan politique et social en revanche, ils ont ceci de spécifique qu'ils sont influencés par des demandes de décolonisation, de modernisation et de rationalisation du sacré qu'engendre un contexte bien déterminé, celui de l'urbanisation et de la naissance de certaines formes d'individualisme. De la même façon que celà s'est produit dans le Monde arabe, les réformistes ouest-africains reprocheront aux *turuq* d'être des alliés du colonialisme et tout comme les réformateurs soufis des dix-huitième et dix-neuvième siècle, ils attaqueront les syncrétismes, qui même s'ils ont été condamnés par quelques leaders de *turuq* africaines, ont été dans l'ensemble tolérés dans le cadre de l'islam noir.

Suite aux jihāds déjà mentionnés, les confréries Qādiriyya et Tijāniyya vont solidement s'implanter dans les sociétés du Soudan occidental et central. Les musulmans de cette région s'opposèrent dans un premier temps à l'implantation coloniale. Grand propagateur de la Tijanivya en Afrique de l'Ouest, fondateur de rameaux de la Tijāniyya dans tout le Soudan occidental et central, Al-Hāji "Umar al-Fütî dont le jihād a conduit à la création de l'Empire de Ségou, en constitue une illustration parmi d'autres. Toutefois, les musulmans ouest-africains qui avaient choisi de défendre par les armes la dar alislam vont très vite se heurter à la suprématie militaire occidentale Partout en Afrique de l'Ouest, les opposants furent écrasés. De l'empire de Ségou tombé en 1891 sous les troupes du Commandant Archinard à celui de Sokoto dont l'armée fut vaincue et le Calife Al-Țăhir tué en 1903, en passant par la défaite de la ligue tijânie contre l'envahisseur dirigée par Maba Diakhou en 1867, les résistances armées furent vaincues dans tout le Soudan occidental et central.

Suite à cette série de défaites, les musulmans ouest-africains durent redonner un autre sens à la défense de l'islam. Il ne sera plus question par la suite de défendre militairement la dâr al-islam contre les kuffār, mais de défendre la pratique de l'islam. Etait ainsi trouvé le compromis dit colonial qui devait permettre aux furuq non seulement de continuer leur prosélytisme, de diffuser l'islam et les turuq, mais également de se renforcer considérablement. L'on sait aujourd'hui avec certitude que l'islam dans l'Afrique de l'Ouest n'a pas connu de diffusion plus rapide et plus massive que pendant la période coloniale.

En Senégambie, exposés à l'insécurité liée à la traite de esclaves,

au démantèlement des chefferies traditionnelles par l'entrepreneur colonial et à l'implantation coloniale, les populations s'étaient réfugiées auprès des shuvukh des turuq. Du fait de l'audience que ces derniers avaient acquise, l'entrepreneur colonial fit d'eux son intermédiaire vis à vis des populations. Qui plus est, profitant de la mise en place de la voie ferrée, les turua sénégambiennes de la Muridiyya comme de la Tijaniyya vont se lancer dans la mise en valeur du bassin arachidier et pour certaines devenir des puissances économiques durables. Au Nigéria, avec la défaite de l'armée de al-Tăhir et la soumission du califat de Sokoto, les autorités sultanales ou émirales furent transformées en personnel bureaucratique colonial.6 La Qadiriyya comme la Tijaniyya y étaient associées à l'aristocratie, elle-même intégrée à l'ordre politique colonial. De ce fait, à l'exception du quelques branches jugées subversives de certaines turno et réprimées, les confréries ne s'associèrent en Afrique de l'Ouest, ni à une résistance achamée à l'ordre politique colonial, ni à la lutte pour l'indépendance. Mieux, bon nombre d'entre elles incitèrent les populations à respecter les lois coloniales, à être loyales envers les colonisateurs et certaines allèrent jusqu'à s'impliquer dans les campagnes de recrutement de soldats pour aller combattre en Europe pendant les deux guerres.7

En revanche, ceux qui revendiquaient le réformisme au tout début de celui-ci, évoluaient en majorité en dehors de l'ordre politique colonial et vont attaquer sévèrement cet ordre. Un des exemples en Afrique occidentale française est l'Union Culturelle Musulmane (UCM). Créée en 1953, à l'initiative de Cheikh Touré à Dakar, cette organisation était influencée par les idées nationalistes/réformistes du mouvement salafi en Algérie. Cheikh Touré avait fréquenté l'Institut de la Jam'iyyat al-'ulamã' de Constantine.8 En quelques années et en association avec des jeunes musulmans d'autres pays, furent créées des sections de l'organisation dans la plupart des pays

<sup>6</sup> Voir Mansur Draham Mukhtar, The Impact of British Colonial Domination on the Social and Economic Structure of the Society of Kano 1903 1950 (M.A. Ahmadu Beijo University 1983) 85 ff.

<sup>7</sup> Roman Louneuer, Islamische Erneuerung und politischer Wandel in Nordnigeria. Die Auseinandersetzungen zwischen den Suft Bruderschoften und ihren Gegnern seit Ende der 50er Jahre (Münster/Hamburg 1993) 69-76.

<sup>8</sup> Voir notamment Roman Loimeier, 'Cheikh Tomé. Du réformisme à l'istamisme Un musulman sénégalais dans le siècle', Islam et Sociétés au Sud du Sohara viu (1994) 55-66 Christian Couton, Les musulmans et le pouvoir en Afrique Noire (Paris 1985) 123 "Abd a.-Qădir Sylla, Al-muslimün fi 'l-Sunghōl ma'ālim al-ḥādir wa-āfāq al-mustaqbal (Doha 1986) 158.

francophones d'Afrique de l'Ouest (Mali, Haute Volta, Guinée, Côte d'Ivoire). A sa création, l'Umon Cultuelle Musulmane s'assignant pour objectif de purifier l'Islam des déformations et abus qui l'ont défiguré; de réformer l'enseignement et de diffuser la langue arabe; et de lutter contre les influences européennes et chrétiennes. De par ce programme, il apparaît clair que le mouvement prétendant s'attaquer aux intérêts confrériques et coloniaux. Il fut combattu par ces deux forces et fut également l'objet d'une surveillance très rapprochée de la part du fameux Bureau des Affaires Musulmanes qui, à l'époque, était responsable de la surveillance des activités islamiques. Cheikh Touré, dans son pamphlet intitulé Afin que tu deviennes un croyant, critiquait en ces termes les turuq:

'Si ceux qui se disent les responsables de la religion étaient des croyants sincères, ils ne craindraient pas d'enseigner la vérité dis a appréhendraient pas d'indiquer le droit chemin, et a usi ils n'auraient pas fait de ceux qui les croient les égarés qu'ils sont, ils n'auraient pas à falsifier les principes de l'Islam. Ceux qui se disent les responsables de la religion de sont pas ces croyants sincères, sinon ils n'auraient point, par de fausses interprétations, tenté de légaliser tous les actes courants qui ne sont qu'aniant de violations des principes d'Islam. Leur manque de foi en Dieu les pousse à une honteuse collaboration avec les colonialistes et les capitalistes exploiteurs [1] Nous qui constituons la masse de la population exploitée, trompée et opprimée par le trio reapitalisme, marabouts, colonialistes .....<sup>19</sup>

Pendant la décolonisation des pays d'Afrique occidentale française, la France avait proposé à ses colonies de choisir par voie référendaire entre l'adhésion à une communauté franço-africaine ou l'obtention de l'indépendance totale. De tous les pays d'Afrique occidentale française, seule la Guinée avait voté contre l'adhésion à cette communauté. Du fait de leur position privilégiée pendant l'époque coloniale, les leaders des turuq votèrent massivement en faveur du "oui" à l'instauration d'une communauté franço-musulmane qui avait pour but de maintenir l'Afrique occidentale française sous l'influence de la France. Les réformistes, eux, firent campagne pour voter "non" à l'adhésion à la communauté française. Leur prise de position vaudra aux turuq de prêter le flanc à la critique de collaboration, tout comme certaines turuq maghrébines. l'o Les leaders nationalistes qui aspiraient à moderniser leur pays

étaient sensibles à la propagande de type salafi, d'abord parce que les réformistes étaient plus proches des mouvements nationalistes et ensuite parce que la perspective réformiste était plus proche du projet de modernisation des élites. Au Sénégal, l'on sait que le premier président du Conseil Mamadou Dia s'était assigné comme objectif de soustraire totalement les populations rurales à l'emprise maraboutique. Dans le cadre de cette stratégie, il s'appuya sur les réformistes, soutint notamment l'Union Culturelle Musulmane et faillit faire entrer le leader réformiste Cheikh Touré dans son cabinet en 1958, bien que ce dernier fût absent du territoire sénégalais.

Ahmadu Bello le premier ministre du Nord-Nigéria avait entrepris au lendemain de l'indépendance du Nord du Nigéria de moderniser l'islam et d'en faire la base d'une politique d'unification du Nord du Nigéria. Abubakar Mahmud Gumi (1922-1992) fer de lance du mouvement réformiste au Nord Nigéria et guide spirituel du Jamil'at izalat al-bid'a wa-iqāmat al-sunna<sup>12</sup> était la cheville ouvrière de cette politique panislamiste de Ahmadu Bello. 13

Même si l'accusation d'hétérodoxie dirigée contre les confréries est nourrie par une argumentation à plusieurs volets, l'on peut néanmoins identifier dans les corpus d'apostolat des réformistes partout en Afrique de l'Ouest un certain nombre de constantes y compris la postériorité de la fondation des turuq à l'époque prophétique, la sugmatisation du culte des saints sous ses différentes manifestations, l'accusation de charlatanisme et d'exploitation des masses et la critique des rituels des turuq.

L'argument de la postémorité des turuq à l'époque prophétique est présent dans le discours réformiste où que l'on se rend en Afrique de l'Ouest. La Jamā at izālat al-bid a fondé au Nigéria en 1978 est, selon nous, le mouvement réformiste qui compte le plus de membres dans l'Afrique l'Ouest post-coloniale. Son argument principal c'est que les turuq n'existaient pas du temps du prophète et à ce titre ne sont pas othodoxes. Un des pamphlets en haoussa qui constitue la base du corpus d'apostolat de la Jamā at izālat al-bid a détaille ce

<sup>9</sup> Voir Cheikh Touré, 'Afra que tu deviennes un croyant', cité in extenso dans Jean Ciaude Froelich. Les masulmans d'Afrique Noire (Paris 1962) 284.

<sup>10</sup> Voir par exemple Kenneth Brown, 'The discrediting of a sufi movement in Tunista', dans Ernest Gellner (ed.), Islamic Duenimas 146-.67, 147

<sup>11</sup> Roman Louneier, 'Cherkh Toure' 61

<sup>12</sup> Sur cette association, voir par example O. Kane, 'Izala The Rise of Muslim Reformam in Northern Nigeria', dans M.E. Marty and R.S. Appleton (cds.), Accounting for Fundamentalisms (Chicago 1994) 490-512, et aussi Roman Loimeier. 'Ausetnandersetzungen im islamischen Lager', dans Jamil M. Aban-Nasr (ed.), Muslime in Nigeria Religion und Gesellschaft im politischen Wandel seit den 50er Jahren (Münster/Hamburg 1993) , 45 ff.

13 Voir Loimeier, 'Islamische Erneuerung' 92.

type d'argument

Le prophète n a pas pratiqué la tarique, ses compagnons ne l'ont pas pratiqué, les épigones non pius, leurs épigones non plus, cenx qui croient au prophète non plus; le prophète n'a pas ordonné de la pratiquer. Le prophète a dit que quiconque, après les compagnons, leurs épigones et les épigones des épigones vous apporte quelque chose qu'il dit faire partie de la religion, rejetez cette chose car c'est une umovation et celui qui pratique l'ismovation. Dien n'agrée ni sa prière, ni son jeune, ni son pèlerinage. De plus, il est devenu un apostat, il a quitté le droit cheann, consulte la tradition du prophète numéro 40 dans le chapitre 7 du recueil de hadith de Ibn Māja. Cette tradition démontre on ne peut plus clairement qu'on ne doit eq aucune fuçon être dirigé dans la prière par celui qui pratique l'innovation, si manger la viande de bêtes qu'il a égorgées car c'est au nom de Cheikh Ahmad al-Tijānī qu'il accomplit [les rituels] tāzim et wazīfa de la farīqa Tijāmyya. II fait de l'associationnisme en associant quelqu'un à Dieu dans le culte, consulte le glorieux Coran, sourate des junis, verset numéro 17 Le prophète Muhammad, paix et salut sur lui, comme tout le monde le sait, a été envoyé pour nous enjoindre à faire la prière, le jeune, à donner l'aumône légale et effectuer le pèlerinage mais de tout cela i, n' y a rien qui soit effectué au nom du prophète Muhammad, paix et salut sur lui, comme la font au nom de Cheikh Ahmad al-Tijāni les adeptes de sa jariga qui pratiquent la wazifa. Parmi les reisons fondées sur la Coran et la Tradition (du prophète) pour lesquelles ou ne doit pas prier dernère un affilié à une confrérie, figure l'injonction de Dieu nux hommes de n'être - adepte d'aucan homme, d'aucon auge, d'ancun unn et de n'accomplir quelque acte que ce soit en leur nom car cela reviendrait à leur donner préséance sur lui [Dieu]. Dieu a dit que quiconque fait cela est devenu un incroyant, consulte le Coran sourue di 'Imrôn verset 80° 14

Dans les débats et joutes contre les sympathisants des *turuq*, nous avons souvent entendu les prêcheurs réformistes défier ces derniers de citer un seul verset du Coran ou un seul *hadith* accepté comme authentique par les recensements fiables de *hadith*(s) qui légitime la constitution de *turuq*.

Les turuq, démontre Mervyn Hiskett, 15 vont à partir du dix-cinqième siècle développer le soufisme spéculatif dans le cadre duquel la vénération du prophète comme insân kāmil sera très présente. Muhammad b. Sulaymān al-Jazülī dans son Dalā'il al-khayrāt en est un exemple. Progressivement, le culte des saints, qui existait déjà, va faire l'objet d'une systématisation sur le plan théologique. Centrale à ces pratiques était la notion de walī. Dérivé de la racine arabe wly le concept de walī renvoie dans le Coran à l'idée de proximité.

L'interprétation du concept de wali dans la littérature des turuq, finira par donner naissance à toute une théorie de la sainteté établissant une hiérarchie des saints et leur conférant des pouvoirs extraordinaires. La conséquence de ces dogmes a été le renforcement du culte des saints, qui avait été déjà condamné plusieurs siècles auparavant par Ibn Taymiyya et d'autres théologiens hanbalites. Les réformistes ouest-africains vont s'en prendre à la confiscation de la qualité de wali au seul bénéfice des leaders de turuq. Un pamphlet écrit en 1971 par un guide de la propagande salafi au Ghana réactualise le débat en ces termes :

'Le mot wilden est polysémique en langue (arabe. Toutefois l'expression coranique alla inno avilisé' Allah là khawfun 'alayhun wa-là hum vahranan wa-khnu vaitaqun (Coran 10/62-63) ne concerne que les pieux croyants, comme Dieu qu'il soit loué et glorifié l'a bien indiqué, le dogme saint, la foi au prophète, paix et salut sur lui, la conformité à la loi divine en public comme en privé, c'est celà la waldva [...] Le guostique c'est celui qui connait Dieu qu'il soit exhalté tel qu'il s'est décrit et que le prophète, paix et salut sur lui, l'a décrit Non comme le prétend Ahmad al-Tijant que celui qui preud son wird devient proche de Dieu (walt h-Mah). 16

Cet argument qui consiste à contester aux "saints" la capacité d'accomplir des prodiges, considérant comme walī tout musulman pieux qui se conforme aux injonctions divines est un argument très ancien car présent dans le discours des mustazilites. 17 Cette réinterprétation de la notion de wilāya ou walāya conduira en même temps à la critique des pratiques liées au culte des saints telles que les pélerinages dans les tombeaux des saints qui sont répandues dans le monde musulman de l'Inde au Maroc. 18 La réinterprétation de la notion de walī entraînera également le rejet de l'intercession des saints. Si l'on sait que la croyance en leur capacité d'intercéder en faveur de leurs disciples est un élément déterminant dans la diffusion des turuq, alors l'argument sera très important dans la propagande réformiste.

Une des formes prises par le culte des saints et qui est généralisée dans tout le monde est le pélerinage au mausolées des grands saints. Cette pratique héritée de l'islam maghrébin consiste pour les pélerins

<sup>14</sup> Voir Ibrahim Muhammad Gana, 'Hugojin da suka hana bin dan tariku salla daga aikur'ani da hadis manzo Aliāh' ( s 1, s.d.), traduit du hugussa par l'auteur.

<sup>15</sup> Voir Hiskett, The Development of Islam in West Africa

<sup>16</sup> Voir 'Abd al-Şamed al-Kathini, Risălot al-dâ'î ilâ'l-sunna wa'l-zâpr 'an el-bid'a (Beyrouth 1978) 11 12 (tradiet de l'arabe par l'auteur).

<sup>17</sup> Vou Shorter Encyclopaedio of Islam (Leiden 1974) 629; et la contribution de Florian Sobieroj dans le present livre.

<sup>18</sup> Voir par exemple Christian Troll (ed.), Muslim Shrines in India (Dellin 1988) passim, et Fenneke Reysoo, Pélerinages au Maroc. Fêtes, politiques et échange dans l'Islam populaire (Neuchâtel Pans 1991) passim.

à venir se recueillir sur les tombeaux des saints, y faire des offrandes et y prier pour la satisfaction de leurs besoins. Cette pratique était courante en Arabie avant la révolte wahhābite. D'après la littérature sur l'islam au Maghreb, elle était très présente avant la propagande salafī. Héritée du Maghreb, ces pratiques étaient fortement enracinées dans l'islam noir. De Ahmadu Bamba, fondateur de la confrérie mouride au Sénégal, à 'Uthmān b. Fūdī, les mausolées de ces leaders de turuq font l'objet de pélerinage en permanence, s'inscrivant dans le cadre du culte des saints lié au bonheur ou à la guérison.

Parce qu'elles furent les agents de l'islamisation du Soudan occidental et central, les turuq durent trouver un terrain de compromis entre les croyances qui prévalaient avant la diffusion de l'islam et l'islam légaliste des jurisconsultes. Elles durent incorporer un nombre important de pratiques. Ces pratiques incluent la confection d'amulettes, de philtres à partir du lavage de versets du Coran écrits sur une tablette en bois. Toutes ces pratiques entraient dans une industrie de la prière. 19 De ce formidable marché de la prière, permettant de soigner, de prévenir le mauvais sort, protéger contre la sorcellerie, d'exhausser des voeux, bref de rassurer, les gestonnaires du sacré, en l'occurence les juruq, tiraient un partie non négligeable de leurs revenus. Ces prières pouvaient également inclure des retraites spirituelles (khalawāt) effectués sur une commande par des personnes désirant voir un souhait exhaussé Jusqu'aujourd'hui, et en dépit de la propagande réformiste, la très grande majorité des musulmans (et non musulmans) en Afrique de l'Ouest, ont recours à des degrés divers, aux services de ces gestionnnaires de l'industrie du sacré à des fins propitiatoires et déprécatoires.

Si les turuq ont pu se diffuser au point qu'à la fin de l'époque coloniale, l'islam dans la plupart des pays d'Afrique Noire s'identifiait à elles, c'est sans aucun doute dû à leur grande capacité de s'adapter aux réalités africaines, de prendre en charge les besoins de sécurité et d'espérances des populations démunies. Les réformistes vont condamner ces pratiques et par conséquent, les

turuq qui les ont cautionnées. Ils vont les accuser de se livrer à des pratiques obscurantistes à des fins d'exploitation des masses crédules. Dans son ouvrage écrit en 1971 qui marque un tournant décisif dans la propagande réformiste anti soufi, le réformateur nigérian Abubakar Gumi détaille un certain nombre de pratiques connues auprès des turuq, les qualifie de magiques avant de les condamner en bloc:

'Le deuxième (ype [de magie] consiste à învoquer les esprits terrestres, à savoir les djinns et à chercher à entrer en communication avec eux en se livrant à des pratiques magiques telles que l'utilisation de la fumée, de la vapeur, à se dévétir de ses vêtements [ ] beaucoup de personnes la pratiquent aujourd'hui, [...] Le quatrième type renvoie aux pratiques des Qarmates et à leur adorateurs ignorants et naîfs qui croient lieite d'inventer des obédith destinés à faire désirer quelque chose ou à faire pour. Ils font partie de ceux à propos de qui le Prophète a dit "quicooque m'attribue des propos que je n'ai pas tenus, sa place est en enfer [...] Entrent dans cette catégorie les adoptes des juruq créces de toutes pièces et ceux qui suivent leurs instincts et prétendent agir au nom de la religion. La religion se limite à ce que Dieu a révélé [ ...]. Le sixième type est l'assujetissement de l'esprit au cocur. Dans ce cadre, le magicien prétend connaître le nom suprême de Dieu (tem Alläh al-a'zam) et que les djinns lui obéissent et lui sont sonnus dans une très large mesure. Si celui qui entend ces propos est crédule et naïf, il y croiera et accrochera son coeur à ces vaines prétenhons. La peur (khowf) et la gramie révérentielle (mja") se saistront de ses sens. Si la peur se saisit de que qu'un qui n'est pas lucide, le magicien peut le manipuler à loisir. On appelle cette pratique timbule qui permet de manapuler les faibles d'esprit. La physiognomonie permet de distinguer les faibles d'esprit des êtres lucides. Si le magicien maîtrise la physiognomonie, il arrive à distinguer ceux qu'il pourra soumettre à son pouvoir de ceux qu'il ne pourra pas manipuler [ ] A toutes ces différentes formes de magie, il y a des clients potentiels qu'exploitent les alama corrompus afin de les tromper, leur souttrer de l'argent d'une manière totalement infondée au nom de la religion, 20

Un certain nombre de pratiques auxquelles Abubakar Gumi fait allusion sont courantes auprès de certaines communautés confrériques d'Afrique de l'Ouest. Dans ce qu'il qualifie de sixième type de magie notamment, il invoque les notions de khawf, de rajā' qui symbolisent les différentes stations (aḥwāl) de l'ascencion mystique dans l'islam des confréries.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>19</sup> Pour une somaterisation toujours valable de ces pratiques, voir J.S. Traningham, Isiam in West Africa (Oxford 1959) 102 ff Voir aussi M. Last, 'A Note on Attitudes to the Supernatural in the Sokoto Ehad' Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria vill (1967) 3-13; et Lamine Sanneh, The Jokhanke. The History of an Islamic Clerical People of the Senegambia (London 1979) 214, 158 ff. et 210 ff.

<sup>20</sup> Ababakat Mahmud Gum, al-'Aqida al-sahiha bi-muwāfaqat al-shari'a (Beysouth 1971) 31 34. Deux ripostes ont été écrites coutre ce livre par deux grandes figures des turnq Tijānayya et Qādiriyya au Nord Nīgéma. Il s'agit respectivment de M. S. Katanga, al-Murah al-hamīda fi radd 'alā fāsiq al-'aqīda (Kano 1972) et Nasiru Kabara, al-Nasiha al-sariha fi radd 'alā 'l-'aqīda al-şahihā (Kano 1972).

<sup>21</sup> Voir As'ad al-Salimarani, al-Tazawwiif wa-manshii 'atuhu (Beyrouth 1987) 115 ff

335

Les réformistes vont également s'en prendre au rituel des *turuq* qu'ils taxeront de non conformes à l'islam. Les deux *turuq* principales de l'Afrique de l'Ouest que sont la Tijamyya et la Qādiriyya sont toutes les deux dotées de ce type de *awrad* récités qui individuellement, qui collectivement et présentés comme ayant des vertus purificatrices et rédemptrices exceptionnelles.

En ce qui concerne la Tijaniyya qui est la tarīqa de plus grande diffusion, elle a trois types de wird. Le premier individuel, est dénommé lāzim et récité le matin et le soir. Le second wazīfa est obligatoire une fois par jour, mais une deuxième récitation surrérogatoire est attestée auprès de certaines communautés tijānies ouest africaines. Et enfin un troisième type de rituel propre à la Tijāniyya est appelé hadra et regroupe tous les vendredis après-midi les disciples de la Tijāniyya affiliés à une zāwiya donnée. A la différence du lāzim récité individuellement et dans la discrétion, les deux autres, notamment la hadra, sont beaucoup plus ostentatoires. Les récitants zélés entrent en transe et leur corps effectue un mouvement de balancier rythmé par le ton de la récitation. Le mouvement réformiste Izāla qui opère au Nigéria et au Niger a particulièrement attaqué la Tijāniyya pour ces pratiques. 22

Par ailleurs, un certain nombre de prières entre dans la récitation du lāzim, de la wazīfa, et pendent la hadra. Une de celles-là, la Ṣalāt al-fātih, promue au rang de prière rédemptrice dans la Tijāniyya, ne vient pas du fondateur de la Tijāniyya Ahmad al-Tijāni, mais du Cheikh Muhammad al-Bakri (1492-1545). Elle est d'une importance fondamentale dans la Tijāniyya et a généré une polémique sans précédent dans l'histoire de cette tarīqa. La traduction française de cette prière est:

'Ô Dieu! Répands tes grâces et la paix sur notre Seigneur Muhammad, celmi qui ouvre ce qui était fermé, qui ciôt ce qui a précédé, qui fant triompher la vérité par la Vérité et qui guide sur le chemm de la rectatude et (répands tes grâces) sur sa famille, selon la mesure qui lui est duc, mesure immense', 23

Selon le dogme tijani, c'est le prophète lui-même, qui a communiqué les bienfaits de cette prière au Cheikh Ahmad al Tijāni, qui aurait vu le prophète Muhammad non pas en état de songe mais en état d'éveil. L'ouvrage Jawāhir al-ma'ani de 'Ali Ḥarazim qui est le livre par excellence de la confrérie Tijāniyya attribue les

propos suivants à Ahmad al-Tijānī à propos de la Salat al-fatih.

'Puis il [le prophète] et a ordonne de recommencer [la récitation de] la priere de l'ouvrante Lorsqu'il me l'a ordonnée, je lui ai demandé, paix et salut sur liu, quelles sont ses vertus. Il m'a d'abord dit qu'une seule récitation est égale à six lectures du Coran. Pris il m a dit qu'une seule récitation de cette prière est équivalente à toutes les louanges rendues à Dieu dans l'univers, à toutes les remémorations du nom de dieu dhikr, à toutes les supplications petites ou grandes et à 6000 fois le Coran', <sup>24</sup>

Ces dogmes, il va s'en dire ont été attaquées par les réformistes arabes. Muhammad Rashid Ridā, dans la fameuse revue al-Manār, accusait le fondateur de la Tijāniyya d'avoir conduit des milliers voire des millions d'Africains à "égarement". Muḥammad b. al-Bashir Ibrāhimī qui, après avoir dénoncé les différentes promesses sotériologiques de la Salāt al-fātih déclarait.

Existe-t-il un meilleur moyen d'annuler le Coran que cela? Existe-t-il une plus grave attente aux rites de l'islam que cela? Existe t-il une incriation à nég, ger les rites de l'islam plus effective que la déclaration de ce démagogue (dayit!) Ó Seigneur, nous savons par ce que tu nous a cuscignés que la religion de nl-Tijānī a est pas celte de Muhanamad b. "Abdal.āh" 25

C'est dire que cette polémique ne date pas de la diffusion du réformisme en Afrique de l'ouest. Toutefois, l'on peut dire sans crainte de se tromper, que dans l'Afrique occidentale post-coloniale, aucun point de doctrine d'aucune tariqa n'a généré de controverse autant que le statut de la Salāt al-fātih. En ce qui concerne le pays Haoussa notamment, la question de la Salāt al-fātih a été le cheval de bataille de l'apostolat des réformistes et le fondement de l'excommunication (takfīr) des adeptes de la Tijāmyya. L'on peut même faire valoir que beaucoup de disciples de cette tarīqa l'ont rejetée. Notamment ceux qui sont issus des écoles modernes, car convancus du bien fondé de la critique réformiste à propos de la Salāt al-fātih. L'auteur de cette communication, qui a effectué de nombreux séjours de terrain en pays Haoussa et assisté à des dizaines de prêche, ne se souvient quasiment pas de prêche où la question du statut de la Salāt al-fātih n'était pas mentionnée.

En raison des dégâts que provoquaient les critiques sur la Salāt alfātiḥ notamment, une figure importante de la Tijāniyya nigérianne Ibrāhim Ṣāliḥ s'est livrée à un effort remarquable de clarification doctrinale pour réinterpréter la Ṣalāt al-fātiḥ et d'autres dogmes de la

<sup>22</sup> Voir note 12 pour références, et aussi Loimeier, Islamische Erneuerung 178 ff

<sup>23</sup> Fraduction de A. H. Ba. Vois Amadou Hampaté Bû, Vie et enseignement de Tierno Bokot. Le soge de Bondiagora (Paris 1980) 231

<sup>24</sup> Voir Ibrahim Sälih b. Yünus al Husaynı, al-Takfür akhiar al-bid'a tuhaddid al-istöm wa'l-wahda bayn al muslimin fi Nigeria (Le Caue 1982) 87

<sup>25</sup> Voir Jamil Abou-Nast, The Tijaniyya. A Sufi order in the modern World (London 1965) 177-179.

# Tijaniyya. Il a écrit notamment:

'Il convient d'attirer l'attention sur le fait que les propos largement répandus selon lesquels la prière de l'envrante est égale à six récutations du Coran ou sex mille récitations du Coran sont dénués de fondement. Ils sont déments par d'autres propos du Jawâhir al-ma<sup>c</sup>ānī tome 1 page 69. Le Cheikh [Ahmad al-Tijānī] a dit. rapportant les propos du medleur des créatures, paix et salut sur lui; "Celui qui récite le nom de Dieu suprême obtient 70,000 demoures au paradis et dans chaque demeure, il y nura 70,000 fois tout ce qui existe sur le paradis, vierges, pulats, fleuves etc.[...] Il a une rétribution équivalente à six mulle récitations de la prière de l'ouvrante. Il a la rétribution de la récitation de la sourate luminaire du Coran (al-Făriba). Il a la rétribution de la récreation du Coran [...]Si l'on compare ces propos sur la Salés al-fanti à ce qui est dit plus haut, on découvre une contradiction manifeste cur le nom suprême qui est supérieure à la Şalāt al-fatih est égale en rétribution à une seule lecture du Coran et il est supérieur à la Salât al-fâtih. Alors comment logiquement la vertueuse Salât al-fâtih peut-elle avoir une rétribution égale à celle de six, voire six mille récitations du Coran. Il n'y a aucum doute qu'une seule de ces affirmations est vraie [ ]. Ou bien il s'agit d'une erreur commise par ceux qui oat recopié le manuscrit, ou d'un complot ourdi avec la complicité des maisons d'édit,on à des fins de falsification et de calomnie, Cela est déjà arrivé avec l'ouvrage Ya'sib al-surr al-rabbüni fi karāmāt al-Shaykh al-Tijānī qui avast été écrit par des ennemis du Cheikh. Les fils de Cheikh Ahmad al-Tijāni en ont pris et brûlé les exemplaires et se sont dissociés de l'ouvrage.[...] Tout lecteur assidu ne saurait douter du fait que l'altération par ajouts on par omusions, intentionnels on non intentionnels est un phénomène d'autant plus fréquent que le nombre des ma sons d'éditions et imprimenes augmente en permanence, 26

Pour qui connait l'enracinement de la croyance aux vertus de la Salāt al-fātih dans les dogmes de la Tijāniyya, cet effort de clarification constitue, à n'en point douter, une concession de taille au mouvement réformiste. La réinterprétation du Cheikh Sālih, il convient de le faire observer, a été vivement critique par d'autres tijānīs.

La Qādriyya, naguère rivale de la Tijāniyya, n'a point été épargnée dans la polémique sur le rituel. Certaines branches de la Qādriyya, notamment la branche Nāsīriyya (Nasīru Kabara) font usage du tambour dans leur rituel. Elles furent durement attaquées par les réformistes également et il est notoire que sous la pression des réformistes, certains fiefs de la Qadriyya Nāsīriyya, sans condamner cette pratique, y ont progressivement renoncé,27 de la même manière que certains fiefs de la Tijāniyya, sous les attaques des réformistes, durent condamner les gesticulations et danses

effectuées au moment de la récitation des litanies.

Pendant les années soixantes, c'est au Malı que le réformisme semblait avoir effectué une plus grande percée en Afrique de l'ouest. Dans la ville de Bamako, de nombreux centres réformistes avaient été établis.28 Ces réformistes étaient en grande partie des commercants de basse extraction sociales appartenant à l'ethnie Korooko, ayant accompli le pélerinage à la Mecque où ils se sont imprégnés de la doctrine wahhabite et cherchant à se soustraire du système des castes qui les opprimaient et de l'industrie du sacré dans lequel il ne trouvaient point leur compte.29 Ensuite il y avait des lettrés ayant fréquenté les universités arabes égyptiennes ou algériennes à une époque marquée par la forte diffusion du réformisme. La pensée salafi était representée par les enseignements du Cheikh Muhammad 'Abdüh en Egypte et par la non moins populaire Jam'iyvat al-'ulamă" fondée par le Cheikh 'Abd al-Hamid Ibn Badis en Algérie. Ces derniers, de retour au pays avec une formation au rabais et une méconnaissance de la langue française, eurent du mal à intégrer le marché de l'emploi. La seule alternative pour eux étant de militer pour une plus grande islamisation qui impliquerait plus d'arabisation et en même temps une augmentation des opportunités d'emploi pour eux.

Peu à peu, les enseignements réformistes touchèrent dans de nombreux pays les élites soumises à l'influence occidentale et à la recherche d'un culte plus rationnalisé, d'un sacré plus démocratique, d'un mode de vie plus individualiste, et d'un rejet des préjugés issus du système traditionnel de stratification sociale. Là, le réformisme élargissait sa base sociale et la naissance de mouvement tel que la Jamà at izālat al-bid a wa-iqāmat al-sunna au Nigéria qui se diffusera dans les pays environnants, les mouvements comme Jamā at 'ibad al-rahmān30' et la Harakat al-falah li'l-thaqāfa al-islāmiyya31 correspond davantage à ce modèle d'un réformisme

<sup>26</sup> Vou a.-Husayni, al-Takfir 87

<sup>27</sup> Pour une justification de l'usage du tambour dans le ratuel, voir Nasuru Kabera, Sildiar al mifiâli (Kano s.d.)

Voir Jean Claude Froelich, Les musulmans d'Afrique Noire (Paris 1962) 276; Jean-Loup Amselle, 'Le Wahhabisme à Bamako (1945-1985)', Canadian Journal of African Studies (1985) 345-355, 356, id., 'Le réformisme de l'islam en Afrique Noire de l'Ouest', Revue de Défense nationale (parv. 1961) 77-91

<sup>29</sup> Vour Froelich, Les musulmans d'Afrique Noire 272.

<sup>30</sup> Voir la contribution de Loimeier dans la présente volume.

<sup>31</sup> Sur cette mouvement, voir M.M. Kane, 'La vie et l'ocuvre d'al-Hajj Mahmoud Ba Diowol (1905-1978). Du pâtre au patron de la "Révolution Al-Falah", dans David Robinson et Jean-Louis Triand (éds.), Le temps des marabouts. Itinéraires et stratégies islamiques en

vecteur de modernité qui vise également à moraliser la vie sociale

A partir des années soixante-dix, le Nigéria prit la relève où les prêches du Cheikh Abubakar Mahmud Gumi avait donné naissance au mouvement réformiste qui compte absolument le plus grand nombre de membres dans toute l'Afrique de l'ouest post coloniale. Des millions de personnes furent touchés par les enseignements du mouvement Jamā'at izalat al-bid'a. Qui plus est, le mouvement Izāla devait se trouver à l'avant garde d'un véritable mouvement de modernisation de l'islam, y compris l'islam confrénque. Nombre de leaders confrériques durent réinterpréter le dogme, le rationnaliser au maximum pour le rendre plus acceptable à la masse de leurs adeptes ou de ceux qui traditionnellement étaient des clients potentiels. Certains rituels contestés tels les danses, transes, usage du tambour furent abandonnées par certains.

De nombreux entrepreneurs religieux de sensibilité réformiste anti soufi avaient en accès, à la faveur de la diffusion de la rente pétrolière à des financements provenant de pays ou institutions de bienfaisances arabes pour promouvoir l'éducation. Ils en ont profité pour créer des écoles afin d'y promouvoir un islam orthodoxe. Les exemples sont nombreux, du Jam'ivyat al-da'wa al-islāmiyya lybienne, réprésentée au Nigéria par Aminu d-Dîn Abubakar à la Harakat al-falāh li'l-thagāfa al-islāmiyya qui ont fondé des complexes d'éducation religieuse au Sénégal, sans compter la Jamă at izalat al-bid a. Face à ce développement de l'audience réformiste, les juruq qui ont également bénéficié des subventions arabes. Elles ont aussi modernisé considérablement leurs structures. mis l'accent sur l'éducation, ouvertes à tous, contrairement à l'éducation islamique traditionnelle dans laquelle le tasawwuf occupait une place importante. Paradoxalement, la montée du réformisme avait aussi conduit les turuq à reserrer leurs rangs et donner l'impression qu'elles se sont renforcées considérablement. Aujourd'hui, la polémique contre les ordres soufis est beaucoup moins violente qu'elle ne l'a été au début du réformisme dans les pays du Soudan occidental ou pendant les années soixante-dix et quatre-vingt dans le Soudan central. Plusieurs facteurs concourrent à expliquer celà. D'abord la montée de l'oecuménisme auprès des chrétiens. Ce fait a contribué à la réconciliation entre les turuq et les mouvements réformistes au Nigéria à partir des années quatre-vingtdix et une réduction considérable des antagonismes par rapport à la

période de la fin des années soixante-dix et des années quatre-vingt marquée par des conflits violents et des pertes de vie humaine. Ensuite les tentatives de récupération des mouvements réformistes par les états post-coloniaux. Que ce soit l'Union Culturelle Musulmane au Mali<sup>32</sup> et au Sénégal, Izăla au Nigéria, l'Union Musulmane Togolaise au Togo,<sup>33</sup> l'Etat a réussi totalement à domestiquer le leadership de ces mouvements. Pour ce qui est de l'Union Culturelle Musulmane, elle a été à ce point noyauté qu'elle est devenue un instrument de promotion de la pensée des leaders des turuq au Sénégal. Au cours de la dernière décennie, l'Union Culturelle Musulmane a organisé au Sénégal des semaines culturelles commémorant la pensée et l'action des leaders de turuq tels que Aḥmadou Bamba, fondateur du mouridisme, Abdoulaye Niasse et Malik Sy, grandes figures de la Tijāniyya sénégambiennes à l'époque coloniale.

Enfin les réformistes ont dû eux-mêmes finir par se modérer quand ils ont réalisé que l'enracmement du soufisme était tellement profond en Afrique de l'ouest que seul un travail patient et modéré d'éducation pourrait leur permettre de promouvoir le type d'islam puritain qu'ils aspirent de faire régner en Afrique de l'ouest Ainsi, même des mouvements réformiste de deuxième génération telles la Jamā'at 'ibād al-raḥmān, la Ḥarakat al-falāḥ ti'l-thaqāfa al-islāmiyya, le Groupe Da'wa du Nigéria, non seulement se sont modérés, mais envisagent de collaborer avec les confréries afin de pouvoir les moderniser. Le mouvement tzala semblait évoluer dans ce sens, tout en gardant ses propres lieux de culte.

Cette modération s'explique par plusieurs causes. D'abord les furuq après s'être opposés violemment ont fini par tolérer les réformistes quand ils ont compris qu'ils avaient acquis droit de cité. La Izâla est particulièrement illustratif à cet égard Ensuite, la base sociale des réformistes s'était considérablement élargie. Le mouvement n'avait pas que des déclassés et des marginaux, mais également des cadres, en grand nombre pour le Nord Nigéria qui recherchaient la modernité, sans chercher à rejeter totalement les turuq dont ils reconnaissent la contribution dans le développement de l'islam, la protection de cette religion pendant la période

33 Sur l'Umon Musulmane Togolaise, voir Raymond Delval, Les Musulmons on Togo (Paris 1980) 208-724

<sup>32</sup> Sur l'UCM au Mali, voir Lansuné Kaba, The Wahhabawa. Islamic Reform and Politics in French West Africa (Evanston, III. 1974) 233 ff

coloniale. Il y avait même en outre des chents traditionnels des *juruq* ou des acteurs ayant de liens de parenté avec certaines grandes familles maraboutiques qui commençaient à s'approcher du mouvement réformiste, ce qui ne pouvait se faire que dans le cadre d'une coexistence entre les deux sensibilités. Enfin, les entrepreneurs religieux réformistes vont réussir à s'imposer dans le champ religieux et devenir des notables. Ce nouveau statut de membres de l'"establishment" leur fera prêcher la modération et les poussera à inciter leurs condisciples les plus enclins à la violence à se modérer. L'apostolat réformiste a eu un effet d'entrainement sur l'islam populaire, tout en laissant à ce dernier des larges secteurs, non touchés par la modernisation du sacré.

## POLITICAL DIMENSIONS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SUFI BROTHERHOODS AND THE ISLAMIC REFORM MOVEMENT IN SENEGAL

### ROMAN LOIME ER

In no other country in Africa is the influence of powerful Sufibrotherhoods, in particular the Tijāniyya and the Murīdiyya, as pronounced as in Senegal. Yet, at the same time the strongest and oldest Islamic reform movement of Francophone West Africa has developed in Senegal. This reform movement came to be known as the Union Culturelle Musulmane (UCM) in the nineteen-fifties and has expanded to many parts of West Africa since then. Both the Sufibrotherhoods and the Islamic reform movement in Senegal, it should be noted, are divided into numerous competing networks and groups. Thus, neither the Sufi-brotherhoods nor the Islamic reform movement should be regarded as homogeneous blocs. Rather they are organized around charismatic leaders, leaders who in the case of the Sufi-brotherhoods are known as marabouts. Throughout the historical development of Islam in Senegal the particular political, economic, and social interests of these charismatic leaders have often proved to be of greater importance than their religious conceptions. Thus, religious discourse and controversies of a purely religious nature between marabouts and reformers have not had durable impact on the political and social development of Islam in Senegal. Since the nineteen-eighties, the ongoing economic and social crisis has also diverted the attention of the majority of the Senegalese population from purely religious topics.

Critical attitudes towards Sufism, Sufi-brotherhoods and marabouts in particular have been important elements in the religio-ideological and political development of many Islamic reform movements such as al-lkhwan al-muslimun in Egypt, the Association des 'Ulama' Musulmans Algériens and the Jama'at izālat al-bid'a wa-iqāmat alsunna of Abubakar Gumi in Nigeria. In Senegal as well, religious and political discourse during the nineteen-fifties was characterized by frequent attacks on the turuq by the reformers. However, in Senegal the reformers did not condemn Sufism as such but rather criti-

cized the collaboration of the marabouts with the colonial authorities and denounced a number of religious practices of the marabouts which they regarded as un Islamic. Moreover, criticism of maraboutic practices did not lead to a complete break between marabouts and reformers in Senegal Thus, Cheikh Touré, the leader of the UCM, never gave up his affiliation with the Tijāniyya:

Concernant l'affiliation à la tijaniya, en vérité je ne l'ui jamais abandonné dans les années cinquantes, ni avant, ni après. C'est seulement que mes nombreuses activités de l'époque m'avaient empêché d'en pranquer régulièrement le rituel."

In the nineteen-eighties and nineteen-nineties, explicit criticism of the Sufi-brotherhoods has become negligeable. The Islamic reform movement has split-up into numerous organizations and refocused its activities on the improvement of Islamic education and opposition to a secular conception of the state. In the official discourse of the Islamic reform movement the Sufi-brotherhoods are seldom mentioned, while influential marabouts are courted as allies. In addition the Islamic reform movement's anti-secular stance is close to the attitude prevalent in the Sufi-brotherhoods which stresses the need to remain aloof from the corrupt practices of the rulers. Thus, since the nineteen-eighties, it would seem that conflict between the Islamic reform movement and the Sufi-brotherhoods in Senegal was virtually non-existent. Yet, Senegal is a small country in which many conflicts, including conflicts pertaining to Sufism, may assume the form of family quarrels and consequently are dealt with and resolved outside the public arena.

The development of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal was closely linked to modernization and the country's transformation which took place under French colonial rule. Specifically, Muslim notables in the so-called Quatre Communes, St. Louis, Gorée, Dakar and Rufisque, had successfully campaigned for the official recognition of Islamic personal law and the establishment of Islamic courts, the Tribunaux Musulmans. As citoyens of the Quatre Communes, Muslims in these communities were entitled to vote and were thus able to influence the election of the deputé of the Quatre Communes in the French Parliament. Due to their political importance, the Muslim citoyens of Senegal managed to conserve their exceptional political position until independence in 1960. In the nineteenth century in the course of the political development of the Quatre Communes, a system came into being which was based on an exchange of ser-

vices. This system, which also characterized the relationship between the French colonial administration and the Sufi-brotherhoods in the twentieth century, has been described in a comprehensive manner by L.C. Behrman, D.B. Cruise O'Brien, and Chr. Coulon. In the case of the Muslim community of the Quatre Communes, the exchange of services consisted in meting out rewards for votes: Muslim notables sold the votes of their clientele en bloc to the candidate for deputé who offered the greatest material as well as political rewards.

Muslim citizens of the Quatre Communes attained part of their demands in the nineteenth century and in the early twentieth century restricted their activities to cultivating the ritual and cultural life of their communities. Thus, the first reformist associations such as the Union Fraternelle des Pèlerins Musulmans de l'A.O.F. or the Brigade de la Fraternité du Bon Musulman came into existence in the Quatre Communes during the nineteen-twenties and nineteenthirties. These new associations in particular campaigned for the organization of the hajj, the construction of mosques and the improvement of the system of education in the Koranic schools. In addition, they intended to inform the Muslim population about the teachings of Islam and to combat of bida". Accordingly, they advocated a lower bride-price in order to limit the excessive expenditure associated with marriage, and they campaigned to reduce the costs for naming ceremonies. In the years that followed, Muslim associations modelled on the organizations mentioned above were established in most of the country's urban centers. In Dakar alone the French authorities estimated their number to be more than fifty in 1936.5 The leaders of the new associations were often well-known religious scholars originating from the established centers of religious learning in Senegal, such as Pir, Kokki and the Fuuta Tooro, as well as from Mauretama. Although they did not stress their ties with a Sufi brotherhood, they were usually affiliated with the Tijaniyya An important feature of the new associations, however, was that they were open to all Muslims. Yet, most of the members of the new organizations were Tijānis, since the Tijāniyya had been well-established in the urban centers since the nineteen-twenties (under the

1975).

Muslim Brotherhoods and Politics in Senegal (Cambridge, Mass. 1970).

The Mourides of Senegal (Oxford 1971), id., Saints and Politicians (Cambridge

<sup>4</sup> Le marabout et le prince (Paris 1981).

M.H. Diouf, Contribution à l'étude des associations islamiques au Sénégal (Mémoire de maîtrise. Faculté de lettres, Université de Dukar, Dakar 1988) 21

auspices of al Hāji Malik Sy), and had a strong influence on the activities of the Muslim citizens in these urban centers.6

The liberalization of the conditions for admission to the *hajj* after the Second World War favored the creation of new pilgrimage associations in numerous places in Senegal. These pilgrims' associations took over the local organization of the pilgrimage. Returning pilgrims whose numbers went on steadily increasing particularly in the nineteen-fourties and nineteen-fifties, often became instigators of further Islamic activities and organizations. Besides these pilgrims, students educated in Arabic countries contributed to the spread of reformist ideas and reformist literature in Senegal. In 1952, for instance, eighteen Senegalese students were studying at al-Azhar University in Cairo. After their return to Senegal they set up the first evening courses in Arabic in Dakar and thus founded the movement of the so-called 'Arabisants'. This movement was seen by the French colonial officer Cardaire as a form of 'résistance à l'assimilation'.7

In the context of general politicization of public life in Senegal the activities of the Islamic associations started to overcome their particularistic interests in the nineteen-fifties. The preoccupation with broader political and social issues, in particular the struggle for a modern Islamic system of education, became a foremost concern. The French colonial administration, however, opposed this development and impeded the foundation and evolution of modern Islamic associations. Thus, the activities of the Islamic reform movement acquired a decisively anti-colonial character. Since the marabouts had been important supporters of the colonial system since the nineteen-twenties, the struggle of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal simultaneously became directed against the collaboration of the marabouts with the colonial authorities. The establishment of the Union Culturelle Musulmane (UCM) by Cheikh Touré in 1953, led to an intensification of the politicization of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal. The UCM became Senegal's most important reformist group in the nineteen-fifties. With great enthusiasm the UCM campaigned for Senegal's early independence. Under Prime Minister Mamadou Dia's administration (1957-62), ideas of the Islamic reform movement were incorporated into government policies and members of the reform movement, such as Cheikh Touré, came to hold government and administrative posts. Moreover, a number of

demands of the UCM were realized such as the dissolution of the Bureau des Affaires Musulmanes (BAM), the department of French colonial administration concerned with control of the Muslim population. The political support accorded to the UCM by the government of Mamadou Dia was connected with Dia's intention to create a politico-religious counterweight against the powerful marabouts. Dia also hoped to gain religious backing from the UCM for his radical program of social reforms which would affect landownership and aimed at depriving the marabouts of their power.

Dia thus supported the UCM primarily for political reasons. He coopted the UCM into Senegal's political system in order to contest the claim of the marabouts that they alone were the spokesmen and representatives of all Muslims. Many marabouts felt threatened by Dia's policies and consequently supported his dismissal by President Senghor in the course of a constitutional crisis in December 1962. The disputes between the Islamic reform movement and the Sufibrotherhoods in Senegal in the nineteen-fifties should thus be seen in the context of these political developments. The combination of religious and political interests likewise explains why some marabouts. such as Cheikh Ahmad Mbakke or Cheikh Tidiane Sy, from time to time supported the UCM, they represented dissident religious and political positions within their tariga and were thus prepared to ally themselves temporarily with the Islamic reform movement, hoping in this manner to win sympathy for their own criticism of the existing power structure.8

The religio-political discourse of the UCM in the nineteen-fifties can be summarized under two major headings: the struggle against colonial rule and the struggle against the religious practices of the marabouts which they regarded as un-Islamic. In numerous articles in Le Reveil Islamque, the UCM newspaper, representatives of the reform movement demanded an end to French rule, the free development of the new Franco-Arab schools, the closure of the Bureau des Affaires Musulmanes and the cessation of the missionary activities of the Catholic Church. In order to popularize its ideas the UCM set up a theater group which produced plays such as Le cadeau politique. This play attacked the activities of the BAM which attempted to corrupt the marabouts by awarding them political and material privi-

Diouf, op. cit. 22

<sup>7</sup> M. Cardaire, L'Islam et le terroir ofricain (Dakar 1954) 146.

<sup>8</sup> Behrman, op. cit. 77

leges.<sup>9</sup> An article by Cherkh Touré, published in July 1954 in Le Reveil Islamique under the title 'L'Islam Africain et le colonialisme', may be taken as representative of the discourse of the Islamic reform movement in this period. In his article Cherkh Touré appeals to the rich, the scholars and the leaders of the Muslims to assume their responsibilities towards the Muslim community. Colonialism, according to Cherkh Touré, had initiated a malicious struggle against Islam which in particular relied on the corruption of Islamic education. At the end of each section of his deliberations Cherkh Touré asks rhetorically, 'Aviez-vous réagi, chèrs pères', castigating the Muslim notables and the marabouts for their inactivity in the face of the threats of colonialism and Christianity.<sup>10</sup>

Of major significance for the ideological orientation of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal, however, was a book by Cheikh Touré, published in 1957 under the title Afin que tu deviennes croyant. This work met with violent criticism and reactions from the marabouts in Senegal. Some marabouts of the Tijaniyya travelled to Fass Touré, Cheikh Touré's birthplace, in order to express their protest to his uncle, Sérigne Hady Touré, and wrote a letter to the Governor General of A.O.F. demanding Cheikh Touré's imprisonment. However, Cheikh Touré who originated from a family of religious scholars affiliated with the Tijāniyya was not moved by these protests, and refused to withdraw his book.11 The argumentation as it stands in Afin que tu deviennes croyant not only reflects the religious program of the Islamic reform movement in the nineteen-fifties but has remained an important source of inspiration for the religious arguments employed by the new Islamic organizations of the nineteeneighties. Consequently, Afin que tu deviennes croyant was re-edited in the form of articles in a new periodical of the Islamic reform movement of Senegal, Etudes Islamiques, founded in 1979, and went through another edition in 1990 under the title Le vrai et le faux. L'Islam au Sénégal.

At the center of Cheikh Touré's argumentation is the emphasis on tawhid, God's oneness and uniqueness. Tawhid, according to Cheikh Touré, is the very foundation of the sharia as well as of the total economic, political, social and cultural life of Muslims. Adoration of other things, belief in the marabouts and their amulets as well as in

the power of their supernatural blessing (baraka), violates the principle of tawhīd. 12 In Senegal, however, belief in the special powers of the marabouts and their role as intermediaries between God and the faithful has been widespread Many Muslims have regarded the graves of the saints as holy places of prayer and have been encouraged in this belief even by present-day marabouts. These ideas were shirk, polytheism, the one sin God would never forgive. The present-day marabouts were responsible for the spread of this sin as they taught their students (talibé) to believe in a marabout's baraka instead of instructing them in the prescriptions of religion Many talibé in fact knew the teachings of the marabouts better than God's message and were therefore prone to believe more in the baraka of the marabouts than in the omnipotence of God. 13

In the context of this line of argument Cheikh Touré also critizises the Sufi-brotherhoods. Without any doubt they constituted an innovation in Islam. They were established as late as the twelfth century and thus cannot be regarded as forming an integral part of Islam. Any Muslim can be a good Muslim without being a member of a Sufi-brotherhood. The dhikr, 'recollection of God', is indeed a recommendable practice but no Muslim needs an authorization from a marabout in order to practice the dhikr. Nevertheless it is useful to have a spiritual master to teach the faithful the prescriptions of religion and to introduce them to the best way to perform the dhikr:

'Un tel homme de Dieu, si on a la chance de le trouver, inériterait bien notre confinnée... Malheureusement, avec les temps qui courent, grâce à la complicité des pouvoirs publics qui dans leur luite contre l'Islam, offrent largement et gracieusement presse, écrite, radio et télévision, à une propagande concurrentielle dégénérée entre confréries.... ne serait aisé de trouver la juste voie avec un maître digne de confiance. [4]

The practices of the Sufi-brotherhoods were regarded by their followers as being more important than the Koran, and some members even went so far as to pray only behiad an *imām* from their own tarīqa. These characteristics of the Sufi-brotherhoods, an observer might conclude, constituted one of the major reasons for the divisions among Muslims. The Sufi-brotherhoods were a plague on Islam in Africa. According to Cheikh Touré, they were responsible for the weakness of the Muslims and the Muslims' defeat in their struggle against the colonial powers.

<sup>9</sup> Drouf, op. cat. 102

<sup>10</sup> Le Reveil Islamone viii. 1954.

<sup>11</sup> Interview with Cheikh Touré, 13 April 1992

<sup>12</sup> Ch. Tomé, Afin que tu deviennes croyant (Dakar 1957) 19

<sup>13</sup> Touré, op. cit. 26.

<sup>14</sup> Touré, op. cit. 35.

The development of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal experienced a deep shock when Dia was dismissed from office and President Senghor took full power in late 1962. The UCM completely lost its influence on government, whereas once again the marabouts became the most important supporters of the regime. The tasks and functions of Cheikh Touré in the Ministry of Information were greatly restricted and he was personally put under the control of Dahiru Doukouré, Senghor's councillor for Islamic affairs. The UCM was brought into line and transformed into a pro-government organization by the incorporation of new members. By establishing a number of other Islamic organizations, in particular the Fédération des Associations Islamiques du Sénégal (FAJS), which were supported materially by Senghor's government, Senghor was able to control the development of the Islamic reform movement in the nineteen-sixties and nineteen-seventies. By distributing posts in the educational sector, the diplomatic service and in the administration to selected representatives of the Islamic reform movement, the development of radical protest was suppressed for the time being. However, when the economic resources of the state became scanty due to serious drought in the nineteen-seventies, political protest against Senghor's regime began to make itself heard and the Islamic reform movement experienced a revival.

The initial impulse for the revitalization of a critical and independent Islamic reform movement came about in the course of a 'semaine culturelle' organized by the UCM in 1977. This 'semaine culturelle' was dedicated to the founder of the Muridiyya, Ahmadu Bamba, who had sympathizers among the followers of the Islamic reform movement since they regarded him as a hero in the anti-colonial struggle. The intention of the 'semaine culturelle' was to assess and to appreciate Ahmadu Bamba's efforts in connection with the spread of Islam in Senegal and to examine his role as a teacher of the Muslims: 'L'idéé pour nous était simplement de choisir la vie de tel où tel et de l'étudier scientifiquement et de voir là ou il y a eu déviation... 15 However, the majority of the UCM, stressing its loyality towards Senghor, prevented a critical discussion and took over the organization of the 'semane culturelle'. In view of this failure Cheikh Touré and his supporters realized that they had to break completely with the UCM in order to dissociate themselves from the tutelage of Senghor's regime. In 1979 Cheikh Touré and his

supporters left the UCM and founded the Jama'at 'ibad al-rahman Since then this new organization has become the strongest Islamic reform movement in Senegal.

As early as 1977, Cheikh Touré once again began to publish ar ticles and pamphlets. His activities included the foundation in 1979 of the new Islamic periodical Études Islamiques (EI), mentioned above. This journal became the most important platform of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal in the nineteen-eighties. In contrast to how he had proceeded with Le Reveil Islamique, Cheikh Touré behaved with caution in establishing Études Islamiques. Even before the journal was set up he met the leaders of the Tijāniyya and the Muridiyya, "Abd al-Azīz Sy in Tivaouane and "Abd al-Ahad Mbakke in Touba, in order to inform them about his new project. Although the Khalifa Général of the Tijāniyya distanced himself from Cheikh Touré, the Khalifa Général of the Murids encouraged Cheikh Touré in his endeavor and even granted him financial support. 16

After the flourishing of the UCM in the nineteen-fifties and then the stagnation of the Islamic reform movement in the Senghor era, a third phase in the development of the relationship between the state and the Islamic reform movement began. The event which marked this new phase was the take-over of power by Abdou Diouf on 1 January 1981. But whereas in the nineteen-fifties the struggle against French colonial power and the collaboration of the marabouts had been at the center of the activities of the Islamic reform movement, in the nineteen-eighties Islamic discourse began to emphasize the importance of opposing the secular/'late' conception of the Senegalese state. In this context it should be stressed that the Islamicist organizations use the term 'laïc' in its narrow sense of 'anti-religious' rather than using the neutral term 'secular'. The Senegalese government rejects such a narrow definition of its policy, and President Abdou Diouf has indeed publicly declared in an interview with the national daily Le Soleil: 'La laïcité n'est ni l'athéisme, ni la propagande anti-religieuse'. He wishes to see Senegal as an Islamic society whose values are protected and defended by a secular state. Alternatively, a state policy of Islamization is also impossible since, as stated in the Koran (2/256): lā ikraha fī'd-dīn, 'There shall be no compulsion in religion',17

<sup>16</sup> Interview with Cherkh Touré, 27 March 1993.

<sup>17</sup> Le Soleil, 31 December 1983.

With the reorientation of the Islamic reform movement in the nineteen-eighties a turning away from exclusively religious topics in the public debate was also to be observed. This development was not only connected with the fact that the Islamic reform movement has almost ceased to criticize the Sufi-brotherhoods, but was also determined by a decrease in public interest in religious topics during Senegal's mounting social and economic crisis. Thus the periodical Wal Fadiri, one of the most widely read publications in Senegal, had an explicitly Islamic emphasis at the time of its foundation in 1983. In reaction to the general public indifference concerning religious topics and possibly in response to a financial subsidy from Jean Collin, Sécrétaire Général de la Présidence,18 Wal Fadjri changed its editorial policy and since 1988-89 has increasingly given attention to social, political and economically relevant topics. 19 The crisis in the educational system, inflation, the cost of living, corruption, mismanagement, crisis of values, criminality, prostitution, drug-abuse and mimicry of the West - not only Wal Fadjri but the new Islamic organizations as well blame the secular orientation of the state for these evils and promote a return to the values of Islam as a solution.20

Another important reason for the new relationship between the Islamic reform movement and the Sufi-brotherhoods was that Cheikh Touré and his supporters, after 1979 and in contrast to the nineteenfifties, increasingly stressed the importance of the unity of the Muslim community as they hoped to win the marabouts as allies in their struggle against the secular state. This aim was also adopted by most of the other Islamic reform organizations in Senegal. Thus criticism of the Sufi-brotherhoods is rarely to be found in the publications or public statements of these organizations. But this change in discourse and purpose on the part of the new Islamic reform organizations does not mean that fundamental religious differences no longer exist between the Islamic reform movement and the Sufibrotherhoods. The official détente between the Islamic reform movement and Sufi-brotherhoods since the nineteen-eighties is rather one more sign that the overall political framework has changed Furthermore, the leading exponents of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal had to acknowledge that the marabouts were

still too strong in political as well as social and economic terms in the nineteen-eighties and could not be fought successfully. As a result they have watered down their criticism of the 'maraboutage' or dropped it altogether since the nineteen-eighties. Thus the Fifth Congress of the JIR confirmed in 1991: 'Il n'y a aucune divergence entre nous et les familles religieuses du Sénégal'. At the same time the official newspaper of the 4IR, Le Musulman, has held up such Sufi scholars as al-Hājj 'Umar Tal, Maba Jaxu, Muhammad Lamin Dramé, Ahmadu Bamba and al-Hājj Malik Sy as models for the Muslims in Senegal. 22

The new emphasis in the activities of the Islamic organizations of Senegal has been repeatedly publicized in Islamic periodicals such as the Etudes Islamiques, Wal Fadjri, Le Musulman, L'Étudiant Musulman, or L'Action Islamique, and again and again has been clearly set forth in the course of conferences and congresses, and in programs and annual reports of the new Islamic organizations. A statement by Cheikh Touré may once again be taken as representative of the new discourse of Islamic reform organizations. In this statement, published in 1993 in a book entitled L'Islam en Afrique, Cheikh Touré attacked the Senegalese political establishment with biting words:

'Abénés, attents de survisme aveugle et de laïcisme auti-islamique, ces imitateurs sont pires que les "ceddos" et les négriers d'hier. Comme eux, ils pillent nos ressources, spolient les travailleurs, mais plus grave, leurs agissements contribuent à mainteur davantage les peuples dans l'état de colonisabilité, de vulnérabilité, de docuité et d'asservissement à l'impérial sine occidental, qui nous les impose.

A l'inverse de leurs maîtres, ils ne crosent rien de concepts dont ils se gargarisent, liberté, respect de droit de l'homme, de la femme et de l'anfant, démocratie, égalité, fraternité, luïcité etc. qu'ils utilisent au seul dessein de combattre leurs opposants, en particulier l'Islam et ses valeurs qui sont devenus à l'évidence parties intégrantes de la culture négro-africaine.

De ce fait, les dirigeants actuels de l'Afrique constituent pour la plupart, l'ennemi numéro un des peuples, les premiers obstacles à leurs aspirations à la liberté, l'unité, la dignité et le développement, et par conséquent, l'opposant le plus hostile à l'Islam libérateur qui, en se mettant au service des peuples ne peut manquer de dénoncer leur trahison criminelle et de résister à leurs tentatives de l'instrumentaliser' 23

With the change of strategy in the Islamic reform movement, open attacks on the Sufi brotherhoods have become very rare since the

<sup>18</sup> Communication from Ousmane Kane to the author, 5 May 1995

<sup>19</sup> Interview with Sidi Lamine Niass, Editor of Wal Fadyr, 7 April 1993.

<sup>20</sup> Resolution of the 5th Congress of the JIR, Le Soleil, 12 February 1991

<sup>21</sup> Le Soleil, 12 February 1991 22 Le Musulman xxxvii,1991

<sup>23</sup> Ch. Touré, L'Islam en Afrique (Dakar 1993) 5

late nineteen-seventies. Cautious criticism has only occasionally been expressed between the lines. Thus the custom of celebrating religious holidays separately has been taken up disapprovingly in some instances. 24 This custom is explained by the fact that Murids and Tijānis were not able to agree upon single dates for events like Tabaski ('īd al-fitr') or Korité (al-'īd al-kabir). In addition, it has been possible to read in the Islamic publications that the annual pilgrimage to the tombs of Ahmadu Bamba and al-Ḥajj Malik Sy, the Maggal in Touba and the Gammu in Tivaouane, are doubtless important religious events as are the religious holidays. The faithful, however, were rather more preoccupied with their business affairs than with prayers during these religious festivities. 25

Authors such as Cheikh Touré also occasionally address the topic of the divisions within the Muslim community at large and the topic of the Sufi-brotherhoods in particular, and argue that these divisions have contributed to the circumstances which allowed the Senegalese state to impose the secular system of government on Muslims. However, Cheikh Touré no longer pursues his goals by appealing directly to the religious scholars to unite, but instead he has again turned to attacking the secular state and its leaders:

'C'est le même esprit de concurrence confrérique qui permet aujourd'hui aux néo-ceddos, leurs héritiers, de continuer avec beaucoup plus d'audace et de zèle la sale politique anti-islamique afin d'imposer leur laïcité avec ses corollaires. In débouche et la corruption' 26

From time to time the close cooperation of the marabouts with the secular state is implicitly or explicitly referred to in a critical manner.<sup>27</sup> However, such criticism does not form part of an overall attack on the existing system of the exchange of services between the state and the religious leaders. On the contrary, all Islamic reform groups express appreciation for the contributions of the marabouts to the development of Islam in Senegal. Personalities such as Ahmadu Bamba, al-Hajj Malik Sy or Ibrahim Niass are presented at their conferences and in their publications as fighters against colonialism and cultural alienation. Thus Ahmadu Bamba in particular is represented as 'champion de la résistance pacifique sénégalaise' <sup>28</sup> or as

'vamqueur des croisés colonialistes' <sup>29</sup> Regarding al-Hājj Malik Sy as well as other marabouts, their endeavours in the field of Islamic education in Senegal are stressed, and the 'soufisme socialisé' of the Tijam 'alim Ceerno Muhammad Sayyid Ba and his agrarian community in Madina Gounass (Casamance) is described in a positive way. <sup>30</sup> In the statements concerning the historical achievements of the marabouts it is underlined again and again how important their work has been for the 'préservation de la personnalité musulmane' <sup>31</sup>

Within the Tijāniyya as well as within the Muridiyya individual marabouts have always been prepared to criticize the development of the state when they felt their immediate interests were threatened by certain state policies. Thus marabouts of both Sufi-brotherhoods turned against the new 'Code de la Famille' in 1966 and 1971, and rejected it as un-Islamic. The Khalifa Général of the Muridiyya, 'Abd al-Aḥad Mbakke, dissociated himself increasingly from Senghor's regime in the nineteen-seventies and openly criticized Senghor's agricultural policy: 'Les paysans sont fatigués... Je ne peux rien pour vous, sinon vous mettre au courant des doléances du monde rural...'<sup>32</sup> Even Seydou Nourou Tall, one of Senghor's most loyal supporters, opposed the enactment of the new familiy code in an open letter in 1971 and characterized the 'Code de la famille' as being incompatible with Islamic legal norms.<sup>33</sup>

In this context one must bear in mind that there have always been certain marabouts in the historical development of Islam in Senegal who maintained their distance from princely courts and political power. These marabouts, the so-called Sérigne Fakk Tall, the 'marabouts who go out into the bush to cut their own firewood', dedicated their life to the teaching of Islam and often became leaders of local resistance movements opposing arbitrary actions of the feudal lords who were supported by the 'Sérigne Lamb', the 'marabouts of the drum'. 34 Both types of religious scholars continued to exist in the twentieth century, the Sérigne Fakk Tall for instance in the form of the agricultural community of Madina Gounas mentioned above.

<sup>24</sup> See L'Étudiant Musulman viii, 1992

<sup>25</sup> Études Istamiques v 1980

<sup>26</sup> Ch Touré. Le vroi et le faix. L'Islam au Sénégal (Dakar 1990) 33

See e.g. the cartoon 'Marabout et politique' in Études Islamques, xxxiii, 1987

<sup>28</sup> Touré, I 'Islam en Afrique 17

<sup>29</sup> Etudes Islamiques IV. 1980.

<sup>30</sup> Études Islamques vu, 1980

<sup>31</sup> Touré, op. cit. 17

<sup>32</sup> Le Soleil, 23.6.1980

<sup>33</sup> Rawane Mbaye, L'Islam an Sénégal (Thèse de 3ème cycle Faculte de lettres. Université de Dakar, Dakar 1976) 565-9

<sup>34</sup> A. Samb, Contribution du Sénégal à la lutérature d'expression orabe (Dakar 1972)

This religious community, founded by Ceerno Muhammad Sayyid Ba in 1935, became famous for its deliberate isolation and has been regarded by some Muslims as an alternative model for the development of Senegal. The community started to disintegrate, however, when Ceerno Muhammad Sayyid Ba consented to cultivate cotton for the state-owned Société de Développement des Fibres Textiles (SODEFITEX), and thus accepted the community's integration into the Senegalese monetary system in 1975. In the nineteen-eighties Madina Gounass was completely 'invaded by bida'.' 35 A modern bakery was opened in 1983, many young girls started to refuse the hijāb and chose to wear jeans, the faithful started to smoke cigarettes and in 1984 a post-office was established and electricity installed, thus definitely ending Madina Gounass' splendid isolation. 36

In their endeavour to win over the marabouts to a common stance against the secular state, the new Islamic groups gave important marabouts the opportunity to express themselves in print. Thus, besides a hommage to Sérigne Mbakke Médina, son of Muhammad Muştafa Mbakke, an article by "Abd al-"Azīz Sy Jr., in which he expresses his ideas on the 'aggression intellectuelle contre l'Islam et les moyens de résistance', is to be found in Études Islamiques xxvii (Sept. 1985). Public declarations by leaders of the Sufi-brotherhoods were repeatedly published on the occasions of the Maggal and the Gammu,<sup>37</sup> in particular when these statements contained some criticism of the state. L'Étudiant Musulman also published an appeal by the Khalifa Général of the Murids, Saliou Mbakke, on the occasion of the Maggal of 1992, complaining about growing public immorality and the decay of values.<sup>38</sup>

In their efforts to fight against the secular state the Islamic organizations have tried everything possible to draw the influential marabouts into supporting them. Cheikh Touré wrote the following statement about the marabouts in an article originally published in 1989 under the title 'Perspectives de l'application de la charia en Afrique Occidentale Francophone':

'La vérité est que les outenas, cheikhs et chafs religieux, qui se sont de tout temps opposés à la laïaité en appetant au respect des commandements de l'Islam, sont nombreux... Ces confréries — même s, elles ont un peu dévié aujourd'hou de leur enseignement original sur

38 I Étudian, Musulman vm, 1992

lesquelles elles ont été foodéés, si certaines d'entres elles se sont impiquéés jatis dans la collaboration avec le colonialisme et maintenant avec les états nationalistes laïes — elles ont joué dans leur première époque des rôles appreciables dans la résistance aussi bien arméé que pacifique. En particulier sur le plan de la conservation de la foi et de la personnalité des africains contre les ientatives de christianisation et d'assimilation." 19

The new strategy of the Islamic reform movement in Senegal in dealing with the Sufi-brotherhoods has stressed peace and harmony, and the desire to unite Muslims against the secular state. The result of this strategy is that no religious conflict between the new Islamic organizations and the Sufi-brotherhoods presently exists in Senegal. Even on occasions when some students of the Association des Étudiants Musulmans of the University of Dakar, an organization connected with the Jamā'at 'ibād al-raḥmān, voiced radical criticism of the Sufi-brotherhoods and attacked the marabouts, leading representatives of the Islamic organizations have usually rejected such utterances as being unrepresentative. The political primacy of the struggle against the secular state has led the Islamic reform movement away from a critical position towards the Sufi-brotherhoods. The change in strategy of the new Islamic organizations has also been motivated by the real economic interests of these groups. They know that permanent attacks on the marabouts, who continue to constitute an important support for the Diouf administration, would endanger the state subsidies to their schools and thus threaten their social activities. These developments show that holy men, reformers and the state are not necessarily doomed to be enemies but that their relationships are influenced by a multitude of personal, economic, political and social factors. Religious bones of contention between different groups are brought forward or reactivated when the need arises, and they may as quickly be buried again once the need for them no longer exists.

The Islamic reform movement in Senegal, for political reasons, has given up its fight against the marabouts for the time being. The new Islamic organizations, in particular the JIR, are winning growing support in the country's urban centers, and have considerably increased their educational efforts. They have established numerous new Franco-Arab schools all over Senegal, especially in the big cities. Many students who graduated from universities in Arabic countries and who did not have the good fortune to find employment

<sup>35</sup> M Magassouba, L'Islam ou Sénégol. Demain les Mollahs? (Paris 1985) 52.

<sup>36</sup> Ibid. 53

<sup>37</sup> See Études Islamques xx, 1982, or Études Islamques 24, 1984.

<sup>39</sup> Touré, Le vrai et le faux 18.

69

in the service of the Senegalese state, have now found jobs in these schools. The educational initiatives of the Islamic reform movement have also been supported by the state, which rightly looked upon the new Franco-Arab schools as a means to reduce teacher unemployment and by implication to ease social tensions. Furthermore, by supporting the modern Islamic educational system the Senegalese state can represent itself to the rich Arab donor countries as an 'Islamic' state. Finally, support for the Islamic reform movement has been seen as a way 'de court-circuiter l'influence des marabouts': The state can establish new channels of access and communication to the populace independent of the influence and the mediation of the marabouts.40 This constellation of factors along with party-political support has allowed the government of Abdou Drouf to integrate the new Islamic reform movement into the 'jeu politique',41 while limiting attacks on the secular state to verbally radical statements in the publications of the new Islamic organizations.

# SUFISM AND ITS OPPONENTS IN NIGERIA-THE DOCTRINAL AND INTELLECTUAL ASPECTS

#### MUHAMMAD S. UMAR

Sufism has played significant roles in the historical development of Islam in the areas of present-day Nigena. In the Sokoto jihād at the turn of the nineteenth century, "Uthman b. Fudi and his lieutenants based the legitimacy of their leadership on Sufi doctrines such as kashf, walaya, karama, and baraka. They also employed the Qadiriyya to organize mass support and consolidate communal identity. Early in the twentieth century, the Tijāniyya spread widely throughout Northern Nigeria at the expense of the Qadiriyya. By the nineteen-fifties, the Qadiniyya and Tijaniyya had become competing alternatives not only for Sufi devotion, but in the economic and political sphere as well. The nineteen-sixties witnessed the beginnings of opposition to certain aspects of Sufi practices. This opposition was popularized from the nineteen-seventies onward by a Wahhābī-oriented movement called Jamā'at izālat al-bid'a wa-iqāmat al-sunna. It developed opposition into a sustained critique against the doctrinal foundations of Sufism.

The social, political and economic aspects of these developments have been studied by numerous scholars. But a significant aspect that has not received as much scholarly attention is the doctrinal dispute between the Sufis and their opponents. This chapter calls attention to the large polemical literature produced by the Sufis and their

<sup>40</sup> M. Fall, Sénégal. l'État sous Abdou Diouf on le temps des incertitudes (Paris 1986).

<sup>41</sup> Interview with Ousmane Paye, Conneillor to the President, 13 April 1993.

See also the contribution by Ousmane Kane in the present volume, pp. 324 ff.

Some of the many titles are: P.H.G. Scott, A Survey of Islam in Northern Nigeria in 1952 (Knduna 1953); Aliyu Abubakar, al-Thaqofo al-'arabivva fi Nigeria (Beirut 1972), John N. Paden, Religion and Political Culture in Kano (Berkeley 1973): Ibrahim Tahir, Scholars, Sufis, Saims and Capitalists in Kano (Ph.D. Diss., Cambridge University 1975); Y.A. Quada. The Tipanivva in Nigeria (Ph.D. Diss., University of Ibadan 1981); A.F. Ahmed, The Qadinivva and its Impact in Nigeria (Ph.D. Diss., University of Ibadan 1986); M.S. Umar Sufism and Anti-Sufism in Nigeria (M.A. Diss., Bayero University 1988), Awwal Anwar, Striggle for Influence and Identity: The Ulama in Kano, 1937 1987 (M.A. Diss., University of Marduguri 1989); O. Kane, 'Izala: The Rise of Muslim Reformant in Northern Nigeria', in M.E. Marty and R.S. Appleby, (eds.), Accounting for Fundamentalisms (Chicago 1994), 490-512, and R. Loimescr, Islamic Reform and Political Change in Northern Nigeria (Evanston, 1997)

opponents in Nigeria,

The earliest extant treatise on Sufism by a Nigerian author dates back to the Sokoto iihad at the turn of the nineteenth century, though there were a number of well-known Sufis during the two centuries before the jihād 3

The influence of Sufism on the leaders of the Sokoto juhād and the social and political roles of the Oadiriyya have been documented for some time now,4 but the specific Sufi ideas and doctrines that were so influential during the Sokoto iihād have scarcely been examined despite the numerous works on Sufism written by all the leading participants in the lihad

Among these works, one of the most interesting is Kitāb al-tafriga bayn al-tasawwuf alladhi li'l-tahaqauq wa'lladhi li'l-takhallug by "Uthman b. Fudi (1168-1232/1754-1817). In this work, Ibn Fudi defined ethical Sufism as the position of ihsan and likened it to the spirit of the body of Islam. He lists four components of ethical Sufism: preaching; acts of purification and improvement of one's hāl by cultivating praiseworthy attributes in the inner self and cleansing it of blameworthy attributes; improving one's hal and relations with others; learning and divine gnosis. Every Muslim should cultivate ethical Sufism for individual edification. Ibn Füdi articulates this point thus:

'When Almighty God says He would not accept kiefr from His servants, it becomes impemitive for the principles of indn to be realized fully. And when He says that if His servants show gratifiede. He will accept that, it becomes imperative to realize what constitutes gratitude, and that is abiding by the rules of figh with which one knows the position of Islam, and without which no Islamic rates can be correct. Thus there can be no Sufism without figh... And that is why it is said he who knows Sufism but does not know figh becomes a zindiq, and he who knows figh but does not know Sufism becomes a fosig. But he who combines the two is the one who knows the hagiag 5

Thus Ibn Fūdī advances a Ghazalian model of haqīqa and sharia as two necessary complements of the totality of Islam. Ibn Fūdi's con-

ception of this complementarity can be seen even more clearly in his magnum opus, Ihyā' al sunna, which even though cast in the standard format of figh-textbooks, has a section dedicated specifical-Ly to Sufism.6 Here again, he identifies Sufism as *thsân*, which he in turn defines as every Muslim imitating what the Prophet Muhammad used to do. He then quotes the famous hadith in which the Prophet was reported to have said that ihsan means worshipping God as if one could see Him. For even if you do not see Him, He sees you For Ibn Fūdī, ihsān also entails fear of God which leads one to avoid acts that are forbidden or frowned upon in Islam, and to regard avoiding forbidden acts as more important than obeying commands, for it is a principle of shar' that to prevent mafasid is better than to realize masālih.7

Ibn Fūdī's conception of ethical Sufism was by no means confined to intellectualism alone. His son, Munammad Bello (d.1837), described the content of what his father used to teach students and preach to the public about Sufism as follows:

He used to say know that the attributes of the heart are of two types, destructive (muhitikiti) and redemptive (manjavät). The destructive attributes are arrogance, vanity, jealousy, stingmess, hypocrisy, love of prestige and wealth, boastfulness, amornouspess, and viewing Muslims with suspicion. These tea destructive attributes are among the most blameworthy. If behaves every Muslam to avoid them, and to adopt the redemptive attributes, which are, repentance, sincerity, patience, ascericism, dependence on God, contentinent with whatever He decides, hope, and fear of God',8

In his Kıtāb al-tafriqa Ibn Fūdī did not say much about mystical Sufism. He only observed that mystical Sufism is reserved for contemplative gnostics and their disciples, and that the accomplished mystical Sufis disagreed on whether it should be open to non-specialists. To understand Ibn Fūdi's conception of mystical Sufism, we should turn our attention to another treatise he called Wa-lammā balaghtu which describes the powerful influence of Sufi doctrines, and the role of the Qadiriyya in the conception and execution of the Sokoto iihād.

In this work, 'Uthman b. Fudi explains that he had first had a vision (kashf) in 1790 at the age of thirty-six, and then a second one at the age of forty. During the first kashf, God had removed the veil

<sup>3</sup> JO Hunwick, Arabic Literature in Africa II. The Writings of Central Sudanic Africa (Leiden 1996), Chapter one Sec also H.T. Norris, Mystics of the Niger Desert. Sidi Mahinud and the Hermits of Air (Oxford 1990).

For example, see the bibliographical essay in John N. Paden, Ahmadu Bello. The Sardauna of Sokoto (London 1985) 729-60

<sup>&</sup>quot;Uthmān b. Fūdī. Kītāb al-tafrīga baya al taşawwif alladhī li'l tahaqquq wa'lladhi h't takhalluq, MS No 121, Post-Graduate Research Center, Bayero University Library, Kano, fol. 12.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Uthmän b. Födî, Ihvā" al-sumna wa-ikhmād al-bid'a (Sokoto a d ) 244 ff

Muhammad Bello b. 'Uthmän b. Füdi, Infög al-maysür fi tärikh biläd al-Takrür (Cairo 1964) 80-81.

from his sight and taken away deafness from his ears, thus enabling him to discover the Qādinyya wird written on one of the ribs on his right side, and allowing every part of his body to know the secret deposited in it. Ibn Füdi further stated.

"I came to see the distant as if it were near, hear the far-away us if it were near, smell the aroma of he who worships God to be sweeter than anything I had ever smelled, and sin to be a greater steach than any other. I came to know halfil and harfim by the reaction of my taste. I (can) take with my hand what is for-away while I remain sitting in one place. I traverse distance(s) which a strong horse cannot cover in years". 9

In the second kashf at the age of forty, Ibn Füdī was taken up to the Divine Presence of Almighty God where he met the Prophet Muhammad, his Companions, and other prophets and saints. After he was welcomed and seated in their midst, the ghawth of the domains of men and spirits, "Abd al-Oadir al-Jilani, appeared in a green robe decorated with kalimat al-shahada, and a turban embroidered with chapter 112 of the Koran. The Prophet took the green robe and the turban, embraced them for about an hour, and then passed them on to be circulated among Abū Bakr al-Siddiq, "Umar b. al-Khattāb, "Uthmān b. "Affān, "Alī b. Abī Tālib, and the Prophet Yūsuf, who finally returned the robe and the turban to Abd al-Oadir al-Jilani. Then all of them joined to dress al-Jilani in his turban, and told al-Jilani to do the same to Ibn Fudi, and to give the latter a name that would be unique to him. Al-Jilani acted accordingly, and named Ibn Füdī Imām al-awliyā2. After this consecration:

'He (al-Jilini) enjoined on me (fibr Füdi) to command what is good and to forbid what is reprehensible. He decorated me with the Sword of Truth, and ordered me to unsheathe it against the enemies of God. Then all together they bade me to do what he had commanded me, they gave me the authority to spread the wird written on my rib and promised that whoever peactices the litany will be saved by God' 10.

In addition to these references to al-Jilāni, Ibn Füdî wrote several works dealing specifically with the life, karāma and baraka of al-Jilāni, as well as the enormous spiritual benefits of the Qādiriyya. Two of the most important benefits are: the guaranteed salvation of the reciters of the Qadiriyya wird, no matter how many sins they may have committed, and the superiority of their wird over that of all

10 [bid]

other Sufi orders.11

It should be pointed out that Ibn Füdi was not the only Sufi, nor the only one writing about Sufism, during the Sokoto jihād His brother 'Abd Allāh and his son Muḥammad Bello were known to be awliyā'; a close associate, Muḥammad Koiranga, regularly experienced kashf and acted as a link between Ibn Füdi and al-Jīlānī. 12 There were also a number of women noted for their Sufism, 13 of whom only Ibn Füdi's daughter, Nana Asmā', has yet been studied. 14

Although a number of Qădırıs were known in Hausaland before the iihād of Ibn Fūdī. 15 his strong identification with al-Jīlānī has led one authority to describe Ibn Fūdī as 'undisputably the most prominent Oadiri known in the history of the area',16 who rose from being an ordinary murid to become a autb.17 Equally important was the construction of a communal identity for Ibn Fūdī's followers as Oadirawan Shehu-Hausa for the Oădiri community of Ibn Füdî. Paden thinks that this Qadırı identity originated from the convention adopted by Ibn Füdi of addressing many of his treatises to 'Companions of "Abd al-Oădir". 18 This Qādirī communal identity has been subsequently sustained by the belief that Ibn Fūdī said it is not permissible for any of his followers to leave the Qadiriyya for any other order. It was in view of this belief that some have dismissed the claim that Muhammad Bello, son and successor of Ibn Fūdī, converted to the Tijaniyya when it was first introduced into the Sokoto Caliphate during his reign. 19

<sup>9 &#</sup>x27;Uthmän b. Füdi, Wa-lannab balaghtu sitta wa-thalathin sana, MS No. 14, Post-Gradunte Research Center Bayero University Library, Kano, £ 1

Of all the Sufi ideas and doctrines, waldwa, karama and baraka were more widespread and historically influential primarily because together they provided legitimacy for the leaders of the Sokoto jihād. It has been argued that the deployment of these doctrines intended to induce a belief in God's approval of the leadership of the Sokoto jihād, which would make victory in battle possible with a minimum of fighting or none at all, Cf. F.H. El-Masri et al., 'Sifofin Shehu: An Antohography and Character Study of Uthmān b. Pūdi in Versa', Research Bulletin, Center for Arabic Documentation (University of Ibadan) ii, No 1 (1966) 1-36.

<sup>12</sup> Murray Last, The Sokoto Caliphate (London 1967) 20.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid

<sup>14</sup> Ican Boyd, The Caliph's Sister, Nana Asmá'n, 1793-1865 Teacher, Poet and Islamic Leader (London 1989).

Ahmad, Qadiriyya 160 ff. Cf. A.A. Batran, "The Knata, Sidi al-Mukhtār al-Kunti and the Office of Shavkh al-Tariqah al-Qādirivvah, in R. Willis ed., West African Islamic History (London 1979) 113-46

<sup>16</sup> Ahmad, Qadiriyya 173.

<sup>17</sup> Abubakar, al-Thogāja al- 'arabīvva 200.

<sup>18</sup> Paden, Religion 68

<sup>19</sup> Mahmud Minna, 'Bello and the Tijaniyya. Some light about the conversion

The Tijantyya was first brought to Nigeria by al-Ḥājj 'Umar Tall (1794-1864) who stopped over in Sokoto on his way to Mecca. On his return he stayed briefly in Borno and made a few converts to the Tijāniyya. He then moved to Sokoto and was well received by Sultan Muhammad Bello, who gave his daughter in marriage to al-Ḥajj 'Umar. Because of the strong influence of the Qādiriyya in Sokoto, 'Umar Tall did not win many converts to the Tijāniyya, and in fact had to leave Sokoto after the death of Muhammad Bello in 1837.20

However, "Umar Tall did make one important convert in the person of Moddibo Muḥammad Rājī. As the wazīr to "Abdallāh b. Fūdi and the chief administrative officer of the southern half of the Sokoto Caliphate, Modibbo Rājī had to keep secret his conversion from the Qādinyya, the official Sufī order in the Caliphate. Nonetheless, the conversion of Rājī was crucial for the historical development of the Tijāniyya. When he could no longer conceal the fact that he did not belong to the official Qādinyya, Rājī left Gwandu, the southern capital, and took with him his family, servants, students and followers, who upon arrival in Adamawa in 1855 formed the nucleus of a community whose members 'were distinct by virtue of their Tijāntyya inclination, while the bulk of the other Muslims were (followers of the) Qādiniyya'.21

Following Rājī's death in 1862, his two sons, Usmanu and Murtala, carried on the legacy of their father which made Adamawa the major center of the Tijāniyya during the second half of the nineteenth century. It was only after the fall of Segu in 1890 that Tijāni refugees arrived in Sokoto and laid the foundations of what were later to become Tijānī strongholds in the major cities of the Sokoto Caliphate, especially Kano and Zaria. Paden has documented the details and the mechanics of the development of the Tijāniyya in Nigeria during the first half of the twentieth century. I will therefore confine my analysis to outlining the historical development of Tijāni doctrines in Nigeria up to the emergence of anti-Sufism in the nineteen-fourties.

It is not clear to what extent the doctrines 'Umar Tall expounds in his Rimāḥ were known in Nigeria during the nineteenth century, for

The state of the s

controversy' Kano Studies, NS, ii (3) (1982-1985) 1-18

the work was completed in 1845, about a decade after he had left Sokoto. But even if his ideas were only in rudimentary form while he was still in Sokoto, "Umar Tall was acquainted with the major Tijani classic, Jawahir al ma'ani by 'Ali Harazim Barrada, In fact, even before his first visit to Sokoto, he had already studied the doctrines of the Tijaniyya, and his instruction is said to have been completed in Mecca by Sidi Muhammad al-Ghāli, a close companion and deputy of the founder of the Tijaniyya.23 Thus it can be assumed that since 'Umar Tall was well-acquainted with Tijani doctrines, he may have introduced them into the Sokoto caliphate from at least the eighteen-thirties. However, at present we have no evidence of how these Tijani doctrines may have been received until towards the end of the nineteenth century when a controversy arose regarding the superiority of the Qādiriyya and the Tijāniyya as reflected in the Rad' al-iahala by 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh. 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh, who was emir of Kano from 1894 to 1903 when he was deposed by the British upon their conquest of Kano, stated that his intent in composing the work was to 'counsel and alert the Jamā'a 'Uthmāniyya and other fellow believers, and to guide those who claim that anyone of the awliya' allah could be better (afdal) than the Greatest Axis (al-qutb al-a'zam)'.24 The Greatest Axis according to Emir 'Alī was of course 'Abd al-Qadir al-Jilani, for he quoted Sidi al-Mukhtar al-Kuntî in arguing that the Qādırıyya wird can replace all the other wirds but it cannot be replaced by any other.25 Moreover, he emphasized that 'Uthman b. Fudi and all his disciples and followers had remained Qadiris, as is clearly reflected in their writings and in all the traditions transmitted about them. He also dismissed out of hand Sultan Muhammad Bello's alleged conversion from the Qadiriyya to the Tijaniyya,26

As the Tijāniyya gained more adherents during the first decades of the twentieth century, the Tijānī ulama reasserted the superiority of their tarīqa over all others, and when Ibrāhīm Niass won the allegiance of some of the Kano Tijānīs, the controversy became more complicated; an intellectual duel took place between the Niassene Tijāniyya and other Tijānīs over rituals and Niassene doctrines. The

Abun-Nasc, The Tijaniyya 107-108.

<sup>20</sup> Janul Abun-Nasr, The Tijaneven. A Sufi Order in the Modern World (London 1965) 107-9

<sup>21</sup> Sa at Abubakar, 'The Foundation of an Islamic Scholastic Community in Yola', Kano Studies. NS, 1 (3) (1978) 31

<sup>22</sup> Paden, Retigion, passum.

<sup>24 &</sup>quot;Alī b. "Abdallāh (Aliyu Babba), Rad" al-jahala al-ţalaba 'an al-howd fi ahwāt at sāda al-khayara wa-'an al-dukhāl fi ţuruqātihun wa-hubb al-jāh wa't 'uluww. Ms. John Humwick, No. 273/MSX, Northwestern Umversity Library, n.d., f. 1.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid. f. 6. 26 Ibid. ff. 10-11

outcome was the production of a substantial polemical literature among the Tijānis on the one hand and between Tijānis and Qādirīs on the other, which dominated the intellectual landscape in Kano for the better part of the nineteen-twenties to the nineteen-sixties. Nige nan Tijānis drew heavily on Tijāni classics introduced into Kano by al-Husayn Muḥammad b. "Uthmān al-"Alami in 1923,27 who also taught his own Durra kharīda, a commentary on al-Yaqūta al-farīda, a work by his teacher Muḥammad Fatha al-Nazīfi.

The Tijani literature produced by Nigerians to begin with arose out of a need to simplify the doctrines set forth in the Tijani classics. The repeated assurances in these classics that the Tijaniyya had superseded all other Sufi orders came up against the same claim Ibn Füdî had made earlier regarding the Qādiriyya. I have already alluded above to the belief that Ibn Fūdī had said it was not permissible for any of his followers to leave the Qadırıyya for any other order, and that this belief provided the ideological basis of the Sokoto communal identity of Qadirawan Shehu. It was out of the dispute between the Qadiriyya and Tijaniyya over their respective superiority that Sufi polemical literature was produced by Nigerian authors. Let us now briefly sketch some aspects of the Tijani doctrines found in the two major Tijānī classics, Jawāhir al-ma'ānī wa-bulūgh alamānī fī favd savvid Abī'l-'Abbās al-Tijānī and Rimāh hizb al-Rahīm 'alā nuhūr hizb al-rajīm. I will confine my attention to the doctrines that became the bone of contention, first between Tijanis and Oādirīs, and then later between Tijānīs and the anti-Sufis.

The authorship of the Jawāhir al-ma'ānī has long been part of the dispute between the Tijānīs and their opponents. The Tijānīyya position is that this work was dictated to Alī Ḥarāzim by Aḥmad al-Tijānī (d. 1815) after the Prophet Muḥammad inspired the latter to do so. Opponents have made accusations of plagiarism, to which there have been a number of responses by the followers of Ahmad al-Titanī. 30

Of all the doctrines propounded in Jawahir al-ma'anī, perhaps the most contentious in the polemic between the Tijanīs and their op-

27 Paden, Religion 86-89

O Abun Nast, The Trianivva 23-26.

ponents concerns what Ahmad al-Tijām claimed to be the source and the basis for the absolute superiority of his order's wird over all others. Ahmad al-Tijām stated emphatically that he had seen the Prophet Muḥammad in 'a waking state (yaqzatan), and not in a dream', 31 He said he had been assured that he was among those who are saved; his request for special and exclusive privileges to his followers had been granted and guaranteed by the Prophet, and on the basis of this he addressed the following letter of warranty to all his followers:

'May the peace and blessing of God be upon you ail. From the letter of the servant, the one needy in God, Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Tijani, to you all. After this, we beseech Almighty God to protect you with His care, to direct to you the flow (yufid) of the oceans of His bounts and protection, to defend you from the sorrow of this world and the hereafter, and to save you from the poverty of the world and the torment of the hereafter. Be informed that the bounty of God has no limit, and bounty is indeed through the hand of God. He gives it to whomever He wishes. I declare to you that our status before God in the hereafter can never be approached by anyone among the sunts, he he great or small. None among the saints from the time of the Companions to the end of the world can attain our status or draw near to it, for it lies beyond what all minds can attain, and because access to it is difficult even for great heroes. I did not declare this to you until I had heard it for certain from the Prophet, may the peace and biossing of God be upon him. And no one among men except for me alone can adout his entire following into Paradise without judgment (hisab) or punishment (fight), even if they have stimed and no matter what the extent of their disobedience. Beyond all this, the Prophet, may the peace and blessing of God be upon him, mentioned and guaranteed to me a certain thing concerning my followers which is not permitted for me to dividge; it cannot be seen or be known until in the hereafter. Yet despite all this, we do not jest about the sanctity of our masters, the saints, nor do we hold their giorification lightly. Therefore giorify the sanctity of the sumis, both the living and the dead, for he who glorifles their sanctity will have his own sanctity glorifled by God. Whoever despises them, God will humiliate him and be angry with him. Do not take the sanchty of the saints lightly. Wo't-saldin! 32

Thus Ahmad al-Tijānī set forth the basis of his sainthood as the quib al-aqtāb and khatm al-walāya al-muḥammadiyya.<sup>33</sup> An important aspect of the sainthood of Ahmad al-Tijānī is fayd, fayda or fayadān: superabundance of special divine favor which flows in an exclusive, direct, and private channel to the person of Ahmad al-Tijānī. One such fayda is the Ṣalāt al-fātiḥ, one recitation of which, he was assured by the Prophet, equals the spiritual reward of six

<sup>28</sup> Abun-Nasr, The Tijaniwa 24-25, cf. the article by Bernd Radtke in this volume, p. .68 f

<sup>29</sup> Hence the citation of the work is: 'Alī Ḥarāzim b. al 'Arabi Barrāda al-Maghribi al-Fāsî, Kuāb Jawāhir al-ma'ānī wa-bulūgh al-amānī fī favd Sayvid Abī'l 'Abbās al-Tījānī (Cairo 1908) Runāḥ hizb al-Raḥīm by 'Umar b Sa'īd al-Fūti is published in the margin.

Jawāhir al-ma'ānī i, 91, and passam.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. ii, 151 52.

<sup>33</sup> Abun-Nasr, The Tijanayya 27 34

thousand recitations of the entire Koran. <sup>34</sup> In fact, the reward for one recitation of *Ṣalat al-fātiḥ* is more than the reward of all the devotion that has occurred in the universe. <sup>35</sup>

Closely linked to fayda is the doctrine of the hadra ilāhiyya which is central to the works of "Umar Tall and Ibrahim Niass, the two most influential figures in the development of the Tijaniyya in Nigeria. For both figures, these two doctrines have cosmic significance.

In his Rimāh hizb al-Rahīm,36 'Umar Tall believes that Ahmad al-Tijānī's status as Khatın al-awliyā' confers on him a unique position in the spheres of cosmic existence, as represented in seven spiraling circles. The first circle is the outermost circle of the hagina ahmadivya, the content of which no one knows except God, and the attainment of which has been restricted to the Prophet Muhammad alone. The second is the circle of the haqiqa muhammdiyya, from which the fayda flows to the rest of creation. The third is the circle of khatm al-walaya, which receives the fayda from the second circle, and although this circle is essentially for prophets other than Muhammad, Ahmad al-Tijānī is located in this circle and receives a private fayda directly from the Prophet Muhammad which is not available to the prophets in the circle. The fourth circle is that of the awityā' other than Ahmad ai-Tijāni, and though this circle is for the awliyā<sup>3</sup>, the followers of al-Tijānī share in it and receive an immense private favda from him directly, by comparison to which the fayda of non-Tijanis is like a drop of water in the ocean. The fifth circle is for the ordinary Tijanis, while the sixth circle is for the followers of other awliya. The final and innermost circle is left unspecified without any indication of its occupants.37

"Umar Tall emphasized the necessity for aTijānī to give total loyalty to one shaykh alone, for no amount of devotion could lead one to the hadra ilāhiyya without the permission of a shavkh. He also reiterated the doctrine of seeing the Prophet Muhammad in a waking state rather than in a dream, stating that the Prophet is present in body and soul at any session of dhikr, and if God wills a

37 Ibid. n. 16-23

servant to see the Prophet, He removes the veils from the servant's vision. It is in the belief that the Prophet will visit their dhikr sessions that the Tijanis spread out a white piece of cloth for the Prophet to sit on in the center of the dhikr circle.

As for Ibrāhīm Niass, his contributions to Tijani doctrines are to be found in his Kāshif al-ilbās 'an faydai al-khatm Abī'l-'Abbās, and al-Sirr al-akbar. Following a vision in 1930, Ibrahim Niass claimed to be the ghawth al-zaman, the highest status in the Sufi hierarchy of sainthood implying divine election as the Saviour of the Age. 38 He also claimed to have received both the fayda39 and the 'Greatest Secret' of Ahmad al-Tijāni, by virtue of which he received hidden knowledge without any effort or affiliation. Ibrāhīm Niass emphasized that it has been continuously transmitted from Ahmad al-Tijānī that, in addition to guaranteed admission into Paradise, fayda would appear among the Tijanis bringing tremendous material prosperity at a time when people have been hit by the hardest conditions. It should be remembered that part of the special privileges Ahmad al-Tijani claimed to have received for his followers during his live encounter with the Prophet was a 'thing' which Ahmad al-Tijānī said he was not permitted to divulge. This indescribable 'thing' came to be designated among the Tijanis as the Greatest Secret (al-sirr al-akbar). However, it is transmitted among the Tijanis by a particular divinely elected follower of al-Tijānī, who by virtue of his election becomes the khalifa of the shaykh, and possessor of the Greatest Secret. It has sometimes been suggested that the khalifa is not the successor to Ahmad al-Tijānī, but a deputy of God favored with private access to special, exclusive divine favor in the form of favda.

To gain access to fayda and the 'Greatest Secret', the followers of Ibrāhīm Niass must undergo tarbiya, after which they take a vow of secrecy. According to the description Hiskett obtained from a Tijānī who had renounced his affiliation, tarbiya consists of a muqaddam leading the murīd through five stages of question and answer which are designated hadras. In the final hadra the belief is expounded that Ibrāhīm Niass is the present manifestation of kawn dukka (Hausa

An important subtext here is the doctrinal status of the Koran as the only Islamic scripture whose recitation is counted as an act of devotion (al-mata-abbad bi-tilanathi). Thus the elevation of the rewards for reciting Salāt al-fātih over and above that of reciting the Koran also has the implication of making Salāt al-fātih superior to all other Islamic sacred texts. On Salāt al-fātih, see also the contribution by Ousmane Kane in the present volume.

Jowähir al-ma'ānī i, 91 ff.
 As published in the margins of Jowähir al-ma'ānî, see note 29

M. Hiskett, "The Community of Grace and its Opponents. The Rejectors: A Debate about Theology and Mysticism in Muslim West Africa with a Special Reference to its Hausa Expression", Africa Language Studies xvii/3 (1980) 102. Cf. the hagiography by the grandson of Niass, Hassan Cisse, Shaykh Ibrāhām Niasse: Revivalist of the Sunna (New York 1984)

<sup>39</sup> Ibcāhim b. 'Abdallāh b. Muḥammad al-Tijāni, Kāshuf al-ilbūs 'an favçlot al-khatın Abi al-'Abbās (Carro 1971) 56-61

derived from Arabic: 'The Whole of Being').40 When Hiskett sought confirmation from his 'Tijānī friends', they insisted that Ibrahim Niass is not the present manifestation of kawn dukka, 'but that the Prophet Muḥammad, Aḥmad al Tijanī and shaykh Ibrahim are all one, in that each is part of kawn dukka.41

As for the doctrine of the hadra al-ilāhiyya, Ibrahim Niass' reworked version has been explained as follows:

'There are five hadarāt (pl. of hadra) in the mystic cosmos, the lowest of which is nāsūt, the stage of material existence; then malakāt, the stage of divine light, which extends from the first to the seventh heaven of the cosmological architecture. It is also the world of incorporeal things and of the planets. Then comes the stage of jabarāt. This extends from the seventh heaven to the Throne of God. It is the stage of divine secrets and the world of the angels. After it is the stage of lähāt, in which the names of God and His divine attributes become manifest. Finally, there is the summit, hāhāt, the stage of the divine essence'. 42

Although shavkh Niass' followers, known variously as Jamā'at alfayda and Tijāniyya-Niassiyya, have grown to several million throughout West Africa,43 they have been facing continuous opposition not only from the many Wahhabi-inspired movements all over West Africa, but also from fellow Tijanis. When Ibrahim Niass turned up in Kano circa 1945, following his earlier contact in Mecca with the Emir of Kano, Alhaji Abdullahi Bayero, he was by no means universally accepted. In fact, he came at a time when a fierce controversy about funeral rites had split the ulama of Kano into two factions. One faction, known as Madabawa, was famous all over Northern Nigeria for its Islamic legal scholars. It was led by the Sarkin Malamai, the head of the ulama guild who was officially appointed by the Emir of Kano, and represented the establishment ulama from whose ranks the Islamic legal adviser to the Emir used to be appointed. The second faction was a break-away group from the Madabawa, and was known as Salgawa, after Mallam Muhammadu Salga (1871-1938). The latter promoted a new orientation of Islamic scholarship in Kano characterized among other things by legal studies which extended beyond the Mukhtasar of al Khalil b. Ishaq (d. 1374), regarded by the Madabawa as the highest canon.44

Initially the Madabawa were not impressed by shaykh Niass' claims even though they were Tijānis, and they eventually rejected him when the Salgawa accepted him en masse. Before long, the earlier dispute over funeral rites between the Madabawa and Salgawa came to include the doctrines of shaykh Niass, and this brought about the emergence of the first polemical literature by Nigerian Tijānis and their opponents. This literature features prominently in the intellectual production of Nigerian Tijānis, and was directed against the Oādiriyya up to the nineteen-sixties.

The first two decades of the twentieth century saw the introduction into Nigeria of branches of the Oādiriyya which were not connected with the legacy of 'Uthman b. Fudi. According to Paden, involvement with the Qadiriyya during the nineteenth century had not been widespread, and 'very little Qadiriyya literature was written in Kano during that period'.46 Paden also argues that with the weakening of Sokoto political authority over Kano as a result of British colonial influence, Kano ulama became affiliated with new branches of the Qadıriyya from North Africa, A Moroccan, Shaykh Bashir, introduced the use of the bandir to accompany collective recitation of the Qădiriyya wird, while Saed b. Ahmad (c. 1860-1933) popularized a Qădiriyya silsila which did not go back to 'Uthman b. Fudi. When Năsir Kabara became connected with this silsila, a new era for the Qâdiriyya began in Nigeria. Until his death in 1996, Năsir remained the single most influential Qadirī shayka in Nigeria and the whole of West Africa since the nineteen-thirties, 47 and the leading antagonist against the Tuaniyya up to the emergence of anti-Sufism in the nineteen-sixties. Nevertheless, he adopted many of the Tijānī campaign strategies for the massive popularization of the Qadiriyya including congregational observance of its wird, initiation tours, and establishing contacts with Qadiri centers in Baghdad, Beirut, Damascus, and Amman 48

A specimen of Nāṣir Kabara's polemic against the 4ijāniyya is his al-Nafakhāt al-nāṣiriyya in which he gives considerable details about various aspects of the Qādiriyya. He also argues that despite their numerous branches, all Sufi orders aimed at one and the same thing even though they differ in approach. However, the Qadiriyya

<sup>48</sup> lbн

<sup>40</sup> Hiskett, The Community 120-21

<sup>41</sup> Ibid. 121

<sup>42</sup> Ibid. 118-9

<sup>43</sup> Ibid

<sup>44</sup> I W Chamberlin, The Development of Islamic Education in Kano City, Nigeria, with Emphasis on Legal Education in the late 19th and 20th Centuries (Ph.D. Diss., Columbia University 1975) 93-175, CI. M. Nalado, Kano State Jiva do Yau (Zaria 1969) 26-34.

For a survey of writings by Tijānī authors, see Henwick, op. cit., 260-3.6

<sup>6</sup> Paden, Religion 68

Paden, Religion 157-52

takes Sufi initiates nearer to God in the shortest possible time, and is therefore superior to all the others. The walāya of 'Abd al Qādir al-Jilani was proclaimed as the source of al-fuyūdāt al rabbāniyya for all the other an liyā' from his day to the end of the world.<sup>49</sup> Al Jīlāni was reported to have said that God had given him and his followers half the world, and felicity in the hereafter.<sup>50</sup>

Among the countless karāmāt of al-Jīlānī are his ability to intervene in human affairs even after his death. He never sinned, and it is not permissible to renounce affiliation to the Qādiriyya. The latter would entail disrespect for the walāya of al-Jīlānī, which amounts to disrespect for the Prophet, which in turn is harām according to the Koran and hadīth, and according to ijmā<sup>c</sup>,51

Other polemical works of Nāṣir Kabara<sup>52</sup> include a number of treatises defending the use of bandīr to supply music in the dhikr sessions of the Qādiriyya, which had been attacked. In his Qam<sup>c</sup> alfasād fī tafdil al-sadl 'alā'l-qabḍ fī hādhihī al-bilād, Nāṣir Kabara argues against crossing the arms over the chest while standing in salāt (al-qabḍ). This practice was popularized in Kano by Ibrāhīm Niass, and was regarded as the characteristic ritual feature of his followers. Tijānī responses were many, including a tract by Ibrāhīm Niass himself, entitled Raf' al-malām 'an man rafa'a wa-qabaḍa iqtidā'an bi-sayyid al-anām. Almost all the leading Tijānīs wrote rejoinders to Qam' al-fasād. Not only because it attacked the distinguishing ritual feature of the followers of Niass, but also, and perhaps more importantly, because the issue touched on the ritual validity of the five daily ṣalāt, the second of the five pillars of Islam, and the most important Islamic ritual.

While the qabd-sadl controversy was fiercely raging between the Tijānīs and Qādirīs, one of the major opponents of Sufism, Abubakar Gumi became the Grand Kadi of Northern Nigeria in 1962. Consequently, his anti-Sufi views became decidedly more threatening to both the Tijānīs and Qādirīs. In the face of Gumi's challenge to the doctrinal basis of Sufism, it was imperative for Tijānīs and Qādirīs to reconcile their differences.

I have argued elsewhere that despite noted criticism of veneration of Sufi shaykhs and visitation of their tombs, there is no evidence to support the widespread supposition of Wahhābī influence on the leaders of the Sokoto jihad. Shaykh Clapperton reported that at Ka tagum on the borders of Borno and the Sokoto caliphate he met 'a Felatah, who had been to Baghdad, Constantinople, Jerusalem and... told me he had seen the Wahabees at Mecca'; yet Clapperton said the Felatah 'belonged to the order of the Dervishes', Shaykh This 'Felatah' was neither the first nor the last to have travelled to Mecca and returned to Hausaland in the nineteenth as well as the first half of the twentieth century. Yet, none of them is on record as having brought back Wahhābī forms of anti-Sufism. Similarly, a study of Islamic movements in Nigeria by British colonial authorities conducted in 1952 mentions that there was no Wahhābī influence in Nigeria at the time. Shaykh Sh

One of the first Nigerian authors to write against the doctrinal foundations of Sufism was Abubakar Mahmud Gumi, who had been a Qādirī but renounced his affiliation around 1950. Earlier in the nineteen-fourties, Sa'ad Zungur (1915-1958) had been preaching reform in Northern Nigeria. In his Hausa poem, Warar Bidi'a, he condemned many practices he regarded as bid'a including taking an oath in the name of "Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī, Aḥmad al-Tijānī or "Uthmān b. Fūdī.56 Though the Watar Bidi'a is by no means a critique against Sufism, it has been described as representing a break with the earlier tradition of Islamic poetry in Nigeria, because it is the first among modern Hausa poems to call for 'the kind of Islam practised at the time of the Prophet, which was simple, clear, and free from superstitions and other accretions'. This critique against bid'a in the sense of 'superstition and accretions' began in the nineteen-fourties. By the nineteen-seventies, the range of bid'a was ex-

<sup>49</sup> Muhammad al-Nâşir al Kaban, al Nafakhât al năşiriyya fi al-şariqa al Qādiriyya (Zana 1957) 15.

<sup>50 [</sup>bid :3. 51 [bid :0.21]

<sup>52</sup> For more on the intellectual productions of Nasuri Kabara, see: R. Loumeier, 'The writings of Nasiri Kabara', Sudanic Africa: A Journal of Historical Sources ii (1991) 165-74

<sup>53</sup> Umar, Sufism and Anti-Sufism 163 ff

<sup>54</sup> Hugh Clappetton, Journal of an Excursion from Kouka in Bornou, through the Soudan, to Saccatoo, the Capital of Bello, Sullan of the Felatahs, published as part of F.R.S. Denham's Narrative of Travels and Discoveries in the Years 1822, 1823, and 1824 (London 1985, teprint of the 1826 edition) ii, 231

<sup>55</sup> National Archives, Kaduna, Zaria Province. C 68, Report by M. Mangin. Head of the Department of Muslim Affairs, on his visit to Nigeria in March 1952, 2.

Daudatti Abdulkadir, The Poetry, Life and Opinions of Sa'ad Zungur (Zaria 1974) 26-27

<sup>57</sup> Ibid, 43.

panded to include Sufism

This development was initiated by Abubakar Gumi, whose opposition to Sufism can be traced to the early nineteen-fourties when he arrived in Kano to study at the Kano Law School. This institution, where all the leading anti-Sufis studied at one time or another, has played a very prominent role in the evolution and development of anti-Sufism in Nigeria, since it was established by the British colonial authorities in 1934. Originally, its mission had been to train oadis. In 1947 it was reorganized to train teachers as well, and having been renamed School for Arabic Studies (SAS), it became the training ground for a new generation of ulama in Northern Nigeria. The School was notably different from the established pedagogical traditions of Islamic teaching and learning in the Central Sudan. As the first Nizāmiyya, it introduced a broad spectrum of Islamic scholarship: it offered courses on virtually all branches of Islamic learning, and on Arabic language and literature. The School was also characterized by relatively non-authoritarian teacher-student relations. Graduates of the SAS had the opportunity not only to join the modern bureaucracy introduced by the British, but likewise to pursue advanced studies to the doctorate level in British and other universities. Later, many other Islamic schools modeled after the SAS contributed to the emergence of a class of modern and somewhat westernized Muslim elite recognizably different in their Weltanschauung from the ulama trained in the older traditions of Islamic learning.58 In particular, wholesale opposition to Sufism rather than mere criticisms of perceived Sufi 'excesses' has emerged almost exclusively from the new Muslim elites trained at the SAS, a point not lost on the traditional ulama as will be described below. Meanwhile, let me illustrate the evolution of anti-Sufism through the career of Abubakar Gumi, a prototype of the new Muslim elites educated at the SAS.

Abubakar Gumi was a graduate of the SAS and later received advanced teachers' training at Bukhat al Rida in the Sudan. While still a student at the Law School, Gumi offered private lessons to a small number of disciples, some of whom wanted to explore Tijānī classics such as Jawāhir al-ma'ānī and al-Yāqūta al-farida. As he became acquainted with Tijānī doctrines, Gumi is said to have become convinced that the Tijāniyya stood outside the fold of Islam, and thus he

58 S.A.S. Galadanci, Harakat al-lugha al-'arabivva va-ādābihā fi Nigeria (Cairo 1982) 90-119 began his crusade against Sufism which was to last until his death in 1992.<sup>59</sup>

Gumi's opposition to Sufism was reinforced when he began to represent the Premier of Northern Nigeria and the Sardauna of Sokoto, Alhaji Sir Ahmadu Bello, in the Muslim World League in Saudi Arabia, from the late nineteen-fifties. His doctrinal orientation became pronouncedly Wahhābi over time. This development, in a certain sense, reached its culmination when he received the King Faisal Award for Services to Islam.60

The principal vehicles for the popularization of Gumi's anti-Sufism were the media: the Hausa newspaper Gaskiya ta fi Kwabo, Radio Nigeria in Kaduna, and the Kaduna Television Station. Elsewhere, I have documented the complex issues involved in Gumi's popularization of anti-Sufism through these media. Nigerian Sufis had to resort to the same media in order to counteract the unprecedented and negative consequences of Gumi's preaching against Sufism.<sup>61</sup> Closely related is the use of audio cassettes, portable taperecorders, and video cassettes recorded with hand-held video cameras, all of which in the nineteen-nineties, have become important media indeed for the popularization of Sufi and anti-Sufi ideas. Their quantity reflects the booming business of video and audio cassettes with Islamic sermons of every kind in Nigeria.<sup>62</sup>

Gumi's most articulate critique against Sufism is his ai-'Aqīda al-saḥīḥa bi-muwāfaqat al-sharī'a, which is the first major attack on Sufism by a Nigerian author, published in 1972.63 In this text Gumi argues thus:

'Islam is the way of life which God has prescribed for humans through the Prophet Muhammad. Islam was specified in the Prophet's life time and later transmitted to us. Who-

<sup>59</sup> For details, see Gunn's autobiography as dictated to A. Tsiga, Abubakar Gunn' Where 1 Stand (Ibadan 1992).

<sup>60</sup> Ibid. 193-215

<sup>61</sup> See my 'Changing Islamic Identity in Nigeria from the 1960s to the 1980s: From Sufism to anti-Sufism', in L. Brenner (ed.), Muslim Identity and Social Change in Sub-Saharan Africa (London 1993) 156 ff.

During field research in 1993-94. I visited many shops in major cities and towns which sell recorded andio and video cassettes of Islamic preaching on various topics, and in Kano I purchased a number of audio cassettes recording the ongoing polenics between the Sufis and their opponents.

<sup>63</sup> However, Abû Bakr \*Atîq in his Hādhā't-Jawāb al-khāliş \*alâ wathiqat al Hājj Ahmad \*Abd al Karīm responds to what al-Hājj Ahmad \*Abd al-Karīm wrote attacking the Tijaniyya-Niassiyya practice of tarbiya. \*Atīq's tract was published in 1388/1968 and thus al-Hājj Ahmad's text predates Gumi's critique.

ever follows its guidance in all things, be it in worship or in social interactions, is a Muslim who takes God as his god and his protector. Whoever does anything contrary to that has for his god and protector someone other than  $\operatorname{God}^*$  64

MUHAMMAD S. UMAR

Gumi rejected the Sufi conception of walāva in conjunction with the hierarchy of saintly ranks at the zenith of which is the qutb/ghawth as the center of cosmic existence, and argued that every God-fearing Muslim is a walī. He quoted extensively from the Jawāhir al-ma'ānī regarding the claims of Ahmad al-Tijānī to have received a special wird and exclusive guarantees in a live encounter with the Prophet Muhammad. These claims Gumi rejected emphatically, asserting that:

'Whoever alleges that the Prophet had concealed anything during his lifetime and then deavered to those who came after him, has certainly belittled the worth of the Prophet. And that is clear kiefr according to the opimon of the entire Muslim community',65

Gumi regarded all the Sufi orders as forms of worship which differed from the worship brought by the Prophet Muhammad. He therefore maintained that the Sufi orders should not be treated as part of Islam but as distinct religions on their own. As Gumi's views were widely popularized through the media, strong opposition to his ideas began to emerge and eventually crystallized into a reconciliation between the hitherto quarreling ulama of the Tijāniyya and the Qādiriyya. The spread of Gumi's anti-Sufism also resulted in the formation of movements which counteracted Gumi not only intellectually, but by whatever means was deemed necessary. These developments in turn led Gumi's followers in 1978 to form their own movement Jamā'at izālat al-bid'a wa-iqāmat al-sunna.66

Apart from a number of anti-Sufism pamphlets that followed the publication of Gumi's al- Aqîda al-şahîha, the most ambitious attack on Sufism was the publication of Dahiru Maigari's al-Shaykh Ibrāhīm Niyās al-Singhālī in 1981.67 More than half of the 523 pages

64 Abubakar M. Gumi, al-"Aqida al-şahiha bi-mumāfagat al-shari" a (Beutut 1972) 75-6.

55 [bid 23, see also 35]

of this book were dedicated to tracing the advent and development of the Tijāniyya-Niassiyya in Nigeria. Maigari concluded his work with a critical study of the Sufi ideas of Ibrāhim Niass as contained in his Kashif al-ilbās and al-Sirr al-akbar.

After outlining the wird of the Tijantyya, Margari observes that there is nothing Islamically objectionable about it except the Tijant doctrines underpinning the wird, especially the enormous rewards it promises. He cites as an example Ahmad al-Tijani's letter of warranty to his followers, and then concludes that Sufi orders are nothing more than secret cults aimed at taking advantage of the credulity of ordinary Muslims. He further argues that since the Prophet Muhammad did not pass away until he had most thoroughly explained every minute aspect of Islam, there is no need for a Muslim to follow any particular type of Sufi order.<sup>68</sup>

Maigari attempts to trace the genealogy of the Sufi ideas of the Tijaniyya first by the textual strategy of quoting extended passages from works of Ibn al- Arabi, and then comparing these with similar, often exactly identical passages in the Jawahir al-ma'ant, Runah, Kāshif al-ilbās, al-Sirr al-akbar, and the poems of Ibrahim Niass. 69 By tracing Tijani doctrines to the ideas of Ibn al-Arabi, and by denouncing plagiarism in Jawahir al-ma'ani, Maigari positioned his work in mainstream criticism against the Tijāniyya.70 But he goes beyond Ibn al-'Arabi to trace the genealogy of Tijāni doctrines to the Ismācīlī doctrines of the Fātimids, Neoplatonism, and the Upanishads. He argues that Ismā<sup>c</sup>īlī doctrines remained underground in North Africa long after the Fatimids, and then first reappeared in Abū'l-Tayyib al-Qādırī's al-Maqsad al-ahmad, on which "Alī Harazim made some alterations retitling it Jawahir al-ma'anī.71 By emphasizing that there are pantheistic elements in Tuānī doctrines taken from Neoplatonism and the Upanishads, Maigari was laboring to make the point that the Tijaniyya originated from sources outside Islam

As was to be expected, Tijānīs' responses to Maigari were bitterly critical, and one Tijānī author charged that Maigari had sold his religion for the Master of Arts he had been awarded.<sup>72</sup> In a second

<sup>66</sup> Umar, Sufism 183 ff. For the literature on the Jamă'ai izălai al bid'a, see Roman Loimeier, Islamische Erneuerung und politische Wandel in Nordingeria (Hamburg 1993) 277-294

<sup>67</sup> Margari's book was partially based on fieldwork conducted intermittently from 1973 to 1979 He submitted his findings under the title al-Shaykh Ibrāhīm Nivās wa'l-tarīga al-Tijāniyva fi al-garn al-'ishrīn to Bayero University, Kano, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Art in Islamic Studies. The Islamic University of Medina, Saudi Arabia, sponsored the publication of the thesis under the title: al-Shaykh Ibrāhīm Niyās al-Singhālī, Ḥayātuhu, āvātuhu wa-ārā'uhu wa-ta'ālimahu Kāshif al-tibās wa-tahqiq al-Sirr al-akbar, Dīrāsa wa-ta'lā (Bernt 1981)

<sup>68</sup> Ibid. 31 34.

Ibid. 348-408, and 363-498.

Abun Nasr, The Tijanivia 27 57

Maigan, al-Shavkh ibrāhīm Niyās 496.
 Al-Ḥājj 'Abd Allāh al 'Alawi, Indhār wa-ifāda ilā bā'i' dīnihi bi'l shahāda Cf.
 Muḥammad ibn al-Shaykh 'Abd Allāh al-Mūritāni, al-Radd bi'l-hadith wa'l-gur'ān 'alā nā fi

but God. 76 Following Ibn Taymiyya, the authors divide tawhid into

three types: tawhid al-rububiyya, tawhid al uluhiyya and tawhid al-

book entitled al Tuhfa al-saniyya, Maigari responded to these critical rejoinders with the most scathing criticism against Sufism yet by a Nigerian author. He explains in the preface to the Tuhfa that he had himself been a staunch Tijāni who obtained al idhn al-muṭlaq and reached the high rank of khalifa of Ahmad al-Tijānī. It was in that capacity that he introduced many initiates to the secret doctrines of the Tijaniyya. But having studied these doctrines very carefully, he became convinced that they were completely un-Islamic. And so he writes:

It was for this reason that I determined to write still more to alert my sincere Muslim brothers to the dangers which the Tijāniyya poses to the Islamic faith and the sharia, so that they might fight the Tijāniyya with all the force they can muster until they drive it out of their midst. And the present book which I offer the reader is a continuation of this ongoing jihād... until Muslims are freed from enslavement, and spiritual and material exploitation by the shaykhs of Sufi orders. 73

Within the 222 pages of the Tuhfa, Maigari sought to expose the 'secret doctrines' of the Tijāniyya. He completely rejected as un-Islamic the Tijānī belief that al-Ṣalāt al-fātth and Jawharat al-kamāl offered greater rewards than reciting the Koran, arguing that the Tijānī doctrine of qutbāniyya effectively presents the qutb as being the co-equal of God in 'running the entire cosmos'. Pursuing this line of argumentation, Maigari reaffirmed his earlier conclusion, and that of many who had gone before him: Sufism is a religion different and quite apart from Islam. 75

Another line of attack was introduced when the *Izāla* sponsored the publication of a pamphlet written by Ahmad Ibrāhīm and Abubakar Jibril, two lecturers at the Department of Islamic Studies of Bayero University, and Aminuddin Abubakar, leader of the Islamic Da'wa in Kano. The title of the pamphlet, Hasm al-tardīd fī 'ilm al-tawhīd, does not suggest any opposition to Sufism, but the conception of tawhīd propounded within the fifty-page pamphlet is meant to prove that Sufism is incompatible with Islamic monotheism.

Hasm al-tardīd opens with a close analysis of the kalimat alshahada, followed by the identification of the doctrinal and devotional implications of believing the proposition that there is no god asma' wa'l-sifat. Tawhid al-rububiyya is defined as believing in the existence of God, His lordship over everything and His disposition over creation, and is not a doctrine unique to Islam. Tawhid al-uluhiyya entails the necessity of dedicating all worship to God alone, and it was for the establishment of this kind of tawhid that God sent all the prophets. Tawhid al-asmā' wa'l-sifāt is characterized as belief in all the attributes of God mentioned in the Koran and the ahādīth saḥiḥa, without asking how (bilā kayf)?

So far, none of the above would seem to have anything to do with

So far, none of the above would seem to have anything to do with Sufism. However, the subtext here is that certain aspects of Sufism are incompatible with tawhīd al-ulūhuvya which is presented as the distinguishing feature of Islam. This point becomes clear from what the authors term nawāqiḍ al-Islām, the negators of Islam. An example of a negator of Islam is someone who places intermediaries between God and His servants, and depends on such intermediaries for intercession: this is a reference to Sufi shaykhs. Another negator of Islam is someone who believes that anyone could offer guidance better than the guidance of the Prophet Muḥammad, i.e. Tijāni belief that one recitation of al-Ṣalāt al-fātiḥ brings greater reward than the recitation of the Koran.

The preceding brief survey of the polemical literature against Sufism allows one to identify three separate lines of attack: Sufism as bid<sup>c</sup>a; Sufism as incompatible with the sharta; and Sufism as a contradiction to tawhid. Rejection based on these three categories of objections focuses specifically on the Qâdiriyya and the Tijāniyya.

As already noted, prior to the publication of Gumi's al-'Aqīda al-şahiha, Sufi polemical literature revolved around the rival claims to superiority of Qādirīs and Tijānīs, and the ritual validity of qabd or sadl. However, once Gumi's anti-Sufi views began to be aired on Radio Nigeria Kaduna in the late nineteen-sixties, 79 it was not long before Sufi responses appeared in print especially from leading Tijānīs in Kano. And after Gumi's al-'Aqīda al-ṣaḥīḥa was published in 1972, several rejoinders appeared. I have already mentioned

kıtāb Margari al Nigeri min al-zany wa'l-buhtān (Kano 1989).

<sup>73</sup> Mchammad al Tăhar Margari al Barnăwi, al-Tuhfa al-santvea be tawdih al-tariqa al Tijāntvya (n p , n.d.) 7-8

<sup>74 [</sup>bid 137

<sup>75</sup> Ibid, passim.

<sup>76</sup> A. Ihrahum, A. Jibril and A. Abubakar, Hasmal-tardid fi 'ilm al-tardid (Jos 1986) 6-

<sup>77</sup> Ibid. 12-16.

<sup>78</sup> Ibid. 32 33.

<sup>19</sup> Umar, Sufism 165-67

above that Margari wrote his al-Tuhfa al-saniyya as a rejoinder to the criticisms of his first book, Shaykh Ibrahim al Niyas

The formation of the Jamā at izalat al bid a in 1978 intensified the confrontation between the Sufis and their opponents. Apart from the popularization of the anti-Sufi ideas of Gumi through public preaching, the Izala introduced the Kitāb al-tawhīd of Muḥammad b. Abd al Wahhab as a textbook in its schools; it has also been active in distributing works of Ibn Taymiyya obtained from Saudi Arabia.

The use of anti-Sufism resulted in the production of numerous tracts by Nigerian Sufi authors responding to their critics. Some of these responses are primarily concerned with the contention that Sufism is a religion in its own right, quite separate from Islam. Other tracts respond with a partial revision of aspects of Sufism which had come under fire from the anti-Sufis, while still maintaining firm belief in the Islamic validity of Sufism. This is the line of argument pursued by Ibrāhīm Ṣāliḥ whose initial argument for the emendation of Jawāhir al-ma'ānī regarding the rewards of reciting Ṣalāt al-fātiḥ<sup>81</sup> was not well received by many Tijānīs. Li was partly in response to the criticisms by fellow Tijānīs that he elaborated his arguments more forcefully in a second book, while at the same time reaffirming his Tijānī convictions.

By far the most prevalent response to anti-Sufism has consisted in presenting profuse quotations from Sufi classics which emphasize the Islamic credentials of the leading Sufis, while casting aspersions on the learning of the anti-Sufis as well as on their Islamic faith. The rise of anti-Sufism also brought about the demise of the bitter quarrels between the Tijāniyya and the Qādniyya by the nineteen-eighties. The dominant position which became established is that all the Sufi orders are essentially the same.

The identification of Sufism with *ihsān* was reiterated by scholars such as Muhammad Sani Kafanga. He summarized the various articulations of the argument that Sufism is to be identified with *ihsān* enunciated by various Islamic scholars including 'Uthmān b. Fūdī,84

80 For a preliminary list see the Appendix to the present article.

and then concluded that anti-Sufis have wrongly applied to Sufis Koranic verses meant for unbelievers and polytheists.

Related to the position that Sufism is equal to *iḥsān* is the effort to highlight the devotional aspects of Sufism rather than the esoteric doctrines that had been assailed by the anti-Sufis. This is a prominent feature in most of the Sufi responses, and it is often articulated in a rhetorical mode to ridicule the critique that Sufi orders are not part of Islam but separate religions of their own. Ibrāhim Niass' use of this rhetorical mode in his responses to the repeated question of whether he had renounced his affiliation to the Tijāniyya<sup>85</sup> was very effective. Even an implacable opponent such as Maigari had to deal with what he regarded as the seeming transition by Niass towards the end of his life from Shavkh al-tarīqa al-Tijāniyya to Shaykh al-islām.<sup>86</sup>

In his Tanbih al-su'adā'87 Muliammad al-'Āshir Shu'ayb cautioned his fellow Tijānīs, and all Sufis generally, against becoming susceptible to the rationalist arguments of the anti-Sufis, arguing that belief in Sufism is not grounded on formal rationalism but 'on the light of faith which God, if He pleases, placed in his servants to illuminate their hearts to accept what they hear of the Truth'.88 He then proceeded to demonstrate that once such a divine light illuminates the heart of the believer, there will be no problem at all regarding the credibility of Tijānī doctrines. These included the claim that Aḥmad al-Tijānī received al-Salāt al-fātiḥ direct from the Prophet Muḥammad when the two met 'in a waking state, and not in a dream or vision', as well as the belief that the spiritual reward for reciting al-Şalāt al-fātiḥ is greater than that of reciting the Koran. According to Shu'ayb:

To say that the Prophet, peace be upon him, could be seen in a waking state and be spoken with directly will not be denied by someone whose insight God has illuminated with the light of faith that leads to belief. Someone with illuminated insight will not deny beholding the Prophet alive because of what be has acquired of the Muhammadan Light (al-aniste al-

hadish al-thânî min al-arba'ln al-Nawawiyya (Kano 1974) 7

<sup>81</sup> Ibrāham Sālih b Yūnus b. Muhammad al-Awwal al-Husayni, al-Takfir akhtar bid'a tuhoddut al-salām wa'l wahda bawa al-muslimin fi Nigeria (Cano 1983) 87 ff

<sup>82</sup> Awwel Anwar, Fastrin Sivasa a Addini (Zann 1992) 41-45.

<sup>83</sup> Thrähim Şāhh b. Yûnus b. Muhammad al-Awwal, al-Mughir fald shubuhāt ahl al-ahwā' wo akādhib al-amunkir falā kitāb al-Takfir, (Bernst 1986) 41 ff

<sup>84</sup> Muhammad Sun. Kafanga, al-Mawāhīb al-kanawiyya fi dhikr shov' wata'allaq bi l-

<sup>85</sup> See for example Ibrāhim Niyās al-Kawlakhi, al-Bavān wa l-tibvān 'an al-Tijāniyva wa l-Tijāniyvān (Kano n.d.), and Hādhā Jawāb li-mawlānā shavkh al-tijāni al-Shoykh al-Hājj lbrāhān b. al-Hājj 'Abdallāh al-Tijāni al-Kawlakhi radiva Allāh 'anhu ajāba bihi ba'd al muntamīn ilā al 'ilm allati arsalahā vajlub al-bayān 'an qadiyva hadathat min arājīf al-murjofin wa-akādhīb al-kādhībin al-mubtilīn al-bāţilīn min al-munkirīn (Kano 1975).

Maigan, al-Shryth Ibrāhīm Nivās 155-98

<sup>87</sup> Muhammad al 'Āshir Shu'ayb, Tanbīh al-su'adô' 'ald 'amal al wahhābsvvin alladhīn vukhrijim al-muslanin min juruq al-awlivā' (Betrut 1979).

<sup>58</sup> Ibid. 5

muhammadayya). and will not rule to imprison the Prophet in His grave when in fact the totailty of the Prophet is light, 89

An interesting point to note here is the rejection of rationalist ar gumentation which had in the main informed most of the polemical literature of Sufism and anti-Sufism. I made this point earlier when I called attention to the Sufi intellectual output in the figh mode of Islamic discourse, because that mode is more amenable to argument and counter-argument than the evocative mode of conventional Sufi discourse. The redeployment of this Sufi mode by Muhammad al
"Āshir Shu ayb reflects a change in polemical tactics by the Sufis, a theme I will return to in the conclusion of this article.

Another interesting line of argumentation in the Sufi responses to anti-Sufism is developed around the motif of trials, tribulations and the triumph of prophetic and saintly figures. In advancing this line of argument, Muḥammad Ainūma chose Aḥmad al-Tijāni as a saintly figure renowned as a model for reviving the sunna and annihilating bid'a, 90 thus alluding to, and rejecting the criticism of, Sufism as bid'a. At the same time he is also subverting the self-conception of the opponents of Sufism as being revivers of the sunna of the Prophet. By characterizing Aḥmad al-Tijānī as 'the model for reviving the sunna', Muḥammad Ainūma reappropriates this important basis of self-legitimization from the opponents of Sufism.

This author then embarks on an elaborate demonstration of how the saintly virtues of Ahmad al-Tijānī made him the model reviver of the sunna. He frequently quotes from the Koran and compares the quoted verses with the reported utterances of Ahmad al-Tijānī. These favourable comparisons are held to constitute the basis for believing al-Tijānī's claim to have met the Prophet Muhammad alive despite the criticisms of the anti-Sufis. Alnūma argues that the rejection of al-Tijānī and other awliyā' is a tradition inherited from the prophets and apostles', he then proceeds with extensive quotations from the Koran to illustrate the recurrent trials, tribulations and the triumph of, first, the prophets and apostles from Adam to Muhammad, and then such leading Sufis as al-Junayd al-Baghdädī, Dhu'l-Nūn al-Miṣrī, Abū'l-Ḥasan al-Shadhtī and many others who were 'rejected out of jealousy, hatred, and transgression'. Ainūma makes his point

89 Ibid 10.

as follows:

From this you know that the awhyā' are not free from being rejected, burt, instifted, benten, cursed and otherwise harmed in various ways. Yet they continue to exist without being restricted by time or space and without bothering with the utterances of their rejectors' 93

An interesting omission in the long lists of Sufis who suffered trials and tribulations and then eventually triumphed is al-Husayn b. Mansūr al-Hallāj (d. 922). But perhaps the omission is necessary here since the point Ainūma appears to be emphasizing is the triumph over trials and tribulations, in which case the martyrdom of al-Hallāj is not relevant — though it would have been, had the point been to celebrate martyrdom. Instead, Ainūma concluded his treatise with a joyful poem of self-congratulation for the bounties of the favda Tijāniyva. Trial, tribulation, and ultimate triumph can be regarded as a necessary prelude, enabling the Tijānis to deal more effectively with the threats against the abundant material and spiritual rewards guaranteed by Ahmad al-Tijāni to his followers.

Finally, it should be noted that Sufis addressed the socio-political base of the opponents of Sufism. As was mentioned above, opposition to Sufism in Nigeria has come almost exclusively from the new class of Muslim intellectuals trained at the SAS. This point was not lost on the traditional ulama who have been the main proponents of Sufism. It is most clearly addressed in a work by "Abd al-Qadir b. Muhammad Bello in which he identifies his adversaries to be: Brothers of Satan who read the Koran on the street, gathering with them... women and ignorant men and cursing followers of the sunna and praising followers of bid'a'.95 This group of people are the Wahhabi followers of Gumi, who are presented as the products of the schools established by the British colonial authorities following their conquest of Nigerra. He goes on to link the British intention to impose their laws all over Nigeria with the establishment of the socalled 'Islamiyya Schools' (i.e. SAS and others on its model) where Muslim students are taught a mixture of British and Islamic law Students are also taught that Islamic law is outdated and should be replaced with British law, and those who excel in adopting this view are, upon graduation, appointed to high positions in government in order to put what they have been taught into practice. The wealth and

<sup>90</sup> Muḥammad Amūma b. Muḥammad Assamı, Is'āf al-muhibb al-fāni bi-ta'rīf al-Shaykh Ahmad al Tijānī (n.p. 1983) 2.

<sup>91</sup> Ibid. 3-7

<sup>92</sup> Ibid 9

<sup>93</sup> Ibid. 10-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> lbd 19-20

<sup>95 &</sup>quot;Abd al-Qădir b. Muḥammad Bello, al-"Aṣā 'alā ra"s man joghā wa 'aṣā (Maidugun u.d.) 1

prestige of high position attracts more and more Muslims to send their children to the 'Islamiyya Schools'. It is in this way that the British, whom "Abd al Qadir b. Muhammad Bello refers to simply as Christians, have succeeded in producing 'a group of Nigerians who always work very hard to follow British/Christian laws'.96 He further charges that the 'Islamiyya Schools and their products are doublefaced, one face is Islamic and the other Christian'.97 and this is why they always misinterpret the Koran, insult the awliva, and reject the Sufi guidance of the latter.

'Abd al-Qadir b. Muhammad Bello's view that the emergence of anti-Sufism in Nigeria is the result of a British/Christian policy is not likely to convince many people. However, the polemical effect of accusing Sufism's opponents of collusion in a British/Christian conspiracy against Islam should not be underestimated. The charge has enormous popular and emotive appeal to Muslim masses in Northern Nigeria, where a strong and widespread suspicion that the schools established by the British were meant to convert Muslims to Christianity existed throughout the British colonial period (1900-1960). To overcome this suspicion, British colonial authorities introduced Islamic Religious Knowledge in the school curricula, and in 1947 reorganized the School for Arabic Studies to train teachers of Islamic Religious Knowledge, in addition to training Islamic judges for which the school was originally established. Yet, Western education has remained suspect even after independence. Hence, any indictment of people trained in the schools established by the British can easily exploit this suspicion.

"Abd al-Qadir b. Muhammad Bello's allusion to the wealth and prestige of high governmental position associated with the opponents of Sufism is also found in other publications on Sufism and anti-Sufism in Nigeria. The political, social and economic factors accounting for this state of affairs have been amply documented in many studies published over the last three decades.% The information presented in these studies has not been repeated here, but our purpose has been to offer supplementary perspectives based on highlighting the intellectual and doctrinal aspects.

Confrontation between Nigerian Sufis and their opponents is an on-

going occurrence. For this reason only preliminary observations, and questions and reflections providing direction for further research, seem to be apposite. Our first observation concerns the various strategies of polemical discourse. The most fascinating of these has been the hermeneutical strategy employed by Nigerian Tijanis: their simplification of doctrines from the Tijani classics in exegetical literature, and later in their responses to anti-Sufis. Thus, in response to the attack which claims Sufism is a religion separate from Islam, the Nigerian Sufis emphasized the interpretation of Sufism as ihsan. The hermeneutical strategy allows considerable fatitude in juggling contested intellectual and doctrinal positions. Yet, one wonders how effective it is in winning over ideological adversaries. Is it more persuasive when employed offensively, defensively, or as a rear-guard action? How do opponents react to the hermeneutical maneuver of employing classificatory schemes and assigning shifting significations to earlier articulations of the same idea? What are the roles of specialized hermeneutical vocabularies in polemical debates?

The criticisms against Sufism as bid'a, as incompatible with tawhid, as contrary to the sharia, and as a separate religion combine to draw attention to the different modes of Islamic discourse. The casting of the Tijani wird in terms of shurut and fatawa is another indicator of employing a figh mode of discourse to integrate the binary of haqiqa and shana. Questions arising here include: how are Islamic forms of validation constructed, promoted, contested and privileged in the various modes of Islamic discourse? What are the conventions and protocols for the invention and management of a subject-area, proof and authority? What is the argumentational force of a mode of discourse and how is such a force activated, deployed, resisted, neutralized or deactivated?

A number of authors have highlighted the long-standing scholarly neglect of the importance of doctrinal matters in the crucial roles which Sufi orders have historically played in the economic, political, social and cultural transformations of Islamic communities in West Africa.99 This neglect arises from the unwillingness - some would say hostility - of secular paradigms of thought to accept religious convictions as motivation or explanation for human behaviour.

<sup>96</sup> Ibid 8-. 1

Ibid 10

Sec footnote li

<sup>99</sup> For example see: L. Brenner, West African Sufi. The Religious Heritage and Spiritual Search of Cerno Bokor Saalif Taal (London 1984), and John O. Hunwick et al 'An Introduction to the Tirani Path: Berng an Annotated Translation of the Chapter Headings of the Kitāb al-Rimāh of əl-Ḥāṇ Umar', İslam et Sociétés au sud du Sahara vi (1992) 17-32

However, secular paradigms have been subjected to interrogation concerning their failure to predict the forceful penetration not only of 'Islamisms', but of all types of religious and cultural 'fundamentalisms' into the ongoing political transformations around the world. Is a Kuhnian revolution needed in order to establish religion as an autonomous explanatory category alongside the privileged secular categories?<sup>100</sup>

#### APPENDIX

The following list, 101 which is very incomplete, enumerates responses to anti-Sufism by Nigerian authors.

- I Muhammad Sani Kafanga (d. 1989)
- 1) al-Dolă'it al-sămya fi așt al-tașawwif wa-wijib ittikhādh shavkh al-tarbiva
- ii) al-Minan al-hamida fi radd 'alā fāsid al-'aqida (Bewot 1972, 67 pp.)
- m) al-Adılla al-samyya fi radd 'alā al-jā'ifa al-bid'iyya
- v) at-Mawāhib at-kanawiwa fi dhikr shay' yata'allaq bi'l-badih al-th\u00e4mi min al-arba'in al-Nawawiya (Kano 1974)
  - 2. Abubaxar 'Atiq b. Khidir b. Abi Bakr al-Kashināwi al-Tijāni (d. 1974)
- V) Tanbih al-iktivān bi takdhib sāļub al-klubāl al-jā\*us h-jawharas al-kamāl fi al-şalās "alā saynd al-rijāl (1972, 29 pp.)
- vi) Tanbih al-nabahā' li-'ellā vaghtarrā bi-qavi al-sufahā' (1971, 17 pp.)
- va) Tahsti al-amāni
- vui) lfådat al-mustagadin bi-adillas sibhat må salaybi al-dhåkirin
- x) Hådhå al-Jawāb al-khālt; "alā wathīgat al-Hāy Aḥmad "Abd al-Karīm (Kano 1968)
- 3) Muhammad al-Nāşir b. Mahammad ar-Mickhtär (Nasiru Kabara).
- x) al-Naștha al șariha fi al-radd 'olă al-'agida al-sahiha (Kano 1972)
- xi) al-Nafahāt ol-nāstrīvya
- 4) Muhammad al-"Āshir Shu"ayb

- xú) Tanbih al-su'adô' 'alâ 'amal al-walihâbeyyin alladhin yukhrijûn al-mustanla min juruq al-awlivā' (Bewet 1397/1979)
- 5) Abū Bakr Nūfāwā b. Muḥammad (Malam Dudu Nufawa)
- xux ) Tarique al-suma fi al-radd 'ală al-lzăla (18 pp.)
- 6) Abū Bakr b. Abmad al-Zakzakī
- xiv) al-Risălat al-ălă (1979, 10 pp)
- 7) Muhammad al-Kabir b. Muhammad Sani al-Kasawi al-Tijani
- gv) al-Schäm al-şā'iba li-nuḥūr al-fi'a al-tāghtva (1966, 16 pp)
- xvi) Migribat al-ikhwan tuhzin kull munkir wa-shani\* (1971, 16 pp)
- 8) Abē Bakr al-Miskin
- xvii) Qawl al-mushtög fi tagriz man ilä Allah yashiög
- 9) Muhammad al-Bashir b. Muhammad al-Bakawi
- xviu) Rodd al-Jawäb li'l-munkir ilä awlivä' Allah (1970, 13 pp.)
- 10) "Abd al-Salam b Hosayn b Hamdûn al-Barnawi al-Ghazarghāmi
- xix) Dămighat al-a'dă" wa-man salla savf al-i'tidă" (1962, 12 pp.)
- 11) Muhammad Habib b. 'Abdatlāh al-Kagawi
- xx) Rawdat al-sufadă' fi ithbăt al-fagida al-sahiha
- 12) [brühim Salıh b. Yünus b. Muhammad al-Awwal
- xxi) al-Takfir akhqar bidʻa tuliaddid al-salām wa'l-waḥda bayn al-mustimīn fl Nigeria (Caro 1983, 194 pp.)
- xxii) al-Mughir 'alō shubuhāt ahl al-ahwā' wa-akādhīb al-murkir 'alō kitāb at-Takfīr (Bertit 1986, 584 pp)
  - 13) Hamza b. 'Abd al-Qādir al-Bicawī
- xxxii) Tanbih al-sıfahâ' wa-masarrat al-'uqalâ' fi adiliat al-tawassul bı'l-anbıyâ' wa'l awliyâ' wa-adillat al-maḥabba il'l-ṣāliḥīn wa-barān nifāq al-wahhābıyun wa l-tzāliyvin (1980, 16 pp)
  - 14) Muhammad Amuma b. Muhammad Aisami
  - XXIV) Is of al-muhibb al-fant bi-to rif al-Shoykh Ahmad al-Tijani (p. p. 1983).
  - 15) 'Abd al-Oādir b. Muhammad Belio
- XXV) al-'Asā 'alā ra's man taghā wa-'asā (Maiduguri a.d.)

<sup>100</sup> Some of the materials in this paper were originally presented to Bayero University, Kano, as part of the requirements for an M.A. degree in Islamic Studies, and I am grateful to my teachers and academic advisers at Bayero, especially Professors S.A.S. Galadanci, Michammad Sani Zahraddeen and Mallam Shehu Umor, and also to the late Professor Abdullah. Muhammad of Ahmadu Bello University Zaria. There are additional materials in this chapter which I collected in 1992-94 during field research in Nigeria supported by a grant from the Joint Committee on African Studies of the Social Science Research Council and the American Council of Learned Societies with funds provided by the Rockefeller Foundation.

<sup>101</sup> I would fike to acknowledge gratefully the kind assistance of Professor John O. Hunwick, who allowed me to draw from his vast knowledge of the intellectual productions of the West African "idamā". In conjunction with the list in this appendix, see also his Arabic Literature of Africa ii, 260-316.

# A HISTORICAL SURVEY OF OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN SOUTH AFRICA

#### JACOBUS A. NAUDÉ

Muslims of the Cape Peninsula are protected by seven Kramats encircling the region. Tombs 'make up the Holy Circle which stretches from Robben Island to the Kramat of Shavkh Yusuf on the Macassar Downs ... starting at the old cemetery on the slopes of Signal Hill, just above the quarry in Strand Street, where two saintly men were buried many years ago, the circle continues to two graves on the top of Signal Hill ... hence it goes on to a grave, much revered, situated above Oude Kraal beyond Camps Bay, and sweeps round the mountain to a Kramat at Constantia, on the Tokai Road. From there [the circle continues to] ... the Kramat of Shaykh Yusuf of Faure, on the farm "Zandvliet". The circle is completed by an old tomb on Robben Island."2 These graves of holy leaders in the Sufi movement are places of regular pilgrimage, enabling the believers to be in direct spiritual contact with their guides in this life and the hereafter. Many Muslims consider the graves of these masters to be places of considerable baraka. Muslims visit these tombs in order to supplicate God and to benefit from the spiritual power contained in them.

Before they set out on the pilgrimage to Mecca, Muslims in the Cape go to the graves of the great masters of tasawwuf (Sheikh Yusuf of Faure, and Tuans Nur al-Mubin and Abd al-Rahman al-Matebe Shah) to present their 'greetings', and to pray to God for a successful and acceptable pilgrimage.<sup>3</sup> By way of maintaining another Sufi practice, there are presently about twenty groups in the

Cape who observe the annual birthday celebration of the Prophet Muhammad.

Though the first Muslim leaders have often been described in the history of Islam in South Africa, their role as Sufis has received little attention. Sufism is absent from the scholarly study of Islam in this country. One reason for this may be Hans Kahler's observation4 that various dhikrs are learnt in the Cape tarigas, not in order to reach the highest stage of knowledge in the form of gnosis, but to acquire extraordinary magic powers. As he explains, Islamic mysticism among the Cape Muslims degenerated into a means of communicating with supernatural beings for the purpose of gaining wealth or establishing power over others. In his discussion of currents and movements in South African Islam C.J.A. Greyling only devotes a few sentences in passing to Sufism.5 G.J.A. Lubbe gives equally little attention to the subject in his discussion of the pioneers of Islam at the Cape.6 The essay on Sufi Sāhib by G.R. Smith7 is elaborated upon in the M.A. thesis of Yunus Saib.8 Only recently has Sufism in the Cape received more extensive attention in a work by Yusuf Da Costa and Achmat Davids.9

Greyling<sup>10</sup> noted that 'Coloured' Muslims rarely belong to a Sufi order. There are some who practise certain spiritual devotions, such as reciting a wird (a fixed prayer at the kramats — usually on Thursday evenings). Otherwise he encountered little evidence of Sufism in the Cape. Nevertheless, it is fair to say that the Islam which arrived in South Africa with the first Muslims was characterised to some extent by Sufism.

Sufi orders had long been established in the places of origin of the

This article is based almost exclusively on written material. Instead of employing an academic transliteration of Arabic proper names and terms, the customary local forms have been retained in many cases. Yet, for practical reasons to do with making the index, some names and terms have been transcribed in accordance with the standardised system adopted in the present volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yusuf da Costa and Achmat Davids, Pages From Cape Muslim History (Pietermanizourg 1994), 33.

<sup>3</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 139.

<sup>4</sup> Hans Kähler, 'Die Kultur der Kapmulaten in der Republik Südafrika', in H L. Gottschalk, B. Spuler, & H. Kähler, Die Kultur des Islams (Frankfurt am Main 1971) 439-460, 456.

<sup>5</sup> C.J.A. Greyling, Die invloed van strominge in die Islam op die Jesusbeskouing van die Suid-Afrikaanse Muslims (Unpublished dissertation, University of Ste.lenbosch 1976) 24-65, distinguishes between four streams, i) the Older Orthodoxy, ii) Modernism, iii) the Ahmadiyya, and iv) the Modern Orthodoxy

<sup>6</sup> G.J.A. Lubbe, The Muslim Judicial Council - A descriptive and analytical investigation (Ph.D. Diss., University of South Africa, Pretocia 1989) 47-49

<sup>7</sup> G.R. Smith, 'A Muslim Saint in South Africa', African Studies, xxvii.14 (1969)

<sup>8</sup> Yunus Saib, Săfi Săhib's [1850-1911] Contribution to the Early History of Islam in South Africa (M.A. thesis, University of Durban-Westville 1993).

See note 2 above Greyling, op. cit 30.

first Cape Muslims.<sup>11</sup> Indeed, prominent Sufi leaders were exiled to the Cape. On 2 April 1694 Sheikh Yusuf al Taj al-Khalwati al-Maqasari (d. 1699) arrived on board de Voetboog. He was banned to the Cape from the Bantam region in Java for his role in the rebellion against Dutch domination of his country. Yusuf had been initiated into the Khalwatiyya Sufi order during his studies in Mecca. 12 When he reached the highest position in the order he became known as the 'crown', or paramount spiritual guide, of the Macassar branch of the Khalwatiyya order. As a Sheikh, or murshid, of a Sufi order he performed at the Cape the religious rites and ceremonies associated with his order. 13 Khalwatiyya communal religious ceremonies after a funeral are common practice in the Western Cape. 14 Communal practices such as rātib al-haddād and the mawlid al-nabī are part of the Sufi tradition which came to the Cape between the seventeenth and the nineteenth centuries as part of the spread of Islam to this country.15

Islam at the Cape shows the influence of Hinduism in such activities as rampie-sny (the cutting of orange leaves on the Prophet's Birthday), doopmal (the naming ceremony of the new-born baby) and the kersopstiek (the ceremonial lighting of candles on 27 Ramaḍān). These practices show just how strong the impact of eighteenth-century syncretistic mysticism had been on the cultural life of the community. 16 Cultural elements from Hinduism and animistic forms of worship were also accommodated within the practices of Islam. Davids 17 describes rātib, or khalīfa, the eastern sword ritual, and rampie-sny, as innovative strategies employed by Muslims at the Cape to attract unmittated slaves. In the process these too became part of the traditions of the Cape Muslim community. 18

One of the strongest factors which favoured the spread of Sufi practices to the Cape was that many young Muslims were sent to different parts of the Islamic world to study hifz, or the Islamic religious sciences. While abroad they became involved in Sufi practices Sheikh Muhammad Salıh Hendricks (d.1945) was initiated into the 'Alawiyya order as a student in Mecca. Other such examples are Ahmad Behardien (d. 1973), Muhammad Tayb Jassiem (d. 1972), Abdullah Jamal al-Din (d. 1948) and Abd al-Basır (d. 1962), all figures in the Muslim community well-known for Sufi practices. In 1888 at the age of sixteen, Muhammad Salih Hendricks left Swellendam to study in Mecca. Sufism left an indelible impression on him.19 In 1919 he took steps for the construction of the Al-Zāwiya Mosque and taught large numbers of students commonly known in the Muslim community as Zāwiya murīds. In this way he fostered the tradition of tasawwaf which had been begun by the early Muslim 'masters' at the Cape, such as Shaikh Yusuf of Faure, Tuan Guru and Tuan Sa'id.20 In his classes Sheikh Hendricks undoubtedly propagated the teachings and practices of taşawwuf according to the Alawiyya tariga. Maulid al-nabī became a major event in the annual activities of the Al-Zāwiya Mosque.21

During the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries other Sufi masters further strengthened the deep-rooted tradition of taşawwuf at the Cape. They were all either 'Alawiyya sheikhs or well-versed in 'Alawiyya practices.<sup>22</sup> The distribution of commonly-known shrines and graves of awlivā' allāh in the Western Cape gives some idea of the number of such masters who lived in the area. Yusuf da Costa<sup>23</sup> mentions ninteen such graves and shrines. Zwemer ascribed the strength of Islam at the Cape to the presence of the Qādinyya, Rifā'iyya, Naqshbandiyya, Chishtiyya and Shādhiliyya Sufi orders in Cape Town. The Chishtiyya order is especially well represented in the Transvaal and Natal, while the Qādiriyya is stronger in the Cape <sup>24</sup> Of those who settled here a great impact was made by Sheikh Abd al Rahim b. Muhammad al Iraqi (d. 1942, an 'Alawi, he 'discovered' the graves of Tuans Nur al-Nubin and Fa'far near

<sup>11</sup> F.R. Bradlow, "The origins of the early Cape Muslims", in F.R. Bradlow and M. Carris, The early Muslims at the Cape (Cape Town 1978) 91, 103, gives their origins as follows. Africa 26,65%, Ceylon 3,10%, India 36,40%; East Indies 31,47%, Mauritius 0,18%, Malaya 0,49%; others 0,40%, unidentified 1,31%.

<sup>12</sup> K\u00e4hler, op cit. 454, does not clarify his remark 'dass Scharch Jussuf am Kap meht als Mystiker sondern ledigach als Healiger verehrt wird'

<sup>13</sup> S. Dangor, 'In the Footsteps of the Companious: Shaykh Yusuf of Macassar (1626-1699)', in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 19-46

<sup>14</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 131

<sup>15</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 129

<sup>16</sup> Davids in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 47.

<sup>17</sup> Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 62.

<sup>18</sup> Achmat Davids, The Mosques of Bo-Kaap (Athlone: The South African Institute of Arabic and Islamic Research 1980) 33

<sup>19</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 106

<sup>20</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op cit 111-112

<sup>21</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 112.

<sup>22</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 131-135.

Da Costa and Davids, op. cat. 133.

<sup>24</sup> J.L. Cilliers, Die Tabligh-beweging en sv invloed onder die Suid-Afrikaanse Mosleins (M.A. thesis, University of the Western Cape 1983) 115.

Oudekraal), Imam And al-Latif Qadi (d. 1917; a Chishti; sent by Sufi Sahib of Durban, established the Habibiyya Mosque complex), and Sayyid Muhsin b. Salim al Idrus (d. 1934; from Mecca; he passed on to later generations practices such as the ism al latif and the bismilläh dhikr). Other visitors who strengthened the tradition of tasawwuf were Maulana Abd al-Alim al-Siddigi al-Oadin from India (he visited in 1935; in 1953 he inspired the establishment of the Islamic Publications Bureau, the forerunner of the Muslim News: the Habibiyya Siddique Muslim Pipe-Band Brigade, the Siddique Primary School, and the Siddique Mosque in Elsies River are named after him); Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Khushtar Siddigi al-Qadiri Razvi from Mauritius (his visits in 1968, 1970, 1978; led to the creation of branches of the Sunni Razvi Society International in Cape Town, Durban, Pietermaritzburg, Johannesburg and Pretoria, which revived the Halga-e-zikr); Maulana Fadl al-Rahman al-Ansari al-Oadiri from Pakistan (visits in 1970 and 1974); Hazrat Zayn al-Abidin al-Qadiri from India (visits in 1961, 1973 and 1983; instrgated the construction of a Qadiri khānagāh in Athlone in 198025); Arif-Allah Ashraf al-Chishti al-Nidhami al-Ashrafi from India (visits in 1970, 1976 and 1992); Sheikh Umar Abdullah from the Comoros (1981), Peer Bashullah Shah Ashrafi from India (visits in 1981 and 1985), and al-Haj Muhammad Ja'far Sheikh Al-Aleemi al-Qadıri from Pakistan (visited in 1985).

Imam Abdullah Kadi Abdus Salaam (Tuan Guru) exemplifies the Sufi preoccupations of the early Cape Muslims. He was brought to the Cape in 1780 and held captive on Robben Island until 1793. On his release Tuan Guru displayed the same miraculous powers as his predecessors. He met a farmer at the Riebeeck Square Market and asked him what he had in his cart. The farmer replied ironically that he had stones. Tuan Guru touched the cart, and when the farmer went to unload his produce, he found it had indeed turned into stones. It was only after he had located Tuan Guru again that the produce turned back into potatoes. Tuan Guru made use of a fortunetelling Kitaab for divination, as well as for remedies for all kinds of illnesses. Similarly he dispensed azeemats (talismans). His book on jurisprudence (figh) is interspersed with incantations and sacred cures. Oral tradition also attributes impressive miraculous powers to Tuan Said who is said to have entered the locked and guarded Slave Lodge with a Koran under his arm, without being seen by the guards.<sup>26</sup> According to Abdul Kader Tayob the historical conditions of slavery and repression seem to have favoured the popularity of secretive and mystical forms of Islam.<sup>27</sup> Later after freedom of religion had been proclaimed in 1804, mosques were built and *madrasas* created. This meant the open establishment of Islam, based on legal and theological foundations.

The second influx of Muslims, who came from India as free traders and settled in Natal and Transvaal, also brought with them a form of Islam strongly associated with Sufi practices. According to Greyling, 28 it is popular among orthodox Indian Muslims to follow one of the 'saints' of a Sufi order, or tarīqa, in particular the Qādiriyya, the Naqshbandiyya and the Chishtiyya. He observed that their connection with the orders was often rather loose, but there is a commitment to the disciplines of these orders which are followed as far as possible. Many of these Muslims when they visit India or Pakistan make a point of visiting the pirs of their orders

Among the famous Sufi sheikhs of the second Muslim influx were Sheikh Ahmad Badshah Pir (d. 1894) and members of the Dabhel 'Uthmānī family' Maulānā Jalāl ud-Dīn who arrived in South Africa around 1900, his brother Maulana Habibullah (who remained in his room for forty years, immersed in dhikr allāh), and the latter's sons Maulana Muhammad Saʿid who died in Johannesburg in 1989, and Maulana Sufi Sayyed Muḥammad ʿAbed Mia who died in Ladysmith in 1945.29

In 1895 Shāh Ghulām Muḥammad Habībī (d. 1911), known as Sufi Ṣāhib, a mystic affiliated with the Chishtiyya<sup>30</sup> order, arrived in Durban from India. He also had strong connections with a second order, the Qādinyya,<sup>31</sup>

It was around 1892 that Sufi Sāḥib, imām in Kalyān east of Bombay, experienced a vision of the Kacba in Mecca. Because of his

<sup>26</sup> Davids, The Mosquex 17-20.

<sup>27</sup> Al-Qulam, March 1994, 19

<sup>28</sup> Greyling, op. cit. 30.

Zuleikha Mayet, 'Maulana Süfi Sayyed Muhammad 'Abed Mia 'Uthmän: Haunfi, Nagshabandi, Dabheli', al- ilim xu (Durban 1992) 38-49; 40

On the Chishtiyya and its teachings, see Chishtiyya, in El u, 50-56; cf. G.R. Smith, 'A Muslim Saint in South Africa', African Studies xxviii 44 (1969) passim

<sup>31</sup> For this brief discussion of the Chishtiyya, the Qadanyya and Sufi Şahib I have drawn extensively on G.R. Smrth's article. See also Muslum Digest xxxv/10,11 (May/hane 1985) 131

vision he set out to perform the pilgrimage. At the tomb of Muhammad in Medina he experienced a sudden transformation — the beginning of his mystic career. He then went to Baghdad where the prominent Qādiri, Shah Ghulam Mustafā Efendi, accepted him as a murid. After about eight months Sufi Ṣāhib's pīr advised him to go to Hyderabad in India. In 1894 Sufi Ṣāhib then became the murīd of the Chishtī walī, Habib 'Alī Shāh in Hyderabad, who advised him to go to South Africa. Being spiritually guided by his pīr, he settled in the Riverside area of Durban where he built a mosque and a khānqāh. Many wished to attach themselves to Sufi Ṣāhib as a murīd and in 1900 he visited India where Habib 'Alī Shāh granted him the khitāfa, the spiritual succession awarded by the pīr when the murīd is sufficiently well versed in the disciplines of the order. In this way Sufi Ṣāhib became part of the sitsila ieading from Habib 'Alī Shāh back to the Prophet Muhammad himself.

The performance of miracles and other extraordinary phenomena have been ascribed to Sufi Sāhib. Numerous examples of his ability to foretell the future, as well as spiritual communication with his pīr, are cited. On the voyage from Bombay to Durban in 1895 an epidemic of dysentery broke out among the passengers. This disease was eradicated when those affected drank water specially treated by Sufi Şāhib. When he settled in the Riverside district, Sufi Ṣāhib was requested by a Hindu priest to get rid of a particularly ferocious python which was terrorising the neighbourhood. By simply asking the creature to leave, Sufi Ṣāhib brought about its disappearance. Hindus regarded him as a man of extraordinary powers and ability. They would come to consult him in great numbers, if they were sick or had other troubles.

The small Muslim minority at the time sought to integrate themselves with the Hindu majority in South Africa. They were rapidly forgetting their obligatory Islamic practices. It was a measure of the success of Sufi Ṣāhib that the Muslims were gradually moulded into a relatively homogeneous community. He put great emphasis on education. By choosing his assistants well and farming them out across most of Natal and other parts of southern Africa in the traditional Chishti manner, the simple message of strict adherence to the laws of Islam was brought to the people. The "urs (death anniversary) of Sufi Ṣāhib is to this day celebrated with special ceremonies and rituals at his dargah (tomb) in Durban. His dargāh is still visited by many Hindus.

Over the years a serious decline in Sufism has set in. According to Kähler, 32 the degeneration of Islamic mysticism at the Cape is exemplified in particular by the regular 'khalifa'-ceremony of the Rifacivya during which swords, or needles, are inserted into the body. The musical instruments and the names of Muhammad, 'Ali, Fatima, Hasan and Husayn hint at Shit influence. In Kahler's judgement the leaders of the brotherhoods at the Cape (the Sammaniyya, the Oadiriyya and the Naqshbandiyya) are not mystics in the customary sense, but attempt by means of repeating particular formulas and prayers, along with the to-and-fro movement of the upper body, or turning about in circles, to enter into a trance and achieve supernatural powers. Cilliers<sup>33</sup> found that the fact that the khalifa-ceremony had degenerated into a commercial attraction aroused disapproval which contributed to the decline of Sufism. During the first half of the twentieth century Islam reached the lowest ebb of its existence in South Africa. Superstition became amalgamated with religious practices. Muslims, and subsequently the tenets of their faith, became associated with black magic.34

Muslim scholars told the present author that their disillusionment with traditional Sufism from the nineteen-sixties on was the result of Sufism's increasing superficiality and the involvement of its adherents in unacceptable behaviour, even such as drug trafficking. At the same time a growing number of young South African Muslims enjoyed the opportunity to study in Saudi Arabia, having received generous grants from organisations like the World Assembly of Muslim Youth. When they came back to South Africa, they joined in the campaign against Sufism.

But Sufism, engraved as it was in the souls of the South African Muslim community, was not going to be eradicated so easily. Figures such as Maulana Abdul Hadı and Maulana Mukaddam, who are skilled in writing in English, have helped revive Sufism since the beginning of the nineteen-eighties. At the same time local Muslim publications repeatedly publicized the continuation of celebrations of the death anniversary of a Sufi saint known as an 'urs. One of these, the 'urs of Maulana Dr. Muhammad Fazl-ur Rahman al-Ansari al-Qaderi, is commemorated annually in South Africa. The seventh

34 Ibid 27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Kähler, op.cit. 456

<sup>33</sup> Cilliers, op. cst. 115, cf. E. Adam, 'The History of Islam to South Africa', The Muslim Digest vin/11(Durban, June 1958) 27-32, 27

commemoration was held on 19 March 1981 by members of the Silsila Qaderiyah Aleemiyah. The *Muslim News* for a long time carried a regular feature 'Qur'anic Foundations' which contained selections

from the writings of this scholar.

The 'urs sharif of Hazrat Sultanul Auliya Ghousal Azam Abdul Qadir Jilani, founder of the Qādiriyya order, was held at the Habibia Khanqah in Rylands Estate on 13 February 1983.<sup>35</sup> On 15 April 1984 a group of fourty Muslims celebrated the 'urs of Shaikh Sayed Abdurahman Matura at the Kramat on Robben Island, whereas it had been held the previous year on the Rylands Estate.<sup>36</sup> The ninetieth 'urs of Hazrat Soofie Sahib was celebrated in April 1985 by his Sufi family. The Sunni Razvi Society International celebrated the 'urs sharif of Maulana Ahmed Khan Qadari from 6 to 10 November 1985 in Chatsworth Durban. The 'urs was attended by three thousand people and ended with a pledge to establish an Islamic Centre.<sup>37</sup> The ninety-third annual 'urs sharif of Hazrat Shaikh Ahmad Badsha Peer (RA) took place at the khānqāh of Hazrat Soofie Sahib Badsha Peer in Durban beginning on 10 October 1987.<sup>38</sup>

The revival of Sufism has also been reflected in the formation of the Cape Mazaar (Kramat) Society in 1982. The basic aim of the Society is to maintain the 'Holy Shrines of our forbears, who sowed

the seeds of Islam in Southern Africa'.39

A full renovation of the Sufi Şāḥib masjid-dargāh complex was completed in 1988 at a cost of more than R100,000,00 which is indicative of his continued popularity within the present-day community.<sup>40</sup> The Mazaar and the Sufi Sahib Mosque were declared national monuments by the South African Government in 1978. Sufi Sāhib, the eminent benefactor of the poor, and his family have built some thirteen mosques and other institutions in South Africa including an orphanage and an Islamic nursery, thanks to which, it is claimed, Muslims since 1895 have derived, and still derive, immense spiritual, educational and material benefits.<sup>41</sup>

Before 1970 the Moulood, the Prophet Muhammad's birthday,

used to be celebrated annually by the Muslim community. At such occasions senior ulama played a leading role and would deliver the main address. After this date, however, the size and number of these celebrations increased, and the the *Muslim News* published a regular "Moulood-un-nabi feature".42

'Moulood' celebrations are most popular at the Cape where they are conducted through the mosques. There are many clubs called 'Moulood Jamaahs' which have either exclusively male or female membership and whose only function is the celebration of the Prophet's birthday. Their celebrations are participated in fully by the community.43 Al-Qalam reported in January 1983 that thousands attended the 'Meelad-e-Mustapha Conference', convened by the Ahle Sunnat Wal Jamaat of South Africa from 14 to 16 January 1983 in Durban. The conference was inaugurated by Maulana Shah Ahmed Noorani Siddiqui from Pakistan. Several speakers emphasised the importance of celebrating Meelad. On 22 and 23 January 1983 the Wagdaanievah Moulood Jamaa of Bridgetown celebrated Mouloodun-Nabi at the Bridgetown Welfare Crèche, and was pleased at the overwhelming public turn-out. The Jamaa was formed in 1980 in an effort to perpetuate the commemoration of the birthday of the Holy Prophet. The Jamaa expressed the hope that the Moulood celebrations would become an annual event in Bridgetown.44

In 1988 the Hospital Welfare and Muslim Educational Movement organised an Eid-e-Milad-un-Nabi programme at the Good Hope Centre which drew sixteen madrasas and schools from all over the Peninsula and was attended by almost three thousand people.<sup>45</sup>

Yet, taşawwaf did not go unchallenged in the community. The advent of the Tabligh Jamaat and a new interpretation of Sufism among the ulama intensified action against traditional Sufism in the country. The ulama introduced a reform of Sufism to make it compatible with the sharia. It is questionable whether this 'reformed Sufism' promoted by the ulama can still be called Sufism. In real terms it amounted to a serious attack on Sufism because whereas traditional Sufism was ostensibly continued, it had in fact been stripped of its essential contents.

<sup>35</sup> Muslim News 11/2, 1983, 13

<sup>36</sup> Muslim News 20/4/1984, 13.

<sup>17</sup> Al-Qulam 10/12 December 1985

<sup>38</sup> Maslim Views October 1987, 16.

<sup>39</sup> Muslim News 3/9, 1982, 11 40 Mahida, Ebrahim Makomed, History of Muslims in South Africa. A Chronology Durban 1993, 45

<sup>41</sup> Mustim Digest xxxiv/11(June 1984) 260.

<sup>42</sup> Por example, Muslim News 16/1/1981, 2

 <sup>43</sup> Davids, The Mosques 25.
 44 Muslim News 11/2/1983. 7

<sup>45</sup> Muslim Views, December 1988, 2.

Sufism in South Africa derived from several sources. Islam at the Cape emerged as an interesting blend of East and West, concerning which orthodox Islam has many reservations. 46 Its establishment is strongly linked to Sheikh Yusuf, a prominent Sufi murshid originally from the East Indies. Another line, within the Muslim community of Indian descent, was represented by Sheikh Ahmad Badshah Pir (d. 1894) and Shāh Ghulām Muḥammad Habibi (d. 1911), known as Sufi Sāhib.

Reformed Sufism follows yet another line<sup>47</sup> in which the name of Maulana Ashraf Alı Thanvi (d. 1943), a founding father of the Deobandi Dăr al-"Ulum,48 figures prominently. This line is adhered to by South African Muslims who studied in Jalalabad (India) where the spiritual custodian is Hadhrat Mohammed Maseehullah Khan, khalifa of Hadhrat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, and by the large number of graduates of Deoband<sup>49</sup> who follow Shaykh al-hadith Maulana Muhammad Zakariyya Sahib. Maulana Zakariyya received his Ijaazat and Khilaafat from Maulana Sharkh Khalil Ahmad Sahib in 1344 h. in Medina, but was also associated with Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, whose works he repeatedly cites as authoritative. Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi is frequently cited as an authority in the ultra-conservative tabloid The Majlis of Maulana A.S. Desai of Port Elizabeth (since 1976), which represents the Majlis al-'ulamā' of South Africa (Port Elizabeth). In madrasas under the control of Deobandi "ulamā" in the Transvaal, the study of the work Behesti Zewar has been introduced. Written by Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, it covers a wide range of activities and is said to be 'enormously influential' 50

The internal conflict in the Muslim community over traditional

46 Davids, op. cit. 1

Sufi practices coincided with the introduction of the Tablighi movement into this country. In 1963 the Tablighi Jamaat movement was introduced to South Africa from Mecca and India by Mr. G.M I. Padia of Umzinto in Natal (who came to know the movement while on hajj) and Maulana Qutbuddin Kagee (who became familiar with the movement in India).<sup>51</sup> It found fertile ground in the Transvaal and Natal provinces where it was promoted by the regional ulama bodies. The Tablighi movement was opposed by modernist youth and intellectuals, as well as by the Barēlwī-group.<sup>52</sup> Since the international ijtimā<sup>c</sup> of 1979 and the visit of Maulana Muhammad Zakariyya Sahib to South Africa in 1981, the Tablīghī Jamaat movement has grown significantly.<sup>53</sup> Maulana Muhammad Zakariyya (born 1898) infused new life into the practice of Sufism in South Africa, but his was a revised form of Sufism.<sup>54</sup> Thus a struggle for the soul of the Muslims of South Africa began.

Although the Tablighi movement made use of the infrastructure of the Sufi movement, 55 in particular it was the reinterpretation of Sufism to conform absolutely to the sharia, as preached by Maulana Zakariyya, that conquered the hearts and minds of the ulama. Consequently, the Tablighi Jamaat and, even more so the ulama, initiated a process of 'cleansing' of traditional Sufi practices, which also aimed at abolishing the celebration of the Prophet's birthday Obviously this action provoked resistance from adherents to traditional Sufism. In particular a conflict arose between the 'Deobandis' and what was branded as the 'Barelvi<sup>56</sup> Menace'. Traditional Sufism became associated with popular Islam, in distinction to the official Islam of the ulama.

Nevertheless, even in ulama circles an outsider is often surprised at the extent of respect paid towards a *murshid*. As Schimmel has observed, a modern man may vehemently deny miracles and saintworship in rational debate and be highly critical of mysticism in any form, but he may then show veneration at a sacred place or respect to a person who is reported to be a saint, or who comes from an emi-

<sup>47</sup> State the nineteen-eighties Abdul Qadir al-Murabit (Ian Dallas, who formerly also added the words 'al-Sufi al-Darqawy' to his name) and Sheikh Fadlallah flacin have visited. South Africa and acquired some followers. As yet they appear to be outside manistream Islam in South Africa, although the Murabitua movement propagating the Mähki madhlab especially among the black community has been established. An exposé of the 'true realities of Shaykh 'Abdalqadir al-Morabit and the Murabitua World Movement' under the title 'Iun Dallas, the shaykh who has an clothes' has been circulating in South Africa recently

<sup>48</sup> Nadvi Syed Habibul Haq Nadvi, Islamic Resurgent Movements in the Indo-Pak Subcontinent (Durban 1987).

<sup>49</sup> The Däru'l 'Ulum of Deoband was founded in 1867 by Maulana Muhammad Qasuu Nanawtawi

<sup>50</sup> Yousuf Isman Eshak, An Educational Evaluation of the Madressa System of Religious Instruction (Unpublished dissortation, Rand Afrikaans University 1995) 160.

<sup>51</sup> Cilliers, op. cit 116-117

<sup>52</sup> Ibid. 119. It is said that Manlana Thanvi was not in favour of the Tablighi movement and that the movement prohibited the reading of Thanvi's books, see Cilliers op en 120.

<sup>53</sup> Greyling, op. cit. 28.

 <sup>54</sup> Cilliers, op. ca. 115.
 55 Ibid. 19-44, 142

<sup>56</sup> On the Barëlwis, who are the followers of Matiläwi Ahmad Ridā Khān of Barēli (d. 1921), see also the contribution to the present volume by Arthur Buchler, p. 468 ff.

399

nent family of mystics. Muhammad Iqbal more than once attacked the 'Pirism' and backwardness of the so-called 'spiritual leaders' of the Indo-Pakistani Subcontinent; a close study of his work, however, reveals that he himself followed quite closely the Sufi thought of the classical period. He employed the whole range of Sufi imagery in his poetry, though he often endowed it with a new interpretation.<sup>57</sup>

It is in the Cape that the earliest example of outspoken opposition surfaced. In 1970 members of the Tablīghī Jamaat under the leadership of Abd al-Rahman Salie, Sheikh Omar Gabier, the chairman of the Muslim Judicial Council at the time, and Sheikh Abu Bakr Najjaar, then also a member of the Council, came out strongly against some of the ideas expressed by Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Khushter during his lecture tour of the Cape Peninsula. 58 This opposition to the tasawwuf perspective of Islam, as enunciated by Maulana Khushter, degenerated into attempts to disrupt the Maulana's lectures. At the time the Muslim Judicial Council refrained from taking an official stand on the issue, possibly because one of its senior members and doyen of the religious leaders in the Cape Peninsula, Sheikh Ahmad Behardien, had come out in defence of the tasawwuf perspectives. 59

In the nineteen-eighties opposition to traditional Sufism became more intense and resulted in violent confrontation. On 7 March 1987 the Moulood-un-Nabi programme in the Civic Centre of Azaadville, organised by the Ahle Sunnah wal Jama'ah (ASWJ), was disrupted. The fifty-five-year-old Mr. Shaikh Mohideen Sahib died and six people were seriously injured when the Civic Centre was stormed under the leadership of Maulana Abdul Hamid Eshaq. Two weeks earlier the Tablighi Jamaat had made many threats, warning them to call off the Moulood since the celebration of the Prophet's birthday is 'Bidat and un-Islamic'. For a whole week there were talks in the local mosque urging the people not to attend the Moulood. The Tablight Jamaat asked the Local Committee to refuse permission for use of the Civic Centre and collected about fifteen-hundred signatures, but the Committee went ahead and granted use of the hall. On 7 March the Ahle Sunnah Wal Jama'ah were performing dhikr when six to eight hundred members of the Tablighi Jamaat entered. Violence erupted, windows were broken and all the food in the kitchen was thrown onto the floor. As Mohideen Sahib was being beaten, a shot was fired and a member of the *Tablighi Jamaat* was injured.

The ASWJ of Cape Town commented that, 'This despicable barharrsm is the fanatical response against those who wish to honour the Holy Prophet (SAW) through the establishment of Moulood gatherings', Maulana Abdur Rauf Soofie of Durban, who witnessed the incident, said the seeds of conflict were sown when people began declaring Moulood-un-Nabi, 'Urs and Esale-Sawaab functions to be bidea (innovation) and shirk (idolatry). Whereas in Durban they have managed to keep the situation under control, in Transvaal a person has actually been killed. The Muslims of Cape Town, he added, must ensure that no one is allowed to sow the seeds of discord. Sheikh Nazeem Mohammed, President of the Muslim Judicial Council, called the killing a sad moment in the history of South African Muslims. He noted that the dispute concerning the recitation of salawat (prayers in honour of Muhammad in the mosque) and raatiboel haddad (a combination of litanies and invocations) is so deep that it has endured for centuries ever since these practices were introduced to this country by our forefathers

Mosques were appropriated in the name of a particular group and the Friday sermon used to propagate the interpretations of that group. Mosques of the Barēlwī group were closed to the Tablīghī supporters, and the other way round. In January 1981 Sheikh Umar Abdullah from the Comoros was barred by members of the Ahle Sunnat wal Jamaat and the MJC from delivering a lecture in the local mosque in Untenhage, because 'these people' were indulging in practices contrary to Sunni Islam.60 In July 1982 a Maulana and an Imam engaged in a power struggle in the Surrey Estate Mosque that threatened to develop into armed conflict.61

A conference on the occasion of the Prophet's birthday at the Sparks Road Mosque in Durban from 14 to 16 January 1983 was described as one of the most successful gatherings of its kind held in the country. More than ten-thousand people attended the conference which revolved around the personality of the beloved Prophet Muhammad and was organised by the Ahle Sunnat wal Jamaat. The conference took note of the 'constant attacks made on the personality

<sup>57</sup> A Schimme, Mystical Dimensions of Islam (Chapel Hill 1975) 405-406.

<sup>58</sup> Muslan News 17/7 1970

<sup>59</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 138

<sup>60</sup> Muslim News 16/1/1981, 1

<sup>61</sup> Muslim News 16/7/1982, 7

of the Prophet not only from those who are non-Muslims but also those who claim to be Muslims'. The resolutions included that the pan imposed by the Saudi authorities on the Urdu translation of the Holy Koran by Ala Hazrat Maulana Ahmad Raza Khan of Barelvi be lifted immediately, and that the Qadiani and Wahhābi sects be totally rejected by all Muslims It declared that the Wahhābi attacks on the Prophet and Allah (e.g. that Allah has the power to lie) constituted kufr. 62 In South Africa the Wahhabis were associated with the Tablighi movement.

In January 1985 Al-Qalam reported that the feud between the Sunni Jamaat (Barelvi group) and the Tablighi Jamaat, particularly in the Grey Street mosque in Durban, had escalated over the preceding two years from verbal to physical aggression. Two weeks earlier the followers of both groups had exchanged strong words and come to blows after the salāt al-'ishā' in the Grey Street mosque. As the conflict between these two groups intensified over the years, they had begun to entrench themselves in the respective mosques. The mosques had come under ideological siege, as each group tried to impose its understanding of Islam on the rest of the community. The battle was directed from the mihrāb, particularly on Fridays.

In January 1985 Maulana Abdul Hadi came to Cape Town at the request of musallees of the Jumah Masjid in Westridge, Mitchells Plain, for the celebration of a Moulood-un-Nabi. The Mosque Committee disapproved and permission was refused by the Imam of the Mosque. There were also objections to the presence of Maulana Abdul Hadi. A hasty meeting convened between the Ahle Sunnat Wal Jamaat of South Africa (ASWJ) and the Muslim Judicial Council allowed the Moulood-un-Nabi celebration to go ahead since it was agreed that the function did not violate any Islamic principle. The great success of the function and the realisation of the importance of Moulood-un-Nabi celebrations led the previously hesitant Mosque Committee to decide to hold the celebration every year. 63 There was obviously much sympathy for the Moulood-un-Nabi among the general public. The MJC wished to comply with these feelings

The Majlis advised against the building of rival mosques:

'We find a situation where a group of the Sunnah intends to set up its own Musjid because the Ahl e-Bid'ah in control of the existing Musjid disallow our Ulama from lecturing in the

Muspid or prevent Kitaab-reading or other Tableegh activities in which the people of Haqq are involved... this is not a valid reason for setting up an opposition Muspid. the purpose of the Muspid is Salant... as long as masallis are not hindered in their duty of Salant, there is no need to set up another Muspid 64

At the start of 1983 a crowd of almost four thousand attended the inauguration of the Darul Uloom Aleemiyah Razvia<sup>65</sup> — built at a cost of R250,000 in Chatsworth, Durban — and heard all the speakers laud the opening of the institution as a major step in 'keeping the flame of Islam alive' in this country. It is the first Darul Uloom of the Ahle Sunnah wal Jamaat in South Africa. Maulana Shah Ahmad Noorani Siddiqui, son of Maulana Abdul Aleem Siddiqui, alluded to the disunity existing among the Muslims of South Africa. He posed the question whether there was disunity when Hazrat Badsha Peer and Hazrat Sufi Sahib established Islam in this country and whether Maulana Shah Ahmad Mukhtar Siddiqui and Maulana Abdul Aleem Siddiqui brought disunity:

"We are the followers of Hazrat Badsha Peer and Hazrat Soofie Sahib, and this Durul Uloom has been built to safeguard their moral preachings. And Hazrat Badsha Peer and Hazrat Soofie Sahib were the followers of Sultanul Hind Khwaja Monuddin Chishti, who in turn was the follower of the Holy Prophet. Distantly is caused by the new ideas of people who differ from Hazrat Badsha Peer and Hazrat Soofie Sahib, who were in turn followers of the Prophet Muhammad. 66

In 1985, Maulana Ibrahim Adam, then a member of the Fatwah Committee of the Muslim Judicial Council, attacked the *taṣawwuf* tradition in Islam in a tecture entitled the 'Barelvi Menace'.<sup>67</sup> This lecture caused a furore. In March 1985 the ASWJ organised a function in Athlone to answer Molvi Ebrahim Adam's challenge. It was attended by about fifteen-hundred people. The ulama who represented the ASWJ were Maulana Abdul Hadi, Maulana Mukaddam, Maulana Abdur Rauf Soofi and Mufti Naseem Ashraf Habibi, principal of the Darul Uloom Aleemiyah Razvia in Chatsworth, Natal.<sup>68</sup> Maulana Adam refused to make an appearance to present his

<sup>62</sup> Musum News 28, 1/1983 5

<sup>63</sup> Mustum News 21/6/1985, 12.

<sup>64</sup> The Majlis vin/5, The Muslim Digest xxxix/5&6 (Dec. 1988/Jan. 1989) 44

<sup>65</sup> In 1984 the Darol Uloom had sixty-five full time and twenty-five part-time students Additional land has been bought under the condition that buildings to the value of at least R 200 000 00 will soon be erected there (Matuda, op. cit. 125)

<sup>66</sup> Muslim News 11/2/1983, 15.

<sup>67</sup> Muslim News 15/3/1985.

<sup>68</sup> Muslim Digest March/April 1985

case 69 The matter eventually fizzled out 70

Since its establishment on the occasion of an awareness meeting in May 1984, the Ahle Sunnat wal Jamaat of SA (Cape) has made great progress, far beyond its own expectations. The awareness meeting was attended by Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Al-Qaderi, Maulana Abdul Hadı Al-Qaderi, Maulana Ahmad Mukaddam Al-Qaderi, Sheikh Abubakr Abdur Rauf, Professor Hassen Al-Qaderi, Sheikh Mohammed Karlu, Sheikh Nazeem Mohammed, Imam Karjieker, Dr. A.K. Wahab, Dr. Mohideen Khan and many other community leaders.71 The support and attendance of about seven-hundred people emphasised the need for an awareness programme.72

The ASWJ successfully organised lecture tours by Maulana Abdul Hadı, Maulana Mukaddam and Maulana Abdur Rauf Soofi. All these lectures were well received by the public to the extent that numerous requests have been received by the ASWJ to bring more ulama of their calibre to the Cape for lecture tours. Maulana Mukaddam delivered lectures in December 1984 at the Habibia Masud, Masiid-us-Salaam (St Athans Road), Cravenby Masiid and at the Ghousia Manzil in Rylands. In his lecture on Moulood-un-Nabie he outlined the reason for this great celebration and removed all doubts that there was any bidat attached to it.73 Soon afterwards Maulana Soofi returned to Cape Town for a series of lectures at the Park Road Mosque in Wynberg, Cravenby Mosque, Bridgetown Mosque, Bonteheuwel Mosque, Ghousia Manzil, Westridge Mitchells Plain Mosque and Grassy Park Mosque.

While in South Africa on a visit from Pakistan in 1989, Maulana Kaukab Noorani Okarvi challenged the Jamiat ul-'ulamā' of Transvaal and Natal and Maulana Ebrahim Adam of Stellenbosch (who had widely disseminated taped lectures about the 'Barelvi menace') to discuss causes of division in the South African Muslim community. The challenge was not taken up.74

Since the beginning of the controversy at least two Dar al-culums had been established in the Transvaal area along the lines of the ulama who do not support the traditional Sufi approach: the Dar al-'ulum Zakariyya (built after the visit of Sheikh Muhammad Zakarivya in 1983, with more than two-hundred students today) and the Dár al-culum of Azaadville.

The Sunni Razvi Jamaat of Durban published as a supplement to the Muslim News of 31 July 1970 a definition of bidea which was overtly aimed against the Tablight movement. A letter to the Muslim News in May 1983,75 signed 'The Observer', distinguished between Tablight Maulanas (who had established themselves in South Africa over the previous twenty years and tell Muslims what is and is not true Islam) and other Maulanas, strangely known as 'Holy Men'. The tetter pointed out that such titles could only originate from India where Holy Men of all sorts abound The letter called on Muslims to adhere to the immutable law of the Holy Koran and the Sunnah and the noble examples of the Khulafa - a subtle attack on Sufis, implying that the latter are perpetuating Hindu practices. In 1984 the Muslim News carried a series of three articles on Sufism. The second article76 implicitly justified and promoted traditional Sufism, in distinction to the pronouncements of the ulama.

The most outspoken criticism of traditional Sufism in South Africa is contained in numerous issues of the The Majlis. The Majlis also announced that the Y.M.M.A. of Benoni had published a book in reply to an earlier book called 'Tableeghi Jamaat' which abounds with distortions, half-truths and makeshift interpretations to suit the views and aims of the Qabar Pujaari Jamaat of Durban.77 According to the Muslim Youth Movement, the fight was about 'Deen': 'Each group was claiming to have the right and correct idea of what the Deen is all about. Both groups express their love for the Prophet in

word and deed in different ways."

The two books mentioned in The Majlis belong to the spate of books and brochures on the issue of Sufism which have seen the light of publication in South Africa since the beginning of the nineteen-eighties, when anti-government action began in earnest, and after centuries of silence. Most of these books were from the reformist camp indicating a firm will to confront traditional Sufism.

In November 1984 a spokesman of the ASWJ said the Jamaat is not a new movement since throughout history the Ahle Sunnah line has been followed by leading ulama and the noble souls who brought

<sup>69</sup> Muslim News , 5/3, 1985

<sup>70</sup> Da Costa in Da Costa and Davids, op. cit. 138.

<sup>71</sup> Muslim News 21/6/1985, 12.

<sup>72</sup> Muslim News 21/6/1985, 12.

<sup>73</sup> Muslim News 21/6/1985, 12

<sup>74</sup> Muslim Views Jan -Feb. 1989, 3.

<sup>75</sup> Muslim News 20/5/1983, 13 76 Muslim News 4/5/1984, 14.

<sup>77</sup> The Majlis vil 12.

the message of Islam to South Africa 78 Arguments invoked in favour of traditional Sufism include: the claim that controversial Sufi practices were already current in the lifetime of the Prophet Muhammad; they accord due honour to the Prophet in face of constant attacks against his person by people who profess to be Muslims, Sufism was practised in this country for centuries after it had been brought here by the forefathers, without any controversy; the chain of authorities attesting these practices is impeccable (Hazrat Badsha Peer and Hazrat Soofie Sahib were the followers of Sultanul Hind Khwaja Moinuddin Chishtī, who in turn was the follower of the Holy Prophet).

The Muslim Digest79 rejected the arguments of the Deobandi/Wahhābī Najdi schools of thought against the celebration of the Prophet's birthday and pointed out that Haji Imdadullah Mohajir al-Makkı and Rashid Ahmed Gangohi, the great Sufi, Wali and Murshid of the Ulemas of Deoband, as well as Ashraf Ali Thanya. Mohammed Kassim Nanotvi (the founder of the Daarul Ulum Deoband) and Khalil Ahmed Anbethvi, celebrated the Mauloodun Nabi every year and gave lectures at these functions. Moreover, the Prophet himself used to offer a feast to his Companions on the night of his birth. When Abū Bakr was caliph, he used to call the Companions to assemble on the mawlood night, and they would talk about the miraculous events that took place when Rasülullah had honoured the world with his presence. All four rightly-guided caliphs recommended the maulood nabi. Hadrat Maulana Jalal addin Rumi declared that the places where Mawlood was read would be safe from calamities and disasters. It is stated in a hadith that if Allah endows one of His servants with the art of writing and speech, he should eulogise Rasülullah and censure the latter's enemies. In his book Dalā'il Khayrāt, Hadrat Muhammad b. Sulaymān al-Jazūli explains the importance and the benefits of pronouncing salawat on Rasulullah, and then gives a list of the salawat extracted from hadiths and those recited by the Companions which he had collected himself. The Muslim Digest suggested that the celebration of the Prophet's birthday be used in the new South Africa to promote da'wa among non-Muslims 80

In an article justifying traditional Sufi practices in South Africa in the Muslim News, 81 the author noted that alleviating the sufferings of humanity is a great service rendered by a Sufi. The Sufi participates in the sorrows of man as well as his joys. It is the example of the prophets which a Sufi follows. The only duty which all prophets of Allah had imposed on people was: 'Serve Allah, and eschew Evil' (Koran 16/36). The history of Islam bears witness that this was not effectively fulfilled either by religious scholars ('ulamā') or by jurists (Faqeeh) or by rulers (Salaateen) but only by the Sufis, whose name the common people still repeat with love and veneration. The difference between a scholar and a Sufi was explained as follows:

"The sacred reachings of Islam, published in the books and taught in the schools, are fully practised by the Sufis in their khangahs. The Sufis kept alive the spiritual and moral values of Islam. No one but the Sufis have contributed so much to the character building of the people They always had the courage of speaking the truth in the face of wrathful rulers. When the socalled rationalism penetrated into the thoughts of the Mashims and subjected the Qur'an to the rationalists' intellectual whims, the Sufis came forward to remove its evil effects by inculcating love for Allah in the people. When certain Muslim scholars laid stress on the outward manufestation of the religion, the Sufis emphasised the purity of the hearts as well, thus maintaining for the people a middle path to tread. The Sufis always repudated un-islamic beliefs and polytheratic ideas (Shirk). The Sufis preached treading in the way of Allah (Infang Fee Sabeelilah) against the tendency to amass wealth. The Sulis preached Islam to the kings. When certain Muslim scholars were creating schisms and hatred in the Muslim polity, the Sufis were preaching love and harmony. When certain Muslim scholars indulged in declaring each other infidel, the Sulis converted infidels to the fold of Islam. While the scholars and the jurists generally sought high positions in the State and desired proximity with the rulers, the Sufix kept themselves at a distance from the kings and exposed the element of corruption from outside. While certain scholars initiated the controversy of the custence of Allah with or without His Attributes, the Sufis discouraged such fruitless debate and, instead, advised people to purify their hearts in order to have Allah's perception. While certain scholars had been applying their energy and learning in giving different interpretations to the words of Allah, the Sulis were only generating His fear in the hearts of the people. While the scholars nourished the mind, the Suffix purified and invigorated the heart, which is the pivot of a Muslim's spiritual life.

The article concluded with an anecdote relating how an Imam knows the Book of Allah, whereas the Sufi knows Allah Himself That is why the Sufi's status was far higher than that of the Imam

<sup>78</sup> Mustun News 25/1/, 985, 7

<sup>79</sup> The Mustim Digest 44/7&8 (Feb./March 1994) 258-259.

<sup>80</sup> The Muslim Digest 40/8&9 (March/April 1990) 29; 44/7&8 (February/March 1994).

<sup>81</sup> Muslim News 4/5/1984, 14

Arguments which recur in the present debate against traditional Sufism include: customs such as the celebration of the Prophet's birthday are bid a and un-Islamic; it is shirk to venerate holy men: such veneration is a survival of Hindu practices. Critics of traditional Sufi practices claim not to be against Sufism in principle. Their objection is that Sufis, under the cover of Sufism, are spreading different beliefs and practices among the masses who had already contracted various forms of shirk through the influence of the polytheistic culture of India. The critics support Ahmad Sirhindi (d. 1624) who defended the sharta against Sufi preachers who believed that the sharia was an empty form devoid of the reality which they sought in their tariaa. These Sufis exalt their kashf (intuition) over the wahy (revelation) of the Prophet; they do not recoil from saying that the real tawhid was in Ibn al-'Arabi's philosophy of wahdat alwujūd (Ansari 1986). These Sufis are branded as Ahl-e-Bid ah, as a group of 'grave-worshippers' who 'exercise absolutely no Islamic Hiraab (Purdah) whatever 1.82

Some people call in supplication upon Hadhrat Sayyid Abdul Quadir Jilaani to hear them and take their  $du^c\bar{a}^s$  to Allah. The underlying belief is shirk and harām: 'It is not lawful to call out in supplication in this manner nor is it permissible to hold the shirk (polytheistic) belief that a saint has power to answer and attend to one's supplication'. 83 The Majlis is against the practice of 'so-called "Islamic Faquers" who are alleged to plunge knives and swords into their bodies without inflicting harm on themselves. 84 The Majlis recommends the Tabligh Jamaat, since it 'does not introduce new ideas and new practices into the Deen. The Tabligh Jamaat does not advocate a religion based on an individual's opinion. The aim of the Tabligh Jamaat is to revive and teach the very same Sunnah practices of Rasulullah...'85

In 1987, The Majlis used the complete front page and a section of the back page to attack 'the curse of grave-worship' in strong language 86 It is claimed that the Qabar Pujaari Jamaat of Durban was involved in desperate schemes and conspiracies to bolster its faltering movement. 'Some years earlier laymen were easily beguiled into accepting the acts of qabar puja (grave-worship) which

the members of this idolatrous cult were deceptively propagating un der the guise of Islam and under the banner of Hubbe Rasool (Love for the Rasool). However, the efforts of the Ulama-e-Haqq have borne wonderful fruit over the years in that former qabar pujaaris and bid atis have seen the Light of Haqq and have come over to the Path of the Sunnah by the thousand'. As a result of mass reversions to the Path of the Sunnah by former supporters of the Bid'ati Qabar Pujaari Jamaat, the revenue of this sect has decreased drastically They are therefore 'presently engaged in an all-out effort of life and death to win back their former supporters and to prevent further mass crossing to the Haqq'. 'The Qabar Pujaari sect is akin to the Shiahs in the expression of hatred and malice for the Ahlus Sunnah... Muslims should be on their guard against these semi-Shiah worshippers of graves. Their religion of rituals consists of only the clamour of "Hubbe Rasool", the slogan of Takbeer, rituals of grave-worship. merry-making festivals, singing, dancing, qawwaali headed by dagga-smoking gawwaals (singers), feasting and skinning ignorant people of their money in the names of the dead Auliya of Allah Tacala'. The same issue of The Majlis contains an article condemning the celebration of the Prophet's birthday.

In other issues The Majlis<sup>67</sup> deals with allegations of the Barelyi group who have branded Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, a founding member of Deoband, as a kāfir. The periodical attacks the Qabar Pujaari sect as grave-worshippers because they 'make tawaaf of the graves of saints; they make sajdah to the graves and they make dua to the saints in the graves'.88

Relations between the Sufis and the rulers were often strained, since the mystics were not favourable to any contact that might pollute their pure intentions. That is why the dervish is often made the mouthpiece of social criticism: he puts his finger on the wound of society and points to the corrupt state of affairs. 89 Some of the fundamentalist Muslim leaders, like Hasan al-Bannā, the founder of the Muslim Brothers, came from a background of strong connections with Sufi orders: it was from the Sufis that he learned his methods of channelling the enthusiasm of people into religious activities. 90 The

<sup>82</sup> The Majhs vu(1987)/1

<sup>83</sup> The Moftis vis (1987)/1

 <sup>84</sup> The Majlis vi (1986)/9
 85 The Majlis vi (1986)/9

<sup>86</sup> The Mails vn(1987)/9

<sup>87</sup> The Majlis viii (1988)/1, see also x (1990)/1

<sup>88</sup> The Majlis viii (1988)/4.

<sup>90</sup> Schummet, op. cit 111 90 Ibid 404

Chishtis refused to have dealings with the worldly government. This mistrust of government became more outspoken with the Chishti saints, who considered everything in the hands of the rulers to be unlawful 91 Traditional Sufism has a special appeal to ordinary Muslims, who could easily identify with the principles of poverty and immediate contact with God which obviates extensive study. The central attitude in Sufi life is that of faqr, 'poverty'. The Koran (35/16) expresses a contrast between man who is in dire need of God, and God, the ever Rich, the Self-sufficient, and here lies one of the roots of the Sufi concept of poverty. Poverty was an attribute of the Prophet. There are numerous legends about the destitute state and the poverty of his household and the members of his family.92

There was a period of good relations between the traditional Sufi movement and the former South African government. This is implied by the mere fact that *The Muslim Digest* of Durban used to publish a letter of goodwill to the Muslim community from the head of the white Nationalist government in the annual Ramadan prestige edition: first it was Dr. D.F. Malan; in April 1958 Nationalist Prime Minister J.G. Strydom; in March 1959 Dr. H.F. Verwoerd; in 1984 and in 1985 Mr. P.W. Botha. This custom has been discontinued since the 1986 issue. It was, in fact, on 20 April 1985 that the presence of Nationalist Minister Barend du Plessis at the Silver Jubilee Celebrations of the Orient Islamic Institute resulted in a walk-out led, among others, by Maulana Abdur Rauf Soofi, Imam of the Westville Masjid. 93

It is no coincidence that Sufism — formerly so inconspicuous as to make well-informed researchers brand it as of little consequence — was coming to the fore at a time when anti-government sentiments amongst Muslims were reaching a high point. Thus the Ahle Sunnat Wa Jamaat of SA (Cape) was established in May 1984. It may be indicative of the previous effective marginalisation of practices of Muslims who were not part of the (colonial/white) political and the recognised religious (ulama) establishment. The ulama were often accused of being handmaidens of the Apartheid government.

Underlying political tensions in the conflict between ulama and Sufis are apparent from Sheikh Abdul Kanem Toffar's rejection in November 1980 of any implication that the death of Imam Abdullah Haroon (d. 27/9/1969) in detention could be commemorated as that of a martyr in the freedom struggle. He referred to 'the real interpretation as per Islamic Law principles and fundamentals' Not even the death and birth of the Nabi is to be 'commemorated' because 'the Prophet never allowed it in his lifetime, also not the sahabah and khulafa after him, for fear of deifying him (like the Christians did to Nabi Isa and their saints). Only 500 years after the death of Muhammad did the Fatimids of Egypt, who were Shia-inclined in doctrine and law, first celebrate the "commemoration" of the birth/death of the nabi'.94 On the occasion of the thirteenth anniversary of the martyrdom of Imam Haroon in 1982, Qibla, the Mushm mass movement of the oppressed people, conducted commemoration campaigns throughout the country. 'Martyrdom is the highest expression of our freedom to choose.' Here again there is the combination of anti-establishment politics and anti-establishment Islam,95 Reporting on the 1983 commemoration of Imam Haroon when Oibla-member Ridwaan Craayenstein outlined a five-point programme for conducting the struggle, Muslim News complained that there was 'as usual, the conspicuous absence of the ulema of the Western Cape; the very people who were the colleagues of Imam Abdullah Haroon<sup>1,96</sup>

When the ulama appealed to South African Muslim youth in August 1982 not to support the revolutionary government of Iran, Muslim students who clashed with Zionist students at Witwatersrand University labelled the Jamiatul 'ulamā' and the Tablighī supporters as 'sterile puppets' of the Apartheid government. They pointed out that the South African mullahs and the Tablighīs never condemned the oppressive laws here, especially the Group Areas Act which collectively removed Muslims from Pageview and elsewhere; never hit out at racial education and the persecution of school children during the boycotts; never condemned Zionism which had murdered innocent children, women and old men in Palestine and Lebanon, never condemned Saudi Arabia's immoral stranglehold over the Haram Sharif knowing full well that the Saudis gambled, fornicated and indulged in extravagant spending; never condemned Saudi Arabia's Rabitah agents in South Africa, knowing full well that the Saudis are

<sup>91</sup> Told 345-351

<sup>92</sup> Ibid 120

<sup>93</sup> Mashin News 3/5/, 985, 3

 <sup>94</sup> Muslim News 28/11/1980, 5.
 95 Muslim News 24/9/1982, 20.

<sup>96</sup> Muslim News 30/9/1983, 16

supporting America, Israel and other imperialist powers.97

Concerned Muslims (a pseudonym for anti-government Muslims in the Cape) organised a mass jum'a for 16 December 1983 to establish a united stand in the Muslim community on 'education and economics'. The idea stemmed from the Islamic Awareness Program that was held every Wednesday at the Habibia Centre. The ulama of the Muslim Judicial Council rejected the idea, but it is interesting that the mass jum'a was motivated by a mass jum'a held by Tuan Guru in a disused quarry at the top of Strand Street in Cape Town. 'Bearing in mind that from 1657 to 1804 anybody who spread Islam in public could be sentenced to death, Tuan Guru organised the jum'ah in defiance of the law'.98 As it happened police prevented the mass jum'a which was eventually scheduled for 28 December 1985 at the Johnson Road sportsground, and fifty-seven people were arrested.99

In May 1983 it was reported that the Cape Town Branch of the (Sufi) Sunni Razvi Society International lodged a serious protest against the 'Saudi government's fatwa' that banned copies of an Urdu translation of the Koran, made by the 'illustrious mujaddid', Ala Hazrat Maulana Ahmad Raza Khan of Barelvi in India. The Society called upon Muslims world-wide to add their voices to the protest. This call had been preceded by a similar call from the Ahle Sunnat wal Jamaat in their meeting in January 1983. 100

In a letter to the Muslim News 101 S. Malick of Athlone made the following points against Wahhābism in support of Maulana Abdur Rauf Soofi: Muhammad Abdul Wahhab found willing allies in Ibn Saud and the British colonialists against the Turks. Abdul Wahhab felt that the Turks were promoting un-Islamic beliefs because of their love and respect for the Prophet and the holy saints of Islam. Ibn Saud felt that the Turks were holding power in what he believed was his country. The British saw the destruction of the Ottoman Empire as a defeat for pan-Islamism which stood between their colonialist designs and the Muslim lands. Today the Wahhābīs are willing pawns of Washington against Iran because 'their warped beliefs do not tolerate the veneration of the Prophet (SAW) and His House as displayed by the Muslims in Iran'. The Tablighis who believe they

are serving the cause of Islam by preaching Islam to Muslims, should realise that they are servants of the Wahhabi political conspiracy. 102

Qibla, the Call of Islam, the Muslim Youth Movement and the Muslim Students' Association condemned the interruption of the Moulood-un-Nabi programme organised by the Ahle Sunnah wal Jamaah of Azaadville in March 1987 and said it is ironical that those very elements who use violence against Muslims, cower in the face of institutionalised and state violence of the Botha regime which is perpetrated against innocent South Africans on a daily basis. 103

The combination of 'Sufi Islam' with political revolt — as an alternative to state-associated ulama Islam - was again illustrated by the appeal of the President of the Muslim Judicial Council, Sheikh Nazeem Mohammed, the director of the Muslim Youth Movement. Maulana Ebrahim Moosa and Cassim Parker of the Islamic Student Society of the University of Cape Town that the Muslim public partake in a halqu-e-dhikr as a means of showing solidarity with those who are in detention and facing trial. It was expected that the call for a centralised halga-e-dhikr would meet with a positive response especially in view of its historical roots in Cape Town. When the pioneers of Islam came to this part of the world they faced severe persecution. Although the Muslims were few in number, their oppressors shuddered at the spiritual might of such luminaries as Shaikh Yusuf, Shaikh Sayyid Nurul Mabeen, Tuan Guru and others, for the latter had invoked the protection of Almighty Allah through the exercise of dhikr Allah, 104

The Muslim Youth Movement was worned that the dispute between the Tablighi Januar and the Sunni Januar would be detrimental to Muslim participation in the liberation struggle. They branded it as more emotional than rational 105 and a fight over marginal issues: 'Both groups have been trying to gain control over the two percent Muslim community, fighting for control of the mosques and territory within that laager. If only they could adjust their vision and commit themselves to the mission to liberate the rest of the country from man-made ideologies'. The theological debate between the two groups has been imported from the Indian sub-continent. It has

<sup>97</sup> Muslim News 3/9/1982 4.

<sup>98</sup> Muslim News 1,121,983, 1, 20

<sup>99</sup> Muslim News 25/1/1985, 3.

<sup>100</sup> Sec above page 395

<sup>101</sup> Muslim News 29/3/1985, 4

<sup>102</sup> Muslim News 29/3/1985, 4.

<sup>103</sup> Muslim Views March 1987, 1-2

<sup>104</sup> Muslim Views March 1988, 3.

<sup>105</sup> Al-Oalom 3/13 March 1987

nothing to do with real Islamic issues and the dynamics of the South African situation. 'The sooner we export this divisive theological nit-picking back to the Indian Subcontinent, the better our chances of getting on with the task of building our country into a land where all the children of Adam will be honoured and their rights upheld. Our dilemina in South Africa is that Muslim leaders have let us down'.

Since the middle of the nineteen-sixties, with the introduction of the Tablighi movement and the line of Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, ulama have demanded a drastic reform of Sufism in which the birthday of the Prophet, the veneration of saints and other similar customary practices are rejected as being shirk, and not part of 'true Sufism'. This reform, largely initiated by the ulama has evoked strong opposition in circles fearing the abolition of Sufi practices. The result has been a revival of conscious commitment to Sufism which has become an alternative to the state-associated ulama, and an ongoing conflict which also has political overtones.

Thus today there are two separate dominant groups representing opposite interpretations of Islam, both organised in tariques, both claiming adherence to Sufism. The two camps follow the organisational structure of Sufism. But the one emphasises a simplistic kind of ascetism, with the absolute transcendence of God, with no intermediaries and total conformity with the sharia. The other makes much of the graves of Sufi spiritual guides, their intercession and the Prophet's birthday. The former enjoys the blessings of the ulama, the latter bears their fierce criticism.

As far as the ulama are concerned, the 'cleansing' process may mean instally maintaining the outward forms of Sufi practices, with the aim of ultimately destroying them. The practice of 'commemoration' has gone so far over the last nine-hundred years that it is nigh impossible to forgo the Nabi's birth or death celebrations. Thus the ulama ruled that the occasion should be used to enlighten the people about true Islam and its practices, thereby circumventing the commemoration entirely but still reaping a positive and practical benefit from the occasion. 106

Sufism in South Africa can be associated with popular Islam, as against the official Islam of the ulama Sufism — formerly so inconspicuous as to make a well-informed researcher like Greyling remark that it was of little consequence — has been strongly coming to the

fore since the nineteen-seventies. The situation before 1970 may be seen as indicative of the effective marginalisation of the practices of ordinary Muslims who were not part of the ruling (colonial/white) political and the religious (ulama) establishment

In the past there was a tendency to see the dichotomy between theological Islam and common Muslim practices (popular Islam) as reflecting a duality based on religious intuition and theological reason — 'strong' official Muslims and 'weak', common or inconsequential Muslims Maulana Ahmad Mukaddam found this attitude consistent with a domineering approach useful for the purpose of colonising peoples through the power of religion. 107

History clearly reflects the relationship between religion and power. What may have been juridically acceptable under the Umayyads may not have been so during Abbasid rule. What Wahhābism labels 'un-Islamic' may have been sanctioned as 'permissible' by the Islamic judiciary when the Turks held the reigns of power. That the ideas of the dominant are the dominant ideas is a political-historical fact. 108 The change of political power in the new South Africa will inevitably also have some effect on its Muslim community in terms of power structures. One can expect — 'despite the conservatism of particular religious orthodoxies — that religious activities will change when the assumptions about the nature of power, and hence the rules which govern its use and control, can no longer guarantee the truth of things'. 109

In South Africa the ulama were often accused of being handmaidens of the Apartheid government, at conspicuous variance with the refusal of Sufi Ṣāḥib to have anything to do with government institutions. It is not difficult to relate religion to class struggle. It is well-known that political prisoners converted to Islam in prison as an additional act of defiance against the Apartheid government, and in the past Muslim political activists under Dutch rule and under the Nationalist Party government may have been incarcerated on the basis of their religious convictions which ran counter to the religio-

<sup>107</sup> See Maulana Ahmad Mukaddam, 'Muslim Common Religious Practices at the Cape. A Search for Definition' (Unpublished paper read at the conference on Approaches to the Study of Islam and Muslim Societies, University of Cape Town 17-19 July 1991, 21 pages) In this section we follow his most informative perspective on these issues, in particular on the power stringgle involved. Maulana Mukaddam is himself a representative of the Barêlwi tradition in South Africa.

<sup>108</sup> Mukaddam, op. cit 13-14

<sup>109</sup> K. Burridge, New Heaven New Earth (Oxford 1980) 7

political interests of the dominant group. 110 Today popular Islam may no longer be restricted to the socio-economically deprived class of Cape Muslim Society. As Mukaddam describes it: 'Access to power, in our case sacred power, is sought and where it is not available in face of countervailing power systems, symbolic actions, reactions and negotiations take place either to re-appropriate the lost power or to compensate for the destabilising dis-empowerment'. 111

The ulama as puntan Muslim authorities consider popular Islam as superstition, miracle-mongering, tomb-worship, mass hysteria and charlatanism from which Muslim society has to be reclaimed for Islam. 112 Such a view is an attempt to dis-empower popular Islam. Control over central symbols guarantees religio-political dominance. Thus Wahhābism, an official form of Islam that espouses an absolutist, puritanical interpretation of Islam, exploits its religious monopoly of permanent custodianship of Islam's holiest places, Mecca and Medina, in order to justify sustained political hegemony over 'Saudi' Arabia, which is the prime motive and interest of the Saudi kings. 113 In the past advocates of puritan Islam have always rejected the popular movement totally, even as they do at present. In the new South Africa Sufism as a popular movement is likely to re-appropriate lost ground or at least to maintain its hold, and to do so more openly.

In 1994 three hundred years of Islam since the coming of Sheikh Yusuf, one of the original founders of the tradition of tasawwuf at the Cape, was commemorated. In the words of Yusuf da Costa: 'This celebration gives community recognition, at least, to the impact of the tasawwuf tradition on the lives of the Muslims at the Cape. At most, the celebration implies the recognition of the religious validity of the tasawwuf perspective in Islam'.114

On 14 October 1994 a ten-member executive of the newly established united ulama body, the United Ulama Council of South

Africa (UUCSA), was appointed — a feat which had been attempted for many years without any success. It is important to note that the Ahle Sunna wal Jamaat is also part of this united body. The establishment of this council was influenced by a centripetal need to strengthen the voice of Muslims in view of their small number in South Africa and the many uncertainties of the new political dispensation under which sensitive issues like abortion, homosexuality and pomography are no longer subject to strict state censorship. On the other hand, this unified body may be perceived by the Ahle Sunna wal Jamaat as a new attempt to limit its power and influence.

What Islam is going to mean for Muslims in the new South Africa is now an issue for debate and action. Tradition plays an important role as a record of what was always done and believed in the past and from which the social norms of society are derived. The question is what is the authentic tradition with which to face the new South Africa.

Opposition to traditional Sufism manifested itself in three stages in South Africa: first there was cautious criticism, followed by a period of gradual intensification of conflict with Sufism, resulting in violent conflict. The final, and probably most dangerous stage, was a reinterpretation or reformation of traditional Sufism, using the terminology and structure of Sufism, but in actual fact depleting it of its original content to serve a revivalist fundamentalist approach to Islam. One may question the validity of the claims of the latter-day 'Neo-Sufism' and see it as a *de facto* annihilation of traditional Sufism. But in the new South Africa with its emphasis on the basic human right of freedom of speech, traditional Sufism has again come to the fore. The conflict is not yet resolved. The dispute has become one concerning who represents 'true Islam' and 'true Sufism'.

<sup>110</sup> Mukaddam, op. cit 6, 19

<sup>111</sup> Mukaddam, op est 7

<sup>112</sup> Fazlur Rahman, Islam (Chicago 1979) 246.

<sup>113</sup> Mukaddam, op. cti 10, drives this connection between puritanism and power pointes home by quoting P.K. Hitti, History of the Arabs (London 1967) 740: "The new prophet found in Muhammad iba Sand (d. 1765), [founder of the Sandi dynasty] who was then a petty chief in Central Arabia, an ally and son-in-law. This was another case of marriage between religion and the sword, resulting in the speedy spread of religion [Wahhābism] and of the authority of the Sand throughout Central and Eastern Arabia."

<sup>.14</sup> Da Costa and Davids, op cit. 141

# IV THE INDIAN SUBCONTINENT

## PERSECUTION AND CIRCUMSPECTION IN SHATTART SUFISM

### CARL W ERNST

What happens to a Sufi order when one of its foremost leaders is persecuted and charged with heresy? This question, which may be framed with respect to a number of Sufi leaders over the course of Islamic history, has a special interest in connection with the Shattari Sufi order. This group, which was established in the South Asian subcontinent in the late fifteenth century, had a colorful history that was closely intertwined with the political fortunes of the dynasties of northern India. Its membership spread to western India and the Deccan, and then via the Hejaz it was exported to Southeast Asia. The Shattari order was known especially for its emphasis on meditative techniques, and this gave it a characteristic style. Most Sufi orders defined themselves by initiatic lineages that went through al-Junayd, the Baghdadian master of "sober" Sufism. In contrast, the Shattaris derived their authority from chains of transmission that went to the Khorasanian ecstatic, Abū Yazīd al-Bastamī. The extent and impact of the Shattari order has not yet been adequately assessed; most of the texts that detail the history of the order are unpublished. Little scholarly work has been directed to this topic; a few articles written several decades ago focused on Shattari activity in northern India, and some work has also been done on Shattaris in the Deccan.2 A single dissertation, written in Aligarh in 1963, has attempted a reconstruction of the history of the Shattārīs.<sup>3</sup> Biographical sources for the Shattārīs are relatively abundant, however, and so an initial effort can be made here to analyze their reaction to the problem of perse

The material used for this study suggests that persecution of the Shatţāri leader Muḥammad Ghawth was based upon ecstatic statements that he made regarding his spiritual status. As in other cases of this kind, going back to the trial of al-Ḥallāj, the exact circumstances of the persecution are hedged around with hagiographical interpretations that make it hard to evaluate precisely, although it is clear that political considerations are always relevant in cases of religious persecution. Also comparable to the case of al-Ḥallāj is the encouragement of a climate of circumspection in the wake of persecution. Conspicuous conformity with sharia-based norms of behavior characterized Shatṭārī activity in the generations following upon Muhammad Ghawth, just as it did for tenth-century Sufis after the execution of al-Ḥallāj. Perhaps because multiple initiation into different Sufi orders was a norm from an early period for Shaṭṭārī mas-

Journal of the Asiatic Society of Pakistan xvi (1971) 167-75. Richard M. Baton, Suffs of Bijapur 1300-1700. Social Roles of Suffs in Medieval India (Princeton University Press 1978): Muhammad Yousuf Kokan, Arabic and Persian in the Carnatic 1710-1969 (Madras 1974), id., 'Sufi Presence in South India', in Christian W. Tsoll (ed.), Islam in India. Studies and Commentaries, vol. II. Religion and Religious Education (Delh. 1985) 73-85: Smyld Athar Abbas Rizvi, A History of Sufism in India, 1-11 (New Delh. 1983) 11, 151-73.

3 Qazi Moinuddin Ahmad, 'History of the Shattari Silsuah' (Ph.D. Diss., Aligarh 1963). Regretably, many of the manuscripts listed in this study are no longer in existence.

The sources include a biography of Muhammad Ghawth by Fadl Allah Shattari, Managib-i Ghawtheya, Urdu trans. Muhammad Zahir al-Haqq (Agra 1933) This rare lithograph, consulted at the University of the Punjab in Lahore, has been translated from a Persian MS in the khāngāh of Shaykh Wajih al-Din 'Alawi in Ahmedabad, which apparently covered the life of Muhammad Ghawth up to 941/1534-35, the remainder being added by the translator on the basis of "well-known books" (p. 80). A standard bagiography of the Mughal period with considerable material on the Shattaris is Muhammad Ghawthi Mandawi, Adhkār-i abrār, Urdu tarjuma-i gulzār-i abrār, trans. Fadl Ahmad Jèwart (Agra 1326-1908, reprint ed Lahore i 395/1975), the original Persian text has never been printed, and I cite to it according to the Urdu translation except for a few sections for which I had access to manuscripts. Another source that is indispensable for this topic is the detailed modern hagiography by Sayyid Muhammad Muti\* Allāh Rāshid Burhānpūri, Burhānpūri kē Sindhī awliyā', al-ma'rītī ba-tadhkara-i quitiyā-vi Sindh (Karachi 1957). For later Shattāris in Arabia, see F. Wüstenfeld, 'Die Çinfiten in Sūd-Arabicu im XI. (XVII.) Jahchuadent', Nachrichten von der Geseltschaft der Wissenschaftern zu Göttingen, Philologisch-histonische Klasse 30/1 (1883).

See my Words of Ecstory in Suffish (Albany 1985), and my 'From Hagiography to Martyrology Conflicting Testimonies to a Sufi Martyr of the Delhi Sultanate, History of Religions axiv (1985) 308-27

<sup>1</sup> An exception is the Arabic translation of the Persian meditation manual by Muhammad b Khatir at-Din b. Khwāja at-ʿAttār (Muḥammad Ghawth), at-Jawāhir at-khams, ed Ahmad b. at-ʿAbbās, t-it (Cairo 2nd ed. 1393/1973).

<sup>2</sup> See Kheliq Ahmed Nizami, 'The Shattan Saints and Their Attriode towards the State', Medievol India Quarterly in (1950), 56-70; Syed Hasan Askari, 'A Fifteenth Century Shuttan Sufi Saint of North Bibar', Proceedings of the 13th Indian History Congress (1950) 148-57; M.M. Haq, 'The Shuttan Order of Sufism in India and Its Exponents in Bengal and Bibar'.

ters, the criticism of Muhammad Ghawth encouraged them to maintain, at least publicly, a more conservative profile that might be viewed as "the Qādirī option". This kind of self-censorship reached its apparent limit in the case of the Shattārī master Burhān al-Dīn Raz-i Ilāhī. He is said to have turned some disciples over to a sharia court for execution, because they ecstatically identified their master as God. Subsequently we look in vain for any Shattarī Sufis who emulate publicly the ecstatic claims of Muḥammad Ghawth. In this case, persecution may have actually succeeded in suppressing the most extravagant claims of ecstatic Sufism.

Shaykh Muhammad Ghawth Gwaliyari is believed to have been born 7 Rajab 907/16 January 1502, and he died on 14 Ramadan 970/7 May 1563.6 In his youth, he spent about thirteen years meditating and practising asceticism in the lonely fortress of Chunar (now in eastern U.P.). He witnessed the conquest of the great fort of Gwaltor by Sultan Ibrāhīm Lôdī (probably around 925/1520), after he had been advised in a vision to move to that location.7 Although he was approached with gifts by Ibrāhīm Lödī, Muhammad Ghawth was critical of the sultan because the latter had imprisoned a number of powerful nobles, and friendship between the two became impossible, the result of the saint's displeasure was that the Mughals defeated the Lodi forces at Panipat in 932/1526.8 That same year Muhammad Ghawth, who was living in Gwalior, interceded with the emperor Bābur on behalf of Tātār Khān, the rebellious governor of Gwalior.9 Further dealings with the Mughals on the part of Muhammad Ghawth included pleading the case of another rebellious noble, Rahīm Dād, in 936/1530.10 In another case the following year, the saint cursed a rebel named Bâyazīd the Afghan, who had devastated a nearby town, and within a few days the malefactor was executed by Bābur. 11 Muhammad Ghawth's elder brother Shaykh P'hūl (or Bahlūl), another Shattārī master, became the chief Sufi adviser to

Bābur's successor Humāyūn at this time. So closely intertwined did P'hūl become in politics that he lost his life in the service of Humāyūn, when he was executed in Bengal by the rebellious Mirzā Hindāl. With such close relations to the Mughals, it is not surprising to learn that Humāyūn's defeat by Shēr Shāh Sūri in 947/1540 led to problems for Muḥammad Ghawth, resulting in his departure for Gu jarat that same year. His exile in Gujarat would last over sixteen years, until the restoration of Humāyūn. 12

Hagiographers indicate that the first hint of persecution had arisen on the part of advisers to Sher Shah.13 A disciple named "Alī Sher Bangali simply observed that Muhammad Ghawth 'had seen the internal evil of the Sur Afghans'.14 A later hagiographer, "Abd Aliah Khwishagi, writing in 1096/1685, specified that Sher Shah's advisers had objected to a treatise in which Muhammad Ghawth described his ascension (mi'rāi) into heaven, along the lines of the famous ascension of Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmī; the audacious claims that the shaykh made about his encounters with God and numerous prophets and saints were apparently viewed as serious enough to deserve capital punishment.15 Although here and elsewhere the offending treatise is called simply Risāla-i mi'rājiyya, the correct title is Awrād-i ghawthiyya, and it is available in two manuscripts in Calcutta.16 While much of the text is devoted to explaining the characteristic Shattari meditation techniques, and the initiatic genealogies in which the author was confirmed, the lengthy closing portion indeed contains a remarkable account of the spiritual training of Muhammad Ghawth by his master Shaykh Zuhur Hajii Hudur, culminating in a detailed description of his ascension experience.17 We do not know precisely what actions the Süri regime took against the shaykh, but his prudent departure for Gujarat temporarily put him out of danger.

The second phase of the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth began after his arrival in the kingdom of Gujarat. When he reached the city

<sup>5</sup> Feet Alish 76

<sup>7</sup> Fad. Aliāh 33; Khwāja Nizām al-Dīn Ahmad, The Tabaqāt-i-Akbarī, traus. B. De. Bibliotheca Indica, 300 (Calcutta 1911, reprint 1973) 1, 401-2.

B Fadi Allāh 40-41, 44

<sup>9</sup> Fadl Alläh 42, 58-61, this is far more circumstantial than the lacouse account in Zahiru'd-din Muḥammad Bābur Pādshāh Ghāzā, Bābur-nāmo (Memoirs of Babur), trans. Annette Susannah Beveridge [New Delhi 1979 (1922)] 539-40.

<sup>10</sup> Bābor 688 p. 2, 690

<sup>14</sup> Fadl Aliāh 43, Bābur 677

<sup>12</sup> Fadi Aliah 66, states that the exile was 18 years. He also notes that Muhammad Ghawth built a mosque in Ahmedabod dated 963/1556

<sup>13</sup> Fad! Allah 65.

<sup>14</sup> Ghawthī 309.

<sup>15</sup> Rizvi ii. 157 58, quoting 'Abd Alläh Khwishagi Qaşüri. Mo'drij al-wilavat. MS Adhar collection, Punjab University Library, fol. 543a. For Khwishagi and his hagiography see Muhammad Iqbal Mujaddidi, Aḥwal-ō āthār-i 'Abd Allāh Khwishagi Quṣūrī (Lahore 1391/1972) 80 ff

<sup>16</sup> Muhammed Ghawth, Awrād-a ghawthi, vya. MS 446 Curzon Persian, and MS .252 Persian, both in the Assitic Society, Calcutta.

<sup>17</sup> Awrād-ı ghawthiyya, MS 1252, fols. 107 30

of Ahmedabad, problems began. The scene was described in vague though dramatic terms by his disciple "Ali Sher Bangali:

'Here some short-sighted scholars and ignorant dervishes began to search for an excuse for their enmity toward him. By linking him with expressions they neither knew nor understood, they only succeeded by this means in making his pure and huminous heart more illuminated. Staying in that place was unpleasant for him. On a certain occasion good tidings came from heaven, that the reason for emigration [to Gujarat] has vanished, and the occasion for opposilion has arisen. Hearing this, he departed for Gwaltor'. 18

A modern hagiographer, the editor of Fadl Allah, is somewhat more circumstantial.

'During the time of his stay in Gujarat, certain incidents took place, the story of which event has, like it or not, apparently been well told. The reason for this can be described as follows: He had expressed himself with costatic sayings (shathivyan), that is, spiritual realities in the style of his lofty imagination, in extremely clear words. The understanding of these was considerably beyond the masses, and beginning with those ignorant folk, such a quantity of hostilily was generated that the religious scholars, the learned, and even the sultan of the age were necessarily meluded. 19

Both of these accounts fall into the vagueness of stock hagiographical narrative; all that they do is to connect Muhammad Ghawth with unknown accusers and to portray him as a model mystic. Ghawthi reports that some of the local scholars became opposed to Muhammad Ghawth, leading one of them to send his son to spy on the shavkh:

'Stace the short-sighted people of Gujarat were infatuated with his reputation, therefore through eavy and lack of insight they began to turn against Ghawth al-Awliya". Among them Shaykh 'Abd al-Muqtadir Banbani sent his younger son confidentially into the Ghawthiyya Khangah with instructions to be present at all times, in order to take note of the words and deeds of Ghawth al-Awhya' that were objectionable, and to convey those deeds to his superiors for their consideration. It is said that this spy one day said [to Muhammad Ghawth]: "This least of disciples has been hopeful of instruction for some time". The answer came [from the shaykh]. 'The goa; of wayfaring is advancement. God willing, you can work in the faqirs' kitchen, this will produce the influence of instruction. Finally, after a few days, a strong attraction overcame him, and his eyes saw reality, so that in all states and in all stations he repenied this phrase continually. When this is the state of the hypocrite, what do you say to the person who lays his secret at the threshold of this perfect snint?" 20

Thus the saint's spiritual power foiled this underhanded attempt to undermine his position, as the would-be spy became a disciple. Muhammad Ghawth appears to have thrived in Gujarat, and we find reference to his presence at different times in the cities of Broach (950/1543-4) and Ahmedabad (951/1544-5),21 One of his last actions there was to build a mosque, which is dated by a commemorative verse to 963/1556.22

It was left for a secular chronicler, the Mughal courtier Bada oni (Badā<sup>2</sup>ūnī), to give a fully detailed narrative of the controversy in Guiarat, in which Muhammad Ghawth was accused by the notable scholar 'Alī al-Muttagi (885-975/1480-1567). In this controversy the shaykh was defended by another scholar, Wajih al-Din 'Alawi, who in the course of the dispute ended by becoming a Shattari disciple.

When Shaykh Muhammad Ghawth went from Hindustan to Gujarat, in the reign of Sultan Mahmild of Gujarat, Shaykh 'Alī al-Muttaqi, one of the greatest Shaykhs, most influential religious leaders and greatest sages of that time, wrote a fatwa for the execution of Shavkh Muhammad Ghawth, and the Sultan abrogated it at the instance of Mayan Wajih al- Dig. When Miyan Wajih al-Din went on the first occasion to the Shnykh's house he was powerfully attracted by his face, and tore up the fatwa, and Shayth 'Ali came, beside humself (with rage), to the Miyan's house, and rent his clothes and said. "Why do you assent to the spread of heresy, and to a schism in the faith?" He answered, "We follow the letter and the Shaykh the spirit. Our understanding cunnot reach his perfections and (even), as far as the letter of the law goes, no exception, by which he could be pronounced blameworthy, can be taken to him." And this was the cause of the great faith which the Sultans and rulers of Gujarat had in Shaykh Muhammad Ghawth, and of his deliverance from that position of peril. (The Miyfin) from that tune repeatedly said in assemblies, "One ought to obey the setter of the law after the manner of Shaykh "Ali al-Muttaqi, and the spirit after the manner of my spiritual guide" (i.e., Shaykh Muhammad Ghawths,23

In this version, we are not told what was the precise cause of Ali al-Muttaqi's wrath, but a new dramatic twist is furnished by Wajih al-Din 'Alawi's decision to become a follower of Muhammad Ghawth. In other respects this narrative echoes other famous persecutions from Sufi hagiography, such as the abstention of Ibn Surayi

<sup>.8</sup> Ghawthi 309

<sup>19</sup> Fadl Allāh 65.

<sup>20</sup> Ghawthī 288

<sup>21</sup> Ghawthi 362 427

Fadl Allāh 66.

<sup>23 &</sup>quot;Abdu-'l-Qādur ibn i-Mulūkshāh al-Badā'ūnī, Muntakhabu-'t-tawārīkh, trans. Wolseley Haig (Calcutta 1898-1925) iii, 71-72 (text, iii, 44), with slight speking changes. This account, from the article devoted to Wajih al-Din 'Alawi, contrasts with the absence of any election of persecution in the separate notice given by Bada'oni to Muhammad Ghawth 'After the rebellion in India, when Sher Shah began to oppress Shaykh Mahammad, he belook humself to Gujarat where also he brought princes and rulers under the yoke of subjection to him and belief in his teaching, so that all alike were ready to do him service' (ibid. iii 8; text **ці, 5).** 

from judging the case of al-Hallaj, or Farid al-Dîn-i "Attār's mythical portrait of al-Junayd's response to the final trial of al-Hallaj,24 A later Shattari text, 'Aqil Khan Razi's Thamarat al hayat (1053/1643-4) also relates another incident, in which 'Ali al-Muttaqu while in Ahmedabad suspiciously inquired about a copy of Ibn 'Arabi's Fusüs al-hikam that was being read by Shaykh Lashkar Muhammad 'Arıf, a disciple of Muhammad Ghawth. When Shaykh Lashkar briefly responded with the essence of Ibn 'Arabi's teaching on the divine unity, "Alī al-Muttaqī was satisfied with his answer, and he respectfully told his own disciples that this kind of man was worthy of the knowledge of divine realities.25

A question arises, however, concerning "Alī al-Muttaqī's participation in this inquisition. He had been favored with the attention of the sultan of Gujarat, Bahādur Shāh (r. 932-43/1526-37), though he was reluctant to accept gifts from the latter. Some of "Alī al-Muttaqi's biographers report that he departed from Gujarat when Humāyūn's armies first began their invasions of that territory in 941/1534, and that after his arrival in the Hijaz, he remained there for the next thirty years.26 Others say that he left India for Arabia later on, in 953/1546-7.27 The curious thing is that only Bada onl refers to "All al-Muttaqi"s role in the affair. "Ali al-Muttaqi"s principal biographer, 'Abd al-Haqq Muhaddith, does not seem to mention 'Alī al-Muttaqi in connection with the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth, either in the brief notice devoted to 'Alī al-Muttaqī in the comprehensive dictionary of saints, Akhbār al-akhyār, or in the monographic biography of 'Ali al-Muttaqi and his successor 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Muttaqi, Zād al-muttaqin.28 A history of Gujarat completed in 1022/1613, the Mir'at-i Sikandarī, simply lists the names of 'Alī al-Muttaqī and Muhammad Ghawth together, as famous religious figures of the reign of Sultan Mahmud (r. 943-61/1537-54), without indicating that there was any conflict between the two,29 Ghawthi mentions the participation of Wajih al-Din 'Alawi and

24 See Words of Ecstasy 102-3, 131

Hamid Lär in defending Muhammad Ghawth with "answers both traditional and rational", but he fails to name any of the shaykh's nersecutors 30 'Ali al-Muttaqi is said to have returned temporarily to Guiarat during the reign of Mahmud, waich would have enabled him to confront Muhammad Ghawth.31 From an ijāza document signed by 'Ali al-Muttaqi it is established that he was back in Mecca by 961/1554, so the incident of persecution would have to have taken place by then.32 We can compare "Abd al-Haqq's reticence on this subject to his reluctance to discuss the martyrdom of the early Chishti Sufi Mascud Bakk, a subject that was broached more openly by 'Abd al-Haqq's disciple Muhammad Sadıq.33 'Abd al-Haqq's discreet silence can probably best be explained in terms of his strategy as a hagiographer interested in emphasizing shar? norms.

In any case, after the years of exile in Gujarat, Muhammad Ghawth finally returned to northern India after 963/1556, when Humāyūn briefly reasserted his authority, and Akbar was crowned emperor after Humāyūn's untimely death.34 Muhammad Ghawth was received with general acclaim in Delhi and Agra. When he approached Akbar for an interview in 966/1558-9 in Agra, according to Bada oni, he immediately aroused the enmity of the chief sadr (official in charge of charitable trusts), a Suhrawardi Sufi named Shaykh Gadā°ī. Badā°ōnī interpreted this enmity as entirely based on Shaykh Gadā'i's professional jealousy. In any case, this set the stage for the third phase of persecution of the Shattari master. Due to Shaykh Gadā'i's promptings, the regent Bayram Khān introduced in court with ridicule the claims Muhammad Ghawth had made about his ascension, once again evidently in allusion to Awrad-i ghawthiyya.35 The shaykh retired in some discomfiture to Gwalior, which had recently been reconquered by the Mughals from Sher

<sup>25</sup> Aqul Khān Rāzī, Thamarti al-havāt, MS 1278 Persian, ASB, Calcutta, Iols. 61b-62a.

<sup>26</sup> See El vii, 800-1 s v al-Manaki of Hindi.

<sup>27</sup> Ghawthi 402

<sup>28 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Haqq Muhaddith Dihlawi al-Bukhāri, Akhbār al-akhwīr fi asrār al-abrār, ed. Muhammad Abd al-Ahad (Delhi 1332/1913-4) 257-69; the unpublished Zād al-muttagīn is summarized by Rizvi u, 319-27

<sup>29</sup> Edward Clive Bayley, The History of India as told by its own Historians: The Local Muhammadan Dynasties-Gujarar, ed. Nagendra Singh (New Dellu reprint ed. 1970) 441

<sup>30</sup> Ghawthi 345

<sup>31</sup> Rizvi ii, 321

<sup>32</sup> Alī al-Muttaqī, Arabic ijāzat-nāma in Shādhiliyya, Madyaniyya, and Qādiriyya orders, MS 52 Arabic, acc. no. 239, Janua Milha Islamiyya, New Delhi, fol. 247a. dated 18 Shacban 961/19 July 1554 in Mecca.

<sup>33</sup> See my 'From Hagiography to Martyrology' for details.

<sup>34</sup> Ghawthi 298, says this occurred in 963/1556. Badā uni (trans., in, 8, text, in, 5) says that Muhammad Ghawth's departure from Gujarat for Agra occurred in 966-1558-9, and that he witnessed the shaykh riding amid a great throng of people in Agra's bazar. This roughly agrees with the statement of Fadi Allah (p. 66), that Muhammad Ghawth spent eighteen years to Gunarat

<sup>35</sup> Badā'ūnī u., 28-29 (trans.), u., 34-35 (text); Sukumar Ray, Batrain Khan. ed. M H A Beg (Karachi 1992) 175-77

Shāh's forces. He could be comforted, however, by the immense revenues that had been designated for his support, doubtless with the approval of Akbar 36 Muhammad Ghawth had a final meeting with Akbar when the latter came hunting in the region of Gwalior, and had his curiosity aroused by tales of the fine cattle kept by the shaykh. At this meeting, Muhammad Ghawth took the hand of the young king in the ritual of Sufi initiation, offering to become his spiritual guide. Akbar treated this as a joke, however, and his minister Abū'l-Fadl regarded the shaykh and his pretensions with scorn. 37 Muhammad Ghawth remained in Gwalior, training disciples in Shatṭārī exercises, until his death in 970/1563.

From the details summarized above, several points emerge with considerable force. First, although some accounts are vague about what actually aroused the opposition to Muhammad Ghawth, his ascension experience has been cited as the text that scholars regarded with suspicion in all three reported instances of persecution, first by the Sūrī regime, then by the sultan of Gujarat, and later by the regent of Akbar. Second, in all these cases the fortunes of Muhammad Ghawth were dependent on his close personal relationship with the Mughal rulers; all commentators, whether friendly or hostile to the shaykh, agree that he had an extraordinary influence over many political figures. His persecution by Sher Shah is clearly understandable as directed against a Mughal supporter, while his principal accuser in Gujarat, "Alī al-Muttaqī, had been allied with a Gujarati sultan opposed to the Mughals. In the last instance, it appears that Akbar's good-natured regard for the brother of one of his father's spiritual advisers saved Muhammad Ghawth from the hostility of Shaykh Gada's and Bayram Khan. Third, while Muhammad Ghawth was threatened in all these instances, he was an extremely influential and powerful man, and he emerged unscathed from the attempts of his opponents. His brother Shaykh P'hūl only lost his life because he fell afoul of a purely political quarrel. Fourth, the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth was an unusual event, in that none of the rulers or scholars who opposed the Shattari master was opposed to Sufism in principle. The Suris, the Gujarati sultans, and the Mughals were all generous patrons of Sufism. Muhammad Ghawth's critic "Ali al-Muttaqi had in his childhood been initiated into the Chishti order, and later on while studying hadith in Arabia he had also been intrated into the Qādirī, Shādhili, and Madyani orders. Nor was 'Alī al-Muttaqī hostile to ecstatic Sufism on principle. Through his Chishtī master Bahā' al-Dīn Shāh Bajan, 'Alī al-Muttaqī had a connection with the Chishtī martyr Mas'ūd Bakk, whose writings he frequently quoted, even translating one work by Mas'ūd Bakk from Persian into Arabic.38 He thus can hardly be characterized as an opponent of Sufism, although he was a spirited critic of the Mahdawi movement. Thus, if we wish to understand the "anti-Sufi" issue in the case of Muḥammad Ghawth, it must be sought in his ascension narrative, which will be discussed further below. For the moment, let me suggest that the kernel of unacceptable statement lies in the claims of Muḥammad Ghawth to have gone even beyond the level of Abū Yazīd Bastāmī.

We search in vain for any immediate effects of the persecution upon Muhammad Ghawth himself, in terms of any kind of alteration of his teachings. When Humāyūn wrote to express his concern about the troubles Muhammad Ghawth was undergoing as an exile, the shaykh shrugged them off as unimportant in his reply. 39 Although Muhammad Ghawth revised his meditation handbook Jawāhir-ikhams at the request of his disciples in 956/1549, correcting all known copies in the process, this appears to have been unrelated to any external political concern. 40 Khwishagi suggested that the initial persecution (under the Sūrī regime) was aimed at the ascension narrative in Awrād-i ghawthiyya, and he further maintained that Muhammad Ghawth later adopted a conciliatory stance regarding this controversial text, which he clarified by saying that his ascension was only in spirit and not bodily like that of the Prophet. 41 In what

<sup>36</sup> Badā\*ūnī (ibid ) estimates the shaykh's income at 100,000 rupees, a huge sum.

<sup>37</sup> The Akbar Name of Abn-l-Fazl, trans. H. Bevendge (Dethi 1977) ii, 133-35 (text ii, 88-89).

<sup>38</sup> See the biography of "Ali al-Muttaqî în "Abd al-Hayy b. Fakhr al-Dia al-Ḥasañ. Nuthat al-khawājir wa-bahjat al-masāmi" wa'l-nawazim, vih vols (Hyderabad 2nd ed 1386/1966-) iv, 234-44. "Alî al-Muttaqî quotes Mas'îid Bakk in his jawâmî" al-kolim fi t mawâ'iz wa'l-hikum, also known as al-Jawāhir al-thamāna, a miscellany with quotations from Anṣātī, Sa'dī, Husayni Sādāt, Mas'ōid Bakk, and others; cf. MS 1254 Persian, Asintic Society, Calcutta. "Alī al-Muttaqi translated the Minhāj al-'ārifīn [i.e., Mir'āt al-'ārifīn] of Matik zāda Mas'ūd [Bakk] into Arabic under the title al-Naṣh al-wāfī li'l qalb al-shāfī, MS Punjab University, Lahore, Sheram 3923/871/6, cat. ii, 262, no. 1452.

<sup>39</sup> Ghawthī 292 94

<sup>40</sup> Some have suggested (Haq 174; Nizami 59) that the Jawähir-i khains came in for severe enticism by religious scholars, but this appears to be a confusion with Awröd i ghowthive.

<sup>41</sup> Khwishagi, Mo'ārij al-ndāvat, fol. 553b, in Rizvi u, 158

appears to be a version of the same story, Ghulam Sarwar (who often cites Khwishagi) in 1280/1864-5 wrote that Wajih al-Din "Alawi advised Muhammad Ghawth to take a variable position, according to whether the scholars were against him or not; if they supported him, he should maintain that his ascension veritably occurred during wakefulness, but if they opposed him, he should say that it took place during a dream.42 Against this view suggesting a taqiyya-like dissimulation, we may note the observation found in one source that Muhammad Ghawth wrote Awrād-i ghawthīyya at age forty-three, three years after his arrival in Gujarat; in that case, the problems that the shaykh had with Sher Shah had nothing to do with the ascension treatise. If this is correct, it suggests that the report of Khwishagi about the Sūrī persecution of Muhammad Ghawth may have erroneously read back the controversy over Awrād-i ghawthivva into an earlier, purely political persecution.43

CARL W ERNST

Nonetheless, among the successors of Muhammad Ghawth, a distinctly conservative sharia-oriented pattern became the norm. While most early Shattari writings by Muhammad Ghawth and his contemporaries are collections of esoteric meditation practices, later Shattari Sufis, particularly those located in the city of Burhanpur. increasingly focused on obligatory sharia worship and Koranic and hadith studies. This conservative trend was already evident in Wajih al-Din 'Alawi (1504-1589), the jurist who preserved Muhammad Ghawth from persecution in Gujarat and then became his disciple. Wajih al-Dîn's Sufi writings learnedly expound Sufi metaphysics in contrast to Ash arī theology, but he pointedly avoids or mutes controversial topics in these discussions. For example, his mystical treatise al-Haqiqa al-muhammadiyya makes an ingenious distinction between the legislative and gnostic aspects of prophecy, but Wajih al-Din is quick to assert that prophecy is always superior to sainthood, thus avoiding any heretical suggestion that would denigrate the Prophet. One of his sources for this doctrine, interestingly enough, is Băyazîd Bastāmī, to whom the name of Ibn 'Arabī is also joined.44 Making the point about the superiority of prophecy establishes his conservative credentials, while at the same time he marks the centrality of the saint (Bāyazīd) who is the pivotal figure in the standard Shattari lineage. It should be recalled that Bayazid's ecstatic sayings that seemed to infringe on the status of the Prophet had previously been sanitized by popularizers of Sufism such as c Attar

The contrast between Muhammad Ghawth and his more conservative disciples may be seen in an incident that took place when he met Tähir Muhammad Muhaddith, a pious scholar who later became a devoted disciple. 'His glass is so pure and fine', remarked the shaykh, 'How wonderful it would be to fill it with wine!' This scandalized the scholar, who was not yet accustomed to hearing Sufis use the name of "the mother of iniquities", though he eventually got used to it.45 After spending some time in Berar, Tāhir Muḥammad (d. 1004/1595-6) settled in Burhanpur in 982/1574-5, where he composed works based on the classical Sufi writings of al-Qushayri, Abū Tālib al-Makki, and al-Ghazālī, along with digests and indices of works on hadith.46 Only one of his writings hints at ecstatic sayings; his Rivad al-salihin contains three sections: the first contains explanations of hadith, the second comments on the sayings of Sufi masters (including 'Abd al-Oādir al-Jīlānī, al-Ghazālī, Abū Tālib al-Makki, Shihab al-Din Suhrawardi, and, curiously enough, "Ali al-Muttagi), and the third deals with the expressions and allusions of "the masters of unification and ecstasy, the people of love and gnosis" (such as 1bn "Arabi, "Ayn al-Qudāt-i Hamadānī, Şadr al-Din-i Qunawi, "and other followers of wahdat al-wujud").47 Although the last section appears to be potentially controversial, it becomes clear from companson with other Shattari works that articulation of the wujudi metaphysics associated with Ibn 'Arabi was standard among nearly all Shattari authors. Evidently, in India the views of Ibn 'Arabi were not regarded as problematic at this time.

Another disciple of Muhammad Ghawth was Lashkar Muhammad \*Arif (d. 993/1585), who came from a warrior clan; he guided Sufis for many years in Ahmedabad before coming to Burhanpur at the end of his life. Shaykh Lashkar exhibited a degree of piety toward the Prophet Muhammad that was remarkable. He stated that it is easy to reach God, but quite difficult to reach the level of the Prophet. The reason is that one must attain the most perfect of all attributes to

<sup>42</sup> Ghulam Sarwar Kha,inat al-asfivā\* 333-34

<sup>44</sup> Wajih al Din 'Alawi, al Risilia al-musanmât bi l-Haqiqa al-muhammadiyya, ed. with Urdu trans Muhammad Zubayr Ghulám Nabi Qurayshi (Ahmadabad 1385/1966) 29-30.

<sup>45</sup> Råshid 5-6, citing Kashf al-haqā'iq, fol. 3.

<sup>46</sup> Ghawthi 426-33, enumerating eight writings, with a long excerpt from a Qushayristyle tafsir on pp. 427-32.

<sup>47</sup> Ghawthi 433.

come close to the Prophet, but God manifests in all degrees of creation and is therefore more easily accessible 48 Shaykh Lashkar was the subject of a lengthy debate among his followers, concerning an anecdote told by his saintly daughter Bibi Rasti. This daughter is also known as Būbū Rāstī, and it is after her that the Burhanpur neighborhood of Rāstīpūra is named. In a gathering that took place in 1013/1605, which included several leading Sufis and the Mughal minister 'Abd al-Rahim Khān-i Khānān, she described how her father reached an indescribable state, which he later revealed was the station of Bayazid Bastami. 49 It was only by God's grace, he told her once he recovered his senses, that he did not repeat the famous utterance of Bayazid, 'Glory be to me' (subhāni). He reflected that it is better to say, 'Glory be to him' (subhānahu), or some variation, to avoid the error of lèse-majesté committed by Bayazid. Muhammad Ghawthi, author of Gulzār-i abrār, was quite cognizant of the delicacy of this situation. He himself offered a more nuanced interpretation:

'When the Sufi with the aid of annihilation in the joinney of ascension removes the created garment of the body and enters the divine dress, and his goal becomes his own transcendence, then at that time there is need for interpreting and explaining his verbal utterance of "Glory be to him". And if he utters the cry of "Glory be to me", that is not improper, since that is in fact his goal. Therefore, on account of the superiority of saying "Glory be to him", both explanations apply: 50

This tentative approval of the "Bāyazīdian rank" was first put forward by the chief disciple of Shaykh Lashkar, 'İsā Jund Allāh Using Ibn 'Arabī's Fuṣūṣ as a model, 'İsā would have taken al-Ḥallāj to a higher state than the qualification with divinity that led to his ecstatic utterance, 'I am the Real'; that higher state (reminiscent of Shaykh Aḥmad Sirhindī's criticism of Ibn 'Arabī) was qualification with created existence. 'I Both in the report of the debate over Shaykh Lashkar's Bāyazīdian temptation, and in the highly ambivalent reflections by 'Īsā, we can see a reluctance to approve of ecstatic states without grounding them in approved metaphysical theories.

Shaykh 'Îsā Jund Allāh (d. 1031/1622), a nephew of Tāhir Muhammad, was generally an irenic soul. He wrote primarily on

meditation techniques employing the Arabic names of God, plus a couple of treatises commenting on the metaphysics of wahdat alwujud.52 When a dispute over hadith between religious scholars threatened to erupt into a heresy accusation, he persuaded "Abd al-Rahim Khān-i Khanan to send the heresy-hunting scholar on pilgrimage to Mecca.53 Nonetheless, such was the harmonious atmosphere established by the Faruqi kings in Burhanpur prior to the Mughal conquest that 'Isa like many other local Sufis supported the Făruqis against Akbar But the Mughals finally succeeded in taking the Faruqi fortress of Asir by strategem and treason in 1010.1601. Consequently Akbar planned to exile 'Isa to Agra for a time, along with other dissident Sufis, on the pretext of requesting him to give spiritual instruction to the army; fortunately, the prayers of the shaykh were answered and he did not have to suffer this ordeal for long.54 This seems to have been a fairly mild persecution, if we can call it that, and it was a political affair unrelated to Sufism per se.

The trend toward greater shar'i conservatism continued with Shaykh 'İsa's children, 'İsa's son Baba Fath Muhammad Muhaddith is known primarily for his devotional writings on ritual prayer. When 'Īsā's future successor in Burhanpur, Burhān al-Dīn Rāz-i Ilāhī, came to 'Isā seeking instruction, he was offered two choices, a letter of introduction to the sadr if he sought money and land, or study with Fath Muhammad if he sought religious learning, since Raz-i Ilâhī sought knowledge of the names of God, he remained with <sup>e</sup>Îsā,<sup>55</sup> Fath Muhammad wrote over a dozen treatises on ritual prayer and meditation, along with some short summaries of wahdat alwujūd in the form of creeds. He also wrote on the determination of the correct direction of Mecca from Burhanpur, for purposes of ritual prayer.56 These are only a few examples of the later Shattari order after Muhammad Ghawth. Richard Eaton has remarked with reference to Wajīh al-Dîn 'Alawī and his disciple Sibghat Allāh (the translator of the Jawahir-i khams into Arabic), that these later Shattaris exhibit the characteristics of the "scholastic" and the "puri-

<sup>48</sup> Ghawthi 362

<sup>49</sup> Khān i Khānān and his son Dārāb Khān also attended on the lectures of Bibi Rāsti on Sufi classics such as "Irāqī's Lana'āt, sec Rāshid 51

<sup>50</sup> Chawthi 364

<sup>51</sup> Thid. 365-66, this section quotes extensively from the section on Noah in Ibn "Arabi"s Fusils al-hikam

<sup>52</sup> Räshid 63-73, provides a list of works, and includes a short treatise entitled Ristile i daging on pp. 74-80.

<sup>53</sup> Rāshid 45-46

<sup>54</sup> Rāshid 55-57, 106-7 On the report of 'Isā's disciple and malfūṭāt recorder Farhi, these disadent Sufis were put under the authority of Shaykh Ahmad Sirhindi's successor, Mir Muhammad Nu'mān Nagshbandi.

<sup>55</sup> Rāshid 41, cating Rowd'ih al-anfās 13.

<sup>56</sup> Rashed 70, 118-42, with a short mathnawi poem presented on pp. 143-50

tanical reformist" rather than the extravagant ecstatic.<sup>57</sup> While these terms may have to be modified to some extent when it is possible to give a fuller account of the teachings of these Sufis, the basic contrast seems to be correct

Does this move to shar'i conservatism constitute a response to persecution? Evidence drawn from the life of Burhan al-Din Raz-i Ilâhī (d. 1083/1673) suggests that this was in fact the case. This shaykh was drawn into the succession struggle between two claimants to the Mughal throne, Dārā Shikūh and Awrangzīb. Rāz-i Ilāhī was by temperament a strict ascetic, and a conservative scholar. He was also opposed to performance of flute music if it led to dancing.58 His writings consist of a credal commentary (Sharh-i amantu bi'llah), a testament, and several collections of discourses recorded by disciples. He was very reluctant to form any connection with members of the court. When the noble Shayista Khan once joined the shaykh at Friday prayers, Raz-ı llahi retired afterward to perform his prayers over again, remarking to a disciple that the presence of a noble (amīr) in effect made his prayers nugatory. 59 So when Awrangzīb came to the retreat of Rāz-ı Ilāhī disguised as an ordinary person, accompanied by the legal scholar Shaykh Nizām (compiler of the legal work al-Fatāwā al-'Alamgiriyya), Rāz-i Ilāhī was reluctant to acknowledge him in any way. There are two conflicting accounts of the outcome of this meeting. According to the historian Khwāfī Khān, Awrangzīb requested the aid of the saint in his struggle against Dārā Shikūh, on the grounds that the latter had said that Islam was the same as infidelity (kufr). In this version, Raz-i Hāhī gave the prince a blessing, and Shaykh Nizām predicted victory for Awrangzīb. Another historian, Macmūri, reports instead that the shaykh refused to become a partisan in the succession dispute.60 One is tempted to speculate that Khwafi Khan stretched the story to fit a royal historiography. In any case, if Rāz-i Ilāhī was approached by Awrangzīb to take sides on an ostensībly religious issue, it may well have sensitized him to the problems of persecution. The most striking example of his conservatism occurred when one of his disciples, Shaykh Nür Ramz-i Ilahî, began to shout aloud the phrase, 'Burhān is God Most Great', and others joined in the chant. According to

Khwāfi Khan, the shaykh warned the disciples to desist, and when they continued, he handed them over to the qadi for execution 61 This would indeed be an internalization of the persecution unitally visited upon Muhammad Ghawth, but in this case it was much more successful than the persecution of the earlier saint. Here the spiritual status of the saint was not proclaimed by the saint himself, but by his disciples. Unlike the case of the ambiguity of Shaykh Lashkar about his own "Bayazidian rank," here Raz i llahi rejected outright the suggestion of his disciples that he was identical with God. A verse by the shaykh seems to recall this incident. 'Burhan is the proof of God, yet he is nothing but an intercessor of the beloved, I saw that the master is the outer form of God, and God is his inner form'.62 Local narrative sees Raz-i Ilahi as strictly conforming with the expectations of sanctity in his encounter with Awrangzib. It is popularly believed in Burhanpur that the tomb of Raz-) llahi was built by order of Awrangzib, and that the sum for the base of the tomb was taken from the emperor's earnings from the sale of his knitted hats and copies of the Koran; since the dome, however, was to be built with funds taken from the imperial treasury, the saint rejected that donation as contrary to Islamic law, and the present dome was accordingly financed otherwise.63

What is especially curious is that very little evidence survives in Shaṭṭārī writings regarding the original persecution of Muḥammad Ghawth. At one time a document describing the accusations against Muḥammad Ghawth was reported to be in the Pir Muhammad Shah library in Ahmedabad, but the current custodians have no record of it. In an extended commentary on this question, Muhammad Zubayr Qureshi remarks that there is an account of the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth and the role of 'Alī al-Muttaqī in a hagiography entitled Mukhbir al-awliyā', but it is not yet clear if this contains any material not already known from other sources. Qureshi observes that the disciples of Muḥammad Ghawth wrote many works preserved in manuscript, 'Yet no one refers to the encounter of

<sup>57</sup> Richard M. Eaton, Sufis of Bijapur 60, 206.

<sup>58</sup> Rāshid 322-23

<sup>59</sup> Rāstud 296

<sup>60</sup> Anees Jahan Syed, Aurangzeb in Muntokhab-al Lubab (Bombay 1977) 83 with note

<sup>61</sup> K. A. Nizami, 'Sufi Movement in the Deceaa', in H.K. Sherwam and P.M. Joshi (eds.), History of Medieval Decean (1295-1724), 1-fi (Hyderabad 1973-4) in, 194, quoting Municklab ol-labāb ii, 554

<sup>62</sup> Rāshid 333.

<sup>63</sup> Räshid 354-56.

<sup>64</sup> This title, ascribed to Mawdiid Lālā Chishti, is noticed by Storey, *Persian Literoture* i 1059, no. 55, in a single Bombay MS (Mulla Firuz 14), but Qureshi knows of another copy in a Chishti shrine in Ahmedabad.

Muhammad Ghawth Gwāhāri [with his accusers]. They observe discreet silence. It is strange'.65 If the suggestions made above are correct, it seems that Shattārī masters subsequent to Muhammad Ghawth preferred to forget altogether about his persecution. It was an unpleasant episode, and they did not wish to revive it as a martyrology.

What was controversial enough to lead to the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth? I have proposed above that it was the claim of attaining a spiritual state beyond that of Bayazid al-Bastami that provoked outrage. Naturally political conditions also needed to be such that persecution of a Sufi saint was worth the trouble it might otherwise cause for a ruler. The Mughal struggles with other Indian dynasties furnished the political occasion for such persecution. A brief comparison with other cases within the Sufi tradition affords several instances where the status of Băyazid al-Bastămi became the standard against which mystics measured their experiences. The bjographies of Rüzbihan Baqlı of Shiraz (d. 606/1209) record only a single instance of judicial doubt regarding his many striking spiritual claims. This doubt arose when a scholar found the passage in Rüzbihan's autobiographical work Kashf al-asrar where Rüzbihan described himself sitting on a mountain top, clinking glasses with God, and tossing roses down to the plain where Bāyazīd al-Bastāmī and other Sufi saints looked on enviously. The scholar's doubts were removed, however, when Bāyazīd al-Bastāmī appeared to him in a dream to confirm the truth of Rūzbihān's vision.66 Another notable example of using Bāyazīd al-Bastāmī as a mystical standard to be exceeded is Ibn "Arabi, who viewed al-Bastami with intense ambivalence; while he considered some of Bastāmī's formulations to be evidence of a supremely advanced state, he also criticized the boasting (fakhr) that is inherent in ecstatic expressions (shathiyvât), in this way putting himself in a position superior to that of al-Bastami.67 To take a case slightly after the time of Muhammad Ghawth, we may consider Ahmad Sirhindi, who explicitly claimed a spiritual status that exceeded both Bayazid and Ibn 'Arabi, observing that their claims were based on improperly interpreted experiences that his

own teachings clarified; his critics in turn charged him with ar rogance. In addition, his apparent claim to exceed the rank of Companions of the Prophet such as Abu Bakr was pretext enough to cause Sirhindi to be imprisoned by the Mughal emperor Jahangir 68. The basic principle that caused offense in these claims is that the ecstatic vaults over the "horizontal" authenticity afforded by historical tradition through approved Sufi lineages. With direct access to God as its own verification, "vertical" authenticity can dispense with the validation of historical tradition. That is the ultimate challenge offered to established religion by ecstatic Sufis.

A search for other causes for the persecution of Muhammad Ghawth fails to provide convincing alternatives. The Shattari order, as we have seen, was very insistent on performance of normal sharri ritual, and in this respect it did not differ from most of the established Sufi orders. The philosophy of Ibn 'Arabi, though perhaps restricted to circles of capable students, was retained as the basic theoretical framework for mystical Islam by nearly all the Shattari masters.

Some may suggest that the interest of Muhammad Ghawth in yoga was controversial, since he is known to have translated the Arabic version of a hatha yoga treatise into Persian under the title Bahr alhavās. There is, however, no evidence to suggest that anyone made objections to yogic practice on religious grounds during the lifetime of Muhammad Ghawth. The net effect of the yogic practices discussed in Shattari texts had little relevance to any Hindu theology. The disciples of Muhammad Ghawth were agreed that his treatment of yogic disciplines had basically Islamicized them 69 Succeeding generations of Shattaris continued developing specialized meditations that owed little to any integral yogic tradition. In the recoilections of Raz-i Ilahi, there remains little residue of the intense interest in yoga characteristic of Muhammad Ghawth. The only incident that Raz-i Ilähî relates concerning yoga is a story in which Muhammad Ghawth was bitten on the thigh by a snake; such was the saint's power that the snake immediately died. A yogi who observed

<sup>65</sup> Personal communication, letter dated 13 February 1995

<sup>66</sup> See my study Rüzbihān Bagli: Mysticism and the Rhetoric of Saunthood in Persian Suffism (London 1996).

<sup>67</sup> See my 'The Man without Attributes' Ibn 'Arabi's Interpretation of Ab0 Yazid al-Baştami', Journal of the Muhyadan Ibn 'Arabi Society xiii (1993) 1-18.

<sup>68</sup> Yohanan Friedmann, Shavkh Ahmad Sirhindi. An Outline of His Thought and a Study of His Image in the Eves of Posterity (Montreal 1971) 28, 60, 88, 62-68, 94-96. Ghulam "Ali Azād Bilgrāmi, Subhat al-marjān fi āthār Hindustān, ed. Muhammad Fadi al-Raḥmān al Nadwī al-Siwānī (Aligath 1972) i. 131-137

<sup>69</sup> For a full discussion, see my The Pool of Nectar. An Islamic Interpretation of Yoga (SUNY Press, forthcoming).

nalized to the point of self-censorship. The circumspection of the later Shaṭṭāris would seem to be evidence of the power of persecution to modify public behavior.

this event recognized the shaykh as a perfected siddha.70 This anecdote retains nothing of yogic practice, but simply perpetuates the hagiographic formula in which Sufis outperform yogis in thaumaturgy. Similarly, Shaykh 'Īsā once told a disciple to seek his next master by visualization, whether he appeared to be a proper Sufi shaykh, a wild qalandar, or a yogi.71 Here the yogi functions simply as a stock comparison, to signify that which is least conventional for Sufi disciples; 'Īsā would even approve of a disciple studying with a yogi if that would help the disciple advance.

The early Shattaris may have been aware of the potential tendancy of their ecstatic approach to strain relations with the historical traditions of Islam. The tendency to provide a legitimizing multiple lineage for Shattari masters is found already in biographical accounts of the founder of the Indian branch of the order, 'Abd Allah Shattari (d. 832/1428-9), who is credited with Qādirī and Kubrawī initiations.72 Likewise Bahā3 al-Dīn Anṣārī (d. 921/1515) was known as a Oādīri with a Shattārī affiliation (mashrab).73 Muḥammad Ghawth himself claimed fourteen separate initiations in different Sufi orders. As a tentative observation concerning this phenomenon, I would propose that multiple initiation was a way of maximizing historical validation by tradition, by claiming as many possible avenues of contact with the founding figures of Sufism. The fact that this might be achieved by purely internal Uwaysi contacts is the homage that spontaneous ecstasy pays to historical tradition. In any case, a review of the history of the Shattari order in the century after Muhammad Ghawth provides a striking portrait of retreat from the bold claims of spiritual ecstasy. In the aftermath of repeated criticism and persecution of their chief organizer, later Shattari masters modulated the natural tendency of ecstatic experience, and muted the urge to engage in boasting contests with the founding figures of mysticism. Persecution is always a political act, and its power can be inter-

<sup>70</sup> Rāshid 313-14, enting Rawā'iḥ al-anfās, fol. 380.

<sup>71</sup> Rāshid 46.

<sup>12</sup> Nuzhot al-khawājur ur, 100-1, citing Majma' al-abrār and Gulzār-i abrār

<sup>73 &#</sup>x27;Abd a, Hagq .98

# VEILED OPPOSITION TO SUFIS IN MUSLIM SOUTH ASIA: DYNASTIC MANIPULATION OF MYSTICAL BROTHERHOODS BY THE GREAT MUGHAL.

### BRUCE B. LAWRENCE

Sufis are as much a part of the social and cultural fabric of Muslim history in South Asia as are religious scholars and political elites. Sufi leaders, especially the masters (mashā'ikh) whose life histories and tomb cults have achieved wide renown, often belonged to the same privileged social class as those who supported or opposed them in each period of South-Asian history. But the nature of support, as also the basis for opposition, varied in response to a broad range of factors that need to be specified if we are to understand the pivotal role of mashā'ikh as spiritual exemplars and not merely beneficiaries of socioeconomic inequities.

Two hermeneutic guidelines inform this paper. One comes from the Maghribi student of Althusser, Abdallah Laroui. It is a caution against what he calls the ternary myth, that is, the notion that all history can be divided into three equivalent parts, whether ancient, medieval and modern or, in the case of Muslim South Asia, Sultanate, Mughal, and British. The pitfall is that too often the criteria of one period are imposed implicitly on the evaluation of data from other periods. The second guideline comes from the anti-structuralist, post-Marxist anthropologist Talal Asad. It is in the form of a maxim rather than a shibboleth, namely, "that orthodoxy is always the product of a network of power".

In the Indian subcontinent the entire history of Sufi exemplars and Sufi orders — their vast literary corpus, cultic recall and symbolic persistence — can be framed within the above two guidelines. The Sultanate period is marked by enmity from religious scholars, or ulama, on the basis of their perception of orthodoxy as distinct from ecstatic experience. The chief controversy from this period is samā<sup>c</sup>,

listening to music and poetry in the hospices of masha'ikh The Mughal period is marked by enmity from the dynastic court. Dating from the mid-sixteenth century on, it centers on the independent claims to spiritual authority made by the masha'ikh or their followers, with the only acceptable orthodoxy being loyalty to the Emperor and to his dicta as the military leader cum bureaucratic patron of a vast domain. In the British/modern period enmity to Sufis came from reform-minded Muslims who felt betrayed both by traditional centers of learning and also by dynastic successors to the Great Mughals. Their opposition focused, above all, on tomb cults and the alleged distance between reverence for deceased masters and Our'anic orthodoxy.

Iromically, none of these phases in South-Asian history offers a pattern of opposition to Sufis that does not also include an acknowledgement of the spiritual prowess of the mashā'ikh, often by members of the same group who oppose them. Hence in the Sultanate period there were ulama who trained Sufi masters, who befriended them and who also became their disciples, just as in the Mughal period there were members of the court up to and including the Emperor who visited Sufi hospices, or provided for the (re)construction of Sufi tombs, and also employed descendants of mashā'ikh in their own service. Similarly, in the British/modern period, it was often the case that reform-minded Muslims were themselves marked by Sufi lineages, and in at least one notable case (the Tablighis), they embraced Sufi notions of hierarchical authority in order to establish an organization that rivaled the traditional tomb cults.

Collectively these developments in Muslim South Asia throw into doubt a too neat, binary relationship of intrinsic hostility or irreconcilable enmity between Sufis and non-Sufis. Alternatively, their exposition makes possible something more important: the assessment of institutional Sufism as itself a major component of South-Asian Muslim identity. In order to explore this counter-intuitive approach to Sufism and its opponents in the Asian subcontinent, I will look broadly at the Mughal period, but in particular at the institutional enmity to Sufism that ironically came from the imperial court and from a person hagiographically described as a supporter of Sufis, the Emperor Akbar (1556-1605), also known as the Great Mughal.

There seem to be three major periods, marking the emergence, the elan and the decline of Akbar's nearly half-century as the Great Mughal. Respectively, they sort out as 1556-74, 1574-85, and 1585-1605. In the first period, from 1556 to 1574, the youthful Akbar at-

Abdallah Lacoui, The History of the Maghrib: An Interpretative Essay, trans. Relph Manheum (Princeton 1977) 34

<sup>2</sup> Talal Asad, 'The Idea of an Anthropology of Islam' (Washington D.C. 1986) 21.

tempts to assert his independent imperial identity. At this point he had not yet met either the faithful chronicler Abū'l-Fadl i cAllami, nor his foil 'Abd al-Qadir Bada'uni, though both later read back into this period the decisive promptings that came to characterize him as the Great Mughal Uppermost was the obsession to expand his father Humayūn's domain to include western India, above all, Rajasthan and Gujarat. It was a strategy that proved crucial for the internal cohesion of the fledgling Mughal polity. 'By uniting the maritime and commercial province of Gujarat with the agricultural heartlands of the Panjab and Gangetic basins, Akbar made possible an [unprecedented] expansion of trade and production within the Mughal state'.3 At the same time, the emperor identified himself with the founder of the Chishti order in India. Since Mucin ad-Din was popularly perceived to have introduced Islam itself to indigenous non-elites, Akbar seems to promote himself as the Chishti master's latter day counterpart, the true founder of a lasting basis for Muslim rule in the subcontinent.

After 1574 Akbar did not disavow his interest in either western India or the Chishtiyya, but in the next period, from 1574-1585, he began to reflect on the larger profile of his own self-image that he wanted to project throughout the expanding Mughal imperium. In these years he resided at the new imperial city which he had constructed for his own purposes; at Fathpur Sikri Akbar enjoyed the geographical isolation and social control that only a self-created environment could provide. Beyond serving as a bureaucratic command post of unrivalled efficiency and a tableau of aesthetic achievement on the most diverse scale, Fathpur Sikri allowed Akbar to indulge his zest for idyilic seclusion. John Richards etches its mood:

"The new capital was a refuge reminiscent of those desert endoaments the Araba founded in the seventh century to control their new conquests. The ruler could be free and at his ease, moving securely about the city...Akbar re-created in stone within the boundaries of Fatchpur-Sikri a comfortable and certainly grand encampment. It was an urban form somewhere between a camp and an imperial city! 4

Nothing could be more symbolic of the shift that occurred during the Fathpur Sikri period than the promulgation of a notion of divine

3 Fotz Lehmann, 'Alchar' in Elran 1/7, 708.

kingship that went beyond previous familiar and Muslim antecedents (e.g., Chinghiz Khan, Timur, the Delhi Sultans). Akbar legitimated himself as divine, or at least so it seemed. The emperor's dalhance with divinity has been the lodestone of historical investigation ever since. It bears sustained attention here.

While Akbar's sphere of action was the Indian subcontinent, it is not too much to say that he, with the assistance of Abū'l-Fadl, cast himself in the role of a universal monarch. The evidence for this new model of Muslim kingship is limited to Abū'l-Fadl's text and even though not everyone read Abu'l-Fadl, the political theater of the Mughai court communicated this perspective as well as did Abū'l-Fadl's words. Akbar was undoubtedly mindful of the Zoroastrian proclivity for royal effulgence (khshwarena), the monarch symbolized as divine light, but he also drew on the Hindu tradition, especially the notion of the king as a microcosm of the universe (rajyābhiseka), enhancing its visual effect through the newly constituted darbar or royal audience. Douglas Streusand summarizes the stages of Akbar's self-conception:

"The Mughal doctrine of kingship thus asserted that the dynasty held sovereignty as a special emanation from God, which reached complete fruition in Akbur after many centuries of maturation. He transmitted sovereignty to his descendants just as he had received it (from his ancestors). Possession of the light of sovereignty made the Mughal emperor a microcosmic Perfect Man, who subsumes all of the elements of the universe, and thus of society, in his body. This doctrine resembles the "standard" model of Hindu kingship in the rajyābhiseka, which presumably facilitated Hindu acceptance of the Mughal culer as an actual (i.e., legit-mate) monarch. Recognizable rituals such as jhāruku darshāk and the weighing ceremony also contributed to acceptance. Mughal rituals and texts articulated kingship of a higher order than that of earlier Muslim rulers in the subcontinent, the common practice of calling the Mughals emperors as opposed to (and distinct from) the Delhi suitans thus has validity (in this connection the darbar also functioned as) ...a model of society and thus of the world...',?

Akbar did not, however, neglect resonant Islamic symbols. One that was as significant as it was fraught with danger concerned ex-

<sup>4</sup> John F. Richards, 'Patebpur Sikri The Imperial Capital', International Journal of Art and Art History (1987) 70.

<sup>5</sup> Douglas E. Streusand, The Formation of the Mughal Empire (New Delha 1989), chapter 6 makes this point as well as many others with unusual insight. Much of the inspiration to rethink the significance of periodizing Akbar's reign comes from this remarkable monograph.

For the reference to khishwarena, the Zoroastnan notion of cosmic splendor refracted through divinely favored monarchs, I am grateful to Norvin Hem, my first teacher and still the respiration for much of my work on South-Asian culture.

Streusand, op. cit. 138.

tempts to assert his independent imperial identity. At this point he had not yet met either the faithful chronicler Abu'l-Fadl-i 'Allami, nor his foil 'Abd al-Qādir Badā'uni, though both later read back into this period the decisive promptings that came to characterize him as the Great Mughal. Uppermost was the obsession to expand his father Humāyūn's domain to include western India, above all, Rajasthan and Gujarat. It was a strategy that proved crucial for the internal cohesion of the fledgling Mughal polity 'By uniting the maritime and commercial province of Gujarat with the agricultural heartlands of the Panjab and Gangetic basins, Akbar made possible an [unprecedented] expansion of trade and production within the Mughal state'.3 At the same time, the emperor identified himself with the founder of the Chishti order in India. Since Mucin ad-Din was popularly perceived to have introduced Islam itself to indigenous non-elites, Akbar seems to promote himself as the Chishti master's latter day counterpart, the true founder of a lasting basis for Muslim rule in the subcontinent.

After 1574 Akbar did not disavow his interest in either western India or the Chishtiyya, but in the next period, from 1574-1585, he began to reflect on the larger profile of his own self-image that he wanted to project throughout the expanding Mughal imperium. In these years he resided at the new imperial city which he had constructed for his own purposes; at Fathpur Sikri Akbar enjoyed the geographical isolation and social control that only a self-created environment could provide. Beyond serving as a bureaucratic command post of unrivalled efficiency and a tableau of aesthetic achievement on the most diverse scale, Fathpur Sikri allowed Akbar to indulge his zest for idyllic seclusion. John Richards etches its mood:

'The new capital was a refuge reminiscent of those desert cantonments the Arabs founded in the seventh century to control their new conquests. The ruler could be free and at his ease, moving securely about the city....Akbar re-created in stone within the boundaries of Patchpur-Sikri a comfortable and certainly grand encampment. It was an urban form somewhere between a camp and an imperial city'.4

Nothing could be more symbolic of the shift that occurred during the Fathpur Sikri period than the promulgation of a notion of divine

3 Fritz Lehmann, 'Akber' in Elran 1/7, 708.

kingship that went beyond previous familiar and Muslim antecedents (e.g., Chinghiz Khan, Timur, the Delhi Sultans). Akbar legitimated himself as divine, or at least so it seemed. The emperor's dalliance with divinity has been the lodestone of historical investigation ever since. It bears sustained attention here.

While Akbar's sphere of action was the Indian subcontinent, it is not too much to say that he, with the assistance of Abū'l Faḍl, cast himself in the role of a universal monarch. The evidence for this new model of Muslim kingship is limited to Abū'l-Faḍl's text and even though not everyone read Abū'l-Faḍl, the political theater of the Mughal court communicated this perspective as well as did Abū'l-Faḍl's words. Akbar was undoubtedly mindful of the Zoroastrian proclivity for royal effulgence (khshwarena), the monarch symbolized as divine light, but he also drew on the Hindu tradition, especially the notion of the king as a microcosm of the universe (rajyābhiseka), enhancing its visual effect through the newly constituted darbar or royal audience. Douglas Streusand summarizes the stages of Akbar's self-conception:

Akbar did not, however, neglect resonant Islamic symbols. One that was as significant as it was fraught with danger concerned ex-

<sup>4</sup> John F Richards, "Fatchpur-Sikri: The Imperial Capital", International Journal of Art and Art History (1987) 70

<sup>5</sup> Douglas E. Strensand, The Formation of the Mughal Empire (New Delhi 1989), chapter 6 makes this point as well as many others with unusual insight. Much of the inspiration to rethink the significance of periodizing Akbar's reign comes from this remarkable monograph.

For the reference to khshwarena, the Zoroastnan notion of cosmic splendor refracted through divinely favored monarchs, I am grateful to Notvin Hein, my first teacher and still the uspiration for much of my work on South-Asian culture.

Streusand, op. cit. 138.

pectations of time as registered in calendrical systems. During the years at Fathpur Sikri he not only engaged numerous religious authorities in public discourse at the famed cibādat-khana, he also projected himself as the fulfillment of a lengthy but purposeful historical process. To gain recognition as universal monarch, however, he had to situate his reign within a temporal framework that reflected his royal aspirations. He was assisted by the fact that his lifetime coincided with the end of the first Islamic millennium. Yet others. aware of the potency of that calendrical reckoning, did not immediately relate it either to the Indo-Timurid dynasty or to Akbar. During the Süri interregnum (1540-1555), a Mahdawi spokesman, Alā'i, himself a latter-day disciple of Sayyid Muhammad Jawnpuri (d. 1505), had attracted numerous disciples in Agra before meeting a grisly death in 1550 Since Alari included among his own disciples men as disparate as Mubarak, the father of Abū'l-Fadl and the author of A'In-i akbari, and Badā'uni, Akbar must have been aware of Mahdawi millennialism. Some scholars, including Blochmann, have gone so far as to claim that Akbar commissioned the massive Tārīkhi alfi as an explicit rejoinder to Sunni millennialism. Its multiple volumes were intended

'to represent Islam as a thing of the past, it had existed a thousand (alf) years, and had done its work. The early history, to the vexation of the Sunnis, was related from a Shia point of view, and worse still, the chronology had been changed, maximich as the death of the Prophet had been made the starting point, not the hijra, or flight of the Prophet from Makka to Madina'. 8

But the true significance of *Tārīkh-i alfi* seems to lie elsewhere. As S.A.A. Rizvi has correctly noted, it was 'to emphasize the glory of Islam's expansion as a political power, rather than a (solely) religious phenomenon'. Akbar wanted a record of previous Islamic polities that would represent his own territorial expansion within South Asia as a natural, an expected, even a divinely sanctioned development. He strove to culminate not terminate Islamic history To put his own stamp on all that had occurred prior to and during his reign, Akbar manipulated calendrical markings. By changing the year of reckoning Islamic history from the *hijrī* base year (622) to

the rihla base year (632) - a move that Mucammar al-Qadhdhāfī emulated four centuries later! - he might have offended some Sunni millennialists, but the majority believed that the end of the epoch required renewal (tajdid), which is to say, rededication to Islamic principles, rather than the apocalyptic closure of all human history In his composition plan for Tārīkh-ı alfī, sımultaneously preempted and transformed all millennialist expectations. Anticipating 1591 as the end of the millennium by a higri calendrical count, in 1584 he introduced through a royal decree the new ilahi era for reckoning the diachronic phases of his own dynasty. It was not intended to displace other calendars but rather, in Akbar's own words, 'to enter this new era as a supplement to them, and so open the gates of prosperity'.10 In making the first year ilähi equivalent to Nawröz 1556, Abū'l-Fadl signalled his true intent: to ensure that 'the glory of the noble family (i.e. the Mughal dynasty) will be for thousands and thousands of years world-lighting and world-gripping, and that the number of (its) years and months will continually surpass the dates and reckonings of calculators of celestial cycles'.11

By the time that Abū'l-Fadl began writing the Akbar-nāma in 1590, the splendid isolation of Fathpur Sikri had ended, and the vision of universal redirection that it had fostered must have also begun to dim. However, the end of the first Islamic millennium had not yet occurred. Diehard millennialists might still have nurtured hope that their expectations of a global cataclysm would be fulfilled. By inserting the ilāhiera as an alternate calendrical reckoning, Akbar diverts attention from the end of the first Islamic millennium in 1591. The advent of the second Islamic millennium is never celebrated. Yet five months later, the Persian New Year (nawrōz) occasions a major court festival which Abū'l-Fadl describes at length while

omitting any allusion to millennial fervor. 12

<sup>8</sup> See H. Blochmann, Ain-1 Akbart (Calculta 1873). introduction, xli. But Badā'ūnī's comments are not so polemically conched as Blochmann implies; see Abd al-Qādir Badāyūnī. Muntakhab al-tawārīkh, tr W H. Lowe (Calculta 1898) n. 301, 318

<sup>9</sup> S.A.A. Rizvi, Religious and Intellectual History of the Muslims in Akbar's Reign (New Delhi 1975) 258-59.

<sup>10</sup> Abu'l-Fadl, Akbar-nāma, tr. H. Bevendge (Calcutta 1907-1939) h, 23

<sup>11</sup> Ibid. ii, 17-18

<sup>12</sup> Ibid. iii, 927-28 K.A. Nizami has also drawn attention to the significance of Akbar's manipulation of calendrical cras; see Akbar and Religion (New Deibi 1989) 142-146 In general, this book from the premier historian of Indo-Muslim Sofism offers numerous reflections on Akbar's thought and personality as well as on his religio-political ideals and their reception.

Akbar was absorbed with notions of time. He frankly reckoned his own rule to be the most important in human history. Yet the major challenges to his political preemmence were less mystical than military. While the suppression of the Afghan generals in the east (1580-82) and the defeat of Muzaffar Khan in Gujarat (1583-84) had been accomplished through surrogates, the rebellion of his half-brother Mirza Muḥammad Ḥakim in the Punjab required the presence of the emperor himself Akbar had to decamp. He moved his capital north to meet the challenge of Mirzā Muḥammad.

During the final period of his life, from 1585 till his death in 1605, Akbar lived out the legacy of his Fathpur Sikri years, but without making extensive alterations, either in the administration of the empire or in the provision for his own succession. He defeated Mirzā Muḥammad with comparative ease, and then undertook the difficult conquest of Kashmir (1586-89), which was followed by forays into Sind, Orissa and parts of the Deccan in the fifteen-nineties. In retrospect, these final decades appear as a kind of twilight tailspin to the Mughal god-king. Even when his own health began to fail, he did not attempt reconciliation with his designated successor, Salīm, while two other sons, Dāniyāl and Murād, predeceased him, proving themselves more worthy with the bottle than on the battlefield. The question of succession was to prove all important for the fate of the Mughal dynasty, and it is important to note its shortcomings before considering the implications of imperial policy for the Sufi orders.

The actual causes of the estrangement between Akbar and Prince Salim seem to have been provoked in part by the former's longevity, in part by the latter's anxiety about the outcome of court intrigues in which he was but one of several contestants for divine favor. In his own memoirs Jahängir gives slim indication of the immediate provocations for his attempt to declare himself emperor in Allahabad while Akbar was conducting military maneuvers in the Deccan. 14 In the Akbar-nama Abū'l-Fadl is more direct. Early entries had implied that the relationship between the emperor and the heir apparent was marked by nothing but paternal solicitude. 15 But the mood changes from 1589 on. The year 1589 marks the first of three occasions when

Prince Salīm, then twenty years of age, offends his father. <sup>16</sup> Even more astonishingly, Abū'l-Faḍl sets forth, albeit in opaque terms, the circumstances of an altercation that took place between himself and Prince Salīm in 1598. <sup>17</sup> Though it would seem to have been provoked through third-party intervention, Prince Salīm's wariness of Abū'l-Faḍl soon led to the latter's murder in 1602. <sup>18</sup>

In retrospect the major value of the third phase is instrumental and didactic: by bracketing it with the initiatives of the first phase (1556-1574) and the accomplishments of the second phase (1574-1585), one begins to grasp not only the singularity of the half-century of Akbar's reign but also the determinative importance of the first thirty

What none of the official accounts does explain is the nature of the Sufi brotherhoods and the attitude of their legatees and devotees toward the emperor. There are many questions that need to be asked. All relate to the particular pattern of Islamic religiosity that emerged under Akbar. The more we become familiar with the contours of Akbar's rich and varied life the more questions emerge. By the midsixteenth century what were the loci of spiritual authority for particular Sufi orders? Were they all in suspension during the half-century of Akbar's reign? How did they evolve an alternative spiritual authority to the emperor? Did the suppression of veneration for contemporary saints compel Sufi devotees to go back to earlier saints as the determinative source of guidance? How did local tombs and their custodians function vis-à-vis major shrines situated elsewhere within or beyond the boundaries of Mughal hegemony? What strategies did saints use to deflect attention from their competition with other symbols of temporal/spiritual power, including the emperor himself?

All these questions move between syntactics and semantics, that is, between an unconscious, or at least non-articulated, worldview and its conscious reiteration in oral and literary discourse. It would seem that the major encounter which frames every judgment about the royal court and institutional Sufism takes place between Akbar and Salim Chishti. Because it looms so large in the Akbar-nāma account, 19 it has dominated much subsequent historical attention, yet it

<sup>13</sup> For a summary of the final years, see Richards, op.cit. 70-71

<sup>14</sup> See Tüzuk-i Jahângir, tr. A. Rogers, ed. H. Beveridge (London 1909-1914) i, 24-25.

<sup>15</sup> See especially Akbar-nāma th, 401 and 583 on provisions for Prince Salim's education: elsewhere for his numerous marriages.

<sup>16</sup> Akbar-nāma iii, 824-5, 1088, and 1217

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ibid. iii, 1104-7

<sup>18</sup> Ibid. iii, 1218-21. The Akbar-nāma itself was later completed by Muhibb \*Alī; see Akbar-nāma iii, 1201-5.

<sup>19</sup> Ibid. ii, 502-507

begs for consideration in terms other than the imperial ideology with which it is presented to both contemporary and later readers. At the very least we have a series of suppressed motives for the common bonding between the Shaykh and the emperor that go beyond explicit texts and compel speculation about implicit motives and unconscious drives. Consider Salim's mystical genealogy. He not only belongs to a Sufi order but to a sub-branch within it. The Fandi line is traceable back to another ascetic, miracle-working recluse, Farid Ganj-i Shakar (d. 1265). Without denying the spiritual motives attributed to the emperor by Abū'l-Fadl, we can see another, pragmatic motive at work: Akbar identifies with an illustrious India-specific order, enhancing his own legitimation as a South-Asian Muslim monarch. For all the retrospective stress on the security of Akbar's claim to rule, one may still doubt that he quickly forgot the exile of his father Humayun from India, due to his inability to counter the ideological claims as well as the military prowess of Sher Shah Suri of Bihar.

Alternatively, however, Akbar might have linked himself to the then dominant tomb complex of North India, the mazār of Nizām ad-Dîn Awliya (d. 1325) in Delhi. Why didn't he? Both K.A. Nizami and S. Digby have indicated the extent to which the Nizāmī affiliates of the Chishtiyya, as also the tomb of Nizām ad-Dīn, dominated during the Lodi period.20 In 1564, during his eighth regnal year, Akbar does attempt a pilgrimage to the tomb of Nızām ad-Dîn but he is wounded by an assailant.21 Though the injury is minor, the incident resonates with symbolic undertones: Delhi was the stronghold of the ruling Muslim elites. Delhi itself had been the capital of Muslim dynasties in North India until Sikandar Lodi (1489-1517) had opted to make Agra his capital. Agra had continued to serve as the capital for his successor, the last Lodi sultan, Ibrāhīm (1517-1526). It remained the imperial center for the brief period of Bābur's reign (1526-1530), while Humayun, both before and after his exile in Iran, preferred Delhi.22

In securing his own rule at Agra (1556-1570), Akbar had to be aware of the tension between Agra and Delhi as rival imperial centers. It may have been in part due to their asymmetry (Delhi having

the longer history, Agra the more immediate strategic advantage) that Akbar sought another base from which to project his distinctive version of imperial authority. But one could not simply choose another site. The choice had to have symbolic and legitimating power such that others would be led to accept the rightness of the emperor's decision. He chose to build a mausoleum for Salum Chishti in the courtyard of the Jamie Masjid of his new capital city, Fathpur Sikri. Linking Fathpur Sikri to the saint who predicted the birth of his heirs and successors made its selection as a new imperial center logical, even compelling. There were also other advantages that appealed to the spiritual dimension of Akbar's multifaceted personality. Having chosen Fathpur Sikri, he was able to confirm and continue his affiliation with the tomb of Shaikh Mu'in al-Din in Raiasthan while also drawing on the power of a living saint, Salim Chishti, and through him on the spiritual baraka that derived from his ascetic patron, Farid al-Din Ganj-i Shakar in the Punjab. Through a two-fold, redoubled Chishti loyalty, Akbar could anchor his impenal legitimacy in provinces adjacent to Uttar Pradesh, the Punjab and Rajasthan, both of which also happened to be crucial to the political-military ambitions of his reign.

As important as Akbar's affiliation with Chishti saints was for the Fathpur Sikri phase of his life, it becomes irrelevant after that period, i.e., for the final twenty years of his reign. His abrupt shift in loyalty had an impact on institutional Sufism that reverberated throughout the Mughal period. We have already noted that neither Salim nor Mu'in ad-Din could be a constant focus of Akbar's allegiance, any more than Fathpur Sikri could remain his permanent capital city; it was, after all, the emperor not a place nor a saint who was lauded as the apogee of authority - spiritual and temporal - in the Mughal polity as reconceived by Akbar. To the extent that his person did become, in Richards' apt phrase, "the metaphor for empire", spiritual luminaries could only function alongside the imperial cult by being linked to or subordinated within the aura of ultimate authority arrogated to Akbar and to him alone. The absolutist claims which were raised by Akbar, or by Abū'l-Fadl in Akbar-nāma, forced a redefinition of both sainthood and dynastic succession. We will consider, in turn, the problems of situating the Chishti order in this changed milien and then the dilemma posed to Akbar's designated successor, Prince Salīm, the future Jahāngīr.

We would expect to find evidence about the Chishtiyya in the chronicles of Abū'l Fadl, but in fact, apart from Akbar nama ac-

<sup>20</sup> See especially K.A. Nizami, El, s.v. Chishtiyya.

<sup>23</sup> Akbar-nāma u, 312-15.

<sup>22</sup> Gavin Hambly, Efran, s.v. Agra

counts of Akbar's frequent visits to the tomb of Khwāja Mucīn al-Dîn and the emperor's encounter with Salīm, the Ā'īn-i akbarī biographical profiles for all Indian saints, including the Chishtīs, are pithy, formulaic recapitulations of minimal interest.<sup>23</sup> Most of the data they set forth can be gleaned from earlier tadhkiras that must have been available to Abū'l-Fadl By contrast, we would expect to find in Muntakhab al-tawārikh of Badā'ūnī, the alleged defender of orthodoxy, only diatribes about Sufi excesses or else the suppression of information about saints who influenced Akbar. The opposite is in fact the case. Badā'ūnī extols many saints, often recapitulating personal episodes in which he encountered or was embarrassed by them.

It is necessary to look at both these oddities before setting them within the context of the one reliable tadhkira we have from Akbar's reign: Akhbār al-akhyār of "Abd al-Haqq Muhaddith Dihlawi.24 Abū'l-Fadl, despite his eclectic, libertarian interests, is not engaged by the disciplined life of Sufi ascetics. He never expresses an interest in visiting hospices or engaging in discussion about mystical/exegetical/theological issues. His chief skill is in pressing Sufi metaphors into service as equivalent tropes for eulogizing the emperor. Badā'ūnī, despite his judgmental tone, is a restless seeker after spiritual truth.

In reading Muntakhab al-tawārīkh, one constantly feels that its author was the victim of bad timing and poor judgment. Although he had an opportunity to secure imperial favor in 1577 at the time of 'Abd al-Nabī's fall from imperial favour, he neglected to capitalize on the attention Akbar showed him, turning his greatest moment into an unredeemable failure. It was a charged moment: 'Abd al-Nabī, who had been the emperor's confidant and trusted advisor for more than a decade (since the dismissal of Bayrām Khān in 1561), was being tested for his decision to execute a brahman who had defiled the name of the Prophet. The heated discussion at court had reached the point where the emperor, clearly unable to decide, looked for assistance from those present. Badā'ūnī tells the narrative in his own self-effacing style that only serves to underscore the pathos of the moment. The contrast with Mubarak, the father of Abū'l-Padl,

23 Sec A\*in-1 akbarī u. 388-423.

could not be more acute. When he subsequently came to court, the emperor queried him, too, about the affair of "Abd al-Nabi and the now deceased brahman. Judiciously Mubarak deferred to Akbar as "the imām and mujtahid of the age", i.e., whatever he declared was fitting, just and acceptable. Subsequently Mubārak drafted the maḥḍar which, in Badā "ūnī's words, 'affirmed the spiritual supremacy of the Emperor and his superiority to all religious functionances'.26

However, by the time that this contest is being waged at the royal court (1577), the Chishti silsıla has already lost whatever benefit its partisans — whether shrine custodians, living saints, or Hindu/Muslim devotees - may have gained by the favor that Akbar had showered upon them. It is one of the seldom noted ironies about Abd al-Nabi that his family lineage directly linked him to one of the foremost Chishti saints of Babur's period, 'Abd al-Quddüs Gangöhi (d. 1537), just as Mubārak could trace his lineage back to a still earlier Chishti forbear, Hamid ad-Din Suwali Nagori (d. 1274). Both courtiers, however, were removed from active advocacy of either their own Sufi legacy or the active mystical interests of others. Nor did the construction of Salim's tomb within the walled courtyard of the Jami<sup>c</sup> Masjid at Fathpur Sikri promote the spiritual agenda of the Chishti lineage that he represented. On the one hand, as Richards has pointedly noted, Akbar, 'by placing the Chishtis in the congregational mosque, affirmed their legitimate role in Islam - a symbolic statement that surely was deliberate. (At the same time, however,) the founding of Fatehpur-Sikri was clearly meant to be an affirmation of the emperor's orthodoxy and the legitimacy that he claimed for his rule'.27

Moreover, following the mahdar, Akbar continues to command allegiance more to his person than to any achievement, even the mosque-tomb complex of Fathpur Sikn. In the visit to Nizām ad-Dīn of Narnaul (1579), we had noted how Akbar showed scant regard for the aged ascetic. The next year when the holy foot stone is brought back from Mecca, he feigns interest but actually (if we believe Abū'l-Fadl) doubts its authenticity. In 1581 he again visits an esteemed saint, Jalāl Thanesari, a disciple of the most famous Sabiri

<sup>24</sup> It is itself an indicator of the imperial tone set by Akhar that there was little royal incentive to compile tadhkiras, in addition to Akhbār al-akhyār, we have only its near clone, the Akhbār al-agfiyā. On the Akhbār al-akhyār, see my article in Elran I/7:711-712.

<sup>25</sup> See Muntakhab al-tawārīkh m. 130-131

<sup>26</sup> Ibid. ni., 131.

<sup>27</sup> Richards, op.cit. 67

Chishti master, "Abd al-Quddus Gangohi (d. 1537),28 but it is the saint who praises the emperor and submits to his authority, rather than the reverse role, which would be expected and which Akbar followed in his early pilgrimage to Ajmer and subsequent engagement of Salim. Most telling of all, Akbar does not dignify any saint's tomb with his presence after 1580. The evidence of the Akbar-nāma about the pattern of Akbar's visits to saints' tombs is revelatory of the emperor's changed mood. After the momentous events of 1579, Akbar visits Delhi once and spends most of his time at Humayun's tomb, and when he last visits Delhi in 1585 he does not visit any tomb but Humayun's. The pattern of visitation, and the actual exclusion or failure of Abū'l-Fadl to mention a visit to Ghiyaspur, implies that Akbar has devalued the spiritual potency of the Chishti connection. The same conclusion can be drawn from other evidence. but it is confirmed at the point where Akbar is most visible, his travel to appointed places of merit or remembrance in between rounds of military engagement. It is, therefore, impossible to say, as Akbar apologists have repeatedly tried to do, that the greatest Mughal remained faithful to Sufi Muslim exemplars to the end of his life.

More attention needs to be paid to the saintly biographies that Badā'uni included in Muntakhab al-tawārīkh. Not only do they exude a freshness lacking in the comparable section of A'in-i akbari, they also indicate the variety of spiritual endeavors that were taking place outside the royal court though not in explicit competition with the imperial cult increasingly focused on Akbar after 1574, i.e. for almost the entirety of his reign at Fathour Sikri. One must recall that Badā uni had no illusions of obtaining a reward for his book. He did not write to please a powerful patron. He may have, at most, entertained the hope of some historical redress. Above all, he wanted to acquit himself at the court of Divine Justice, as is clear from his final supplication: 'Please God this work will, for a while, be preserved from the treachery of lack of preservation, of faithlessness, or of evil guardian-ship, and being constantly hidden under the protection of God's guardianship, will receive the ornament of acceptance...',29 Even if one discounts the author's special pleading for the authority

of his own experience, the sum total of these individual accounts provides unexpected answers to the questions that we posed above. Far from being suppressed, the orders showed a resilience in their regional manifestations. The strongest claimants to spiritual authenticity were those shaykhs who combined a grounding in the traditional religious sciences of Sunni Islam with an attachment to mystical pursuits. Two examples will show the consistency with which Bada'uni applied his principle: Nizām al-Din Ambethi30 and Da'ūd Chātī.31 In both cases Badā'ūnī dwells on noble ancestry, pursuit of learning, and also calm judgment under fire. The presentvoice narrative infuses his account of these and other saints. They come alive as holy men constantly being tested, whether by jealous notables, a distant sultan or a persistent visitor. With Nizam al-Din it is Bada'uni who is the overzealous guest, making a verbal faux pas that seems to doom him from obtaining the saint's favor. In the case of Da'ud it is the saint himself who, during the Suri interregnum, is set up to be the victim of a court conspiracy against Sufi masters (perhaps because of his Mahdawi persuasions), but his gracious manners and sound learning not only rescue him but turn the tables on his would-be persecutors.

The winsomeness of Bada uni's Sufi biographies suggests the move of intercalating his vignettes with the acknowledged master of Mughal hagiography, 'Abd al-Haqq Muhaddith Dihlawi. 'Abd al-Hagq is himself the subject of one of Bada onis sketches, and so the comparison is not as far-fetched or impertment as it might at first appear to be. Like Bada'uni, 'Abd al-Haqq was among the Indo-Persian scholarly elite of the late sixteenth century: even though he survived well into the reign of Shah Jahan, his most famous Tadhkira, Akhbar al-akhyar, was written during the third phase of the Akbar period, ca. 999/1591. Also like Badā'unī, he was not beholden to the new imperial ideology constructed by Abü'l-Fadl and advocated by Akbar in the late fifteen-seventies. Even though he studied at Fathpur Sikri as a teenager, by age twenty-one (1572) he opted to return to Delhi, where he had been born and reared, where his parents still resided, and where he could teach in his father's madrasa.

Unlike Badā'uni, however, 'Abd al-Haqq is clearly writing his

<sup>28</sup> See Akbar-nama ttt, 341-42 (transt. ni, 500-501), and also the discussion in Rizvi, op. cit 163-164

<sup>29</sup> Muntakhab at tawarikh iii. 535-36.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid. 27f.

<sup>31</sup> Ibid. 47f

work for public dissemination. In the light of Badaouni's fears, his literary strategy has to be subtly shaped, at once revealing and concealing his true intentions. Unable to disagree with Akbar directly, he also cannot follow the not so subtle pattern of Bada'uni's clandestine work: to criticize those who were the confidants of the emperor, especially Faydi and Abū'l-Fadl. Instead, Abd al-Haqq constructs his work in such a way that it both supports Akbar's imperial agenda and at the same time offers an alternative set of spiritual authorities. He lauds the Chishti epigones of virtue but does not dwell on Salim. Rather, he adopts a diachronic scheme which begins with the Chishtis and so with Mucin al-Din and then progresses generationally through the Delhi Sultanate to the Akbari era. The saints who merit most extensive attention and whose biographies mirror 'Abd al-Hagg's disposition are the later Qadiris. They were the spiritual precursors of his father, Sayf al-Dīn, and also his own mentor, 'Abd al-Wahhāb. So generous does he appear to be toward all saints that a censor would have been hard pressed to fault him either on his organizational strategy or his individual entries, which total over 250. In short, "Abd al-Haqq attempted to be more than a pawn in the grand design for expanding Mughal hegemony that Akbar, with assistance from his courtiers, directed. Yet the Delhi savant could not operate outside the constraints of a bureaucratic structure that dominated, even as it animated, all aspects of an expansive Indo-Persian culture.

What emerges then from our examination of the case study of Mughal India at the time of Akbar is a cautionary flag about assuming that the opponents of Sufism were always declared enemies. It is too easy, and also too simplistic, to chart a picture of us versus them, implying that the enemies were 'orthodox' Muslims and that it was Sufis who were guilty of mystical excesses entailing antinomian violations of sharia. The thesis here advanced is that the very nature of claims made by mashā'ikh, as also by their successors and by the custodians of their tomb complexes, leads to conflict with dynastic centrists, however liberal their outlook and policy. A corollary of this thesis is that the conflict which emerges between the saint and the king, between the spiritual and the political emperor, will be charted less by opposition to Sufism as a whole than by the preference for certain Sufis over others. Most importantly, in each case where there are multiple brotherhoods, and multiple contenders for spiritual pre-emmence, the choice of brotherhood and spiritual exemplar will be made by the dynast as much on the basis of imperial need as on mystical insight or spiritual suasions.

Ideology camouflaged remains a powerful force in the reckoning of preference for, or opposition to, institutional Sufism. The case study of one time frame and one dynast from Mughal India might be seen as an exception in Islamic social history; but the opposite conclusion is equally plausible, namely, that the manipulations of Akbar highlight a general occurrence throughout premodern Muslim empires, one that deserves far more detailed study than it has received up till now.

## CRITICIZING THE SUFIS THE DEBATE IN EARLY NINETEENTH-CENTURY INDIA

## MARC GABORIEAU

A radical breakaway from Sufi traditions by modernists and neofundamentalists starts in India in the second half of the nineteenth century. Before that time Sufism was an integral part of Indian Islam, even if it was criticized for abuses and excesses. In this period even reform movements which are often loosely labelled as Wahhābī retained an important Sufi dimension. This is borne out by the following quotation from one of the works of Abū'l-A'lā Mawdūdī (1903-1979).

The one weakness which in my opinion has always attended the work of our reformers ever since the time of Mijaddid-1 alf-1 thant [Ahmad Sirhindi (1564-1624)] till Shāh Walistlah (1703-1762) and his successors may be ascribed to their failure to form a correct view of the Muslim peoples' morbid attachment for Tasawinf This was a malady which might well have been cured by taking necessary precautions... True to God, I bear no personal gridge against the Tasawinf presented by these reformers: in spirit it was indeed the real Tasawinf of Islam, nothing different from thisan. But what I think should be carefully eschewed, are the mystic alusions and metaphoric references, the mystic language, and the continuance of a peculiar mystic (ore, customs and traditions... The large-scale diffusion of these mystic abuses unrong the common people has in fact produced the worst religions and moral degeneration too well known to the right-minded people

Just as a pure and lawful thing as water is prohibited when it is deemed to be harmful to a patient, similarly the cult of *Tasawing*, though allowable, needs to be eschewed and laid aside. For through it the Muslims have become addicted to a kind of miorication which has fulled them into sleep and sapped them of life and reality for centuries. As soon as *bai'nt* is performed, the disciples start developing a service mentality which has become intimately associated with the system of discipleship.

Though Maulaga Ismad Shahid (1779-1831) fully estimated the extent of the abuses and followed strictly the same practical approach as had been adopted by Imam Ibn-1-Taimiyyah (1263-1328), the writings of Shah Wahidlah, nevertheless, contained references to his mystic experiences and this affected his own writings as well. Then, on the practical side, the tradition of saint-disciple relationship had been associated with the movement of Sayyid Ahmad (1786-1831) from the very beginning. Therefore, this movement also could not remain immune from the fatal germs of the mystic abuse. So much so that after the martyrdom of Sayyid Ahmad a section of his followers began cheristing like the Shinhs the doctrine of 'disappearance' and

they still cagerly await his reappearance [as a mahdi].

Now therefore, if somebody wishes and plans to revive Islam, he must show the language and the terminology of the Sufis, their mystic allustons and metaphoric references, their dress and etiquette, the saint-disciple institution and all other things associated with it. Indeed he must make the Muslims abstain from these abuses just as a diabetic is warned to abstain from sugar'.

This quotation also illustrates the difference between the twentieth-century neo-fundamentalists who want to do away with Sufism completely, and the early-nineteenth-century reformers such as Hājii Sharī at Allāh and his son Muḥammad Muḥsin (Dūdhū Miyān) in eastern Bengal, and Sayyid Ahmad Barēlwī in the Panjab and the North-West Frontier Province. The followers of the former, known as the Parā diyya, performed Qādirī dhikr, and the followers of the latter, known as tarīqa-i Muḥammadiyya were initiated into the major Sufi orders. Thus, in both cases, Sufism was an integral part of doctrine and practice; criticism focused on abuses which were perceived to be later accretions and did not concern Sufism as such.

The movement of Sayyid Ahmad is particularly important: it standardized most of the arguments used to justify criticism of the Sufis in India till today. Thus, in the following pages we will examine the doctrine expounded in the main texts of the movement, and we will reflect on its consistency and its possible origins. This is not only of historical interest, but may contribute to a better understanding of the anti-Sufi polemics in modern South Asia.

The conventional chronology of the movement is usually based on the biography of Sayyid Ahmad Barēlwi.<sup>3</sup> The most recent and comprehensive account of the movement<sup>4</sup> allows for the following summary.

Sayyid Ahmad was born in 1786 in Rae Bareilly, in Awadh, in a family which cultivated the traditions of mystical life and soldiery. This family was based at the shrine of their ancestor Sayyid 'Alamu'llāh (1624-1684), a Naqshbandī saint. As a child Sayyid

Abū'l A'lā Mawdūdi, Short History of the Revivalist Movement in Islam, transl by Al-Ash'ani (Lahore 1963, first publ. in Urdu in 1940) 105-8.

Mun-ud-Din Ahmad Khan, History of the Fara'rdi Movement in Bengal, 1818-1906 (Dhaka 1984, 2nd ed.) chapt. 7, 273.

<sup>3</sup> EL s.v. Ahmad Barelwi, Mohinddin Ahmad, Saivid Ahmad Shahid his Life and Mission (Lucknow 1975).

<sup>4</sup> Sayyıd Athar Abbas Rızvi, Shāh 'Abd al 'Azīz and his Time Purttanism, Sectarianism, Polemics and Jihād (Canberra 1982) 473-97

Ahmad took more interest in martial arts than in studies. Unable to find employment in Lucknow, he went to study in Delhi in 1803 or 1804. Here again, he showed little taste and predisposition for exoteric sciences, but proved to be a gifted mystic: he was outwardly initiated by Shāh 'Abdu'l-'Azīz (1746-1824); but, in true Naqshbandi fashion, he claimed that his real initiation was that of an uwaysi, directly initiated by the Prophet, Fāṭima, 'Alī and finally God Himself.'5 In 1808 he came back to Rae Bareilly, and married. In 1811-1812 he returned to Delhi for a few months. And then, from 1812 to 1817, he had a first career as a soldier in the army of an Afghan adventurer, Amīr Khān (1768-1834), who eventually became the nawwāb of the small Muslim principality of Tonk in Rajasthan in 1817 when he was compelled by the British to disband his army.

By 1818, with the final defeat of the Marathas, the Pax Britannica was effective in North India, with the exception of the Sikh kingdom (in Panjab, North-West Frontier and Kashmir) which was annexed only in 1849. Sayyid Ahmad settled in Delhi in the middle of 1818 and started his second career as a reformer which was to last up to his death in 1831. The first manifesto of his movement, the Sirāt almustagim, was written in Persian by Ismā'īl Shahīd (d. 1831) and "Abdu'l-Hayy (d. 1828), a son-in-law of Shāh "Abdu'l-"Azīz, allegedly in 1818. This is the year in which, according to the conventional chronology, both men were initiated by Sayyid Ahmad into what most commentators consider to be a new Sufi order, the tarīga-i Muhammadiyva. This second career of Sayyid Ahmad had three phases preaching reform in the plains of the Ganges from 1818 to 1821; his pilgrimage to Mecca with more than six-hundred disciples from 1821 to 1824; and raising the call to jihād after his return to India. In 1826 he instigated a very indecisive jihād against the Sikhs from Peshawar (present North-West Pakistan) which met with a crushing defeat in the battle of Balakot in 1831. Here, Sayyid Ahmad and Ismā'il Shahīd were killed. However, since Sayyid Ahmad's body was not found after the battle, his disciples believed he had simply disappeared and would return to lead them to victory. In this manner, he had, in a sense, a third posthumous career as a mahdī for several decades after his death

In the conventional account, the start of the movement coincides with the establishment of the Pax Britannica, which enabled Sayyid Ahmad to embark on his second career as a reformer in 1818. This presupposes that Sayyid Ahmad himself is the one who initiated and inspired the reform movement. According to this view, it is only after he had given the first original impulse, that his main disciple, Ismā'īl Shahīd, started to write down in detail his reformist doctrine in the Persian manifesto Sirāt al-mustagun. This was followed by a tract in Arabic, Radd al-ishrāk, which is believed to have been written during the stay in Mecca and Medina in 1822-1823.6 The first part of Radd at-ishrāk was translated into Urdu by Ismācīl Shahid himself and became his most famous book, the Taqwiyat al-īmān, which he completed in India in 1824. The second part was translated in 1834-1835 by one of ismā'il Shahīd's disciples, Muhammad Sultan Khan Shahabadi or Shahjahanpuri,7 under the title Tadhkir alikhwān

Practically all the literature of the movement has been written by Ismā'īl Shahīd who appears as the main ideologue. What we know for certain about the chronology of his activities before he became associated with Sayyid Aḥmad in 18188 mainly derives from a romanticized biography written in Urdu,9 and does not allow for a coherent account of his life. He was born in 1779 as the son of 'Abdu'l-Ghanī (d. 1789), the youngest son of Shāh Walīuliāh (1703-1762), and became an orphan at the age of ten. Subsequently, he was adopted and trained by his paternal uncle Shāh 'Abdu'l-Qādir (1753-1815) who was also to be the tutor of Sayyid Aḥmad. Ismā'īl studied martial arts and religious sciences; he brilliantly completed his studies at the age of sixteen, i.e. around 1795. Thereafter, he started his career as a preacher in the Jāmi'c Masjid of Delhi, where his sermons against the cult of Mushm saints, graves, and martyrs, demons, fairies and Hindu gods and goddesses aroused hostility from the reli-

<sup>5</sup> Marc Gaboricau, 'The description of Sufism in the first manifesto of the Indian Wahhabis, the Sträfte'l mustoque by Ismā'il Shahād and 'Abdu'l Hayy (c. 1818)', in Muzaffar Alam, Françoise 'Nulian' Delvoye, Morc Gaboricau (eds.), The Making of Indo-Persian Culture Indian and French Essays (Delh. in the press).

<sup>6</sup> Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al 'Aziz 509. For a detailed conspectus of the literature produced by the movement see Marc Gaboncau, 'Late Persian, Early Urdu: The Case of "Wahnābī' Literature (1818-1857)', in Françoise 'Nalini' Delvoye (ed.), Confluence of Culture, French Contributions to Indo-Persian Studies (Dellu 1994) 170-196.

<sup>7</sup> Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al 'Aziz 509; Nūr al-Ḥasan Rashid Kāndhatawi, Toquevat al unon our Shāh Ismā'il Shahid-ke Khdōf bar-pā shūrish tārikh aur haqiqat ke ā'ino meā. at-Furqān (Lucknow), in installments from ixi/7 (1991) to ixi/10-12 (1993). The passages referred to here are ixi/10-12 (1993) 79-80, 87-8.

<sup>8</sup> EL, s.v. Ismā'il Shahîd, Rızvı, Shāh 'Abd al 'Azīz 509. note 99

Muzā Ḥayrāt Dihlāwī, Ḥayūt ı ṭayyiba (Amritsar 1933, 2nd edition).

in 1818. As mentioned earlier, it is usually considered to be a translation of the first chapter of the Radd al-ishrāk which deals with shirk. In reality, however, it is rather an expanded commentary on this chapter which constitutes only one fourth of the original text of the Radd. 17 The second chapter of the Radd al-ishrāk, which is on bida<sup>c</sup>, 18 constituted the basis for the Tadhkīr al-ikhwān, written in 1834-1835, which is essentially an expanded commentary on this text in Urdu. Thus, according to the revised chronology, the reformist doctrine of the movement was codified in the Radd al-ishrāk and Taqwivat al-īmān. These texts were written before Ismā<sup>c</sup>īl Shahīd wrote Sirāt al-mustaqīm, and thus before Sayyid Aḥmad star-

ted his career as a religious reformer.

In addition to the Sirāt al-mustaqim, Ismā'īl Shahīd wrote another book which primarily focuses on Sufism. This text in Arabic, entitled 'Abaqāt,19 is an exposition of the Sufi doctrine of Shāh Walīullāh. Since its date of composition remains unknown, the text allows for few conclusions if any concerning the definitive status of Sufism in the history of the movement. The Şirāt al-mustaqīm,20 however, is a clearly dated manifesto which marks the start of the tarīqa-i Muhammadiyya as an organized movement under the leadership of Sayyid Aḥmad in 1818. The contents of the tract are now rather well-known. Recent studies<sup>21</sup> confirm beyond doubt the assessment of its first commentator, the British magistrate John Russell Colvin, who classified it as a Sufi work.<sup>22</sup> The charisma of Sayyid Aḥmad as leader of the movement is based on his qualities as a mystic and a Sufi guide, in the manner of the great Delhi Naqshbandi leaders.<sup>23</sup> Similar to these leaders he initiated his disciples into what

grous establishment. Could this indicate that Ismā'il should be considered the person who started the reform movement before and instead of Sayyid Ahmad?

That is precisely the contention made in a revised version of his biography which was recently published in Urdu. <sup>10</sup> In this account the date of the composition of the Radd al-ishrāk, at the time of the pilgrimage in 1822-1823, is not accepted but instead antedated to circa 1798, i.e. before Sayyid Ahmad came to Delhi for his first training. The Taqwivat al-īmān, which is conventionally dated 1824, is antedated to the period before Ismā°il's composition of the Sirāţ al-mustaqīm, i.e. before the middle of 1818 at the latest. <sup>11</sup>

This means that reformist doctrine as formulated in Radd al-ishrāk would date back to the end of the eighteenth century. According to Kändhalawi, 12 sixteen manuscripts of this text are known to exist, and an edition of it was published in Pakistan in 1983.13 From a chronogram in the oldest known manuscript, it may be conjectured that the exact date of its composition is 1213/1798-1799, when Ismā<sup>c</sup>īl Shahīd was barely twenty years old.<sup>14</sup> It appears to be unfinished and contains only two chapters: the first dealing with shirk, and the second with unacceptable bida 5.15 The development of Ismā'il Shahīd between 1798 and 1818 is not very clear, and the dates of most of his books are not known. But in the new chronology a few landmarks emerge his initiation by Sayyid Ahmad is supposed to have taken place in 1812 and not in 1818, as is conventionally held; he composed a pamphlet entitled Tanwir al-'aynavn fi ithbāt raf al-yadayn before 1815. A major problem in the chronology concerns the dating of the composition of Tagwiyat al-īmān. According to the conventional chronology, it was written in 1824. Yet, its composition should be dated to the first half of 1818; the oldest known manuscript is dated July 1818,16 and the name of Sayyıd Ahmad is not mentioned in this text. Thus, one may infer that the Taqwiyat alîmān was written before the two men became definitively associated

<sup>10</sup> By Kandhalawi, see note 7

<sup>11</sup> Kändhalawi, hx/9 (1991) 24-5; hx/3 (1993) 28-32; Nasim Ahmad Fandi, Todhkiru-i Shāh Muhammud Ismā\*il (Lucknow 1988)

<sup>12</sup> Kandhalawi, lxi/4 5 (1993) 65-76.

<sup>13</sup> See Ismā'il Shahīd, Radd al ishrāk (Lahore 1983, reprint 1988). I am grateful to Arthur Buehler for procuring me a copy of the 1988 reprint.

<sup>14</sup> Facidi, Tadhhkuro-i Shôh Muhammad Ismā'īl; Kāndhalawi, lxi/3 (1993) 28-32.

<sup>15</sup> Kändhalawi, [xi/10-12 (1993) 79.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid 1xi/7 (1993) 32-3

<sup>17</sup> Ibid. lxi/6 (1993) 22-5.

<sup>18</sup> Ibid. bd/10-12 (1993) 79-80, 87-90.

<sup>19</sup> ismá'il Shahid, 'Abagāt (Karachi 1960), Urdu translation by Manāzir Ḥasan Gilāni, 'Abagāt (Hyderabad a.d.), English translation by I.N Jalbani, 'Abagāt of Shāh Ismā'il Shohid (Delhi 1994).

<sup>20</sup> Ismā'īl Shahīd, *Ṣirāṭ ul-mustaqīm*, first printed Calcutta 1822; quoted here from the Lucknow lithograph, n.d., Urdu translations were also used (Deobard n.d. and Lahore n.d.)

<sup>21</sup> Muhammad Hedayatullah, Saynd Ahmad. A Study on the Religious Reform Movement of Sayvid Ahmad of Rae Bareli (Lahore 1970): Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 499-508 Gaboricou 'The description of Sulisan'

<sup>22</sup> John Russell Colvin, 'Notice on the peculiar Tenets held by the followers of Syed Ahmed, taken chiefly from the "Sirat-ol-Mustaqion" a principle Treatise of that Sect, written by Moulavi Mahommed Ismail', Journal of the Royal Assatic Society of Bengal I/11 (1832) 479-408.

<sup>23</sup> Warren Fusfeld, The Shaping of Sufi Leadership in Delhi. The Naqshbandiyya

were then the four main Sufi orders in India: the Chishtiyya, Qādiriyya, Naqshbandiyya and Naqshbandiyya Mujaddidiyya. The Sirāt al-mustaqim is not just a manifesto, but also a textbook for Sufism of the kind that became fashionable among the Indian Naqshbandis during the nineteenth century.<sup>24</sup> The whole structure of the book, shaped by the opposition between the way of Sainthood (wilayat) and the way of Prophethood (nubuwwat), betrays inspiration from the Naqshbandiyya Mujaddidiyya. The tarīqa-i Muhammadiyya, therefore, appears not as a new Sufi order, but merely as a reformulation of the Mujaddidiyya, with special emphasis on living in conformity with the sharia as expounded by the Prophet.

Thus, Sayyid Aḥmad's movement, in doctrine as well as in practice, did not fundamentally break away from the tradition of Shāh Walfullāh and the other great Naqshbandī Sufis of eighteenth-century Delhi. Yet, it appears from the hagiography dealing with the life of Sayyid Aḥmad,<sup>25</sup> and from the main works of Ismā<sup>v</sup>īl Shahīd, that the adherents of the movement stressed this distinct identity from the other Sufis by ostensibly avoiding practices of other Sufis which they considered contrary to the sharia.

Conversely, the other Sufis, in particular the Delhi Naqshbandîs of the school of Mazhar Jān-i Jānān (1700-1781) considered at that time, 26 and still consider, 27 the followers of Sayyid Ahmad as adversaries and as a distinct sect bordering on infidelity (kufr). They nicknamed them wahhābī, likening them to the Arabian Wahhābīs who were then infamous in India for their destruction of the tombs of saints and descendants of the Prophet, particularly in Medina. Far from being a British invention, as now widely believed in India, this nickname was first applied by Indian Muslims and is attested as

Mujaddidiyya, 1750-1920 (Ph.D. Diss., Philadelphia 1981, University of Pennsylvania, unpublished).

early as 1821.28

The features which distinguished the followers of Sayyıd Ahmad from other Sufis appear from anecdotes in the hagiography, from an ennumeration of bida<sup>c</sup> in the Şirāṭ al mustaqīm, from discussions of shirk in the Taqwiyat al-īmān, and from the Tadhkīr al ikhwān.<sup>29</sup>

The first section of Chapter 2 of the Sirât al-mustaqīm³0 is especially devoted to innovations of deviant Sufis, i.e. of 'the heretics who behave as Sufis' (sūfī-shi'ār mulhid) or 'the idolators who behave as Sufis' (sūfī-shi'ār mushrik), and covers denials of God's transcendence, heretical interpretations of ontological monism (wahdat al-wujūd), hair-splitting discussions on predestination, excessive reverence for one's living murshid, pilgnmages to the tombs of saints, prayers for their intercession, and vows and gifts offered to the tombs of the saints. Two abuses mentioned in the literature of the movement³¹ deserve particular attention the cult of dead saints, and the reverence for living spriritual guides.

The cult of dead saints is denounced in reformist literature in general. In the *Ṣirāṭ al-mustaqīm* several pages are devoted to the condemnation of the 'innovations regarding the tombs of the people of God'. We need not dwell on this point here because it is the most frequently cited in the literature about the movement; and I have dealt with it at length on the basis of the texts analyzed here,<sup>32</sup> and in

<sup>24</sup> Arthur F Bushler Sifi Heirs of the Prophet The Indian Nagshbandivea and the Rise of the Mediating Sifi Shavkh (University of South Carolina 1998).

<sup>25</sup> Muhammad 'Ali, Makhzan-: Ahmadi (Agra 1881).

<sup>26</sup> Warren Fusfeld. 'The Boundaries of Islam and Infidelity', in Katherine P Ewing (ed.), Sharë at and Ambiguity in South Asian Islam (Berkeley 1988) 205-19

<sup>27</sup> Abü'l Ḥasan Zayd Fārūqī. Mowlānā Ismā'il Duhlawi aur Taqwivat al-imān (Delhi 1984), Marc Gaborieau, 'Protestations d'un soufi indien contemporain contre trois interprétations récentes de Shaikh Aḥmad Suhindi', in Marc Gaborieau, Alexandre Popovic and Thierry Zarcone (éd.), Nagshbandis. Cheminements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulman (Istanbul 1990) 263-4.

<sup>28</sup> Ruzvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 509, 485, 498

<sup>29</sup> Muhammad Sultan Shahabads (or Shah shanatir). Tadhkir al-khada (1834) [(a continuation of the Tagwiyat al-imān (first known edition, Deihi 1850 printed after Tagwiyat al-imān, Multan 1987)] 55-222 One should however emphasize that there is no single book our any part of a book, composed during the lifetime of Sayyid Atimad and Ismā'il Shahād which is exclusively devoted to the abuses of the Sulis, the only text I know of purporting to be a work of this kind is al-Balāgh al-multān, a pumphlet in Persian falsely attributed to Shān Walinliāh which was in fact written after 1831 (Marc Gaborieau, 'A Nineteenth-Century Indian "Walhabi" Tract Against the Cult of Musium Saints: Al-Balāgh al-multīn', in Chr W Troll (ed.), Muslim Shrines in India. Their Character, History and Significance (Delhi 1989) 198-239. In the books written before 1831 the abuses of the Sulis are always classified among other objectionable practices not linked with Sulism. However, the Şirār ol-mustaqlin has a short sub-section which enumerates the most important abuses of the Sulis (Ismā'i, Shahīd Lucknow n.d., 49-63, Rizvi Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 509, 500-2).

<sup>30</sup> For a partial translation, see Qeyamuddia Ahmaé, The Wohlnbi Movement in India (Calcutta 1966) 6-9.

<sup>31</sup> For an exhaustive inventory of abuses mentioned, see Marc Gaborieau, 'A Nuncteenth-Century Indian "Wahhabi" Tract' 219 5.

<sup>32</sup> Marc Gaborieau, "Le culte des saints musulmans en tant que ritnel controverses purdenues", in Archives de sciences sociales des religions, lxxxv/1 (1994) 85-98.

connection with a more recent pamphlet.33

Criticism of the excessive respect shown for living murshids is also particularly characteristic of the doctrine of the movement, at least in the South Asian context where such criticism is not attested as far back as in other areas. Disapproval is implicit in the biography of Sayyid Ahmad: when he went to Shah "Abdu'l-"Aziz for his apparent initiation — his real initiation was that of an uwaysī, as we saw above — he ostensibly refused to meditate on the image of his guide because this was a form of idolatry.<sup>34</sup> This point is dealt with at length in the Sirāṭ al-mustaqūn,<sup>35</sup> where Ismā'īl Shahīd writes:

'To show excess in revering one's murshid, believing that he is like God or the Prophet, is also one of the innovations of the idolators who behave as Sufis (siff-shi'dr mushrik) which is nowadays generally widespread and is particularly frequent in the country of Hindustan; and even people agreeable to God have also falten into this innovation. It is necessary to understand what is the just limit in this matter.. The murshid is (only) a means (wasila) on the way to God, as God has said (Koran 5/34)... And it is not possible to find the right path without a guide. But the guide should be one who never does or teaches anything contrary to the Law'.

This is one of the few points taken up again in the non-polemical third chapter of the Sirāt al-mustaqīm, which is devoted to the description of Sufi techniques. Here, Ismā'īl Shahid strongly objects to the contemplation of the image of one's Shaikh, shughli-i barzākh, 36 or taṣawwur-i shaykh, which is usually referred to in the technical books as rābiṭa, the bond joining a disciple to his master.<sup>37</sup> According to Ismā'īl Shahīd, contemplation of the image of one's guide is absolutely prohibited (harām) by the sharia because it is a form of idolatry (but-parastī). This point aroused the most important controversy in the time of Ismā'īl Shahīd, and after him as well.<sup>38</sup> Persons of the stature of Sayyid Aḥmad Khān (1817-1898), who accepted most of the reformist doctrine against innovations,<sup>39</sup> had difficulty in

33 Gaboriean, 'A Nineteenth-Century Indian "Wahhabi" Tract"

accepting this particular reformist position. Sayyid Ahmad Khān himself wrote a short epistle in Persian to reaffirm the lawfulness of the *rābiṭa*;<sup>40</sup> and the polemics on this issue continue to this day among Muslims in India and elsewhere.<sup>41</sup>

In the Taqwiyat al-Iman the focus is on shirk.<sup>42</sup> In four sections, four categories of idolatry are successively described with numerous examples, viz. fi'l-'ilm (in matters of science: e.g. attributing to created beings any knowledge of the invisible world, which belongs to God alone); fi'l-taşarruf (in matters of power: attributing to created beings such as saints, or pagan deities, powers which belong to God; and in particular attributing to them the power of intercession (shifā'at); fi'l-'ibādāt (in matters of ritual observance: performing on behalf of created beings ritual acts which are exclusively reserved for God, such as prostration or circumambulation); fi'l-'ādāt (in matters of customs: a category which partly overlaps with the others, and lumps together a variety of customs deemed objectionable, such as practicing divination).<sup>43</sup>

The Tadhkīr al-ikhwān is longer and more loosely composed than the Taqwiyat al-īmān. Its central concern is the identification of bid a in seven chapters which treat the following themes: the necessity of following the Sunna of the Prophet, faith and the five pillars of Islam, predestination and practices which go against it like the use of amulets, the excellence of the early Muslims, innovations in ri-

<sup>34</sup> M.hammad 'All, Mokhzon-i Ahmadi 18-22, Mohaddin Ahmad, Savnd Ahmad Shahid 36-7, Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 475-6.

<sup>35</sup> Ismā'i, Shahid (Lucknow n.d.) 53 sq.

<sup>36</sup> Ismā'īl Shahid (Lucknow n.d.) 130-1, Urdn translation, (Deobard n.d.) 163-4; Gaboneau, "The description of Sulism"

<sup>37</sup> Michel Chodkiewicz, 'Quelques aspects des techniques spirituelles dans la tarique naqshbandiyys', in Marc Gaborieau, Alexandre Popovic and Thierty Zarcone (&d.). Naqshbandis 75 80 but see especially Friz Meiex, Zwei Abhandiungen über die Naqsbandiyyo (Istanbul 1994); see the first essay, Die Herzensbindung an den Meister 17 ff.

<sup>38</sup> Gaboneau, 'A Nineteenth Century Indian "Wahhabi" Tract 214-5

<sup>39</sup> Christian W Troll, Savvid Ahmad Khan. a Reinterpretation of Muslim Theology (Karach, 1979) 40-42

<sup>40</sup> Sayyid Ahmad Khan, Namiga dar bayan-i mas'ala-i taşamınır-i shaykh (Aligarh 1883); cf. Bruce Lawrence, 'introduction' and 'Mystical and Rationa. Elements in the Early Religious Writings of Sayyid Ahmad Khan', in Bruce Lawrence (ed.), The Rose and the Rock Mystical and Rational Elements in the Intellectual History of South Asian Islam (Durham, N.C. 1979) 9-10: 63-79

<sup>41</sup> Gaboneau, 'Protestations', 258, Butrus Abu-Maaneh, 'Khalwa and Rübija in the Khālidi suborder', in Gaboneau et al. (éd.), Naashbandis 299-300

<sup>42</sup> Ismā'il Shahid, Taqwiwa al-inda (Lucknow 1956) When Sayyid Ahmad appointed representatives (khalifas) in various areas of India, he would give them a letter in which he ordered them to abstain from two things: shirk, associating partners with God, a term we shall from now on translate as 'idolatry', and hid'o (pl bida'), 'innovation'. Such letters [cf Qeyamuddin Ahmad, The Wahhaba Movement in India (Calcutta 1966) 39-41] represent the most condensed, yet technically precise, summary of this doctrine.

<sup>43</sup> A fairly reliable complete English translation of this book was made more than a century ago by Mir Shahamat Ali, "Translation of the Takwiyat-ut-uman, preceded by a notice of the author, Maulavi Isma'ti Hajji', Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society xm/l (.852) 310-72, revised edition by M. Ashraf Dair, under the title Support of the Faith (Lahore .969). The article 'Shirk' by T.P. Hughes in A Dictionary of Islam (London 1885, repr. Lahore 1964, 579-580 is actually a detailed summary of the Taqwiyat al īmān, authough the work is not mentioned by name.

tuals to do with the tombs of saints, conformity (taqlid) to the legal schools viewed as an innovation, and a final chapter on miscellaneous objectionable practices such as sama<sup>r</sup>, gambling, undue pride in one's genealogy, excesses in praising people, excessive expenditure for marriages, refusal to allow widows to remarry, excessive expression of grief in mourning, extravagant spending in ordinary life.

The aim of the arguments in both texts is to demonstrate that certain beliefs and practices imply allotting to creatures attributes which only belong to God. The argumentation is based on conformity with Scripture, and does not refer to theology, a field for which Sayyid Ahmad and his followers did not claim any special competence Explicit theological issues only came to the foreground in the controversies about the Taqwiyat al-īmān which involved Ismā'īl Shahīd after 1824. These issues had to do with the problem of whether or not God could create another world with another prophet equal to Muhammad, and the doctrine of intercession. 44 Here as always the major concern was to maintain conformity with Scripture.

The prevalence of quotations from Scripture instead of coherent argumentation is a striking feature of the Radd al-ishrāk. This first book of Ismā<sup>c</sup>īt Shahīd is rather sketchy, and consists mainly of quotations from the Koran and the Traditions.<sup>45</sup> The two Urdu adaptations of the original Arabic texts, Taqwiyat al-īmān and Tadhkīr al-ikhwān, are more elaborate than the Radd al-ishrāk. Yet, quotations from the Koran and from the Traditions still constitute the core of these books. The fourth section of the Taqwiyat al-īmān,<sup>46</sup> which deals with idolatry in ritual observances, illustrates this point. It contains six quotations from the Koran, as for example surah 22/27-29:

'Call the men to the pugnings, they will come on foot or on lean-flanked camels. They will come from far away to the place where they receive favours; they will mention God by name at appointed days over the cattle God gave them: "Eat these animals and feed poor and needy people with their flesh." Then let them put an end to the prohibitions [linked with the pilgrimage]. Let them circumambulate the ancient temple [i.e. the Kn°ba]'

This Koranic quotation is used to prove that pilgrimage should be to Mecca only and for the worship of God. One should not go on pilgrimage to the tombs of saints or to other places linked with their life; such places should not be considered sacred. One should not

circumambulate them; and no animal should be sacrificed there, since.

'All these acts are acts of idolatry. One must abstain from them, Indeed they are acts to be performed for the Creator alone no creature is sufficiently elevated to deserve such honours' 47

The Koranic quotations are followed by a series of six Traditions concerning shrines worshipped by the idolaters, sacrifices performed in them, and the spread of idolatry at the end of the world. The sixth and last Tradition quoted in this section, with its commentary, runs as follows:

"...in the chapter of the Mishkit entitled "The Last Hour will come only when People are Wicked", it is said that the two Shaykhs [Bukhiri and Muslim] wrote. "Abu Huraira reported God's messenger as saying: "The last hour will not come till the buttocks of the women of the tribe] of Daws waggle around [the idol] of Dhū-t Khaiasa. Dhū-t Khaiasa was the idol which they worshipped in the pre-Islanue period" 48

"Dows" is the name of a tribe that worshipped an idol called Dhit-i Khalasa: it was destroyed in the time of the Prophet. But the Prophet said that when the time of the Resurrection draws near, people will start worshipping this idol again, the women will perform the circumsambulation (fawif) around it; and the Prophet will see their buttocks waggling. This Tradition teaches us that circumnambulation around a house other than the House of God (the Ka'ba) is idolatry, and that this is a custom of the infidels. One must absolutely abstain from such a circumnambulation. 49

These lines are obviously aimed at two Indian practices which the Wahhābīs considered prohibited: pilgrimage to the tombs of the saints by women; and circumambulation of the tombs of the saints.

These quotations illustrate how Ismā'īl Shahīd made use of Senpture in accordance with the Indian Wahhābī view that all proofs should come from two sources only: the Koran and the Sunna, i.e. the Traditions. In the Şirāţ al-mustaqīm<sup>50</sup> and the Tadhkīr al-ikhwān<sup>51</sup> it is stressed that the Koran and Sunna have precedence over the rules of the classical law schools; and that, if any ruling from these schools contradicts one of these sources, the ruling must be abandoned. Thus, blindly following (taqlīd) the law schools is criticized. This prefigures by a whole generation the school of the Ahl-i hadīth which came to be the most radical heir to the Wahhābīs

<sup>44</sup> Rizvi. Shāh "Abd al "Azīz 517 22

<sup>45</sup> Kāndha;awī, Ixt/6 (1993-22-5)

<sup>46</sup> Isma'il Shahid, Lahore 1956, 55-67

<sup>47</sup> Ismā'il Shahid, ibid. 58-9.

<sup>48</sup> Mishköt al-masabih xxviivi, English translation quoted from James Robson, Mishköt al-Masabih. English translation with explanatory Notes. 1-1v (Labore 1963) 40-1163

<sup>49</sup> Ismā°il Shahīd (Lahore 1956) 67

<sup>50</sup> Ismā'il Shahid (Lucknow n.d.) 77; Rizvi, Shāh Abd al. 'Azīz, 504-5.

<sup>51</sup> Shāhābādi, Todhkir al-ikhniān (Multan 1987) 158-62.

465

in the eighteen-fifties. 52

In fact the promoters of the movement looked upon themselves neither as theologians, nor as jurists, but as traditionists, nurtured in the schools of Shah Waliullah Dihlawl, and of the Yemeni Muhammad b. 'Alı al-Shawkanı (d. 1834).

According to the revisionist chronology, the beginnings of the "Wahhābi" movement do not coincide with Sayyid Ahmad Barēlwī's arrival on the scene. Rather, it is the Radd al-ishrāk, written by Ismā'īl Shahīd in 1798, which should be considered as marking the commencement of the movement. Since the contents of this text, which aims at reforming certain beliefs and practices common among Indian Muslims and especially among Sufis, cannot be related to specific historical events or particular religious trends in India, the roots of the movement should be sought elsewhere in the Islamic world. One would naturally be inclined to look for Wahhābī roots, in particular since the Indian reformers were called Wahhābīs by their adversaries from the outset, and the British authorities and the orientalists also identified them as Wahhābīs. 53

This identification has been strongly resisted in present-day India and Pakistan for reasons of national pride; and many western scholars have followed suit. Conversely, when I recently suggested a possible influence from the Arabian Wahhābīs on the Indian reformers. It is was immediately denied by a leading Indian historian of the Wahhābī movement. Yet, it should be pointed out that the doctrines and actions of the Arabian Wahhābīs were well-known in Delhi. It is themes developed by Ismā'īl Shahīd in the Radā al-ishrāk and the Taqwiyat al-imān have often been compared with the Kitāb al-tawhīd, the major work of Muḥammad b. Abd al-Wahhāb (1703-1792), the founder of Wahhābism. Some scholars

52 El, s.v. Ahl-ı hadith

have even held the view that Ismā'īl Shahid's tracts were directly inspired by the Kitab al-tawhid. Moreover, the vehemently polemical style of Ismā'īl Shahid, as well as the physical violence directed by some of his disciples against cult objects such as ta'zivas — replicas of the tombs of Hasan and Husayn<sup>59</sup> — are more reminiscent of Wahhābī practice than of the peaceful admonitions of Shāh Waliullāh. All of this, however, does not amount to adequate proof of Wahhābī influence. One therefore feels inclined to consider another possible source of inspiration: the writings of Ibn Taymiyya.

The arguments used by Ismācīl Shahīd to condemn certain practices and beliefs as reprehensible are already found in the writings of Ibn Taymiyya. One even finds the objection to meditating on the image of the Shaykh.60 J.M.S. Baljon has convincingly shown that Ibn Taymiyya's thought, which was widely discussed in India in the eighteenth century, served as the main source of inspiration for Shah Waliullah (1703-1762) in his formulations of criticism of the Sufis.61 At the time of Ismā'il Shahid and Sayvid Ahmad Barēlwī, Ibn Taymiyya's books were known and commented upon in Delhi 62 One of the scholars of this city who wrote against Ibn Taymiyya was its great mufti, Sadr al-Din Azurda (1789-1868). He wrote a treatise in refutation of the arguments of Ibn Taymiyva and others to prove that visits to the shrines of saints and other divines are unlawful'.63 In the second half of the nineteenth century the most radical inheritors of the tariqa-i Muhammadiyya of Sayyid Ahmad, the Ahl-1 hadith, also made extensive use of Iba Taymiyya.64 Thus, Ismā'il Shahid might be one more promoter of Ibn Taymiyya's thought in India (as digested perhaps by Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb). Yet, neither in the works of Ismā'īl Shahīd himself nor in those of his contemporary disciples do we find any mention of Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb or Ibn Taymiyya.

Another possible source for Ismā'īl Shahīd's ideas is the Yemeni scholar Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Shawkānī (d. 1834). From the hagiography we learn that Sayyid Aḥmad and his party contacted al-

<sup>53</sup> On the British side the idealification was popularized by William Hunter, Our Indian Musalmans: Are they Bound in Conscience to Rebel against the Queen? (London 1871) Among the orientalists this identification was first formulated by T.P. Hughes. A Dictionary of Islam (London 1885), article 'Wahhabi', 659-62; Shorter El, s.v. Wahhabi'wa, retained this identification. As mentioned earlier (note 43) Hughes based his article shirk on the Taquiyat al-īmān. This work, however, which is not mentioned by name, is actually used as a source on Wahhābī (i.e. Arabian and not Indian Wahhābī) theology.

<sup>54</sup> For a recent example, see Fusfeld, "The Boundaries of Islam and Infidelity"

<sup>55</sup> Gaboricau, 'A Nineteenth-Century Indian "Wahhabi" Tract' 231-2.

<sup>56</sup> Qeyamuddin Ahmad, The Wahhabi Movement in India (Dellin 1994) 31-2.

<sup>57</sup> Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 190, 498, 517

<sup>58</sup> R.zvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 509, Fārōqi, Mawlānā Ismā'īl Dihlawi

<sup>59</sup> Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 478

<sup>60</sup> Chodkiewicz, 'Quelques aspects' 79, note 39.

<sup>61</sup> M.S. Baljon, Religion and Thought of Shah Wali Allah Dihlow? (1703-1762, (Leiden 1986) 200, and id., 'Shah Waliullah and the Dargah, in Christian W. Troll (ed.), Mustim Shrines in India 195-196.

<sup>62</sup> Rizvi, Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz 190.

<sup>63</sup> See EL s.v. Azurda.

<sup>64</sup> Gaborieau, 'A Nineteenth-Century Indian "Wahnabi" Tract'

Shawkāni when they reached Jidda in 1822 on their way to Mecca to perform the hajj. This they did in order to obtain from him a book of Traditions. After the death of Sayyid Ahmad, Wilāyat Alī (1790-1852) of Patna, the latter's chief disciple in India, rushed to the Yemen to obtain an ijāza for Traditions which he believed would give him legitimacy to lead the movement. The presumed influence of al-Shawkānī remains to be substantiated on the basis of historical and textual evidence. It would certainly explain the main features of the Barēlwī movement, notably the insistence on ijithad (as opposed to taqlīd then prevalent in India) and the insistence on retaining a substantial Sufi component. These features are completely absent from Arabian Wahhābism proper.

The hagiography gives the impression that Ismā'il Shahīd insisted on the rejection of *taqlīd*, and had to be restrained with regard to this matter by Sayyid Ahmad during the *jihād* in the North-West. Similarly, Sayyid Ahmad appears to have insisted on banning meditation on the image of one's Shaykh. Ismā'il Shahīd continued this practice until his death.<sup>67</sup> The fact that the movement split into several branches after 1831 indicates conflicting tendencies partially rooted in the divergent views concerning these issues.<sup>68</sup>

Indian reformers of the early nineteenth century do not oppose Sufism as such, but mainly criticize and reject certain practices and beliefs regarding living spiritual guides and dead saints. Their views are partially shaped by Naqshbandi teachings, but mainly by neo-Hanbalism More recently, Arabian Wahhābi thought and perhaps al-Shawkāni's views may also have had some impact. The lines by Mawdūdī quoted above reflect a prevalent modern neo-fundamentalist view: that medieval Sufism is an obstacle to efficacious political and social reform. This view, in a sense, is a logical corollary of the political and social activist concerns of Mawdūdī and other neo-fundamentalists. Such concerns, however, were not typical of the reformers of the early nineteenth century such as Ismā'īl Shahīd. As is evident from his Sirāt al-mustaqīm, medieval Sufism

was still alive and intact. Thus, Fazlur Rahman's view, that the 'pre-modern' reformers were dissatisfied with the existing social and political situation, 69 is not substantiated by the case of Isma'il Shahid. O'Fahey and Radtke's argumentation against Rahman's concept of 'Neo-Sufism'<sup>70</sup> would seem to be borne out. Indeed, early-nine-teenth-century reformers such as Ismā'il Shahid and Sayyid Ahmad cannot be credited with a realistic program of political and social reforms. They were first and foremost traditionists who aimed primarily at purifying Islam. They had a utopian vision of a return to Islam's pristine purity, for which their jihād in the North-West was a one-time vehicle, and they promoted messianic expectations which crystallized into Sayyid Aḥmad's posthumous third career as a mahdī.

<sup>65</sup> Rizvi Shōh 'Abd al 'Azīz 484

<sup>66</sup> Mohaddan Ahmad, Sawid Ahmad Shahid 300-10, Qeyamaddin Ahmad, The Wahhabi Mavement 86

<sup>67</sup> Christian W Troll, Sayyid Ahmud Khan. a Reinterpretation of Muslim Theology (Karachi 1979) 42

<sup>68</sup> Khan, History of the Farà di Movement (Dhaka 1984) 39-88, remains the best documented account of these shvisions.

<sup>69</sup> F Rahman, Islam (Chicago 1979) 209-10

<sup>70</sup> R. Séan O'Fahey and Bernd Radike, 'Neo-Sufism Reconsidered', Der Islam, lxx (1993) 52-87.

In my 'Les oulémas/soufis dans l'Inde moghole anthropologie historique de religieux musulmans', Annales Économies, sociéés, civilisations v (1989) 1192 and 1200-1201, I more or lets followed Fazhir Rahman. Here, I wish to restate my earlier position. A thorough examination of Sofi texts produced by Ismā'il Shahld, such as Sirōt al-mustoqim, and even supposedly political texts such as Manşab-i imāmat (written circa 1827, Dohn n.d.), has amply shown that medieval Sufi theosophy was still present, the argumentation recently developed by O'Fahey and Radtke (see note 69 above) against the concept of 'Neo-sufism' appues also at the Indian case, see Nicole Grandin & Marc Gaboricau, 'Le renouveau confrérique (f.n XVIIIc et XIXe siècle)', in Alexandre Popovic and Gilles Veinstein (éd.), Les Voies d'Allah Les ordres mystiques dans le monde musulman des origines à aujourd'hui (Paris 1996) 68-83. It would therefore seem to be exponeous to locate the demise of classical Sufism in the early nimeteenth century

# CHARISMATIC VERSUS SCRIPTUAL AUTHORITY: NAQSHBANDI RESPONSE TO DENIERS OF MEDIATIONAL SUFISM IN BRITISH INDIA

#### ARTHUR F BUEHLER

Musion shrines and tombs of Sufi Saints represent Muslim culture [and] traditions ... These Sufi Saints still rule over the hearts of Pakistanis and Muslims of other countries. With the passage of time the number of devotees has increased. The visit to shrines by millions of people every year is an abiding testimony of their absolute and undisputed sway over their followers and of their divine blessings emanating from their hallowed graves. [

'Ahl-I hadis ... insist strongly upon the unity of God, which ... they say has been endangered by the reverence paid by the ordinary Musalman to Muhammad, to the Imams and to saints, and forbid the offering of prayer to any prophet, priest or saint, even as a mediator with the Almighty They condemn the sepulchral honours paid to holy men, and illumination of, visits to, and prostration before, their shrines, and even go so far as to destroy the domes erected over their remains. They call the rest of the Muhammadans [sic] "Mushrik", or those who associate another with God. 2

These contrasting perceptions represent two poles of authority in Sunni Islam: charismatically connecting to the Prophet Muhammad via a spiritual genealogy on one hand and scripturally connecting to Muhammad via transmitted religious knowledge on the other. In the context of the Indian subcontinent Sufis (Muslim mystics) and Ahli-Hadīth (followers of the prophetic hadīth) reflect these two differing paradigms in Islam that have sharply divided Indian Muslims since the early nineteenth century. Sufi practice centers around a personal charismatic authority, usually called a shaykh or pīr (lit. elder), whom they consider a protégé of God (walī, lit. close to God) and therefore who can mediate between Muslims and God. Ahli-i Hadīth, defining their primary authority in scriptural terms, i.e., the

Koran and Ḥadith,<sup>4</sup> assert the equality of humans before God while stressing that any Muslim can address God and consult the primary sources directly without any need of mediation.

To a large extent these two polarities of Indian Islam are the outcome of a unique process of Islamization which has resulted in a tension between the idealized Islam of Arabia and the actual realities of an Indian Islam influenced by Indian culture.5 An ongoing process of revitalization (tajdid) of Indian Islam steers a middle path between these two extremes. Such revival movements have been critical of Indian customary practices, often identified with Hindu practices, while striving to cultivate the paradigmatic practices (sunna) of the Prophet in seventh-century Arabia. This revitalization process continues the original Islamization impetus, in that it seeks, among other things, to teach nominal Muslims appropriate Islamic orthopraxy and develop a more self-conscious Muslim identity.7 The following section provides a brief history of Islam in India and an overview of Indian Muslim revivalist currents before discussing three major revivalist/reform groups in British India: Barēlwis, Deobandis and Ahl-i Hadith. Their disagreements over both the extent of mediation between the believer and God and the relative importance of personal charismatic authority set the stage for the second part of the essay which explores their actual theological differences and arguments.

Most major Indian Muslim reform and revival movements began in the Panjab as a response to the indigenous development of Panjabi

Pakistan Tourism Development brochure, 1985

<sup>2</sup> A Glossary of the Tribes and Castes of the Punjab and the Northwest Frontier Province 1911

<sup>3</sup> Indian Suffs have differing views on the extent of this mediation, hence the Deobandi and Barélwi schools of thought in India

<sup>4</sup> Por the canonical Sunni collections, compiled by the tenth century, I use "Hadith" and when referring to one or several reports from these collections the term "hadith" is used

This is not to say that these polarities are unique to Indian Islam. See Mervyn Hiskett, The "Community of Grace" and its Opponents, the "Rejectors" A Debate About Theology and Mysticism in Muslim West Africa with Special Reference to its Haisa Expression', African Language Studies xvii (1980) 99-140. Certainly one critical aspect of differing Shit and Sunni expressions of Islam involves the focus on the charismatic leadership of Shit Imams

To some extent the tension between Indian customs and normative Arabic sharia standards is eased by the flexibility of community conseasus which recognizes local customs in the form of 'urf and 'ador laws in addition to the sharia. In spite of this versatility many Indian Muslims have felt separated from their spiritual homeland in Mecca and Medina. Muhammad Iqbal (d. 1938), a well known modern Indian thinker, frequently invoked images of returning to Mecca and Medina in his writing.

In this regard Ahl-i Hadith would be more of a reform (islah) movement, attempting to ne-form Indian Islam in a radical way (in the sense of a complete reconstruction) rather than in an incremental manner.

Islam Traditionally, the spread of Islam throughout the western Panjab has been attributed to the efforts initiated by the grand Sufi masters of the Chishtiyya and Suhrawardiyya, Bābā Farid Shakar Ganj (d. 1265) of Pakpattan, and Bahā' ul Ḥaqq Zakariyya (d. 1267) of Multan, respectively. Richard Eaton has argued that conversion resulted from a two-fold process: nomadic Jat tribes became agriculturists while simultaneously adapting to the influences of Mughal government. This enabled the families and caretakers of the deceased Sufis' tomb-shrines to control intractable Jat groups by the sixteenth century. Smaller, local shrines associated with villages or subtribes augmented these major shrines creating, by the nineteenth century, a Panjabi countryside dotted with graves of deceased holy people.

In the mind of the typical rural Panjabi, Sufi shaykhs, whether dead or alive, derive their palpable worldly authority from their closeness to an utterly transcendent and distant God. Such connections to God enable Sufis to intercede on behalf of the believer in the same way that political and social relationships and interactions in northern Indian society require the use of mediators between various levels of the social hierarchy. Communication between the spiritual and mundane realms is conceived in an identical fashion. Sharafuddin Maneri (d. 1381) states,

the sheikhs are kings close to the King, and their requests are acceptable to Him. All those who come to the sheikhs and bind themselves to the sheikhs attain what they desire' 10

For many Indian Muslims, shrine cults were their only contact with Islam and they did not see any need to change their dress or lifestyle to differentiate themselves from Hindus. To counter this tendency a tradition of revivalism has flourished continuously from the seventeenth century to the present day. Ahmad Sirhindī (d. 1624), the founder-figure of the Naqshbandī-Mujaddidī lineage, proneered the first major Islamic revival movement in the Indian Sub-

continent. His agenda as a renewer of religion (mujaddid) involved encouraging behavior and belief modelled after that of the Prophet, the primary Muslim exemplar. By the beginning of the eighteenth century, the Mujaddidiyya, propagating a sharia minded revival Sufism, had prospered in India and became an international lineage. Shāh Waliullah (d. 1763), a Mujaddidi shaykh, continued this revivalist tradition. Both a master of the Islamic religious sciences and a Sufi, Shāh Waliullah emphasized the study of hadīth, becoming a specialist in hadīth himself. His sons, led by the hadīth scholar Shah 'Abdul' azīz (d. 1824), continued Shāh Walīullāh's work, which was directly to influence most of the reform and revival groups during the British colonial period. The most obvious legacy appears in the idiom of religious polemics. By 1900 no argument justifying Sufism would be considered serious unless supported by numerous Koranic and hadīth citations, the more the better.

No one bolstered the Indian mediational type of Sufism with more scriptural citations than the erudite Ahmad Ridā Khān Barēlwī (d. 1921). With his thousands of legal opinions and prolific writing, Ahmad Ridā strove to give the predominantly rural northern Indian shrine cult legitimacy in reformist terms, that is, legitimizing its activities and world view in terms of the Koran and Hadīth. His followers, Barēlwīs, saw no contradiction between the reformist ideal of each Muslim behaving in conformity to the prophetic model and adherence to the beliefs and practices of a predominantly rural, shrine-centered Islam.<sup>12</sup> This legitimacy enabled a mediational Sufism to become increasingly popular in urban environments. It is

The British gazetteers and census takers recorded that Muslims attributed their conversions to these and other Sufi notables. Thomas Arnold in his Preaching of Islam (London 1913) and Murray Titus in Richard C. Martin (ed.), Indian Islam. A Religious History of Islam in India (London 1930), both relied upon these reports to support a counter-argument refuting the conventional European attitude that conversions in India residted from force.

Richard M. Eaton, 'Approaches to the Study of Conversion to Islam in India', in Richard C. Martin (ed.), Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies (Tueson 1985) 106-123. Eaton actually charts an inverse relationship between Muslim political penetration, i.e., the sword, and conversion to Islam, citing the most dramatic conversion rates in regions on the fringes of Indo-Muslim rule, Eastern Bengal and Western Panjab.

<sup>10</sup> Sharafuddin Manëri, The Hundred Letters, English translation by Paul Jackson (New York 1980) 28.

<sup>11</sup> This has always been the case to some degree since the tenth century but it became exaggerated in British India. In Jama'at 'Ali Shah's article, 'Darfirat-1 murshid', in Muhaminad Şādiq Quşûrî (ed.) Irshādāt-i anīt-1 miltat (n.p. 1983) 16-61, there are twenty-mine scriptural proofs given to justify the need for a spiritual good An argument frequently was clinched by having more citations than the opponent, see Barbara Metcalf, Istanic Revival in British India. Deobard, 1860-1900 (Princeton 1982) 308 Often these Koronic and hadāth proofs were extremely strained, e.g., Risāla-1 anwār ay-sāfīvaa vu, no. 1, 16 [hereafter cited Rīsāla with volume, number, page, e.g., Rīsāla vii. 116]. Pagination is very irregular in this journal

<sup>12</sup> Ahmad Rida's followers identified themselves as "Ahi-i Sunnat wa-Jamâ'si" (members of the rightly guided Sunni mainstream) but they became known to outsiders as "Barëlwis." Since many other communities also considered themselves "Ahl-i Sunnat wa-Jamâ'at", I use Barēlwi in a non-pejorative sense. For the movement in general see Metcalf Islamic Revival 296-314, and for a detailed treatment of Ahmad Rida Khān and the non-Sufi aspects of his movement, see Usha Sanyal, Devotional Islam & Politics in British India Ahmad Rida Khan Barelwi and his Movement, 1870-1920 (Delhi 1996).

this unique blend of predominantly rural shrine cults and modern urban institutions that has produced a distinctive South Asian perspective on Islam and on the practice of Sufism.

Ahmad Ridā formulated an unprecedented Sunni prophetology to support and enhance his mediatory version of Islam. His operating principle was to develop beliefs and customs that would elevate the status of Muhammad and the Sufis to unprecedented heights. Instead of divine Effulgence (fayd) from God, Barēlwis emphasized the "Muhammadan light" (nūr-i muhammadī) which had existed from the beginning of creation. [3] In addition, they believed the Prophet to be present and observing (hādir wa-nāzir) at all times and places; he could be called upon whenever needed. [4] Barēlwis, in addition, believed that Muhammad had a comprehensive knowledge of the unknown (film-i ghayb). [5]

Given this theological background, Ahmad Ridā opposed Deobandis and other Muslim groups because they consciously neglected to accord Muhammad his status as a holy superman. 16 Indeed, anyone who decreased the glory of Muhammad in any way

13 The idea of the Muhammadan light was fully developed by the beginning of the tenth century; see American Schimmel. Mystical Dimensions of Islam (Chapel Hill 1975) 214-15. There are numerous apparent resemblances between Ahmad Rida's emphasis on the centrality of Muhammad and his lineal descendants (ahl-1 bay) and Twelver Shii concepts. Ahmad Rida'arrived at his prophetology through devotion to Muhammad and an ordent desife fastifully to imitate his prophetic example. Barêlwis justified their practices and self identity, which revolved around the figure of Muhammad, by citing Sunai sources. To the extent that Barêlwi and Shii positions overlapped it should be understood to be development from two different traditions rather than "Shii influence" See Sanyal, Devotional Islam 212-16.

14 The shorthand for this belief is the expression "O Messenger!" (wheresid). In contemporary Posiston it is not unusual to see Barélwis identifying themselves by wearing green buttons with this written on them. Benazir Bhutto, not generally considered a Barélwi but a Shu, had this written on many of her compargo posters in Lahore during the 1989 elections

15 This notion was denounced by Shāh İsmā'il Shahid (d. 1831) as associating himted human knowledge with the knowledge that only God possesses (ishrāk fi'l 'ilm). See Shāh Ismā'il Shahid, Radd al-ishrāk (Lahore 1988) 20-22

was for Ahmad Ridā guilty of infidelity to Islam (kufr). The Deobandis and other ulama and Sufis who venerated the Prophet as a perfect but human model for human behavior, fell far short of what Ahmad Rida considered proper love and adulation of the Prophet. For Barêlwis exuberant praise of the Prophet was the touchstone of correct religious practice and belief, enabling them to legitimize both weak hadiths, if they elevated Muhammad's stature, and innovations in practice, if they honored the Prophet.<sup>17</sup> Barelwis expressed their devotion in a typically Indian manner, paralleling the practices of Indian bhaktas by writing love poetry, adoming holy persons with flowers, and using rose water and incense when in their holy presence — a far cry from the paradigmatic practices of Muhammad, an Arabian prophet that Ahl-i Hadith members used as the exclusive criterion of appropriate Islamic orthograxy. These kinds of Indian devotional practices did not usually trouble Deobandis although such activities provoked serious clashes between Barelwis and Ahl-i Hadith.

During the British Colonial period, the Ahl-i Hadīth vehemently denied the institutions of Sufism and any notions of intermediaries between God and believer. Ahl-i Hadīth challenged the customary Indian Islamic ethos and associated practices, making it one of the most radical groups of Indian ulama. They categorically excluded all later developments in Islam, thereby declaring both medieval schools of jurisprudence and Sufism, institutions which had guided Muslims for a millennium, to be superfluous. Emphasizing a direct and literal interpretation of Koran and Hadīth, the Ahl-i Hadīth believed that each Muslim could derive guidelines for ritual performance and for life situations from these original sources. Experiencing God, a Sufi goal, was for them not a proper Muslim goal. Although never very popular, this group's relatively few adherents came from the elite who did not mind that Ahl-i Hadīth ulama rejected both the traditional Indian Sunni Hanafi ritual observances,

Ahmad Ridā dec, ared any person who did not share each detail of his prophetology to be an infide, in the same fashion as a person not adhering to each article of the Muslim credal dogma ("aqā"id) would be considered a non-Muslim. Ahmad Ridā defines numerous kinds of infidels in his flusām al horamawi including those following Muzā Ghulām Ahmad, the leader of the Ahmadīs who had declared himself a prophet, and Deobandi leaders, Muhammad Qāsim Nānawtawi. Rashīd Ahmad Gangohī, and Ashraf "Alī Thānawī. Ahmad Ridā labeled the latter three "Wahhābīs." See Usha Sanyal, Devotional Islam 235-7 Deobandis were a group of nineteenth-century revivalist ulama who supported a Sufism devoid of what they considered non Islamic practices. See p. 474 below

<sup>17</sup> Ibid. 348, 448. It is this mode of thinking that justified admirable innovations (sing bid'a hasma) since it is not against general proof (daid 'āmm) and leads one to the sharin and practice of the sunna. See Ahmad Sa'id, Bi'l fawā''d al-dābita fi tihbāt al-rābita (n.p. 1875) 16-31, where visualization of the shaykh is justified as an admirable innovation. General proof in this context most likely means community consensus.

<sup>18</sup> Metcalf, Islamic Revival 268-296. Unless otherwise noted 1 am relying on Metcalf's summary of Ahl a Hadith throughout in this section.

<sup>19</sup> The Ahl-i Hadith are forced to include the first three centuries of Islam because of their reliance on the canonical teath-century hadith collections.

e.g., by reciting "āmīn" out loud (āmīn bi'l jahr) and raising their hands (raff al-yadayn) in prayer, and the traditional practice of inculcating master-disciple relationships.<sup>20</sup>

Shah Muhammad Isma'ıl Shahid (d. 1831), a nephew of Shah 'Abdul'aziz (d. 1824), was the first Indian to espouse views of the group that later became known as Wahhabis or Ahl-i Hadith.21 After spending fourteen months outside of India visiting Arabia and Turkey, Ismā'il Shahid finished his famous Tagwiyat al-īmān in 1824. It is uncertain to what extent his reform ideas actually were influenced by Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhab's writings even though the reformist agenda of Ahl-i Hadith closely resembles that of the Najdī, Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb (d. 1792).22 After the first war of independence in 1857 the British singled out Indian "Wahhābīs" as a particularly seditious group.23 By 1887 Muhammad Husayn, editor of a Lahore newspaper, Ishā'at al-sunna, convinced the Panjab government to replace the name "Wahhābī" with "Ahl-i Hadith". For many Indian Muslims the Ahl-i Hadith still symbolize a non-Indian Islam in spite of later twentieth-century Ahl-i Hadīth attempts to associate their reform ideas with notable Indian Muslims such as 'Abd al-Hagg-i Muhaddith al-Dihlawi (d. 1642), Ahmad Sirhindi (d. 1624), Shāh Waliuliāh (d. 1762), and Mīrzā Mazhar-i Jānjānān (assass, 1781).24

In 1867 a group of ulama founded a Dar al \*Ulim at Deoband to propagate a sharia-minded reformist Islam.<sup>25</sup> The Deobandi reformist orientation emphasized adherence of individuals to Islamic behavioral norms just as Sirhindi and his spiritual successors had been origing for the past three centuries. In contrast to the popular mediational style of Islam centered on shrine cults and annual \*urs ceremonies, Deobandis conceived of religious leaders as teachers of Islamic religious duties and exemplars of the prophetic sunna for the common people. Although the Deobandi leadership pattern challenged the basis of the predominantly rural Sufi mediational style of religious authority, Deobandi teachers shared much in common with their non-reformist brethren. Deobandi ulama thought of themselves primarily as legal consultants (muftis) while also acting as Sufi shaykhs to their students.

Deobandis specialized in formulating legal judgments (fatāwā) which propagated their reformist ideas and reinforced the status quo of following the legal school of Abū Hanīfa, the legitimacy of which was continually being contested by Ahl-i Haduth. Through these legal decisions Deobands ulama enacted, in a practical fashion, their dogmatic credal critique of Ahl-i Hadith and Shiites while discouraging what they considered to be un-Islamic customs, e.g., elaborate annual death anniversary ('urs, lit. marriage) celebrations at the graves of Sufis, monthly gyārhwin (lit. eleventh) celebrations on the eleventh day of each Islamic month commemorating 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī (d. 1166), Sufi music assemblies (samā<sup>c</sup>), special pilgrimages to Sufi shrines, and solicitations for the assistance of deceased Sufis, who could hear supplications at any time or place. Since the Barelwis considered all of these practices compatible with the prophetic sunna and the Deobandis did not, the two groups spent most of their time fighting with each other 26

<sup>20</sup> There is no regable information on how many people claimed to be Ahl-i Hadiih followers. According to Muhammad Ja\*far Thānesari's Tawārikh-i 'aŋbān (Delhi 1891), there were only ten Wonhābis in the Panjab in 1861 and by 1879 a quarter of the Muslims "were followers of Muhammad Ismā'il," cited in Abā Hasan Fārūqi, Mantānā Ismā'il aur Taquiyat al-imān (Lahore 1984) 10 According to a 1979 report conducted by the Pakistani Ministry of Religious Affairs on the number of religious schools in Pakistan. 354 were Deobandi, 267 Barājwī, 126 Ahl-i Hadiih, and 41 Shii There were no figures on how many students were in each school and the relationship between schools and popularity of a religious perspective is difficult to establish. See P. Lewis, Pirs, Shrines and Pakistani Islam (Rawalpindi 1985) 83.

<sup>21</sup> The name Ahl-1 Hadith was first used in writing by Nadhir Husaya (d. 1902) in 1864

This apparent connection explains why those additing to Ahl-t Hadith practices and doctrines have been labelled "Wahhābis" by their opponents. One major difference between the Ahl-t Hadith and their Arab counterparts is that the latter conform in some degree to the Hanball school of jurisprudence while the Indians reject the toqiid of any Imani. A modern Naqshbandi response to Shāh Ismā'il's thought is the late Abū Ḥasan Fārūqi's Maulānā Ismā'il ant Taqwivat al īmān where he states (p. 14) that Shāh Ismā'il's Radd al-ishrāk was laken from Muhammad b Abd al Wahhāb's work

<sup>23</sup> WW Hunters, The Indian Musalmans declared the Wahhābīs as encures of the British empire, which provoked apologetic responses, e.g. Şiddiq Hasan Khān's Tarjumān-i Wahhābīswa

<sup>24</sup> Muhammad Ibrāhum Mīr Sryālköti, Tārīkh-ı ohl-ı hadīth (Sargodha, n.d.) 273-284.

The biographies of these notable Indian Muslims are arranged in a continuous chain of hadith transmission that ends with Isinā'il Shahid and his successors in Ahl-i Hadīth, i.e., Muhammad Ishāq (d. 1846) and Nadhīt Husayn (d. 1902), implying the eminent Indian origin of Ahl-i Hadīth. All of these alleged forefathers of Ahl-i Hadīth reform ideas (through hadīth transmission) were famous Naqshbandī shayihis who had emphasized a Hanafi sharia minued Sulism, a conception that was diametrically opposed to Ahl-i Hadīth notions of proper Muslim Practice.

<sup>25</sup> Metcalf, Islamic Revival 157:183 Unless otherwise noted I am relying on Metcalf's treatment of Deobardis in this section.

<sup>26</sup> For a more comprehensive view of what Deobardi reformers considered to be un-Islamic, particularly among women, see Barbara Metcaif's partial translation of Mawlana Ashraf Ali Thanawi's Bihishii iëwor, Perfecting Women (Berkeley 1990).

One source of friction between the Deobandis and the Barelwis originated in their two conflicting ideas of the spiritual mentor's role: the Deobandis were educator-shaykhs and the Barēlwis mediator-shaykhs.27 For Deobandis the shaykh was an educator and an exemplar of moral character and piety, while for the Barelwis the shaykh was an intercessor and patron. The implications for disciples were manifold. The Deobandi approach expected the disciple to make an effort to transform his or her character; there was individual accountability in religious matters. A Deobandi disciple yielded his or her ego in loving obedience to the shaykh in addition to practicing spiritual exercises under the guidance of the spiritual guide. In contrast, Barēlwīs placed considerably less stress on the disciples' personal responsibility. Rather, a disciple's spiritual growth depended on the intercession of the shaykh, which in turn was tied to the intercession of Muhammad. Barelwis considered a direct approach to God to be a sign of arrogance, an insult to Muhammad and his heirs, in addition to being a foolish rejection of the means God had provided to become close to Him. 28

From the preceding discussion it may appear easy to categorize Indian Muslim identity for the Sufis and reformist/revivalist ulama in British India, e.g., Ahl-i Hadīth and Barēlwis opposing each other on every issue with the Deobandis somewhere in the middle. Yet this framework is not the neat set of organizational categories that it seems, particularly in the case of Sufi lineages and individual Sufis. Outsiders categorized Sufi affiliations of reformist groups on the basis of the Sufi ties of their leaders. Naqshbandis, for example, were generally associated with the Nadwat al-Ulama while many associated Chishti-Şābirīs with Deobandis, and Qādirīs with Barēlwis. Aḥmad Ridā continually harangued against beliefs advocated by the ulama of Nadwat al-Ulama. Yet Jamā'at 'Alī Shāh (d. 1951), a Naqshbandī Barēlwī, studied with the first rector of Nadwat al-Ulama and gave a speech there on 22 September, 1907.29 Deobandis,

called Wahhābis by the Barēlwis, 30 often shared teachers in common with the latter. For example, many of Ahmad Riḍā's closest followers were the students of Waṣī Aḥmad Muhaddith Ṣūratī, whose spiritual mentor was the Naqshbandī Shāh Faḍl Rahmān Ganj Murādābadi (d. 1895), also the mentor of the early leaders of Nadwat al-Ulama. Sufis who had a teacher in common did not, however, mean that there was any overarching unity of vision among them other than a desire to change the relatively powerless situation of Muslims in British India. Often Sufis found that confronting the Ahli Hadīth to be a more urgent (and practical) matter

The theological controversy between Indian Sufis and their detractors, in this case the Ahl-i Hadith, highlights two contrasting paradigms of religiosity within Islam. Their disputes involve differences over three interwoven elements: mediation, spiritual hierarchy, and personal charismatic authority. The first element specifies the means and ease of access the believer has to God, either with or without intercession. In the Sufi case, shaykhs, both living and deceased, are often recognized as mediators between individual Mushins and God (via Muhammad). Applying the principle of intercession to jurisprudence, the Imams of the four Sunni law schools and the ulama following these schools mediate the interpretation of the primary Islamic scriptural sources. Other Muslims, in a practice called taglid, then follow these interpretations which have been based on analogical reasoning and community consensus. Against mediation in all its forms, Ahl-i Hadith declare that any Muslim can ask God directly for guidance anywhere (so travelling to graves of holy persons is useless) and can consult the sources of the tradition, the Koran and Hadith, without needing to rely on the interpretive mediation of a specialist.

The second element involves presuppositions of relative spiritual hierarchy or equality. Sufis assume that certain individuals are closer to God, hence the term wall, one who is close to God. This closeness is a function of how one is "connected" to Muhammad, e.g., in an unbroken chain of initiation or mystical experience.<sup>31</sup> In terms of spiritual hierarchy, Muhammad is the most perfect of all humans and deserves to be venerated as such. The religious topography of shrines reflects this hierarchy since graves of these exemplary individuals

<sup>27</sup> For further details on the historical development of these two kinds of shaykhis see Arthur F Buehler, Sufi Heirs of the Prophet The Indian Nagshbandivva and the Rise of the Mediating Sufi Shaykh (Columbia 1998)

<sup>28</sup> For an example of how a Naqshbandi shaykh, Jama'ai 'Ali Shāh (d. 1951), thought an Ahl-i Hadith leader, Mawlawi Thana'ulläh Amritsari, had insulted the Prophet, see Akhtar Husayn, Sirai i amir-i millat (Karachi 2nd ed. 982) 256.

<sup>29</sup> Risāla iv 1.6-10 When Jamā'at 'Alī gave this speech he was accused of being a member of Nadwat at-Ulama (most likely by Barēlwīs). Two ulama who were close to Ahmad Ridā, Waşī Ahmad Şūratī and 'Abduṣṇādir Badā'ānī, demonstrated their support for the

Nadwo by attending Nadwa's 1893 annual meeting. See Sanyal, Devotional Islam 217

<sup>30</sup> Sanyal, Devotional Islam 240-4.

<sup>31</sup> For examples of how Muslims define connectedness to the Prophet see Buehler Sufi Heirs of the Prophet

become potent places to contact God. Ahl-i Ḥadith assert the inherent equality of humans before God, reminding Muslims that prophets were human beings and that their importance should not be ex aggerated. Thus, the notion that some Muslims are closer to God than others is rejected, graves in this perspective are only repositories of dust

The third element defines the primary mode of religious authority as either scriptural or personal. Ahl-i Hadith continually stress the ability of the individual to consult the primary textual sources, the Koran and Hadith, and make appropriate decisions. For supplications one prays directly to God. In a contrasting perspective, Sufi shaykhs (and to a lesser extent the ulama) are the "heirs of the Prophet" and therefore can provide a similar authoritative charismatic presence as the Prophet once did. This rejuvenation of the prophetic charisma is particularly important in British India. Beginning in the eighteenth century the trio of Nagshbandī reformers, Shāh Walīullāh (d. 1762), Mīr Dard (d. 1785), and Mīrzā Mazhar-i Jānjānān (assass. 1781) stressed a renewed importance of the Prophet in their writing. Annemarie Schimmel suggests that this dynamic may have emerged from a nostalgia of the Prophetic "Golden Age", the greatest of nations which now was "helpless under the blows of non-Muslim invaders".32 As Christian missionaries began their activities, which included denigrating the venerated figure of the Prophet, Muslims reacted by drawing attention to the positive qualities of Muhammad to compensate for the Christian giorification of Jesus. The Barelwis reacted the most strongly to these influences and the Ahl-i Hadith outwardly responded the least

With two radically distinct sets of presuppositions, these two visions of Islam result in divergent interpretations of the Koran and Hadith, sources from which both Indian reformist/revivalist groups justify their respective positions. In the following sections I focus on Muhammad Hasan Jān Sirhindī Mujaddidī's (d. 1946) al-Usūl alarba' fī tardīd al-wahhabiyya, a response to three principal Ahl-i Hadīth assertions.<sup>33</sup> Although Muhammad Hasan Jān addresses the

32 Annemarie Sch.mmel, "The Golden Age of "Sincere Muhammadans", in Bruce Lawrence (ed.), The Rose and the Rock (Durham 1979) 120.

writings of many Ahl-i Ḥadīth authors, he often refers to the popular treatise, Taqwiyat al-iman, 34 by Shāh Ismā\*īl Shahid (1779 1831) who proneered what later became known as the Ahl-i Hadīth movement in nineteenth- and twentieth-century India. 35 Of the vast literature generated and still being produced by these two groups, the tract by Ismā\*īl Shahid and the one by Muḥammad Ḥasan Jān clearly and succinctly represent both sides of the reformist polemic during the British colonial period:

'[After] Maulawi Din Muhammad had translated Ismā'il Shahid's Taqwiwi al-imān atto Sindhi and published it as Tawhid al-istām, the Ḥansti 'alamā' became incited against them [Ahl-i Ḥadith] and declared war Ḥaḍrat-i Ishān [Muḥammad Ḥasan Jān Mujaddidīļalso prepared himself for action to assist the Ḥanafis and to defend his own religion [by writing] [al-]Uṣṇl [al-]arba' on the necessity of following a particular law school (taqlīd) [thereby] assisting the alit al-sunna wa't-jamā'a 36

By positing God in sharp ontological rehef to human beings, Ismā°īl Shahīd declares that certain types of veneration should be reserved for God alone. Ismā°īl Shahīd considers acts of prostration, proceeding to a distant shrine (other than the Ka°ba) in the dress of a pilgrim, circumambulating shrines, calling out the name of an individual as a recollection (dhikr) exercise, covering the grave with a sheet of cloth, uttering prayers at the threshold of the shrine, kissing stones at the shrine, being an attendant at the shrine, considering the water of the shrine to be blessed by the deceased person's presence,

as supporters of some type of Sufism) "Washabis" because these Deobandis a, legedly d<sub>i</sub>d not sufficiently honor both the Prophet and the graves of pious individuals. In Ahl-t Hadish polemics, Sufis are often called polytheists.

<sup>33</sup> Mohammad Hasan Jān, of-Usād al-arba\* fi tardīd al-wahhābiwa (Istanbul 1989 [1927]) Muhammad Hasan Jān, bora in Quadabar in 1862, was a descendant of Ahmad Sirhandi and prolific writer who lived in Tanda Muhammad Khan, Sind, Sufis use the term "Wahhābī" for those who they perceive as following religious perspective of Muhammad b. 'Abd al Wahhāb (d. 1792). Barēlwis would label certain Deobandis (who identify themselves

Taquitat al-imān, organily a commentary on the first chapter of Shah Ismā'il's Arabic Radd al-ishrāk (written between 1799 and 1804), was first written before 1818 and published in Urdu by 1826 with the first English translation by Mir Shahamat 'Ali published in 1852. See 'Translation of the Takwiyat-ul-iman (sic) preceded by a Notice of the Author, Maulavi Isma'il Haji', Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society xiii (1852) 310-372, and a later revised and edited version, Support of the Faith, ed M. Ashraf Darr (Lahore 1974 [1969]) My edition of Radd al-ishrāk is only a list of numbered citations from the Koran and hadiith under subject headings. Niir al-Hasan Rashid Kāndhalawi is currently revising the chronology of Taquirwai al-imān and Shāh Ismā'il. See his sequential article in Al-Furqan (Lucknow), March, 1992-October, 1993.

<sup>35</sup> For additional detail on Tagwivat al-iman and its author, see the contribution by Marc Gaboricau to the present volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Abdullah Jan, Mu'nis al-mukhlişin (Karachi 1947) 201 202. This is a biography written by his eldest son. Prior to this passage the author states how the deniers of taqtid (ghayr al-muqallidan) had infiltrated into the Sind from Panjab and Hindustan. In terms of the dynamics of Muslim revitalization and Sufi-Ahl-i Hadith polemic the Panjab, Sind, and most of northern India were interconnected.

481

and walking backwards when leaving the shrine (a custom of respect where it is an affront to turn one's back on another person) to be examples of associating others than God in worship (al-ishrak fi'l-'ibada).<sup>37</sup> These examples are clearly aimed at the popular shrine cults that are a prominent feature of Indian Islam. In addition, Ismae'il Shahi'd also mentions two kinds of "idolatry", making an image in the shape of one of God's creatures and making monuments which become objects of worship, e.g., tombs, temples, imambaras (where Shiites celebrate Muharram and keep their ritual implements), and sitting places of Sufi shaykhs.<sup>38</sup>

Closely associated with these practices are habits of respecting others in a way that only God should be honored (al-ishrāk fi'l-'ādāt), e.g. swearing an oath in the name of the Prophet, 'Alī b. Abī Tālib, Sufi shaykhs, or by means of their tombs instead of making vows only to God.<sup>39</sup> Instead of recognizing God as the sole and ultimate bestower of blessings on His creation, Ismā'īl Shahīd notes that people associate other humans with God by naming their children after those whom they invoke. Thus, one finds names as 'Abdunnabī (servant of the Prophet instead of 'Abdullāh, servant of God), Imām Bakhsh or Pīr Bakhsh (granted by the Imam or the Sufi pīr), and even Gangā or Sītalā Bakhsh (granted by Ganga or Sītalā [Hindu deities]).<sup>40</sup>

For Hasan Jān, Ismā'il Shahād's perspective directly insults the holy Prophet. Refuting Taqwiyat al-īmān, he begins with a Koranic verse that highlights a more immanent notion of God, 'Whoever glorifies the signs (sha'ā'ir) [of religion] of God; it is from devotion (taqwā) of the hearts' (surah 22/32).41 According to Shāh Waliullāh (d. 1762) these sha'ā'ir of God come from the love of the Koran, Muhammad, the Ka'ba, and prayer because they all are connected (muntasab) with God, protégés of God (awliyā') would also be included.42 Indeed, to glorify Muhammad and to praise God and to

honor the descendants of the Prophet and pious individuals is to praise Muḥammad which in turn glorifies God because Muḥammad is the beloved of God.<sup>43</sup> Here is a much more interconnected and inclusive notion of religious authority that bridges the transcendent and immanent aspects of the divinity.<sup>44</sup>

Accusing the Najdis of treating the Prophet without proper manners, 45 Hasan Jān declares that it is religiously incumbent (fard) to show the proper spiritual etiquette (adab) toward the Prophet until the Last Day. He cites, 'O believers do not say [to the Prophet] "Listen to us" but say "Look upon us" and listen [to the Prophet] (Koran 2/104) to prove his point, concluding that anyone who does not show proper consideration for the Prophet is an infidel. 46 Later in the discussion Hasan Jān mentions how the Wahhābīs had destroyed the holy places that remind people of Muhammad, the Companions, and their families. 47 His son, "Abdullāh Jān, recounts his father's reactions:

'When the Najdis had gained control of the Hijāz and he [Hasas Jān] heard about their oppression, [e.g.], bloodshed, killing people plundering Muslims' property, moking infidels of Muslims, destruction of hely places, and leveling of graves and domes, he became very sad [Later] he heard about the demolition of the green dome of Medina's master [Muhammad], may God bless him and grant him salvation. Becoming unsettled and restless, he called together all the notables of Sind at Shikarpur in order to protect the Prophet's tomb. An argent selegram of protest was sent to the King of Najd, Ibn Sacod, on behalf of all the Sindi Muslims saying, "All Muslims have become unsettled and restless... from this news. I hope that the King of Hijāz, having felt the fury of Muslims, does not strike their window of patience with the stone of carelessness". The next day an answer arrived from the King saying, ". We have not destroyed the Prophet's tomb nor shall we'. 48

Nonetheless, this destruction of holy places is still, even today, a vivid memory for many Indian Muslims who believe that if it had

<sup>37</sup> Isma'll Shahid, Support of the Fath 9-10

<sup>38</sup> Ibid 55-56.

<sup>39</sup> Ibid. 11, 78-79

<sup>40</sup> Ibid. 11, 64 Many other Indian customs contrary to strict notions of the sharin are noted. See Ibid. 67-68 Muslims who engage in such practices are guilty of shirk because they 'try to set up a new shar' for themselves'. See ibid. 68.

<sup>41</sup> Hasan Jān. at-Usūl at-arba\* 10. Given the context of this verse and other passages using this term, sha\*ā\*īr has been often interpreted as the rites and places in the pilgrimage ritual. Hasan Jān is aware of the Koranic passage (2/158) stating that Safā and Marwn are some of the sha\*ā\*īr while realizing that this allows for other interpretive possibilities.

<sup>42</sup> Cited without page mumber, shid. 11.

<sup>43</sup> Ibid. This is justified on the basis of Koran 49/1 where God and Muhammad are mentioned together: 'Do not give yourselves precedence in the presence of God and His messenger'

<sup>44</sup> Cf. the hadith, 'Whoever has seen me has seen God (al haqq)' which is in the hadith collections of both al-Bukhārī and Muslim. See Badi 'uzzamān-i Prrūzānfar, Ahādīth i Mailmawi (Teheran 3rd ed. 1983) 63

<sup>45</sup> Najdi, like Wahhābî, refers to the non-Indian origin of Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb's burthplace, Najd, in present-day Saudi Arabia. One inference of such labels is that the Ahl-i Hadith are advocating an Arab version of Islam.

<sup>46</sup> Hasan Jān, al-Uṣūl al-arba\* 15. This Korame passage refers to non-Muslims who would misult the Prophet by addressing him with the former greeting.

<sup>47</sup> Ibid. 21

<sup>48</sup> Abdullāh Jān, Mu'nus al-mukhitṣīn 202

not been for massive international protests the Wahhābīs would have destroyed the Prophet's tomb

Although it is not religiously incumbent upon Muslims performing the pilgrimage, almost all if not all Indian Muslims travelling to Mecca visit the Prophet's tomb in Medina. Yet Hasan Jān accuses his opponents of saying that it is *shirk* to travel to Muḥammad's grave.<sup>49</sup> The major issue here does not involve travelling to the grave but what one does after arriving at the Prophet's grave, i.e., expecting Muḥammad to intercede with God when one supplicates God, and that it will be accepted because it is performed at the Prophet's grave.<sup>50</sup>

From the Ahl-i Ḥadīth point of view, this is shirk since only God can grant one's supplications and since He is omnipresent and can forgive anywhere then there is no need to go to a special place. Hasan Jān cites two Koranic passages stating that if Muḥammad accepts forgiveness then God accepts it. 'If they had only come to you and asked God's forgiveness and asked forgiveness of the messenger when they had wronged themselves' (Koran 4/64) and 'Those who swear allegiance to you [Muhammad] actually swear allegiance to God. God's hand is over their hands' (Koran 48/10).51 Sufis posit a Muḥammad very close to God who can intercede for Muslims by virtue of his special prophetic connection with God. The Ahl-i Hadīth flatly denies this practice since one should directly ask help from God.

Asking help from God by means of intermediaries of God

(tawassul, istighātha), typically prophets or protégés of God (awliya³),52 is firmly rejected by Ismã°il Shahīd who refers to the Koranic passages: 'Do not invoke [anyone] other than God for that which cannot benefit you nor harm you' (Koran 10/106); 'Say [to them O Muhammad]: It is not in my power to harm you or to benefit you ... no one can protect me from God, nor can I find any refuge other than Him' (Koran 72/21-22).53 Considering anyone an intercessor with God is infidelity and shirk because those who supplicate to humans in times of need attribute omnipresence and the power of controlling the universe to others than God even if the person invoked is considered a servant of God.54 Ismã°il Shahīd labels the association of the supernatural power of God with humans al-ishrāk fi'l-tasarruf.

In response, Hasan Jān cites a verse (Koran 79/4-5) about the Day of Judgment: 'the angels preceding [the souls of the believers to Paradise] and those who govern the event (mudabbirāt)', along with al-Baydāwi's (d. ca. 1286) Koran commentary which equates mudabbirāt with angels and pious souls 55 From this Hasan Jān reasons that the source ('ayn) of supernatural power (taşarruf) is in the executed plan (tadbīr) originating in the world of divine command ('ālam al-amr).56 Incorporeal souls in the world of divine command, e.g., angels and jinns, have the tangible ability to exercise supernatural power in the visible world with God's permission as evidenced in the Koran.57 There are invisible working forces originating in the mundane sphere like air and wind so one should not deny the invisible supernatural power of prophets' souls nor those of God's protégés.

The argument at this point is inextricably linked with the issue of whether deceased prophets and awliyā' are considered dead or alive.

<sup>49</sup> Ibid 16. Hasan Jān reminds his readers that ulama have declared it praiseworthy (mastahabb) to visit places associated with Muhammad, e.g., Ahmad b. Hanbal (d. 855) kissed the pulpit and to,nb of Muhammad to receive grace (burnka). Mihr 'Ali Shâh (d. 1937) of the Chishtiyya Nizāmiyya supported visiting the Prophet's grave with five hadīths in a longer discussion refuting the Wahhābīs. See Fayd Ahmad, Mihr-i mintr (Lahore 1987) 260-261

<sup>50</sup> Cf. the sound hadtih, "My Intercession becomes mandatory for all who visit my grave" in Al-Ghazāli, The Remembrance of Death and the Afterlife, trans. I'J Winter (Cambridge 1989) 113 Also mentioned by Hasan Jān, al-Uşūl al-arbar 51. One interpretive issue here is whether this is a "generic" intercession for any supplication up to and including intercession on the Day of Judgment or if intercession is restricted only to the Day of Judgment.

<sup>51</sup> field, 16-17. According to Hasan Jan, the Wahhabis say that verse 4/64 only applies to the time when Mihammad was alive. His response is that the "when" (idh) indicates no specific time and therefore is still valid and will continue to be so until the Day of Judgment. This difference hinges on two different presuppositions: Ahl i Hadaith declare that the dead are dust while the Sufis recognize the living and active souls (sing. rūh) of prophets and pious Musiums after death. The latter verse (48/10) is the Koranic justification for Sufi initiation bav'a) which is modelled upon the Companions performing bav'a at al-Hudaybiya in 628.

<sup>52</sup> Also the Imams of the four schools of jurisprudence are considered to be intercessors on the Judgment Day as are jurisconsults (fugahā') who themselves have reached perfection can help others reach perfection (kāmil wa-mukammil). See Ibid., 79-80 and the discussion p 487 below

<sup>52</sup> Ismā'il Shahid, Support of the Fouth 34-35. He also cites Koran 10/18 9/31, 19/93-95, 34/22-23. Ismā'il Shahid concludes that since there is no intercession except by God's permission anyway, one should go directly to God. Therefore there is no need for intercession.

<sup>34</sup> Ibid. 5

<sup>55</sup> Hasan Jan, at-Usül al-arba\* 46.

<sup>56</sup> The world of divine command is but one level in a multi-leveled Naqshbandi cosmology See Buchler, Sufi Heirs of the Prophets.

<sup>57</sup> Hasan Jān, al-Uṣūl al-arba\* 46. He cites the example of Salomon and his activities with pans (Koran 34/12-13).

Indeed, this matter continually arises in all phases of the debate be cause Sufis assume that human souls of the prophets and pious ones are very much alive.58 Hasan Jan asks if there are no live souls (sing. rūh) after death then who answers the angels of death and who gets punished? Why are there so many hadiths explaining how to address dead persons?59 The accounts of Muhammad's heavenly journey (mi'rai), where he is reported to have met former prophets, clearly show that the souls of the prophets are still alive. Once this is established, the souls of the Prophet's heirs, who Sufis often designate as awliva, are also considered alive.60

ARTHUR F. BUEHLER

Having determined that there are live souls, Hasan Jan declares that the souls of the felicitous ones (su'ada") in the world of divine command are closer to the sources of divine Effulgence (fuvūd wabarakāt) than when they were alive since they are no longer encumbered by the four elements of their bodies. Deceased holy persons therefore have considerably more power (quwwa) to assist others and mediate. 61 This belief parallels the popular community consensus (ijmā') that the Prophet has the power to intercede with God concerning affairs of human beings. Annemarie Schimmel cites a passage that confirms the Prophet's intercession on the Last Day and that remains almost unchanged in wording from one of the oldest collections of hadith.

'Then He says "Oh Muhammad, lift your head, ask, and you will be given; intercede, and

you will be granted [what you ask]1" I lift my head and say "O Lord, ununoti, ununotir my community, my community 19962

From this statement it is logical to expect the Prophet's intercession in other matters before the Day of Judgment, especially if one visits his grave, e.g. 'Whoever visits my grave will be given intercession'. There are numerous examples of Muslims asking Muhammad for something and receiving a reply in a dream. 63 By the muth century Sufi shaykhs also had roles as intercessors. The shavkh, like the Prophet, had become an interface (barzakh) of two worlds between the Creator and the created.

Wakil Ahmad Sikandarpūrī (d. 1904-5), a prolific Indian Nagshbandi writer, explicates how this intercession occurs by instructing people the correct method of supplicating God. His instructions clarify the relationships of God, the Prophet, and protégés of God for the person actually supplicating. In this process he refutes misconceptions of intercession, both of the Ahl-i Hadīth who conceive of this as worship of other than God, and of other Muslims who might mistakenly think that the Sufi himself is the causal agent.

Wakil Ahmad explains that after passing away, the prophets and protégés of God can still listen and see as well as when they were alive. Thus, when someone calls out 'O messenger of God!' or 'O 'Abd al-Qadir!' this is the way of intercession (tawassul aur shafa at). Since after death no one except God has supernatural power (tasarruf), people addressing prophets and awliva" actually are asking for God's help by means of an intermediary who relays the messages of the believers to God.64

Explaining how to actually practice intercession with prophets and proteges of God, Wakil Ahmad quotes [bn al-Hāji s Madkhal

\*For asking help from prophets of God ... set out with the intention of visiting their blessed graves. At the tomb when lights appear, act in a humble manner and with presence of heart elumente all thoughts from the heart. Then praise God, send praise (durid) for the person in the grave, bless his Companions (May God be pleased with them) fand, bless their Successors. Then [with the thought of] God giving success to one's needs, establish a menus of connecting (wasila bana town) with the prophet and ask for help from him while wanting the need fulfilled, making certain that in this affair that from the prophet's auspiciousness (barokat) what

<sup>58</sup> For example, according to Hasan Jan, the Wabhabis say that one could glorify Mahammad only during the Prophet's lifetime and after that he should not be giorified nor nsked for help. Ibid 15

<sup>59</sup> Ibid. 28. See al-Ghazah, The Remembrance of Death 111-120. One pargnant affirmation of the efficacy of addressing the Prophet is a dream vision recorded by al-Ghazāli, Those people who come to you and greet you [Mohammad], do you hear their greetings?" Yes,' he said, 'and I return them' Ibid 114

<sup>60</sup> This is in accordance with the hadith, "The 'islamn' are to my people as the prophets were to the sons of Israel " ("ulamā" ummati ka-anbiva" bani Isrā'il). - For Nagshbandis, the true 'alama' are those who have both the outer religious knowledge of the religious scholars and the inner knowledge of Sufis. It is this reasoning process, making analogies from prophetic examples to those of the "hears of the prophets" that makes it necessary for Ahl-1 Hadith to severely aimt Muhammad's ontological status and power to that of an ordinary human. Their Soft and non Suff opponents see this not only as an error but, even worse, as an insult to the Prophet.

<sup>61</sup> Hasan Jan, al-Usul al-arbo\* 27 Not all Nagshbundis agree with this Logically, if the fayd from a dead and are pir were equal, then all the residents of Medina from the time of the Prophet until now would have the same spiritual rank as the Companions and would not require companionship (suhba) with protégés of God. See Oddi Thana ullah Panipati, Irahad at tälibîn (Lahore n.d.) 25

<sup>62</sup> Tor Andrae, Die person Muhammads in lehre und glaube seiner gemeinde (Stockholm 1918) 236-38. A slightly different wording is found in Asad b Müsä, Küäb alzuhd, ed. R.G. Khoury (Wiesbaden 1976) 73-76. Cited in Schimmel, And Muhammad is His Messenger 85 [brackets are in original text].

<sup>63</sup> Hasan Jan, al-Usül al-arba\* 34 and al-Ghazāli. The Remembrance of Death 156-159

<sup>64</sup> Wakil Ahmad Sikandarpūri, Wasila-ı jalila (Lockaow 1883-4) 20

is needed will be provided. The person who cannot arrive at the prophet's tomb should first greet the prophet and explain his needs that God Alanghty will accomplish. When you go to the protégés of God tombs to ask for help (istimdād) first request help by means of That Presence [Muhammad] (God bless him and grant him peace). [Second], request help by means of the person in the grave and perform a supplication (du'à') for oneself, one's parents, the shaykhs, the relatives of those in the graves, and living and dead Muslims. Then make your request 65

The mediatory paradigm assumes that all assistance is from God but believing Muslims can "connect" to God and access His divine assistance via prophets and heirs of prophets, Sufis, whether they are dead or alive. This mediation is clearly differentiated from worship; to want help is one thing and to worship is another. Worshipping idols cannot be compared to intercession according to Wakil Ahmad because worship is totally distinct from entrusting someone to recommend them or their request to God.66

Aside from Ahl-i Ḥadīth who reject even the existence of tombs, there are many differing views concerning the religious legitimacy of supplicating to deceased Sufis at their tombs. Some declare that one can only go to prophets' tombs to request assistance.<sup>67</sup> Abdulḥaqq al-Dihlawī (d. 1642) declared that supplicating without mentioning either Muhammad or other prophets is disapproved (makrūh).<sup>68</sup> The general consensus among Indian Sufis has been that since some degree of shaykh's mediation with God is necessary for Sufi practice one can also ask the protégés of God to act as intercessors with God for other needs.

The Indian Ahl-i Hadith, labeled "non-conformists", (ghayr muqallid) by their fellow Ḥanafi Musims, reject any authority of the established Sunni schools of jurisprudence. Instead of blindly accepting the authority of the founder-figures of these schools, commonly called leaders or Imams, the Ahl-i Hadith urges Muslims to consult the primary sources, the Koran and Ḥadīth. In cases where

these authorities are silent, Ahl-i Ḥadīth permits a much more flexible independent thinking (ijtihād) than the restrictive use of analogy (qiyās) practices by the medieval Sunni schools. For most Indian Sunnis this was a revolutionary new formulation of religious practice. Despite the differences in religious perspectives and customs, Indian Sunnis came together harmoniously in communal prayer and in many other common ritual practices according to the guidelines of the Ḥanafī school. Indeed, the vast majority of the Indian Sunni community formulated their Ḥanafī Muslim identity on the basis of following the guidance of Abū Ḥanāfa Many considered the ghave muqallid notion as a foreign Arab intrusion. It is no surprise that Ismā°īl Shahīd did not discuss his opposition to taqlīd in his popular Taqwiyat al-īmān.69

Hasan Jan responds to his opponents, who accuse those following anyone except God and the Prophet of shirk and innovation, with the Koranic verse, 'O believers! Obey God and obey the messenger and those of you who are in authority (ulū'l-amr)' (Koran 4/59).70 Interpreting the meaning of ulu'l-amr as 'ulama' and those who are qualified to make independent judgments on legal and theological matters (muitahidun) which include the four Imams of the law schools, he underlines God's order making it a religious obligation to obey these authorities. This discussion ties in with the verse, 'If they had referred it to the messenger and to those who are in authority (ulū'l-amr) then those who had thought out (yastanbitū) the matter would have known it' (Koran 4/83) Hasan Jan focuses on the meaning of vastanbita, the science of deducing legal decisions from the Koran and hadith using analogy, which is the sole domain of the religious specialists (ulū'l-amr) described previously.71 Both Ismā'īl Shahid and Hasan Jan mention the hadith, '[Religious] knowledge is three-fold: unambiguous Koranic verse (āva muhkama), established practice of the Prophet (sunna qā'ıma), and equivalent religious ob-

<sup>65</sup> Cited without page references by Sikandarpüri, Wasila 22-23. Although only expandity stated once in this passage, the prophet mentioned here would be generally understood as the Prophet Muhammad. Presumably this passage comes from Muhammad b. Muhammad Ibn 8: Hāij al- Abdari, al-Madkhal; see e.g. the edition Bearst 1972, iv vols.

<sup>66</sup> Ibid. 24-26.
67 Ibid. 32 Qādī Thunā ullah Pānipatī (d. 1810) a well known Naqshbandī shaykh.
prohibited the practices of prostration, circumambulation, giving offerings, and supplicating for one's needs at graves (if the person thought the deceased is directly responsible for assistance) See his Mū tā budda minhu (Multan 1956) 70-71

<sup>68</sup> Cited in Sikandarpün, Wasilei 34.

<sup>69</sup> There is a section in the second part of his Radd at ishräk, 'Opposing the innovations of taglid', 121-124.

<sup>70</sup> Hasan Jān, al-Uṣūl al-arba\* 76-77 Hasan Jān also discusses the necessity of following one of the schools of jurisprudence in his Tarīq al-najār (Istanbul 1988 [1931]) 26-31 Jalāl al-Din al-Suyūṭī (d. 1505) defines the ulū'l-amr as jurisconsults (faqahā\*), ibid Ismā\*īl Shahūd cites this verse (4/59) in its entirety, adding 'H you d'spute any matter refer it to God and the messenger, if you believe in God and the Last Day That is better [for you] and better in the long run', Ismā\*īl Shahūd, Radd al-ishrāk 121

<sup>71</sup> Hasan Jan, al Uşül al-arba' 77

ligation (fanda 'adila)'.72 'Abdulhaqq al-Dihlawi declares the latter to be those legal judgments derived by community consensus and analogy which are therefore equivalent to the Koran and prophetic practice which makes taglid of the four schools of law a religious obligation for Muslims.73

The idea of following others (who are presumed to be more knowledgeable) is constantly stressed to justify the practice of taalid. e.g. 'Follow the path of those who turn towards Me' (Koran 31/15); '[Whoever] follows other than that of the path of the believers We shall leave him to that which he has chosen and shall subject him to Hell' (Koran 4/115).74 The argument here, based upon these verses and the subsequent Koran exegests, focuses on conforming to the community consensus (imar) which has been following the four Imams "since the time of the blessing of the Koran to our time".75 Hasan Jan then quotes (in Arabic) the exegesis of al-Baydawi on these verses:

1. The verse proves the prohibition of going against the consensus of the community (17mar). If following other than the behavers' path is prohibited then following their path is a religious obligation, 76

Summarizing his argument, Hasan Jan states:

'They have written that following the views of the 'ulaimb' and the pious ones of the commumity (tagtld) is always religiously incumbent. 77

Imitating the precedents of medieval jurisconsults (taglid) also allows the four Imams of the medieval schools of jurisprudence to intercede for Muslims on the Judgment Day. Each person who is perfect and perfection bestowing (kāmil wa-mukammil) will be able to intercede for his followers. "Abd al-Wahhāb al-Sha"rānî (d. 1566) states.

'All of the Sufis and purisconsults intercede for their followers. They notice each one of their followers when his soul ascende, when Munker and Nakir question him, (what happens on) the Day of Resurrection, the reckoning of one's deeds, the traverse over the gulf of Hell, and never ignore [his plight] in the afterlife. If the Sufi shoykhs look after their followers and disciples in all the conditions and difficulties in the world and afterlife, then how much more so will the striving Imams (al-a'imma al-mujtahidin) of the schools who are the pegs (awrâd) of the earth and pegs of the religion through which the Lawgiver safeguards the people. So in taqlid

follow whichever Imam you wish, 78

Legal mediation in this life sets the precedent for intercession later, much in the same way as the Sufi protégés of God function for their disciples which brings to mind the alleged hadith, 'The ulama are the heirs of the prophets'.79

In spite of the apparent differences detailed in this essay, there are common concerns shared by groups even as divergent as Ahl-i Hadith and Barelwi Sufis. Reform and revivalist groups of British India, for example, would agree on the necessity of Muslims conforming to the sunna and the benefits of studying hadith. Yet how one interprets the implications and boundaries of sunna and hadith relates directly to whether one's primary authority for Islamic behavior is charismatic or scriptural. For example, Sufis relate knowledge of hadith with companionship of a spiritual mentor, a notion qualitatively different from Ahl i Hadith conceptions of independent hadith learning. Companionship with the shaykh, even when teaching hadith, is experienced as actually being in the presence of the Prophet himself. Mırzā Jānjānān (assass. 1781), a prominent Indian Nagshbandi, relates:

'During Hadrat Hājji Muḥammad Afdal's (May God have morey upon him) hadīth lesson l used to acquire the presence (buddir) of the Prophet's connection (nisbat). Many lights and blessing (bornkar) used to manifest themselves. Essentially I used to be in companionship (subbat) with God's messenger. During this time I experienced the prophotic spiritual attention (tawajjuh) and spiritual countenance (lasāfat). It was splended from its perfect prophetic connection (nisbat), expansive, and full of light. The meaning of 'The religious scholars are the heirs of the prophets" became clear', 80

In contrast, Ahl-i Hadith continually stress the ability of the individual to consult the primary textual sources, the Koran and Hadith, and make appropriate decisions without any mediation of a jurisconsult or shaykh. Sufi shaykhs (and to a lesser extent the ulama) are the "heirs of the Prophet" and therefore can provide a similar authoritative charismatic presence as the Prophet once did. This rejuvenation of the prophetic charisma is particularly important considering later generations' increasing distance from the "Golden Age" when the Prophet was living in Arabia. Ironically, the Ahl-t Hadith have the same goal, i.e. of symbolically returning to early Is-

<sup>72</sup> Ibid. 78, and Ismā'i. Shahid, Radd al-ishrāk 122.

<sup>73</sup> Hasan Jan, al-Usûl ol arba" 78.

<sup>74</sup> Ibid. 80.

<sup>75</sup> Ibid 79 The hadith, 'My commonty will not agree on error', is also quoted

<sup>76</sup> Ibid. 80-81

<sup>77</sup> Ibid 81

For a brief discussion of this hadith, see Furüzänfar, Ahādīth 82.

Ghulam Ali Shah, Maqamat a mazhari 287-88.

lam. They take a dim view of the charismatic dimension of Islam because they interpret these kind of beliefs and practices as reprehensible innovations which defile the pristine, pure Islam of the Prophet's time. For them it is these charismatic practices that actually keep Muslims away from "true Islam" by involving Muslims in the only unforgivable sin, "idolatry" or associating others with God (shirk) 81

The sets of polarities addressed in this essay can be conceptualized ultimately as issues revolving around the Prophet and the prophetic period of history. Muslims are quite aware of their increasing temporal distance from the historically central event of Muhammad's prophetic mission on earth. This is further complicated by Indian Muslims' spatial distance from the holy sanctuaries of Mecca and Medina, the geographical axis mundi where Muhammad lived most of his life. Ahl-i Hadīth access prophetic time by symbolically attempting to clear away or even obliterate all vestiges of practices that symbolically and literally block their access to the paradigmatic Arab practices of Muhammad and his Companions. As the graves and holy places were leveled in Arabia, Ahl-i Hadith seek to eliminate both spiritual hierarchy involving mediators between God and humans and non-Arab innovations. In this fashion they symbolically return to the prophetic time when Muslims realized they were close enough to God to call upon Him without mediators and without elaborate domed tombs.

Indian Sufis seek to experience the prophetic reality through human contact with heirs of the Prophet. A sharia-minded revivalist Sufi shaykh in British India, as an heir of the Prophet, was expected to be the living embodiment of the exemplary model of the Prophet which brought blessing and benefit to the Muslim community. That is, the existence of an heir of the prophet facilitated bringing Muhammad's charismatic presence into direct contact with Muslims who venerated the Prophet. Among Deobandis, Rashid Ahmad Gangohi (d. 1905) taught his disciples to love and emulate him, stressing the need for an affirmty (munāsabat) in the heart between the spiritual mentor and disciple. Rashid Ahmad Gangohi's disciples compared their shaykh's speech to that of Muhammad, while Muhammad Qāsim Nānawtawi's (d. 1877) contemporaries continually compared him to the Prophet.82

Although at some level these apparent differences between Ahl the Hadith and Sufis resolve themselves somewhat in a common goal, there do exist at least two conflicting paradigms of Islamic practice which have been in tension long before the nineteenth century. One is reminded of the alleged hadith, 'Differences in my community are a blessing', for these outward theological strains fuel revival movements that enliven Islam, forcing Muslims to consciously consider their individual practices. Also, for many Muslims the "Sufi versus orthodoxy" debate supposedly reconciled by Abū Muhammad al-Ghazālī (d. 1111) has not yet ended.

<sup>81</sup> They cite Koran 4/48

<sup>82</sup> Metcalf, Islamic Revival 174, 166.

V

# CENTRAL ASIA AND CHINA

KHOJAGĀNĪ ORIGINS AND THE CRITIQUE OF SUFISM: THE RHETORIC OF COMMUNAL UNIQUENESS IN THE MANĀQIB OF KHOJA "AL! "AZĪZĀN RĀMĪTANĪ

#### **DEVIN DEWEESE**

The overwhelming dominance of Sufi thought and organizations in the religious and political life of Central Asia from the Mongol era down to the nineteenth century suggests a redirection of our search for an ongoing debate between Sufism and its opponents, away from a focus on outright anti-Sufi polemic (of which we find remarkably few examples in Central Asia until the era of Russian rule) and toward a consideration of patterns discernible in the content, and above all in the use, of rhetoric critical of Sufism. Even here we are hampered by our sources, which tend to reveal the arguments of Sufism's opponents (even among the Sufis) primarily through their inverted reflection in defenses of Sufism, leaving us uncertain as to whether such implied "debates" actually echoed real tensions, or merely stylized dialectic, at a given time.

We can nevertheless point to several patterns and continuities in this connection that I believe are instructive with regard to Sufism's hold on popular and learned religious imagination in Central Asia, as well as to Central Asia's religious history in general, before the period of Russian domination. These include the relative rarity of actual anti-Sufi literature produced in Central Asia after the thirteenth century; the "practical" focus of opposition to Sufism in Central Asia, which is couched above all in terms of suspicion regarding specific aspects of Sufi ritual or organization (the pursuit of ecstatic states, the use of music and dance, asceticism and seclusion, the visitation of saints' shrines, the role of the shaykh); occasional hostility toward the political and economic influence enjoyed by Sufi

shaykhs or communities; and the appropriation of anti-Sufi rhetoric — including elements drawn from each of the preceding categories — in Sufi hagiography and in rivalries among Sufi communities

It is the latter issue that will be considered in this contribution and not the broader question of incorporating anti-Sufi polemic within Sufi literature for didactic purposes (in order to inform and enliven models of entering and following the mystical path), but rather the appropriation of such attacks for use in negotiating communal rivalries among Sufi circles (in order to target rival Sufi groups or leaders for some fault or inadequacy, whether in straying dangerously from Islamic propriety, in having only the external trappings of Sufism, or simply in failing to deliver on Sufism's promises) In particular, we will consider the adaptation of anti-Sufi rhetoric among early communities linked to the Sufi lineages that produced the Naqshbandiyya, exploring a little-known source (centered upon the early fourteenth-century "Khojagānī" shaykh 'Alī Azīzān Rāmītanī) in which a clear assertion of communal distinctiveness is couched in an extended dialectic driven by the rejection of elements of "normative" Sufi tradition.

The adoption of apparently anti-Sufi rhetoric by writers who were themselves Sufis is hardly uncommon in Islamic history; from the beginnings of Sufi literature we can find (for instance in the works of al-Kalābādhī or Hujwīrī) a sustained critique of the state of "latterday" Sufism, and attacks on purely formalist or fraudulent shaykhs, using language turned against the whole phenomenon of Sufism by its opponents, were to become a standard feature in hagiographical, didactic, and polemical works by Sufis themselves. In addition, Sufis mevitably turned such rhetoric against other Sufis with whom they differed regarding the suitability of certain specific practices or teachings; the case of Ibn Taymiyya may suffice to remind us that even the most inveterate opponent of many elements we (and some Sufis) may take to be intimately connected with Sufism might at the same time have been deeply attached to one expression of that tradition.

Lese, on Ibn Taymiyya's Sufism, Geotge Makdisi, 'Ibn Taimiya. A Şüfi of the Qādiriya Order', American Journal of Arabic Studies v (1973) 118-129 and Th. E. Homerin, 'Ibn Taimiya's al Şüfiyah ma-al Fuqarā'', Arabica xixii (1985) 219-244, but see Fritz Meier, Das sauberste über die vorbestumming, Saeculum xixii (1981) 74 ff, also published in Fritz Meier, Bausteine, Ausgewählte Aufsdize zur Islamwissenschaft, i-iii (Istanbul Stuttgart 1992) ii, 696 ff. Ibn Taymiyya's views on some specific issues customarily linked with Sufism are

The case of Ibn Taymiyya is perhaps doubly instructive, insofar as both his commitment to Sufism, and his vigorous denunciation of several practices commonly associated with it, stemmed from his zeal to reform Islam and the Muslim community in the wake of the upheavals of the thirteenth century. Much the same environment, but in a setting even more directly challenged by infidel rule (in the form of the Mongols) than was Ibn Taymiyya's, seems to have shaped the Central Asian example of "reformst" Sufism considered here; and in this regard we may note that Ibn Taymiyya not only attacked specific practices associated with Sufism (such as raqs and samā') that were rejected by his Central Asian counterparts, but like them distinguished "real" Sufis from lesser, subordinate types marked by preoccupation with institutional structures such as the khângāh.<sup>2</sup>

More subtle than the outright criticism of Sufism's "contemporary" state at a given time, or of aspects of Sufi doctrine or practice deemed inappropriate or harmful, is the use by Sufis of anti-Sufi rhetoric — sometimes in inverted guise — in a dialectic designed to highlight a particular shaykh's spiritual preeminence. Especially in hagiography, for instance, we find frequent examples of the inversion of anti-Sufi rhetoric in order to set up a victory of one shaykh over an enemy or doubter; the motif of overcoming the inkār ("rejection") by externalist scholars, or even by insufficiently humble Sufi adepts, is implicitly rooted in the attacks of Sufism's opponents, and the frequent evocations of this motif amount to the perceptible half of an ongoing dialogue, of which the other half remains unspoken.

More broadly, however, much of Sufi literature, and especially hagiographical anecdotes and dialogues, employs an essentially dialectical process as a means of affirming the greater insight, higher spiritual state, or more effective guidance exhibited by a particular shaykh, or by a particular tradition. That is, an accepted and revered formulation of some aspect of Sufi (or more generally Muslim) tradi-

tion will be proposed or represented by one figure (a less advanced disciple, a rival, a formalist scholar, etc.) who serves as a foil to the shaykh; the shaykh can then counter that formulation, refining it or completely overturning it, and achieving three goals in the process, his alternative formulation demonstrates his superior discernment or attainment; it decisively defeats the opponent, convinces the doubter, or provides a spiritual breakthrough for the disciple; and it supersedes the old formulation (at least rhetorically, if not in historical terms), providing a more meaningful or accessible approximation of essentially inexpressible truths (in this process, of course, his formulation risks becoming the revered truth to be targeted in subsequent dialectic).

Our focus here will be one example of the incorporation of anti-Sufi rhetoric as the foundation for a dialectic used in the service of a what we may rightly understand as a "reformist" current in Central Asian Sufism, namely the Khojagāni tradition best known as the precursor to the Nagshbandiyya. This one example suggests an expanded use of this dialectical model not merely in narrative or doctrinal debate, to score points on the basis of greater insight or aptitude, but in actual competitive struggles among Sufi communities vying for more concrete goals. Unfortunately, our understanding of these competitive struggles remains quite rudimentary, and even the literary reflections of early Khojagāni and Nagshbandi polemics directed against other Sufi communities in Central Asia, where the tradition took shape, have not received much attention; at the risk of overgeneralizing, however, there appears to be a noticeable shift in the style of Khojagānī and Naqshbandī polemic that correlates, further, with the period that saw the emergence of actual Sufi "orders" marked by a self-conscious evocation of the legacy of some historical "founder". That is, by the later fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, at least, we can trace more clearly the outlines of the critiques used against other such groups, including those linked to the Yasawi and Kubrawi traditions,3 and these critiques tend to empha-

examined in the context of his contemporaries' in Louis Ponzet, 'Prises de position autour du 'samā'' en orient musulman au VII<sup>e</sup>/XIII<sup>e</sup> siecle'. Studia Islamica Ivii (1983) 119-134, see also two recent studies of separate works, Ican R. Michot, it., Musique et danse selon Ibn Tavinivvit Le Livre du Somâ' et de la Danse (Kitab al-Somâ' wa'l-Raqş) compilé par le shavkh Muhammad al-Manbijî (Paris 1991) and Niels Henrik Olesen, Culte des soints et pélerinage chez Ibn Tavinivva (Paris 1991) as well as the study of Muhammad Umar Memon, Ibn Taviniva's Struggle against Papular Retigion (La Haye/Paris 1976).

<sup>2</sup> See Homerin, 'Ibn Taimiya's al-Sūfiyah wa-ol-fuqarâ\* 233, for his relegation of Sufis who maintain khângâhs to an intermediate position, between the real Sufis and the purely formatet Sufis, a similar critique is implied in the Central Asian work considered below

On the Khojagānī and Naqshbandī attacks in the Yasawi tradition, see my 'The Mashā'tikh-i Turk and the Khojagān' Rethinking the Links between the Yasawi nad Naqshbandī Sufi Traditions', Journal of Islamic Studies vii (1996) 180-207. Kubrawi responses to primarily Naqshbandī attacks, evident already in the hagiographical tradition surrounding Sayyid 'Alī Hamadānī (d. 1385) are noted in my 'Sayyid 'Alī Hamadānī and Kubrawī Hagiographical Traditions', in Leonard Lewisobn (ed.). The Legacy of Mediaeval Persian Sufism (London 1992) 121-158 (see especially 144-146); for the Naqshbandī attack on the Kubrawīyya's 'Alīd silsīla, see my 'Eclipse of the Kubravīyah in Central Asia', Iranian

size particular features of practice [e.g., dance and music (raqs and samā'), often in public settings, the propriety of seeking mystical enrapturement (wajd), the value of contemplative seclusion and returement ('uzlat, khalwat), and later the vocal dhikr] or of succession (with hereditary shaykhs a favorite target of derision). What we seem to find from the earlier period, however — in the thirteenth and especially the fourteenth century — is evidence of a much broader critique of what had become the standard external and conceptual trappings of Sufi communities, and this Khojagānī critique stands not so much as an outright rejection of institutional Sufism, or as a simple attack on rival groups' practices, but as a wide-ranging assertion of communal distinctiveness and superiority.

This, in turn, suggests that we consider the Khojagani Sufi communities of the thirteenth-fifteenth centuries, as well as, in large measure, the Naqshbandiyya itself, as a movement that arose in opposition to established Suff groups, naturally invoking elements of a critique of Sufism in general as part of its effort to demonstrate its legitimacy and distinctiveness on a broader front; the specific content of the critique as evident in the particular work we will explore also helps us appreciate the social and political environment in which those Sufi communities found such rhetoni advantageous, and helps us understand the context for the development of some particular features of the later Naqshbandiyya (for example, the firm attachment to the sharia with which it is often credited). For although the Nagshbandiyya later appears as a normative, and even as the typical, Sufi order, its early history - and especially the work that is our focus here - provides many hints that its rise was fueled inttially by a sustained critique not only of specific practices adopted by other Sufi communities, but of elements that the Khojagani tradition considered susceptible to largely justifiable attack by those who would reject Sufism altogether; its message, simply put, was, first, that the Sufism around us is indeed corrupt, and, second, that we are not like other Sufis

The work in question is a compilation, with extensive commentary, of the sayings of Khoja "Alī 'Azīzān Ramitanī, a Central Asian Sufi of the later thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries best known as a link in the "Khojagani" silsila that connects Bahā' ad-Din Naqshband (d. 1389) with "Abd al-Khaliq Ghijduwāni (d. ca. 1220); the

savings were not only arranged by the compiler (to whom we will return shortly), but were considerably augmented by him as well, and the resulting work - assigned only a generic title as the "Managib of Khoja "Alī "Azīzān Rāmitani" - displays features characteristic of several genres of Sufi literature, being part malfūzāt, part anecdo tal hagiography, part doctrinal treatise, and part polemical apologia. The work is preserved in at least eight manuscript copies described in published catalogues, the earliest of which dates only to the late eighteenth century; five are in Tashkent, at the Institute of Oriental Studies of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan,4 and three are in St. Petersburg, at the St. Petersburg Branch of the Institute of Onental Studies of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Aside from the respective catalogue descriptions, the work has otherwise been noted only by Bartol'd, who however did no more than mention one copy he found among the manuscripts belonging to V.L. Viatkin in Samarkand.6

The central figure in the Manāqib, Khoja "Alī "Azīzān Rāmītanī, is mentioned in sources produced within Khojagānī and Naqshbandī circles from the mid-fourteenth to early fifteenth centuries, as well as in much later works that continued to record his place in the Khojagānī silsila ("Abd al-Khāliq Ghijduwānī > "Arif Rīwgarī > Maḥmūd Anjīr Faghnawī > Rāmītanī > Muhammad Bābā Sammāsī > Sayyid Amīr Kulāl > Bahā ad-Dīn Naqshband); the most ex-

Hereafter "IVANUz;" see the catalogue descriptions in Sobranie vostochnykh rukopiset Akademii nauk Uzbekskoi SSR, ed. A. A. Semenov, vol. in (Tashkent 1955) 221, No. 2287 (Inventory No. 858/i, ff 1b-128a, copied 1279/1862), No. 2288 (Inv. No. 399/i, ff 1b-119a, copied 1276/1859), No. 2289 (inv. No. 1332, 66 + 16 ff, incomplete, copied in the 19th century), vol. vin (Tashkent 1967) 412-413, No. 5970 (Inv. No. 8743/ii, ff 167b-259a, copied 1266/1850, with the fullest description); 414, No. 5971 (Inv. No. 5433, 111 ff., copied 1283/1866-67). The institute's card catalogue lists, in addition, Inv. No. 12249/i. (120ff., dated 1291/1874-75) as a copy of this work.

<sup>5</sup> N.D. Mikiukho-Maklat, O.F. Akimushkin, et al., eds., Persidskie i todzinkskie rukopisi Instituta narodov Azii AN SSSR (Kratkii alfovitnyi katalog) Part I (Moscow 1964) 571, No. 4283 (Inv. No. B2385, ff 9b-74a, copied 1199/1784-85, Shāhābād): No. 4284 (Inv. No. C1415, 111ff., copied 1300/1882-83); No. 4285 (Inv. No. C1804, ff 3b-93b, andated).

See his 'Otchet o komandirovke v Turkestan' (originally published in 1904) in his Sochmenia vin (Moscow 1973) 152, No. 20); he describes the work only as "an account by the son of the shaykh Khoja 'Alī 'Azīzān about his father and other shaykhs of the Naqshbandi order', and the manuscript only as "recent". The Vintkin collection was later transferred to the Institute of Oriental Studies in Tashkent, where the copy to which Bartol'd refers is now evidently Inv. No. 5433 (Sobranie viu, 414, No. 5971). I have examined several of the Tashkent manuscripts, and have utilized from microfilm the Tashkent copy 8743 (to which all ottations are given, referring to MS "T") and the St. Petersburg copy B2385.

Studies XXI (1988) 82. Other Sufi groups bore the brunt of Khoja Aḥrār's attacks, above all the "Ishqī communities near Samarqand, as evident in the hagiographies devoted to Aḥrār

tensive account of him, however (outside the virtually unknown Managib), is found in the Rashahāt-i 'ayn al-hayāt, the pivotal Nagshbandi hagiography devoted to the career of Khoja 'Ubaydullah Ahrar (d. 1490), completed at the beginning of the sixteenth century. The Rashahat's account of Ramitani7 notes his designation as successor to Khoja Mahmud Anjır Faghnawî, and records sixteen brief anecdotal accounts focused on the shaykh's sayings, as well as three longer stories illustrative of his "wonders" (khawariq-i 'adat), but provides few particulars regarding his external life. It affirms that he was a weaver by trade, and though he was born in Ramitan, a town not far from Bukhārā, he died and was buried in Khorezm.8 The Manāqib refines this picture somewhat, explaining that after his training with Khoja Mahmud Anjir Faghnawi in Bukhara, Ramitani went first to Baward (Abiward) and only later to Khorezm; hence the people of Bukhārā call him "Shaykh 'Alī Rāmitani", while the people of Khorezm call him "Shaykh "Ali Bāwardi".9

Aside from this sparse information, the Rashahāt records an anecdote according to which an unidentified "Khorezmshāh" and his advisers were induced to become devotees of Rāmītanī after initially fearing the shaykh's enormous popularity with the people; the Manāqib, unfortunately, adds nothing to help us understand this anecdote, and aside from a brief reference to a madrasa of the Amīr Qutlugh Tīmūr (Özbek Khan's governor in Khorezm), 10 and a story about Rāmītanī's dealings, as a weaver, in a bazar of Khorezm, 11 there is little in the work that specifically reflects Rāmītanī's career or status in the region where he spent the latter part of his life. As for his death date, the Rashahāt tells us that Rāmītanī died on Monday, 28 Dhū'l-Qa'da 715/23 February 1316, but adds that some give the year of his death as 721/1321; the latter variant seems to reflect a tradition, also recorded in the Rashaḥāt, 12 that affirmed Bahā' ad-

Din Nagshband's birth (in Muharram 7,8/March 1318) still during the lifetime of Rāmitanı. The Rashahat also reports the tradition that Rămitanî lived to the age of 130; whether this deserves credence or not, a long and vigorous life is also implied in the death dates provided in the Rashahāt for two sons of Ramitani; one, known as Khoja-i Khurd, reportedly died just 19 days after his father (17 Dhū'l-Hijja/13 March, assuming 715), while the younger, Khoja Ibrāhīm — who as we will see played the central role in transmitting his father's Managib - died in 793/1391. Such a great gap between the death of father and son might call into question the reliability of either date, but the figures portrayed in the Rashahāt as contemporaries of the Khoja 'Alī 'Azīzān suggest that a death date of 715/1316 or 721/1321 must be approximately correct. As his contemporaries the Rashahāt names the eminent Kubrawi shaykh 'Alā' al-Dawla-1 Simnānī (d. 1336), with whom he is portrayed as corresponding; Badr al-Din Maydani, a prominent shaykh of Bukhārā known also from Ibn Battūta, who casts him as an interlocutor of the Chaghatayid khan Kebek (r. ca.1318-1326); and Sayyid Ata, a saint of the Yasawi tradition ascribed in early traditions the conversion to Islam of the khan Özbek (r. 1313-1341) of the Golden Horde.

The Manāqib, as noted, adds little to this picture of Rāmītanī's life, but is devoted rather to recording and explaining his sayings on aspects of the Sufi path; it provides our most extensive source on his pronouncements on matters of doctrine and practice, but the likelihood that Rāmītanī's legacy was also separately transmitted in oral tradition, and possibly in written form, preserved outside the circles that produced the Manāqib is confirmed by the Rashaḥāt's evident independence from that work for its account of the shaykh's deeds and sayings. 13 The Manāqib itself, however, has undergone con-

<sup>7</sup> Fashr ad-Din 'Alî b. Husayn "Şafî', Rashahāt-: 'ayn al-havāt, ed 'Alī Asghar Mu'lmyān (Tehran 2536/1356/1977) i, 62-72. See also the much shorter account of Rāmītanī in Jāmī's Nafahāt al-ans, ed Mahdi Tawhidipūr (Tehran 1336/1958) 380; and see the recent critical edition of the Nafahāt by Mahmūd 'Ābidī (Tehran 1370/1991, second printing 1373,1994) 385

Has shrune in the town of Köne Ürgench (Russian "Kunia Urgench") in Turkmenistan was long in disrepair, but showed signs of substantial palgrimage activity and some restoration when visited in the spring of 1995.

<sup>9</sup> T. ff. 167b-168a.

<sup>10</sup> T. f 224b.

<sup>11</sup> Т. ff 226b-227a.

<sup>12</sup> Rashahāt 1, 95.

<sup>13</sup> The Rashahāt does not mention the Manāqib, nor is there any specific correspondence between the sayings and ancodotes it records and those aicladed in the Manāqib. We may note here, in addition, that a brief work ascribed to Rāmītanī, bearing the heading Risāla-i sharīfa-i Khojo 'Azītān 'Ali Rāmītanī, is occasionally found in collections of Naqshbandī treatises, and was printed in a collection lithographed in Delhi in 1924 (Rasā'il sitto darītrīva 7-11). The treatise provides no biographical material or other material by which to judge its authenticity, but consists merely of ten principles (each called a sharf) of the Sufi path, the last of which involves a story about appropriate and inappropriate food, involving 'Abd al-Khāliq Ghijdiwānī and Khidr, that is also echoed in the Rashahāt's account of Rāmītanī. This treatise does not appear to be found in early collections of Naqshbandī or Khojagānī materials, or to be mentioned in other works, in view of the rudimentary state of the identification and analysis of such materials, however, this hardly amounts to decisive evidence against its attribution, and in this regard we may note that the Manāqib does not appear to have been widely cited in later

siderable textual development between Rāmītanī and the form in which it survives. The first central figure in its preservation was Rāmītanī's younger son, Khoja Ibrāhīm; his role in recording his father's sayings was noted in a hagiographical compendium produced in Khorezm in the eighteenth century, which indeed cites passages from the work as we now have it. 14 The fullest account of his work, however, appears in the introduction to the extant version of the Managib

The compiler of the work in its extant form was a certain Muḥammad b. Nizām al-Khwārazmī al-Arzangī, 15 of whom virtually nothing is known.16 This Muhammad's introduction explains that after Rāmītanī's death, his son, Khoja Ibrāhīm, sat in his father's place and maintained the shaykh's khangah in Khorezm; one of Khoja Ibrāhīm's disciples, Mawłānā Jamāl ad-Dīn Sarrāf, asked him to record what he had heard of his father's sayings and litanies, and Khoja Ibrāhīm fulfilled the request, thus compiling a tadhkira. Then Muhammad, the compiler, chanced upon this collection of Rāmītanī's sayıngs during a visit to Balkh; he examined them, found them "very fine, and suitable for commentary and elaboration (sharhī wa bastī)", "even though Shaykh 'Alī 'Azīzān was illiterate (ummī)". He thus wrote down his sayings, and commented and expanded upon them, to the extent of his power, "and it was as if this power and ability came upon me from the rūhāniyyat of the Azīzān". Further, the compiler continues, "I also attached to this

Naushbandi sources either

treatise of Khoja Ibrāhīm some sayings that were not found in that treatise, but which were (known) among his aṣhāb and among the khānawāda of the Khoja 'Abd al-Khaliqiyān' (we will return to this phrase); with God's blessing and help he commented and expanded upon these too, and structured the treatise in two babs the first includes the sayings that the treatise of Khoja Ibrāhīm recorded from his father Khoja 'Alī 'Azīzān, while the second contains those sayings not found in the treatise of Khoja Ibrāhīm, but current among the aṣḥāb-i Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliqiyān and among the disciples of the 'Azīzān.

It seems likely, though it is by no means certain, that the compiler, Muhammad, had some connection with the Sufi tradition of Khoia 'Ali 'Azīzān Rāmitani, and perhaps with Khoja Ibrāhīm himself or with some other community that preserved the Ramitani legacy; on the other hand, it is not impossible that his eagerness to serve as commentator upon Rămitani's dicta as transmitted by Khoja Ibrāhīm reflects instead an attempt to appropriate that legacy and thus claim the mantle for what may have been originally a separate or even rival community. We likewise have few indications of precisely when the compiler's work should be dated; he claims to have seen all three homes of Ramitani (in Ramitan, Baward, and Khorezm), suggesting a time soon enough after his death for such sites to have been still known, but he never explicitly affirms that he met Khoja Ibrāhīm personally (much less Rämitani himself), and it cannot be ruled out that he had a purely literary acquaintance with the work of . All that Muhammad says of himself, as we will see, implies that he conceived his Sufi affiliation not with any specific lineage linked to Rāmītanī, but more broadly, with the legacy of "Abd al-Khālia Ghijduwani; this in itself may suggest a departure from the personal and familial tradition of Khoja Ibrāhīm toward an evocation of a "founder" of a broader community of the kind that marked a transition to actual Sufi orders of the classical type. Such transitions are evidenced in other traditions between the latter fourteenth and midfifteenth centuries, 17 and this suggests in the most general terms that the compiler's work should be dated early in the fifteenth century.

The entire Manaqib of Ramitani is clearly of considerable importance for a proper understanding of the development of the

<sup>14</sup> The work in question is known, from the name of its author, as the Tadhkira-i Tāhir İshān, and was completed in 1157/1744; I have used MS IVANUz 855 (SVR in, 364-365, No. 2694) with the account of Rāmītanī and his sons appearing on iff 95a-996 (passages cited from the Mantiqtb appear on iff 95a, 98b-99a). Tāhir İshān cites also the Rashāhāt, but reports an anecdote about Rāmītanī, not found in other sources, transmitted by a certain Qāḍi Raḥmān-berdi Khiwaqī, and notes the prominence of Rāmītanī's shrine in Khorezm; in addition, Ṭāhir Ishān notes that Khoja Ibrāhīm's grave is in Khuzār, a dependency of Qarshî (the older Nakhshab or Nasaf, in the Qashqa-Daryā valiey).

<sup>15</sup> The latter nation seems clearly written thus but remains unidentified.

ther work. In the Manāqib itself (f, f 248a) he meations another work of his, entitled Mīzān as-sulūk, in which he says he has given a more detailed account of the conditions of maintaining khānqāhs; I have not traced any such work. He also refers several times to another book which he calls merely the Miṣdāq, and from which the citations are invariably in verse; enfortunately, the various terms he uses to refer to the author of the Miṣdāq are ambiguous (at first he refers to it as a work of the "azīzān", implying Rāmītanī, or simply "azīz", suggesting that Rāmītanī's son Khoja Ibrāhīm might be meant, but twice, at least, he uses the phrase "in da"t", referring to himself, in passages that give no indication of being direct quotations from Khoja Ibrāhīm's work of from a work of Rāmītanī himself).

<sup>17</sup> See, for a Kubrawi example, my 'Sayyid 'Ali Hamadant' 139 ff', we find a sumlar emergence of "jariqa-consciousness" in Yasawi communities during the fifteenth century as well.

Khojagāni and later Naqshbandī Sufi traditions; Khoja 'Ali 'Azīzān Rämitani's place in the "proto Naqshbandi" silsila has long been known, but little attention has been devoted, in previous studies of Naqshbandi or Khojagānī history,18 either to any of these intermediate links between Ghijduwānī and Bahā' ad Dīn, or to the further branchings of the silsila, at each stage, outside the lineage that led directly to Bahā' ad-Dīn. Without taking up these questions here, we may note that an examination of sources produced within those other branchings is imperative if we seek a clearer and less tendentious understanding of the Khojagani tradition; and the Managib of Ramitani belongs prominently within a small group of still largely untapped sources produced in Khojagānī circles that were not shaped by the eventual dominance of the single lineage through Bahā° ad-Dīn Nagshband.19

DEVIN DEWEESE

We cannot do justice to the Managib in a brief article, but the remarks above may suffice to give a preliminary idea of its imnortance; for present purposes its value lies in its use of characteristic of anti Sufi attacks for the purpose of legitimizing the author/compiler's Khojagani community and distinguishing it from other Sufi groups. We may, accordingly, concentrate on the sections most marked by such rhetoric, which appears in the first bab, but virqually defines the structure of a significant part of the second. The fact that the use of this rhetoric is most pronounced in the second part of the work - dominated by the compiler's "commentary" suggests not only a certain reworking and reformulation of material in the course of communal change and historical development, but the adoption, as well, of specific markers of communal practice and organization - markers explored through a dialectic that challenges what had become normative features of other Sufi groups - as the focus of communal self-definition and solidarity.

The first bab<sup>20</sup> offers some examples of a critique of Sufism in the author's day. The excesses of certain communities are criticized, among them the Qalandars;21 but more generally the prevailing status of Sufism is decried (for example, in a passage clearly due to the compiler) for the preponderance of charlatans who seek only public acclaim, desiring to be known for maintaining a khāngāh or handing out bread, and even performing the dhikr in order to "obtain something from the people", whether money, clothing, food, or the like.22 A more subtle critique is implied in the treatment of the dhikr itself in this section,23 for after affirming that "the true man" is one whose heart never for an instant turns away from the remembrance of God (a principle that became a centerpiece of Nagshbandī practice), we find a delineation of the types of dhikr not only by content,24 but by social and communal orientation as well.

The highest type is the dhikr-i khāss al-khawāss, which results in the beatific vision and is clearly the ideal set by the author; its social

<sup>18</sup> See the older work of Marijan Molé, "Autour du Daré Mansour: l'apprentissage mystique de Band" al-Din Nagshband", Revue des études islammanes axviu (1959) 35-66; the seminal article of Hamid Algar, "The Nagshbandi Order: A Preliminary Survey of its History and Significance', Studia Istamica xliv (1976) 123 -152, with an expanded version, 'A brief history of the Nagshbandl order', in Marc Gaboricau, Alexandre Popovic, and Thierry Zarcone (ed.), Nagshbandis, chemnements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulmane (Istanbul-Paris 1990) 3-44; and the important study (focused on the career of Khoja Ahrār) of Jürgen Paul, Die politische und soziale Bedeutung der Naasbandivva in Mittelosien Im 15. Jachundert (Berlin 1991).

<sup>19</sup> The others include the earliest, the Maslak al-'arifin, which reveals an interesting communal division over the issue of vocal vs. silent dhikr (the lineage normally given for Bahā' ad-Din Naqshband belongs to the faction blamed in this work for departing from Ghijduwāni's practice and adopting the vocal diskr); this work's importance was noted in the brief survey of K A Nizami, 'The Naqshbandiyya Order', in Seyyed Hossein Nasr (ed.), Islamic Spirituality. Manifestations (New York 1991) 162-193 (Nizami, 167 and 189, note 33, describes a copy of the work in his possession as "naique", but twelve other copies are in fact mentioned in printed catalogues, with manuscripts preserved in London, Berlin, St. Petersburg, and Tashkent). Also in this group is the Maqamar-i Amir Kulai, which alone among these works has drawn some attention (see the works of Algar and Paul cited above); and a small work preserved in a unique manuscript in Tashkeat on the life of Amir-i Khurd Wābkani, a senior contemporary of Bahā' ad Din from a collateral Khojagāni lineage [see SVR viii, 407 408. No. 5963, Inv No. 7065/i (ff 1b-15b, copied 1250/1834)]. Possibly belonging to this corpus of pre-Nagshbandi Khojagāni literature (or at least partially reflecting some early phase of such literature) are two other works, marked by a complicated textual history and by the circulation of quite divergent variants, one version of each has been published by Safid Nafisi. 'Rısāla-ı sāhıbıyya', in Farhang-ı İrân zamīn î (1332/1953) 70-101, and 'Maqāmāt-ı 'Abd al-Khāliq-, Ghijdawāni wa 'Arif Riwgari', in Farhang-i Īrān zamīn ii (1954) 1 18, A fuller discussion of these works is provided in an upcoming study of the Yasawi Sufi tradition. Aside from these works, the extant body of sources on the Khongānī and Naqshbandi traditions all stem from lineages descended spiritually from Bahā' ad-Dīn Naqshband, and reflect the transformation of the Khojagānī tradition effected by Bahā\* ad-Din and his major disciples.

T. ff. 169b-226b.

<sup>21</sup> T.f 211a

<sup>22</sup> T, f 222b.

<sup>23</sup> T. ff 220a b. 222a

<sup>24</sup> T, ff 221a-b. We may note, incidentally, that the issue of the style of dhike silent or vocal, is not addressed, despite the apparent approval, in this passage, of the silent, interior dlukr of the beart, Rämitani (and with hun the entire Khojagāni laneage from his master down to Bahā' ad Din Nagshband's master) is consistently ascribed (in the Rashāṇāt, for instance) a form attachment to the vocal dhikr

parameters are not explicitly specified, however, leaving his account of the other, lower types more interesting for our purposes. First is the dhikr-i 'amm, explained as what ordinary people employ, by blind repetition and without understanding its true meaning, in the market and on the street; its result is that the people of the world call its practitioner a dhakir and regard him as a good man. While the dhikr-i 'anun is obviously regarded as the lowest form, what we appear to have here is a remarkable attestation of the penetration of Sufi ritual formulas among the public at large, and of their potential as public markers of religiosity and virtue. To be sure, the author does not explicitly deny the legitimacy of the dhikr-i famm, but his characterization of it, combined with the hierarchical position he assigns to it, suggests already the structure of the critique we will find more clearly enunciated in the second part of the work; for as we will see, it is precisely the inclusion of the "common people", of various stripes, in the activities and communal structures of Sufism from which the Khojagānī circles reflected in this work seem to be intent upon distinguishing themselves.

The intermediate type of dhikr, the dhikr-i khāṣṣ, is explained as what the companions of a particular shaykh practice in contemplative seclusion (khalwat); and this dhikr, unlike the others, is explicitly linked to what is transmitted from past shaykhs through a Sufi silsila. In this case, though the entique is not explicitly elaborated, the author seems clearly to evoke the practices and structures relegated more unambiguously, in the second part of the work, to the Sufi communities representing what the Khojagān abandoned; the dhikr-i khāṣṣ is the dhikr of the traditional Sufi community against which the Khojagān may perhaps best be understood as a reaction, but we must wait for the second bāb to find more pointed juxtapositions of similar traditional symbols with their Khojagāni alternative.

In the second  $b\bar{a}b$ ,  $^{25}$  as noted, the compiler presents his "commentary" on sayings said to be current, according to the heading, "among the companions of Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliq and among the companions of the 'Azızān"; but in fact, aside from a few anecdotes about Ramītanī, and the occasional repetition of a saying recorded in the first  $b\bar{a}b$ , all of the sayings taken as the "text" for commentary and elaboration are ascribed simply to the "aṣḥāb-i Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliqiyān", a group never defined more precisely in the work. The

phrase "cAbd al-Khaliqiyan" clearly refers to the Sufi communities linked to the tradition of cAbd al-Khaliq Ghijduwānî, I have not found it used, however, in that sense or any other, in earlier or later Khoiaganî or Nagshbandī sources.

It is not entirely clear, moreover, precisely what relationship the compiler felt with this group. In view of the complexity of the Khojagānī silsila and the communities it reflects in this period,26 we may imagine either that the compiler's effort amounts to an appropriation of earlier "Rāmītanī" lore in the service of rival Khojagānī groups (i.e., he was turning the dicta of the spiritual or natural ancestor of a defunct, but formerly rival, Sufi community into material suitable for a mainstream Khojagani group), or that his work reflects the steady and linear development of the Rāmītanī group into the mainstream Khojaganiyya.27 Other variants are possible, but what seems clear is that the author is himself supportive of the positions he ascribes to the 'Abd al-Khāliqiyān, however much he may have reformulated their original intent; what is at issue is whether these positions, as he formulates them, would have been recognizable by followers of Rāmītanī or of Khoja lbrāhīm — and of course there may well have been divergent communal legacies stemming from Rāmītanī, since even the Rashahāt appears to distinguish the type of succession represented by Rāmītanī's two sons from that represented by the four figures (all poorly known) named as his actual khalifas in Sufism.

In any case, other early Khojagānī and Naqshbandī sources make it clear that 'Abd al-Khāliq Ghijduwānī was indeed the focus of com-

As I hope to explore in more detail in a separate study, the earliest source on the Khojagānî silstla, the Maslak al-'ārifin presents a quite different picture from the later, rationalized structure reflected in the Rashahāt, both in terms of communal schisms and in terms of basic transmission lines, see my preliminary comments in 'The Mashā'ikh-i Turk and the Khojagān'

<sup>27</sup> The latter development might be supported by the fact that Bahā' ad-Din Naqshband and the mainstream Naqshbandiyya, despute their emphasis upon the silent dhikr, trace their only complete and historical sitsila — as opposed to the abistorical "Uwaysi" connection linking Bahā' ad-Din with Ghijduwāni directly but in exclusively spiritual fastinoa — through Rāmitani, despute the latter's association, in early Khojagāni sources, with the vocal dhikr. On the other hand, a more discontinuous development might be argued on the basis of the compiler's critique of the practice of maintaining kāānqāhs (discussed below) saice, as the compiler himself makes clear in his introduction, Rāzaitani's own khānqāh was well-known in Khorezan, we must be careful, however, not to assume a direct, automatic, and sustained correspondence between thetoric and actual practice in the midst of the communal rivalries and adaptations that characterize this period, and in any case the critique in the Manāqāb does not delegitumize the maintenance of khānqāhs, but merely circumscribes the value of the practice.

munal self-identification such as is evidenced in the *Manāqib*'s second *bāb*. Ghijduwāni's purported teaching provided the basis and rationale for the fourteenth-century *Maslak al-'arifin* (noted earlier), while Baha' ad-Din Naqshband is portrayed not only as claiming direct spiritual training from Ghijduwāni's spirit, but as explicitly defining his path as that of the *khānawāda* of Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliq Ghijduwāni. <sup>28</sup> In between these two, Rāmītanī himself is quoted (in both the *Rashāḥāt* and in Jāmī's *Nafaḥāt*) as praising the posterity (apparently spiritual) of Ghijduwāni' if but one of them had lived on the earth in the time of al-Ḥallāj, he affirmed, that famous Sufi martyr would never have gone to the gallows.

What is also characteristic, however, of the sayings attributed in the Manāqib to the "Abd al-Khāliqiyān is not only a clear "group-consciousness", but a clear and repeated insistence that this group-consciousness rests upon specific positions on issues of Sufi practice and organization; that the focus is practical rather than doctrinal should not surprise us, but the aspects of practice highlighted as displaying a distinctively "Abd al-Khāliqiyānī" approach are significant, for neither the method of dhikr, nor the issue of raqs and samā", nor the benefit or danger of cultivating mystical enrapturement (wajd) is addressed. Rather, the issues raised for the most part deal with the social profile of Sufis, and the positions taken are in the main couched in terms of opposition to or contrast with several elements that had become normative features of "public", institutional Sufism in Central Asia during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries.

Here we may note that in the very assertion of group-consciousness on the basis of these contrasts in attitudes toward public and practical activity, the "Abd al-Khāliqiyān are already distinguished from many other Sufi communities of this era, which seem to have derived what group-consciousness they maintained from other sources: their group was bound together through natural descent from a shaykh, or through formal communal affiliation with a shaykh or his family, or by attachment to the charismatic person of a particular shaykh, or by reliance upon a particular method of Sufi practice considered most fruitful and efficacious.<sup>29</sup> Here in the Manaqib of Rāmītanī, however, the focus of group solidarity is a series of propositions shared, implicitly, through spiritual descent

from the figure adopted as the group's founder (and at least in this source, namesake), 'Abd al-Khāliq Ghijduwāni; and the propositions, again, are directed against several standard markers of normative institutional Sufism.

The first of these statements<sup>30</sup> already presents the communal distinctiveness of this group in terms of what it offers affiliates: the Khoja Abd al-Khaliqiyan say, according to the compiler, "Our khānawāda is the khanawāda of 'Bring and take' (ār-ō bar), for however much you bring before these dervishes in the way of sincerity and supplication and pure devotion and full loyalty, you will take away the same amount in illumination and purity and felicity". After some discussion of this principle, further stories make the familiar point that the Sufi must have first the sharia, then tarīga, then haqiqa. The precedence of the sharia over the tariga is in fact taken up again at the end of the second bab (without the issue being formulated in terms of the contrastive pairs we will discuss below); the argument focuses on the obligatory nature of observing the sharia, and unequivocally rejects the possibility that one can belong to the ahl-i tariga without being among the ahl-i shari'a: one who claims such a status is in fact not of the ahl-i taring, but is simply a "highwayman on the path" (rāh-zan-ı tariqa), to be likened to a person who performs namāz without having completed his ablutions.

The second bāb also features the compiler's commentary on five phrases said to be favorites of the khānawāda of Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliq.31 Four of these are drawn from the familiar set of eight principles ascribed in other sources to 'Abd al-Khāliq Ghijduwānī himself (and expanded to eleven by Bahā' ad-Dīn Naqshband), namely hūsh dar dam, nazar bar qadam, safar dar watan, and khalwat dar anjuman; the last, a variation on a familiar Naqshbandī saying, appears in this work as dil bā yār wa tan ba bāzār ("the heart with God and the body at the marketplace"). After extended discussion of each of these, the section of greatest interest for us begins, in which the compiler cites, and then explains, four sayings by the 'Abd al Khāliqiyān in which they distance themselves from what we presume to be (as is borne out from sources of the period) standard features of Sufi communities of fourteenth-century Transoxania.

<sup>28</sup> Şarāḥ b. Mabārak Bukhārī, Anis al-tālibīn wa-'nddat al-sālikīn, cd. Khalīt Ibrāham Sārī Oghlī (Tehran 1371/1992) 120.

<sup>29</sup> See my discussion in 'An Uvayai' Sufi' 34-36.

<sup>30</sup> T, ff. 228b-232a.

<sup>31</sup> T, ff. 232b-244a

(1) According to the first, 32 the Abd al-Khaliqiyan affirm that "In our khanawada we do not talk of disciples (murids); rather, in our khānawāda, there is a pact (bay'at) with the people". The compiler proceeds to explain the difference between iradat and bay at, but his discussion makes it clear that he (and presumably the Khojagani community) is parting with the former only in a specific sense (masmuch as he still refers to one who makes a bay'at with a shaykh as his murid), for in iradat, the compiler explains, a person surrenders his will entirely to the master's control, becoming like a corpse in the hands of the washer, while in bay'at, the murid retains an element of choice and will. If someone whose relationship with a shavkh is framed in terms of bay'at leaves the shavkh's control, he does not become an "apostate from the Path" (murtadd-i tarīqa); nor is the shavkh responsible if such a person fails to reach his goal. The compiler also insists, appealing to a theme that fuels much of his argument, that the work of iradat is difficult, while that of the bay at is easy, and that this was the reason God enjoined the latter, but not the former, on the Prophet; consequently, he writes, the Khoja "Abd al-Khāliqiyān, "not wishing to make matters difficult for the disciple and for the shaykh", abandoned establishing relations based on irādat and adopted instead the practice of the bay'at.

This discussion is clearly idiosyncratic, and pits two elements of the Sufi path (which are not typically placed in opposition) not only as alternatives, but as pivotal markers that distinguish Sufi communities from one another; the "Abd al-Khāliqiyān are marked by the bay at, all others by iradat. Now the two words employed here, iradat and bay at, are hardly unknown in Sufi terminology, and instances of both a doctrinal and practical differentiation among terms similar to these, expressing aspects of the master-disciple relationship, are frequently encountered in Sufi Interature. That is, we find distinguished, among the features of Sufi life transmitted from master to disciple, elements such as discipleship (irāda), instruction in doctrine or practice (tarbiya), training in dhikr, guidance in contemplative seclusion (khalwa), supervision of austerities (riyada), etc., with specific symbols and insignia often associated separately with different elements (e.g., a specific khirga or bay at to signify a particular kind of transmission), and with separate stipulations of licensure (ijaza) or permission (rukhṣa) or even succession (khilāfa) possible for each element (or for different combinations of elements).

It is of course difficult to judge, in some cases, how much these distinctions reflect a perhaps over-refined classificatory impulse rather than actual observance in practice, but they can hardly have been entirely formulaic; what is clear, in any case, is that at a certain stage in the development of Sufi communities (and here we must not expect a unidirectional or simultaneous development throughout the Muslim world) there is a shift from a common practice of obtaining these elements separately from independent shaykhs to an assumption that a single master would supply all facets of mystical instruction and training for a Sufi adept. In the most general of terms we may suppose that the distinction posed in the Manāqib of Rămîtani between the terms irādat and bay'at reflects a period before such a shift had occurred - or more precisely a period in which specific Sufi communities were formulating their particular approach to the organization and structure of Sufi life, not only in the context of its suitability and efficacy from the standpoint of doctrine and practice, but also in the context of competitive tensions among rival groups.

More specifically, however, we find here a relatively rare case of a direct juxtaposition of these particular terms, and it is not immediately evident why such a seemingly contrived opposition should be further highlighted as a mark of communal distinctiveness; this suggests that one of the terms, at least (most likely the notion of iradat, whose derivative, as noted, the compiler is compelled to use to refer to the pupil), had taken on some further implications toward which the compiler's community was ambivalent or downright hostile. Two further points made by the compiler may help us to suggest what those implications might have been. He notes that some compare the pîr-i irādat with the Prophet, "who summons outsiders to Islam", and the pīr-i bay'at with a hājjī, "who has seen the way to the Kacba and shows others the way"; and he differentiates the pīr-i iradat, who claims to convey people to God, from the pir-i bay at, who is a guide and merely shows people the path. In the first of these distinctions there may be an allusion to the bonds of iradat established by shaykhs of the fourteenth century with entire communities in Transoxama, including many who were barely Islamized, we find ample evidence of such communal affiliations, consistently spoken of in terms of iradat and especially prevalent in connection with shaykhs linked to the Yasawi tradition, and it is not unlikely that by associating iradat with the conversion of unbelievers, but the bay at with a ritual obligation of Muslims, the compiler is signaling both his community's less comfortable relationship with the less

thoroughly Islamized inhabitants of Transoxania, and its implicit challenge to other shaykhs, and their communities, who exemplified the process of communal affiliation using the terminology of iradat 33 The second distinction in a sense amplifies the first, but does so outside the framework of conversion implied there; in this case we may find an allusion to the increasingly common claims ascribed to many shavkhs of incipient orders in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, including (with some refinements) Bahā' ad-Din Nagshband — of an almost automatic achievement of mystical goals simply through affiliation with the shaykh in question (an affiliation spoken of, again, in terms of iradat). The compiler, indeed, refers several times to the claim of the pir-i iradat to convey his disciple to God directly and virtually without further volition on the disciple's part; he affirms that a shaykh who receives the "hand of discipleship" (dast-i trādat) from someone typically claims that "God has brought me to Himself and has given me a state (hāl) by means of which I can convey others to God".34

It is important to note, however, that the compiler does not dispute such a claim outright, on doctrinal grounds; he cautions against those who, out of a desire for public acclaim, falsely assert their ability to convey disciples to their goal forthwith, but nevertheless allows that authentic shaykhs with such abilities exist and are saints in this world and the next. What he is careful to do, however, is to set the "Abd al-Khāliqiyān apart from such shaykhs, and from their claims, in communal terms: irādat entails difficult conditions and obligations, so difficult that a claim to properly fulfil them is inherently suspicious; consequently, the Khojagān adopt the only responsible course, eschewing irādat and holding to the "easier" principle of the bay at. The course of this argument is somewhat muted with regard to this initial set of alternatives; it becomes more explicit in subsequent sections.

(2) The second contrastive saying ascribed to the Khoja "Abd al-Khāliqiyān35 affirms simply, "For us, the khānqāh does not matter; what matters is ardor and pain" (sūz-ō āh). In his commentary on this

point the compiler explains that running ten khānqāhs brings no benefit if one's heart lacks "the divine affliction" and the love of God. Once again he does not reject the legitimacy, per sē, of the practice eschewed by the Khojagān as a group, but in this case he weaves a more pointed critique of fraudulent shaykhs who merely make a show of maintaining a khānqāh into his explanation of why the Khojagan avoid the practice.

In this case, the compiler acknowledges the possibility of legitimate rights and duties in maintaining a khangah, since the claim implicit in that practice - that "God has given me a state (hāl) in thankfulness for which I have built this khangah in order to serve and provide bread for the servants of God" — might be authentic; he even describes some of the conditions, affirming that the central responsibility of the khāngāh-dār is to provide bread to the people (since the khāngāh without bread is merely a lifeless form), and noting that a fuller account appears in another of his works. He once again makes it clear, however, that the difficulty of properly fulfilling the conditions for khāngāh-dārī is one reason the Khojagān refrain from it (at least rhetorically). He likewise cautions against those who build a khānaāh merely "to make a show of shaykh-hood and grandeur and to deceive the people", since in such cases "that khāngāh of yours will become one of the pits of hell for you" on the Day of Judgment.

Once more, it is not the legitimacy, or even the value, of such a function that is questioned here, but rather the implicit identification of khāngāh-maintenance with purely formal or fraudulent shaykhs, an identification that made even sincere shaykhs susceptible to criticism and therefore encouraged the Khojagan to highlight their communal identification with the principle held up as the rhetorical alternative to maintaining khāngāhs. As in the case of the other rhetorical alternatives posed here, the activities from which the Khojagan seek to dissociate themselves (at least discursively, through these sayings, but perhaps in practice too) are, implicitly, indistinguishable, in their external forms, from the activities of fraudulent pseudo-Sufis, and herein — as well as in the assumption that it is precisely the prevalence of fraudulent shaykhs that makes it incumbent upon authentic shaykhs (the Khoja 'Abd al-Khaliqiyan) to avoid any possibility of confusion by abandoning the activity altogether - lies the meeting-place of rhetorical dialectic with the actual historical environment of the Khojagan.

<sup>33</sup> See the discussion of such communal affiliations in 'The Masha'ikh-i Turk and the Khojogān' 196-8, and see my 'Yasavi Šayhs in the Timurid Era' Notes on the Social and Politica. Role of Communal Affiliations in the 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> Centuries', in Michele Bernardim (ed.). La civilià immuride come fenomeno internazionale = Oriente Moderne, N.S., xv (1996) 173-88

<sup>34</sup> T. f 244b

<sup>35</sup> T. ff 246b-248a

(3) The next saying 36 of the ashāb of Khoja Abd al-Khāliq opposes a standard, and ancient, symbol of Sufi transmission to a principle that was to become a hallmark of later Nagshbandi ideals and practice: "For us what matters is not the Sufi cloak (khirqa); what matters is the craft (hirfa)". This saying the compiler explains in terms of the basic Muslim requirement to eat and wear lawful (halāl) things, for a principled observance of this requirement, the compiler insists, can only be ensured by obtaining food and clothing through a lawful craft or occupation. In explaining the reason the Khojagan insist upon a craft, however, the compiler never elaborates upon why this particular practice is opposed to a concern for the khirga, aside from affirming that while one can do without a khirga without occasioning sin, one cannot do without a licit craft without rendering his food and clothing of at best doubtful lawfulness. Nearly all of his discussion is in fact focused upon the status of food, and it may be that the initial mention of clothing was a subtle way of linking the two contrasted items, the craft that provided the lawful means to procure the requirements for life, and the item of clothing whose symbolic value he acknowledges, but whose necessity he rerects.

In this regard we again find the compiler devoting considerable attention to the principle rejected by the Khojagan; he gives some account of the conditions for donning the khirga, and distinguishes two types, the "khirqa of the attainment of perfection" (kasb-i kamāl), signifying the completion of his training, and the "khirqa of blessing" (tabarruk), whose barakat will assist the disciple in reaching his goal. In this way, once again, the compiler acknowledges that the thing disavowed by the "Abd al-Khāfiqiyān - in this case the transmission of the khirga — is permissible, but affirms that the difficulty it entails (in caring for the khirga and observing the customs associated with it) is the reason for its rejection by the Khojagan; likewise, he adopts the essentialist approach evident in his earlier arguments, emphasizing that it is the "spirit of the khurqa" rather than its form that is important, and that the lack of a khirqa does no harm to one who gains that which is the aim (maqsud) of the khirqa. The rhetorical pattern thus resembles what we find in the case of the other alternatives posed so far: the one adopted by the 'Abd al-Khālīqiyān is portrayed as essential and attainable, while the one imputed to the groups from which the 'Abd al-Khaliqiyan distinguish themselves is cast as non-essential (and potentially injurious, if too much effort is devoted to it, to what is truly important), difficult, and subject to so many conditions for proper "management" that the very claim to maintain it is immediately suspect as hollow pretense or conscious deception. The alternative implicitly ascribed to everyone but the Khojagān is, in effect, legitimized in principle but rejected in

practice. The likelihood, further, that "practice" was recognized as having been considerably complicated by the specific environment in which the Abd al-Khāliqiyān were operating is suggested by the compiler's treatment of the issue of lawful food and occupation. He specifically cites the danger of depending upon people of doubtful legal status for food as the chief incentive to obtain one's sustenance through one's own licit occupation, but his remarks are plainly addressed to a particular historical context. What is clear from his discussion is not only that life in Transoxania, from the thirteenth century to the early fifteenth, presented the pious Muslim with the challenge of dealing with individuals of "questionable" legal status at nearly every turn, as a legacy of Mongol rule, but that in such a society, there were clear external, communal markers by which to judge whether the food of certain individuals was permissible or not; for he mentions, as examples of "those whose external circumstances provide evidence that they are not among the people of piety and restraint", the tamghāchiyān (the collectors of the Mongol tax on commerce and craft production, a common target, as contrary to the sharia, of Muslim complaints), the nūkarān-i hākimān [the military attendants (Mongol "nöker") of governors or rulers], officials ('amal-dārān), "oppressors and their sycophants" ('awānān wa 'awan-parastan'), and "some of the people of the bazar", as well as certain innovators and sinners.

Herein, once again, lies the link between the compiler's dialectical overturning of the importance of the khirqa and the communal emphasis of the Khojagān upon the hirfa. In the social and political environment in which community of the "Abd al-Khāhqiyān took shape, the lawful occupation they emphasized offered insurance against violating dietary restrictions, and more generally against undue contamination not only by those directly associated with Mongol rule, but also by those induced by the prevailing non-shar'i social regime to relax their observance of Muslim norms; those who in stead appealed to the Sufi khirqa as a sign of legitimacy were implicitly assigned to the latter camp. The Khojagāni community offered, in effect, a conscious appeal to the maintenance of the social

norms of Islam, by stressing a lawful occupation for its adherents; at the same time, it presented a stark contrast to the social environment of other Sufi communities, in which the *khirqa* had become one of the chief external, physical symbols of Sufi transmission displayed as evidence of legitimacy. This, at least, seems to be what is implied by opposing the *khirqa* to the *hirfa*; that this is indeed the most likely intention is more clearly evident in the fourth pair of contrasted principles.

(4) The last contrastive pair discussed in the work again targets a standard feature of institutional Sufism, namely the appeal to legitimation through the notion of a lineage of shavkhs.37 The ashāb of the khānawāda of Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliq, we are told, say, "For us the 'tree' (shajara) does not matter; it is the fruit (thamara) that matters". The shajara, explains the compiler, is the document given by the master to the disciple in acknowledgment that the latter has attained the final goal and is authorized to show the Path to others: though he specifically uses the term ijāza at one point, for the compiler the ijāza is merely a part of the shajara, and it is clear that what he has in mind is a certificate that not only "licenses" a disciple, but also affirms his relationship to a specific lineage of shaykhs. As in the earlier cases, the shajara is not delegitimized as such, but is devalued through an essentialist argument: at the Resurrection, we will be asked about the spiritual fruits we manifested, not about our shajara, the compiler declares, and obtaining a thousand shajaras from various shaykhs will bring no benefit unless the "divine anguish" finds a place in our hearts. The compiler laments the prevalence of hollow claims to shaykh-hood, based on a piece of paper, as well, and compares one who displays a shajara without in fact fulfilling the conditions it entails to someone who erects a banner over a dog's grave to induce the people to come to the site on pilgrimage,38

Once again, then, the pattern is repeated: transmitting a shajara is legitimate but is surrounded by so many requirements and conditions that it is difficult, and even impossible in practical terms, to do so properly; transmitting or receiving a shajara to a disciple who has not actually attained the status it is rightfully intended to symbolize

is fraudulent and condemns those involved to damnation, and what matters in any case is not the shajara itself, but the spiritual fruits of real mystical attainment, which according to the compiler consist of "adoming the soul with the injunctions of the sharia, the conduct of the tarīqa, and the illumination of the haqīqa". Hence the "Abd al Khaliqiyān emphasize the spiritual fruits and dispense with the shajara. The argument, as before, rests also on the social and religious context in which Sufi communities appealed to formal symbols such as the shajara or the khirqa to affirm their legitimacy; the Khojagān, in rejecting those symbols as not only non-essential, but as more often than not concealing frauds and charlatans, adopt the rhetoric of those who appealed to spiritual efficacy as the key to sanctioning their communal identity, 39 but also adopt the rhetoric of those who denounce Sufism in general as a refuge for deceivers and pretenders

The Manāqib of Khoja "Alī "Azīzān Rāmītanī clearly deserves closer study, but what we have seen of it lends support to the supposition, rooted in other early sources as well, that the Khojagānī communities of the thirteenth to early fifteenth centuries presented themselves as alternatives to established Sufi groups active in Transoxania, and employed both the structure and the specific content of anti-Sufi rhetoric to drive home the distinctiveness they sought to claim for themselves. The compiler takes up, especially, four markers of the type of organized Sufi activity prevalent in Central Asia in the thirteenth, fourteenth, and early fifteenth centuries, before the final crystallization of distinct and self-conscious Sufi orders, and one by one dissociates the legacy of the Khojagān from each of them.

The opposition of early Khojagānī and Naqshbandī circles to what had become the standard external and conceptual trappings of Sufi communities — including the khānqāh as a Sufi community's institutional base, symbols of initiation and successorship such as the

<sup>37</sup> T, ff. 253a 255b

<sup>38</sup> T, ff 253b-254a The motif of the dog's grave treated as a shrine is especially widespread in Khorezm, and appears to go back to legends circulated about Najm ad-Din Kubra, a fuller study of its various manifestations is in preparation.

<sup>39</sup> Groups of this era adopting similar appeals to spiritual efficacy of their path include communities whose very names reflect the primacy of a particular method or style of spirituality, such as the Khalwatiyya, "Ishqiyya, Shattāriyya, and Uwaysiyya (see my comments in 'An Uvaysi' Sufi' 34-35), but the rhetonical value of such appears is perhaps best demonstrated by their echo among communities, such as Kubrawi and Yasawi groups, that otherwise claimed legitimacy through sitsila ties, hereditary finks, or other principles of succession and/or authoritative transmission (see 'The Mashā'ikh-i Turk and the Khojagān' 194-8, and for a Kubrawi example, my 'Sayyid 'Ali Hamadāni' 144-146).

handing down of the khirqa or the shajara, the notion of absolute surrender to the shaykh's will implicit in the principle of iradat, and in general a proclivity for emphasizing the mystical path over (or instead of) observance of the sharia (a tendency to which we may assume the shaykhs most active among the Islamizing nomads were most susceptible) - went well beyond what the later attacks on the practices (raqs and samā', vocal dhikr, etc.) or organizational principles (hereditary shaykh-hood) of rival Sufi communities entailed. To some extent we might see in this broader critique simply the perennial tension between the spiritual aspirations of Sufism and its formal structures and symbols as adopted in institutions that are. after all, human. However, more is at work, for the Khoia 'Abd al-Khāliqiyān, in the compiler's exposition, are repeating elements of a critique of Sufi practice that might otherwise be sustained by outright opponents of Sufism; at the same time, the compiler, at least, affirms the legitimacy, in principle, of the elements of Sufi practice targeted by the Khojagani sayings, but then in practical terms allows the general validity of the critique by affirming the Khojagani resolve to avoid whatever is the focus of criticism. That is, the argument proceeds thus: the commentary affirms a normative position of institutional Sufism, that maintaining a khāngāh, or transmitting a khirqa or shajara, can have legitimate purposes and entails serious responsibilities; it also affirms a critique of institutional Sufism, that there are some who maintain a khāngāh, etc., merely for public acclaim and personal gain and are thus deficient both in motive and in performance; it recalls, finally, the Khojagani decision to refrain from maintaining khāngāhs and involving themselves with the khirqa or shajara, implicitly affirming Khojagānī acceptance of the critique of Sufism: the fraudulent use of khangahs, and of the other elements, is so prevalent, we may assume — or the critique of such fraudulent use so compelling - that the Khojagan deem it better not to be counted among those who maintain them.

Here we may emphasize that echoes of similar specific positions, especially focused on the Sufi chain of transmission, are found in other Khojagānī and early Nagshbandī sources. There is, for instance, an implicit appeal to charisma, or more properly demonstrated attainment, rather than to lineage, in a comment ascribed to one of Bahā' al Dīn's disciples when asked to whom, among recent shaykhs, his method and path were connected; the disciple's answer amounts to a rhetorical dismissal not only of lineage, but of two centuries of Sufis who included, naturally, all of Bahā' al-Dīn's spiritual ancestors as well as his community's rivals: "You speak of

predecessors! For more than two hundred years none of the recent shaykhs of the path have manifested such signs of sainthood as God's favor has bestowed upon Khoja Bahā' al-Dīn1"40 Even the very value of a silsila (as recorded in a shajara handed down within the community) was implicitly challenged, not only when Bahā' al-Din himself is cited belittling the value of such a lineage,41 but above all in the well-known evocation (noted above), by Bahā' al-Din (or at least on his behalf), of the principle of Uwaysi initiation; Bahā' al-Din's disciples report a silsila for him, to be sure, but affirm also that he was an "Uwaysi," having received initiation and training from the spirit of the deceased "founder" of the Khojagan, 'Abd al-Khaliq Ghuduwānī. 42 That the appeal to Uwaysī status may have facilitated Bahā' ad-Dīn's break with elements of the tradition represented by those listed in his fuller silsila (or conversely, that the silsila was reported for him chiefly to add another strain of legitimacy) is suggested by various intimations that Bahao al-Din's emphasis on the silent dhikr was regarded with suspicion by his contemporaries in the Khojagani tradition.43

If we assume, finally, that the dialectic employed in the Managab of Khoja "Ali "Azīzān Rāmītanī reflects the conscious aim of early Khojagant communities to highlight their uniqueness as alternatives to the forms of Sufi activity prevalent in Central Asia in the wake of the Mongol conquest, we should expect that in the social and religious environment of Mongol-ruled Central Asia, the communal rivals of these Khojagani groups were clearly identifiable - by their contemporaries, if less so by us - through the configuration of

<sup>40</sup> Nafahāt, ed 'Abidi 391.

<sup>41</sup> See, for instance, his response when asked where his sitsila led "No one gets anywhere through a silsila" (Nafahāt, ed. Tawhīdīpār 386; ed. 'Ābīdī 391).

<sup>42</sup> Bahā' al-Din's Uwaysī status is explicitly noted in the work of his disciple, Khoja Muhammad Pärsä [see his Risāla-a qudsayaa, ed. Muhammad Tähari "Irāqi (Tehran 1354-1975) 14-15, and in Jami's Nafohāt, ed. Tawhidipūt, 384-385, ed "Ābadi, 390] The fullest account of the vision whereby Bahā' ad-Din's training by Glustavani was sealed appears to one of the earliest hagiographies devoted to him, the Anis al-jalibin, ed. Sait Oghli. 88 ff., and the specific evocation of the spiritual style of Uways al-Qarani, 95 96). For a discussion of the appeal of the Uwaysi notion, see my 'An 'Uwaysi' Sufi in Tunurid Transoxania, Notes on Hagiography and the Taxonomy of Sanctity in the Reagious History of Central Asia , Papers on Inner Asia, No. 22 (Bloomington 1993).

<sup>43</sup> See, for example, the "apologies" for Bahā' ad-Din's "resumption" of the silent dhikr in the Anis al talibin 224, and in the Rashahat 1, 95-97. As noted above, Ramitani, and indeed all the figures in Bahā' ad Din's Sufi silsilo from Rāmitani's master. Khoja Mahmud Anir Faghnawi, down to Amir Kuläl, were identified with the vocal rather than silent dhike

symbols and practices critiqued in works such as the *Manaqib*. This is indeed what we find, as a fuller study of Yasawi, Kubrawi, and other Sufi communities active in Central Asia from the thirteenth to fifteenth centuries will confirm; and such a study must begin with careful attention to the modes of legitimation evoked by these diverse Sufi circles.

Khojagānī legitimacy, we may understand, was argued on the basis of maintaining specific markers of Muslim observance in the midst of a social environment replete with communities and individuals inclined (by tradition or lax public standards) to ignore or devalue those markers. Other Sufi communities, from the Khojagani perspective, had been too willing to extend the formal symbols of affiliation and participation to groups insufficiently attentive to a rigorous observance of the sharia; this inclusive and, from the Khojagānī standpoint, overly compromising approach to communal affiliation (and to Islamization in general) not only left them subject to attack by the Khojagan (and by others) for legal negligence or innovation, but rendered the traditional Sufi institutions or insignia with which they were identified - the khirga, the shajara, the khāngāh, and even the formal bonds of discipleship (irādat) - no longer suitable as markers of an exclusively Muslim solidarity.44 In order to reinforce a vision of Muslim solidarity more attuned to the norms of the sharia - but also simply to highlight the distinctiveness of their community and its vision in thetorical terms and thereby score points in advancing their cause in the framework of intense communal rivalries - the "Abd al-Khāliqiyān stressed their rejection (or disregard, or transcendence) of those traditional symbols of Sufism.

In effect, however, although the position adopted by the Khojagan appears more restrictive and exclusive, the rhetoric adopted is intended to affirm a more inclusive, all-embracing potential, since the

primary justification for the Khojagāni stance is not the illegitimacy of what their opponents uphold, but merely its practical difficulty. The Khojagāni position is portrayed as less difficult and more accessible to all than the alternative upheld by their rivals, and by posing what the Khojagān reject as a theoretically valid, but extremely difficult, practically inaccessible ideal, only two options remain: an inadequate or fraudulent adoption of the set of principles disavowed by the Khojagān, or the adoption of the path, and the community, of the Khojagān themselves. The choice is clear; and framing the alternatives in such terms as to make the choice self-evident is what this example of Sufi polemic, with its dialectical adaptation of rhetoric and argumentation characteristic of Sufism's opponents, is about.

Here we may note that we cannot on this basis adopt a simple dichotomy that makes the Khojagān "pro-sharia" and principled Muslims, but their rivals, for example from the Yasawi tradition, lax or overly accomodating Muslims; this is, after all, a self-consciously potentic work, and anless we wish to adopt one vision of Islam as the standard, true one we must recognize that the rivals of the Khojagān understood their more inclusive approach to be entirely appropriate to Islam, and to their own status as Muslims. As for which vision of Islam proved most historically appealing in Central Asia, we may note that the Khojagān, or more properly the Naqshbandis, managed to adopt many of the accomodating and inclusive tendencies of their rivals while nevertheless portraying themselves, quite convincingly, as regorous upholders of the sharia.

# THE POLEMIC OF "OFFICIAL" AND "UNOFFICIAL" ISLAM: SUFISM IN SOVIET CENTRAL ASIA

#### JO-ANN GROSS

One of the most pervasive concepts defining Islam during the Soviet period is the dualistic concept of "official" and "unofficial", or "parallel", Islam:

'There exist two trends in Sunni and Shii Islam. One is the mosque trend, headed now in our country by the mights, the shavkh at-Islam, and other officials of the four official Muslim Spiritual Directorates, and the second, an out-of-mosque, communal. Sufi-dervish trend, led by the Ishans, plrs. shavkhs, and distads, the followers of whom live mainly outside the city in kishtaks and aids (mountain villinges). Both trends were formed in the early medieval period, and since that time their leaders have waged a struggle for influence over the believers'. <sup>1</sup>

Utilized by Soviet officials, and adapted by Soviet as well as Western scholars studying Islam during the Soviet period, this dualistic concept defined the contrast between the Soviet spousored Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of Central Asia and Kazakhstan (SADUM) and the persistence of Islam on an underground, "unofficial" level.

This binary categorization of Islamic practice and Islamic institutions is a political construct that defined Soviet Muslim identity, marginalized all expressions of Islam outside of the "official" institutional establishment, criminalized popular religious practice, and sanctioned, through ethnographic and scientific means, the continued need to "modernize" Islamic society in order to bring Muslims into the fold of the Soviet nation. It may be argued, in colonial theory, that such binary rigidity produces a monolithic focus on the discourse of oppositionality between Islam and Marxism/Leninism and between Muslim and Soviet identity. Indeed, such categorization does not lend itself easily to an understanding of indigenous religious practice and doctrine, particularly since the predominant textual sources on the practice of Sufism in Central Asia during the

Soviet period are Soviet. However, an analysis of the concepts of "official" and "unofficial" Islam, through its three main forms of expression — Soviet historiography, ethnography, and propaganda — is necessary for two reasons. First, because Sufism is one of the primary means of authenticating this sense of duality and difference, and second, because Soviet Islam, according to its ideologues, is a system of beliefs devoid of all traces of popular Islam as practiced for centuries in Central Asia. Sufism, according to this view, simultaneously signifies the persistence of both non-Soviet culture and the reactionary, primitive remnants of a backward religious tradition that some Muslims continue to find attractive and meaningful.

The state construction of Muslim identity as the "other" is not new to the Soviet period. In the late eighteenth century, and through-out the nineteenth century, when Russia was emerging as a world empire that would include Catholic and Orthodox Christians in the West, and Buddhists, pagans and Muslims in the south and the east, Russians conceptualized the relationship between themselves (Russian Orthodox) and the "others" (non-Christian), by using the term "inorodisy" ("aliens"). Muslims, specifically through their language and faith, were seen as distinctively different from the Russians. The assumption, above all, was that the cultural superiority of Russia would inevitably make its mark on her Muslim subjects, and thus assimilation of the Muslim population of the empire into the Russian nation would be accomplished. Although the intellectual tradition

<sup>1</sup> L.I. Klimovich. 'Borba ortodoksov i modernistov v Islame', in Vosprosv anichnogo ateizma (Moscow 1966) 66. For a slightly different translation, see Alexandre Bennigsen and S Enders Wimbush Mystics and Commissurs. Sufism in the Soviet Union (London 1985) 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For general works on Russian imperialism, see Wlodzimierz Baczkowski, 'Russian Colomalism: The Tsarist and Soviet Empires', in Robert Strausz-Hupe and Hurry W. Hazard (ed.), The Idea of Colomalism, (New York 1958) 70-113, Turas Hunczak, (ed.), Russian Imperialism from Ivan the Great to the Revolution. New Brinswick 1974); and Dietrich Geyer, Russian Imperialism: The Interaction of Domestic and Foreign Policy, 1860-1914, Irans. Bruce Little (New Haven 1977). For sources on Russian rule in Central Asia, see Edward Allworth (ed.), Central Asia, 130 Years of Russian Dominance, A Historical Overview (Durham 1994); Elizabeth Bacon, Central Asians under Russian Rule (Ithaca 1966): Seymour Becker, Russia's Protectorates in Central Asia Bukhara and Khiva, 1865-1929 (Cambridge Mass. 1968); George J. Deniko, The Russian Cotomization of Kazakhsian, 1896-1916 (Bloomington 1969); Richard Pietec, Russian Central Asia, 1867-1917. A Study in Colonial Rule (Berkeley 1960); Michael Khodarkovsky, 'Ignoble Savages and Unfaithful Stoperts Constructing Non-Christian Identifies in Early Modera Russia, in Daniel Brower and Edward Lazzeriai (eds.), Russia's Orient Imperial Borderlands and Peoples 1700-1917 (Bloomington 1997) 9-26.

<sup>3</sup> Catherine II's policy of toleration was an exception to this. Her "Toleration of All Faiths" edict, issued in 1773, specifically called for noninterference in Muslim questions, including the building of mosques. By 1785, however, Catherine instituted a policy of state control over the Muslim community in an attempt to regulate its leadership. Alan W. Fisher.

that presumed the difference between the rational, superior West, and the primitive, inferior East (Orient), was not a concept unique to Russia in the nineteenth century, it was carried out with a methodical enthusiasm by Russian administrators.<sup>4</sup>

The methods of assimilating Mushims into the Russian empire in the nineteenth century included conversion to Christianity, educational reform, replacement of religious identity by ethnic identity, and a variety of social programs.<sup>5</sup> Attempts to Christianize the Muslims were largely a failure, although many thousands of Tatars were converted following the conquest of Kazan in the sixteenth century, and then again in the early eighteenth century. Recent research on the borderlands of the Russian empire, however, reveals not only a Russian failure to delimit Islamic practice and provide the impetus for assimilation, but, particularly in rural and among nomadic societies, that a process evolved of renegotiation of cultural identity as a response to such attempts at assimilation.<sup>6</sup>

Along with imperial administrative policies came another form of ideological posturing in the nineteenth century, namely the science of ethnography and historiography.<sup>7</sup> Ethnographic treatises,

Enlightened Despotism and Islam under Catherine II'. Slavic Review iv (1968) 542-553. For an examination of late mineteenth-century Russian interpretations of Islam in the context of nationalism and the self-determination of Russian culture, see Mark Battinsky, 'Islam and Russian Culture in the First Half of the 19th Century', Central Asian Survey ix (1990) 1-27

4 Studies which examine the culture of imperialism include K. Ballhatchet, Race, Sex and Class under the Raj. Imperial Attitudes and Policies and their Critics, 1793-1905 (New York 1980); Mark Bassin, 'Inventing Siberin. Visions of the Russian linst in the Early Nineteenth Century', American Historical Review xevi (June 1991) 763-794. Albert Houran, Islam in European Thought (Cambridge 1991); Z. Longzi, 'The Myth of the Other' China in the Eyes of the West', Critical Inquiry xv., no. 1 (Autumn, 1988) 108-131, Timothy Mitchell, Colonising Egypt, (Beckey, 1988); and Edward Said, Orientalism (New York 1979).

5 Edward Allworth, "The 'Nationality' Idea in Czanst Central Asia', in Erich Goldhagen (ed.), Ethine Minorities in the Soriet Union (New York 1968) 229-250; Stephen B.ank, 'National Education, Church and State in Tsarist Nationality Policy. The Illianskii System', Canadian-American Stavic Studies xvii (1983) 466-486; Currère d'Encausse, "Tsarist Educationa, Policy', Central Asia Review iv (1968) 375-87, Isabelle Kreindler, Educational Policies Toward the Eastern Nationalities in Tsarist Russia: A Study of Himnishi's System (Ph.D. Diss., Columbia University 1969), Chantal Lemercier-Quelquejay, "Les Missions orthodoxes en pays musulmans de Moyenne et Basse-Volga, 1552-1865", Cahiers du monde russe et soviétique vin, no. 3 (July September 1967) 369-403, et. al., Robert Geraci, 'Russian Orientalism at an Intpasse. Tsarist Education Policy in Turkestan', in Russia's Orient 138-162.

6 Agnès Kefeli, 'Constructing an Islamic Identity: The Case of Elyshevo Village in the Naneteenth Century', in Russia's Orient 271-291, Virginia Martin, 'Barymta: Nomadic Custom, Imperial Crime', in Russia's Orient 249-270.

7 For studies on ethnography and the sciences of empire, see D.N. Anuchin, 'O zadachakh russko: etnografii', Etnograficheskoe obozrenie i (1889) 1 35; Władimir

grounded in the secular criteria of ethnicity, provided sanction for the construction of a different kind of identity for Mushims 8 Such treatises argued that nomads, "primitive" as they were, adhered to Islam, but in reality, had no faith, whereas urbanites, who based their beliefs upon the moral code of the sharia, were following social customs rather than acts of faith. Nineteenth-century Russian popular historiography, studied in depth by Seymour Becker, provided further legitimacy for the Russian desire to make their Muslim subjects part of the empire.9

It is a well known fact that during Soviet rule, antireligious policies transformed the character of Islam in Soviet Central Asia. Along with the virtual destruction of the clergy, the closing and destruction of thousands of mosques, the confiscation of awqāf, the closing down of maktabs and madrasas, the functioning of Sufi parīqas was dramatically affected by the closing of shrines and khānqāhs and the arrest, and in some cases, imprisonment of shaykhs and imāms. 10 The hope for the Soviet administration, as it had been for the Russian imperial administration, was the creation of another utopian ideal — in this case the "new Soviet man" (novyi sovetskii chelovek). Muslims remained "the other," and in need of enlightenment, not through conversion to Christianity, but rather to Marxist-Leninist ideology and to the Communist Party line. The civilizing mission of scientific atheism would not accommodate for loyalty to religion above state.

Echoing the policy of Catherine II, who in 1783 organized the Central Spiritual Muslim Directorate (*Upravlenie*) for European Russia and Siberia (located in Orenburg and later moved to Ufa), Stalin established four Spiritual Directorates. <sup>11</sup> He was partly motivated to create support among Muslims for the war effort, but the

Berelowitch, 'Aux origines de l'ethnographie Russe: La Société de Géographie dans les années 1840-1850', Cahiers du monde Russe et Soviétique iii, no. 2-3 (Avril-Septembre 1990) 263-274, B.M. Dantsig, Blizhnii vostok v Russkoi nauke i luerature (Moscow 1973), Yuri Slezkine (cd.), Berween Heaven and Hell. The Myth of Siberia iv Russian Culture (New York 1993,

8 Gregory Eliyu Guldin's study of the development of the antiropological disciplines in China offers a valuable comparative examination. See Guldin, The Saga of Anthropology in China. From Malinowski to Mao (Armonk N.Y. 1994)

9 Seymour Becker, 'The Muslim East in Nineteenth-Century Russian Popular Histomography', Central Asian Survey v (1986) 25-47

10 The case of Sufism in post-Ottoman Albania offers a compelling comparative study See Nathalic Clayer, Albame, pays des dervishes. Les ordres mystique musulmans en Albame à l'époque post-ottomane (1912-1967) (Berlin-Wiesbaden 1990).

11 See Fisher, 'Enlightened Despotism' 542-553

effect of this new official Islamic institution was to create a method of providing a state-sanctioned, narrow, legal status to a "Great Tradition" Islam 12

Of the four directorates (namely the Spiritual Directorate of Central Asia and Kazakhstan, the Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of European Russia and Siberia, the Spiritual Directorate of the North Caucasus and Daghestan; and the Spiritual Directorate of the Transcaucasia Muslims) only the Spiritual Directorate of Central Asia and Kazakhstan, centered in Tashkent, is relevant to the subject of this paper <sup>13</sup> It should be noted, however, that the ideological stances, as well as the relative importance of the four directorates, were not equal, the Directorate of Tashkent exerting more weight due to its position in serving seventy-five percent of the Muslim population in the Soviet Union.

Within the purview of the Spiritual Directorate was "official" Islamic education, the publication of a journal (beginning in 1968) entitled *The Muslims of the Soviet East*, the proclamation of fatwas, the intermittent publication of writings on Islam, and the publication of the Koran. Along with SADUM came the establishment of two official madrasas. The first, the Mīr 1- Arab madrasa, was opened in Bukhara in 1945, and trained approximately twenty clerics per year, most of whom would eventually serve as imām-khaṣībs in the official

Bennigsen and Wimbush estimate the total number of registered clerics serving the Soviet Mushim community of 45 million as fewer than 2,000, of whom "at least 80 percent observe some Islamic rites." Alexandre Bennigsen and S. Enders Wimbush, Mystics and Commissers Suffism in the Soviet Union (London 1985) 84.

mosques throughout the Soviet Union. The second, the Imam Ismail al-Bukhari *madrasa* in Tashkent, was established in 1971 as a higher level *madrasa*, and educated many of those who would serve as religious officials at the directorate level.

"Unofficial," or "parallel" Islam became a marginal category for all remaining Islamic religious practice that did not fall under the authority of the Directorates, and therefore, under the authority and watchful eye of the Soviet government. Within the category of "unofficial" Islam fell ancestral worship, shrine veneration, pilgrimage to shrines, popular healing, prayer at unofficial mosques, performance of dhikr, "unofficial" Islamic education, study of the Koran by anyone other than official clerics, and any other form of Islamic ritual or customary practice. The category "unofficial," or "parallel" Islam thus denied the core normative religious practices of the majority of Muslims living in the various regions of Central Asia, since all popular Islamic forms of belief and practice, and all religious practitioners other than those trained within the statesponsored system were considered illegal. As a result of this ideological conceptualization of "Soviet Islam," therefore, popular Islam14 was deemed a pariah, and was defined as a vestige of a primitive past, or in conspiratorial terms which projected its potential for

<sup>12</sup> The "official" administration was actually created at the suggestion of the Mufti of Ufn, Abdurrahman Rasulaev, in his attempt to "normalize" relations between the Soviet government and Islam. Alexandre Bennigsen, "Official" Islam in the Soviet Union', Religion in Communist Lands via (1979) 148-49. The dismissal of all mystical and popular understandings of Islam was also common in nineteenth- and early twentieth-century Western scholarship on the Islamic tradition. In the nineteen-forties, anthropologists made the distinction between "Great" and "Little" traditions. The Soviet marginalization, politically motivated, created an equivalent divide. See Dale Eickelman, "Islam and the "Religious of the Book', in The Middle East. An Anthropological Approach, (Englewood Cliffs 1989) 256-262; id., 'The Study of Islam in Local Contexts', Contributions to Asian Studies xvii (1982) 1-16. See also Talal Asad, "The Idea of an Anthropology of Islam", Occasional Paper Series (Washington, Georgetowa University Center for Contemporary Arab Studies, 1986), Marilyn Waldman, 'Tradition as a Modality of Change' Islamic Examples', History of Religions xxv (1986) 318-40, Richard C. Martin (ed.) Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies (Tueson 1985), Abdul Hamid el Zeia, 'Beyond Ideology and Theology' The Search for the Anthropology of Islam', Annual Review of Anthropology vi (1977) 227 54, and Michael Gilsenan, Recognizing Islam. Religion and Society in the Modern Middle East (London 1992).

<sup>14</sup> The term "popular" Islam is used with caution and with a recognition of the complexity of Islam in practice. This author does not subscribe to a two-tiered model of religion represented by an essentialist, high tradition Islam and an opposing, abistorical, low, populist Islam. The term "popular" Islam is used to denote localized Islamic practice that represents a variety of modes of religiosity. However, it must be recognized that although the dichotomous notion of a clear-cut common core of Islanuc tradition and an opposing set of localized Islamic practices and beliefs is, in this author's opinion, a methodologically unsound approach to the study of Islam, in the case of Soviet Central Asia, such an atemporal dichotomy between "orthodox" Islam and "popular Islam" was in fact imposed on Muslim society by the Soviet state, "Official" Islam was a device used by the state to create a twotiered model that defined orthodoxy and a low, deviant, folk Islam, this dichotomy, moreover per Soviet and Muslim identity against one another. For a discussion of the two-hered mode, of religion in the context of Latin Christianity, see Peter Brown, The Cult of the Sounts. Its Rise and Function in Latin Christianity (Clucago 1979) 12-22. See also Boaz Shoshan, 'High Culture and Popular Culture in Medieval Islam', Studio Islamica Ixxia (1994) 67-107. Three recent studies that challenge the two-tiered model as applied to [s.am are Ahmet T Karamustafa, God's Unruly Friends: Dervish Groups in the Islamic Later Middle Period 1200-1550 (Salt Lake City 1994); Devin DeWeese, Islamization and Native Religion in the Golden Horde Baba Tükles and Conversion to Islam in Historical and Epic Teadition (Pennsylvania 1994); and Richard M. Eaton, The Rise of Islam and the Bengat Frontier, 1204 1760 (Berkeley 1993). Furthermore, in using the term "popular Islam" I do not wish to suggest that Sufism itself is merely an aspect of popular Islam.

centralized, hierarchical, and organized bases of opposition.15

Historically, Islam in Central Asia is characterized by the predominance of popular religious activity, some of which is Sufi inspired and includes pre-Islamic shamanist and animist beliefs.16 The study of Islam in Central Asia reveals not only the significance of cultural interaction and borrowing in the formation of religious identities, but the great diversity and syncretism within differerent Islamic practices, including Sufi communities. Recent scholarship, for example, challenges the Soviet concept of popular Islam as simply the survival of pre-Islamic practices. Sufism undoubtedly played a central role in the religious life of Central Asian society, not only through institutionalized orders, but through the popularity of Sufi saints, legends, and shrines. The importance of the local construction of religious ideas, therefore, is extremely important in trying to assess the "official/unofficial" concept, since for many Muslims, it would seem, this concept was simply irrelevant and meaningless in the theoretical sense of the terms. There was not, as far as can be discerned, any public dialogue between the clergy of the "official" establishment and the "unofficial" clergy, nor any official condemnation of Sufism, although the "official" Muslim clergy in Soviet Central Asia, in fatwas and various publications, had, from time to time, come forward to condemn pilgrimage to holy places.<sup>17</sup> Some Soviet as well as Western analysts have portrayed the

official clergy as vestiges of the *jadīd* Islamic modernist tradition, working to reconcile Islam with the realities of Soviet life. <sup>18</sup> This interpretation is based upon the argument by the "official" clergy that the social values of Islam do not conflict with, and in fact are compatible with communism. To be a practicing Muslim in the Soviet Union, is therefore, to be working for the benefit of Soviet society. <sup>19</sup> The former mufti of the Tashkent Directorate, Ziauddin Babakhanov, states, for example:

Islam teaches that there are close social links in society, that people depend on one another. The work of each man is a contribution to the good of society, i.e. society as a whole benefits by the results of the work done by each member of society. Hence, it is the duty of every citizen to work conscientiously, aiming at the highest possible quality. The Prophet Muhammad—peace he upon Him!—said in this connection. "The best of men is he who is of the greatest use to people."

Since virtually all information on Sufism must be based upon Soviet sources, defining Sufism and Sufi communities in Soviet Central Asia is especially problematic.<sup>21</sup> It is, moreover, unwarranted to make assumptions about the organization, doctrine, and leadership of Sufi groups as they existed during the Soviet period, based upon the historical tradition of any one specific tarīqa or silsīta and/or the Soviet ethnographic evidence pertaining to it.

Prophet said that those people [who meet at a mazār] are staners. He also said that during the pre-Islamic era of ignorance, when a man died, great honours were bestowed on him, a temple was built and people would pray at his image. This is pagainsin and those who practice it will have to answer on the Day of Judgment "Quoted from Bennigson and Wimoush, Mystics 41.

<sup>15</sup> See, for example, L.A. Bashrov, Puti predodalenila religionznykh i patriarkhal'nykh perezhitkov (Groznyi 1975); B.G. Gabisov, Puti proshlogo (Groznyi 1965); D. Ikhilov, 'Perezhitki drevnikh verovnan i puti ikh preodolenini', Sovetskii Dagestan (Makhach-Qala 1981); N. Akhmedov, Proizhozhdenie i vred "sviatvkh mest" i ikh poklonenna (Tashkent 1959); Ia. K. Durdyeva, Sovreinennau ideologichestkana bor'ba i religia (Ashkhabad 1979).

<sup>16</sup> See, for example, O. Murodov, 'Shamanskii obriadovyi fol'klor u tadzhikov sreduci chasti doliny Zemvshana', in Domisti'manskie veronama i obriady v Srednei Atii (Moscow 1975) 94-122. Choxan Chingisovich Vahkhanov, 'O musul'mansive v stepi', Sobranie sochinenii v pioti tomakh (Alma-Ata 1961) i, 524-29, Dewin DeWeese, Islamization and Native Religion in the Golden Horda, M. Deimdov, Sufizm v Turkmenii (Ashkhabad 1978).

<sup>17</sup> Beningsen and Wimbush report that in 1959, Ziauddin Babakhanov, the mufti of the Tashkent Directorate until 1982, issued a fatwa in which he proclaimed "ishanism" to be a "trend alien to Islam." Beningsen and Wimbush, Mystics 41 However, as far as I have been able to ascertain, this is the only direct condemnation published. It should be noted that this was the height of Krushchev's anti-religious compaign. Ziauddin Babakhanov also published a number of fatwas condemning pitgrimage to holy places. References to these fatwas are found in Beningsen and Wimbush, Mystics 41-42. The original texts may be found in I.A. Makatov. 'Kul't syintykh v Islame. Noproxy nanchnogo ateiona iii (1967) 173-75, and in Muslims of the Soviet East. Most of the fatwas condemn pitgrimage and meeting at mazūrs as a violation of sharia. For example, Haji Kurbanov, mufti of North Caucasus and Daghestan, stated, "Our

<sup>18</sup> Muriel Atkin, The Subilest Battle: Islam in Soviet Tajiktstan (Philade,phin 1989) 18-19; Beangsen and Wimbush, Mystics 45, A. Akhmedov, Sotsial nata doktrina islamo (Moscow 1982), R.M. Madzhidov, 'Modernistskie tendentsii v is ame v uslovnakh sotsializma. Vaprasy nauchnogo ateizma (1981).

<sup>19</sup> Atkin, The Subtlest Battle 19

<sup>20</sup> Ziyanddin Khan Ibn Ishan Babakhan, Islam and the Muslems in the Lond of Soviets, trans. Richard Dixon (Progress Publishers 1980) 87

The study of Islam in modern Central Asia, particularly from the eighteenth century to the present, remains in a formative stage. For a fairly rehable source on Sufi shaykhs in modernth-century Central Asia, see N.S. Lykoshin, 'Rol' dervisher v musul'manskor obshchine tashkentskikh mizemtsev', Shornik materialov dha stanistikh Syr-Dar inskor oblastivit (1899) 94-136. Given the more open atmosphere for research in the post-Soviet environment, access to sources and new opportunities for field research yields the possibility for a new appraisal of Sufi communities. The work of Baxtiyor M. Babadzanov represents some of the best current research on Sufism among Uzbek scholars. See Baxtiyor Babadzanov 'On the History of the Naqšbandiya Muğaddidiya in Central Māwarā'annahr in the Late 18th and Early 19th Centuries', in Michael Kemper, Anke von Kügelgen, and Dimitry Yermakov (eds.), Muslim Culture in Russia and Central Asia drom the 18th to the Early 20th Century (Berhn 1996).

Given the infantile stage of scholarship on Central Asian Sufi communities in the modern period, such connections (both spiritual and genealogical) are unclear and the evidence that there is a continuity in the character of such groups is often nebulous. During the Soviet period, communities linked with four Sufi orders were active in Central Asia, all of which have a long history in the social and cultural history of Central Asia. The predominant tariga, the Nagshbandiyya, can be found in almost all regions of Central Asia, although its activities in Daghestan, eastern Chechnia, and the Ferghana valley have drawn unbalanced attention due to the activism of the order and its history of antigovernment opposition. The Oadiriyya is found primarily in the Northern Caucasus and in the Ferghana Valley, but also in Tajikistan. Once again, the activism of the Qadinyya in Chechnia and the Daghestan regions has dominated the focus of Soviet as well as western studies. The Kubrawiyya, although centered in Urgench, was present in the Turkmenistan SSR and in the Khorezm region. Yasawi communities can also be found in the Ferghana valley and southern Kazakhstan. These communities have drawn the attention of scholars and atheistic propagandists primarily because of reports concerning the so-called radical, politicized " "and "Harry Ishan" (Chachtuu Ishander) groups in the Kırgiz SSR.22

Based on Soviet sources, it appears that the Sufi groups in Soviet Central Asia provided Sufi leadership as well as a local network of Sufi relationships; however, such conclusions must be approached with caution, since there is little evidence for any institutionalized, centralized hierarchy or extensive interregional organization (unlike the Caucasus model) other than the local familial and dynastic connections that established Sufi pirs had forged for generations, and the pīr-murīd relationships based on discipleship and learning. Rather, Sufi-related activities appear to be associated with local

communal traditions of saint worship, pilgrimage to shrines, healing, religious education, and the performance of prayers at festivals and traditional family ceremonial occasions.

Notwithstanding the ideological barriers to the study of Islam in Soviet Central Asia, Soviet writing on Sufism expanded significantly between the 1960's and 1980's in two main forms; that of antireligious propaganda, and that of scholarly research 23 Continuing a premodern tradition in Russian historiography, much of the research was done by ethnographers and sociologists, and forms the data base for Western interpretations on Islam in the Soviet Union. Antireligious propaganda comprises books, pamphlets, periodicals such as Nauka i religita (Science and Religion), and daily newspapers, all of which echo the Communist Party line and official antireligious policy These sources predictably present Sufis as fanatics, as threats to society, as social ingrates, and as enemies of the state. The scholarly journal, Voprosy Nauchnogo Ateizma (Questions of Scientific Atheism) regularly published material on "unofficial Islam". During periods of more intense antireligious campaigns, such as during the Krushchev campaign in the late nineteen-fifties and ninteen-sixties and during the early and mid-nineteen-eighties following the Islamic Revolution in Iran and the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, atheistic literature abounded with disparaging descriptions of holy men.24

In many of these publications little distinction is made, if any, between particular Sufi orders. Holy places and Sufi *īshāns* are often the focus of accounts, and usually accentuate the need to control and, in effect, to eradicate their presence. One example of such reporting is described by H.B. Paksoy in his article on an account in the Uzbek newspaper, *Sovet Ozbekistani*, in September 26, 1982.<sup>25</sup> Although Paksoy's purpose was to show that such newspaper accounts of ar-

<sup>22</sup> Bennigsen categorizes both the Lanch and the "Harry Ishans" as offshoots of the Yasawiyya. Bennigsen and W.mbush, Mystics 80 Bennigsen's claim is based primarily on the work of Satyba.dy Mambetaliev, Sufism jana anin Kirghizstandagi agundari (Franze 1972) 150-51 DeWeese critiques Bennigsen's interpretation, emphasizing his misrending of Mambetaliev's findings as well as his false logic regarding the link to the Yasawi Sufi order. Devin DeWeese, The Yasawi Sufi Tradition (forthcoming) 682-85 DeWeese's recent research reveals that there is virtually no evidence of any silsida connection between these groups and the "historically attested Yasawi silsidah." I am grateful to Devin Deweese for making this manuscript available to me, and for sharing his ideas with me See also Devin DeWeese. "The Mashā'ikh-i Turk and the Khojagān. Rethinking the Links between the Yasawi and Naqshbandi Sufi Traditions', Journal of Islamic Studies via (1996) 180-207

<sup>23</sup> Bennigsen and Wimbush provide a listing of publications through the early 1980's, see Mystics 164-80.

<sup>24</sup> See, for example, M. Agaev, Tauny swatyth mest (Ashkhabad 1967), O. Alpaev, Din zhanu anyng zyiandunlugu (Frunze 1980). S. Anstambekov, O. Kharaktere protectional pereshitkov Islama v Sovremennyth visloviath (Frunze 1989), A. Doev, Islam zhonundo chyndyk (Frunze 1975), A. Kadyrov, Prichiny sushchestvovannia i puti preodolenua perezhitkov Islama (Lemnabad 1966), et. al. For further discussion of Soviet utheistic propaganda and uchgious activities, see Tinur Kocaoglu, 'Islam in the Soviet Union Atheistic Propaganda and 'Unofficial' Religious Activities', Journal, Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs v, no. 1 (1984) 145-152, and by the same author, 'Recent Reports on Activities of Living Muslim 'Samts' in USSR', Radio Liberty Research, no. 346/83 (15 September 1983) 1. S. and Marie Broxup, 'Islam in Central Asia Since Gorbachev', Asian Affairs (1987) 283-293. 25. H.B. Paksoy, 'The Deceivers', Central Asian Survey ni. (1984) 123-131.

rests of "deceivers" such as the one in the September 26th newspaper, reveal much about the existence of an Islamic samizdat or upderground press, the newspaper report is fairly typical of such accounts in that it attacks religious practice, describes the apprehension of the culprit, and reinforces the illegality of such activities. The article was entitled, "The Deceivers: Comments after the Court Hearings", and was signed "Adabiyatcu", or "one involved in literature".26 Included in the description is an account of a Mr. A. Saidorihocaev's arrest for selling underground literature, namely a book entitled, "About the Muslim Religion". The article also reveals a network involved in the printing and distribution of other underground literature and the distribution of cassette tapes of namāz prayers and the Koran; a "false mullah", named Saidherim Azamov, who was teaching religious courses in a mahalla (neighborhood) of Tashkent; and the illegal activity of a "false healer" named Devran Buronov.<sup>27</sup> Nowhere does the article specifically state that these individuals were Sufis, although Paksov concludes that "Ouite possibly the culprits are part of a Sufi group, which would assure clandestinity and enhance the project's outreach".28 Antircligious propaganda such as this served the obvious purposes of restating official Soviet policy and reinforcing the illegality of its practice.

Soviet scholarship on the subject of Sufism, however, does not only reflect Soviet religious policy and provide support for atheistic propagandists. The work of historians, ethnographers, and sociologists provide the careful reader with a tremendous amount of detail concerning local Islam, however efficacious the constraints of ideology are for the writer.<sup>29</sup> As one Soviet scholar states, "Since the 1930's...we have practiced Islamic studies in tagiyya [concealment]. In writing about Islam, we had to attack it. In a general book on the Myths of World Peoples, I had to fight to capitalize Allah".<sup>30</sup>

Soviet studies of Sufism are not always consistent in their appraisals. Almost every study of Sufism published between the nine-

teen-sixties and the early ninteen eighties includes a chapter that describes ways of overcoming the "survival" of Sufism While most studies conclude that Sufism is a vestige (perezhitki) of primitive, folk religion, scholars such as Sukhareva assert that Sufism, unlike "orthodox Islam", is open minded and antifeudal in its popular base. Demidov, in his classic study, Sufism v Turkmenistane, provides ethnographic data on the evolution of Sufism in Turkmenistan, and describes "ishanism" as a particular form of Central Asian Sufism that culminates in the degenerate growth of personal authority, prestige, and privilege. 31 Sukhareva, in Islam v Uzbekistan, written in 1960, characterizes Sufism as a mystical tendency of Islam that is particularly syncretistic and flexible. 32 She describes three levels (stupen) through which the Sufi must advance to attain his ultimate goal:

'At the first (shari'a) level the murid observes the institutions of "official" Islam, which at the second level (tariga) be must lose. At the next level a person comprehends the essence of all things. At the very highest level (haqiqa) he reaches the knowledge of the divine being and total bliss by the means of his cestasy (hall)'.33

Participation in the first level of the religious life, according to Sukhareva, is the "norm of official religion" — of "orthodox" Islam — which opens the possibility for Sufism and Islam to merge, thus turning Sufism into a form of state religion identified with the land of the Muslims. The second level, according to Sukhareva, is the most admirable, since it is here that the Sufi is freed "from the dogma of 'official' religion". In this way Sufism serves as a form of protest against "official" religion through a denial of its rites.<sup>34</sup>

According to Sukhareva, Sufism loses its spirit of protest against the "orthodoxy" of Islam during the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, when it goes through a reactionary phase. It is during this period that Sufism takes on "the most rough, most primitive form of intellectual obscurantism". 35 And thus, her conclusion that "Sufis practice the most backward, barbaric rites, and support the most vicious religious oppression. Sufism poisons the minds of our citizens with the opium of religion". 36 Sufism, in this final Marxist analysis, thus marks an important stage during which Islam penetrates popular life, but ultimately, spiritually enslaves the masses

<sup>26</sup> Ibid 123

<sup>27</sup> Ibid 128-129

<sup>28</sup> Ibid. 125

<sup>29</sup> Sec, for example, the work of S.M. Demidov, Sigizm v Turbinenistane — Evolutisia i perezhith (Ashkhabad 1978), L.I. Klimovich, Islam (Moscow 1965), and 'Kul't sviatykh v Islame', Nouka i religiua (Moscow 1958) 48-52; T.S. Saufbaev, Islam i obshchestvo (Moscow 1978), and O. A. Sukharevo, Islam v Uzbekistan (Tashkent 1960).

<sup>30</sup> Dale Eickelman and Kamzan Pasha, 'Muslim Societies and Politics, Soviet and U.S. Approaches — A Conference Report', Middle East Journal x1, no. 4 (1991) 634

<sup>31</sup> Demidov, Sufizin v Turkmenistane 105

<sup>32</sup> Sukhareva, Islam v Uzbekistane 44

<sup>33</sup> Ibid. 45.

<sup>34</sup> Ibid. 45. 35 Ibid. 58.

<sup>36</sup> Sukhareva, Islam v Uzbekistane 58.

and poisons the minds of Soviet citizens.

The folk embodiment of Sufism, through various cults and forms of ancestor worship, is another focus of interest for Soviet scholars studying Islam and Sufism. The work of V.N. Basilov and S.M. Demidov on the Turkmen, for example, provide studies of the saint cults, although they also approach the subject matter from the perspective of cultural survivals and reflections of the primitive nature of Turkmen society.37 According to Bennigsen and Wimbush, among all the Soviet Central Asian republics, the most active Sufi tariques and the greatest number of working holy places are found in Soviet Turkmenistan. They attribute the high level of religiosity in Turkmenistan to the numerous "parallel" Islamic institutions, which include pre-Islamic sanctuaries, tombs of former rulers, ancestors, some of whom are believed to be Sufi shaykhs; mythical beings; and martyrs of the "Holy War" against the Russians. 38 Among the important mazārs is the tomb of the Khwajagani shaykh, Khwaja Yūsuf-i Hamadānī. This tomb was protected by the Muslim Spiritual Board since it is located very close to a working mosque, and was considered an historical monument. Turkmen legend purports that the ancestors of the ovliad (the "sacred tribes", such as the Khoja, Shikh, and Ata) were descended from the first four caliphs of Islam. The Ata tribe also claims descent from Yasawi saints, including Ahmad Yasawi himself.39 The ovliad also provide the important role of guarding the tombs of saints According to Demidov, some Turk-

37 See B. N. Basilov, Kul't svlavkh v Islame (Moscow 1970), Demidov, Safizm v Turkmenistane, and id., Turkmenistic Ovlindv (Ashkhabad 1976). For a study unfettered by the ideological contraints of Soviet analysis, but devoted mainly to a listing of mazārs, see Joseph Castagé, 'Le cult des heux saints de l'Islam an Turkestan', L'Ethnographie xivi (1951) 46-124.

men practice the vocal dhikr of the Yasawiyya.<sup>40</sup> Indeed, the vocal dhikr came to be adopted as a part of Turkmen healing rituals in communities in which saints of the Yasavī tradition were quite prominent, indicating the confluence of shamanic performance and Sufi practice. Sufism, in the case of the Turkmen, therefore, is integrally tied to communal and mythical aspects of popular religious life in Turkmen society. In assessing the religiosity of the Turkmen, it is therefore necessary to consider the relationship between the ovliad, or "sacred tribes" and the cult of ancestors, both of which are fied to Turkmen tribal social organization.

Evidence of the observance of religious rites and customs that link murīds, elders, and clans is also interpreted as a symbol of nationalism that is inherently anti-Russian. Given Soviet nationality policies in Central Asia, which drew boundaries along ethnic lines, the ambiguity between religious, national, and ethnic identity is natural. Holy places and identification with particular Sufi shaykhs can demonstrate a variety of bonds, including ethnic and national ones.41

Identifying oneself as a Muslim in Soviet Central Asian society necessarily encompassed the ethnic, cultural, and national identity of being a Tajik, Uzbek, or Turkmen, for example, and that often included the observance of a number of cultural traditions commonly associated with Islam and often interpreted by Soviet ethnographers as cultural remnants. If one were a member of the educated elite, however, one's ideology and world view would most likely be more a product of Soviet education than of the doctrines of Islam. These were the conditions for acceptance, if not success, for Central Asians living within the Soviet system, although the contrast between the rural populations, particularly in terms of their access to "unofficial" Islam and to their identification with it, as opposed to urban educated Muslims, is critical. Herein lies the argument for the linkage of Sufism with rural and tribal society, where the success of Sovietization is assumed to have been less complete or successful.<sup>42</sup>

Beningsen and Wimbush, Mystics 138-43. Included among the holy places in Soviet Turkmentstan are the tomb of Kurban Murat, a Naqshbandi shaykh involved in the resistance to the Russians at the Battle of Gok Tepe in 1881, the tomb of Chopan Ata, a Sufi saint of the Yasawiyyya order and an ancestor of the Shikh tribe, and the mazār of the founder of the Kubrawiyya, Najm al-Din Kubrā (d. 1220) located in Konya Urgench. See also Maric Eva Subtelny, "The Cult of Holy Places: Religious Practices Among Soviet Muslims", Middle East Journal xhit (1989) 593-604.

<sup>39</sup> See Demidov, Turkmenskie ovliedy, id., Sufizm v Turkmen 48 56; V.N. Basilov, 'O proiskhozhdenu Turkmen-Ata (Prostonarodaye formy sredneaziatskogo Sufizma)', in G.P. Snesarev and V. N. Basilov (ed.), Domisul'manskie verovania i obriedy v Srednei Azii 160-168 and V. N. Basilov, 'Honour Groops in Traditional Turkmeman Society', in Akbar S. Ahmed and David M. Hart (ed.), Islam in Tribal Societies. From the Atlas to the Indus (London 1984) 220-243. A full, criticial discussion of the legends and sacred lustory of the Yasawiyya is found in DeWeese, The Yasawi Tradition (forthcoming).

<sup>40</sup> Demidov, Turkmenski Ovlady 84-89; 156.

<sup>41</sup> See Anthony Smith, 'National Identity and Myths of Etimic Descent', Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change vii (1984) 95-130, Exnest Geliner, Saints of the Atlas (London 1969); Dra Gladney, Muslim Chinese: Ethnic Nationalism in the People's Republic (Council on East Asian Studies, Harvard University, 1991) Recent conflicts in Uzbekistan, for example, have centered around the location of mazārs such as Shah-i Mardan in the Ferghana valley, a sacred symbol of Naqshbandi resistance against the Soviets in the inneteen-twenties and nineteen-thirties.

<sup>42</sup> Nazif Shahrani is of the opinion that the Sovietization of Central Asia was more

Whereas the Soviet historiography of Sufism reflects the ideological paradigm that represents a narrow-based, modern, "Soviet Islam", and rejects, by inference, Sufism as antithetical to the essence of the Soviet state, Western scholarship, necessarily based upon these sources, optimistically viewed the politically unacceptable "unofficial" Islam as the strongest potential for anti-Soviet resistance. Both interpretations are, in effect, political constructs based on an interpretation of the past, and a hope for the future.

The work of Alexandre Bennigsen has had the greatest impact on the Western understanding of Sufism in the Soviet period, and his interpretation of the Soviet concepts of "parallel" and "official" Islam continues to influence the perceptions of Sufism in Soviet Central Asia.<sup>43</sup> Through extensive use of Soviet sources, Bennigsen and Wimbush draw a picture of "unofficial" Islam as essentially based upon the Sufi brotherhoods, and as "perfectly structured, hierarchical organizations, with an iron discipline able to challenge the Communist Party".<sup>44</sup> In this analysis, Sufism is a "conservative form of Islam", whose goals "are those of the traditional jihād, or "Holy War", which fights against sin, the infidel rulers, and the "bad Muslims" <sup>45</sup>

Bennigsen and Wimbush's characterization of Sufi tariques as secretive, conservative, hierarchical, and closed organizations capable of challenging the Communist Party, however, is based primarily on the Northern and Northeastern Caucasus model of Sufism and, to

successful than most western scholars like to admit. See his "Central Asia and the Challenge of the Soviet Legacy", Central Asian Survey xii, no. 2, 123-135. However, it is difficult to deny the resurgence of Islam in the wake of the Soviet-Afghan war in some regions of Central Asia, particularly in Tajikistan. Moreover, Islamic semiment does appear to have increased among educated urbanites as well. See Broxup, 'Islam in Central Asia Since Gorbachev' 289-90.

some extent, Turkmenistan and the Ferghana valley, regions in which the Russian conquest was most fiercely resisted and where Sufism continued to be an important symbol of resistance. Indeed, the role of Naqshbandī murīds in resistance movements provides a compelling paradigm of Sufi political activism, although again, this activism was confined largely to the Northern and Northeastern Caucasus region. This association, nevertheless, has provided some of the logic for both the Soviet and the Western association of Sufis with oppositional roles. Such examples include the eighteenth-century Naqshbandī shaykh, Imam Manşūr, shaykh Shāmil of the nineteenth century, the Naqshbandī involvement in the Basmachi revolts of the early twentieth century, the Daghestani revolt of 1920-21, and even the role of Sufism in the organization of Afghan resistance in the Soviet-Afghan war.

A more recent product of Soviet research, published in the wake of perestroika and therefore reflecting a new openness in Soviet scholarship, is a work entitled Everyday Islam, by the Russian ethnographer, Sergei Poliakov.<sup>47</sup> The title, Everyday Islam, is itself a commentary about just how commonplace "unofficial" Islam was. Although the work is materialist in theory, and the author uses the term "traditionalism" as a force holding back Central Asian society, Poliakov's discussions of the mahalla (neighborhood organizations) as the central locus of power in local Central Asian society, and the imāms of the "unofficial" neighborhood mosques as "the main foundation of Central Asian Islam", offers an approach that recognizes localized religious practice, familial roles in religious education, the sacred symbolic significance of holy places, and the prestige and authority of the "unofficial" clerics.<sup>48</sup> According to Poliakov, "Official mosques only represent "government Islam". "Everyday Is-

<sup>43</sup> The late Alexandre Beningsen published extensively on the history of Central Asia. His works on Sufism and Islam in Soviet Central Asia include the following. Alexandre Beningsen. 'Les tanqat en Asie Centrale', in A. Popovic & G. Veinstein (eds.), Les ordres mystiques dans l'Islam (Paris 1986) 27-36; 'Soviet Muslims in the Muslim World', in S.E. Wimbush (ed.), Soviet Nationalities in Strategic Perspective (London 1985); (together with S. Enders Wimbush), Muslims of the Soviet Empire, A Guide (London 1985); (together with S.E. Wimbush), Mystics and Commissars: Sufism in the Soviet Umon (London 1985); 'Mullahs, Mudjahdin, and Soviet Muslims', in Problems of Commission (1984); 'Muslim Guerilla Warfare in the Caucasus (1918-1928)', Central Asian Survey ii (1983) 45-106, (together with Chantal Lemercier-Quelquejay), "Official" Islam in the Soviet Umon', Religion in Communist Lands vii (1979) 148-159, (together with Chantal Lemercier-Quelquejay), 'Muslim Religious Conservativism and Dissent in the USSR', Religion in Communist Lands vi (1978) 153-161

<sup>44</sup> Bennigsen and Wimbush, Mystics 155.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid 158

<sup>46</sup> For an interesting alternative approach to the infamous leader of the tribes of the North Cancasus in their struggle against Russian colonization, Shāmil, see Thomas M. Barrett 'The Remaking of the Lion of Dagestan: Shāmil in Capavity', The Russian Review in (1994, 353-366 In this study, Barrett examines the Russian representation of Shāmil as "the merging of literary imperialism and state imperialism" in which Shāmil emerges as "both a hero of the Russian imagination and a striking example of the fruits of tsarist expansion." In fact, a "Caucasus theme" held much cultural appeal following the capture of Shāmil, which resulted in a proliferation of publications on Shāmil, Sufism, and the Russian conquest in the late naneteenth century.

<sup>47</sup> Serges P. Poliakov, Everwday Islam: Religion and Tradition in Rural Central Asia, ed. with an introduction by Martha Bull Olcott and trans. Anthony Olcott (Armonk, N.Y. 1992).

<sup>48</sup> Ibid. 107

lam" has vastly more religious institutions at its disposal",49

According to Poliakov, "A mazar or holy place, exists in practically every kishlak...Most commonly this is the graveyard, which inevitably has one grave having special respect in which the local "saint" is buried" 50 "All graves of the clergy, whether official or unoffocial, are held to be sacred. Also holy are the graves of administrators of the emirates and khanates and those of the leaders of the Basmachi. 51 He states further:

'New mazars are constantly being formed, but the sanctity of a mazar, indike a mosque, is not transitory. Mazars are distinguished not only by their beneficial properties, their "powers," but also by their specificity. Some "help avert" infertility, others "cure" jaundice, or ear diseases, or rheumatism, and so forth. But the primary distinction among mazars is their "power," which is determined by the actiquity of the mazar and the degree of its sanctity". 52

Studies in many Islamic societies illustrate the importance of local knowledge of Islam, and the persistence in the practice of Islamic rites and rituals despite isolation from religious centers, illiteracy, or the imposition of political restrictions.53 Soviet Central Asia is a case in point, although, for the majority of Muslims professing Islam in Soviet Central Asia, knowledge of Arabic was scant, and there was little or no access to Islamic literature or doctrinal texts. The focus of religious activity on the local level, therefore, appears to have been centered around holy places, whether they be sacred trees, or the tombs of Sufi shaykhs or a clan ancestor. These are places to which Muslims attach special spiritual meaning. The guardians of such mazārs, sometimes a murīd, or a shaykh, are reported to preach in public, and to provide for the spiritual needs of the population. whether it be through folk medicine, prayer, talismans, or religious education. A Sufi named Azizkhan Tura Abdalnabi, for example, who lived in South West Tajikistan between 1948-1961 not only built a mosque close to his home and led prayers there, but he built a khāngāh, where he performed the dhikr, met with his murids, treated the ill, and accepted donations for his services. 54

Although at this point in our knowledge of Sufisin during the Soviet period, it is not possible to accurately assess the persistence of Sufi ties, either dynastic, tribal, or genealogical, there is strong evidence that the families of important religious figures, former members of the ulama, clan elders, and the descendants of the *pirs* of Sufi orders in Central Asia, particularly the Naqshbandiyya, held special status in Soviet Central Asian society and enjoyed a particular prestige.<sup>55</sup>

# According to Poliakov:

'with very few exceptions all of the mullahs and sheaks come from traditional clerical families. It is on this same principle that students are chosen for the underground religious schools....The vitality of these groups should be noted, particularly of the privileges that Muslims acknowledge are due them. Descendants of the heads of the dervish orders also enjoy great respect, particularly those of the Nagshbaudiyya order....'56 He even goes so far as to assert, 'It may be said with certainty that by now entire dynasties of clergy have been founded in Central Asia, primarily in the unofficial sector' 57

In the aftermath of the dismemberment of the Soviet Union and the subsequent establishment of the five independent Central Asian states, one of the many transformations taking place in Central Asia today is the visible revitalization of Sufi shrines and  $kh\bar{a}nq\bar{a}hs$  throughout the region. The shrine complex of the eponymous founder of the Naqshbandi order, Bahā' ad-Dīn Naqshband, for

<sup>49</sup> Ibtd. 95-96

<sup>50</sup> Ibid. 99

<sup>51</sup> Poliakov, Everyday Islam 100.

<sup>52</sup> Ibid. 100-101

<sup>53</sup> Dale Eickelman, 'The Study of Islam in Local Contexts', in Richard Martin (ed.). Islam in Local Contexts (Leiden 1989) 1-16; Gladney, Muslim Chinese, Nazif Shahrani, 'Local Knowledge of Islam and Social Discourse in Afghanistan and Turkestan in the Modern Period', in Robert Canfield (ed.). Turco-Persia in Historical Perspective (Cambridge, Mass. 1991); Reinhold Loeffler, Islam in Practice Religious Beliefs in a Persian Village (Albany 1988).

<sup>54</sup> Cited by Muriel Atkin, The Subilest Bantle 24.

<sup>53</sup> Inhan Babakhan ibn Abdul Mopd Khan, mufti of the Tashkent Directorate from 1943-1957. Ziauddin Babakhanov, mufti from 1957-1982 and Shamsuddin Babakhanov, mufti from 1982 until 1989, were all members of the Naqshbandiyya, as is the current mufti, Mokhtarkhan Abdullaev, who was the imain of the Masjid-i Baha ad-Dia Naqshband outside of Bukhara prior to his present position. The recent work of Baxtiyor Babadzanov damonstrates the continuation of leadership and families ties among Sufi communities in Uzbekistan. I am grateful to him for making avaible to me his unpublished paper, 'Vozrozhdenie deyatel'nosti sufuskikh gupp v Uzbekistane' See also Hamid Algar, 'Shaykh Zaynullah Rasulev', in Jo-Ann Gross (ed.), Muslims in Central Axia: Expressions of Identity and Change (Duke University Press 1992) 112-133.

<sup>56</sup> Poliakov, Everyday Islam 107

<sup>57</sup> Ibid. 109. Such dynastic lineages naturally existed prior to the Soviet period, it remains to be shown how or in what forms such lineages persisted in Soviet Central Asia. For an excellent analysis of the cultural environment of society and community with respect to shrines and dynastic families in Central Asia, see Robert D. McChesney, Central Asia Foundations of Change (Princeton 1996). I am grateful to Robert McChesney for making his study available to me

<sup>58</sup> See Thierry Zarcone, 'Le Soufisme en renouveau', Cahiers de l'Orient xxx (1993) 131 139.

example, has been refurbished and expanded to include a museum which details the history of the Naqshbandi order, the renovation of the shrine complex, and provides basic information on Islam and Sufism. <sup>59</sup> Pilgrimage to Sufi shrines has increased significantly, and many of the *mazārs* and mosques associated with Sufi shaykhs are now functioning as local mosques. Along with the restoration, reopening, and rebuilding of Sufi shrines has come the publication of popular literature on Sufi themes, including poetry and tracts on specific *tarigas* and shrines. <sup>60</sup>

Such recent developments reflect not only a renewed interest in Sufism, but the reformation of a political culture in Central Asia that evokes new meaning for Islam, and for Sufism. The present period of flux and transition in the independent Central Asian states is a volatile one, Political maneuvering will undoubtedly play a role in the future of the new Central Asian states, as old political elites try to maintain power, and new ones vie to replace them. One aspect of the process of change regarding the revival of Islam in Central Asia is the current reformulation of the "official/unofficial" dichotomy. Beginning in the perestroika era of the Gorbachev period, liberalization policies introduced the still on-going process of the reinstitutionalization of Islam through the building and rebuilding of mosques and a more open display of religious profession. 61 Although still suspect, "unofficial" Islam began a process whereby it became an anachronism as it was understood during the Soviet period. Some "unofficial" religious jeaders have begun to gain legitimacy, although it is not yet clear how the relationship between SADUM and the formerly underground religious leadership will be. Since the late nineteeneighties, however, mosque building as well as attendance has become acceptable. Studying the Koran and reading Islamic literature is no longer illegal. And in the wake of the political processes that have occurred over the past three years, it has been politically exnedient to sponsor such openness.

One of the most telling examples of the changed understanding of the dualistic concept of "official/unofficial" Islam is that of the reoreanization and decentralization of the Spiritual administration.62 Uzbekistan, Tajkistan, and Kazakhstan have created branches of their own, indicating the increasing political and national significance accorded these organizations and their leadership 63 The boundary between "unofficial" and "official" Islam has lost its earlier meaning, although it may be argued that the legacy of "official" Islam has been perpetuated to some extent through the current government approved muftiate and the new and still evolving network of religous institutions and positions of religious authority. However, now it is the degree of politicization, and the relative moderation or extremism of individuals and groups along the political spectrum (including Islamic parties) that forms the basis of boundaries in terms of what is acceptable or unacceptable within the sphere of religious activity. The degree to which Sufi leaders will be a part of the competition within the new emerging religious class is impossible to determine. However, there is no doubt that the tradition of Sufi-inspired religiosity will remain an important aspect of religious identity in Central Asian society.

In conclusion, the issue in appraising oppositional attitudes to Sufism in Soviet Central Asia is not whether "official" and "unof-

<sup>59</sup> In 1993 a national commemoration was held to mark the 675 anniversary of the birth of Bahā' ad-Din Naqshband. During the same year, the rehabilitation of the Yasawi shaykh, Ahmad Yasawi began in earnest. A foundation was formed, with the financial support of Turkey, to renovate the mausoleum of Ahmad Yasawi, and a joint Turko-Kazakh university in Kazakhstan was founded which carries Yasawi's name. Zaccone, 'Le Soufisme' 138.

<sup>60</sup> During a research trip to Uzbekistan in July, 1994, I was able to purchase a variety of pt blications on Sufi shrines, sheikhs, and writings in the bazaar, and in book stores, most of which are published in Uzbek. Among them, were Bourkhon Valikhodjaev, Khoja Ahrori Vali (Samarkand 1993). Bakhauddin Nakshband — wohi etti pir (Tashkent 1993). Khoja Abdulkholik Gijduvonii, Vasiyamoma (Tashkent 1993).

<sup>61</sup> See Mane Broxup, 'Islam in Central Asia Since Gorbachev', Asian Affairs xviii, pt. iii (October 1987) for her analysis of Gorbachev's policies.

<sup>62</sup> Abdujabar Abduvakhitov, 'Islamic Revivalism in Uzbekistan', in Dale Eickelman (ed.) Russia's Muslim Frontiers: New Directions in Cross-Cultural Analysis (Bloomington 1993) 79-100; and Abduvakhitov, 'Independent Uzbekistan A Muslim Community in Development' (unpublished ms., 1993).

<sup>63</sup> Perhaps the most significant change came in 1989, when in Tashkent due to a public demonstration and increasing pressure, Shamsuddin Babakhanov was forced to step down from his position as mufti. See Annette Bohr, 'Background to Demonstrations of Soviet Muslims in Tashkent', Radio Liberty Research Report (March 17, 1989) for details of this situation. At a kuriliay, the rector of the Tashkent Islamic Institute, Muhammad Sadio Muhammad Yusuf, was elected to replace him. After one and a half years, however, Muhammad Yusuf was forced to resign after aflegations (that were never substantiated) were made that he embezzled donations made by foreign countries for the renovation of mosques and the development of Islamic educational institutions. It is more likely that his independent views riled the Uzbek authorities. According to Mehrdad Haghayeghi, although progovernment religious leaders in Tashkent elected the former mufti. Shamsuddin Babakhan to replace Muhammad Sadio, Sadio remained in power until April, 1993, when he was finally forced out and replaced with the present mufti, Mukhtarkhan Abdullaev. Shamsuddin Babakhan is presently serving as the Uzbek ambassador to Egypt. Mehrdad Haghayeghi, Islam and Politics in Central Asia (New York 1995) 163-64

ficial" Islam existed; Islam, undeniably, existed outside the official state-sponsored institutional framework. The issue, rather, is the relationship between the political construction of the concept "unofficial" Islam, and the social reality of Islam as practiced among the diverse Muslim communities in Soviet Central Asia. Our understanding of Sufism in the Soviet period, moreover, is a subject in need of reevaluation. The discourse examined in this brief study concerning the opposition to Sufism in the Soviet period is a reflection of three things: the legacy of Russian imperialist theories of cultural superiority and attempts to assimilate the Muslim peoples into the Russian, and then, Soviet empires; Soviet antireligious policy; and the ideology of Soviet Islam. The binary construct of "official"/"unofficial" Islam may have been an attempt to weaken Islam and control its efficacy, but it was perpetuated out of a combination of frustration and fear at the persistence of popular religiosity and molded by the historical experience of Sufi activism and resistance to conquest in Central Asia, Islamic political activism as expressed through the Islamic Revolution in Iran, and militant Afghan resistance in the Soviet-Afghan war. Ironically, in both Soviet and Western discourse, Sufism became a symbol of resistance to the Soviet state.

# LE SUFISME ET "SES OPPOSANTS" AU TURKESTAN ORIENTAL

### MASAMI HAMADA

Jean Bottéro, éminent spécialiste des religions de l'ancienne Mésopotamie, donne la définition: la religion est fondé sur le pressentiment qu'il existe, au dessus de nous, et de tout, un ordre de choses supérieur, que l'on appelle "le Sacré", "le Surnature]", ou "le Divin". I Nous l'adoptons et y ajoutons une autre définition pour le mysticisme: le mysticisme est fondé sur le second pressentiment que l'on peut prendre contact ou bien s'unir, soit d'emblée, soit graduellement, avec cet ordre de choses supérieur. Ceux qui sont étrangers à un de ces deux pressentiments peuvent être, du moins théonquement, les opposants du mysticisme sous toutes ses formes, y compris, il va de soi, le sufisme. Plus précisément les opposants potentiels d'une tariga donnée se composeraient donc (1) de ceux qui n'ont pas le premier pressentiment, c-à-d., des athées ou des agnostiques, (2) de ceux dont la conception du Sacré diffère de celle des musulmans, c-à-d., des "païens", (3) des musulmans qui n'ont pas le second pressentiment, c-à-d., des ulama "orthodoxes", reste à préciser les connotations de ces deux mots, ulama et orthodoxie, des wahhābites, et (4) des mystiques musulmans qui suivent une autre tariga. Pour aborder la question chronologiquement, je vais commencer par la deuxième catégorie.

Quand il s'agit du Turkestan oriental qui se trouve sous la domination des "païens" depuis 1680, exception faite notamment pour les années soixantes et soixante-dix du dix-neuvième siècle, ses premiers conquérants n'étaient hostiles ni à l'Islam en général ni au sufisme en particulier. Loin de là "L'Etat sacré islamique" des khwājas kashghariens, ainsi nommé par M. Hartmann, a été créé sous la suzeraineté des bouddhistes Junghars. Certes, ceux-ci chargèrent de lourds tributs les habitants turcophones des oasis et en firent émigrer de force une partie en la vallée d'Ili aussi bien qu'à

Jean Bottéro, "L'exorcisme et le culte "puvé", in Eiko Malsushima (ed.), Official Cult and Popular Religion in the Ancient Near East (Heidelberg 1993) 31

l'est des Altais,<sup>2</sup> mais il n'en reste pas moins que les Junghars n'imposèrent pas leur religion aux sujets musulmans. A l'exception des habitants de Turfan qui se sont enfuis au dedans de la Grande Muraille pour chercher la protection des Qing, nous ne connaissons aucune résistance active chez les musulmans turcophones, du moins jusqu'au commencement des luttes intestines des Junghars causées par la mort de Galdan Tsering en 1745.<sup>3</sup>

En 1758, l'empereur Qianlong a conquis le bassin du Tarim. En tant que "Fils du Ciel", il se fit le champion de la civilisation du "Milieu" malgré son origine "barbare" et était convaince de l'universalité de la Voie de Ciel (*Tiandao*). Dans le préface impérial du Xiyu tongwenzhi (Compendium des mots synonymes de la Région de l'ouest), il dit:

"C.el" se dit en chinois tum Il se dit en notre langue nationale (c-à-d., mandchou) abkn. Il se dit en mongol et en junghar tenggeri. Il se dit en tibétain nam mkhn. Il se dit en langue musulmane dundn. Quand un musulman montre la ciel à un Chinois en disant que c'est dismân, le Chinois ne se met jumais d'accord avec lus. Quand un Chinois montre le ciel à un musulman en disant que c'est tian, le musulman, non mons que le Chinois, ne se met jamais d'accord. Bien que tous les deux ment raison d'être en désaccord, comment peut-on se comprendre et se mettre d'accord? Mais quand on lève les yeux vers ce qui resplendit au dessus de nous, s'il s'agit d'un Chinois, il l'honore en tant que tian, et s'il s'agit d'un musulman, il l'honore en tant que dismân. Que voilà l'universanté des choses (datong). Si les nous des choses (de diverses langues) s'unifient une fois, toutes les choses deviennent mêmes et identiques. Les sages comprennent que tous remontent à l'origine masque, tandis que les imbéciles s'égarent dans les particularités des noms."

Comme son père l'empereur Yongzheng qui a fait éditer et circuler dans tout l'empire un opuscule, Dayi juemi lü (Traité sur la cause qui réveille les errants), sur l'universarité du Ciel pour réfuter la théorie "raciste" des Chinois selon laquelle les barbares ne peuvent pas recevoir l'ordre du Ciel (tianning), Qianlong devait affirmer que l'adoration du Ciel serait universelle, y compris, bien sûr, aux

musulmans. Ce n'est pas la peine ici de reprendre cette ancienne discussion entre les jésuites et les dominicains. Parce que les confucianistes chinois ou mandchous avaient non seulement leur propre conception sur le Sacré, c-à-d., le Ciel, mais aussi leur propre conduite qu'ils se sentaient obligés d'adopter à son égard, c-à-d., le culte, le confucianisme n'est ni plus ni moins qu'une religion d'après la définition de Bottéro. Mais cette "religion", pour les confucianistes du moins, serait supéneure aux autres et les incluerant toutes. Gong Zizhen, poète et penseur vivant à l'époque de la guerre de l'opium, par exemple, bien qu'il ait eu de la beinveillance exceptionelle pour les musulmans turcophones et qu'il ait reconnu qu'ils avaient leur propre "livre",5 a proposé d'établir un gouvernement provincial au Xinjiang, d'y construire les temples des divinités du Vent et de la Fontaine pour prier le succès de l'agriculture et d'ouvrir un concours public trente ans après "parce que les émigrés (Chinois), les homines des Banmères (Mandchous) et les musulmans ne connaissent pas encore bien les "livres" (confucianistes, bien entendu)" 6 Parce que Gong Zizhen, convaincu de la supériorité de la civilisation du Milieu, n'a jamais imaginé que sa vision du monde ait été incompatible avec celle des musulmans, il leur a reconnu un droit égal d'apprendre "les livres" et de passer les concours publics. Nous pouvons conclure que, jusqu'au milieu du dix-neuvième siècle. le gouvernement des Qing n'était pas hostile aux musulmans, comme Mulla Mūsa Sayramī, historien autochtone dit que

'De l'antiquité jusqu'au présent, le réglement impérial de la Chine n'intervenait jamais dans les religions des sujets et ne feur recommandant m la religion impériale ni une quelconque autre. Si on gardait sa propre religion, les empereurs s'en contentaient'. 7

Mais nous ne pouvons pas négliger un cas exceptionel très important de l'ordre Jahriyya qui a subi les oppression sanglante dès son apparition dans le Plateau de Lœss.8

Contrairement à la conviction de l'empereur Qianlong, les musulmans étaient totalement étrangers au culte du Ciei tel qu'il existe matériellement au dessus d'eux et considéraient l'empereur

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Le petit groupe éthauque "Khoton" qui habitent actuellement dans l'awaitg d'Uvs au nord-ouest de la Mongolie et qui se considérent missilmans malgré leur croyance et un culte fortement influencés por les Mongols provienent, du moins une partie d'eux, de cette émigration forcée Récemment, Vladimir Drimba, 'Sur la classification du khoton', Turcico xxiv (1992) 11-25, a étudié de nouveau leur langue pour conclure qu'elle était un dialecte kirghiz méridionale. Une bibliographie sur les Khotons se trouve dans l'article de Drimba.

<sup>3</sup> A propos des luttes intestines des Junghars, voir leur lustorique écrit par l'empereur Quantong dans P Pelliot, Notes critiques d'histoire kalmonke, Texte, Œuvres posthumes de Paul Pentot (Paris 1960) vi. 11-12

<sup>4</sup> Quiding vivu tongwenthi, édition facsimilée publiée par le Toyo Bunko (Tokyo 1961) ad 19

<sup>5</sup> Gong Zizhen quanji (Shanghai 1975) 312.

<sup>6</sup> This 105 111

<sup>7</sup> Mullä Müsä Sayrämi, Ta'rikh-i amuyya, ms Bibhothèque Nationaie, Collection Pelliot "B" 1740 (dorénavant TAms), f 31v

Quant à l'histoire de cet ordre de l'intérieur, voir Xinlingshi (L. histoire d'âme) de Chang Chengzhi (Guangzhou 1991) et notamment sa version japonais, Junkyō no Chügoku Isuramu (L'Isjam chinos martyr) (Tokyo 1993).

comme kafir. Mais en même temps, la classe dominante de la société musulmane qui a obtenu sa place grâce à la force militaire des mécréants se trouvait dans la nécessité de rendre légitime la soumission à l'égard de l'empereur paien. Les personnages influents croyaient, ou bien faisaient semblant de croire que, malgré la tyrannie des autorités locales des Qing, les empereurs eux-même, héréditairement, étaient justes et impartiaux comme Anūshirvān. Parce qu'ils étaient "mangeurs du sel" (namak-khurda) des empereurs, ils devaient s'assujettir au "devoir du sel" (tuz/namak haqqi) dont l'observance était, d'après eux, "l'obligation universellement reconnue dans toutes les religions". Le terme tuz etmek haqqi se trouve pour la première fois, autant que je sache, dans le Qutadgu Bilig, mais il est fort probable que l'idée que l'on doit obéir à son bienfaiteur remonte aux temps plus reculés.9

Au cour de la révolte qui a commencé en 1864, les musulmans ont détruit des but-khānas, temples païens. Auparavant, bien loin de montrer de la répugnance pour les rites païens, la classe dominante les interprétait à sa guise pour affirmer sa position dominante. Sur ce sujet, Mullā Mūsā Sayrāmī raconte une histoire très intéressante. Voici son résumé:

'Parce que la conquête du Turkestan oriental avant été réalisée grâce aux six hommes influents qui avaient cherché la protection de l'empereur et gnidé ses armées dans la région, if a fait construire un grand temple à Ushaq-tal et y mettre les images de ces six musulmans. Sur l'ordre de l'empereur, tous les officiers et les marchands (mowingichi) venants de la Métropole rendatent visite au temple et adoratent les images en brûlant des papiers conformément à leur manière. Les musulmans appelaient ce temple Ulugh öy (la grande maison) et les descendants de ces six hommes se glorifiaient leur extraction en disant que "nous sommes descendants des hommes de la grande maison (Ulugh öy ödamlart).' 10

Une telle histoire est plus folklorique que réelle et l'existence du temple d'Ushaq-tal même est très douteuse, parce que les sources chinoises qui donnent les listes exhaustives des temples de la région gardent le silence sur celui-ci. Mais nous pouvons en attester un indice dans l'histoire réelle. L'empereur Qianlong a fait peindre les portraits de cinquantes personnes, y compris de quatre musulmans du Turkestan oriental, qui avaient fait des actions méritoires pour la conquête et sur lesquels il a écrit de sa propre main les louanges. Il

les a faits suspendre dans le Pavillon de la lumière violette construit en 1760 dans le jardin impérial qu'est l'actuel Zongnanhai pour donner des banquets en l'honneur des princes mongols et musulmans aussi bien que des généraux triomphateurs. Il L'imagination des musulmans a combiné ce fait et les rites pratiqués aux temples paiens pour inventer une scène impossible mais significative selon laquelle les fonctionnaires des Qing adoreraient les images des musulmans. La conscience du jihād s'éveillera un peu plus tard

Quand il s'agrt de la troisième catégorie des opposants potentiels, c-à-d., la tendance opposée au sufisme dans l'islam même, je doit avouer d'abord que je ne peux dire que très peu de choses, parce que nous manquons presque totalement d'informations sur les ulama du Turkestan oriental. La culture garakhanide représentée par le Qutadgu Bilig de Yūsuf Khāss Hājib et le Dīwān lughat al-turk de Mahmūd Kāshgharī nous suggère bien que dès l'islamisation les enseignements islamiques ont été solidement implantés dans cette région. La fameuse histoire de 'Ala' al-Din qui a été martyrisé en face de sa madrasa de Khotan<sup>12</sup> montre que cette sorte d'institution était bien établie à la veille de l'invasion de Jengiz Khan. Mais pour le temps plus tardif, à part des biographies des ulama enregistrées dans le Ta'rīkh-i rashīdī,13 le rideau de silence est tombé sur les activités intellectuelles exotériques, tandis que nous avons pas mal de matériels sur l'ésotérisme. Nous devons attendre jusqu'à la deuxième mojtié du dix-neuvième siècle pour nous procurer les informations plus ou moins concrètes.

R.B. Shaw, un des premiers occidentaux qui soient entrés au Turkestan oriental sous le régime de Ya<sup>c</sup>qūb Beg, a trouvé soixante-deux madrasa dans la ville de Yarkand dont vingt-neuf étaient en fonction. Selon lui, la plus ancienne d'entre elle, a été fondée en 1497. Le rapport officiel de la mission Forsyth dit qu'il y avait dans chaque ville des madrasa qui abritaient de vingt à quatre-vingt étudiants, voir plus. Quant aux enseignements, le raport dit

<sup>9</sup> A propos de la notion du "devoir du sel", de son rôle politique et social entre autres, cet auteur a écrit un article en japonais, "shio no gamu" to "seisen" tono aidade' (Entre "le devoir du sel" et le jihād) Tövöstu kenkvū tut2 (1993).

<sup>10</sup> TAins, F. 25v

<sup>11</sup> Sinding huangwa xiwa tuzhi, tianzhang (écrats umpérials), vol. iv, f. 4r, 7r-v, 13r-v

<sup>12</sup> al-Juwayni, Ta\*rikh-ı jahān-gushā, cd. M. Qazvini (London 1912) 1, 52-55.

<sup>13</sup> Le texte intégral de cet ouvrage, y comprise la partie en question élimitée de la traduction de E.D. Ross, a été recemment édité et traduit par M.W. Thackston en se basant sur les manuscrits de St. Pétersbourg et de Loudre. Mirza Haydar Dughlat, Tarckh-1 Roshidi. a History of the Khans of Moghulistan, Persian text; dito English translation and annotation (Harvard 1996).

<sup>14</sup> R.B. Shaw, The History of the Khōjas of Eastern Turkistan, ed. N. Elias (Calcutta 1897) 64.

simplement que

in a<sub>th</sub> of them the teaching is strictly that of Islam, to the exclusion of everything not allowed by the sharea or unprovided for in the hadith. 15

Le témoinage de F. Grenard sur la ville de Khotan d'après la reconquête des Qing est un peu inique. Il dit:

'Les médressés ou collèges de théologie, véritables couvents, ont fréquemment plus de professeurs que d'élèves, dans les plus sérieux on apprend le Coran par cœur suns l'entendre, on étuque le droit canon, et l'en expirque quelques livres persans faciles comme le Gulistèm. La plu part des médressés, qui sont nombreuses, sont mouis des collèges que des hôtelleries et des réfectoires de moines (cheikhs), dont le meuble essentiel n'est point la bibliothèque, mais la grande marinité de fonte autour de laquelle toit gravite. La vuleur et la réputation s'en mesure à la dimension de cette marinité et à la succidence des moutons qu'on y fait cuire.' 16

Et puis au commencement de ce siècle, M. Hartmann a mené une enquête plus détaillée sur place. Selon lui, dans les madrasa de Käshghar et Yarkand on a enseigné d'abord la grammaire arabe, puis le Hidāya de Burhān al-Dīn al-Marghinānī et le Wiqāya de Maḥmud al-Maḥbūbī, uṣūl al-fiqh, tafsīr et ḥadīth, le 'Aqā'id de al-Nasafī, aussi bien que les ouvrages de Jāmī et de Hāfiz et le Mathnawī de Rūmī. 17 En bref, les enseignements aux madrasas se composaient du fiqh de Hanafiyya et de la littérature persane ésotérique. On peut imaginer, sans risquer de commettre une erreur, que ces matieres obligatoires étaient constantes depuis toujours; on tentra en vain d'y chercher de véntables opposants contre le sufisine.

Mais au fur et à mesure de la pénétration du jadīdisme, sont apparus les ulama orientés vers le modernisme à propos desquels les informations ne sont pas très abondants non plus. Dans l'état actuel des études, nous ne connaissons que le nom d'un 'Abd al-Qādir Dāmullā et sa lettre envoyée à la rédaction du journal Shūrā publié à Orenburg. 18 D'après le commentaire du rédacteur et une lettre d'un certain Shākir al-Mukhtārī parue postérieurement dans le même journal, 19 ce Kashgharien fît ses études à Bukhara et resta pour quelques mois en Egypte. Il était auteur de deux ouvrages en arabe, à savoir Miftāh al-adab li-fahm kalām al-'arab et Jawāhir al-īqān, dont le style très naturel et éloquent fît croire les lecteurs qu'il soit

Egyptien on Syrien. Il a écrit la lettre en question pour contredire un Nazar Khwāja, habitant de Ghaljat, c-à-d., Ghulja, capital de la vallée d'Ili, qui avait visité la ville d'Aqsu et énoncé dans le Shūrā un avis très pessimiste sur l'avenir du Turkestan oriental en mentionnant les mauvaises mœurs des habitants; mariages, prostitutions et ventes des enfants pratiqués vis-à-vis des Chinois.<sup>20</sup>

Dans sa réfutation, 'Abd al-Qadir a souligné d'abord que l'entrec dans la civilisation se réalisait sous la direction des leaders qui connaissent la vérité et sous les influences des peuples voisins et que les habitants d'Agsu, aussi bien que les Chinois, étaient les "voisins" de l'est de ceux de Kashghar, de Yarkand et de Khotan qui étaient, à la différence des premiers, toujours fidèls à la religion et à la civilisation transmises par le Farghana, notamment par la cité sacrée de Bukhara, les voisins de l'ouest. (Pour se défendre, sans aucun doute, il a exclu les Aqsuiotes de son propre peuple. Il est propable que la notion d'un seul d'un unique peuple du Turkestan oriental lui manquait dans ce temp-là). Quant aux leaders, il partage plus ou moins le pessimisme de son adversaire. Il dit que toute la vie quotidienne du pays, religieuse, économique, médicale et des autres genres, était dirigée par les ulama qui considéraient la rénovation et le progrès comme hérésies. "Pour contredire ces leaders, dit-il un peu ironiquement, les procédés usuels ne sont pas suffisants, mais il faut être le possesseur de l'extraordinaire (khārij-i 'āda) ou des miracles (karāmāt), tandis que (le miracle) ne se réalise pas peut-être à cause du crime que nous repoussons les īshāns..." Ici, les attitudes d'eAbd al-Qădir vis-à-vis des sufis est très clair. D'après le témoignage de Shākir al-Mukhtārī, 'Abd al-Qādir lu, a donné un exemplaire de Rasā'il al-kubrā d'Ibn Taymiyya, qui est, sans aucun doute, la collection des opuscules publiée au Caire en 1322/1904.21

Bienqu'il est vraiment difficile de trouver une tendance opposante contre le sufisme dans le Turkestan oriental pré-moderne, il existait

<sup>15</sup> Report of a Mission to Yarkund in 1873, under Command of Sir T.D. Foxsyth (Caccutta 1875) 88

<sup>16</sup> F Grenard, Mission scientifique dans la Haute Asie 1890-1895 (Paris 1898) u. 235-236.

<sup>17</sup> M Hartmann, Chinesisch Turkestan (Halle 1908) 46-52.

<sup>18 &#</sup>x27;Bayān-ı haqīqat', Shārā van (1915) 721 722. M.Sh. Öislu m'a informé de cet article.

<sup>19 &#</sup>x27;Dămuliă 'Abd ei-Qādir Hadrat haqqında', Shūrā x (1917) 24.

<sup>20 &#</sup>x27;Bizim turmush', Shiird viii (1915) 585-586.

<sup>21</sup> En outre, il n'est pas impossible d'en trouver parmi la collection des milliers de manuscrits recueillis par un établissement du gouvernement de la région autonome qui a un nom très long, "le comité dirigeant la collection, l'annangement, le planning de publications des anciens documents des peuples minoritaires de la région autonome des Uighurs de Xinjiang". Ce comité a publié en 1989 un catalogue Urghut, özbek, tatar qödunki äsärtär tizimliki (Qäshqär 1989) un contient I 550 manuscrits en arabe, persan et chaghatay, mais dont les descriptions sont trop simples dans beaucoup de cas pour les identifier ou deviner leurs contenus. Il nous faut attendre encore, pas trop longtemps j'éspère pour pouvoir obtenir des renseagnements plus concrets.

quand même des antipathies non pas pour le sufisme, mais pour les sufis et leurs conduites. Comme je l'ai déjà cité dans un de mes articles, Muhammad 'Alı Khān, historien de la grande révolte, reproche sévèrement aux ushāns leur avidité en même temps qu'il prie l'esprit de 'Alī Shīr Nawā'ī de lui venir en aide pour accomplir son ouvrage.<sup>22</sup> Et Mūsā Sayrāmı qui était le témoin occulaire des événements de la révolte jusqu'à la reconquête des Qing attribue la perte du pays au fait que les sufis ont saisi le pouvoir politique. Quant à la raison de la première conquête, il dit:

'Depuis que les khawājams [Makhdūm-zādas] se sont emparés de ce Yeitā-shahr [et] Moghūliyyn, ils parlaient et pensaient à la manière de darwish et ils ne connaissaient pas la situation des pauvres. Les délateurs qui étaient les vrais scélérais se sont approchés des khawājams et ils leur ont témoignés grandament leur bonne foi Mais quand ils sont sorti dehors, chaqun est devenu un loup. Comme les loups attaquent les moutons, ils ont endonmagé non sculement les biens mois la vie et la foi des pauvres. On appelait ces gens 'ushshāq Parce que les khawājams qui menaient héréditairement leur vie de supérieur de l'ordre ne connaissaient mi les offaires de la domination ni les méthodes de la politique, les pauvres sont devenue inisérables et ont été numés', 23

En racontant la vie de Ḥājjī Pādishāh, c-à-d., Ḥabīb Allāh Muftī de Khotan, Mūsā Sayrāmī développe ses idées. A en juger par les mots de cet historien, Ḥabīb Allāh était un sufi fortement orienté vers la shana. Son portrait est comme suit:

'Il fit réparer les mosquées et les couvents. Il enseigne aux Khotanais, à savoir, chadins, villageois, grands et petits, hommes et femmes, les préceptes de la shoria et les fit respecter...Il ensegne à tous les bases pour être mosulman, les titres du Dieu, qu'il soit loné, et attributs des quartre-vingt-dix-neuf préceptes, des prières, du jeûne, du zokit, du hajj et de la science sur les menstrues et les lochies. Il les leur lit apprendre par cœur, récuter et montrer qu'ils les savanent parfaitement. On aurait dit que tout le monde de sept à soixante-dix ans, répétait les leçons et les questions comme de petits écoliers', 24

Mais Sayrāmī le critique parce qu'"il répandant très aisément le sang des personnes" et que "les règlements et les châtiments dépassaient trop les bornes". Il y eut en plus une raison plus forte pour refuser la domination politique de sufi:

'En tout cas, ses ancêtres et en particulier lus-même possédèrent leur métier de darwish. Au titre de darwish et mufit, ils étateut les dirigents et les chefs de tous, mais ils ne compassaient

pas les sciences de la domination et du commandement des armées. Les vies de darwish et de commandant sont incompatibles. S'ils avaient servi in souverain depuis longtemps appirs les sciences du commandement, et montré leur authentiené, ils auraient pris des précautions contre ces événements (c-à-d., la perte de Habib Aliāh). Par conséquent, dans les livres de l'histoire ou dit; l'on trouvait les gens, ou bien leurs descendants, qui étaient grands depuis leurs ancêtres très éloignés et qui, en montrant leur authentiené, étaient toujours les dominateurs et les souverains, pour les installer sur le trône et pour leur obéir. Ainsi, grâce à leurs mériles nobles, la sécurité arriva au peuple et l'harmonie visita le pays' 25

Non seulement Habib Allah mais aussi les autres dirigents de la révolte de 1864 partageaient la même tendance, c-à-d., la troisième vague de l'islamisation. Ils ont déclaré que la guerre contre les Oing est devenue un devoir catégorique individuel (fard 'ayn), autrement dit, ils ont rempli le rôle de l'imam de la communauté islamique qui peut decréter la levée générale (năfir cămm). Quand un sufi, orienté à la sharia aussi bien qu'au jihād, fait des efforts pour réaliser ses idées, il doit inévitablement en assumer la résponsabilité politique et même militaire pour établir un régime religieux sufique par essence Mūsā Sayrāmī entique ce type de régime. Mais peut-on pour autant le considérer comme un contradicteur du sufisme? Certainement non, parce qu'il lui-même était murid d'un shaykh qui, d'après une anecdote qu'il raconte, est intervenu dans son rêve pour lui faire entendre raison et lui demander de ne pas s'abandonner au Shāhnāma, "histoire des païens misérables". Il était un contradicteur du sufisme politisé, mais il ne lutta ni contre le régime sufique ni contre celui des Sino-Mandchous rétabli. Il se résignait à tous qui existaient sur le monde ici-bàs en tant que réalisations de la volonté divine; et il en est ainsi venu à croire que les révoltés contre le régime des Qing n'étaient ni ghāzī ni shahīd.

'Parce que [les officiers sino-mandehous] faisacent le mai qui mérite in punition du sabre, le peuple a été obligé à son tour de le brandir sur la tête des supérieurs. Que Dieu m'en garde (Mans il y a une certaine raison pour de ne pas regarder les gens qui ont fait les ghâzât comme les ghâzât ou les shahid.) Il n'est pas juste dans toutes les religions et les sectes de brandir le sabre et de névolter contre un grand souverain glorieux que Dieu par sa sagesse étérnelle a fait grand et à qui Dieu a donné la position de dominateur' 26 'Les souverains et les dominateurs de ce monde visible se vanteut et se targuent de s'emparer des pays, de les conquerir et de les faire obéir par leurs propres forces et courages. Mais, en réalité le vrai auteur est Dieu lui-

<sup>22</sup> M Hamada, 'De l'autorité religieuse au pouvoir politique: la révolte de Kuca et Khwaja Rāshidin', in M. Gaboneau, A. Popovic et Th. Zarcone (éd.), Naqshbandis. Chemmements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulman (Islanbul-Paris 1990) 488-

<sup>23</sup> TAms, f. 24c-v

<sup>24</sup> TAms, f 113r v

<sup>25</sup> TAms, f.114c-v.

<sup>26</sup> TAms, f. 35v-r. Molla Müsä Sayrämi, Tarikhi Hümdi, tr. Änwär Baytur (Berjing 1986) 171-172. Ce dermer est la traduction en uighur moderne du Ta\*rikh-i Ḥamldi qui est la version revisée du Ta\*rikh-i ammiya. La phrase entre parenthèse dans la citation ne se trouve que dans ce dermer.

même, que ses actes soient loués, le possesseur du pouvoir, le vivant étérnel. Par conséquent, le Dieu suprême, par sa propre sagesse éternelle, a recomm compétants, sont les souverains qui avaient la foi [islanique], soit ceux qui n'ont pas de religion, pour les rendre maître du peuple. Pour cette raison, il faut prier pour le souverain, le dominateur et les officiers de la région du temps aussi bien que pour leur justice et bonbeur. Lorsque le souverain est musulman, il est aussi évident que le soluté que l'on doit prier pour lui. Même si le souverain n'avait pas de rengion, il est nécessaire et inévitable de prier pour su justice et son bonheur, parce que le souverain de certe sorte est aussi la grâce divine. Un distique, il est nécessaire de remorcier en toutes circonstances. Qu'elles ne deviennent plus manyaises! 27

Cette idée de Sayrāmī, est-elle la résignation profonde ou bien un simple opportunisme pour accepter la reconquête? Il est certain du moins qu'il n'a pas justifié aucune place au jihād que les représentants de la troisième vague ont animé si ardemment.

Le nationalisme moderniste panturquiste a atteint le Turkestan oriental juste avant la première guerre mondiale. Un des personages représentants de cette aventure, Ahmed Kemal, ne précise presque jamais ce qu'il appelle "les ténèbres de l'ignorance dans lesquelles le peuple étouffe".28 Il faut signaler du moins un fait qu'un shaykh très influent de l'époque a soutenu les activités d'Ahmed Kemāl. C'est shaykh Mansür, un qadırı, selon Isa Yusuf Alptekin, gur était le shaykh du mausolée renommé de Satuq Boghra Khan, marié avec une sœur des frères Musabayov, à savoir, Yūsuf et Babā' al-Dīn qui ont patronné Ahmed Kemāl. Son fils ainé, "Abd al-Qādir a été un des premiers jeunes Kashghariens envoyés à Istanbul et qui a accompagné Ahmed Kemāl de la capitale de la Turquie jusqu'à Kashghar. Quand ce dernier a inauguré une école, Mansūr y a fait entrer son fils cadet.<sup>29</sup> Comme ses quelques homologues de la Turquie et de l'Asie central, le shaykh, lui aussi, gardait en ensemble le sufisme et la tendance vers le modernisme

Quant aux vrais antagonistes du sufisme, c-à-d., les intégrismes islamiques, je n'ai pas d'information sur l'historique de son apparition au Turkestan oriental. D'après l'Annuaire du Xinjiang de 1992, dans la ville de Kashghar seule, il y a plus de 20 000 "wahhābites". Parce qu'ils sont entrés plusieurs fois en conflit avec

les autres musulmans, le gouvernement de la région autonome est intervenu pour fonder leur propres mosquées. La même publication officielle dit que notamment dans la région méridionale du Xinjiang, il y a des étrangers qui sont entrés illégalement en Chine pour propager leur religion.

En ce qui concerne la quatrième catégorie de l'antagonisme, c-à-d., les conflits entre les tariqas, les exemples sont assez nombreux dans l'histoire du Turkestan oriental. Ici, evideniment, il ne s'agit pas de détracteurs du sufisme, mais de la concurrance et la divergence des convictions en matière d'enseignement et de pratiques confrériques. Donc, pour finir je me tournerai vers la première catégorie des opposants: des athées ou des agnostiques.

Dès la "libération" du Xinjiang, le pouvoir politique de la république populaire a été hostile plus ou moins à l'islam en général et au sufisme "populaire" en particulier. Les tribunaux de sharia qui avaient été en fonction notemment pour juger des délits sous le régime ancien ont été définitivement supprimés. Les waaf des mosquées, des madrasa et des mazār entre autres ont été confisqués. Les autorités ont suspecté les activités des sufi et des bubi (sufi féminin, exorcisseur-guériseuse). Quant aux répression durant "la révolution culturelle", on entend des histoires atroces bien que les gens ne parlent que fragmentairement. Dans le village d'Ay-köi près d'Agsu, le mausolée de Jamal al-Din, le père du convertisseur de Tughlug Timur Khan a été détruit par les révolutionnaires. D'ailleurs j'ai entendu que pas mal des sufis ont été tués. Mais après la fin de la révolution culturelle, notamment après le douxième congrès du Parti communiste en 1982, la conjoncture a visiblement changé. Les musulmans uyghurs pensent que "le parti et le gouvernement ont reconnu que les diversités culturelles et la loyauté politique ne sont pas incompatibles". Les activités du sufisme comme le culte des saints et le dhikr ont survecu. Dans les années quatre-vingts et maintenant encore, les intellectuels uyghurs font beaucoup de démarches à la gloire de leur culture et de leur histoire sous le patronnage du pouvoir politique actuel qui est dans la nécessité de se réconciler avec les minorités. Par exemple, le parti et le gouvernement des communistes officiellement athées, il va de soi, ont fourni des fonds pour construire les mausolées retrouvés des auteurs du Outodgu Bilig et du Diwan lughat al turk dans la région de Kashghar et de Yarkand. Dans la région d'Ili aussi, on a réparé ou reconstruit plusieurs mausolées. Il est très significatif, semble-t-il, que le pouvoir a choisi les mausolées pour le symbole de la réconciliation. Quand on tient compte de l'existence des intégristes,

<sup>27</sup> TAMS, ff. 193v-194r

<sup>28</sup> Ici, je me permet d'apporter une correction à mon article 'La transmission du mouvement nationaliste au Turkestan oriental (Xinpiang)', Central Asian Survey (x/1, 15, dans lequel j'at rais noté, en me basant sur une interprétation hâtive, qu'il y fut envoyé à la demande des Kashghariens. Mais en réalité, c'est Jatāt lui même qu'i leur proposa l'envoi d'un instituteur.

<sup>29 (</sup>Ḥabībzāde) Aḥmed Kemāl, Chīn Türkistān khāṭirolari 96

il n'est pas improbable que le pouvoir politique de la Chine soutient sincèrement la manifestation de la mentalité religieuse fortement colorée de caractères régionaux qu'est, par exemple, le culte des saints

Après avoir examiné ce panorama des opposants potentiels au sufisme dans Turkestan oriental, nous arrivons à une conclusion pas très éclatante. Dans cette région, à part les intégristes d'aujourd'hui, il n'existe pas de véritables opposants au sufisme.

# SUFISM IN THE CHINESE COURTS: ISLAM AND QING LAW IN THE EIGHTEENTH AND NINETEENTH CENTURIES

## JONATHAN N LIPMAN

Muslims regularly appeared as plaintiffs and defendants, and sometimes even as judges, in the law courts of China. From the Mongoldominated Yuan period (1279-1368) on, Muslims constituted an ordinary part of China's social, economic, and political life, residing all over the empire and speaking Chinese as their mother tongue. In the northwest and southwest, far from China's own cultural cores and on the roads to the Muslim world, Sino-Muslims constructed large, discrete communities, with mosques as their centers, easily recognizable in practice (though not in vernacular) as similar to Muslims elsewhere in the world. They also adapted to their Chinese cultural context, becoming Chinese in more than superficial ways.

The Smo-Muslims' relationship with the imperial state, like that with their non-Muslim neighbors, differed widely by region, by class, by community, by individual. Some Muslims became members of China's literary elite, taking the official examinations, serving as government officials, writing poetry in the accepted styles, and serving as mediators between their communities and the state.<sup>2</sup> Some became Muslim religious professionals, studying Arabic and Persian in orthodox schools, taking the lead in ritual life, traveling to major Muslim centers for further education. Some became soldiers, serving the legitimate ruling dynasty of their day in conquest or sup-

In this essay, I define "China" as a culture area, not a state. When the state is to be indicated, I shall refer to "the Quig empire" "China", in this definition, does not include eastern Turkestan (Xinjiang), Tibet, Mongolia, or the other culturally non-Chinese frontier zones. Indeed, the seventeenth and eighteenth century expansion of the Quig empire far beyond the frontiers of cultural China played an important role in the events described below

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Bai Shonyi, et al. (eds.), *Huizu remwu zhi (Ming dai)* (Yinchuan 1988) and *Huizu remwu zhi (Qing dai)* (Yinchuan 1992), biographical dictionaries which contain entries on literati such as Ding Henran, Wang Daryu, and Liu Zhi, and officials such as Ma Wensheng and Ma Rirwei. In some cases, such as those of the early Ming generals and mid Ming officials such as Hai Rui. Enro-American scholars question the "Hui" identity of individuals whom Sino-Muslim scholars quantinguously claim.

SUFISM IN THE CHINESE COURTS

pression of domestic disturbances, including some caused by Muslims. Others committed crimes, from petty thievery to mass murder and sedition, finding themselves on the wrong side of the law. What ever paths they chose, Muslims in China could rarely overtly resist the legitimacy and power of the imperial state; when they did, they were branded as "rebels", and they suffered punishments as severe as the officials could manage to inflict.

The coming of Sufi orders to northwest China<sup>3</sup> has been traced by Ma Tong, Joseph Fletcher, Saguchi Tōru, and others to the seventeenth century, when conflicts among Naqshbandis in Altishahr spilled over into Gansu through peripatetic shaykhs such as Hidāyat Allāh (Khoja Āfāq) and his father, Muhammad Yūsuf.<sup>4</sup> The Qing conquest of eastern Turkestan, which they named Xinjiang (New Dominion), in the sixteen-nineties facilitated communication between Muslims already living in China and the entirely Muslim society of Altishahr. Other Sufi paths arrived by other routes, and they all built the tarīqa as an alternative to the autonomous mosque communities characteristic of China.<sup>5</sup> This essay will analyze opposition to Sufis and, more significantly, conflict among Sufis, as a crucial element in the evolution of northwestern Sino-Muslim society through some of its most violent years, 1747-1896. Evidence will be taken from the records of lawsuits brought by or against Sufis in the

Qing (1644-1912) dynasty's courts, a rich body of historical materials for understanding the grounds of contention within Muslim communities.

We will be concerned here not with tasawwuf but rather with tarīga, not with theological debates between mystics and nonmystics but rather with hotly-contested rivalries between Sufis. Often couched in terms of orthopraxy, textual purity, and ritual rectitude, these divisions also reveal a complex social milieu in which mosque construction, personal loyalties, access to material and human resources, and ethnocultural stereotyping played powerful roles. This project can contribute to our knowledge of the contexts and modes of contestations concerning Islamic mysticism by articulating the sociopolitical configuration of these communities and the role that conflict among Sufi orders played in the transformations of society. There is no doubt that Sufism and Sufi orders radically altered most northwestern Smo-Muslim communities, and that their changes affected the larger non-Muslim society around them. Since those processes often involved confrontation and violence, lawsuits allow us access to the Muslims' perceptions and those of the central Oing state and its local officials.

Political legitimacy and the power to define antisocial behavior constitute an important ground of controversy for the Sufis of Gansu. Why would Sufis call upon secular Qing law to settle conflicts, often overtly religious in nature, with other Sufis? What new possibilities for loyalty and identification did the tariqa provide for these Sino-Muslims? How did the sociocultural environment of northwest China affect the growth of Sufi orders? The present essay will attempt answers to these questions, using law cases from Gansu as primary evidence.

In the local gazetteer of Xunhua, a small town on the south bank of the upper Yellow River, we find recorded a conflict between two groups of Muslims, whom the Chinese texts call Fore-Breakers (quankai) and After-Breakers (houkai), referring to whether they advocated breaking the Ramadan fast before or after prayers.

'In 1748, the After Breaker Ma Yinghuan went to Beating to accuse Ma Laucht of teaching beterodoxy in order to delude the people. Former Gansu Governor Huang Tinggur tavestigated the matter and found that Ma Yinghuan should be punished for 'faise accusation' [with the penalty Ma Laucht would have received had be been found guilty] <sup>6</sup> Following the precedents

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;Northwest Cinna" here designates the current provinces of Gansa and Qinghai, and the Nangxia Hui Autonomous Region. Most of this vast, ethancally diverse area was contained in the pre-1928 province of Gansu, so I shall use "Ganso" as a shorthand name for what the Chinese call "Gan sul-Nang(xia)-Qing(hai)" In terms of cultural geography, it constitutes the frontiers of northwestern China, northeast Tibet, southwest Mongolia, and the eastern edge of Turkie Central Asia

<sup>4</sup> Joseph Fletcher, "Les 'voics' (turnq) soufice en Chine', in A. Popovie and G Veinstein (eds.), Les ordres mystiques dan I Islam (Paris 1986) 13-26: id., 'The Nagshbandiyya in northwest China', in Beatrice Manz (ed.), Studies on Chinese and Islamic Inner Asia (London 1995), sep. pag., Ma Tong, Zhongguo Yisidan jiaopon menhuan simuan (Yinchuan 1986), Ma Tong Zhongguo Yisidan jiaopon menhuan simuan (Yinchuan 1983). Saguchi Töru. 'Chügoku Istramin no shinpishugi', Töhögaku ix (1954) 75-92. Though there has been controversy over whether the northwestern Muslims were influenced primarily by Stifism or by other Muslim currents (such as Shusin or Ismā'īlism), Japapese scholars carefully sifted the evidence and concluded, as early as the nincteen-forties, that many of the Gansu Muslims had become Safis by the mid-Qing, See, for example, Ono Shinobu, 'Chügoku ni okeru Kaikyō kyōdan', Tōa ronshu vi (1948) 78-88.

<sup>5</sup> Called Gedama in Chinese, from Arabic qudim, this conventional Muslim socioreligious form had dominated Sino-Muslim life until this point. See Feng Zenglie, "Gedama" bayi", in Gansu sheng minzu yangusuo (eds.), Xibei Yisilanjiao vanjiu (Lanzhou 1985) 130-141.

<sup>6</sup> The rule by which a plaintiff may receive the penalty which the defendant would have

on conflict prevention, [Huang sentenced Ma Yinghuan to] military servitude. He further instructed that when Fore-Breakers and After-Breakers conduct funerals, their religious leaders should not invite both hitgants [together] to recite scripture. He ordered in the case file that incidents of disruption must cease. Ma Laichi and his son, Ma Guobao, thereafter traveled to and fro spreading their teaching. 7

Though no overtly Sufi content appears in this text, we know that Ma Laicht was a Nagshbandt. He had been initiated by a disciple of Hidayat Allah (Khoja Afaq), studied in the Muslim heartlands, brought a new set of Muslim texts back to Gansu and advocated an unfamiliar form of social and religious organization, the tariga.8 One of his most divisive innovations lay in abbreviating the lengthy scriptural recitation customary at life cycle celebrations by reading a volume of extracts rather than the Koranic text itself. Reciting this shorter text, the Mingshale,9 saved time and money for ordinary Muslims, who paid the religious professionals to read for them. Ahong10 who did not follow Ma Larchi's teaching were thus deprived of some part of their income, which went to the new group.11 Ma Yinghuan also claimed that Ma Larchi had founded Mingshahui ("Bright Sand Societies"), at whose meetings initiates had sand blown into their ears. 12 His intention clearly lay in associating Ma Laichi's Sufis with Duoist or Buddhist groups, always suspect in China for their bizarre ritual practices and propensity for sedition. Ended by Governor Huang's summary judgment, Ma Yinghuan's

received if guilty is called fanziro. Its makers intended it as an incentive against false accusation and spurious litigation.

8 Ma Tong, Shifte 223-224

16 Smo-Mushms customerily use the term ahong (from Person &khund) to denote an ordinary religious professional or teacher

11 Ma Shouqt, an important Chinese chronicler of the northwest does not hesitate to call the motivations of the augusts entirely economic, though he does also mention the pleasure which Gansu Mushims took in the innovative practices associated with Ma Lincha's parigo: 'The newness [fell on their] eyes and cars, and in a moment, with one accord, they all followed it' See his Gan Ning Qing shilue (Taiber 1970) 18.37b-38a. This compendium of primary sources will hereafter be referred in as GNOSL.

12 Narada Yoshinobu, Kaihai minzoku no shomondai (Tokyo 1971) 88. This "Bright Sand" business, quite common in Chinese accounts, derives from the Chinese characters used in the name of Ma Laichi s Arabic text, the Mingshale. Some versions of this title use the characters for "bright sand" (ming sha), while others use a different ming to indicate the sinuster "dark sand."

suit failed to prevent Ma Laichi and his son from initiating many northwestern Muslims into their tariqa.

The Sufi content of Ma Laichi's teaching was certainly crucial to the lawsuit, creating the innovations to which Ma Yinghuan objected so strongly. But we must also look at the legal grounds on which Ma Yinghuan brought suit, for the Qing officials' understanding of this conflict determined its legal result, and their comprehension surely differed from that of the litigants. Elsewhere in the Muslim world, where secular authority could also be Muslim authority, the state's jurisdiction would not be nearly so problematic. Groups in conflict might also request a judgment from a famous scholar rather than resort to the court. But in Gansu, neither faction in a dispute would recognize the authorities cited by the other, and the state, being non-Muslim, would not act on the same grounds as Muslim legal institutions. Therefore, Ma Yinghuan's suit, explicitly recognizing the legitimacy of the Qing legal system to resolve disputes within northwestern Muslim society, demonstrates the degree to which the Muslims of the northwest belonged in China. The suit between oiankai and houkai set a precedent in which religious conflicts between Muslims could be submitted for judgment to the secular authorities.

It also established some of the Chinese legal grounds for controversy. Ma Yinghuan accused Ma Laichi of xiejiao huozhong, teaching heterodoxy to delude the people, a very serious crime. <sup>13</sup> Based on the Chinese state's experience with Buddhist and Daoist groups perceived as potentially subversive, it concentrates on activities associated specifically with them, some of which also point directly at Sufis as well. For example, the statute forbade meetings which take place at night, which Muslims must of course do during Ramadān and Sufis often did as part of their dhikr practice. The supplementary statutes (li) forbade writing charms, preparing sacred writings (especially esoteric, encoded texts), and collecting contributions, among many other things. Ironically, these statutes, invoked against Sufis in the eighteenth century, specified banishment to Xinjiang and enslavement to the begs (who were Sufis) or to other Muslims as fit punishment for the practice of xiejiao.

Though Governor Huang found against Ma Yinghuan and ordered

<sup>7</sup> Xunhua zhi, Juan 8, cited in Qinghai minzu xueyuan minzu yangusuo (eds.), Salazu shihao pilu (Ximing 1981) 91-92

<sup>9</sup> Fletcher, 'Naqshbandiyya' 17-18, analyzes the title of this text, speculating that it might be a commentary on a selection of prophetic writings such as Ibn al-'Arabi's Fusiks allakom.

<sup>13</sup> The statute regarding xiejino, heretical teachings, may be found in translation and the Chinese original in J.J.M. de Groot, Sectarianism and religious persecution in China (Amsterdam 1903-4) i, 137-147, with the main statute (lii) at pp. 137-138.

him punished, a Qing court might well have found merit in the argument that Sufis practice heterodoxy. In a legal culture which valued conservatism and harmony, Ma Laichi's group might have appeared innovative and divisive. Its Sufi practices, however calmly these Naqshbandis may have undertaken them, were certainly unlike those of Gedimu communities, since the tariqa created new, specific, personal bonds of religious loyalty and communication between communities where only coreligionary ties had existed before. If Ma Yinghuan had been able to prove his allegations of sand-blowing or other bizarre practices, he might have been more successful, given the Qing's anxiety about and the tariqa's social resemblance to Buddhist and Daoist sectamanism. Fortunately for Ma Laichi and his Sufi solidarity, the tabel of "New Teaching", a pejorative and long-lasting name, did not stick to them as a result of this lawsuit.

In terms of Sino-Muslim history, the suit's precedent and importance lay rather in Ma Yinghuan's behavior - suing fellow Muslims in the secular courts for religious "crimes". Altishahr, the nearest site of famous Muslim teachers and schools, lay a thousand dangerous miles away across a geographic, linguistic and cultural divide, so it would have been very difficult for the two sides to agree on a distant Muslim religious authority to whom they might appeal. In addition, the Qing government had restricted travel to and from Xinjiang, because invasions and insurrections, most of them led by Sufis, had disturbed the region for decades. The conflicts created by the entry of Sufism into the northwest thus could only be solved by the Muslims themselves, and resolution techniques such as intimidation or mediation clearly had not worked by 1748. Ma Yinghuan therefore appealed to the Qing state courts, first at the provincial and then at the national level, as an appropriate venue to block the spread of Ma Laichi's Sufi order. Governor Huang's verdict vindicated Ma Larchi, who thereby won the adherence of Han Hajii, the hereditary leader of the Turkic-speaking Muslims around Xunhua, a people called Salars (Chinese Sala or Salaer). Han's initiation set the stage for the next round of conflict over Sufism in Gansu.

Ma Laichi had been initiated into the Āfāqiyya, a Naqshbandī suborder, and possibly the Qādiriyya and Suhrawardiyya as well. <sup>14</sup> The Āfāqī tradition included political activism and an alliance with the state, even a non-Muslim state; 15 the conventional silent dhikr characteristic of Naqshbandis all over the world; 16 and, perhaps most significantly, hereditary succession to the charisma of the shaykh, 17 In the cultural context of northwestern China, this last characteristic proved crucial. The difference between Ma Laichi's fariqa and conventional Sino-Muslim mosques lay in the authority which the shaykh could exercise over a number of disparate communities, whereas Gedimu ahong had to be hired by the elders of a single mosque-centered local community. If the shaykh's authority could be inherited and established within a family line, the extraordinary structures and power of the Chinese corporate lineage (Chinese jiazu), well known to northwestern Muslims (who were, after all, culturally Chinese), could be used to its advantage. This is precisely what happened — Ma Laichi's fariqa, called Khafiyya after its silent dhikr, became the first saintly lineage (Chinese menhuan) of Gansu.

Conflict might have continued between Ma Laichi and non-Sufis like the exiled Ma Yinghuan, but a new player arrived on the scene less than fifteen years after the initial lawsuit. A Sino-Muslim pilgrim named Ma Mingxin, who had studied in Yemen and Mecca for twenty years, brought another Sufi order to Gansu in 1761. Inspired by the currents of jadidist revivalism he had encountered in the Muslim world, this new leader advocated tarīqa and sharia, opposing the Khafiyya for both its hereditary succession and its corporate enrichment through donations. Because his teachers in Yemen had experimented with the vocal dhikr, unusual for Naqshbandīs but common in Yemen during that period, and with physical movement during its recitation, Ma Mingxin's ritual innovations also separated him and his solidarity from the other Muslims of Gansu, both Khafiyya and Gedimu.

The story of Ma Mingxin's establishment of his *tarīqa*, called Jahriyya for its vocal *dhikr*, is well known and documented in the literature. <sup>18</sup> Though Ma Laichi's son Ma Guobao and his initiate Han

<sup>15</sup> Khoja Āfāq had hunself served as secular governor of Kashgaria under the imprimatur of Galdan, the non-Muslim fregenion of the Zunghars.

<sup>16</sup> Hamid Algar, 'Silent and vocal dhikr in the Naqshbandi order', in Albrecht Dietrich (ed.), Akten des VII. Kongresses für Arabistik und Islammissenschaft (Göttingen .976) 39-46

<sup>17</sup> Joseph Fletcher, "Ch'ing Inner Asia e. 1800", in John K. Fairbank (ed.), The Combridge history of China (Cambridge 1978) x/1 74, reviews briefly the history of the Makhdûmzāda khojas of Terkestan, speritual and institutional ancestors of Ma Laich s Khafiyya, whose Sufi orders advocated hereditary succession to the shaykh s baraka

<sup>18</sup> Francoise Aubin, 'En Islam Clunois, Quel Nagshbandis' ', in M. Gaborieau A. Popovic, & T. Zarome (eds.), Nagshbandis: Cheminements et sunation actuelle d'un ordre

SUFISM IN THE CHINESE COURTS

Hajji resisted within the Salar communities, the new group grew rapidly Conflict arose almost immediately, for Ma Mingxin's disciples, including one Hemaluhu, preached in public, and several lawsuits were brought in 1761 over three new mosques which the Jahriyya built in Xunhua:

Han Hajn sued before the subprefect at Xunhua, requesting an order to return to a single mosque. Hemaluhu countersued before the provincial judge, [claiming that] Han Hajn had conspired with Ma Guobao to collect money, detude the people, and entire people to join their teaching. Han Hajn testified that the New Teaching leader Ma Mingxin had teamed up with Yang Huzzi to enter Satar country. With Hemaluhu, Ma Suonan, and Han Hashao, [Ma Mingxin; and spread wild talk and [augures of] fortune and misfortune, inciting and deceiving the ignorant people. The local officials clarified the matter by inquiries and expelled Ma Mingxin far from Salar country. Hemaluhu then drew the pattern [of a mosque] on the ground outside the Zhangha [Khafiyya] mosque, made wild speeches, manifested divine power, waved his head back and forth, and recited scriptures while dancing. In accordance with the patition drawn up at the provincial judge's yamen, [the officials] thereafter investigated and clarified the false charges according to precedent on 'plotting a crime,' discussing the matter competely. The said prisoners Teachings have differences and similarities. It was ordered that the twa, we communities of Suiars each select one man as religious supervisor (thangjaro). The three new mosques should be separated for worship in order to prevent conflict'. [9]

Again, we find Muslims, this time Khafiyya Sufis, claiming that the activities of other Muslims, Jahriyya Sufis, fell within the secular criminal categories of heterodoxy and deluding the people with superstition. Han Hajji and Ma Guobao used the term "New Teaching" to refer to Ma Mingxin's tarīqa, and the name stuck, branding the Jahriyya for over a century as innovative and therefore as a disrupter of social order. The Jahriyya's opponents claimed that the resemblance between Jahriyya Sufi practice (dancing, ecstatic prayer) and Buddhist-Daoist sectarianism constituted evidence of the "New Teaching's" subversive, anti-social character.

Though Ma Mingxin did indeed leave Salar country, the conflict was temporarily transferred to Hezhou, a major Muslim center east of Xunhua, where his initiation of an ahong named Qi led to street brawling and accusations at court. After Qi Ahong and Ma Mingxin had both been beaten at the magistrate's order, Qi returned to his

mystique musulman (Istanbul Paris 1990) 491-572, Ma Tong's two books, ented above, and Yang Huaizhong, 'Lua shiba ship Zhehelinye Musilin de qiyi', in Yang Huaizhong, *Huizu shi tungao* (Yinchuan 1991) 310-370.

rivals' neighborhood and cursed them.<sup>21</sup> Meanwhile, Ma Mingxin's disciples continued to initiate new members among the Salars, and 1769 saw a resumption of the contest between Sufis in the Xunhua court:

Han Haji sued Hemalahu for not following Islamic law, and the former magistrate Zhang Qunfang ordered that the farce new mosques be closed, to be allowed to open only when the two sides could get along. He also punished Hemalahu with the cangue Hemalahu was not satisfied and brought an accusation of heterodoxy ajainst Han Hajii before the provincial judge. Then Hajii's younger brother Han Wu accused Hemalahu of heterodox teachings. The case was presented before the Lanzhou city, Hezhou prefecture, and Xunhua subprefecture courts for interrogation regarding the details. The provincial judge passed the case to the Governor-General, who memorialized that all the litigants had brought false charges and should be punished according to fanzio. Hemalahu started it all, so he was exited to Urunqi to be given to the soldiers as a slave. Han Wu was exited to a distance of 3,000 %. The interrogation concluded that if the two teachings do not want to unite, there is no need to force them Ito do so). Each should choose a religious supervisor to restrain them, investigating the matter of the three new mosques, (he determined that] they should still be separated for worship. As for Ma Mingxin and Ma Guobao, they were not investigated 22

These cases from the seventeen-sixties illustrate the reception of Sufism in Gansu, both by Muslims and by the officials, and the relationship of Sino-Muslims to the Qing state. Both sides went to the Qing court to demonstrate that their rivals violated Islamic law, using the "heterodoxy" accusation as a weapon, but the officials refused to validate the charge. Though the Muslims may have believed that their rivals practiced Islamic heterodoxy, the court records indicate that they were fully aware of the Buddhist-Daoist targets of the Qing heterodoxy statutes. Hemaluhu accused Ma Guobao's Khafiyya of collecting excessive contributions, a charge often laid against Sufis in a Muslim setting but also susceptible to the interpretation of planning a subversive movement or cheating the common folks, the wards of the Qing state. Han Hajii, in return, described Hemaluhu's Sufi worship - text recitation while dancing, manifesting divine power (karāmāt), etc. — in language often used to accuse sectarians of bizarre religious rituals designed to fleece the non-Muslim Chinese.

We must also note the importance of Ma Mingxin's forced exile from Salar country The Turkic-speaking Salars lay at the center of

22 Xunhua zhi, man 8, cited en Salazu shiliao jilu (X.ning 1981) 92. and GNQSL 16 38a

<sup>19</sup> GNOSL 18.42a

<sup>20</sup> Late in the minteenth century the term would be applied to several innovative Muslim groups, and the Jahriyya joined the diverse melange of established solidarities lumped together as "Old Teaching"

<sup>21</sup> Yang Huarzhong, "Lun shiba shifi" 320-321, cating an Arabic chronicle written by generations of Jahriyya Sofis and translated by Ma Xaezhi as Zhehelinve dootong shi. Qi Abong's account is given in the first person within this source.

much conflict during the eighteenthth and nineteenth centuries, and the court saw Ma's divisive presence among them as particularly threatening to peace. Perceived as less acculturated to Chinese ways than Chinese-speaking Mushims, and thus less civilized, the Salars were generally classed by the Qing court as a sub-group of Tibetans (Fan), which by language and culture they were not. Because of their distance from the tempering influence of Chinese culture, the Salars bore the pejorative Chinese labels of congenital bandits, violent and ferocious, gathering weapons at a moment's notice, not fearing death but loving a good feud. Qing officials and Gansu non-Mushims alike feared that violence from Salar territory would spill over into the densely populated central and eastern Gansu regions, so the state took particular precautions to isolate the Salars from any radical teachings which might lead them to bellicose behavior. In this, they surely failed.

The next court case involving these contentious Sufis was brought in 1773, the first to involve murder. Hemaluhu having been exiled to Xinjiang and Ma Mingxin to Anding, in eastern Gansu, the Jahriyya leadership in Salar country passed to Su Sishisan (Su Forty-three) and Han Erge (Han Second), the latter a wealthy merchant from Qingshui, one of the twelve Salar communities. Qingshui was divided by a small river into east and west sections, loyal to the Khafiyya (Old Teaching) and Jahriyya (New Teaching) Sufi orders respectively.

'In the ninth [lunar] month of 1773, the New Teaching entired [the Muslims of Qingshui] East Village to become its adherents, and those who ran to follow it numbered twenty families, including Ran Geya. The Old Teaching went to the subprefecture to lodge a complaint, met New Teaching adherents on the road and killed one of them. Magistrate Zhang Quinfang concluded that punishment would be necessary, but the case was still not concluded when, in the eleventh month, Han Erge led his gang to East Village and killed four Old Teaching adherents. According to precedents for non-Chinese (fan), he was sentenced to wear the cangue, and that ended the case. From that time on, the conflict never abated, but when the local officials sent junior officers and yamen runners to suppress it, [the Muslims] still knew fear of the law and withdrew' 24.

The two Sufi orders had been confronting one another for over a decade, most vociferously in Salar country, and the initiation of twenty families in Qingshut constituted an important victory for the

New Teaching. The Old Teaching leaders, Han Hajji's comrades, once again prepared to attack their religious rivals in the Qing court, but instead of another suit they created a violent incident. We do not know what transpired on that isolated road near Xunhua, but we must try to deduce the motivations which animated these Muslims to kill one another, for ten years later the feuding resulted in state intervention and a local war that cost thousands of lives

After 1773, New and Old Teaching adherents among the Salars escalated their mutual violence, in revenge for earlier insults and outrages and in continuing conflict over the leaders, messages and rituals of their Sufi orders. Murder, arson, and brawling came to be considered ordinary. In 1780, adherents of both groups attended a funeral in Dasugu village and came to blows, a wounded New Teaching member died a few days later. The magistrate, Hong Bin, did not punish the assarlant sufficiently to satisfy the aggneved Jahriyya. So the New Teaching leaders Su Sishisan and Han Erge planned revenge and organized their followers for a large scale attack on Old Teaching villages, which they undertook early in 1781. The sanguinary results brought the matter to the attention of provinciallevel authorities. The Lanzhou commander Lerchin sent military officials to the spot to investigate. Su Sishisan met these officers, who were commanded by Xinzhu, and their small contingent of troops, but dissembled and claimed to be Old Teaching. Following earlier legal precedents and the bias of Qing officials against innovation, Xinzhu bragged that they had come to exterminate the New Teaching, the divisive threat to social order.

In the face of this threat to their Jahnyya solidarity, Su Sishisan and his followers killed both officials and their guards, irrevocably placing themselves in violent opposition to the military might of the Qing state. It is not clear, however, that they intended that result, for they immediately moved not against other government troops or garrisons but against their Old Teaching rivals at Hezhou. The Qing officials, however, investigated the matter immediately, considered it a "rebellion", 25 and arrested Ma Mingxin as the ringleader, though

<sup>23</sup> Mi Y.zhi, Salazu zhengzhi shehui shi (Hong Kong 1990) Chapter v, discusses the growth of a "spirit of anti-Qing rebeffion" among the Salars.

<sup>24</sup> Xunhua zhi, man 8, cited in Salozu shdiao jilu 93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Given their behavior to this point, So Sishisan and Han Erge probably did not intend to confront the armed might of the Qing state in a political insurrection. Rather, they faced a unnor Qing official who, duped into believing them Old Teaching adherents, told them he intended to exterminate their tariqu. Killing an official made them rebets in the eyes of the law, and neither Qing nor contemporary Sino-Muslim historians hesitate in cashing their behavior an uprising, though they evaluate its moral quality quite differently. State intervention.

he was living far from Salar country at the time. Hearing that their shaykh was imprisoned in the provincial capital, Lanzhou, the armed Jahriyya Sufis, two thousand strong, moved rapidly to besiege that city and rescue their leader. Failing that, in the face of Lanzhou's stout walls, Ma Mingxin's summary execution by provincial officials, and their own inexperience in siege warfare, Su Sishisan and his remaining Muslim irregulars entrenched themselves on a nearby mountain, resisted a large concentration of government troops for several months, and died to the last man in a climactic battle. 26

One New Teaching adherent, captured by government troops in 1781, in a lengthy confession explained the roots of conflict as both secular and religious but not seditious. He blamed the "established" Khafiyya, to which the Qing-appointed authorities among the Muslims belonged:

Ma Mingxin became head of the New Teaching in 1770, Su Sishisan was his disciple, and I became Ma Mingxin's disciple in 1771 and first, the Salars were governed by the Xunhua Insi, 27 who was Old Teaching. So Ahong was New Teaching, and the two could not coexist. So the New Teaching's Su Ahong and Han Erge did not obey him, and they fought against the Insi, 28

Since the *tusi*, who bore the Qing's patent of office to rule the Salars, was a Khafiyya adherent, that automatically put the rival Jahriyya in legal jeopardy in case of conflict.

In addition to secular conflict, the confession did not ignore religious difference.

"The origins of the two [groups'] scriptures are identical, not different at all; only the way of reciting differs. The Old Teaching recites in a low voice, the New loudly, waving the head and dancing. After 1771 Ms Mingxin met with ahong from all over to transmit the scripture, but the Old Teaching ahong from Hezhou, Ma Laichi, said it was heterodox teaching and refused to follow it, so mutual killings often resulted', 29

This statement, probably made under torture, encapsulates a crucial problem in understanding these conflicts: Why did differences in *dhikr* recitation, even including such divisive forms as

in what were essentially local conflicts must be seen as a major cause of large-scale violence by and against Muslims in Gaussi after 1781. Since Muslims invariably fought on both sides in such incidents, they cannot be called "Muslim rebellions" without careful qualification. music and dancing, cause bloody rifts between Sufis in northwest China when they often did not in other parts of the Muslim world? A full answer to this question lies beyond the scope of this essay, but several useful points arise from these lawsuits. First, the initial conflict over dhikr took place largely among the Salars, a frontier people accustomed to martial practice and armed against surrounding neoples, who sometimes turned hostile. Second, the rivalry between Suff orders rapidly eclipsed any disputes which might have existed between Sufis and non-Sufis, to the extent that both non-Sufi Gedimu and the Khafiyya Sufis came to be conjoined under the Chinese name "Old Teaching", in contrast to Ma Mingxin's Jahriyya, which from this point until the late ninteenth century bore the "New Teaching" label. And third, Sufism did play a role in creating and sustaining the conflicts between these groups, especially disagreements over the dhikr, the ecstatic rituals characteristic of the Jahriyya, and the hereditary succession of Ma Guobao to his father's place as Khafiyya shaykh. Secular motivations such as mosquebuilding, loyalty to rival leaders, and vengeance for earlier wrongs also motivated these Sufis to violence.

The Oing's brutal and thorough suppression of Su Sishisan's "rebellion" was followed by a second Jahriyya "rebellion", less spontaneous than the first, led by a Chinese-speaking ahong who openly sought revenge for Ma Mingxin's death. Suppressed like Su Sishisan's, this local war also cost the Qing several months of military action and a great deal of money, while deepening the conviction that the New Teaching, the Jahriyya Sufi order, lay at the root of Gansu's problems. Though many Qing officials were sophisticated enough to analyze the conflict in social or political terms — a major corruption case had bled the province's revenues, and a number of provincial-level officials had been indicted for embezzlement and sheer incompetence — religious and ethnocultural explanations dominate the contemporary sources. Muslims came to be viewed as inherently violent, unruly people, and all Islamic institutions, not just the Jahriyya, came under renewed state scrutiny. All mosque-building was forbidden, and all Muslim communities were enjoined to report to the officials the presence of wandering preachers, which surely pointed to Sufis, since Gedimu ahong stayed at the mosques which employed them. The Qing authorities could not easily distinguish among various Muslim groups, and at one point er roneously arrested and exiled Ma Wuyi, Ma Laichi's grandson and a

<sup>26</sup> The documents of the Qing officials, civilian and military, describing this military campaign in detail may be found in Qinding Lanzhon films (Yinchuan, reprint 1988).

<sup>27</sup> For the workings of the two system, which gave Qing patents of office and emoluments to local hereditary leaders in exchange for their loyalty, see Mr Yizhi, Salazu 55-70.

<sup>28</sup> Salazu dang'an shihao (Xining 1981) 104 105

<sup>29</sup> Qinding Lanzhou jilue 99

bastion of the Old Teaching Khafiyya, as a New Teaching rebel.30

Since the Qing sought to suppress the New Teaching entirely,<sup>31</sup> Gansu society, especially Salar country, became increasingly susceptible to disturbance by disputes based on religious solidarity A lawsuit of 1811-1812 illustrates the difficulty of governing and judging, either by legal precedent or by practical considerations, in such a volatile environment. Nayancheng, the memorialist, was the Governor-General of Shaanxi and Gansu:<sup>32</sup>

Around Xining Muslims and Tibetans live intermixed. Among the Muslims the Salars are exceptionally victors and violent, uncontrollable by legal means, as Your Majesty has long been aware. A Salar of Gandatang in Buyanrong, Ma Xiangfu, was excessively evil by nature and leved bringing lawsoits. In the 8th [lunar] month of 1811, another Gandutang Muslim, Ma Lacer, told Ma Xiangfu that a Muslim of Datong county, Ma Dehai, was at home recovering from an illness. Ma Xiaugfo suspected that Ma Dehai was a New Teaching [Sufi] engaged in [long-term] meditative practice. Ma Lager encouraged him to report to the officials, so [Ma Xuangfu) went to the provincial judge and lodged an accusation. Ma Xiangfu publicly stated that Ma Lager had given slanderous evidence that the New Teaching would bully and wipe out the Old Teaching [Me Xiengfu's] son Ma Linshisan wrote Jabout the suit] to a Gandutang Muslim, La Shaer, telling him to go to Ma Laoer's house and browbeat him...La Shaer obeyed, and went with Quan and forty or fifty others to pick a fight at Ma Lacer's place. They hart no one, but they stole livestock, and the trouble could not be quelled... If I decide against the New Teaching, then they will be disturbed ... but if I hold that Ma Xiangin and lodged a wrongful accusation, then [people] will claim that the officials are siding with the New Teaching, and the Old Teaching adherents will become suspicions. The balance of severity and lemence must be considered with great care. On investigation, the provincial judge discovered that Ma Dehai, Mn Laoer, and Ma Xiangfu were all members of the Old Teaching, [so this was] not a case involving the New Teaching but just Ma Xiangfe personally stirring up trouble. He was sentenced to wear the cangue for three months, beaten, and the case disposed of...and the livestock returned to their owners. I also resterated the former Emperor's edict in every village, that Old and New should not be distinguished, that New Teaching [adherents] who behave as good subjects should not be liable to prosecution... Even after the case was closed, that criminal [Ma Xiangfu] wandered about seeking alms and contributions... He threatened a Kargang Muslim, Ye Sishiwu, saying that if he didn't pay protection money, [Ma] would report him to the officials as a New Teaching adherent. Ye feared even a false accusation, and paid him 21,000 cash, then went to court with a complaint... I [Navancheng] wanted to seek the truth in this case...so I personally examined the evidence, and Ma Xiangfu admitted his criminal acts. I stringently increased his sentence, admonishing him not to set up heterodox teachings, stir up the mob to violence, etc. The case was closed without concentration whitewash 33

Here we have no religious rivalry but rather an unscrupulous Muslim shaking down his coreligionaries by threatening to expose them, falsely, of membership in the proscribed New Teaching. Nayancheng memorialized further that the New vs. Old Teaching controversy, the sorrow of the northwest, consisted of rivalries among leaders for local power. He concluded that the troubles were not religious in nature, but that the disorder followed from reckless behavior like that of Ma Xiangfu and La Shaer. On the surface, he was correct, but he underestimated the power of rivalry between Sufi orders to disrupt local society.

For the next forty years, Salars and other Muslims paraded through the Oing courts, charged not with sedition or "New Teaching" but with rather more conventional crimes. The Ong Board of Punishments, convinced by its own local officials that Muslims represented by their very presence a threat to social order, gradually created a body of statutes directed specifically at Muslim offenders, punishing them more harshly than non-Muslims who committed the same offenses. In a Daoguang period ordinance, the Board equated Muslims with the most violent, uncontrollable bandits within Chinese society and recommended severe penalties for either group when they violated the law.34 Though this legislative trend had nothing overtly to do with Sufism, it stood in part as a reaction to the violence among Sufis in Gansu, It also set the stage for consistently discriminatory judgments by local officials against Muslims, whether Sufis or not, a development which had dire consequences the next time that lawsuits between Sufis became general in the northwest. Prejudiced local officials often memorialized requesting local or empire-wide bans on Islam, but the Emperors maintained their policy of "equal benevolence toward all subjects", an ideological position which rang

<sup>30</sup> Kataoka Kazutada, "Seichô no Kaimin seisaku" no zai kento", Rekishi kenkvii kui 1976) 71-72

<sup>34</sup> Fukang'an, a high military official engaged in putting down the "rebels", argued that this was not possible, but he was overruled. The New Tenching was not the only target of Qing repression to this region. In 1777 a non-Muslim, Huang Guoqi, preached heterodox scriptures in Hezhou, gathered crowds and established secret signs. Concluding that this was the same threat to social order as Wang Lun's uprising in Shandong in 1774, the Governor-General had him arrested and executed. He did comment in his memorial that had the trouble taken place among the Muslims, it would have required troops to settle. GNQSL 18.46b.

<sup>32</sup> A grandson of Agus, who had suppressed Su Sishisan (1781) and the subsequent New Teaching violence (.784), Nayancheng sought to complete his illustrious ancestor's work of building a lasting peace in Gansu.

<sup>33</sup> Salagu dang'an shiliao 174-175.

<sup>34</sup> Kataoka Kazutada, "Kesan shuryö yosi mitam Seichö no Kaimin seisaku". Shigaku kenkvä exxxvi (June 1977) 23

increasingly hollow.35

By the late eighteen-fifties the Qing empire could no longer maintain control over much of its territory. The Taiping rebels in south and central China, Du Wenxiu's Muslim-led Pingnan state in the southwest, Nian rebel bands in the heart of the North China plain, all had eaten away at imperial domination and revenues by defeating Qing armies and establishing hegemony over large areas. Muslim religious disputes also continued. In 1858, a fresh round of lawsuits and potential violence broke out at Dan'ger, a town west of Xining on the very edge of Tibet, between a Khafiyya subgroup called Mufti, originally from Didao prefecture east of Hezhou, and Salars from Xunhua and Bayan who belonged to Ma Laichi's branch of the Khafiyya, a saintly lineage called the Huasi menhuan

Both mosques in Dan'ger belonged to the Didao group, who resented an influx of Salars crowding in to worship, presumably demanding that their own rituals be followed, so the Didao Muslims decided to exclude the newcomers. The Salars brought suit at the prefectural level, and the officials were investigating the charges when Yang Huantai, a Muslim from Bayan, suggested that the Didao Muslims, who were rich, contribute cash to help the Salars build a new mosque. Ma Gasan, later to become a famous anti-Qing activist, remembered that new mosque construction had been forbidden back in the seventeen-eighties and grew suspicious that the Didao Muslims could file a suit once the project began. He requested permission of the Bayan prefect to go to Dan'ger to dispute the case with the Didao Muslims, but that official allowed only a few old men to go, not the large crowd which had gathered. The case was finally decided by giving the smaller of the two Didao mosques, outside Dan'ger town, to the Salars and Hezhou Muslims.36

Time was running out for the legal processes to work as well as they did in the Dan'ger case. As local and regional rebellions flourished, Taiping armies moved northward from the central Yangzi region and Sichuan, and the Qing looked to be a lost cause, Muslims all over Salar country and the Xining region took the opportunity to

settle local scores, many with other Muslims. The officials blamed the Salars, but other Muslims were also clearly implicated. In 1861 a Mufti ahong, Ye Chengzu, began to preach and initiate adherents in the Xining region, and Ma Guryuan, the local Salar leader, wanted to debate him. The conflict escalated, lawsuits were brought, and villages were attacked. The prefect gathered a large army to pacify the district, and the Salars fled. When the army pursued them, killing hundreds in a series of battles in the mountains, non-Muslim militias around Xining descended upon Muslim villages and slaughtered their residents. The Salars responded by debouching from the mountains onto the fertile valleys south of Xining and pillaging non-Muslim villages. Far from being "religious feuds" or "ethnic wars", these confusing conflicts around Xining set neighbor against neighbor, Muslim against Muslim, militia against militia. The army rushed to and fro but could not completely eradicate the conflicts, which grew larger as desire for revenge joined other motivations to violence.37 From 1861 on, Gansu's various Muslim communities had to take sides in the vast array of conflicts usually called the "great Muslim rebellion" but more properly described as "the diverse conflicts involving Muslims" in the eighteen-sixties.38

The successful suppression of all anti-Qing forces in Gansu between 1862-1872 included large scale population movement (from Shaanxi westward), massacres (in Ningxia and Suzhou), and negotiated surrenders (at Hezhou). This period gave the Qing state a chance forcefully to rearrange local society in the northwest, to prevent the recurrence of religious feuding and lawsuits. Zuo Zongtang, in overall command of operations, resettled large numbers of defeated Muslims at a distance from non-Muslim communities, isolated them from one another, and coopted a crucial group of Muslim leaders, virtually all of them from the Khafiyya (Old Teaching), as Qing military and civil officials. In the long run, this policy succeeded in tying the northwestern Muslims more effectively than ever before to the Qing state and to China, but it did not work well in the short term. By the late eighteen-eighties violence was again on the rise between contentious groups of Muslims, and Muslim religious

<sup>35</sup> Salazu ting an shitao 182-188, records a case in which Qishan, a Manchu official in Gansu, mounted a successful military operation against a Salar "bandit gang" and externimated them but was cashiered by the throne for overextending his authority and wantonly killing innocent Muslims. Clearly there were limits to official discrimination, but the trend was nonetheless clear to both officials and Muslims — Muslims would be more severely pumshed than non-Muslims for the same crimes.

<sup>36</sup> Salazu dang'an shihao 195-196.

<sup>37</sup> Salazu dang an shiliao 198f

<sup>38</sup> Basic sources on these bloody conflicts include K.C. Liu and Robert Smith, "The mulitary challenge. The northwest and the coast", in J. K. Fairbank (ed.), The Combridge History of China (Cambridge 1980) xi/2, 202-273, and Nakada Yoshinobu, 'Döchi nenkan no San-Kan no Kanam ni tsuite', Chügokü kenkyu iii (Tokyo 1959) 71-159 Neither however stresses adequately the local quality of the various Muslim actions against the Qing

disputes once again found their way to the Qing courts.

A young Khafiyya Sufi named Ma Rubiao started the troubles. Either through the influence of an Arab missionary named Selim who came to Gansu, or during his pilgrimage to Mecca, or both, 39 Ma Rubiao became the volved in the Shādhiliyya, a Sufi order popular throughout the Muslim world. Though not very different from the Khafiyya of his ancestors, this new affiliation gave Ma Rubiao the impulse to reform his own menhuan (saintly lineage), to purify religious practice and bring it into conformity with what he had come to regard as "pure" Islam Returning from his pilgrimage, Ma Rubiao followed a familiar pattern; he gathered disciples, drawn by his charisma and that of his texts and reformist ideas, and split the menhuan. As the latest innovators, for so they were branded by their opponents, Ma Rubiao's reformist followers bore the condemnatory appelation of New Teaching, while his uncle Ma Yonglin led the Old Teaching resistance to change.

By 1887, feuds had broken out between the rival factions at Xunhua, and the escalation of fear began again. Given the Xunhua Salars' feroctous reputation, religious feuding among them always represented danger to Qing officials. As the troubles continued and lawsuits multiplied, the authorities all over the province grew more concerned about widespread violence.

'[Hau] Nuri was a Xunhun Sahr Musiam, loader of the Old Teaching at Gazzigong. He and the New Teaching adherent Han Si accused each other over an old gridge and started a feud (xizdon). Their contention was most turbulent, and could not be settled rationally. Governor-General Yang Changjun drew up an indictment and commanded the Ximag prefectural office to hear the case, for Xunhua was in Ximag prefecture. Woshikeng'e presided, and both fitigants followed correct procedure... Nuri came to the prefect's court and deposed that the Old Teaching esteemed and loved the Koran and saw the New Teaching as a beterodox teaching He cited many precedents as proof, talking with confidence and composure... [He said that] the former Governor-General, Zuo Zongtang, had held that Gansu Muslim rebellions' origins lay in religious disputes [between Musl.ms]. If religious litigations do not cease, armed conflict will be born. The roots of conflict are surely in the New Teaching...[At the end of Nuri's deposition] Han Si sensibly stayed silent, not saying a word. Woshikeng'e held that Muslim religious disputes were commonplace, and sent both litigants back to Xunhua, ordering them to

settle their quarrel and feed no more' 40

In the fall of 1894, as the Xunhua litigants argued in court, their followers fought it out in the streets of Xunhua, and Muslims were killed. The Hezhou commander Tang Yanhe decided to send Ma Yonglin and Ma Rubiao's father, Ma Yongrui, to mediate, since they held high status in the Huasi menhuan within which the feuds were taking place. Eager to forward his anti-reformist cause within the Huasi, Ma Yongha secretly encouraged Hann Nuri to attack the New Teaching, which he did, killing at least two ahong. Khafiyya adberents also relate that Ma Yonglin knew that the Qing had severely depleted their northwestern defense forces in order to fight the Sino-Japanese War, and that he told Hann Nuri that there were no Oing armies west of Tongguan, in Shaanxi.41 Following long-standing Khafiyya custom, the New Teaching group sought relief from the Qing, sending representatives to Lanzhou, where they accused both Hann Nuri and Ma Yonglin before Governor-General Yang Changiun.

Like Lerchin in 1781, the Governor-General sent a trigger-happy military man to Xunhua, where he cut off eleven Salars' heads as a warning to religious disputants then had to face a Muslim population outraged by the brutal state intervention. For the next eighteen months, southern Gansu, especially Salar country, burned with complex conflict among locals; armies from all over the northwest converged; and tens of thousands of Muslims and non-Muslims, combatants and civilians, lost their lives and their property. Much of this suppression was undertaken by Muslim commanders within the Qing military, ending the violence with a complete victory for the state.

These cases demonstrate that the grounds of conflict over Sufism in northwest China lay in (1) the characteristics of the tarīqa as a socioreligious organization, (2) Qing officials' negative perceptions of some of its innovative qualities, (3) the relationship between its arrival in China and the activities of a variety of domestic religious sects, and (4) its identification as the cause of trouble among the Salars. State intervention in these conflicts, initially through legal decisions and later also through direct military action, contributed to their sanguinary results, as did official incompetence, malfeasance, and prejudice against Muslims The overt charges in many suits —

<sup>39</sup> Guan Lanp and Lin Cihan, 'Yibapuwa man He-Huang shibian chutan', *Kibei shidi* iv (1983) 46-54, hold that Schm's influence caused Ma's conversion, Gao Wenyuan, *Qingmuo sibei Humin zhi fan Qing vundong* (Taibei 1988) 433, claims that Ma became a reformer on the pilgramage: and Ma Tong (*Stulue* 238) writes that Ma took Schm as his teacher in Gansu, then went to Mecca and received initiation in the Shādhiliyya.

<sup>40</sup> GNOSL 24.40a-41a

<sup>41</sup> Ma Tong, Shilue 240. This claim was, of course, entirely false

major and minor differences in Muslim religious rituals — cannot be dismissed as superficial, for Gansu folks were clearly willing to kill and die for them, but neither can we conclude that the vocalization of the dhikr caused all the violence.

The initial divisions among Sufis in Gansu derived from the tariqa's capacity to connect disparate communities in a network of loyalty to the shaykh. Once the Khafiyya established itself after 1748, having defeated Ma Yinghuan's attempt to brand it heterodox, it spread throughout the southern half of Gansu, headquartered at Hezhou and in Salar country. Gedimu religious institutions had certainly not created so large and intimate a solidarity, but the Khafiyya only posed a problem when it had an equally successful rival, as the decision in Ma Yinghuan's 1748 suit made clear Ma Mingxin's return to his native province in 1761, bearing the message, rituals, and texts of the Jahriyya, provided precisely that. The suits between Han Hajji and Hemaluhu in the seventeen-sixties, irresolutely handled by local and provincial officials, provided the Qing with evidence that they were dealing with something new in Gansu, Muslims who performed wild rituals and fought one another.

At first trying to be even handed with these feuding Muslims, Zhang Qunfang and the other judges handed down lement sentences, even in capital cases, and lamely ordered the litigants to behave themselves or return to their native places. In more obvious cases of violence, both Han Wu (Old) and Hemaluhu (New) were exiled from the northwest. In 1781, however, when Xinzhu bragged that he would destroy the New Teaching, Su Sishisan placed their tariqa in direst jeopardy by killing him. The arrest of Ma Mingxin as the ringleader set the stage for Su Sishisan's rapid march to Lanzhou, Ma Mingxin's execution, and the bloody massacre of the New Teaching that summer. From then on, any Muslim group branded "New Teaching automatically drew the suspicion, and potentially the "legitimate" violence, of the officials. The vengeful Jahriyya insurrection three years later confirmed this judgment, causing the Quanlong Emperor himself to wonder, "In this instance of the Mushm rebellion under Tian Wu - how could they manage, without cause or reason, to collect a crowd, set a date, and rebel? .. In the end, why did they rebel? We must get to the bottom of this!"42

From the seventeen-eighties, the continued growth of the proscribed Jahriyya went hand in hand with disintegrating cohesion throughout Chinese society. Muslims all over the empire, in Shandong and Henan as well as Gansu and Yunnan, came under close scrutiny as "different," especially as violent, and both statute and judge began to distinguish between them and their non Muslim neighbors in invidious ways. Ma Xiangfu's protection racket worked, temporarily, because Gansu Muslims were terrified of being labeled as "New Teaching" in the eyes of the officials. Nayancheng's competent, successful investigation and punishment of the Old Teaching adherents was probably the exception, not the rule. By 1858, when the Dan'ger case threatened to escalate into riots between Mufti adherents and the Salars, the Qing had lost control over a number of provinces. When local feuding broke out in the early eighteen-sixties between Muslim and non-Muslim militias in Shaanxi and Xining, and between Muslim groups in Salar country, the army could only march against "the Muslim bandits" and try to exterminate them, rather than making careful distinctions between "good Muslims" and "bad Muslims".

Zuo Zongtang, commander of Qing forces in the reconquest of the northwest (1867-1873), attributed much of the violence to official incompetence, but he also blamed the New Teaching:

The reason the New Teaching must be out off is that they make auguries to predict disaster and good fortune, inciting the stupid Muslims so that they join a rebellious group without knowing, just like the White Lotus and other sectarians...Some people claim that Ma Hualong [the Jahriyya shaykh] knew the future, that he knew a guest was coming before he arrived. He predicted that the government troops would withdraw, so the Muslims relaxed end it came true. He healed the sick, so many came to seek his help, they were like drunken men, believing in him atterly 43

In short, the tarīqa's resemblance to the leadership patterns of non-Muslim sectarians of mineteenth century China caused these officials to assume congruence and treat them ah as religious bandits (jiaofei). State intervention was always accompanied by rumors of impending massacre of Muslims, which actually happened often enough that everyone knew it was possible. Local communities and Sufi solidarities armed themselves to resist, and confrontations such as Su Sishisan's in 1781 and the Xunhua executions in 1895 set off large-scale fighting. But these cannot accurately be classified as wars between "the Muslims" and "the Qing", for Muslims invariably fought on both sides, reflecting divisions among Muslims, often by loyalty to different Sufi orders.

<sup>43</sup> GNQSL 24.35b-37a

Some Qing officials were able to recognize, however vaguely, the relationship between events in Gansu and the arrival of new ideas and institutions from the Islamic world to the west. The Jahriyya, especially, was seen as more militant, more foreign, more subversive of social order than other Muslim solidarities. Agui, who had put down the 1781 and 1784 violence, recommended disconnecting the Gansu Muslims from Xinjiang to prevent further infection. He also believed that the source of violence lay in Salar country and proposed more effective garrisons there.44 Both of these policies reacted directly against the movement and presence of Sufis in Gansu, for the tariqa's establishment of strong connections among Muslim communities appeared to challenge state authority through that most insidious of criminal activities, voluntary association.

JONATHAN N. LIPMAN

The Qing officials had, after all, a very incomplete understanding of Islam and Muslims. When they tried to describe the ahong, imam, or shaykh, they used the title xiangvue, the Confucian local dignitary assigned to instruct the people in proper behavior and obedience to imperial writ. Not comprehending the relationship between ahong or shaykh and individual congregations, they tried to restrict, or even forbid, the mobility of religious professionals whether for employment, further education, or missions in the shaykh's name. And they completely misconstrued the centrality of the mosque and the tariga within Muslim communities, for no such institutions existed in non-Muslim Chinese society, except for Buddhist and Daoist sectarian groups.45

We must also note the importance of Qing perception of ethnocultural difference in their judgment of Sufism as potentially subversive. In theory, the Emperor gazed on all of his subjects with equal benevolence and equal condescension, but his officials on the ground tended to share the prejudices of local non-Muslim society. In Gansu, the Salars were seen to be particularly violent and subversive of social order, as the Chinese-speaking Muslims were in southern Shaanxi and Shandong. When quarrels arose between Salars who belonged to competing Sufi orders, the state's local representatives responded with suppression that polarized local society, stimulated desire for revenge, and kept the northwest unstable for over a century.

Finally, we may speculate on the causes of violent confrontations between Gansu Sufis over religious issues which were not so divisive elsewhere, such as the vocal dhikr and the wearing of shoes at funerals. These law cases argue for multiple causation and careful examination of local contexts. Certainly harsh natural and economic conditions, a complex polyethnic frontier society, the easy availability of arms and martial usages, and Q ng maladministration all put insidious pressure on Muslim communities. The appearance of rival orders within a short period of time and the ensuing struggles over initiates, mosque-building, local decision-making power, and personal loyalty also played important roles in stimulating violence. The Oing officials chose to intervene in these internecine quarrels, exacerbating them and causing some Muslims to fear, not groundlessly, that the state intended to exterminate them. Equally important, these Muslims lived very far from the centers of their faith, especially vulnerable to messages coming from the west, especially likely to see a particular ritual form or article of belief as unquestionably true or sacred because it issued from the heartlands. When shaykhs such as Ma Laicht and Ma Mingxin returned from the hajt to lead them, they believed with passion and rigid dogmatism. Their loyalties, organized effectively through the rariga, brought them into deadly confrontation with one another and with the Qing state, in the courts and then on the field of battle.

<sup>44</sup> Salazu dang an shihao 147-152

<sup>45</sup> An important passage in the Xuahna local gazetteer, Xuahua ring zhi of 1844. reprinted in Satozu shihao fila 99-103 presents these and other conventional but erroncous deas about Sufism and Islam.

## L'YIHEWANI, UNE MACHINE DE GUERRE CONTRE LE SOUFISME EN CHINE?

#### LEÏLA CHERIF-CHEBBI

L'observateur étranger aurait bien du mal à trouver toute trace de mystique soufie dans l'islam de Chinel tel que le présentent aujourd'hui les autorités musulmanes de l'Association islamique de Chine. Il le jugerait au contraire d'une orthodoxie sans faille, s'appliquant à respecter les Cinq piliers de la foi, avec une prédilection marquée pour la nouveauté que constitue le pèlerinage, autorisé à titre privé uniquement depuis une dizaine d'années. Qu'en est-il des ordres mystiques soufis qui ont dominé l'islam du Nord-Quest depuis le dix-huitième siècle, ont fait trembler l'Empire sur ses bases au siècle dernier? Ils ont été éclipsés par l'apparition, à la fin du dix-neuvième siècle, dans la province du Gansu, au Nord-Ouest, d'un mouvement réformiste d'inspiration wahhabite, l'Yihewani, de l'arabe ikhwān "frères". En quelques décennies, l'Yihewani s'est étendu à toute la Chine et est devenu un des principaux "enseignements religieux" jiaopai de Chine, dont les tenants se trouvent aujourd'hui au premier plan de l'administration islamique. Faut-il voir dans ce succès et dans le relatif effacement des confréries soufies, connues en Chine sous le nom de menhuan, une conséquence de sa lutte contre le soufisme? Au nom du "Respect du Coran et de la réforme des mœurs", l'Yihewani a condamné les pratiques des deux autres composantes de l'islam chinois, les ordres mystiques en tête, et le Oadîm.

L'Islam de Chine peut être divisé en trois vagues d'influence islamique successives ou trois grands groupes,<sup>2</sup> qui reflètent non seulement des pratiques rituelles, mais aussi des modes d'organisation différents. Le *Qadim* (Gedimu) est le groupe le plus

ancien: la mosquée est le cœur d'une petite communauté Hui. Les Hui, musulmanes de langue et de culture chinoises, quelque neuf millions aujourd'hui, sont dispersés sur tout le territoire chinois. La mosquée est administrée par un "Comité de gestion" — les notables de la communauté — qui pourvoit à son entretien, à celui de l'école pour la transmission des enseignements religieux aux enfants et de celui de l'ahong (imām) choisi par ce Comité. Chaque mosquée est indépendante des autres. L'islam qadim, de rite hanéfite très largement majoritaire en Chine, est d'une orthodoxie qui exclut le mysticisme soufi. S'étant développé au cœur de la société chinoise dominante, il est accusé par les Yihewani d'avoir inclus des pratiques et des superstitions chinoises dans ses rites.

Le second groupe est celui des confréries mystiques ou menhuan, fondées par des soufis d'Asie centrale ou par leurs disciples chinois à partir du dix-septième siècle. Les confréries, héréditaires pour la plupart, se sont constituées autour de la tombe du fondateur (gongbei, de l'arabe qubba, "dôme") ou de l'école du maître (daotang "école de la Voie"). Organisées hiérarchiquement, bénéficiant du dévouement total de leurs fidèles, elles sont devenues, au Nord-Ouest densément peuplé de Hui, d'importantes forces politiques et économiques. Leurs rivalités ont entraîné les Chinois musulmans, au dix-huitième et surtout au dix-neuvième siècles, dans des soulèvements violemment réprimés qui ont dévasté cette région. Quand la grande révolte de la Jahriyya (qui pratique un dhikr oral, au contraire de sa rivale Khafiyya), toutes deux branches de la Nagshbandiyya, s'est éteinte en 1872, le Gansu avait perdu les trois quarts de sa population dans les massacres et les déportations.

Le troisième groupe est l'Yihewani, qui introduisit un type d'organisation nouveau — une mosquée centrale commande à plusieurs mosquées satellites — plus rigide que le qadim et plus souple que celui des confréries soufies. L'Yihewani a connu des débuts difficiles, avant d'obtenir l'appui des pouvoirs musulmans locaux. L'histoire du mouvement durant la première période est relativement bien connue à travers les sources chinoises. Il n'en est pas de même pour celle du régime communiste, en raison de la rareté des sources écrites. Les conclusions présentées reposent donc sur de premières observations de terrain. Si de nos jours, l'Yihewani paraît avoir abandonné sa virulence d'antan, il reste profondément hostile au soufisme, dont il donne une image négative.

A ses débuts, l'Yihewani a manqué de peu d'être décapité Les confréries soufies avaient presque réussi à faire exécuter le fondateur

<sup>1</sup> Par islam de Chine, nous entendons l'islam implanté en Chine propre, et en excluons par conséquent l'islam des peuples turcophones et persanophones du Xinjiang (on Turkestan Onental), proche de l'Asie centrale et sans hens profonds avec l'islam de Chine.

<sup>2</sup> A propos des différents modes d'organisation de l'islam de Chine, voir Jonathan Lipman, 'The Third Wave. Establishment and Transformation of the Muslim Brotherhood in Chine', Études Orientales xin/xiv (1994) 89-106.

de l'Ythewani, Ma Wanfu (1853-1934). Une telle vindicte a sa source dans la doctrine du mouvement, qui n'est exposée que dans les études des chercheurs chinois car les textes originaux sont peu ou pas accessibles. Le message yihewani est exprimé de manière simple, sous forme de sentences en quatre ou huit caractères, typiquement chinoises, et au travers d'un "Programme en Dix Grands Points"

Le credo ythewani, avec un sens de la formule admirable, tient en quelques mots, zun Jing ge su "Respecter le Coran et réformer les moeurs", et ping Jing³ li jiao "S'appuyer sur le Coran pour fonder la religion". C'est un réformisme scripturaliste, qui exige un retour aux textes originels du Coran et de la Sunna, peu différent de celui d'autres parties du monde musulman. L'injonction de réformer les moeurs a mis le feu aux poudres. Car les Yihewani ont systématiquement combattu tout ce qui leur semblait inspiré des coutumes chinoises, qui pervertissait l'Islam originel dans les rituels du Qadīm, ainsi que, de façon plus véhémente encore, les pratiques des confréries soufies: comme l'obéissance au shaykh, la pratique du dhikr et la vénération des tombes.

Le programme en dix points, élaboré par le fondateur et ses disciples dans les dernières années du dix-neuvième siècle, n'est qu'une liste d'interdictions:

- Ne récitez pas le Coran collectivement; l'un lit, les autres écoutent.
- Ne faites pas l'éloge du Prophète à voix haute.
- Ne récitez pas trop de prière de du'ā' (requêtes à Dieu).
- Ne vénérez pas les mausolées.
- Ne récitez pas la tawba (repentir) en groupe.
- Ne commémorez pas le jour anniversaire de la mort.
- Ne demandez pas expiation (fidya, rançon) pour le mort en récitant le Coran.
- Insistez sur les pratiques issues des Cinq obligations, non pas sur les pratiques annexes.
- Insistez sur les rites simples et faciles à pratiquer.
- Ne chargez pas un intermédiaire de lire le Coran pour vous, lisez-le vous-même.<sup>4</sup>

Ce programme s'inspire de la dénonciation de dix bida' (innovations néfastes) recensées par al-Birkawi (Birgih) dans son ouvrage parvenu en Chine à la fin du siècle dernier, al Tariqa al muhammadiya. Associé au mot d'ordre "Supprimons les menhuan (confréries mystiques)", il a été bien évidemment perçu comme une déclaration de guerre et a suscité une très vive hostilité des confréries, de plus en plus forte à mesure que l'Yihewani faisait des adeptes, et ralliait à lui de grands imams de ces confréries.

La première phase du mouvement est indissociable de l'itinéraire de son fondateur, Nüh Ma Wanfu ou Ma Guoyuan, du nom de son village d'origine (1853-1934).6 Celui-ci naquit à Guoyuan, dans ce qui est aujourd'hui le District autonome Dongxiang, à l'intérieur de la Préfecture autonome Hui de Linxia (autrefois nommé Hezhou et surnommé Mecque de la Chine), dans la province du Gansu. Ma Wanfu n'est pas Hui, comme la plupart des musulmans de Chine, mais d'origine Dongxiang, une caractéristique souvent omise des biographies. Les Dongxiang sont des musulmans de langue mongole, qui vivent dans les provinces du Gansu et du Qinghai. Ils sont proches des Hui, avec lesquels ils partagent la religion, les confrénes mystiques, le patronyme et le mode de vie; les intermariages Hui-Dongxiang sont fréquents. Les Dongxiang se distinguent assez peu des Hui et, à Linxia, les Hui refusent de les différencier d'euxmêmes. Ils sont réputés pour leurs qualités guerrières, mais surtout pour la ferveur de leur foi et de leur pratique de l'islam. Ma Wanfu connaissait d'autant mieux les confrénes soufies qu'il naquit dans une famille d'imams de la confrérie Nagshbandiyya Khafiyya Beizhuang, qu'il étudia au sein cette tariqu et y enseigna de nombreuses années après avoir "endossé le manteau", c'est-de-dire avoir reçu le titre d'ahong (imam) à vingt-deux ans.

En 1886, il se rendît à trente-trois ans en pèlerinage à la Mecque, en compagnie de son ancien professeur et d'un chef Dongxiang Ma Huisan (?-1895). Le voyage, par voie à terre de travers l'Asie centrale, l'Afghanistan, l'Iran et l'Irak, dura un an et demi. Au cours de son pèlerinage, Ma Wanfu fut influencé par la doctrine wahhābite.

<sup>3</sup> Jing désigne les textes canoniques classiques, les musulmans chinois utilisent ce terme soit pour les textes islamiques classiques en général, ce qui n'est pas le cas ici, soit plus spécifiquement pour le Coran et la Sunna, soit encore pour le seul Livre révété.

<sup>4</sup> D. Chen, 'Notes sur l'instoure de l'Ihewaniyya en Chine (d'après deux notes à Ma T. (1983 et 1986)', L'Islam en Chine du sud-est à partir des documents épigraphiques (Paus,

EHESS, 1991).

<sup>5</sup> Y. Wang, 'Yihewani zongjiao gexin zhuzhang shulfie'. Ningxia shehui kexue xlin (1990) 41, la meation de l'ouvrage figure également chez T Ma. Zhongguo Yisilan jiaopai yu menhuan suyuon (Yinchuan 1986).

<sup>6</sup> La biographie la plus complète et sans doute la plus fiable de Ma Wanfu a été rédigée par Ma Kexun qui, en l'absence de sources écutes, a recueilli les récits de proches, ce qui lui confère un aspect quelque peu hagiographique. K. Ma, "Zhonguo Yisilanjiao Yihewam pai de changdaozhe — Ma Wanfu (Guoyuan)". Visilanjiao zin Zhonguo (Yinchuan 1982) 439-458.

Il étudia ensuite quatre ans à la Mecque, d'abord trois ans dans l'école d'un savant renommé, Khalīl Pasha? et aurait, dans le même temps, été formé par deux prêcheurs wahhābites, 'Uthmân et Sālim.8 La dernière année se fit sous le patronage d'un autre savant, Abū Gayl qui lui fit savoir qu'il en savait assez et qu'il était temps pour lui de regagner son pays afin d'y réformer l'Islam.

En 1892, Ma Wanfu rentra par Canton, vers sa région d'origine; en route, il s'arrêta au Hubei où il ensergna durant un an aux habitants d'une petite ville, Laohekou. De retour au Gansu, il prêcha pendant deux ans dans le village de Ma Huisan, réunissant autour de lui étudiants et imams célèbres. Neuf d'entre eux formèrent avec lui ce que la tradition a désigné comme les "Dix grands ahong" de l'Yihewani. Ils semblent avoir été en majorité Dongxiang, et ne sont souvent cités que par des surnoms. De plus, certains des noms des "Dix grands imams" diffèrent, car chacun, dans la tradition populaire, a eu tendance à y placer l'imam renommé de sa région. Ma Wanfu élabora avec eux la doctrine Yihewani, sur la base d'ouvrages rapportés de pèlerinage. Ces livres seront, symétriquement aux Dix grands ahong ou aux Dix grands points. surnommés les "Dix grands classiques" du mouvement. La production d'un ou plusieurs ouvrages religieux, après un pèlerinage à la Mecque assorti de quelques années d'études, assoit le prestige de tout réformateur chinois digne de ce nom. Ces ouvrages n'ont pu être tous identifiés, car les caractères chinois ne sont souvent qu'une lointaine transcription phonétique, tronquée parfois, de l'arabe. Citons-en certains: Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb: Khashf alshubuhāt; Ibn Taymiyya. Majmū'at al-fatāwā; Muhammad Amin Efendi al-Birkawi: Ingadh al-na'imin; al-Tariga al-muhammadiyya; Majālis irshādiyya; et Mahmūd al-Ālūsī; Rūh al-ma'ānī (ce commentaire du Coran en neuf volumes traduit l'influence d'Ibn Taimiyya et fait de son auteur le précurseur de la Salafiyya en Irak).9 Ces ouvrages révèlent une solide influence hanbalite et wahhabite. alors que l'école juridique qui domine la Chine est hanéfite. Toutefois, ni Ma Wanfu, ni l'Ythewant après lut, n'abandonnèrent jamais officiellement ce dernier rite. Mais, selon le professeur Ma Tong, l'Yihewani survrait en réalité les rites hanbalites.

Le prêche de Ma Wanfu a suscité la colère des confréries de la région de Dongxiang, premières visées par son réformisme, et qui voyaient leurs imams se presser autour du réformateur Pour des raisons assez obscures. Ma Wanfu participa activement en 1895, aux côtés d'un chef militaire Dongxiang, à une révolte musulmane qui embrasa le Oinghai et le Gansu. D'une rivalité entre confréries (l'origine de la querelle était de savoir s'il fallait nouer le turban pour la prière avec ou sans un pan qui retombe dans le dos!), 10 la révolte se généralisa après que la répression se fut abattue sur tous les Hut sans distinction. 11 Ma Wanfu laissa passer le gros de l'orage en se cachant dans l'est du Gansu, à Jingning, puis revint vers l'ouest, à Monigou, où il y enseigna dix ans. Ces dix années furent celles du mûrissement de sa pensée et de la structuration de son prêche. Son mouvement se prénommat alors Aiheli sunnai "Gens de la Sunna". L'apparition du terme Yihewani (Frères), plus tardive, n'est pas datée avec précision. La plupart des auteurs indiquent que cette dénomination se référait au texte coranique "tous les musulmans sont frères" et ne veulent pas y voir, hormis Ma Tong ou Feng Jinyuan, 12 de hen direct avec l'organisation fondée par 'Abd al-'Aziz en 1912.

Ma Wanfu rédigea, en collaboration avec ses étudiants, une compilation des ouvrages rapportés de la Mecque, sous le titre Buhali Zande (?) "Guide des égarés". Les vingt copies de cet opuscule furent détruites par le seigneur de guerre de Linxia, Ma Anliang (1855-1918), adepte du Huasi, une branche de la Naqshbandiyya Khafiyya. Les étudiants de Ma Wanfu à Xining réécrivirent l'ouvrage en chinois et en xiaoerjing, notation du chinois en alphabet persan, et le publièrent sous le titre Huijiao duben (Manuel de religion Hui)

L'opposition des confréries, qui accusaient Ma Wanfu d'être un fauteur de troubles, le poussa, en 1907, à se rendre à Xi'an, capitale provinciale du Shaanxi, comme simple étudiant; moqué par les autres en raison de son grand âge, sa science religieuse trahit son

<sup>7</sup> Ma Tong, et cela n'est confirmé mille part milleurs, undique que ce savant appartenant à la Khafiyya; on peut donc supposer que ce professeur était un shaykh Nagshbandi. Voir T. Ma, op cit. 183-185.

<sup>8</sup> T Ma, shid.

<sup>9</sup> Y Wang, op. cit. 42

<sup>10</sup> Z. Gao, 'Jiantun yisalan jiaopai zhi zheng de lishi suyuan yu woguo shehii. zhuyi shiqi de jiaopai tuanjie', Gansu minzu yanjiu ni-iv (1985) 45.

<sup>11</sup> Voir au sujet de cette révolte J. Lapman, 'Patchwork society, Network Society A Study of Sino-Muslim Communities', in Magnes (éd.), Islam in Asia (Jerusalem 1984) n. 26. 262.

<sup>12</sup> J. Feng, Zhongguo de Yisilanjiao (Ymchuan 1991) 64.

<sup>13</sup> Traduction donnée par D. Chen sur les indications de T. Ma. D'après le sens de cette traduction, nous pouvous suggérer un titre arabe Burhān al-zanādiqa

identité. 14 Il se rendit ensuite à Ankang où il fut imam. La cohabitation s'est déroulée plus pacifiquement à Ankang, parce que, d'une part il n'y avait pratiquement pas de soufis au Shaanxi, et que d'autre part, le Qadım à Ankang ne s'est jamais opposé aussi vivement à l'Yihewani que les confréries ou que les tenants du Oadim à Xi'an. 15 En 1911, à la chute de l'Empire, croyant qu'un changement dynastique allait abolir les poursuites contre lui, il rentra à Linxia, où il fut responsable de la grande mosquée Nanguan. Las, Linxia qui abrite une vingtaine de sous-branches de turuq (sur la quarantaine que compte la Chine) allait se révéler fatale. La confrontation se fit plus vive, à la mesure de son succès grandissant. Les confréries en appelèrent au gouvernement provincial contre ce trublion qui mettait en péril la paix sociale. Il fut banni avec interdiction de prêcher au Gansu. Il partit donc vers l'ouest, pour le Xinjiang en 1914. La protestation des confrénes avait en d'autant plus de succès qu'elle était appuyée par Ma Anliang. Ce dernier, fort d'une ancienne fraternité d'armes avec le seigneur de guerre du Xinjiang, fit poursuivre Ma Wanfu jusqu'à Hami, ville la plus orientale du Xinjiang, car la renommée de prêcheur de ce dermer en cette ville avait atteint le Gansu et alerté ses ennemis. Ma Wanfu fut arrêté en décembre 1917 et transporté en fourgon cellulaire vers le Gansu pour y être exécuté. Début 1918, il fut délivré par une troupe envoyée par le seigneur de guerre musulman du Qinghai, Ma Qi, et conduit de Xining.

La réussite de son évasion changea le cours des choses. L'Yihewani, jusqu'alors localisé au Gansu et dans les provinces avoisinantes, pratiquement inconnu du reste de la Chine, à deux doigts d'être éradiqué par les confréries soufies aidées de certains pouvoirs locaux de chefs militaires ou de province, allait acquérir une tout autre ampleur.

Une chance historique allait permettre à l'Yihewani de connaître un destin différent, et lui épargna de ne devenir qu'une secte musulmane parmi tant d'autres au Nord-Ouest. L'appui des pouvoirs musulmans qui ont contrôlé les provinces du Qinghai, du Gansu et du Ningxia, des années vingt à la fin des années quarante, une

organisation axée sur le prêche et l'enseignement, en firent un mouvement de premier ordre sur tout le territoire chinois.

Depuis l'installation du fondateur de l'Yihewam à Xining, 16 le mouvement a inversé la situation en sa faveur dans les régions contrôlées par les chefs militaires musulmans: Ma Qi (1869-1931), dont le pouvoir initialement cantonné au Qinghai s'est étendu au Gansu durant les années vingt, son fils Ma Bufang (1903-1975), ainsi que Ma Fuxiang (1876-1932) et son fils Ma Hongkui (1892-1970) pour le Ningxia. Ces seigneurs de guerre, tous originaires de Linxia au Gansu, ont trouvé dans le soutien à l'Yihewani, à ses thèmes fédérateurs, une assise, une légitimité religieuse à leur pouvoir, tout en se constituant une clientèle de religieux qui leur étaient redevables d'un appui armé, social et économique, au détriment des confréries dont la puissance leur portait ombrage.

Ma Oi, qui fut jusqu'au bout un militaire fidèle à la dynastie Qing, s'était installé à Xining au Qinghai en 1911. Il se révéla, en plus d'un guerrier, un administrateur hors pair et un habile politique. Il se constitua une armée solide qui lui permit de mater les révoltes tibétaines qui secouaient le joug chinois. 17 Sa rivalité avec son ancien protecteur Ma Anliang (mort en 1918, ce qui lui laissa le champ libre pour dominer le Gansu), l'incita certainement à sauver Ma Wanfu. Surtout, il avait perçu les potentialités offertes par cette nouvelle secte. Les confréries étaient par trop divisées pour lui accorder un soutien unanime, et leurs chefs se posaient en concurrents de son pouvoir, par l'ascendant qu'ils exerçaient sur leurs fidèles, par leur puissance économique et même militaire. Ma Qi avait tenté une première fois d'introduire l'Yihewani à Xining, en faisant appel en 1914 à un des "Dix grands ahong". Zhe Zigou (certainement un surnom), pour prendre la tête de la Grande mosquée Dongguan de Xining, la plus importante de la province. rénovée par les soins du seigneur de guerre. Durant deux ans, Zhe Zigou tenta d'imposer les préceptes de l'Yihewani à Xining, mais sans succès, et fut renvoyé à Linxia en 1916. Ma Wanfu, au

<sup>14</sup> Bat Shouyi a rédigé une biographie assez anecdotique de la vie de Ma Wanfu, notamment sur son séjour au Shaanxi et à la fin de sa vie à Xining. Voir 'Zhonguo Yisilan jingshi chuan', Bat Shouyi minzu zongjiao tunji (Benjiag 1992) 441-443.

<sup>15</sup> Sur l'oppositron entre Yihewani et Qadim à Xi'an, voir J. Feng, 'Cong fenlie dao tuanue de Shaanxi Yisilan paopai', Zhongguo Yisilanpao yanjiu (Xming 1987) 276-290.

<sup>16</sup> L'organisation de l'Yihewani à Xining est désnite par D. Liu, 'Zhongguo Yisilanjiao Yihewani pai zai Xining de chuanbo', Zhongguo Yisilanjiao yanjiu (Xining 1987) 291-308

<sup>17</sup> Ma Qi est dépent comme un héros que a contrabné à garder le Tibet dans l'orbite chinouse, se montrant intransigeant sur tout abandon de souverameté dans les régions qu'il contrôlait. Voir J. Lu, 'Ma Qi zai Xizang bian stushang de gongzi', Linxia wenshi ziliao xuanji v (Linxia 1989) 73-76. Plus de la moitié des Tibétains viveat hors de ce qui est aujourd'hui la Région autonome du Tibet, principalement au Sichuan, au Qinghai (1 million dans chacune des provinces) et au Gansu (400 000).

contraire, réussit à rallier à lui de nombreux imams et personnalités religieuses de la ville et, lors de ses prêches, le vendredi, il ne manquait pas de louer son bienfaiteur. A partir de 1923, Ma Wanfu se rendit également dans les districts avoisinants de Xining. Il finit sa

vie à Xining, entouré du plus grand respect.

Ma Qi, puis son fils Ma Bufang parvenu au pouvoir en 1932, ont utilisé la force pour imposer l'Yihewani, quand le prêche ne suffisait pas à gagner imams et mosquées. Les heurts furent nombreux, décrits de façon très laconique par nos sources. En 1923, à Xunhua, un district à l'est de Xining et proche du Gansu, ils firent plus de soixante morts, avant que les Ythewani parviennent à y imposer leurs imams. En 1940, les Yihewani voulurent détruire la grande mosquée soufie de Dongxiang au Gansu; les affrontements durèrent trois mois et firent cent-cinquante morts. 18 Une illustration plus complète de ces actions coercitives figure dans la biographie d'un shaykh soufi Naqshbandi Ma Guozhen (1870-1963), dirigeant à la dixième génération du menhuan Bijiachang affilié à la branche Khafiyya, qui fut l'un des opposants les plus résolus de l'Ythewant; il fut par ailleurs un grand patriote et assuma de hautes responsabilités sous le régime communiste. En 1936, Ma Bufang, 19 qui était profondément attaché à l'Yihewani, au contraire de son père pour lequel l'adhésion paraît avoir été dictée par l'opportunisme, envoya des prêcheurs investir toutes les mosquées de Linxia. Ma Guozhen s'y opposa avec force. Lui et les fidèles obligèrent l'imam qu'on voulait leur imposer à se retirer, barricadèrent la porte d'une mosquée célèbre de Linxia et déployèrent une banderole réclamant "la liberté de croyance religieuse". Arrêté, Ma Guozhen déclara qu'il préférait mourir plutôt que de vivre à genoux; il fut finalement relâché deux mois plus tard, à la suite de pressions des notables et de la population.20 L'Yihewani supportait si mal la concurrence, que la Salafiyya, une branche issue d'une scission interne à la fin des

18 T Ma, Zhonguo Xibei Yisilanjiao de fiben tezheng (Lanzhou 1990) 8.

années trente, fut elle aussi durement réprimée par Ma Bufang, alors même qu'elle était soutenue par son oncle. Entravée dans son développement avant l'arrivée des communistes au pouvoir, son essor date de la fin des années soixante dix.<sup>21</sup>

L'appui des seigneurs de guerre musulmans contre les confréries, s'est traduit par l'emploi de la coercition là où le rapport de forces était favorable, au Qinghai et au Gansu. Il a pris des biais plus pacifiques, et tout aussi efficaces pour la conquête du terrain religieux, quand la contrainte ne pouvait être utilisée.

Au Ningxia, Ma Fuxiang favorisa l'Yihewani pour l'enseignement

rénové que ce mouvement proposait, mais il ne semble pas qu'il ait voulu en faire un instrument privilégié de pouvoir. En effet, Ma Fuxiang, qui reçut une instruction confucéenne classique, portait une grande attention de la diffusion de l'enseignement chez les Hui et prônait une éducation moderne, qui complétait le cursus traditionnel en arabe et persan par la littérature et la culture chinoises. Il offrit des aides matérielles substantielles et se servit de son pouvoir politique en faveur de nombreux projets mis sur pied souvent loin de la région où il exerçait son influence, à Pékin et à Shanghai notamment. Son fils Ma Hongkui, venu au pouvoir au Ningxia à partir de 1924, car son père assumait diverses fonctions hors du Ningxia, a au contraire largement utilisé l'Yihewani pour des fins politiques au Ningxia, en interrompant, après la mort de son père, les subsides que ce dernier

versait aux écoles musulmanes hors de la province. Ma Hongkui

éprouva des difficultés à parvenir à ses fins, parce que son cousin,

chef militaire comme lui, était un adepte de tariga, et sa mère, une

fervente pratiquante du Qadim, mais surtout, parce que les confréries

étaient trop puissantes pour être attaquées de front. Il proclama

ouvertement n'avoir aucune préférence pour tel groupe religieux ou

tel autre.

La rivalité entre Yihewani et turuq fut âpre au Ningxia dans les premiers temps de la propagation de l'Yihewani, en raison de la virulence du prêche. Le plus grand imam yihewani au Ningxia fut Hu Songshan (1880-1956).<sup>23</sup> Issu d'une famille de religieux de la

<sup>19</sup> Ma Bufang (1903-1975) s'enfant à Taïwan en 1949. Il résida en Egypte de 1950 à 1957, date à laquelle l'Egypte a noué officiellement des relations avec la Chine. Il assuma ensuite le poste d'ambassadeur de Taïwan en Arabie Saoudite. En mai 1961, accusé de corruption, il démissionna et demeura en Arabie Saoudite jusqu'à sa mort. Une partie de sa famille réside à Taïwan, mais quelques trois mille Hus, qui furent ses proches, vivent aujourd'hus en Arabie Saoudite et sont accueillis à bras ouverts en Chine depuis quelques années.

<sup>20</sup> T Li, 'Argue zongjiao xuezhe -- Ma Gwezhen', Linxia wenshi ziliao xuanji v. 109-

<sup>21</sup> Sur l'histoire de la Salafiyya, voir, cutre autres, Y. Da, 'Zhongguo Yisilanjiao Sailanfeiye pai shulle', Zhongguo Yisilanjiao yanjiu wenji (Yinchuan 1988) 157-170.

<sup>22 &</sup>quot;Xingban Huimin jizoyu", *Ningxia sun Ma* (Berpng 1988) 20-25. L'article, faudatif, est le seul qui ne porte pas de nom d'auteur

<sup>23</sup> Four une taographie détaillée de Hu Songshan, mais dans laquelle les dates font parfois défaut. Z. Ye, 'Ningxia Yihewani zhuming jingxuena Hu Songshan', Qingdai Zhongguo Yisilanjiao lita (Yinchuan 1981) 308-325.

Khafiyya, il eut un professeur yihewani et commença très tôt, vers 1902, à propager ses enseignements. Son opposition déterminée au soufisme lui fit détruire le mausolée de son père, mort en 1898. Son acte provoqua une vive émotion au sein des confréries, et Hu Songshan fut incarcéré plusieurs mois. Jusqu'aux années vingt, l'opposition entre soufis et Yihewani provoqua des heurts violents de Helan, et à Guyuan où l'imam ythewam fut enfermé et empêché à prêcher par la Jahriyya Beaucoup de religieux quittèrent l'Yihewani à cause de ces tracasseries. Hu Songshan lui-même, après son incarcération, avait renoncé à prêcher durant trois ans et s'était fait commercant. Pour remédier aux défections et aux difficultés, une faction "tiède" de l'4, conduite par Hu Songshan, décida de ne plus s'en prendre aux confrénes, de modérer l'extrémisme du prêche, sur le principe que "chacun se conduise comme il l'estime approprié", "chacun s'occupe de ses affaires", "pas d'ingérence".24 La même attitude prévalut au Shaanxi face au Qadim. Cette évolution du mouvement vers une normalisation lui attira les sympathies des plus modérés, notamment hors du Nord-Ouest.

Ma Hongkus s'ingénia à rendre l'Yshewani populaire par d'autres moyens Par l'intermédiaire de la "Délégation pour le Ningxia de l'Association islamique de Chine", il tenta d'introduire plusieurs mesures, mais sans grand succès: la "très grande réforme", vers le milieu des années trente, de la prière du Vendredi, qui aurait obligé les fidèles d'un lieu à se rendre à la mosquée la plus ancienne près de leur lieu de résidence, revenait à imposer le système yshewani de "mosquées centrales" (que nous exposerons plus loin); autre mesure préconisée, mais avec aussi peu de succès, faire de la commémoration de la naissance du Prophète une cérémonie plus importante que celle du sacrifice. Plus fructueuse en revanche, fut l'aide de Ma Hongkui à l'enseignement coranique. Il finança largement les mosquées, en distribuant dans toute la province un grand nombre de bourses, ou encore un petit capital qui permettant aux mosquées d'investir en terres, cheptel ou immobilier. 25

Car l'argent fut le nerf de la guerre que menait l'Yihewani contre les confrénes. En effet, l'Yihewani avait popularisé une formule en huit caractères, chile bu nian, nianle bu chi (quand on mange, on ne lit pas [le Coran], quand on lit, on ne mange pas), qui interdisait à un imam de recevoir paiement de ses services pour sa lecture du Coran

à l'occasion de cérémonies. L'Ythewani proscrivait également tout don au shaykh d'une confrérie. C'était couper ainsi les confréries (et les imams du Oadim) d'une source importante de revenus.

A l'opposé, la doctrine yihewani, en recommandant le strict accomplissement des "Cinq obligations" avant toute autre, remettait à l'honneur la pratique tombée en désuétude de la zakāt, qui se substituait ainsi aux dons volontaires. Une gestron rigoureuse de ce revenu et l'aide matérielle des seigneurs de guerre permettaient aux Yihewani d'assurer un revenu régulier à leurs imams, alors qu'ordinairement les imams étaient choisis et rémunérés par les fidèles constitués en Comité de gestion de la mosquée et changeaient souvent d'affectation. Wang Yonghang souligne que cet allègement apparent des charges des fidèles que représentait la cessation de dons à l'imam ou aux confrénes fut pour beaucoup dans la popularité de l'Yihewani. Il est vrai que les turug tiraient amplement profit de leurs fidèles; elles possédaient souvent les terres sur lesquelles travaillant une paysannerie pauvre. En plus de leurs possessions, les confréries recueillaient et recueillent aujourd'hui encore d'importantes sommes d'argent à l'occasion des cérémonies.

Wang Yonghang remarque que, passés les débuts, l'Yihewani s'est comporté, en matière d'exploitation de la générosité des fidèles, comme les autres groupes religieux, grâce à la zakāt, devenue obligatoire, les mosquées se sont constitué un patrimoine, tout comme les confrénes. Pour les nécessités du prêche, elles formaient un grand nombre d'étudiants, qui étajent assurés, nous l'avons vu, de recevoir une rémunération régulière par la suite, détail qui ne pouvait manquer de susciter et fortifier certaines vocations. La Grande Mosquée Donguan de Xining possédait des terres, un parc immobilier important en location et des revenus de prêts à des marchands; elle avait été dotée par Ma Bufang d'un capital de 50,000 yuan. A Huangzhong, dans le Qinghai, une grande mosquée yihewani, où enseignèrent des imams célèbres dont les deux fils de Ma Wanfu, pouvait faire entretenir son personnel de onze personnes par 247 familles avec les 6800 livres de céréales pour prix de la location de ses terres et les 9000 livres fournies annuellement au titre de la zakāt,26

Le soin avec lequel l'Yihewani constitua un réseau de mosquées centralisées et dépendantes, structura son mode d'enseignement et de

<sup>24</sup> W Mian, Ningxia Yisilan jiaopai gaiyao (Yinchuan 1981) 119-121.

<sup>25</sup> W Mian, ibid. 122-124.

<sup>26</sup> Y. Wang, op. cit. 47

prêche, fut un des atouts de son succès.

L'organisation de l'Yihewani, autour de la Grande Mosquée Dongguan, fut confiée à un cousin de Ma Oi, Ma Jun (Ahong Changbozi "Long cou"), mort la même année que lui, en 1931. Durant près de quatorze ans, Ma Jun fut un dirigeant énergique. Il ajouta à la doctrine vihewant des indications pratiques, qui réglaient le quotidien; ses premières recommandations furent, encore une fois, des condamnations des pratiques confrériques: interdiction de se soumettre à un shaykh; ne pas effectuer les "Cinq obligations" pour se consacrer à des actes superfétatoires était une "innovation" condamnable; il ne fallait pas célébrer de cérémonies d'anniversaire d'une mort (une pratique populaire répandue bien au-delà du soufisme). Les funérailles furent une des préoccupations majeures de l'Yihewani: il était interdit de porter le deuil (une coutume chinoise qui veut que les personnes se vêtent en blanc et se ceignent de chanvre), ou de pleurer; le cercueil devait être porté par quatre personnes et non huit, durant dix pas... La vie quotidienne était réglementée: les femmes devaient porter le voile, mais il était interdit de leur bander les pieds (car c'était une offense à la création divine). Les hommes devaient se laisser pousser la barbe à l'âge de vingt ans et couper leurs cheveux (et l'on ajoutait souvent qu'ils devaient porter des vêtements à la manière saoudienne). Il était interdit de souhaiter le Nouvel An aux non-musulmans, et de chanter ou de danser lors des mariages.27 Ces prescriptions, et on pourrait en citer d'autres, illustrent le fondamentalisme profond de la doctrine, l'aspiration à se conformer à la Sunna dans les actes quotidiens, et incluaient une coupure avec le reste de la société chinoise.

Ma Jun fit de Dongguan le centre d'un dispositif de contrôle étroit des mosquées yihewant, et une institution destinée à la formation des prêcheurs d'une dimension inusitée en Chine. Les mosquées furent organisées hiérarchiquement: une "mosquée centrale" dite hayi (vraisemblablement de l'arabe hayy "quartier") supervisait de petites mosquées satellites. La prière du vendredi, les deux fêtes du sacrifice et de la rupture du jeûne se célébraient dans la mosquée centrale. Les imams formés dans la mosquée Dongguan étaient dépêchés, soit en tant que prêcheurs itinérants, soit dans des mosquées convoitées, protégés par la force au besoin comme nous l'avons vu, soit encore dans de nouvelles mosquées construites à côté d'anciennes qui n'avaient pu être investies. Pour faciliter la formation de ces

prêcheurs, une "Société pour le progrès de l'enseignement musulman", située dans l'enceinte de la mosquée Dongguan, fut créée en 1922; Ma Qi en fut le président et Ma Jun le vice-président.<sup>28</sup>

La structuration de l'Yihewani fut parachevée sous le règne de Ma Bufang qui nomma là encore un proche, ancien élève de Ma Wanfu, Ma Xiangchen (Ma Lu), qui dirigea Dongguan de 1932 à sa mort en 1946. La formation des imams était scindée en deux niveaux, le niveau moyen formait soixante-dix élèves, le supérieur cinquante. Logement et nournture étaient assurés par Ma Bufang. Des imams de tout le pays, de Shanghai, du Hubei, du Sichuan, du Henan y firent leurs classes. Dongguan avait sous sa dépendance, en tant que quartier général des mosquées centrales, plus de mille mosquées au Gansu et au Oinghai.

A Xining, Ma Xiangchen contrôlait fermement les affaires religieuses et empêchait les activités des confréries. Ceux qui n'étaient pas Yihewani n'étaient pas autorisés à bénéficier de cérémonies funéraires, ne pouvaient faire célébrer leur mariage, il leur était interdit de rendre visite aux tombes, etc... des amendes étaient imposées aux contrevenants qui avaient recours aux imams non yihewani.<sup>29</sup>

L'enseignement fut en définitive le meilleur instrument de propagation de l'Ythewani. L'effort porta, comme nous l'avons vu, sur la formation de prêcheurs. Le contenu des cours, autrefois centré uniquement sur l'apprentissage des textes sacrés et de leurs exégèses en arabe et en persan, fit une place à l'apprentissage du chinois, jusqu'alors laissé à la seule appréciation de l'étudiant. Les imams traditionnels ne savaient souvent que lire le Coran et la Sunna, sans en comprendre le sens profond. L'idée fondamentale fut qu'il serait beaucoup plus aisé pour des jeunes de pouvoir s'appuyer sur des traductions chinoises afin de saisir plus rapidement et plus facilement la signification de textes parfois hermétiques. Leur apprentissage en serait accéléré d'autant 30 L'Ythewani a systématisé ce type d'enseignement au Nord-Ouest, alors qu'originellement, Ma Wanfu refusait que l'enseignement religieux pût être dispensé en partie en chinois. Il interdisait aux membres de sa famille de

<sup>28</sup> Qinghai lishi jiyao (Ximing 1987) 288, 334.

<sup>29</sup> D. Liu, op. cat. 315-318.

<sup>30</sup> Y. Wang, op. cit. 45-46.

s'exprimer autrement qu'en dongxiang. Mais, après sa mort, ses fils, imams à leur tour, enseignèrent en chinois.

C'est au Ningxia, sous l'influence de Hu Songshan,31 que l'enseignement moderne fut le mieux développé, avec l'aide de Ma Fuxiang et de Ma Hongkui qui créèrent on soutinrent plusieurs écoles de renom dans les années vingt et trente. Par l'apprentissage du chinois et des matières profanes comme les mathématiques, l'histoire et la géographie, de nombreux jeunes se familiarisèrent avec la société chinoise, mettant fin à la coupure qu'induisaient auparavant les études religieuses. Remarquons que l'enseignement bilingue avait été abordé depuis longtemps dans l'est de la Chine, en raison de l'imbrication des Hui au sein de la population chinoise, et que les premières traductions du Coran y furent toutes menées dès la fin des années vingt.32 Pour assurer l'apprentissage, les imams yihewani, au premier chef Hu Songshan, publièrent des traductions, rédigèrent des petits manuels explicatifs de l'Islam en un chinois proche du langage parlé, des glossaires et des grammaires d'arabe ou de persan.33

Cet effort dans les domaines de l'enseignement et de la traduction, et la production littéraire qui en résulta, attirèrent l'intérêt de nombreux réformateurs de l'Est pour l'Yihewani. L'un des "Quatre grands imams" de la période républicaine, Wang Jingzhai (Wang Wenqing 1879-1949) fut très proche des thèses des Yihewani, qui le proclament l'un des leurs. Wang Jingzhai mena lui-même à bien une traduction du Coran. Il écrivit en 1936 un éloge funèbre de Ma Wanfu, qu'il avait découvert tardivement. Il a ouvert très largement aux Yihewani les colonnes de sa revue Yiguang (Lumière de l'islam), l'une des plus célèbres publications Hui dans la première moitié du vingtième siècle, favorisant ainsi la propagation des thèses vihewani qui recurent un écho certain dans l'Est et le Sud-Ouest. L'imam réformateur de Shanghai, Ha Decheng, un autre des "Quatre grands imams", dans un petit opuscule intitulé "Brève introduction à l'Islam", préconisait l'étude personnelle du Coran, et condamnait fermement les pratiques liées au culte des tombes. Au Yunnan l'Ythewani fut introduit et propagé par l'imam Ma Ankang (Ma Jianzhi 1869-1957), un imam qui étudia auprès de Ma Wanfu.

Grâce à son effort d'explication et de simplification, l'Yihewani obtint un large écho à travers toute la Chine Soutenu par la puissance politique et financière des seigneurs de guerre, et relayé par des intellectuels de l'est ou du sud-ouest de la Chine, il devint un mouvement d'obédiance nationale. Dans le Nord-Ouest, la propagation du mouvement s'effectua largement au détriment des confréries soufies.

Aux débuts du régime communiste, de 1949 à 1956, le pouvoir, prudent, a souvent maintenu en place les anciens notables Hui, même ralliés de dernière minute. Ainsi, le frère de Ma Hongkui, Ma Hongbin (1884-1960) a rejoint in extremis les rangs des communistes et a exercé des fonctions au sein du nouveau gouvernement (vice-président du Ningxia, du Gansu et président du Comité des Affaires pour les Minorités du Gansu). Il est difficile de savoir si l'une ou l'autre des factions a reçu un traitement plus favorable durant cette période. Les récits historiques s'achèvent pour la plupart en 1949; les événements, jusqu'en 1980, ne sont évoqués que de manière très parcellaire, passés de surcroît au filtre du discours idéologique. Il est plausible que, dans le Nord-Ouest, le pouvoir ait accueilli tous ceux qui voulaient le soutenir en échange de la paix sociale (des Hui avait lutté contre les Communistes jusqu'en 1952). La reconnaissance des élites religieuses en place ne pouvait que favoriser cette paix. Toutefois Yihewani et Salafiyya disposaient d'un meilleur crédit auprès des Communistes. Aux yeux de ces dermers, l'appartion des menhuan (confrénes) était liée, dans les régions d'économie féodale agraire, à la constitution d'une classe de religieux qui, grâce aux revenus de la zakāt, avaient pu acheter des terres et devenir de grands propriétaires terriens, et qui avaient créé des confrénes héréditaires afin de transmettre ces biens de leurs descendants. A l'inverse, l'Ythewani et la Salafiyya semblaient plus soucieuses de l'intérêt général des Hui.34

Dès 1954, une fois la situation intérieure maîtrisée, les activités religieuses furent plus strictement contrôlées, et puis, de 1957 de 1979, elles furent combattues. Il y eut de grands procès contre les chefs de turuq: Ma Zhenwu, shaykh d'une sous-branche Jahriyya de la Naqshbandiyya au Ningxia, fut démis en 1958 et exécuté en 1961 à la suite d'un procès retentissant. Les Yihewani aussi eurent leurs martyrs, Ma Fulong et Chen Keli, morts en détention en 1970. Le

<sup>31</sup> Sur le rôle de Hu Songshan dans transformation de l'Yihewani en un mouvement patriotique et modernisateur, voir Lipman, 'The Third Wave' 89-106

<sup>32</sup> Au sujet de la traduction du Coran, voir Y Jin, 'The Kur'an in China', in Contributions to Asian Studies xvii, 94 101

<sup>33</sup> W Mian, op. est. 130.

<sup>34</sup> Huihui munzu wenti (Berjing, 2è édition 1982) 58-64. Texte rédigé en 1941

premier, imam du Ningxia, avait étudié auprès de Hu Songshan, puis à l'Université de Pékin en 1946 où il fit connaissance de Ma Jian. 35 Il écrivit de nombreux articles durant son séjour de trois ans de Pékin, notamment sur la situation de l'islam au Ningxia. Son principal ouvrage, "Bref essai sur l'Islam", paru en 1954, fut aussitôt accusé d'appeler à "restaurer la religion féodale". Ma Fulong fut emprisonné jusqu'à sa mort en 1970, mais continua de pratiquer et d'écrire en prison, malgré les pressions subies pour qu'il apostasie. 36 Le second grand imam, Chen Keli, originaire du Henan, fut un traducteur infatigable; on lui doit entre autres un recueil de Sunna (al-Tāj al-jamī l'il-uṣūl fī ahādīth al-rasūl).

Depuis le début des années quatre-vingts, l'islam, de nouveau autorisé, rattrape le terrain perdu. Les études qui lui sont consacrées se libèrent peu à peu, et non sans une certaine retenue, de la tutelle d'un discours imposé. Les événements des années noires sont évoqués, à grand-peine. Certains sujets restent tabous. Les conflits entre groupes religieux depuis 1949 (hormis les conflits internes des confréries) relèvent de cette catégorie. L'étude sur le terrain, essentiellement au Nord-Ouest, l'examen de la httérature religieuse existante, viennent combler quelque peu ces lacunes et permettent de tracer un portrait, partiel, de l'attitude de l'Yihewani face au soufisme. Les Yihewani sont aujourd'hui en position de force face aux adeptes des confréries. Leur attitude est moins agressive qu'auparavant, car ils ont appris à coexister avec les autres groupes religieux. Ils sont les premiers bénéficiaires de cette pacification.

Comme par le passé, les Ythewani ont su obtenir l'appui du pouvoir. Et ce n'est pas le moindre de leurs paradoxes que d'être favorisés, par le biais de l'Association islamique de Chine, par un régime communiste athée. L'Association est l'organisation musulmane officielle umque, fondée en 1953. Elle réunit tous les musulmans, sans distinction d'ethnie. Elle a pour fonction d'administrer les affaires religieuses musulmanes, d'être l'interface entre l'Etat et les musulmans, et la représentante officielle de l'Islam de Chine vis-àvis de l'étranger. Les Hui y sont très largement majoritaires car leur dispersion géographique sur tout le territoire leur permet de

multiplier les branches locales et d'apparaître comme les principaux acteurs de l'islam de Chine. Les Yinewani semblent les mieux placés, de par leur structuration nationale, la relative unité de leur dogme et leur rigorisme orthodoxe, pour incarner une cohésion que le régime pose en principe premier de sa politique vis-à-vis de l'Islam.

Les Yihewani sont présents en nombre au sein de l'Association islamique de Chine. On ne peut établir de rélevé précis, car l'appartenance à un groupe, Qadim, tariqa, ou Yihewani, n'est mentionnée que tout-à-fait exceptionnellement. Lors de la disparition du maître de la confrérie Banquao (de la branche nagshbandie Jahriyya), Ma Teng'ai (1921-1991), haut dignitaire du régime (membre du Comité permanent de l'Assemblée populaire nationale. du Comité de cette assemblée pour les affaires des Minorités, viceprésident de l'Association islamique de Chine, etc.), la notice nécrologique publiée par la revue de l'Association, Zhongguo Mustlin (Musulmans de Chine) n'a pu éviter de signaler son autorité sur le Banqiao.37 Une autre exception a été faite par la revue (dépouillée sur environ neuf années, quatre puis six numéros annuels)38 pour décrire l'attitude tolérante d'un imam vihewani du Ningxia, qui s'est refusé à imposer ses vues aux fidèles Oadim de la mosquée qu'il a dingée de 1979 de 1982.39 L'islam de Chine, tel que l'Association veut en donner l'image, est unifié, d'une orthodoxie de bon aloi, et loin du mysticisme soufi.

Nous savons que certains dirigeants de l'Association sont yihewani, comme l'un de ses vice-présidents, de surcroît parent par alliance de Hu Songshan, ou le président de l'Association islamique provinciale du Ningxia La plupart des branches locales, provinciales ou municipales de l'Association islamique, ont leur siège dans des mosquées yihewani. Les Instituts islamiques, gérés par l'Association, une dizaine aujourd'hui (dont un seul au Xinjiang où vit plus de la moitié des musulmans de Chine!), sont dirigés par les Yihewani. Au Ningxia, l'Institut était situé dans une mosquée yihewani, avant la construction d'un nouvel édifice financé par la Banque Islamique de Développement. Au Qinghai, l'Association islamique et l'Institut, se

<sup>35</sup> Ma Jan, 1906-1978, fut le troisième des "Quatre grands imams" de la période républicaine, étud,ant à al-Azhar dans les années trente, traducteur, entre autres, de Muhammad 'Abduh et du Coran en chmois moderne

<sup>36</sup> Notice biographique de Z. Hai rédigée en 1993, en post-face à la réédition de l'ouvrage de F Ma, Fisilan qianshuo (in heu, in date) 226-236.

<sup>37</sup> Zhongguo Musilin bxxiv (1991) 5-11

<sup>8 1981 1982</sup> et 1988-1994, horms quelques numéros manquants.

<sup>39</sup> Zhongguo Musilin Eve (1990) 48. Les notices nécrologiques se multiplient ces dernières années du fait de l'âge avancé de l'encadrement religieux formé avant la fondation de République Populaire. De plus, une génération (1957-1979) n'a reçu aucune formation religieuse, ce qui fait que les imains sont soit très âgés, soit très jeunes.

tiennent dans la Grande Mosquée Dongguan.

L'Association islamque de Chine a posé en principe que toutes les factions, même les plus modestes, devaient être représentées dans ses instances. 40 Mais qu'en est-il du pouvoir de ces représentants? Les chefs de confréries dirigent les Associations des districts ou des municipalités où ils sont implantés, s'ils sont en position dominante. Ils occupent aussi des postes de responsabilité au niveau régional, et de représentation au niveau national, comme nous l'avons constaté pour Ma Teng'ai. Seulement la base de leur pouvoir reste locale, tandis que les Yihewani ont une structuration nationale. Si les liens entre les mosquées yihewani semblent plus lâches que par le passé, et si certains affirment même que leur système s'est rapproché de celui du Qadim et qu'il n'existe plus de mosquée centrale, la réalité est autre. La Grande Mosquée Dongguan de Xining continue à exercer le rôle de quartier général. Au niveau local, certaines mosquées hayy fonctionnent toujours. A Shizuishan, dans le nord du Ningxia, les fidèles convergent vers la mosquée centrale le vendredi; les grandes cérémonies sont présidées par l'imam de cette mosquée. A Weizhou, dans le sud-est du Ningxia, le même principe subsiste, mais en sus, l'imam principal est élu chaque année par ses pairs.

Ces informations, bien que parcellaires, indiquent que les Yihewani contrôlent l'administration religieuse. Il faut rappeler que, si une bonne part des activités religieuses s'organise sur une base privée, l'Association islamique de Chine conserve un droit de regard. Les Yihewani, par leur relative homogénéité et les liens qui les unissent, parviennent mieux que les autres à incarner la cohésion religieuse que l'Etat pose en principe. Dans ce cas, est-ce l'Etat qui se sert de la structuration existante du mouvement afin de mieux contrôler l'islam? Ou faut-il voir dans la proximité des Yihewani au pouvoir, le moyen pour eux d'utiliser l'appareil d'Etat? La question se pose avec plus d'acuité à mesure qu'une radicalisation récente de l'Yihewani est perceptible.

Les Yihewani, par l'Association islamique de Chine, disposent des ressources financières étatiques, chinoises ou étrangères. La répartition des subventions peut s'effectuer de manière sélective pour les aides à la construction de mosquées, leur entretien et celui de leurs écoles. A Lanzhou, la grande mosquée yihewani et siège de l'Association islamique — un imposant bâtiment circulaire pourvu de bajes vitrées teintées et surmonté d'une immense coupole — a été

reconstruite il y a peu d'années. A Yinchuan, une mosquée yihewani du centre ville d'importance plutôt modeste par le nombre de croyants et qui ne menaçait pas de tomber en ruines, est anjourd'hui flambant neuve, avec coupole, minarets et carreaux de faience blanche plaqués sur le mur extérieur.

L'attribution de subsides intéresse une activité essentielle des mosquées, l'enseignement religieux. L'entretien de l'école, qui est partiellement ou totalement pris en charge par les fidèles, est de plus en plus lourd. Un imam de Helan (Ningxia), pourtant Yihewani, déplorait que les écoles n'arrivent plus à subsister. Les petites, comme la sienne, fermaient chaque jour. Il ne lui restait plus que sept élèves sur une vingtaine auparavant, et il estimait qu'il n'en aurant plus d'autres, car pour lui, les jeunes une fois passés par le système scolaire chinois, se détournaient par la suite des études religieuses. Pour tourner l'interdiction officielle de donner une éducation religieuse aux moins de dix-huit ans, les mosquées ouvrent dans leur enceinte ou sous leur dépendance des "écoles de langues", qui rappellent fortement les écoles sino-arabes d'avant 1949. Les "écoles de langues" ne sont ni plus ni moins des écoles religieuses. Par exemple, le cours d'anglais assuré à l'école de filles de la mosquée yihewani de Shizuishan consistait à faire apprendre la shahada en anglais! L'encadrement est sous la responsabilité de l'imam, mais les cours de langues sont assurés par de jeunes laïcs qui se contentent parfois du logement et de la subsistance, et assurent travailler "pour Allah". Ces écoles, reconnues et encouragées officiellement, sont yihewani pour la plupart. Les autres groupes religieux se contentent d'écoles coraniques plus classiques.

Les Yihewani sont toujours actifs dans le prêche. Sur l'île de Hainan, à l'extrême sud-est de la Chine, quand un village de cette île a voulu renouer avec la religion islamique d'ancêtres lointains, il s'est présenté un imam yihewani de Linxia, envoyé par l'Association islamique de Chine; il reprenait des liens noués sous le régime nationaliste; à cette époque, un prêcheur yihewani s'était déjà rendu du Nord-Ouest jusqu'à l'île de Hainan pour y répandre la bonne parole. 41 Par l'intermédiaire de l'Association islamique de Chine, les Yihewani ont la haute main sur le pèlerinage. Outre les responsables religieux envoyés à titre officiel et gracieux, les fidèles, depuis une dizaine d'années, peuvent se rendre à leurs frais en pèlerinage, mais

<sup>41</sup> J. Thoraval, 'Refigion ethnique, religion lignagère. Sur la tentative d'"islamisation" d'un lignage Han de Haman', Études chinouses x (1991) 45-48

doivent pour cela être approuvés par l'Association, et les listes sont longues. Les Ythewani, afin de remplir scrupuleusement l'une des "Cinq obligations", sont nombreux. Enfin, l'Association islamique de Chine choisit les étudiants qu'elle subventionne pour des études à l'étranger.

Comme pour la période d'avant le régime communiste, les ingrédients du succès yihewani, l'appui du pouvoir, le prêche et l'éducation dite moderne sont rassemblés. Un élément supplémentaire vient s'y greffer, l'islam extérieur. En plus d'aides financières, les Yihewani reçoivent l'appui doctrinal de l'islam extérieur, d'inspiration "fondamentaliste" voire "islamiste". Les missions de da'wa en Chine se multiplient. Les musulmans de Pékin sont coutumiers des visites d'officiels, d'hommes d'affaires ou de religieux de pays musulmans. La Chine de l'intérieur est sillonnée de groupes de prêcheurs. Les Pakistanais du Diamā'at al-tabligh sont les plus assidus de ces tournées, survis de missionnaires du monde entier: musulmans Anglais d'origine pakistanaise, Soudanais, Iraniens, Indonésiens, Bangladais et même Sri Lankais! sans compter les visites des coreligionnaires de Hong Kong. Tous ces groupes ont comme point commun de n'être pas des soufis, loin s'en faut. Les Yihewani se chargent de les convoyer, de leur servir d'interprètes, et leur font visiter les "bonnes" mosquées. Les soufis sont soigneusement tenus de l'écart. Non que le contenu des prêches en arabe ait une profonde influence, car personne ne le comprend; même leurs interprètes n'ont pas suffisamment de pratique de l'arabe parlé pour traduire au pied-levé; mais ces musulmans, représentants de l'orthodoxie et d'un Islam pur, sont auréolés d'un grand prestige, qui rejaillit sur leurs accompagnateurs. Ces derniers en attendent aide morale, matérielle, ou invitations de l'étranger.

L'apport doctrinal est fourni par les traductions d'auteurs musulmans, anciens ou contemporains, par deux canaux de traduction Hong Kong traduit de l'anglais des ouvrages envoyés par l'International Islamic Federation of Student Organizations basée au Koweit. Les auteurs favoris sont les Frères musulmans Sayyid Qutb ou al-Qardāwī, le Pakistanais Mawdūdī, l'Africain du Sud Ahmad Deedat (très controversé dans son pays mais qui bénéficie d'un grand prestige à l'étranger), etc. Certains ouvrages sont réimprimés en Chine populaire en éditions bon marché (le plus grand centre d'édition privée se trouve à Linxia, au Gansu). On trouve dans les librairies islamiques, outre de petits manuels de base sur la prière on le pèlerinage, "La femme musulmane" de 'A'isha Lemmu, "Les principes de l'Islam, vingt-neuf khutba" de Mawdūdī, ou, plus rare,

en arabe, al Tarbiya al-islamiyya wa madrasat Hasan al Banna' du Frère musulman Khurshid Ahmad.

Les traductions entreprises en Chine populaire, le second canal de propagation, croissent en nombre au point qu'elles paraissent tant la production locale. Celle-ci s'exprime dans nombre de revues ou de iournaux qui circulent largement de province à province, cette presse Hui a souvent une durée de vie limitée, car, ou l'enthousiasme s'émousse et les fonds viennent à manquer, ou elle est interdite par les autorités. Les traductions semblent parfois relever du hasard. Les ouvrages d'auteurs classiques (al-Nasafi, Ibn Nubata, Mansur 'Alī Nasif), ou les réformateurs du dix-neuvième siècle, traduits dans les années trente (Muhammad 'Abduh, Muhammad al-Khudari, ou un Libanais. Husayn al-Jisr cité comme l'égal de 'Abduh ou d'al-Afghání), publiés en éditions officielles, sont souvent reproduits par les imprimeries privées, meilleur marché. Les auteurs contemporains sont présents également: l'Egyptien Muhammad al-Shacrawi, azharite et un temps enseignant en Arabie Saoudite, Sayvid Outb, et son dernier ouvrage Ma'ālim fi'l-tarīq, mais la prudence est de ngueur. Il n'y a ni lieu d'impression ni nom du traducteur, l'introduction datée est souvent signée du seul prénom musulman. La parution très récente du dernier, et plus virulent, ouvrage de Savvid Outb dénote une sensibilité à un islam radical contemporain. hostile au mysticisme. Que ces traductions aient une influence profonde sur le public de Chine reste à déterminer.

La littérature soufie, chants ou exégèses, subsiste en arabe sous forme de gros manuels, d'un prix trop élevé pour une diffusion courante. Ce sont des classiques utilisés dans les mosquées depuis des décennies, voire un siècle ou deux. Des opuscules de chants dont il est impossible de définir la provenance, ont été rédigés en chinois.

Alors que les rééditions bon marché fleurissent, les écrits des fondateurs de l'Yihewani comme Ma Wanfu, ou comme Hu Songshan qui fut pourtant prolixe, ne sont pas disponibles. Cette absence ne semble pas fortuite. Elle autorise le discours yihewani à s'adapter, à la fois aux courants de pensée les plus récents du monde musulman et à la situation de la Chine d'aujourd'hui.

L'Yihewani a renoncé à s'imposer par la force. Appliquant les consignes élaborées par l'Association islamique de Chine, qui reprend le modus vivendi établi dans certaines régions comme le Ningxia ou le Shaanxi, "que chacun s'occupe de ses seules affaires,

selon son propre rite, dans le respect mutuel",42 il n'est plus signalé d'incidents violents ni d'occupation de mosquées par la force, ce qui ne signifie toutefois pas qu'il n'y en ait pas eu, depuis les années cinquante. Le soufisme est relégué à une place secondaire, et disparaît de l'islam officiel. Les ouvrages destinés à faire connaître l'islam de Chine à des visiteurs étrangers, d'un intérêt très limité, ne font aucune allusion à l'existence de différentes factions. Les Ythewant eux mêmes occultent, tant que faire se peut, l'existence de confréries Quand ils reçoivent des visiteurs étrangers, ils les tiennent à l'écart. Il ne faut pas y voir de retrait volontaire de la part des confréries, car le visiteur, quand il se présente, est cordialement accueilli.

Les Yihewani propagent une image négative du soufisme. Le maître à penser de la jeune génération, dans un ouvrage récent, "L'appel de l'Islam", reprend les reproches habituels faits aux soufis: leur éloignement du monde et de ses obligations, leur prétention de approcher Dieu. Dans le cas de la Chine, le soufisme, venu d'Asie Centrale [et donc pas du berceau de l'Islam] il y a deux à trois siècles, a introduit une cassure dans un islam qui, selon lui, n'en avait pas connu durant sept cent ans. Si l'auteur, Yisimaer (Ismā°īl), reconnaît des qualités aux différentes turuq, la plus récente, la Jahriyya, subit ses foudres: la Jahriyya n'a presque pas apporté de contribution aux enseignements ou à la propagation de l'Islam. Elle est entrée en guerre pour élargir son pouvoir religieux, ses ressources humaines ou matérielles. La préoccupation unique des grands maîtres a été d'acquérir puissance et richesses. Parce que ces derniers ont été réprimés par les Qing, ils ont été considérés comme des martyrs et ont obtenu la compassion,43

Les affrontements internes aux confréries ont reçu un certain écho. Il y en cut en 1981 et 1982 à Linxia; au Ningxia en 1978 et en 1984. Plus grave, en février 1994, au Ningxia, le shavkh de la confrérie Jahriyya Shagou, Ma Liesun, vice-président de la Conférence politique consultative de la Région autonome Hui du Ningxia. membre du Comité permanent de la Conférence politique consultative nationale, a été arrêté, car tenu pour responsable des querelles intestines qui ont fait une quarantaine de morts dans les

42 Z. Gao, op. cit. 46.

rangs du Shagou. Une partie de ses fidèles reprochait à Ma Liesun d'être trop proche du pouvoir. Il a été condamné à quinze ans de prison; son fils et un professeur de la mosquée du Shagou de Yinchuan, l'out été à perpétuité.44 Pour le Shagou, cette condamnation est injuste: les autorités n'ont rien fait quand le parti opposé à Ma Liesun avait tué trente des leurs. A partir du moment où ce dernier a donné l'ordre de rétorquer et qu'ils ont tué neuf personnes (onze en réalité), la Sécurité les a aussitôt poursuivis. La répression a été sévère: la mosquée de Yinchuan a été dévastée, les ouvrages éparpillés, les étudiants renvoyés. 45 La mosquée accueillait auparavant quelques soixante-dix élèves, venus de toute la Chine; d'un bon niveau, ils étaient capables de tenir une conversation simple en arabe, ce qui est hors de portée du premier étudiant venu; leur cursus comprenait des matières comme l'histoire et la géographie, et ils disposaient d'une méthode moderne de persan. Il ne restatt que quatre élèves en novembre 1994, l'imam responsable était très jeune. A Lanzhou, les fidèles du mausolée du fondateur de la Jahriyya ont été inquiétés quelques temps. Les Yihewani exprimaient une réprobation, sans une once de compassion ou de compréhension.

A l'inverse, la répression qui a fini par s'abattre à Xining, après des émeutes qui se sont déroulées en septembre et octobre 1993, à cause d'un livre considéré par les musulmans comme insultant pour l'Islam et interdit, a suscité l'émoi.46 Le centre de la protestation était la Grande mosquée Dongguan de Xining, quartier général de l'Yihewani, siège de surcrost de l'Association islamique de Chine: les forces de l'ordre ont pris d'assaut la mosquée où s'étaient retranchés les émeutiers, le 7 octobre 1993.47 La mosquée a elle aussi été vidée de tous ses étudiants et d'une partie de son personnel religieux. Si un jeune Hui du Ningxia racontait qu'un an après, elle n'ouvrait plus que pour la prière, il semble qu'en réalité elle ait pu reprendre ses activités habituelles. Dans ce cas-ci, les musulmans

s'étaient comportés avec courage pour une noble cause!

marcher?" Des manifestations contre l'ouvrage ont eu lieu au Nord-Ouest fin août de 1993 et se sont poursuivies alors que l'ouvrage avait été interdit et retiré de la vente ce mois-là.

47 Églises d'Asie chrv (1993).

<sup>43</sup> Yısımaer, Yisilan de zhaohnan, introduction non signée datée de 1412 de l'Hégire, 181-184. L'avant-propos a été rédigé à Tianpu. L'édition est de bonne qualité. C'est volontairement qu'il n'y a aiteure indication qui puisse porter préjudice de son auteur ou ses imprimeurs.

<sup>44</sup> Summery Worldwide Broadcasting, 24 février 1994. Entretien personnel Yinchuan, novembre 1994

<sup>46</sup> Un ouvrage de questions-réponses pour enfants para au Sichuan en 1993, copie d'un livre de Taïwan, publiant un dessin sur lequel figurait un musulman en prière à côté d'un porc. et posant la question: 'Oui sur terre n'a gamais mangé de viande de porc mais a via les cochons

Les Yihewani ne cessent d'ailleurs, dans leurs discours, même si les écrits sont plus modérés, de brocarder les querelles, l'arriération, l'ignorance, la pauvreté — nudité, saleté, maladies — des croyants de turuq qui vivent souvent dans les régions les plus déshéritées du Ningxia et du Gansu. Ils racontent que, dans ces régions perdues, on trouve un "menhuan de l'Œuf" dont les croyants égorgeraient rituellement les œufs avant de les manger, idem pour le "menhuan du Poisson"; ou encore qu'une jeune fille avait été donnée en manage de un poulet pour éviter de la marier à l'extérieur de la confrérie!

LEÏLA CHERIF-CHEBBI

Le nombre et la qualité des études consacrées par les chercheurs chinois au soufisme prouve l'ouverture et la relative transparence des ordres mystiques chinois, en même temps que le peu de risques politiques qu'il y a à aborder le sujet. Pour caricaturer, ils font partie d'un certain folklore. Leur histoire, souvent dramatique, s'y prête. Examp Chengzhi, un auteur Hui, a rédigé une histoire romancée de la Jahriyya, qui s'arrête en 1920, à la mort de Ma Yuanzhang (grandpère de Ma Liesun) lors d'un terrible tremblement de terre. L'ouvrage fut réalisé en collaboration avec Ma Liesun qui, n'en doutons pas, est en train d'inscrire un nouveau chapitre à l'histoire de la confrérie. L'Ythewant est au contraire un sujet rarement abordé dans sa période contemporaine, à petine quelques mentions dans des études régionales, ou des généralités qui n'offrent que peu d'informations. Cette retenue des chercheurs est signe en Chine d'un sujet sensible.

Les Yihewani n'ont pas renoncé à amener à eux les adeptes des confréries. Ils encouragent les conversions et en font grand cas quand c'est un adepte de tariqa. Pour la jeune génération, tous les musulmans doivent être unis afin de restaurer le vrai Islam, mis à mal par vingt ans d'interdiction de pratique religieuse, mis à mal par les massacres qu'ont entraînés les révoltes des confréries au dixneuvième siècle — sans elles, les musulmans seraient aujourd'hui cent millions en Chine, indique-t-on. L'obsession du terrain perdu par l'Islam dans la société Hui se retrouve aussi bien chez les imams âgés que chez les jeunes Yihewani qui dénoncent les maux de la société chinoise actuelle. Dans l'Est, il s'agit de ramener vers les mosquées une population Hui largement laïcisée, qui souvent ne se

déplace qu'à l'occasion des grandes fêtes et ignore tout des rituels. Au Nord-Ouest, il faut que les adeptes des ordres mystiques les rejoignent, afin que tous les musulmans soient "frères", pour rétablir les forces de l'Islam. Une fois la vraie foi restaurée, les confréries pourront de nouveau exister si elles le veulent. Les imams yihewani traditionnels s'inquiètent — sans la condamner ni l'exclure — d'une nouvelle génération d'imams, sumommés "wahhābites", fascinés par l'activisme musulman, qu'ils côtoient à travers leurs lectures, les missions de prêche, les récits des pèlenns, de plus en plus nombreux, ou des étudiants qui ont été à l'étranger; pour ceux-ci, le Pakistan, l'Arabie Saoudite et le Soudan paraissent être des destinations de prédilection.

Malgré un changement d'attitude, l'objectif ultime de l'Yihewani — tant chez la génération ancienne et institutionnalisée, que chez la nouvelle génération, formée par la précédente dans les écoles de mosquée, souvent après un cursus scolaire normal, mais dont la réflexion s'est nourrie d'un apport extérieur radical — reste celui de forger un islam unifié dans lequel les ordres mystiques, avec leurs particularismes, n'ont pas droit de cité. Puisque ces ordres n'ont pas disparu, ni ne sont susceptibles de disparaître à court terme, ils sont isolés, exclus du discours officiel, tenus à l'écart des liens avec l'islam extérieur, ou sont transformés en un objet de folklore, voire de dérision.

La radicalisation de l'Yihewani, sensible dans les protestations contre des ouvrages insultants pour l'Islam, pourrait entraîner une réaction plus énergique du régime, alors qu'il dépend, par bien des aspects, institutionnels et prosaïquement financiers, de ce régime. L'élément modérateur constitué par les imams de la première génération, formés sous le régime nationaliste ou au plus tard dans les années cinquante, est appelé à s'estomper avec la dispantion des anciens. Sans génération intermédiaire, les jeunes activistes "wahhabites" vont se retrouver seuls face au pouvoir et à la société chinoise, Sauront-ils transiger?

Quant aux confréries, elles sont arrivées à un tournant crucial de leur histoire. Leur force repose sur des bases rurales, en situation de détresse matérielle, d'arriération sociale. Ces bases seront amenées à rétrécir au gré de l'urbanisation et de l'accès au monde moderne. La structure des confréries doit s'adapter à la Chine d'aujourd'hui, alors qu'elle fait figure de survivance du passé et que la tendance à la fragmentation se perpétue, les querelles intestines des vingt dernières aunées l'ont démontré. Néanmoins, les confréries ont déjà prouvé leur capacité à survivre, à renaître de leurs cendres comme l'a fait la

<sup>48</sup> Sur l'histoire de la Jahriyya, voir F. Aubin, 'En Islam chinois, quels Naqshbandis?', in M. Gaboricau, A. Popovic, & T. Zarcone (eds.), Naqshbandis. Cheminements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulman (Istanbul 1990) 491-572.

Jahriyya dans le passé. Leur éloignement du pouvoir les a rendues moins dépendantes, moins sensibles à un durcissement du régime envers l'islam. En cas de changement de régime, et celui-ci s'annonce pour bientôt, il est probable que la société chinoise refusera d'accorder aux Hui et aux musulmans les privilèges dont ils disposent aujourd'hui: subventions, constructions de mosquées, d'écoles, impunité (les Hui, petits commerçants, sont engagés dans toutes sortes d'activités très en marge de la légalité et qui font la prospérité de villes du Nord Ouest comme Linxia ou Tongxin). Les confréries apparaissent bien mieux armées pour résister à un futur probablement plus hostile.

### VI

# ANATOLIA, IRAN, AND THE BALKAN

## OPPOSITIONS AU SOUFISME DANS L'EMPIRE OTTOMAN AUX OUINZIÈME ET SEIZIÈME SIÈCLES

## AHMET YAŞAR OCAK

Qu'est-ce, pour un historien, que "l'opposition au soufisme" comme aspect de l'histoire des peuples musulmans? Comment un historien dott-il aborder le problème, ou bien, dans quelle perspective dott-il le situer par rapport à l'ensemble de l'histoire de l'Islam? En tant que historien, nous pensons que si nous considérons le sujet comme un problème purement théologique, nous niquerons de mésestimer les facteurs sociaux qui sont à la base de ce phénomène d'opposition au soufisme et nous n'aurons pas la chance de comprendre les causes sociales, culturelles et politiques qui se cachent derrière ce phénomène dans le monde musulman, y compris l'Empire ottoman.

A notre avis, "le soufisme" et "l'opposition au soufisme" sont deux phénomènes jumeaux dans l'histoire de l'Islam, puisqu'ils sont nés en même temps, et qu'ils se sont développés l'un parallèlement à l'autre. Nous pouvons apprécier le soufisme, comme étant à la fois un mouvement social et une institution socio-religieuse, qui vient s'ajouter à la société musulmane moyenâgeuse dès le neuvième siècle. L'opposition au soufisme se manifeste au fond comme un mouvement de réaction pour chasser celui-ci de la société musulman, car on le tenait pour responsable de son désordre, et en fin de compte, de la création de problèmes pour le pouvoir politique. Donc

Personnellement nous ne considérons pas le soufisme dans l'histoire de I Islam, comme un phénomène purement mystique développé uniquement dans son structure traditionnelle, mais nous le considérons largement dépendant du changement et du développement de la structure sociale des sociétés musulmanes du Moyen Age

ces deux mouvements parallèles constituent un phénomène complexe ayant trois aspects liés l'un à l' autre: aspects religieux, politiques et sociaux.

Si l'on jette un coup d'œil sur l'histoire du soufisme dans l'Empire ottoman, nous remarquons qu'elle avait brillamment commencé en ce qui concerne les relations avec le pouvoir central et avec le public. Cette histoire intéressante commence avec un fait curieux: des soufis originaires d'un mouvement hétérodoxe dit baba'i, révoltés contre le pouvoir seldjoukide, ont joué un rôle considérable dans la naissance du pouvoir ottoman. Fondé au début du quatorze siècle en Anatolie du Nord-Ouest, dans la région frontalière byzantine, le Beylicat ottoman a été soutenu par des soufis hétérodoxes dits simplement Abdālān-i Rūm (ou Rūm Abdallari),2 issus du mouvement baba'i3 rattachés aux diverses branches du courant galandari, dérivé de la Malāmatīyya khorasanienne 4 Ces soufis furent les prototypes des derviches bektachis du seizième siècle.5 Au fur et à mesure, les ordres mystiques orthodoxes, tels que la Rifă°1yya, la Mawlaw1yya, la Khalwatiyya et la Naqshbandiyya ont pris leur place sur les territoires de l'Etat ottoman. C'est ainsi que depuis le quinzième siècle jusqu'au seizième, les cinq grands ordres mystiques cités cidessus, y compris la Bektāshiyya, ont été implantés dans l'Empire ottoman.

S'il faut aborder dans une perspective générale la période allant du début de la fondation de l'Etat ottoman jusqu'à la fin du seizième

2 Cf. Fuad Köprülü, Les origines de l'Empire Ottoman (Paris 1935) 113-123; id., 'Abdal Kumral', 'Abdal Mehmed', 'Abdal Musa', Türk Holk Edebiyat Ansiklopedisi (Islanbul 1935)

siècle, il n'est guère possible de parler d'une opposition systématique aux milieux soufis. Pour cette période, durant laquelle l'Etat ottoman n'était pas encore systématiquement centralisé, et la classe des ulama ne s'était pas encore formée, les milieux soufis avaient une forte influence non seulement sur les milieux politiques et buraucratiques, mais aussi sur le peuple.

On sait bien que l'Islam populaire turc avait d'ailleurs déjà au onzième siècle en Asie centrale, une forte coloration soufie apportée par Ahmed-i Yesevi et ses derviches.<sup>6</sup> Ainsi rattaché depuis des siècles à une tradition islamique profondément caractérisée par l'ésprit mystique, la structure socio-culturelle et socio-politique de l'Etat ottoman était tout naturellement sous l'influence du soufisme jusqu'au quinzième siècle. Pendant la fondation de l'Etat, la plupart des chefs militaires et des buraucrates aussi étaient initiés au contact de différents babas turcomans (ceux que nous avons déjà cités cidessus sous le nom de Rūm Abdaltari)<sup>7</sup> qui étaient à la fois des chefs politiques et religieux des tribus nomades et semi-nomades.

Il ne s'agissait donc pas, dans cette période de fondation, d'une quelconque opposition contre les milieux soufis. Les ordres mystiques, même de tendance hétérodoxe, tels que la Yasawiyya, la Wafa'iyya, la Qalandariyya et la Ḥaydariyya, ont retrouvé dans les territoires de l'Etat ottoman, approximativement jusqu'à la fin du quinzième siècle, un terrain très convenable pour s'implanter confortablement. Jusqu'à la révolte de cheikh Bedr el-Din dans le premier quart du quinzième siècle, aucune source ne nous signale

<sup>3</sup> Il s'agit d'une révoite socio-religieuse à tendance hétérodoze des Turcomans nomades et des paysans d'Anatolic centrale et du Sud-Est, en Anatolic seldjoukide en 1240, qui a eu lieu contre le gouvernement, dirigé par un certain cheikh inscoman nommé Baha llyñs-i Khorasñaï et par son khalifa Baha Ishāq (voir par ex , Claude Cahen, 'Baha'is', El; id., Pre-Ottoman Turkev (London 1968) 221-222, 241, 354; id., La Turquie Pré-ottomane (Paris-Istanbul 1988) 95, 200, 334. Cf. ausst noire étude intitulée La révolte de Baha Resul on la formation de l'hétérodoxie musulmane en Anatolie au XIIIe siècle (Ankara 1989).

<sup>4</sup> La Malâmatiyya est un courant soufi né an neuvième siècle en Iran, dans la région knorassamenne. Ce courant soufi, couvrant certains ordres mystiques tramens, a doané naissance au onzième siècle en Iran, à la Qulandariyya. Sur la Malâmatiyya et la Qulandariyya, voir par ex., F De Jong, Hamid Algar, et C.H Imber, 'Malâmatiyya', El, Tahsin Yazet, 'Kalandariyya', El

<sup>5</sup> La Hektashiyya est un ordre soufi se rattachant à Hägi Bektäsh-i Veli (m. 1271), un cheikh turcoman, contemporam de Jalal al-Din-i Rümî. Sur la Bektashiyya vour par ex., J. Kingsley Buge, The Bektashi Order of Dervishes (London 1937), vour aussi, R. Tschudi. Bektashiyya El, Suratya Faroqhi, Der Bektaschi Orden in Anatolien (Wien 1981).

Voir Fund Köprülö, Türk Edebrvatunda Ilk Muiasavsaftar (Ankara 1966, 2c éd.) 49-62.
 Ahmed Yesevi, ÎA

<sup>7</sup> Les sources ottomanes de l'époque en question, telles que les Tevârikh-i âl-i 'Othmân anonymes (Bibl. de l'Université d'Istanbul, ms. turc ar. 2438, fol 425-43a), Tavârikh-i âl-i 'Othmân de 'Āshiqpashazāde, éd. de 'Āli Begh (Istanbul 1332/1916) 195-196, et Kitāb-i cihannūmā de Mehmed Neshri, éd de F. Taeschner (Leipzig 1955) i. 46-48, 98 et quelques autres, nous signalent l'appartenance de certains buraucrates et de plusteurs dignitaires du palais outoman, et même de certains généraux, à de différents cheiks des Abdālān-i Rūm

<sup>8</sup> A ce propos, voir notre article intitulé "Les unlieux soufis dans les territoires du beylicat ottoman et le problème des "Abdalan-i Ruin" (1300-1389)", in E. Zachariadou (éd.), The Ottoman Emirate (1300-1389) (Rethymnon 1993) 145-158

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cette révolte en question est eclatée en Anatolie de l'Ouest et dans les Balkans vers les années 1416 ou 1421, juste après l'invasion de Timur, sous Mehmed I. D'après ce que disent les sources ottomanes, dirigé par l'ex-qadiasker de Musa Çelebi, sur Cheikh Bedr e. Din, à la fois un très illustre savant et un soufi, cette révolte à bouleverse sérieusement l'Etat ottoman. Sur la révolte de Cheikh Bedr el Din voir Michel Balivet, Islain invisique et révolution armée dans les Balkans attomans. Vie du Cheikh Bedreddin le "Hallâj des Turcs" (1358/59-1416) (Istanbul 1995).

un mouvement antisoufi dans l'Empire ottoman, ni de la part du pouvoir politique — si ce n'est la déportation d'un petit groupe de Rum Abdallan par Orkhan Begh —, ni même de la part des ulama qui n'avaient pas encore, à l'époque, atteint à l'apogée de leur puissance. Mais, la révolte de cheikh Bedr el-Din marqua apparemment un tournant historique pour différents comportements antisoufis dans l'histoire ottomane, aussi bien chez le pouvoir central que chez les ulama.

AHMET YAŞAR OCAK

Cette révolte, conservant encore son caractère problématique, a sans doute poussé le gouvernement ottoman à être prudent vis-à-vis des milieux soufis; ainsi par exemple, au quinzième siècle sous Mourad II, les derviches bayramis ont-ils été strictement surveillés par le gouvernement central. De plus, Hājji Bayrām-i Velī (m. 1430), le grand cheikh des derviches bayramis, a été convoqué à Edirne pour être interrogé directement en présence du sultan. D'après ce qui ressort des témoignages, le gouvernement aurait soupçonné une insurrection soutenue par les Bayramis en Anatolie centrale. Il ne s'agit donc pas là, d'une réétle opposition antisoufie, mais plutôt d'une inquiétude politique non seulement dans le cas de cheikh Bedr el-Dīn, mais aussi dans celui de Hājji Bayrām-i Velī.

En ce qui concerne les réactions manifestées par le peuple contre certains groupes de derviches hétérodoxes, tels que les Qalandaris, le Vilāyetnāme-i "Othmān Bābā nous renseigne parfaitement sur les querelles passées entre les derviches qalandaris et la population de certaines villes balkaniques.\footnumber La riche documentation de cet ouvrage comporte une dizaine d'anecdotes très intéressantes sur l'interrogation et la condamnation des derviches qalandaris dans les cours ottomanes au temps de Mehmed II.\footnumber Nous avons également des témoignages de la même époque sur les condamnations au bûcher des Ḥurūfīs à Edirne par le grand vizir Maḥmūd Pasha (m. 1474) et Fakhr al-Dîn-i "Ajamī (m. 1461),\footnumber ainsi que sur une grande opération de déportation des derviches qalandaris en Anatolie, sous

Bāyezid II, en 1492, implantés dans différentes régions balkaniques. 14

Mais le seizième siècle fut dans l'histoire de l'Empire ottoman, une période de véritable antisoufisme qui se manifesta contre les différents milieux soufis, tels que les Khalwatis, les Gulşenis, les Bayrāmi-Malamis, les Qalandaris etc., non seulement de la part du gouvernement, mais aussi des ulama. Des études sur une série de documentation se composant des documents d'archives, des chroniques, et des manuscrits écrits directement par certains soufis, nous obligent à approcher cet antisoufisme manifesté au seizième siècle dans l'Empire ottoman, comme une question strictement liée aux conditions socio-politiques et socio-économiques de l'Etat qui avait à l'époque, certaines difficultés à la fois extérieures et mtérieures.

Parallèlement aux désavantages causés par les guerres aux frontières occidentales et orientales, 15 des insurrections éclatées en Anatolie, 16 des troubles sociaux surgis dans la capitale impériale 17 auraient poussé les ulama et les autorités gouvernementales à ne pas penser du bien des milieux soufis, auteurs des doctrines non-conformistes, tels que les Gülşenls, les Bayrāmī-Malāmīs et les Qalandarīs. D'après ce qui ressort des témoignages des documents d'archives, ils prenaient sans doute ces milieux soufis pour les premiers responsables de tous les désordres sociaux et religieux dans la société ottomane. 18

A notre avis, c'est là le point essentiel; car, le problème fondamental n'est rien d'autre que ces désordres sociaux sur lesquels

<sup>10</sup> A ce sujet, voir par ex Funt Bayramoğlu, Hacı Bayram-ı Veli, Yaşamı Saynı-Valqi (Ankara 1983) ., 25-27

<sup>11</sup> Cf. Küçük Abdăi, Velâvetnâme-ı Sultân \*Othmân Bābā, ms. Adnan Ötüken, îl Halk Kütüphanesı (Ankara), nr. 643, fo., 26b, 27a, 30b, 53a etc.

<sup>12</sup> Cf. Jud., id., "Kalender Dervishes and Ottoman Administration from the Fourteenth to the Sixteenth Centuries", in Grace M. Smith and Carl W. Ernst (eds.), Manifestations of Sainthood in Islam (Islambul 1994, 248-249.

<sup>13</sup> Cf. Ţāshköprūzāde Aḥmed Efendi, al-Shaqa²iq al-nu\*mānuya fi 'ulamö' al-dawla al 'uthmān: vva (Beyrouth 1395/1975) 38-39.

<sup>14</sup> Cf. par ex., Oraç b. 'Ādil, Tevārikh-i āl-1' Osmān, éd F. Babınger (Hannover 1925) 138, Khwāja Sa'd al-Din, Tādj al-tawārīkh (Istanbel 1279); Solaqzāde, Törikh-t Solaqzāde (Istanbel 1289) ji, 304, Ocak, 'Kalenderi dervishes' 249

Voir par ex., Halil İnalcık, The Ottoman Empire The Classical Age (1300-1600) (London 1973) 35-40; Stanford J Shaw, History of the Ottoman Empire and Modern Turkey (Cambridge 1976) 1, 55-111

<sup>16</sup> Cf Hanna Sohrweide, 'Der Sieg der Safaviden in Perssen und seine Rückwirkungen auf die Schuten Anatoliens im 16 Jahrhundert', Der istam zin (1965) .45-186. Shaw, History L 86-92.

<sup>17</sup> Il s'agit des troubles socio-neligieux dans le peuple, causés par les discussions sur la conception de wahdat-i wujūd qui aboutessaient souvent parmi les gens de basses classes, à un athéisme absolu, d'où de graves disputes et confrontements entre ces gens et les ulama à Istanbul de l'époque.

<sup>18</sup> Voir par ex , les documents d'archives, tirés des mühimme defierlers du seizième stècle, publiés par Ahmet Refik sous le titre de On Altines Asirda Refizidik ve Bektaştik (Istanbul 1932) 21-59. Dans ces documents, on parle ces Qalandaris sous le nom de "sing

les ulama et les milieux soufis sont unanimement convenus, mais de différents points de vue. Pour les ulama, ce sont les milieux soufis qui étaient à l'origine de ces désordres sociaux. En revanche, selon les milieux soufis, c'est l'Etat lui-même qui en était responsable à cause de sa mauvaise politique. De toute façon, ces deux points de vue différents, manifestés à la fois par les témoignages des muhimme defierleri (régistres ottomans) des ser'iyye sicilleri (régistres juridiques ottomans) et par les ouvrages écrits par certains auteurs ottomans de l'époque, tels que Mawlana 'Isa, 19 nous montrent une seule chose: Le seizième siècle, particulièrement les trois derniers quarts, y compris l'époque de Soliman le Magnifique, constitue une période de désordres et de troubles sociaux dans l'Empire ottoman, 20 D'autre part, n'oublions pas, comme nous allons en parler cidessous, le cas de Birgivi Mehmed Efendi qui est, une fois encore, le grand témoin de ces désordres sociaux auxquels il s'est chargé luimême de faire face sa vie durant.21

Nous pensons qu'il serait inconcevable de voir comme une simple coincidence ce croisement à la même époque, des désordres sociaux avec les mouvements Malāmīs et Gillşenīs, ayant trouvé des terrains favorable non seulement en Anatolie mais aussi en Roumélie. Dans ce contexte, on peut considérer comme normale l'opposition du gouvernement central à ces milieux soufis non-conformistes, qui sont à ses yeux le résponsable de ces troubles sociaux qui finissent par prendre une couleur politique, et devenaient ainsi un élément d'anarchie sociale secouant sérieusement le nizām-t 'ālem (l'ordre social).

D'ailleurs, à travers nos sources, nous pouvons rencontrer un certain nombre d'événements qui reflètent la politique "antisoufie" du gouvernement contre les milieux soufis cités ci-dessus. Par exemple, nous avons une série d'inculpations et de condamnations à

19 Cf Maviānā 'lsā, Jāmi' al-maknûnāi, ms. Bibl. de l'Université d'Istanbul, fonds Ibnu'l-Emin. nr. 3263, fol. 23b-24a, 56b-58b

20 Pour un tableau général de l'Empire ottoman au seizième siècle, il suffit de s'adresser aux ouvrages cités de Înalcik, The Ottoman Empire 121-186; Shaw, History 1, 70-87, 169-175.

mort contre les milieux Malami-Bayrāmis, Gülşenis, et même Qalandaris dont les héros sont des cheiks illustres, tels que Oghlan Cheikh Ismā"il-i Ma"shuqī, cheikh des Bayrāmī-Malāmīs, décapité en 1535 avec ses douze disciples, 22 cheikh Husam al-Din-i Anqarawī, pendu en 1561 à Ankara, 23 cheikh Hamza Bali, décapité égalemant à Istanbul en 1565. 24 En ce qui concerne les milieux gülşenīs, nous connaissons très bien le cas d'Ibrāhim-i Gülşenī, convoqué à Istanbul, et interrogé par le sultan Sohman le Magnifique lui-même. 25 Et plus dramatique encore est le cas de cheikh Muhyî al-Din-i Qaramānī qui fut décapité en 1550 à Istanbul, suivant la fatwa d'Abū'l-Su'ūd Efendi. 26 Les chroniques et les mühimme defterleri de l'époque, nous donnent plusieurs exemples de déportation et d'arrestation des cheiks Qalandaris tout au long du seizième siècle. 27

Maintenant, nous devons nous poser cette question: la politique antisoufie du gouvernement ottoman contre certains milieux soufis est-elle vraiment une "politique antisoufie" au plein sens du terme? Ou bien, en d'autres termes, est-ce que cela peut se traduire par une vraie opposition au soufisme manifestée par le gouvernement ottoman? C'est une question à laquelle nous ne pourrons pas répondre affirmativement. En tout cas, nous allons revenir ci-dessous à la réponse de cette question, mais pour le moment, nous voulons nous arrêter sur l'antisoufisme des ulama ottomans.

En ce qui concerne le comportement de certains milieux ulama oltomans aux quinzième-dix-septième siècle contre les milieux soufis, nous croyons pouvoir utiliser le terme d' "opposition au

<sup>21</sup> Pour Birgivi Mehmed Efendi, vont par ex., Nev'izāde 'Atāyī, i, 179-181; Kātip Çelebi, Mizān ol-haqq fi ikhtiyār al-ahaqq (Istanbul 1306) 120-125; Inalcik, The Ottoman Empire 183-185. It s est consacré presque toute sa vie à la lutte contre les désordres sociaux II a écrit plusieurs ouvrages destinés à les enlever. Voir par ex. son al-Tarīga al-mahammadi via [plusteurs ouvrages destinés à les enlever. Voir par ex. son al-Tarīga al-mahammadi via [plusteurs editions, et beaucoup des examploires en manuscript, par ex. ms. Sūleymaniye (Fonds Hā,i Mahmūd Efendi) ar 2028; al-Seyf al-ṣārim fī 'adem-i javāz-i vaqf al-menqūl ve al-darāhim, ms. Sūleymaniye (Fonds Hāji Mahmūd), ar 1136/1; Jilā' al-qulūb, ms. Sūleymaniye (Fonds Shehid 'Alī Pasha), ns. 1477 etc.

<sup>22</sup> Sur Ismā'il-i Ma'shāqī voir, Nev'izāde 'Atāyi, Dhawl-a Shaqāyiq (Istanbul 1268) 89; Sārī 'Abd Allāh Efendi, Thamarār al-fu'ād (Istanbul 1288) 249, La'lizāde 'Abd al-Bāqi, Sergodhesht-i Malāmiyva, ms. Süleymaniye (Fonds Portev Pasha), nr. 636, fol. 123a., Gülpmarlı, Melâmilik ve Melâmiler 48-50.

<sup>23</sup> Au sujet de Husâm al-Dîn-i Angaravî voir 'Atâyî, Dhoyî 70, Sârî 'Abd Allâh, Thamarât 256-257, Gölpmarh, Melâmilik 71

<sup>24</sup> A propos de Hamza Báli, cf. <sup>c</sup>Atiyi, Dhavi 70-71; Muniri-i Belghrádi, Silsilet at-muqarrabin, ms. Süleymanıye (Fonds Shehid <sup>c</sup>Ati Pasha), ur 2189, fol. 140a-141a; La<sup>c</sup>lizáde, Sergodhesht fol. 126b-127b; cf. aussi Gölpmadı, Meldinülik 72-77

<sup>25</sup> A propos de cet événement voir Gölpmach, Mevláná dan Sonra Mevlevilik (Islanbul 1953) 323-324

<sup>26</sup> Sur Cheikh Qaramani voir Ertuğrul Düzdağ, Şevhulislâm Ebuxsund Efendi Fetvâları (Istanbul 1972) 193-195; Muhyi-i Gülsheni, Manāqib-i Ibrāhīm-i Gulsheni, éd Tahsur Yazıcı (Ankara 1982) 360-361, 378-382.

<sup>27</sup> A ce propos voir, H. J. Kissling, Sultan Bêjezid's II. Beziehungen zu Markgraf Francesco II. von Gonzaga (München 1965) 13.

soufisme". Et cet antisoufisme nous reflète très curieusement un esprit religieux tout à fait puritaniste. Prenant sans aucune exception, les milieux soufis pour seuls responsables de la "déformation" et du "désordre" religieux dans la société ottomane de l'époque, cet esprit antisoufi visait à purifier le peuple de tous les résidus "hérétiques et sataniques" déposés par les soufis. Au seizième siècle, cet esprit puritain justement représenté par un certain Birgivi Mehmed Efendi (m. 1573); d'où le célèbre mouvement qadizādeli au milieu du dixseptième siècle qui, à notre avis, est le seul mouvement antisoufi au vrai sens du mot, dans l'histoire ottomane.<sup>28</sup>

Auteur de plusieurs ouvrages et opuscules destinés au grand public, milderris dans une petite medrese provinciale à Birgi (une petite bourgade en Anatolie occidentale près d'Izmir), Birgivi Mehmed Efendi s'était donné à cette fonction durant toute sa vie. Quand on étudie ses ouvrages, on peut remarquer clairement l'influence dominante de l'illustre savant arabe non-conformiste Ibn Taymiyya (m. 1328)<sup>29</sup> et de ses disciples, tels qu'Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya (m. 1350),<sup>30</sup> Abondamment nourri des idées de ces grands savants puritains et antisoufis, Birgivi Mehmed Efendi s'attaquant dans ses ouvrages, aux idées et aux pratiques soufiques, et il les accusait d'être des gens bid'a (ehl-1 bid'a). Il rejoignant, uniquement sur le sujet de l'antisoufisme avec son grand rival Abū'l-Su'ūd Efendi, le majestueux cheikh al-Islam ottoman de l'époque.<sup>31</sup>

Une étude, même superficielle des fatwas d'Abū'l-Su'ūd Efendi reflète au premier regard, une mentalité ouvertement antisoufie se basant sur la conception de bid'a tout comme celle de son rival Birgivî Mehmed Efendi. A part ses fatwas ferventes concernant Oghlan cheikh Ismā'īl-i Ma'shūqī et cheikh Muhyī al-Dīn-i Qaramānī, il condamne également avec le même ton fervent, les pratiques et les rites des soufis. Par exemple, il exprime ses opinions à propos du raqş ve deverān, c'est-à-dire du semā', de façon suivante:

'Ol qabâvihı 'ibadet qabîlinden 'addedüb âyet-i kerîmeyi ana delîl getürmekle tekrar kâfir olur, bu i'tiqaddan rücü' itmezse qatılleri vâcib olur (....). Anıntâ şımdıkı zaman sûfileri udikleri vaks filhaqîqa kâfirlerin horos tepmesidür ve bunların fiilleri kefereve teşebbiindür Ve Resûl aleyhizselâm hazretine raks ısnâd itmek küfürdür. Zirâ raqks ef 'âl-ı süfehâdir (...)' 32

C'est-à-dire, en résumé, d'après Abū'l-Su'ūd Efendi, le raqs ve deverān est la pratique des infidèles. Si les soufis croyaient que le raqs ve deverān était un rite religieux tout comme la prière journalière, ils deviendraient infidèles. Dans ce cas, il est nécessaire de les condamner à mort. Cette approche d'Abū'l-Su'ūd Efendi envers pratiques soufies symbolise en un certain sens la rigidité du point de vue de certains ulama othodoxes à propos des milieux soufis. Ces types de fatwa sont d'ailleurs un genre de documents rencontré à peu près à toute époque et à tout endroit dans le monde musulman, qui reflètent l'opinion officielle de la plupart des ulama orthodoxes.

A la même époque, ce fut le mouvement Malāmī, ayant un fort esprit messianique, se basant sur une conception de quib s'étant chargé à la fois d'une mission politique et religieuse, 33 influencée fortement de la doctrine de wahdat-i wujūd, qui surgit dans l'Empire ottoman comme un mouvement social dans les basses classes. Implanté dans les territoires anatoliens et rouméhotes parmi les paysans et les petits commerçants, le mouvement Malāmī ne tarda pas à attirer par ses attitudes antigouvernementales, les réactions de l'Etat et des ulama orthodoxes. 34 A la suite des investigations policières strictement menées par le pouvoir politique, les cheiks Malāmīs ont été mis en observation, comme Būnyāmīn-i Ayāshī, Pīr ʿAlī-i Aqsarāyī, ou bien ils sont condamnés à mort ou décapité, tels que Ismā<sup>-</sup>īl-i Ma<sup>-</sup>shūqī, Ḥamza Bālī et Ḥusām al-Dīn-i Anqaravī dont on a parlé ci-dessus.

Un groupe de manuscrits rédigés aux quinzième-dix-septième siècles par certains cheiks appartenant aux différents ordres mystique

<sup>28</sup> Sur les Qadizādelis voir par ex , Ioalcik, The Ottoman Empire 184, et particulièrement Madeleine Zilfi. "The Kadizādelas Discordant Revivalism in Seventeenth-Century Istanbul", Journal of Near Eastern Studies xlv (1986) 251 269, id., The Politics of Piety: The Ottoman Ulema in the Postclassical Age (1600-1800) (Manacapolis 1988), Chapter 4.

 <sup>29</sup> Cf. Henri Laoust, Ef. s.v. Ibn Taymiyya.
 30 Cf. du même, Ef. s.v. Ibn Kayyim al-Jawziyya.

<sup>31</sup> A propos des polémiques se déroulant entre Abû'l Su'ād Efendi et Burgivi Mehmed, voir EL, s.v. Burgewi', sur Abû'l Su'ād voir EL, s.v. 'Abū'l-Su'ād'

<sup>32</sup> Cf. Düzdağ, Seyhulislâm Ebussund Efendi Fewâları 86

<sup>33</sup> Cf. Lachzade, Sergodhesht, fol. 137b 140a.

Le mouvement Malāmi n'est pas encore étudié du point de vue de l'histoire sociale ottomane, alors qu'il jouie d'une importance considérable en Anatolie centrale au seizième siècle. Nous avons essayé de faire une petite étude du point de vue d'histoire sociale sur ce mouvement au seizième siècle [voir, Ocak, 'Les réactions socio-religieuses contre l'idéologie officielle ottomane et la question de zendega ve ilhôd au XVIe siècle , Turcica xxi-xxiii (1991) 71-82].

orthodoxes, nous démontrent, parallèlement au comportement du gouvernement ottoman et des ulama, que certains milieux soufis aussi ont entrepris une campagne anti-Malâmī durant une période assez longue. Nous pouvons en donner trois exemples dont le premier, rédigé par 'Abd al-Latif Qūdsi (m. 1452), intitulé Kashf altitudad fi'l-radd 'alā madhhab al ilḥād, a consacré un chapitre à la condamnation des Malāmīs. 35 Contrairement à son collègue Molla Ilahī (m. 1491), 36 cheikh des Zaynīs à Bursa, 'Abd al-Latīf Qudsī qualifie les Malāmīs comme des hérétiques et des athéistes (ahl al ilhād wa'l-zandaqa wa'l-ib'ād) puisqu'ils suivaient, d'après lui, la voie des ahl al-ibāha en disant que les rites officiels de l'Islam ont été prescrits pour le peuple ignorant.

Pour le seizième siècle, nous pouvons donner deux exemples intéressant dont le premier, ayant pour titre Ibtăl-i rusükhī (ou Tadlīl al-ta'wīl), est un opuscule rédigé en 1575, par un cheikh Khalwati, Yūsuf Sinān Efendi. Dans cet opuscule où il qualifie les Malāmīs par le terme de ghulāt-i mutașavvife (soufis extrémistes), il les inculpe d'hérésie et d'athéisme.38 Le deuxième opuscule, rédigé en 1614, intitulé Risala-i Mehmed 'Amiqī ou Ayvūhā'l-walad (ô Mon Fils!), est l'ouvrage d'un certain Mehmed 'Amīqī. Il emploie, à propos des Malāmīs, le terme de tāvife-ı malāhida va firqa-i zanādiga, c.à.d groupe des gens athéistes et hérétiques, et il fait des entiques sévères aux Malāmīs-Hamzavīs, particulièrement au sujet de wahdat-i wujūd qui, d'après lui, a un grand rôle dans la dégénérescence des croyances du peuple ignorant.39 Comme on le sait, ces deux derniers opuscules se trouvent cités autrefois très brièvement par Abdulbaki Gölpmarit dans son célèbre ouvrage intitulé Melâmîlik ve Melâmîler.40

Nous pouvons dire en conclusion, que l'opposition au soufisme dans l'Empire ottoman se manifeste essentiellement, dans une période allant du début jusqu'à la fin du seizième siècle, dans trois secteurs, sous différentes apparences. L'Opposition du gouvernement — si

35 Cf. Kashf al-i'ngad, ms. Bibl. Ulucami de Bursa, nr. 1497, fol. 281-283

l'on peut dire — visait certainement un but tout à fait politique que nous pouvons traduire par le souci d'empêcher le bouleversement de l'ordre social (nizam-i 'ālem), et la perte de l'autorité gouvernementale, alors que celle des ulama de tendance puritaine, et celle d'une partie des milieux soufis visait empêcher également la dégénérescence morale et religieuse. Celle-ci, en partant d'une base tout à fait théologique, c'est-à-dire en partant de la conception de bid'a, tenait pour responsable les milieux soufis, de la dégénérescence de la société ottomane.

<sup>36</sup> Cf Molla Hāhī, Meslek al-tālibīn, ms. Süleymaniye (Fonds Mihnshāh) ar. 195, fol. 79a; du même, Risāla-i ahadīym, ms. Süleymaniye (Fonds Shehīd "Alī Pasha), nr. 1390, fol. 101a

<sup>37</sup> Cf 'Abd ar-Latif Qodsi, op. cit.

<sup>38</sup> Cf Ibtāl i rusūkhi, ms. Silleymamye (Fonds Es'ad Efendi), ur 764/3, fol. 61a-65b

 <sup>39</sup> Cf Ayyuhā'l walad, as. Süleymaniye (Foods Hälet Efendi), ar. 3689/1, fol. 1b-5a.
 40 Vou Gölpmark, Melâmikk 75,76,188

### OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN TWELVER SHIISM

### NASROLLAH POURJAVADY

Sufism, the mystical dimension of Islam and esoteric tradition centered on sainthood (wilaya), has much in common with Shiism, particularly Twelver Shiism. Yet, Sufism developed basically as a form of Sunnism, whence it follows that the Shites were opposed and at times even hostile to the Sufis. Twelver Shism's opposition to Sufism goes back to the third/ninth century, during the so-called Lesser Occultation (ghaybat-i sughrā) of the Twelfth Imam. It was at this time, during the life of al-Husayn b. Mansūr al-Hallai (born c. 244/858, executed 309/922), that Shii opposition to the Sufis started with their enmity towards al-Hallai himself. According to Shii tradition, al-Hallaj had been a Shiite, but was expelled from Qum by the Imamite leaders2 and was later denounced by Abū Sahl-i Nawbakhti, the political head of the Imamites in Baghdad. Abū Sahl, who had two arguments with al-Halfa,3 was the one "who denounced al-Hallaj to the Abbasid authorities as mudda'l alrubūbiyya"4 and thus contributed to his conviction and martyrdom.

Imamite scholars and writers have condemned al-Ḥallāj ever since. In the fourth/tenth century, the leader of the Twelver Shrites in Baghdad, Shaykh al-Mufīd (d. 413/1022) mentioned al-Ḥallāj as a man known to have been a miscreant (fāsiq) and a heretic. 5 Not only al-Ḥallāj, but in fact all Sufis, were denounced by Shaykh al-Mufīd. He did so by quoting two of the Imams: "Alī al-Ḥādī, the tenth Imam (212-54/827-68), and "Alī al-Ridā, the eighth Imam (148-203/765-818). The former, when asked about the Sufis replied: "Whoever claims to be a Sufi is either an imposter or a misguided person, or he is naive." The latter expressed even greater hostility towards the

Sufis, replying: 'Whoever hears the name of a Sufi and does not denounce him with words and in his heart, is not one of our followers. And whoever speaks out against the Sufis and denounces them, his behaviour is equivalent to combatting the infidels in the company of Muhammad, the Prophet of God' 6

These sayings reflect the general attitude of the Twelver Shutes towards the Sufis after the time of Shavkh al-Mufid. Shu hostility towards the Sufis primarily had to do with the fact that the latter were Sunnis. Yet, when they criticized the Sufis, they often did so with arguments borrowed from anti-Sufi Sunni texts. In this regard the book entitled Talbis Iblis by Ibn al-Jawzi (d. 597/1200) is of preeminent importance. The work is the first lengthy vigorous attack against the Sufis which has come down to us, and it had an influence on all anti-Sufi writings produced thereafter, whether by Sunni or by Shii authors. One of the first Imami writers who criticized Sufism. not simply by quoting sayings from the Shii Imams against them but by arguing against specific Sufi doctrines and practices, was Jamal al-Din al-Murtada al-Razi who lived in the first half of the sixth/twelfth century. Răzī's book Tabsirat al-cawāmm fī ma'rifat magalat al-anam7 is concerned with describing, and to a great extent criticising, different religious and different Muslim sects.

In Chapters 16 and 17 of his book, Rāzī criticizes the sayings of the Sufi masters and condemns Sufism in general. The focus of his criticism in chapter 16 is the belief in unification (ittihād) and disregard of the sharia, i.e. antinomian acts and behaviour. He begins his condemnation by saying that 'the Sufis are Sunnis, and all the Sunnis consider them to be saints (awliyā) and people of miraculous deeds, with the exception of Abū Hanīfa, al-Isfarāyinī and the Muctazilites who deny miracles'. Rāzi then divides the Sufis into six different sects, which is reminiscent of Hujwīrī's division of the Sufis into twelve sects. But Hujwīrī, unlike Rāzī, is himself a Sufi and approves of nine out of the twelve sects. One sect he rejects because it completely discards Sufism, and the other two because they believe in incarnation (hulūl). Concerning these two sects Hujwīrī

Louis Massignon, The Possion of al-Hallay, transl. Herbert Mason (Princeton 1982) 1,
 322.

<sup>2</sup> Thid 374

See A. Iqbāl, Khāndān-i Nawbakhti (Teheran 1311) 114-6; The Passion i, 325, 322-9.
 The Passion i. 325-7

<sup>5</sup> Shaykh al-Mufid, Al Masā'ri al-sāchām vva, ed. Muhammad Ghādī (Oum 1413 h.)

<sup>6</sup> Khwansari, Randar al-junan (Teheran 1390 h.) i, 439-40.
7 We have need "Aldrig Julia" edition (Teheran 1313)

We have used "Abbas Iqbāl's edition (Tehran 1313 sh.). Iqbāl questions the authorship of the work, and attributes it to Murtadā Ibn Dāsā-t-Ḥusayni i Rāzī. But as Shirwāni has convincingly argued in his introduction to Nuzhat at kirām wa-bustān at-'awāmm (Tehran 1361 sh.), the author is Jamāl al-Dio al-Murtadā 'Alī 'Abd Alāh Muḥammad b al-Husayn al-Rāzī.

<sup>8</sup> Rāzī, Tabşirat al-'ewāmm 122.

writes: 'Of those two reprobate sects which profess to belong to Sufism and make the Sufis partners in their error, one follows Abu Hulman of Damascus... The other sect refers their doctrine to Faris, who pretends to have derived it from Husayn b. Mansur (al-Hallāj), but he is the only one of Husayn's followers who holds such tenets' 9

Here, Hujwiri attributes the doctrine of incarnation (hulūl) to one of the foliowers of al-Hallāj. Likewise, Rāzī holds al-Hallāj responsible for belief in ittihād, concerning which he writes: 'The first sect of the Sufis are those who believe in unification (ittihād), and the head of this sect is Husayn b. Mansūr al-Hallāj'. 10 On these grounds Rāzī condemns not only al-Hallāj as a dualist (zindūq) and an infidel (kāfir), but other Sufis as well, notably Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmī and Abū Bakr al-Shibli.

The second sect of the Sufis, according to Rāzī, consists of the socalled "lovers" ("ushshāq). They believe that one should be concerned with nothing but God, because only God is worthy of man's love. However, this is not what the prophets, including Muhammad, taught men to do. The prophets stressed man's obligation to fulfill the religious duties God has imposed on him.

The third sect is called the Nūriyya. The followers of this sect, according to Rāzī, believe that two kinds of veils, one made of light (nūr) and one of fire (nār), exist between man and God. Persons who are veiled by fire are followers of Satan and perpetrate Satanic acts. Their veil is said to be of fire because Satan himself was created from fire. Persons who are veiled by light acquire praiseworthy qualities such as trust in God (tawakkul), longing for God (shawq) and resignation (taslīm), etc. None the less, Rāzī criticizes these Sufis for their resignation and for believing that man should worship God not out of longing for Paradise or fear of Hell-fire, but out of sheer love for God. He notes that if this were the correct attitude, in his prayers the Prophet Muḥammad would not have asked for Paradise nor sought refuge in God from Hell-fire.

The fourth sect, called the Wāsiliyya, claims to have attained the state of union (wişal) with God and thus to have become wāsil. Since wisāl is the final goal of a Sufi, the Wāsiliyya believe that they no longer need to observe religious duties. They now have no obligation to perform the prayers or fast or pay the zakāt or to make the pil-

grimage to Mecca. They can even commit immoral acts such as adultery and sodomy, without causing any impairment to their lofty state.

The fifth sect, Rāzī continues, consists of those who believe it is forbidden for a man of God to read books and study different (religious) sciences, because knowledge of God is attained through discipline and self-denial which can only be gained directly through a spiritual master and not from books and theoretical studies.

The sixth and last sect of the Sufis is made up of those who care for nothing but sensual pleasures, such as eating, dancing and wearing nice clothes without observing the laws of the sharia.

All these groups of Sufis, according to Rāzī, are reprobates and condemned by the Shiites. They are innovators and have deviated from the right path which, as Rāzī believes, is nothing other than Imāmī Shiism.

The title of chapter 17, 'On some of the sayings al-Qushayri mentions in his book', indicates that the author intends to examine some of the claims made by the fifth/tenth century Sufi writer Abū'l-Qāsim al-Qushayrī (d. 465/1074) in his Risāla, a handbook on Sufism. Al-Qushayrī, a student of the Ash'arite theologian Ibn Fūrak, was one of the most influential Sufi masters and writers, and tried to incorporate Ash'arite theology into Sufism. Rāzī attacks the Sufis for listening to music and dancing (samā'). According to him this is a practice which is not sanctioned by the sharia. Mostly, however, he attacks the Sufis from a theological point of view, and condemns them for holding beliefs which they share with the orthodox Sunni Muslims.

Sufis, he states, believe in incarnation; their saints claim to have reached union with God. They also believe that once they have reached this state, whatever they may do, their action is God's action and not their own action. In this connection Rāzī refers to the saying of Abū Bakr al-Wāsiṭī (d. 320/932) quoted by al-Qushayrī: 'Pharaoh claimed to be God outwardly, but the Mu'tazilites maintain this same claim inwardly when they say that the servant of God has no action'. Rāzī observes that this saying of al-Wasiṭī is sheer determinism, and considers it to be obviously false.

What seems to have concerned Razī particularly is the concept of sainthood (wilaya) which al Qushayri and other classical Sufi writers attribute to the great Sufis of the past such as Ibrāhīm b. Adham, Dhū'l-Nūn al Miṣrī, Ma'ruf al-Karkhi, Sarī al-Saqatī, al-Junayd, Abū Yazīd al-Basṭamı and Sahl al Tustan. Sufis, according to Rāzī, believe that they are the best of God's creation, after the prophets

<sup>9 \*</sup>Ali-ı Hujwiri, Kashf al-mahjüb, transl. R.A. Nicholson (London 1976, first published 1911) 260

<sup>10</sup> Rāzī, op. cat. 122.

and God's Messenger, or even superior to the latter since they can see God not only in Paradise but even in this world. By attacking the Sufi concept of wilaya, Razī is defending the superior status of prophets, in particular that of Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam. As a Shute, he also wants implicitly to reject the claim that any human being besides the Prophet can be more sanctified than the Shii Imanis.

In this connection, Rāzī attacks the claim that Sufi saints are capable of performing miracles. He points out that Sufi writers, such as al-Qushayrī, attribute miracles to their saints, for example to al-Hallāj, but blame the Shintes for attributing miracles to their Imāms. 11 Yet, after the prophet Muḥammad performing miracles was the prerogative of the Shin Imāms. The miraculous deeds that have been reported about al-Hallāj or other Sufis were nothing but sorcery (sthr).

Thus, in accordance with Shii belief, Rāzī refused to accept that Sufis had the power to perform miracles. This position was common among Shir writers and none of them would accept the claim that any person, other than their Imams, could perform miracles. Consequently, whenever Imami writers such as 'Abd al-Jalil al-Oazwini, in his Kitāb al-nagd<sup>12</sup> (written around 560/1165) refer to alleged miracles performed by Sufis, they do so in the context of anti-Sufi polemics. Al-Oazwini, for example, refers to the miracles of al-Hallaj and Rabifa al-fAdawiyya as part of an argument against an opponent who attacked the Shiites for claiming that the Imam had miraculously turned the image of a lion on a cushion into a real lion. Al-Qazwinī, like Rāzī, held that no Sufis could perform a miracle, since they did not possess wilāya (sainthood). After the Prophet wilāya was the exclusive prerogative of the Imams. In fact, Shu writers such as al-Qazwini and Razi hated the Sufis for having claimed that anyone could be endowed with sainthood. This violent hatred, as Massignon points out, 'is particularly significant considering that they have no hatred for the Mustazilites who are hostile to their Imams'. By means of explanation he adds: 'What the Imamites reproach al Hasan al Basri, Sufyān al-Thawri, al-Hallaj, and al-Jīlāni for, is having shown the Sunnites that sanctity (whatever the accepted definition was) was not an aristocratic privilege reserved to Alid descendants, but a divine grace that freely chooses its elect' 13

Rāzī's attacks against Sufism illustrate the general hostility felt by the Twelver Shites towards the Sufis up to the Mongol invasion of Iran and the fall of the Baghdad caliphate in the middle of the seventh/thirteenth century. Although many other Shii ulama and writers expressed hostility towards Sufism in the period thereafter and up to the present, it should be observed that the relationship between the Shiites and the Sufis definitely went through a change from the eighth/fourteenth century onwards. Instead of sheer hostility, some Shiites developed an ambivalent attitude vis-à-vis Sufism. This gradual change occurred during the II-Khanid and the Timurid periods, and continued in the Safavid period.

This change is the result of the efforts of a number of Shii thinkers and writers to incorporate Sufi ideas, especially the doctrine of Ibn "Arabi (d. 638/1240) into Shii theology and philosophy. One of these thinkers is Sayyid Haydar-i Āmulī (b. 720/1320) who, in his Jāmi' al-asrār, calls the Sufis 'those among the men of God who have realized the truth', and considers their doctrines to be the same as those of the Twelver Shiites.<sup>14</sup>

Amuli approves of Sufism and tries to demonstrate that its inner dimension is identical with Shusin. None the less, he is critical of a number of particular sects of the Sufis. Just as there are different groups that call themselves Shiites, such as the Ghulat, the Isma'ilis and the Zaydis, one finds different groups and sects under the label 'Sufi', even the Ibāhiyya (antinomians) and the Hulūliyya (believers in incarnation). Just as only one group from among those who call themselves Shiites is on the right path and deserves the name 'Shiite', only one sect of the Sufis is on the right path and the rest are not really Sufis. 15 Unlike Rāzī and other Shiftes, Amulī approves of certain early Sufis such as al-Junayd, Sari al-Sagati, Marruf al-Karkhī, and Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmī, all of whom are presented as having been disciples of the Imams. Among the later Sufi shayks, Amuli mentions Sa'd al-Din Ḥamūya, a disciple of Najm al-Din al-Kubrā who was a Shite Sufi and believed that there were only twelve awliva2 and that the last of them was the Sahib al-zaman, the Mahdi. 16 Amuli also singles out as respectable figures Sadr al-Din

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. 138.

<sup>12 \*</sup>Abd al Jahl i Qazwini i Rāzi, Kuāb al maqd, ed. Jalāl al-Dīn Ḥusayn-i Urmawī ma rif bi Muhaddub (Teheran ,358 sh.) 70

<sup>13</sup> The Passion 1, 329.

<sup>14</sup> Haydar Amuli, La philosophie shi "ite (Jāmi al-astār) Textes publiés par Honry Corbin et Osman Yahia (Teheran 1969) 3.

<sup>15</sup> Ibid. 47-8

<sup>16</sup> See 'Aziz-ı Nasafi, Le livre de l'homme parfau, ed. M. Molé (Teheran 1962) 320-3.

al-Qunawi, the disciple of Ibn 'Arabi, 17 and the latter's commentators Dāwūd al Qaysarı and 'Abd al-Razzāq al Kāshanı. However, he

disapproved of the 'Sufis of his own day and age'.18

Āmulī's Jami' al-asrār is an excellent example of rapprochement between Shu philosophy and Sufi doctrines that were expounded by Ibn 'Arabi and his commentators. His positive attitude towards the well-known early Sufis and towards Ibn 'Arabi and his followers is typical of Shir theosophists in the subsequent period. They tried to incorporate Ibn 'Arabi's doctrine, which they called 'irfan, into Shir theology.

After Amuli, the Shii ulama, as far as their opposition towards the Sufis goes, were divided into two groups. One group consisted of those who were exclusively specialized in Law and who were against Sufism and the Sufis. They considered the existence of the Sufi orders, their practices, and the master-disciple relationship to be an uniawful innovation, and condemned both the early and the later Sufi shavkhs. In their criticism of Sufism and their attacks on the Sufis they followed the author of the Tabsirat al- awamm.

The second group of Shii ulama were those who believed in the theoretical aspect of Sufism, particularly in the teachings of Ibn 'Arabi, which they called 'irfan and hikmat-i muta'aliya whereas they objected to Sufi practices, the institution of the khānagāh, and the organisational structure of the Sufi orders. When it came to individual Sufis, these ulama, themselves 'ārifs or teachers of 'irfan and hikmat, accepted the early Sufis (usually with the exception of al-Hallai) as well as Ibn 'Arabi and Sadr al-Din al-Qunawi and his students and followers, but they condemned the Sufi masters of their own time who belonged to a particular order, even those who belonged to a Shii order, such as the Ni<sup>e</sup>matullāhiyya or Dhahabiyya 19

An interesting Shii writer who belonged to the second group of ulama was Qadi Nürullah-i Shüshtari (executed 1016/1607), the author of the Majālis al-mu'mının. He is known for having included many Sufis in his list of Shii historical personalities. Shiishtari beheved that Abū Yazīd al-Bastami, al-Junayd, Sahl al-Tustarī, were true Sufis and were in fact Shutes, though they might have appeared otherwise.20 This belief made him sympathetic towards all the im-

portant Sufis of the past. However, he denounced the strictly Sunni Sufis of his time, notably the Nagshbandis, and showed hostility towards them.

Another author and thinker who belonged to the second group of Shii ulama was Sadr al Dīn-i Shirāzī, better known as Mullā Sadrā (d. 1051/1641). Mullā Sadrā integrated the Sufism of Ibn "Arabī and the Ishraqı philosophy of al-Suhrawardi al-Maqtul into his theosophical system. Like Haydar-i Āmulī, he was sympathetic towards classical Sufis and respected them.21 However, he criticized al-Hallar for his ecstatic utterance ana'l-hagg, and maintained that al-Hallaj's visions were neither confirmed by reason, nor by Divine Law.22 Conversely, Mulla Sadra was apologetic with regard to Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmi and his extreme ecstatic statements. He explained such statements by adopting the position that Abū Yazīd was in fact speaking on behalf of God at the moment he uttered them. 23 It is towards the end of his book that Mulla Sadra mentions the Sufis of his time and condemns them for their practices: i.e. their gatherings involving eating and drinking, playing music and dancing, instead of discussions, teaching and listening to philosophical ideas, moral advice, and mystical doctrines.24

The attitudes of the Shii theosophists ("urafa") or philosophers (hukamā2) towards Sufism, exemplified here by Ḥaydar-ı Āmulī and Mulla Sadra, have remained almost the same ever since. Although the followers of Mulla Sadra, the teachers of Transcendental Philosophy (hikmat-i muta aliva), have accepted theoretical aspects of Sufism and have shown tolerance towards the Sufis, they have avoided the name suff. Even at present the word "irfan (gnosis) is used in preference to tasawwuf to avoid negative connotations.

The other group of Shii ulama, the specialists in Law (fugahā<sup>2</sup>), followed Razi and showed relentless hostility towards Sufism throughout the Safavid period and afterwards as well. When Shiism became the established religion of Iran under the Safavid dynasty. opposition against Sufism expressed by the Shii ulama became a real threat to Sufis. Several books and treatises were written by Shii writers to condemn Sufism as a heretical sect. All these works drew

<sup>17</sup> Ameli, on cit 431

Ibid 614

On these orders, see Richard Grankich, Die schutischen Derwischorden Persien, 111 vols (Wiesbaden 4965-81)

<sup>20</sup> Nürulläh i Shüshtari, Majälis al-mu'mmin (Teheran 1375-6 h.) i, 5.

<sup>21</sup> Sadr al-Din-i Shîrâzî, Kasr al-aşnām al-jāhilivya, ed. M.T. Dānishpazhūh (Teheran 1340 sh.) 72.

<sup>22</sup> Ibid. 9, 29

<sup>24</sup> Paid, 128. For a study dealing with ecstatic utterances (shothivvat), see Carl W. Ernst. Words of Ecstacy in Sufism (Albany 1985).

on, and sometimes developed, Rāzī's arguments in the Tabsirat al'awāmm. The most notable example of this category of works written during the Safavid period is the Hadīqat al-shī'a, attributed to
Ahmad b. Muhammad-i Ardabīlī, better known as Muqaddas-i
Ardabīlī (d. 993/1585).25 In this book, all Sufis are condemned as
Sunnis and by implication are considered to be opposed to the Shīi
Imams. The Imāms themselves, according to the author of the
Hadīqat al-shī'a, had been opposed to the Sufis. For this reason
Ardabīlī feels perfectly justified in denouncing all Sufis, not only alHallāj and al-Basṭāmī, but Rūmī, and his master Shams-i Tabrīzī, Ibn
'Arabī, Azīz al-Dīn-i Nasafī, 'Abd al-Razzāq al-Kāshānī, and others
as well.

Ardabīlī's text is of considerable significance in the history of Shii opposition towards Sufism. It served the Niematullāhī author Muḥammad Maesūm eAlī Shāh-i Shīrāzī as his point of departure in his defence of Sufism. In his Tarā'iq al-ḥaqā'iq he concentrates primarily on the accusations made in the Ḥadīqat al-shīea.26 This does not mean that he is unaware of other anti-Sufi works. In fact, he mentions eight other authors who took up critical positions vis-à-vis Sufism,27 one of them being Mullā Şadrā.

Another author mentioned by Ma'sūm 'Alī Shāh is Muḥammad Tāhir-i Qummī (d. 1098/1687), a faqih who wrote under the influence of Rāzī's Tabsira. His two anti-Sufi works are entitled the Risālat al-fawā'id al-dīniyya fi'l-radd 'alā'l-hukamā' wa'l-sūfiyya and Tuḥfat al-akhyār. In the latter work, Qummī often quotes from Rāzī's Tabsira. Like Rāzī, he condemns all Sufis including al-Qūnawī, Rūmī and Ibn 'Arabī, whom he considers to be followers of al-Hallāj and Abū Yazīd al-Bastāmī. He refers to Ibn 'Arabī as a fool and a misguided fellow whose belief in the Unity of Existence (wahdat al-wujūd) is equivalent to infidelity (kufr) and atheism (zandaga).28

The last 'ālim who wrote against Sufism to be mentioned by Ma'sūm 'Alī Shah is Āgā Muhammad 'Alī-i Bihbihānī (1141-

1216/1732-1801), the mustahid from Kırmānshāh. Bibbihānī is famous for having persecuted the Nicamatullahi Sufis who were actually a Shii sect, and for having put to death some of their leaders. In his treatise entitled Risala-i khayratiyya, Bibbihānī fiercely attacks all Sufis, some of whom he had even personally condemned to death.

OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN TWELVER SCHIISM

In 1958, about 160 years after Bihbihānī's death, his biographer 'Alī-i Dawānī wrote about this mujtahid's hostility towards the Sufis. He tried to justify Bihbihānī's anti-Sufi writings and his actions against the Ni'matullāhī Sufis. In the biography, Dawānī summarized the position of his protagonist by stating: 'All the Sufi sects are false and the Sufis are all misguided and have strayed from the right path and Sufism is quite different from Islam and it is especially opposed to Shiism' 29 This statement reflects the feeling of many contemporary Shii ulama towards the Sufis. Their negative feeling, however, is not shared by the ulama who have integrated Sufi thought into their theological views as 'irfān. At present adherents and opponents of Sufism would appear to be evenly balanced in Iran, which explains why Sufis, generally speaking, did not suffer serious harassment after the Iranian revolution.

<sup>25</sup> The text was first published in Teheran in 1343/1964 For discussions on the text's authenticity and dating, see Kathryn Babayan, 'Sufis, Dervishes and Mollahs, the Controversy over Spiritual and Temporal Dominson in Seventeenth-Century Iran', *Pembroke Papers* iv (1996, 117-38, note 37

<sup>26</sup> Ma'şüm 'Ali Shāh (Muḥammad Ma'şüm-ı Shirāzi), Tară'iq al-ḥaqā'ıq, ed. Muḥammad Ja'far-ı Mah, üb (Teheran 1335 sh.) i, 186 ff

<sup>27</sup> Ibid. i, 174-86.

<sup>28</sup> Muḥammad Ṭāhar-ı Qummi, Tulifat al-akhvār (Qum 1393 h.) 1, 59-61, 164-69

<sup>29 &</sup>quot;Ali-ı Dawâni, Waḥūd-ı Bihbihānî (Qum 1337 sh.) 385

# ANTI-SUFISM IN QAJAR IRAN

## MANGOL BAYAT

Qajar Iran witnessed the advent of an era of religious renewal and socio-political rethinking. Official Shii Islam was dominated by the mutjahids, high ranking ulama specialists of the sharia who enforced the law ruthlessly and waged war against the 'religious deviators'. The latter comprised, broadly speaking, the Sufis ('urafā') and the philosophical theologians (hukamā'), in all their respective diversity, and, by the mid-nineteenth century, the religious reformers/modernizers. In this paper, I shall argue that, paradoxically, the hukamā' opposed the Sufis no less than the mujtahids did, and that both the hukamā' and the Sufis, in turn, were subjected to a devastating assault on the part of the modernizers. By the late Qajar period, Ahmad-i Kasrawī emerged as the most virulent critic of Sufism, ushering in the new faith in modern science and, in the process, finalizing the desacralization of the concept of knowledge.

To a large extent, Qajar religious policies followed closely those of the Safavids. It is thus important for us to review here, briefly, the circumstances that brought the latter! to power and facilitated the emergence of Shiism as the state religion of Iran. Prior to the Safavid period, the *de facto* separation of temporal power from divine authority, a necessary consequence of the doctrine of *ghavbat*, freed Shii thinkers from lasting loyalty to any political entity, and allowed them the opportunity to develop several dynamic schools of theology and philosophical-theology. One must bear in mind the historic fact that the doctrine of the Imamate was fully elaborated to its last formative stage in the ninth and tenth centuries, at the time when Isla mic rational thought in general was exposed to and integrating aspects of Greek philosophy. The Shii Imamate was nurtured by Neo-platonic concepts then prevalent in esotericist and rationalist circles, a development parallel to al-Fārabi's own idea of the philo-

sopher-king. The Imam is viewed as the sole source of legitimate authority, whether he actually rules or not. He has access to divine truth, and only he can extract from the religious texts their esotence meaning. Ta²wil is the exclusive prerogative of the infallible Imam; and only he has the power of intercession. In his person he combines the attributes of the philosophers' First Intellect, and the Sufis' Perfect Man. Thus, Shiism, even more so than Sunnism, condemned the philosophers and, more vigorously, the Sufis, who claimed spiritual authority in guiding the faithful through the path of spiritual initiation to knowledge of the divine. In an effort to restrain religious extremism and alleged fraudulent claims, Shii leaders concerned with fight gradually came to impose the supremacy of the law, as their Sunni counterparts had. This was finally achieved in the early sixteenth century when the Safavids established their dynastic power in a reumfied Iran.

Shah Ismacil had come to power at the head of an extremist messianic Sufi movement that supported his claims to divine right to rule, the like of which mainstream Shii ulama had until then relentlessly denounced as heretical. However, they chose to back the Safavid monarch, who had invited them to settle in Iran and had bestowed social status and privileges upon them as the religious leaders of the newly founded state religion. They viewed him as a holy warrior for the right religion and true faith. Though they upheld the Shii doctrine of the Imamate as the sole source of authority, in practice they accepted the shah's rule, provided it was in conformity with the holy law, while simultaneously demanding that the faithful obey and serve him. They made no attempt to redefine the nature and function of the state in times of ghaybat; nor did they deal with the ever increasing and vexing discrepancy between the doctrinal ideal and the historical reality. However, in Imami Shusm as in Sunni Islam, temporal power became a necessity for the preservation and good functioning of the Islamic law, an integral part of the Islamic social order.

Some ulama stayed aloof and shunned cooperation with the state. Others were directly associated. Mullā Taqī-i Majlisī and his son Mulla Baqir-i Majlisī were close advisers and high religious officials appointed by the state. The father reportedly was Sufi-inclined; but it was Majlisī-son who laid the basis for the Shii fuqaha³'s claim to supreme religious authority, making them alone, and not individual believers themselves, answerable to the Imam, thus enforcing their directives upon the masses. Although this provided the ulama with a potential source of power in political affairs, they did not challenge

For greater details on my interpretation of Safavid and Qajar religious policies, see Mangol Bayat, Mysticism and Dissent. Socio-Religious Thought in Qajar Iran (Syracuse 1982) and sources cited there

temporal authority. There is no evidence in the historical annals or in their writings that they desired or aimed at temporal authority. They viewed themselves as legal custodians and religious leaders. Institutionalized Shri Islam produced an official hierarchy of clerical leadership, headed by the mujtahids (highest ranking expert of the law), with the doctrine of the Imamate increasingly acquiring an eschatological character

Shii fuqahā' devoted their studies to aspects of theological dialectics, jurisprudence and hadith, the chains of transmission of authentic Imami traditions. These legal experts came to form the bulk of the religious establishment, and stressed the primacy of the holy law. They continued to enforce the belief that the Imam's opinion constitutes the sole source of the law, and that only he can instruct the believers about the religious duties God has imposed on them in order for them to attain reward in this life and the next. In his absence his opinion must be discovered with absolute certainty before the legal pronouncements are made. Once this opinion is determined, it becomes an authoritative source of the law. Authority, then, lies not in the person who reveals the Imam's opinion, but in the opinion itself. Nonetheless, the mujtahid who practices ijiihād, the endeavor to determine the Imam's opinion, acquires authority, though not supreme. Ikhtiläf over non-fundamentals among the mujtahids was allowed; none could lay claim to infallibility.

In certain circles the practice of ijiihad was severely contested in the Safavid period. It was viewed as incompatible with the doctrine of the Imam's authority, a mere 'conjecturing' on the part of the mujtahids who resort to analogical reasoning like their Sunni counterparts. Both Sufis and theo.ogical-philosophers insisted that individual believers are directly answerable to the Imam. But they were often powerless in defending their position in public, as bitter controversies divided the ranks of Imami Shiism in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Mulla Şadra (1572-1641), the most brilliant theological-philosopher, had to leave Isfahan and seek refuge in a remote village to pursue his work. His followers and like-minded thinkers formed small, private, exclusive circles for the initiated, outwardly abiding by the fuqahā"'s ruling. It was Sufism that presented the greatest challenge, successful as it was in its mass appeal at both the popular and elite levels. Refusing to acknowledge the mujtahids as the sole exponents of Shir Islam, denying them the right to represent the collective voice of religious conscience, the Sufi leaders offered their followers a spiritual alternative to the fuqahā"'s legalistic institutionalized religion. The last reigning Safavid shah had turned his energy and devotion to his circle of Sufi adepts.

In the late eighteenth century, prior to the Qajar's ascent to the Peacock throne, the fugahā' waged their fiercest and bloodiest battle against the 'deviators'. The Usuli-Akhbari controversy is too often viewed as a religious controversy involving 'rationalist' ulama fighting against irrational 'traditionists', who resisted the emergence of a 'rational' centralized 'church'.2 In fact, by that time the Sufis and the theological-philosophers had conceded to the fuqahā' their important role as legal custodians of the religious community, recognizing the social need for law enforcement and directives. But they also demanded for themselves the right to explore the mystical or philosophical dimensions of Imami Shiism. The Shii 'urafa' and hukamā' shared in common the conception of a specially gifted individual, endowed with a semi-divine qualification to unveil, in an evolutionary fashion, the esoteric meaning of the holy texts. They differentiated 'true knowledge' of the divine from jurisprudence, philology or grammar, claiming it was accessible only to the 'initiated'. The fugahā' condemned these claims as blasphemy, since it was tantamount to sharing with the Imam the divine function of ta'wil But doctrinal disputes were not the only reason behind the Usuli mujtahids' merciless persecution of their detractors, which led to scenes of violence and bloodshed in the streets of Najaf, Karbala? and the major cities of Iran. The mujtahids Bihbahānī, father and son, whose policies led to the Usulis' triumph and who were referred to as sūfikush (Sufi killer) in the Qajar annals, were determined to impose their absolute religious authority in society. Their notorious use of coercive means to consolidate their power forced the dissidents to practice tagiyya, the time-honored Imami Shii self-protecting concealment of beliefs, deemed necessary in case of threat to one's life. Many nineteenth-century Suf, masters expressed their rehance on the Shir dictum: 'tagivya is part of the faith', attributed to the sixth Imam Jacfar al-Sadiq (d. 765).

The Qajars (1785-1925) rose to power at the time when the Usūli fuqahā' had successfully consolidated their authority in society by asserting the predominance of fiqh over and against the mystical and philosophical dimension of Shii Islam. Like their predecessors, Qajar

<sup>2</sup> On the controversy, and for further references, see Etan Kohlberg, 'Aspects of Akhbari Thought in the Seventeenth and Eighteen Centuries', in Nehemia Levizion and John Voll (eds.), Eighteenth-Century Renewal and Reform in Islam (Syracuse 1987) 133-160. See also note 12 of the contribution by Pierre-Jean Luizard to the present volume.

fugahā' persecuted the 'urafā' and the hukamā' relentlessly. Religious disputes periodically led to civil strife and often degenerated into street fights. Despite this extreme intolerance, Sufism and theological-philosophical discourse, in all their respective diversity, continued to flourish, competing with one another, and developing lively debates on the merit and legitimacy of their views. Paradoxically, and despite their heavy borrowing from the Sufis' spiritual system of thought, Shii hukamā' proved to be as intolerant as the fuqahā' in their attacks on the 'urafa'. Mulla Şadra's followers and, more importantly, new schools of Shii thought, expressed a distaste for the Sufis' populism and discard of reason. But their denunciation of Sufi practices and beliefs reflected also their desire to achieve orthodox respectability and to deter charges of heresy against themselves.

When the Akhbaris suffered final defeat at the hands of the Usuli mujtahids around the turn of the nineteenth century, the struggle was taken over by the Shaykhi school of theology 3 Its founder, Shaykh Ahmad-i Ahsā°ī (d. 1826), carried on the anti-ijtihād argument and. in the process, developed a new concept of religious leadership. He scorned the Usülis' 'limited' knowledge of jurisprudence and their narrow 'legalistic approach' to religious studies. He rejected the mujtahids' frequent resort to conjecture to find evidence of proof in theological debates. Greatly influenced by Shii theological-philosophy and mysticism. Ahsā'i offered the model of the Perfect Shute as the ideal human proof of the Imam, the latter's agent among the believers, and the gate to divine knowledge. The Perfect Shiite was conceived as an elaborate variation of the Sufis' mystico-messiame Perfect Man, blending in aspects of the neo-Platonic Islamic philosophers' concept of the First Intellect or Philosopher-King. In fact, Ahsāºī and his successors, Kāzim-i Rashtī (d.1843) and Muhammad Karīm Khān-i Kirmānī (d. 1871), proposed a blending of philosophical enquiry and mystical initiation as an alternative method for the understanding of the holy texts and the Imam's teachings. In contrast to the Usulis' conception of collective but decentralized religious authority based on expertise in jurisprudence, the Shaykhis' Perfect Shute stressed the absolute authority of a single spiritual leader, possessor of knowledge of the divine.

Like the Sufis, the Shaykhis refused to acknowledge the Usulis' supreme authority in religious affairs, though recognizing their allimportant functions as legal custodians and moral-religious guides of

3 On the Shaykhis, see Bayat, op.cit., chapters 2, 3, and sources cited there.

the commoners. They reserved for themselves the exclusive privilege of enlightening the elite, the intellectually gifted worthy of initiation to higher levels of knowledge of the divine. And here, they showered their contempt on popular Sufism, dismissing it as irrational emotionalism concerned with rituals, verging on heretical worshiping of human leaders. The Shaykhis were, indeed, involved in more intellectual issues of allegorical interpretation of Shii doctrines. They rejected the conception of knowledge as already given in its entirety, with nothing more to add to it until the advent of the expected Imam's return. They proposed a more humanist vision of the world in perpetual progressive evolution toward spiritual perfection, and a more positive faith in the ability of human beings to perfect themselves. But their Perfect Shiite was no different than the Sufis' master-guide. In fact both conceptions shared the same attributes of quasi-millenarian, semi-divine, spiritual leader of the age, which the fugahā' vehemently condemned as blasphemous. With all their philosophical borrowing the Shaykhis, in the last analysis, were as mystically oriented as the Sufis, simply by virtue of the nature and characteristics of their Perfect Shute, and thus, equally subjected to charges of heresy. The fugahā''s hostility was less directed against the Shavkhis' views, if taught in small, exclusive and private circles, as was the case, than against their challenge to the Usulis' predominance over religious institutions and their authority in sociocultural affairs. Takfir rarely affected the life and work of Shaykhi leaders, except in terms of periodic forced social isolation. It was only when theological disputes also implied political differences, that the fugahā' turned belligerent. Persecution of thought occurred when its practical consequences clashed with the institutional authority and social influence of the Usuli-dominated Shu hierarchy.

The Qajar dynastic power acted as arbiter, carefully maintaining a balance between all. Working in close collaboration with the mujtahids of their choice, the shah, the royal governors in the provinces, and the ruling elite in general would also favor some Sufi master and/or a particular school of theological philosophy, depending on individual inclination and social expediency. The Shaykhis were highly popular in Oajar circles, especially among the earlier Qajar monarchs and princes. A semblance of religio-cultural equilibrium was maintained at the official level, even though strained at times. In the late nineteenth century, the most senous rebellion against Sufism and semi-Sufi Shaykhism, as well as theological philosophy, occurred with the emergence of a new type of

Iranian intellectual.

Although the concept of the Perfect Man or Perfect Shrite represented a concrete symbol of human creative power at its highest degree of self-realization, it did not project a truly humanist faith in the ability of humans to achieve progress through their own means rather than by an external transcendental force. Such an anthropocentrism was achieved from within an imamocentric system. Religious disputes did not concern the social life of the ordinary believer; nor did they offer a new dimension in understanding sociopolitical problems based on concrete practical experiences of daily life. Instead, they reinforced traditional Shii political alienation. while upholding the elitist conception of knowledge as the possession of the chosen few. Raised within this cultural climate, the new intellectuals strove to disengage rational thought from theology, theological-philosophy and mysticism. They were committed to the beltef that social and political problems were the central issues of life, and only through the adoption of the 'new learning' (i.e. modern European) could their society liberate itself from prevailing ignorance and archaic views. They were strongly convinced that the principal causes for foreign intervention in domestic affairs, loss of national sovereignty and cultural decline were political tyranny and religious dogmatism. The ruling elite, these thinkers argued, favored widespread ignorance and nurtured popular religious beliefs verging on superstition, fearing as they did free rational thought, which they were determined to exclude from their realm. The intellectuals professed themselves to be the new 'torchbearers', here to awaken the masses from their slumber and tear apart the protective 'shield' their masters had built around them

Fath alī-i Ākhundzāda (1812-1878), Abd al-Rahīm-i Ṭālibzāda (1834-1911), Mīrzā Āqā Khān-t Kırmānī (1853-1896), Jamāl al-Dīn-i Asadābādī, known as "Afghānī" (1838-1897), to name only a few, formed the first generation of Iranian intellectuals in transition between the old and the new. Their writings bear the mark of classical Islamic philosophy and mysticism, especially the more contemporary Shir school of Shaykhism. Like their predecessors, they stressed the Muslims' need for a guide, the sage, the 'renewer of the age', some man 'of high intelligence and pure soul', who would help the community of faithful find the right path of science. Asadābādī is known for his so-called pan-Islamism only, but he was mostly a social critic, a polemicist, who found in classical Islamic philosophy the rhetoric and rationale for his virulent attack on the fuqahā' and their understanding of religion imposed on the masses as a 'heavy voke' More importantly he attacked both the hukamā' and the

'urafā' of his time for turning their attention from the real issues of the age, the plight of the Muslims' poverty and social oppression, and the need to call for social reforms. He accused both groups of remaining indifferent to the 'real sciences' that produce railways, telegraphs, medical instruments, and the like. Science now rules the world, he wrote, and Europe possesses it and, thus, is the master of the world. Islamic philosophy and mysticism no longer meet the demands of the modern world, he asserted Muslims must change their instructors and leaders. The Perfect Man or the Perfect Shitte is he who is well-versed in modern sciences, and not the theologian or the philosopher-mystic.<sup>4</sup>

Asadābādī and his generation of intellectuals were still rooted in their religio-cultural environment; they argued their position in an inconsistent, often illogical and self-contradictory way. Nonetheless, they universalized the concept of 'ilm, laying the ground for the final unequivocal secularization of knowledge. The following generation was by far bolder, more emancipated from their traditional culture. though not yet fully enough modernized to lose their innocent faith in modern science. Ahmad-i Kasrawi (1890-1946), one of the outstanding figures of this generation, belonged to the Qajar period by temperament and intellectual genealogy, achieving what Asadābādī began, the desacralization of knowledge. Born in a small village near Tabriz and raised to become a mullah, he ended up joining the ranks of a small but highly active circle of late Qajar intellectuals who shaped the secular nationalist ideology of twentiethcentury Iran. An eye-witness of the Constitutional Revolution of 1905-1909, he was a product of the radical social and cultural transformation of late Oajar Iran.

This revolution marked the initial phase of the modernization process, involving its direct agents, the intelligentsia; its willing partners, the religious dissidents; and their respective unwitting assistants from among the members of the traditionally conservative temporal and religious centers of power. It engineered the triumph of secularism in the social policies of subsequent governments. The poets, the men of letters, the new intellectuals, as a result of the vital role they played and the national fame they acquired at that time, were able to ensure the predominance of their view over that of the

<sup>4</sup> Sayyid Jamāl al-Dīn-i Asadābādi, known as "al-Afghāni", Maqālāt-i Jamālivva (Teberan 1933). See also Nikki R. Keddie, Islamic Response to Imperialism Polaical and Religious Writings of Sayad Jamal al-Din "al Afgham" (Berkeley 1969).

ANTI-SUFISM IN OAJAR IRAN

mujtahids, thus displacing the latter in public opinion.<sup>5</sup> Kasrawi, however, unlike his predecessors and some of his contemporaries, was given the opportunity to translate his social ideas and principles into practical policies

By 1920, he came to hold important positions in the ministry of justice, first in Zanjan and Qazwin, then in Khūzistan, He quickly acquired a reputation as an incorruptible civil servant, a formidable foe of the anti-constitutionalist elements still prevalent in the country. He was, indeed, determined to enforce the judicial reforms that sharply drew the demarcation line separating the realm of the sharifat (holy law) from that of the ganun (the constitution), severely limiting the jurisdiction of the religious courts in all matters other than those pertaining to the religious and personal life of the citizen. The widespread corruption and arbitrariness he personally witnessed in the provincial court procedures, and the fierce obstacles he encountered in the persons of the lay and religious elite that still controlled the province, fueled his zeal to combat the traditional power structure and the socio-religious culture it rested upon.6 He played a vital role in the late Qajar/early Pahlavi period of nation-state formation, bearing the banner of the constitutionalists.

Kasrawī was a firm believer in God the Creator, in the immortality of the soul, which, he insisted, was distinct from the body. Human intellect, he also asserted, is separate from the grey matter that constitutes the brain. Kasrawī adamantly refuted all schools of materialist thinking. He spent time and energy denouncing Darwinism, which attracted educated Iranians' interest. He deplored its social implications and its godlessness. Such 'bad teachings', he believed, could only lead people astray, to their destruction, to war, to subjugation of nations by other nations, and to a 'return to the state of savagery' following a thousand years of civilization. Man is not an animal, he argued; he is the chosen creature of God, entrusted with the world created for him to develop. But Kasrawī was not willing to dismiss totally Darwin's scientific accomplishments; he could not, given his own equally great faith in and defense of science. Thus, he chose to deliberately misunderstand Darwin, claiming that the latter

had acknowledged the 'hand of God' in the evolutionary process of human development out of their animal origins. Only his atheist followers, he stressed, have failed to note this point. Such confused and inconsistent arguments echo earlier Qajar intellectuals' desperate attempts to reconcile modern scientific theories with traditional Shir theological-philosophical views, with no more success. Kasrawi was, indeed, generally critical of any European achievement based on what he termed 'religious vacuum'. Materialism, he wrote, is the product of irreligiosity, and is the cause of socio-economic and political evil-doings in Europe. Human societies need religion, for secular laws cannot fill in the void created by the eradication of religion. Good deeds depend not on law but on honor, and honor is generated by religious morality. Irreligious people may refrain from doing evil out of fear of retribution; religious people do it out of conviction. In

Here, one must note two important characteristics in Kasrawi's thought: his belief in the universality of moral values, and his conception of religion as a means rather than as an end in itself. God has created human beings in His image; they are born good, with an innate ability to distinguish good from evil. However, human development and human civilization cannot occur without religion. Religion shows the way; it is the torch that brings to light the Truth. And Truth has to be, indeed is, attained only through Reason, 11 And Reason is in perpetual search for Truth, which Kasrawl identifies with knowledge, danish. Qajar intellectuals had desacralized the concept of knowledge, using the Persian term danish, distinguishing the sacred from the profane. Wishing to remain consistent with his basic argument, Kasrawi insisted that both were compatible and mutually supportive. Religion, he wrote, is the language of the celestial (sipihr); its goal is to broaden people's perspective and enlighten them with new views, showing the way to peaceful coexistence. Knowledge, on the other hand, seeks to create means to facilitate human life by discovering the unknown and revealing the law of nature (using the same Persian word sipshr). Thus, he concluded, although the bases of din and danish differ, both follow an Identical direction, 12

See Mangol Bayat, Iran s First Revolution. Shi'ism and the Constitutional Revolution, 1905-1909 (Oxford 1991) For a different interpretion see Vanessa Martin, Islam and Modernism. The Iranian Revolution of 1906 (Syracuse 1989).

<sup>6</sup> Hupat A.lāh-ı Aşīt, Sırri dar andīshahil-ı Kasrawi (Teheran 1977).

<sup>7</sup> Dar pirāmin-ı rawān (Teheran n.d) 45

Bud 23

<sup>9</sup> Ibid. 82-83

<sup>10</sup> Ā Ta (Teberan 1933) 25-28.

<sup>11</sup> Dar pirāmin-i khirad (Teberan 1957) 27

<sup>12</sup> Dar pirāmūn-i ramān 49-50.

existence' (wahdat-i wujūd), the sole doctrine Kasrawī dealt with in

his anti-Sufi essays. Hallaj, he wrote, was beguiled by the Sufi

doctrine, and he 'lightheartedly' lay 'childish and dubious' claim to

divinity; he lost his life in the process.17 Except in this instance,

Kasrawi chose to discuss the socio-political negative implications of

Sufism, not paying attention to its details and diversity at both the

popular mass appeal and literary levels. He explained that Sufism

distracted the attention of Iranian people at times of 'national' crisis.

Thus, the Mongol invasion of Iran in the thirteenth century led to the

rapid development and spread of Sufi orders throughout the country.

Rather than inciting them to revolt and chase the invaders out of

their land, Sufi leaders encouraged the population to adopt an atti-

tude of 'irresponsible passive otherworldliness', and leave their fate

in the hands of the enemy. Iranians were left leaderless. 18 Sufism

flourished, while political chaos and social disarray prevailed. A

hierarchical, centralized Sufi authority was formed, resting on powerful networks reaching out to all corners of the land. Its leaders

traced the genealogy of its ancestry to a Prophet's companion, and

they argued that the Prophet himself had called for the formation of

two distinct sources for holy teaching: the sharifat for the masses,

and the tarigat for the select few. These are lies, Kasrawi decried. Lies and forgeries are their speciality. Their God has nothing to do

Kasrawi's criticism of classical Persian mystical poetry was no

less virulent. Again, consistent with his utilitarian conception of religion, he declared that poetry must contain 'useful substance', 'con-

versing about worthy things', about life's 'necessities'. Poetry is πο

'song of the angels', nor 'celestial inspiration'. Poets are neither

Prophets, nor Saints, nor Sages. Nor are they servants of kings and

princes, 20 'Umar Khayyam, he claimed, recognized neither God nor

the divine Creation, and he shared with the Sufis a negative attitude toward work.21 Sa'dī failed to mention even once the plight of the

masses following the Mongol invasion. Mawlawi, whose Mathnawi

displays the 'bad teachings' of Sufism, has 'brainwashed' millions of

people, with destructive consequences.22 Häfiz, likewise, distilled his

Kasrawi admitted openly that he held a utilitarian conception of religion. 'We want religion solely for the purpose of improving [human] life'.13 Din, he assured his public, is no less valid than dānish; nay, it is superior. 'Those who value danish because it is based on evidence, must accept that our discussion here is also based on evidence'.14 Kasrawī is equally critical of philosophy, which he distinguishes from danish. Whereas danish is based on scientific experiments and research, he stated, philosophy rests on beliefs and not on proofs and evidence. Philosophy, thus lacks certainty, lacks absolute truth.15 Similarly, Kasrawi's utilitarian approach to religion led him to refute the validity of the Islamic reformism then current in the Muslim world. A return to the 'original Islam', he claimed, is not possible; no religion can be reformed 'Life progresses, and religion must follow this progress'.16 Like anything else in life, religion is subjected to the exigencies of time. Later in his life, he had contemplated the creation of a new religion, referred to as pākdīn (pure religion), but we know little about it.

Kasrawī viewed religion as a strong bond that formed the basis of national unity. The existence of numerous diverse schools of Sufism, Shiism and other sects was thus tantamount to religious pluralism. And religious pluralism, he argued, is the major cause for social conflicts and national disunity Lumping together Shiism, Ismailism, Sufism, Babism, Bahaism, he lamented that the real meaning of Islam was destroyed by centuries of abusive religious leadership and sectarianism. In consequence, the masses of pious believers were misguided and kept ignorant. Kasrawi's polemics were at their most virulent when he came to denounce Sufism, which he held responsible for 'polluting and distorting' the pure Islam of the Prophet His wrath had no limits when he discussed the social and cultural ill effects of Persian mysticism in all its variation. Sufism, he would proclaim again and again, proved to be the poison that destroyed not only Islam but also Muslim culture.

While he conceived of human beings as the chosen creatures of God, endowed with intellect and innate moral virtues, and thus created superior to animals, on the other hand, Kasrawi denied them any divine attribute. He repudiated the Sufi doctrine of 'unity of with Islam's God.19

Süffigari 22-24, see also Dar pirāmin-ı adabıyılı (Teheran 1944), 54-55.

Sidigari 46-47, 73

<sup>20</sup> Dar pīrāmīm-ı adabi vvāt 20.

<sup>21</sup> Ibid. 44-52 22 Ibid. 61-66.

<sup>13 (</sup>bid 53)

<sup>14</sup> Ibid. 50. 15 Ibid 112

<sup>16</sup> Dar pirāmūn-ı İslām (Teheran 1969) 95.

'poison' through his poems, which were, broadly speaking, meaningless or just plain nonsensical.<sup>23</sup> Those 'national poets', Kasrawi asserted, lived unaware of the world that existed beyond their own four walls, unaware of the true meaning of civilization, ethics, religion, politics, education.<sup>24</sup> Persian poets generally represented everything Kasrawi, the late Qajar positivist and social activist, rejected, the opposite of everything he behaved in: human progress, individual responsibility for one's action, ability to act upon rather than just react to life, commitment to social causes, faith in reason and science. He wrote, 'History teaches us, ... and we know, that the Creator has willed that the world move forward continually ...; and we know that the future of the world shall be better and more glorious than its past'.<sup>25</sup>

MANGOL BAYAT

While readily recognizing Europe's cultural, scientific and technological progress, Kasrawi deplored its 'imperialist design' of keeping the East and its peoples in a perpetual state of backwardness, where they would sink deeper and deeper into a state of ignorance. The Europeans, he stated, devoting their talents, their energies, even their lives, to promoting their own national grandeur and power, have contributed immensely to universal knowledge. Yet they wish to prevent the peoples of the East from achieving similarly lofty goals; these peoples must be deprived of progress and development. Kasrawi depicted European Orientalists as agents in charge of implementing their governments' imperialist schemes. He 'accused' E.G. Brown and other Orientalists of responsibility for the glorification of classical poetry and Sufism. He mocked their efforts to present the Sufis as profound thinkers constantly in search of Truth, when, he alleged, they knew very well that Sufism is nothing but sloth, superstition, and disinterest in this world. He dismissed their research and translations as part of this grand conspiracy put into action with the support of Iramian collaborators, who were instructed to define Iranian civilization solely on the basis of its past literary 'so-called achievements'. Kasrawi expressed his concern that, implicit in such glorification of Sufism and mystical poetry lay the traitors' admission that Iran is incapable of realizing in any other way its potential abilities and power vis-à-vis Europe. 'I was certain', he wrote, 'that these Orientalists who claimed to be friends

Kasrawi's vitriolic polemics denouncing Sufism displayed his own erroneous views and false evaluation of its literary accomplishments. His detractors from among both the older traditionally oriented and the younger modernist generations never failed to point out his mistakes and challenge his defective knowledge. He himself often confessed he was not entirely familiar with classical poetry; nor did he hide the fact that his understanding of European thought was incomplete. And yet, paradoxically, the impact of his opinions on his contemporaries was immense, by far outweighing that of the more learned spokesmen for traditional Persian culture or the better qualified modern scientists. As already stated, Kasrawi's legacy can best be assessed only from within the broader socio-political context of his time. Unlike Akhundzāda, al-Afghānī or Mīrzā Āgā Khān-i Kirmānī, he was one generation removed from the epoch of national self-definition. His intellectual predecessors' self-appointed mission was to formulate, articulate in verse, prose and polemics, their vision of the new Iran, demolishing the old image in the process. Kasrawi's task was concretely to implement their ideals and the laws enacted by the Constitutional Revolution, of which he was one of the most famous children and chroniclers.

In the period covering World War One and its aftermath, up to 1925, when the Qajars were dethroned and the Pahlavi regime was installed, the relative weakness of the central government created an astonishingly free environment for men like Kasrawi to express their views publicly. By 1920-21, the national mood among the young and not so young, among the social activists and the mere passive participants in the tremendous changes Iran was then undergoing, reflected a youthful, boisterous optimism and faith in the validity and feasibility of the modernization process set in motion at the turn of the century. Modernism meant, first and foremost, a rejection of traditional social institutions and inherited cultural norms. It meant the ideals of the European Enlightenment, which had so inspired ideologically diverse individuals who participated, directly or indirectly, in the Constitutional Revolution. Kasrawi spoke the

of Iran, in fact, wished Iranians only misfortunes, and they collaborated with the Iranian traitors'.25 Their sole purpose, he went on, was to show the world that 'the Iranian culture is nothing but poetry and should [aim] at nothing else'.27

<sup>23</sup> Ibid 67.68

<sup>24</sup> Ibid 115

<sup>25</sup> Cited on the back cover of Dar pīrāmīn-ı renvān.

<sup>26</sup> Dar pirāmin-ı adabiyyāt 119.

<sup>27</sup> Ibed. 124.

language of modernity and of the European Enlightenment, as best he could understand it. Within this universe of discourse, his understanding of Sufism necessarily implied its denunciation.

# LES COURANTS ANTI CONFRÉRIQUES DANS LE SUD-EST EUROPÉEN À L'ÉPOQUE POST-OTTOMANE (1918-1990) LES CAS DE LA YOUGOSLAVIE ET DE L'ALBANIE

### NATHALIE CLAYER & ALEXANDRE POPOVIC

Les Balkans, ou plus largement le Sud-Est européen, ont vu, avec la conquête ottomane, la formation de communautés musulmanes importantes sur les territoires que recouvrent aujourd'hui la Hongrie, la Roumanie, l'ex-Yougoslavie, la Bulgarie, l'Albanie et la Grèce. Tout au long de la domination ottomane, l'islam balkanique dans toutes ses composantes — soufies et non soufies — fit partie intégrante de l'islam ottoman, même si des caractéristiques locales. plus ou moins prononcées, ont pu voir le jour ici et là à diverses périodes. Les ulémas venaient souvent parachever leurs études dans les grands centres de l'Empire (Istanbul, Edirne, Bursa, ou dans les provinces arabes); ils faisaient partie de la hiérarchie de l'ilmiyve mise en place par les autorités ottomanes. Les cherkhs des provinces balkaniques allaient eux aussi parfaire leur initiation mystique auprès de grands maîtres de la capitale ou d'autres grands centres; leurs établissements appartenaient à des réseaux confrériques dépassant le cadre de la Péninsule. Par conséquent, il serait logique de croire qu'à cette époque, les mouvements d'opposition au soufisme - qui n'ont pas encore été étudiés en tant que tels - ont été, dans les Balkans, du même type que ceux que l'on connaît dans le reste de l'Empire et en particulier à Istanbul. C'est ainsi qu'il faut placer la poursuite du mouvement des Hamzevis au serzième siècle en Bosnie dans le cadre plus large de la lutte contre le soufisme de tendance malamati des Melamis-Bayramis existant également dans d'autres régions de l'Empire. I On retrouve aussi vraisemblablement, à Sarajevo et ailleurs, les répercussions du mouvement des Kadizadelis, né dans la capitale dans la première moitié du dix-septième siècle 2

Cf. El, s.v. Malâmativro, partie "Turquie ottomane".

<sup>2</sup> En ce qui concerne la ville de Sarajevo dans la seconde moitié du dix huttième siècle, voir les exemples mentionnés dans la chromique de Bašeski (Mula Mustafa Ševki Bašeskija, Ljetopis (1746-1804), traduction, introduction et commentaires par Mehmed Mujezinovic (Sarajevo 1968).

La dislocation de l'Empire ottoman et la création d'Etats nations apportèrent bien entendu des changements profonds dans la Péninsule balkanique. Des modifications importantes se produisirent non seulement sur le plan politique, mais aussi dans la carte ethnique et religieuse de la région. Les musulmans, devenus (sauf dans le cas de l'Albanie et, dans une certaine mesure, dans celui de la Bosnie-Herzégovine) citoyens de second rang, émigrèrent en grand nombre soit volontairement, soit sous la contrainte. Ainsi, dans la partie orientale et méridionale de la Péninsule, c'est-à-dire en Roumanie, en Bulgarie et en Grèce, la population musulmane diminua considérablement. En revanche dans la partie occidentale, à savoir dans les territoires yougoslaves et albanais, des groupes de musulmans beaucoup plus compacts subsistèrent.

Au cours du vingtième siècle, la situation politique, économique, sociale et religieuse ayant varié d'un État à l'autre, les diverses communautés musulmanes, qu'elles soient restées importantes ou non, ont connu des évolutions fort diverses. Si l'on veut examiner les courants anti-soufis, ou anti-confrériques, il faut donc considérer séparément le phénomène dans chacun des pays de la région. Pour ce qui est de la Roumanie, de la Grèce et de la Bulgarie, où les tarikat, principal vecteur de l'islam soufi, furent considérablement affaiblies par rapport à l'époque ottomane, on sait extrêmement peu de choses sur les éventuelles oppositions auxquelles elles auraient en à faire face, à l'image des attaques dont avait fait l'objet le cheikh nakshbandı de Tutrakan (en Dobroudja roumaine) en 1922.3 Par conséquent, nous examinerons ici, séparément, seuls les cas de la Yougoslavie et de l'Albanie à l'époque post-ottomane, ou plus exactement à partir des années 1918-1920. Deux cas, qui, on le verra, présentent des disparités tout à fait notables.

Au sein des territoires yougoslaves, c'est également une situation très contrastée qui existait — et qui existe encore — entre, d'un côté, la Bosme-Herzégovine et, de l'autre, le Kosovo et la Macédoine. Sur le plan ethnique d'abord: les musulmans de Bosnie-Herzégovine

sont slaves alors que la communauté musulmane de Kosovo et de Macédoine se compose en majorité de musulmans albanais, auxquels s'ajoutent des musulmans turcs et slaves. Mais aussi sur le plan historique: en 1918, la Bosnie-Herzégovine vient de connaître quarante ans d'occupation austro-hongroise, alors que les régions méridionales s'émancipent à peine de l'Empire ottoman. Quant à la situation des courants soufis, dès la création de la Yougoslavie, elle fut tout à fait différente dans le nord et dans le sud du pays. De fait, en Bosnie-Herzégovine, les confréries semblent être sorties de la période austro-hongroise déjà très affaiblies, 4 tandis qu'en Macédoine et au Kosovo, même si le départ d'une partie de la population musulmane — notamment les élites — avait entraîné la fermeture de certains établissements confrériques, les réseaux des tarikat restaient relativement développés.

Ces disparités expliquent l'attitude nuancée des représentants de l'islam "orthodoxe" envers les tarikat, selon qu'ils appartiennent à l'une ou l'autre des régions en question et selon qu'ils considèrent les courants soufis de l'une ou de l'autre de ces régions. En outre, leur attitude a été influencée par le contexte politique. C'est pourquoi il faut distinguer deux grandes périodes: celle du Royaume de Yougoslavie, puis celle de la Yougoslavie communiste.

Dans la Yougoslavie d'entre les deux guerres mondiales, les leaders de la Communauté musulmane officielle — largement dominée par les ulémas de Bosnie-Herzégovine — s'attaquèrent aux tarikat surtout dans cette dernière région, par le biais de mesures concrètes.

En effet, dès la fin de la première guerre mondiale, certains représentants religieux craignant l'influence des confréries auraient tout fait afin d'empêcher celles-ci de continuer à jouer leur rôle auprès de la population, en les privant de leurs biens de main-morte, en destituant certains cheikhs, ou encore en essayant de transformer des tekke pour d'autres usages. Preuves de cette opposition, qui se traduisit par la cessation de l'activité de plusieurs établissements

<sup>3</sup> Cf Mehmed Eman Manav, "Tutrakan da censa at-a islamnyye ve şeyhlik meseleleri". Romama, 2ème année, n°218 (Bazargiç, 23 septembre 1922) 1; ainsi que la réponse du chedkh Edhem Eşref, 'Dervişlik hakkında bir kaç söz", Romama, 2ème année, no 291 (Bazargiç, 20 février 1923) 1, et no 292 (22 février 1923) 1

<sup>4</sup> On sait peu de choses sur le processus de oct affaiblissement, car il n'y a pas encore eu de véritables recherches sur ce sujet. Cependant, on a tendance, en général, à considérer que l'islam confréreque a toujours été faible en Bosure-Herzégovine, ce qui est loin d'être prouvé, bien au contraire!

<sup>5</sup> Of Ufak, 'Pitanje sarajevskih teknja i dervišn', Muslimonska Svijest i/6 (Surajevo, 9 avril 1936) 5.

confrériques en Bosnie-Herzégovine, sont les réactions parues dans la presse musulmane. Celles-ci venaient des milieux soufis de Sarajevo, ou de leur sympathisants, qui tentaient vainement de mettre en valeur la place des confréries dans la vie des musulmans de la région, et de dénoncer la politique anti-soufie des plus hautes autorités de la Communauté musulmane officielle. Ainsi, en janvier 1931, Riza Muderizović publiait-il dans un journal de Sarajevo une série d'articles intitulée 'Šta su to derviši' (Qu'est-ce que les derviches?), rappelant l'histoire et le rôle des tarikat dans le monde musulman, et en particulier en Bosnie-Herzégovine. De même, dans un annuaire paru en 1932, on insistait sur le fait que, parmi les cheikhs et les derviches de Sarajevo, il y avait eu des gens savants, des poètes, des calligraphes, dont la renommée avait largement dépassé les frontières de la Bosnie. Mais on y dénonçait aussi les récentes décisions des autorités musulmanes du pays:

'Au cours de la réunion des représentants de la Communanté religionse musulmane de l'été dernier [1931], qui s'est tenue à Sarajevo, à l'invitation de la Direction suprême (Vrhovno Starješinstvo), i, a été notamment question de la fermeture des rekke dans notre pays. Leur bâtiments et leurs biens-vuly seraient mis à la disposition des musulmans pour d'autres usages reagieux et culturels. D'après certaines décisions, qui ont été édictées par la direction des ruly ces derniers temps à Sarajevo, la fermeture des tekke à déjà commencé progressivement lei. Il n'est donc pas superflu, étant donné que bientôt à Sarajevo disparaîtront les quelques tekke qui subsistent encore, de décrire (ci brièvement la anissance et le développement des tekke de Samjevo' 7

Beaucoup d'autres réactions parurent de même tout au long des années trente, comme à propos du tekke mevlevi de Sarajevo — dont la famille des cheikhs était sommée par la Direction des vakf de quitter celui-ci<sup>8</sup>—, à propos de la fermeture des tekke de Bistrigi, d'Ali Paša et de Gazi Husrevbeg, ou encore à propos des efforts de la Direction des vakf pour stopper l'activité du tekke kadiri de Sinan

Aga (Sinanova Tekija), tout d'abord en voulant le transformer en un musée de la culture islamique, puis en imaginant de couper la semahane en deux, pour en faire un mekteb. 10 L'un des articles illustrant le mieux la "guerre" menée par les représentants de l'islam "orthodoxe" contre les confréries à cette époque est certainement celui du cheikh Nezir Berberovié — fils du dernier cheikh de la Hanikah de Gazi Husrevbeg, Hafiz Hamdi Efendija (1888-1934) —, paru en 1938, alors que des changements survenus en 1936 à la tête de la Communauté musulmane officielle avaient laissé espérer, en vain, pour les milieux soufis, une amélioration de leur sort

'Qui donc est plus trijuste que ceux qui ont empêché que, dans les mosquées d'Atlah, Son nom soit invoqué, et qui se sont évertués à détruire [ces mosquées]? (Coran ii, 114). Ce versei me vient toujours à l'esprit quand je peuse qu'il y a chez nous des représentants religieux (hodža) qui s'efforcent, chez nous en Bosnic-Herzégovine, de supprimer toute trace des derviches et de la vie des derviches, en dépit du fait que les derviches sont précisément les plus obéissants serviteurs du Tvès Haut, et en dépit du fait que ce sont justement les derviches qui ont le plus œuvré pour le passage à l'islam de ces régions. Leurs mérites dans ce domaine sont grands, mais ceux dont ce servit le devoir de le faire ne veulent pas le reconnaître. Les sont remplis de haîne envers nous tous, ils cherchent à nous guire, et c'est à eux que ce rapporte le verset cité en-dessus.

Nombre de nos fondateurs de vals ont lassé une grande part de leurs biens afin de fonder et d'entretenir grâce à œux-ci un tekke de derviches. Ainsi a fait l'immortel fondateur de Samjevo, Gazi Husrevbeg, ordonuant que, à côté de sa mosquée, outre la medrese où seraient enseignées les aciences profancs et religieuses aécessaires aux hodéa, soit fondée également une hanikah-école pour les cheikhs des derviches, et à cet effet, de ses biens propres, il a laissé expressément d'importantes sommes. Jusqu'après l'occupation (austro-hongroise de 1878-1918), la Hanikah est restée ouverte; on y a cultivé les sciences, notamment les sciences des derviches, la philosophie mystique, sans laquelle il n'y a pas de compréhension correcte de beaucoup de choses qui sont hées à l'islam. De la Hanikah — grâce à la grande intelligence de Gazi Husrevbeg — sont sortis de nombreux cheikhs savants qui ont ensuite répandus l'islam à

<sup>6</sup> Cf Riza Muderizović, 'Šin su to derviši', Jugoslovenska Pošta (article publić en feuilleton Sarajevo, 5-21 janvier 1931)

<sup>7</sup> Anonyma, "Tekije u Sarajevu", Narodno Jedinstvo, Hustrovani zvanični Almanah — Kalendar Drinske Banovine, iti, Sarajevo 1932, 366-369, 366.

<sup>8</sup> Son cheikh. Šejh Fikri, ne touchait déjà plus son salaire depuis 1924, c'est-à-dire depuis la mort de son père (cf. Ismet A. Tabaković, 'Da lì ĉe se ukimiti Mevlevijska tekuja?', Jutarnji glas i/41 (Sarajevo, 17 février 1933) 3, et Fikrija Šehović, 'Još o Mevlevijskoj tekuji', Jutarnji glas i/44 (Sarajevo, 21 février 1933) 3).

Cf Ufak, op. est.

<sup>10</sup> Cf H., 'Hoće li vakuf umšnú Hadži Smanaginu tekiju u Sarajevu', Muslumanska Svijest in/53 (Sarajevo, 31 octobre 1938) 3. De nombreux amires articles dans lesquels les milieux sonfis réagissaient parurent dans la presse musulmane de l'époqué. Parun enx, on peu citer Anonyme, 'Revolucija Sarajevskih derviša', Jutro 1/4 (Sarajevo, 13 mars 1934) 5-6, Nezir Berberović, 'Tekije i njihova svrha', Islamski svijet m/114 (Sarajevo, 16 octobre 1934) 8. A Karadjozović, 'Dajte nam Hanikah', Islamski Svijet m/126 (Sarajevo, 25 janvier 1935); A Karadjozović, 'Zašto šuh Ulema-medžlis u Sarajevu'', Islamski Svijet m/132 (Sarajevo, 8 mars 1935); Ibni Adem [A Karadjozović], 'Derviši', Islamski Gias m/16, (Sarajevo, 17 janvier 1936) 2; Anonyme, 'Zikirollah', Islamski Gias m/26 (Sarajevo, 10 aveil 1936) 7-8

644

travers la Bosnie Herzégovine et qui ont été célèbres en tant que savants et hommes pseux Mais les hedža "profanes" — probablement envieux du succès de la Hanikah et ne voolant pas reconnaître que la science des derviches (ilmi tesaviuf) aussi contribue à répandre et à consolider l'islam — ont cherché à supprimer cette école de derviches, grande œuvre de Gazi Husrevbeg. Ils sont arrivés à leurs fins de la façon suivante, d'abord, en plaçant des hodža [à la place des cheikhs] à la tête de la Hanikah, pius en ôtant un cheikh et aux derviches leurs droits et leurs traitements qui étaient presents dans la vakfija [acte de fondation], et enfin en interdisant à la population must limane d'assister au minel (mukabela).

La scule chose qui soit restée jusqu'à aujourd'hin est que, la veille du vendredi ou cortains autres jours, on ressemble dans ape solle les élèves des petites classes de la medrese de Goza Husreybeg pour qu'ils fasseat un semblant de zikr ["do malo probukén"] sous la conduite d'un hodza. C'est tout ce qui a subsisté de la Hanikah. Il est clair qu'il s'agit d'une atteinte évidente au vakfadas, et que tout musulman a le droit de demander au juge de la Chariat d'obliger la direction du vak/ de Gazi Husrevbeg de respecter le vakfname. Au temps de la précédente direction de nos institutions religiouses [done avant 1936], en particulier du Ulema-medžlis où siègement (alors) des entiems pirés des derviches, qui ont détroits deux ou trois tekke à Sarajevo, les derviches de la ville ont adressé une péntion au mittevelli du vakf de Gazi Husrevbeg, demandant que la Hanikalt de Gazi Husrevbeg soit de nouveau rétablic telle que l'exige la vakfija. La intitevelli a faisso [le règlement de] l'affaire au Ulema-medžlis. Nous n'avons pas reça de réponse, alors que ce sont justement les derviches de Sarajevo qui nyment mené la plus forte propagande pour que l'équipe imposée de force à la direction des voirf soil destituée, demandant qu'à sa place viennent des gens nyont la confiance de la population. L'étonnement a été d'autent plus grand chez les dervaches de Surajevo, lorsqu'ils ont reçu de la part du nouvel. Ulema-medètis, après le changement de ses membres, la décision faisant sone à ceur pétition adressée à l'ancien Ulema-medălis, décision dans laquelle il est dit qu'il n'est pas possible d'exaucer cette requête car le bûthment de la Hanikah est détraut et que, d'ailleurs, l'on enseigne la mukabela aux étèves de la medrese. Il o été écrit à juste titre dans ce journel qu'il ne s'agit pas là d'une réponse [Car] si Gazi Husrevbeg avait vouls que l'on enseigne la mukabela aux élèves [de la medrese], il l'aurait ordonné et il g'aurait pas fondé une école à part pour les derviches et les cherichs, dans laquelle on doit enfriver, outre les autres sciences, la mystique (tesavif) et dans laquelle doivent se préparer les missionnaires de l'islam. Une telle décision de l'Ulema-medžiis nous à tous beaucoup étonné étant donné que c'est nous, les derviches, qui avons lutté le pius en faveur du changement de la situation dans notre direction religieuse et que nous nous attendions [done] que la nouvelle direction montrerait moins d'immitié envers nous et nos institutions que ne le faisait la précédente. Mais voilà, nous nous sommes trompés. Les tekke de Isabeg à Bendbaša, de Skenderpaša, celui de Šejh Bistogi, et le tekke rufat de Nadkovačima ont été fermés, et on écrit même [maintenant] que la Commission [des vakf] veut fermer et faire disparaître le célèbre tekke de Sejh Sinanaga dans le quartier des Sagrdžije et y ouvrir un mekteb. Nous voyons maintenant qu'à cet égard les nouveaux hommes dans la direction religieuse (Ulema-medàlis) ne différent pus beaucoup des anciens, et nous le

regrettons et cela nous blesse.

En ce qui concerne la Hamkah, les derviches de Sarajevo vont se tourner vers les tribunaux afin d'y demander le respect de l'acte de fondation de Guzi Husrevbeg. Et moi, l'humble, je mets cu garde tous ceux qui détruisent les lieux de culte dans lesquels on vénère le nom d'Allah et dans lesquels on éduque des gens qui aiment leur religion, conformément au verset mentionné ci-dessus, qui les menace du châtiment le plus grand' 11

Cette action contre les tarikat, particulièrement sensible en Bosnie-Herzégovine où l'affaiblissement des confréries était facilitée du fait de leur situation déjà précaire, fut appuyée par un discours, à la fois "pratique" et "théorique". L'une des premières attaques parues dans la presse d'après guerre, est celle de Jusuf-Zija Smailagié dans un article publié en 1924 au sujet de la situation culturelle des musulmans de Serbie du Sud:

'il est intéressant (de noter) qu'autrofois les gens riches ouvraient et doinient par de riches fondations, non pas des medrese et des écoles, (mais) de quelconques tekke, repères de la paresse, dans la plupart des cas (en faveur) de la taritat des Bekinchis. C'est ainsi par excimple qu'encore aujound'hui la propriété du tekke bekiacht de Totovo pourrait faire vivre un internat de 200 élèves, si celle-ci était muse en valeur au lieu d'être dilapidée par les mittevetti'. 12

Mais c'est à partir du début des années trente que l'organe de la Communauté musulmane officielle se fit l'écho de l'attitude des milieux musulmans "orthodoxes" vis-à-vis des tarikat. On y apprend d'abord que, le 11 juillet 1931, lors d'une réunion, les autorités de la Communauté musulmane de Yougoslavie abordèrent la question des confréries de décidèrent des mesures à prendre: les tekke devraient désormais être utilisés à des fins religieuses et éducatives; ceux qui ne respectaient pas les normes de la Chariat devraient être fermés, et leurs biens saisis pour des actions religieuses et éducatives; les autres établissements confrériques devraient être placés sous un contrôle strict, "afin que les autorités de l'Etat aient confiance en eux"; les cheikhs devraient être empêchés d'exercer lorsqu'ils ne respectent pas les normes de l'islam; enfin, les deux Ulema-medžlis devraient fournir des renseignements, concernant leur région, sur les cheikhs,

<sup>11</sup> Nezir Berberović, 'Sarajevske tekije i muslimanske vjerske vlasti', Muslimanska Svijest nii/54 (Sarajevo, 23 novembre 1938) 2.

<sup>12</sup> Jusuf-Zija Smailagić, 'Kulturoo stanje muslimana u Južnoj Srbiji', Gajrei "Sarajevo) vii/14 (1924), 221

Les automés musulmanes constatarent notamment que les tekke étarent nombreux dans la partie sud du pays (c'est-à-dire au Kosovo et en Macédoine), alors que leur nombre étant matgnifiant dans la zone de juridiction du Ulema-medèlis de Sarajevo

les tekke et leurs biens, et émettre des règlements précisant, notamment, que les biens des tekke sont les biens de la Communauté musulmane, que chaque établissement doit avoir une mission religieuse et éducative, et fixant quelles sont les tarikat qui peuvent être acceptées, ainsi que les qualifications requises pour être cheikh—ces règlements devant être soumis à l'Autorité suprême pour acceptation. 14

De fait, en 1934, l'Ulema-medžlis de Skoplje émit des règlements sur les tekke, valables dans sa zone de juridiction (Serbie, Kosovo et Macédoine) - ce qui ne fut jamais le cas de son homologue de Sarajevo. Les principaux points de ce texte, qui ne semble pas avoir pu être appliqué étant donné son décalage avec la réalité, sont les suivants. Les tekke y étaients définis comme "des institutions de la Communauté musulmane. [fonctionnant] conformément aux prescriptions de la religion musulmane". On devait y faire en premier lieu le namaz (les prières rituelles) et le jeune du mois de Ramadan, et en second lieu (seulement) le zikr et les prières surérogatoires. Ces établissements ne devaient pas avoir d'autres buts. Leurs bâtiments et biens étaient déclarés propriété de la Communauté. De nouveaux tekke ne pouvaient être fondés que là où ne se trouvait pas d'établissement de la même confrérie et seulement si y existait déjà une mosquée et un mekteb. Les cheikhs seraient dorénavant nommés par le Ulema-medžlis, les muftis étant leurs supérieurs. 15

Ces essais de contrôler les confréries s'accompagnaient régulièrement, dans les rapports d'activité des Ulema-medžlis de Sarajevo et de Skoplje, d'accusations dont le type se rapprochaient tout à fait de celui des critiques wahhābites envers les tarikat ou, plus généralement, de celui des polémiques classiques en islam entre derviches et ulémas: la Chariat n'était pas respectée dans les tekke, les cheikhs ne tenaient pas compte des réglementations émanant de la Communauté officielle, etc. Par exemple, les résultats de l'enquête du Ulema-medžlis effectuée en 1938-39 faisaient apparaître que les

cheikhs étaient incultes, qu'il n'y avait pas de différence nette entre les tarikat, qu'être cheikh était une profession "commode pour vivre facilement" aux dépens de la population crédule, que, la plupart du temps, seul le zikr était fait dans les tekke et que l'on n'y faisait pas les prières rituelles. 16 Les rapporteurs ajoutaient.

'Ce qui est encore pue est que nous nous sommes rendus compte qu'à certaius endroits, ces rebte, an lieu d'être utiles, causent des désastres. Souvent, une écorme masse de gens, aussiben musulmans qu'inflédes, assistent à l'exécution du zibr dans les rebte. Les ausulmans, et surtout les non musulmans, ne regardent pas cela comme une cérémont religieuse, une prière, mais plutôt contine un divertissement et ils adoptent un comportement en conséquence. Le résultat en est très dommageable, en les chrétiens voient dans de telles manifestations le vrai nituel de l'islam, alors que chez les musulmans se crée une façon dénaturée de voir les prières. Un fait encore plus regrettable est que, dans certains centres musulmans faitués] dans le Sud, il existe un important antagonisme entre les membres de certaines taribat, ce qui détroit la force sociale et toute action communautaire. Encore plus dure est la confrontation des cheikhs et des derviches avec les fonctionnaires religieux, qui dépasse souvent toutes les limites et met les deux camps l'un coutre l'autre dans une âpre lutte, ce qui laisse de profondes traces dans toute la vie des musulmans. 17

Le culte des saints, très étroitement hé à l'islam confrérique, fit l'objet en 1939 d'une attaque particulière. La Direction des vakf demanda en effet au Ulema-medžiis d'émettre une feiva déterminant si la restauration et l'embellisement des türbe devaient être à sa charge ou non et si la présence de troncs dans les turbe, pour les aumônes, était licite. Une fetva fut donc émise par Mehmed Ali Cerimović, président du Ulema-medžlis de Sarajevo. Il y déterminait - au reste de façon très peu logique - trois catégories de türbe: les tombeaux ouverts édifiés au dessus de tombes ne présentant pas de particularités; les tombeaux, ouverts ou fermés, élevés au dessus de tombes de bienfaiteurs, de grands savants, de gens pieux; et enfin, les tombeaux qui seraient de la catégorie précédente si le "peuple ignorant" n'y avait pas instauré des ziyaret (visites, pèlerinages) et des pratiques non autorisées, pensant que le mort peut intercéder auprès de Dieu en sa faveur. Le texte de la décision juridique faisait plus amplement état de ces pratiques illicites: formulation de vœux sur les tombes, récitation de tevhid, dépôt d'aumônes dans des

17 Rbd. 43.

<sup>14</sup> Cf Anonyme, 'Prvi izvještaj o radu Ulema-Medžiisa u Sarajevo', Glasnik Islamske Vjerske Zajednice (= GIVZ ) i/I (Belgrade-Sarajevo 1933) 31ss., cf 51, Anonyme, Pregled rada Vrhovnog Starješinstvo IVZ-a od 31/10/1930 · 31/10/1933 (Sarajevo) 88; ct dans GIVZ p/2 (1934) 109.

<sup>15</sup> Cf. Anonyme, Pregled; et Anonyme, "Uredba o tektjuma na području Ulema-medžiisa u Skoptju" GIVZ .u/6 (1934) 350-364

<sup>16</sup> Anonymo, tzveštaj o radu ulema-medžitsu u Skoplju u 1938 i 1939 godim (Sarajavo, Izdanje Reis-ul-uleme islamske vjerske zajednjoč Kratjevine Jugoslavaje 1941) of 41 44

troncs, sacrifices d'ammaux, allumage de bougies, présence d'un turbedar (gardien) chargé de l'entretien des lieux, mais aussi d'aider le peuple dans ce culte non autorisé; en fournissant la cuvette et le broc, en laissant des serviettes pour les ablutions du mort et en allumant des bougies pour que celui-ci voit lorsqu'il se lève la nuit. La fetva concluait que les türbe et les ziyaret étaient autonsés dans le cas des deux premières catégories, mais pas dans le cas de la troisième, surtout lorsque les visites en question étaient effectuées par les femmes. L'argumentation s'appuyait sur le hadith disant que le Prophète maudit les femmes qui font le zivaret sur les tombes, les gens qui construisent des mesdud sur les tombes et ceux qui éclairent celles-ci. En conséquence, Mehmed Ali Cerimović prescrivant la fermeture de certains turbe de Sarajevo fréquentés par des gens incultes, ainsi que l'interdiction de l'allumage des bougies et des chandeliers pour les autres. 18 Cette fetva entraîna immédiatement la démolition de certains tilrbe. Néanmoins, des voix s'élevèrent contre la décision jugée invalide par certains, et le Reis ul-ulema fut contraint non seulement d'ordonner l'arrêt des destructions, mais aussi de suspendre la fetva.19

À côté des attaques précédentes, il faut placer dans un registre plus théorique les deux articles "Il n'y a pas de monachisme en islam" (1933) et "La question des tekke en Yougoslavie" (1934) de Mehmed Handžić, un jeune et brillant 'ālım de Sarajevo, tout frais émoulu de l'Université d'al-Azhar au Caire, qui, à l'âge de 26 ans, était devenu,

en 1932, enseignant à la medrese de Gazi Hurevbeg.20

En ce qui concerne le premier de ces textes, il s'agissait d'un commentaire d'une partie d'un hadith disant: "il n'y a pas de monachisme en islam". Dans un style très classique, M. Handžić étayait sa démonstration par des citations de différents grands auteurs, ainsi que par des hadith. Pour lui, le monachisme (rehbanijjet) impliquant retraite dans des lieux isolés, jeune, port d'habits grossiers, mortifications du corps, chasteté, etc. n'existait pas en islam. Ces attitudes avaient été inventées par les hommes; elles n'avaient jamais été commandées par Dieu Le musulman ne devait pas se laisser aller, comme l'impliquerait le monachisme; il devait au contraire lutter jusqu'au bout. De toute évidence, les pratiques de certains derviches — telles les retraites, les mortifications du corps, etc. -, étaient ainsi condamnées par l'auteur, puisque contraires aux principes de l'islam. Dans ce texte, Mehmed Handžić tentait aussi de rectifier la fausse interprétation qui était faite en général de cette phrase, à savoir qu'il n'existe pas de clerc, ni de clergé en islam, faite par ceux qui voudraient se passer du savoir des ulémas:

'Il est vrat qu'en talam il n'y a pas de clergé dans le sens que ce terme a dans le christianame. Mais, il n'est pas vrai qu'il n'y a pas en islam des gens savants dans la religion, qui sont les seuts à être appelés à résoudre les questions religieuses. De tout ce qui précède on voit hien si les ennemis des hodžas et des ulemas ont raison, qui, sans aucun droit et nucine capacité, se mettent à résoudre les plus grandes questions religieuses selon leur vouloir, et lorsqu'on leur fait la remarque, ils s'abritent derrière la phrase 'Lâ rehbânigete fil-islâun'. Dons i'islam il n'y a ni clere mi clergé'.

Bien qu'il ne mentionnât pas directement les tarikat et les soufis, il est clair que Mehmed Handžić pensait notamment aux cheikhs qui n'étaient pas suffisament, voire pas du tout, versés dans les sciences religieuses.

Le second texte, en revanche, avait directement pour thème les confréries et le fonctionnement de leurs établissements en Yougoslavie. M. Handžić y mettait en cause les déviations, commises en leur sein, vis-à-vis de la Communauté musulmane et de la croyance islamique pure. Pour lui, dans le tasavvuf, s'étaient infiltrées petit à petit des idées panthéistes et contraires à l'islami

Il reprochait surtout à leur membres d'avoir fait des tekke des centres ne servant que les confréries en tant que telles. Il écrivait qu'on n'y enseignait ni la lecture et l'écriture, ni la Chariat (comme c'était le cas des tekke implantés en Afrique noire par exemple), et qu'ils n'étaient pas non plus des sièges de missionnaires de l'islam

<sup>18</sup> Rešad Kadić Uzbuna sarajevskih derviša. Pred gašenjem svijeća i zatvaranjem sarajevskih turbeta... ', Jugoslavenski list xxi/61 (Sarajevo, 12 mars 1939) 10.

<sup>19</sup> Mehmed Potogija Mehmed Ibrahimovie et H.M. Akif Handžić, 'Sarajevski derviši o molitvama nad grobovima. De li se može davati mikostnija u 'katance''. Jugoslavenski list xxi/69 (Sarajevo, 22 mars 1939) 7, qui démontraient point par point l'invalidité de la fetva, et insistaient surtout sur le fait qu'il fallatt plutôt s'attacher à combattre l'indifférence des musulmans de Sarajevo envers la religion et donc aller dans le seus opposé à celui de la fetva

<sup>20</sup> Mehmed Haudžić (1906-1944), naquit à Sarajevo, où il fit ses première études qu'il poursaivit à al-Azhar. De retour dans le pays, il enseigna dans la medrese de Gazi Husrevbeg, puis à l'Ecole Supérieure de la Charint et de théologie, et fut directeur de la Bibliothèque Gazi Husrevbeg. Il est l'auteur de divers ouvrages de théologie et d'écrits sur l'histoire des musulmans de Bosnie. En figh, il autaut suivi les enseignements d'Ibn Taymiyya [(cf. R.Y. Ebied et M. J. L. Young, dans El, Suppl., livraisou 5-6, 354 (de l'éd. fr.); et A. Popovie, dans Dictionnaire biographique des savants et grandes figures du monde musulman périphérique du XIXe stècte à nos jours, fasc. no 1 (Paris, avril 1992) 52].

Il précisait qu'au contraire, leurs membres cultivaient une hostilité envers les gens qui connaissent l'islam, envers les medrese et les règles de la Chariat, et ne faisaient jamais appel aux gens savants. Il expliquait que leur unique service ne consistait qu'en un "pauvre et misérable petit zikr" accompagné parfois de danses et de musique. tromperie vis-à-vis de la Chariat, n'ayant rien à voir avec la dévotion et le respect du Dieu Très Haut. M. Handžić pensait donc que les tekke étaient détournés de leurs fonctions premières — à savoir. pourvoir à l'éducation et à la religiosité des musulmans - tout en continuant à profiter des revenus des vakf.21 Et l'auteur de donner l'exemple du tekke mevievi du Caire, ainsi détourné de ses fonctions. et que les autorités avaient transformé en école d'artisanat pour les enfants pauvres. M. Handžić s'en prenaient aussi tout particulièrement aux cheikhs non qualifiés, à ceux "vivant comme des parasites", alors qu'ils devraient être savants, pieux, connaître parfaitement la Chariat et s'en tenir strictement à ses presciptions, en ne se contentant pas d'être versés dans la danse, la musique, la tromperte, le maniement des sabres et des sis. L'auteur dirigeait ensuite plus spécialement ses attaques contre la confrérie des Bektachis, répandue surtout parmi les Albanais, contre laquelle il était nécessaire, selon lui, de prendre de sévères mesures. Il rappelait que, d'après les historiens, ses membres ne respectaient absolument pas les cinq piliers de l'islam, se considérant supérieurs aux autres musulmans et par conséquent dégagés de ces obligations; qu'ils avaient une trinité, comme les chrétiens, de même qu'une sorte de confession; qu'ils pouvaient boire de l'alcool; que la plupart de leurs dirigeants ne se mariaient pas; et qu'ils croyaient à la science des chiffres et au passage de l'âme dans un autre corps après la mort (tenasuh)

En conclusion, M. Handžić dressait la liste des croyances et pratiques que les membres des tarikat se devaient d'abandonner afin de respecter les préceptes de l'islam et de regagner les rangs de la Communauté musulmane — autant d'accusations à l'encontre des soufis, ou de certains soufis.

'On se doit ni supprimer, in reconnaître les tarikat comme tarikat, mais exiger de leur adeptes qu'ils rejettent tout ce qui est contraire à l'islam. Qu'ils rejettent les diverses croyances

et bavardages panthéistes, qu'ils ne demandent aide et assistance qu'à Dieu, qu'ils ne croient pas à l'infaillibilité des cheikhs, car seul le Prophète est innocent et sans défaut, qu'ils respectent les règles de la Chariat, et qu'ils ne considérent pas qu'elles sont superficielles et que eux seuls détiennent l'essence de la connaissance, qu'ils ne s'inchient pas les uns devant les autres, qu'ils abandonnent la dause qu'ils considérent comme un acte de piété et une bonne action, qu'ils n'utilisent pas la musique au moment du pièr et des prières, qu'ils effectuent le pière la Chariat le demande, calmement, à voix modérée, avec humilité et en conformité avec les bonnes manières, qu'ils délaissent les mortifications avec les sabres et les fils, qu'ils abandonnent les diverses tromperies et bagatelles, telles que le fait d'avaler du feu et des choses semblables que les idolâtres en Inde et les êtres les plus détestés par Alinh savent faire beaucoup mieux et plus adroitement, qu'ils ne consomment pas de boissons alcooliques, car l'homme ivre n'est bon pour aucune prière, que la chose la plus sainte soit pour eux de s'en tenir aux règles de la Chariat et de se soumettre à celle-ci

Durant la période 1918-1941, les courants anti-soufis de Yougoslavie furent donc menés par les ulémas de Bosnie-Herzégovine, aussi bien vis-à-vis des confréries de leur région que de celles du sud du pays. Les conséquences de leurs attaques furent néanmoins sans commune mesure pour les tarikat bosniaco-herzégoviennes, dont les tekke en activité en 1945 ne se comptaient plus que sur les doigts de la main, par rapport à celles de Kosovo et de Macédoine, dont le fonctionnement, voire même le développement n'avait pas été réellement entravé. La période suivante, celle de la Yougoslavie communiste, amena une évolution relativement différente par rapport à cette situation, présentant cependant certaines continuités.

La fin de la Seconde guerre mondiale vit, en Yougoslavie, l'instauration d'un régime communiste, qui, s'il s'émancipa rapidement de la tutelle soviétique et plus tard permit à ses travailleurs d'aller vendre leur force en Occident, n'en resta pas moins un régime communiste, dont la politique consistait en premier lieu à contrôler la population et à museler toute opposition éventuelle. Dans les premières années, le sort des communautés religieuses, et en particulier des confréries mystiques musulmanes, senties comme des foyers potentiels d'opposition mais pouvant aussi servir de relais au pouvoir, dépendant totalement de cette politique menée par les autorités de l'Etat relayées par les nouvelles autorités

<sup>21</sup> M Handžie prétendait que pratiquement tous les tekke avaient des vakfs, ce qui était loin d'être le cas, en particulier au Kosovo et en Macédoine.

religieuses mises en place.22

Ainsi, en 1951, au cours de la première session ordinaire de l'assemblée des vakf, un débat s'ouvnt à propos des tekke et de leur place dans le budget central de la Communauté. Cette question ne fut en fait que le prétexte à une sene d'attaques contre les derviches, principalement axées sur la consommation d'alcool dans certains tekke, et sur le caractère "dépassé" et "arriéré" des confréries,23 cause du retard de certaines populations. L'argumentation n'avait donc non seulement rien de théologique, mais encore pratiquement rien de religieux. La dialectique était, somme toute, typiquement communiste, et cette séance ne fut qu'un prélude à la décision du Ulema-medžlis de Bosnie-Herzégovine, prise en 1952, de fermer purement et simplement tous les tekke existant encore dans cette république fédérée. Les motifs invoqués dans le texte de la décision étaient, d'une part, que certains cherkhs avaient des pratiques qui n'avaient rien à voir avec les règles de l'islam et qui allaient même à l'encontre de celles-ci, et d'autre part que les tekke fremaient le progrès et l'avancement des larges masses musulmanes.24

Le pragmatisme — de règle dans tous les domaines — mena cependant les autorités religieuses musumanes, de concert avec les autorités politiques, à adopter une attitude différente envers les confréries au Kosovo et en Macédoine (la décision précédente ne concernait que les tekke de Bosnie-Herzégovine). Ainsi, quelques mois plus tard, le 17 novembre 1952, lorsqu'une discussion eut lieu, au cours de la seconde cession du Ulema-medžlis de Yougoslavie, sur la situation des tarikat au Kosovo et en Macédoine et sur les mesures à prendre envers elles, on jugea que la question s'avérait beaucoup plus sensible et délicate que dans le cas de la Bosnie-Herzégovine, compte tenu du nombre beaucoup plus élevé de tekke

 Voir à ce sujet, A. Popovic, Les musulmans vougoslaves (1945-1989). Médiateurs et métaphores (Lausanne 1990). actifs, de cheikhs et de derviches.<sup>25</sup> En fait, même s'il y eut des attaques visant à prendre des mesures identiques contre les tarikat dans le sud du pays, dénonçant leur action contre la pureté de l'islam et l'élévation des musulmans albanais et turcs,<sup>26</sup> il semble que le régime aurait cherché, dans une certaine mesure, à utiliser les confréries en Macédoine et au Kosovo, afin de faire un contrepoids aux ulémas,<sup>27</sup> rôle que celles-ci ne pouvaient en aucun cas jouer en Bosnie-Herzégovine du fait de leur faible implantation.

Les autorités musulmanes officielles, toujours dominées par les ulémas de Bosme-Herzégovine, continuèrent néanmoins, dans leurs rapports, à mettre en cause le fonctionnement des tekke, et notamment la non-qualification des cheikhs et la persistance de l'utilisation d'instruments de musique, des transpercements, des danses au cours des zikr, la fabrication d'amulettes, la divination, la guérison, de même que les "supercheries" faites par les enfants de cheikhs auprès de la population.28 On insistait également sur la nécessité d'émettre des règlements particuliers, pour les régions où se trouvaient des tekke, spécifiant par exemple que les cheikhs devraient avoir les qualifications requises et être âgés de plus de vingt-cinq ans. Mais si un déclin s'amorça aussi pour les confréries de Kosovo et de Macédoine, il faut y voir les conséquences d'un climat politique pesant, luttant - surtout dans les villes - contre les pratiques religieuses, sans oublier celles du départ d'une partie de la population turque vers la Turquie dans les années cinquante.

Vers la fin des années soixante et le début des années soixante-dix, le contexte politique yougoslave évolus dans le sens d'un certain

<sup>23</sup> Les détails de cette argumentation sont les sinvants les tekke n'existaient pas du temps du Prophète, et s'ils ont récliement un caractère fustorique, ils sont dans ce cas "à mettre dans les musées"

<sup>24</sup> Cf. Anonyme, 'Odloka o prestanku rada tekija u NR BiH', Glasnik Vrhovnog Islomskog Starješinstva ( :GVIS) m/5-7 (Sarajevo, mai-juillet 1952) 199 II est à noter que dans cette attaque dirigée contre les tarikat, l'ingément Fazina Alikalfié, doyen de la faculté de Sarajevo (et qui ne faisant donc pes partie du corps des utémas), joun un grand rôle

<sup>25</sup> Cf. Anonyme, 'Zapisnik II. Saziva Vrhovnog Vakufskog sabora', GVIS xv(iii)/8-12 (ao0t-décembre 1952) 286-312 (cf. 297-98, 300, 303, 304, 306 et 307).

<sup>26</sup> Cf par exemple, Mehmed Salihspahie, 'Islam bez mistike i sujeverja', GVIS xv.

<sup>(</sup>iv)/1 4 (janvier-avril 1953) 29-32

<sup>27</sup> Cf Anonyme, 'Ahmadiyya i derviški redovi su pomagani od komunista u Jugoslavin', Bosanski pogledi xiii (Fribourg?, mars 1962) 4, qui expose cette idée et donne l'exemple du Sejh Hasan de Prizren, qui auran joué un très grand rôle au service du régime après la Seconde guerre mondiale, ainsi que cetin des Bektachis qui auraient été utilisés pour faire de la propagande titiste en Albanie. D'après Hasan Kaleši, il n'y aurait pas eu d'interdiction au Macédoine également, parce que certains cheikhs étaient liés au Parti communiste.

<sup>28</sup> Un rapport de 1954 avant pourtant indiqué que les cheikhs de Kosovo et de Macédoire avaient accepté de se conformer à la Chariat (et pur conséquent d'abandonner l'usage des instruments de musique, les transpercements pendant les zikr, etc.).

assouplissement. On peut penser que cette évolution permit la réappantion, ou la réaffirmation, des courants confrériques et soufis, à laquelle on assista à cette même époque. En Bosnie-Herzégovine, où, malgré la fermeture des tekke en 1952, une certaine activité confrérique s'était maintenue de façon souterraine, <sup>29</sup> les milieux soufis tentèrent, en 1969, de rouvrir deux des tekke de Sarajevo. Cette tentative fut de courte durée, puisque ces établissements furent à nouveau fermés par les autorités, trois ans plus tard, en 1972.

Cependant, la véritable dynamique de cette réaffirmation vint, non pas des milieux soufis de Bosnie-Herzégovine, mais de ceux du Kosovo, sous l'impulsion du cheikh rifă<sup>c</sup>i de Prizren, Šeih Džemali, dont l'action personnelle fut sans aucun doute déterminante. De fait, en 1971, celui-ci réunissait, parmi les cheikhs, un comité d'initiative pour la création d'une Union des confréries. Puis, il formait, le 12 novembre 1972, ce comité d'initiative. Enfin, deux ans plus tard, le 12 novembre 1974, il organisait l'assemblée de fondation de la SIDRA (Savez Islamskih derviških redova Alijje u SFRJ. Union des ordres de derviches de Yougoslavie), durant laquelle des statuts étaient élaborés, et lançait le premier numéro d'un bulletin d'information intitulé Hu ("Lui").30 Trois ans plus tard, un nouveau pas fut franchi par Šejh Džemali qui transforma, le 28 septembre 1977, la SIDRA (Union des ordres de derviches...) en ZIDRA (Zajednica islamskih derviških redova Alijie u SFRJ, Communauté des ordres de derviches...). Il élevait ainsi son organisation au rang d'une commanauté musulmane concurrente de la Communauté musulmane officielle.

L'action de Šejh Džemali, bien que n'ayant fédéré essentiellement qu'une partie des milieux confrériques du Kosovo, eut d'importantes répercussions en Bosnie-Herzégovine. En effet, afin de détourner de la SIDRA les derviches de cette république, les autorités musulmanes officielles se virent dans l'obligation de "lacher du lest"

et de donner à deux cheikhs, A. Fočak et F. Hadžibajrić, en mai 1977, l'autorisation de former un Tarikatski Centar (Centre des tarikat) à Sarajevo.<sup>31</sup> Puis, en novembre 1978, la décision de l'interdiction des tekke et des tarikat fut levée de façon tacite, et, avec l'accord du président de la Communauté musulmane de Bosnie-Herzégovine, Ahmed Smajlović, les membres des conseils des deux tekke rouverts — Hadži Sinanova Tekija (kadiri) et Nadmlini Tekija (nakšibendi-mevlevi) — furent nommés.<sup>32</sup> Cependant, ce n'est que le 30 mars 1989 que l'interdiction de 1952 fut officiellement levée.<sup>33</sup>

Face à cette réaffirmation des courants soufis, les représentants de l'islam "orthodoxe" adoptèrent une attitude nuancée. D'un côté, on vient de le voir, ils entérinèrent graduellement le renouveau soufi en Bosnie-Herzégovine, et de l'autre ils rejetèrent les mitiatives du Sejh Džemali et critiquèrent les pratiques confrériques en usage dans le sud du pays. Il semble que cette attitude ait été dictée à la Communauté dans un souci de récupérer à elle, en Bosnie-Herzégovine, la dynamique de ce "renouveau" soufi. Ainsi, dès 1975, l'organe officiel de la Communauté diffusa le texte d'une conférence de Mahmud Traljié, dans lequel l'article de M Handžié, paru en 1934, était analysé. M. Traljić expliquait que son aîné ne s'était pas élevé contre le tasavvuf, mais contre ceux des soufis qui s'éloignent de la Chanat, concluant que l'antagonisme entre les ulémas et les derviches devait être dépassé, pour laisser la place à une alliance entre ulémas et derviches "modérés" ou "savants". contre les derviches "extrémistes" ou "déviants".34 Dans les années

<sup>29</sup> D'après Fejzulan Hadžibajnė, "Tesavuf, tardent i tekuje na području Starješinstva IZ B.H danas", GV/S xhu/3 (ma. jum 1979) 271-277, il y cut toujours une certaine activité confrérique à travers des réunions de zikr, ainsi qu'à travers les programmes de Meritud et de Tevind dans lesquels etsient inclus des parties relevant de pratiques soufies. Ces activités se déroulaient, en debors de Sarajevo, dans les mesdžid et les mosquées, et à Sarajevo, dans les maisons privées

<sup>30</sup> Toute la correspondance entre la Sidra/Zidra et les autontés musulmanes officielles est mentionnée dans Bitten Hu. 1986/4

<sup>31</sup> Sur la formation du Tarikatski Centar, of Abdulah Fočak, 'Zar i ti brate Zakire', Preporod xvii (480) (Sarajevo, let septembre 1990) 2 et 16

<sup>32</sup> CF Fejzulah Hadžibajnić. 'Povrat tekije u Islamsku zajednicu Ingoslavije'. Preporod ix (450) (Samjevo, 15 mai 1989) 9.

<sup>33</sup> Cf Fejzulah Hadžibajtić, 'Poslije povratka tektja u Islamska zajednicu Jugoslavije', 
Preporod xv (455) (Sarajevo, Ler août 1989) 2, et B.P., 'Tektje vračene u oktilje Islamske 
zajednice', Preporod xiii (453) (Sarajevo, Ler juillet 1989) 6. Il faut noter que cette mesure, 
qui, pour les inilieux soufis, effaçait le déséquilibre anormal entre Bosnie-Herzégovine et 
Kosovo-Macédonie (Hadžibajnić, 'Tesavuf'), s'accompagnant d'une clause précisant que les 
tekte seraient dorénavant la propriété de la Communauté musulmane officielle et que leur 
activité devraient s'effectuer conformément aux normes de cette Communauté (Anonyme, 
'Zaključci Vrhovnog Sabora Islamske Zajednice u SFRJ', Preporod viii (448) (15 avril 1989) 
20.

<sup>34</sup> Cf. Mahmud Traljić, Hadži Mehmed ef. Handžić i tesavvuf (Predavanje održano na

qui suivirent, le Glasnik continua à offrir à ses lecteurs des articles sur la mystique musulmane, présentée comme la seconde composante de l'islam, inséparable de la Chariat.35 Les attaques contre le "mauvais soufisme", qui s'écartait de la "bonne voie", et surtout refusait le contrôle des autorités musulmanes officielles, n'en furent que plus âpres. En 1975, la Communauté musulmane refusa de reconnaître la création de la SIDRA et chercha à prendre des mesures pour empêcher son fonctionnement, en invoquant qu'il n'y avait pas de place pour une telle association qui ne pouvait que détruire l'unité dans les rangs de la communauté musulmane et que, en outre, elle ne reconnaissait d'aucune façon l'existence des ordres de derviches.36 De même, les réactions parues en 1978-79 dans Preporod, journal de la Communauté musulmane, à la suite de la transformation de la SIDRA en ZIDRA (Communauté des ordres de derviches...) et de la parution du second numéro du bulletin Hu furent violentes. On y traitait Šeih Džemali et ses acolytes de gens illettrés, ignorants, primitifs, cherchant à avoir le monopole de

Sebi aruskoj akademilji u Sarajevu 17 XII 1974 god.)\*, *GVIS* xxxvin/7-8 (jmiliet-noda 1975) 387-389 À propos du texte de M. Handžić, of supra.

35 Cf Fuad Djidjić, 'Šarint i tankat', GV/S xl/2 (mars-avril 1977) 127-130; Dž. Čehapć, 'Šerint i tesavvuf (tankat)', GV/S xl/2 (mars-avril 1978) 109-112 (avec une conclusion que tarikat ne peut pas existes sens Cheriat et que la Sidra mine l'unité de la communauté musulmane), et F. Hadžibajtić, 'Iz kn.jge «Istine o tesavvufu»', GV/S, xli/3 (mar-juin 1978) 242-247 Dans le journal Preporod, on note également un article du même type, de Nijaz Šukrić, 'Tesavuf u našim krajev.ma . Preporod in (178) (Sarajevo, 1-15 févner 1978) 4. contre le soufisme déviant, mais pour un soufisme "savant"

36 Cf. Anonyme, 'Izvještaj o radu Vrhovnog Islamskog Starješinstva islamske zajednice u SFR Jugoslaviji od 26. decembra 1973. do 16 majn 1975. godine podnesen vrhovnom saboru saamske zajednice u SFR Jugoslaviji na zasjedanju u Sarajevu dana 17 maja. 1975. godine". GVIS xxxvii/5-6 (mai-min 1975) 281-306 (cf. 296), qui précise que Sejh Dženioli avait envoyé les statuts de la Sidra aux autorités musulmanes officielles en leur demandant de les exammer et de faire d'éventuelles remarques, d'où la réaction desdites autorités. Néanmouns, dix ans plus tard, le 14 octobre 1985, la Zidra (qui fit suite à la Sidra) obtint l'enregistrement officiel de "Udruženje Mešajiha [Šejhova] SR Srbije i SAP Kosova" (Association des cheikhs de la République de Serbie et de la Région autonome de Kosovo). En revanche, le 6 octobre 1987 les membres du "Conseil de coordination pour le développement des organisations et des associations de citoyens" et de la "Commission de la République de Macédonie pour les questions religiouses et les associations religiouses" refusa la création d'une communité de derviches de Macédoine, que Sejh Džemah avant voulu faire creer. Ce refus s'accompagna d'une mise en cause de l'action paternaliste de Sejh Džemali auprés des Gitans de Macédoine (Cf. G.R., 'Komo mu trebaat novi derviši?', Nova Makedonija (Skopje, 6 octobre 1987) 3, aussi que la réponse de Seja Džemali dans Derviš (octobre 1988) 36-40).

l'islam. Le bulletin était présenté comme une provocation, une honte. Et l'existence de deux communautés musulmanes était jugée impossible. Un témoin du Kosovo dressait une liste accablante des déviances des derviches de sa région:

"ils ne jeunent pas, appelent les summtes 'Jezads' et tournent en ridicule coux qu, jeunent, ils ne font pas le namaz, ils se réunissent autour des tombes où ils font le zikr et laissent de l'argent; ne respectent pas le Ramadan, mais font le matem; ils ne respectent pas le Coran, car "il a été écrit par Osman", ils se transpercent, boivent de l'alcool, racontent des histoires sur leurs lieus avec les anges, les cheytans, etc., en devenant derviches, ils se considèrent libéres de toutes les obligations islamiques; le cheikh s'occupe de la vie de ses derviches dans les deux mondes, etc., 37

C'est en 1979 — alors que le Tankatski Centar a été créé deux ans plus tôt et que certains tekke ont été officieusement rouverts un an auparavant en Bosnie-Herzégovine - que la question des tarikat est débattue de façon officielle au sein de la Communauté musulmane. Ce débat fut lancé par un article de H. Djozo - l'un des personnages les plus hauts placés dans la hiérarchie de la Communauté musulmane de Yougoslavie d'alors -, dans lequel celui-ci expliquait qu'il fallait lutter contre "les égarements et les tares du passé, signes de primitivisme et d'arriération, afin que l'islam fût purifié des conceptions rétrogrades et déformées". En particulier, pour H. Djozo, il fallait lutter contre les ordres de derviches - dont le problème était toujours actuel —, parce que, d'une part, leurs membres professaient des choses n'ayant aucun rapport ni avec la tarikat, ni avec la šariat, mais relevant plutôt du širk (idolâtrie polythéisme) et niant l'islam dans son essence, et que, d'autre part, leurs cadres étaient ignorants (džahil) et "autoproclamés".38 Le débat en lui-même eut lieu au cours de la Quatrième conférence des représentants des plus hauts organes de la Communauté musulmane de Yougoslavie, tenue les 17 et 18 mars 1979, et donna lieu à la publication d'une dizaine de textes sur la question, regroupés dans un fascicule de Glasnik. Les conclusions allaient naturellement toujours dans le même sens. Il est intéressant cependant de relever, dans les détails, certaines des argumentations

<sup>37</sup> Cf. S. R., 'Boti me kada vidim umjesto islama...', Preporod ii (202) (Sarajevo, 15-31 janvier 1979) 9, qui se demandari pourquoi quelqu'un d'aussi respectable que F. Hadžibajrié se permettait d'être dans le comité de rédaction du bulletia Hu.

<sup>38</sup> Cf Husejin Djozo, 'Borti se za čísti islam, Preporod u (202) (Sarajevo, 15-31 janvier (979) 1

658

soutendant ces textes. 39 Huseiin Diozo s'appuvait par exemple sur les écrits d'un auteur égyptien bien connu, Dr Ahmad Amin, 40 pour décrire la décadence du soufisme à la suite de l'absorption d'éléments étrangers à l'islam, d'origines diverses — iranienne. indienne, hellénistique, chrétienne. Ainsi le chiisme, le panthéisme. le néoplatonisme, les keramet (miracles), la croyance en un pôle dans la hiérarchie des saints (kutb), dans les evliva (saints) devaient-ils être rejetés par les soufis. H. Djozo proposait donc, pour régler l'activité des confréries - qu'il considérait d'ailleurs toujours illégale —, d'établir un "codex" renfermant les principes islamiques de base que leurs membres se devraient de respecter. Sur la base de ce "codex", des statuts devraient être élaborés pour les confrénes de l'ensemble du pays, dans lequel serait défini, en particulier, le statut des ordres de derviches au sein de la Communauté musulmane.41 L'article de Džemal Salihspahić précisait les croyances, les attitudes et les pratiques non-islamiques dont les tarikat devaient "être nettoyées": musique, danse, fabrication d'amulettes et de talismans. magie, tendances "anti-culturelles et anti-rationnelles", croyance en la métempsycose (hulul), fausse explication du fantastique et du transcendental (tešbih) et de l'immanence de Dieu (tenzih), culte de la personnalité envers les cheikhs et trop fort respect des morts et de leur turbe. Dž. Salihspahić insistait lui aussi sur la mise sous contrôle des confréries par la Communauté et sur leur mise au service de la Communauté, argumentant que le tasavvuf ne pouvait en aucune facon remplacer la Chariat.42 Quant au directeur de la medrese Alaudin de Pristina, Serif Ahmeti, il se contentait de souligner le non respect des prières rituelles et du jeûne, la consommation d'alcool, la

39 Notons que, parmi les articles inclus dans le fascicule en question, on trouve la réédition du texte de Mehmed Handžić sus la question des *tekte* en Yougoslavie (cf. Mehmed Handžić, 'Fitanje tektja ti Jugoslaviji, GAVZ a/4, 197-204)

42 Cf Džemai Sa.:hspahić, 'Neke negativne pojave kod pristalica tesavufa', GVIS xlii/3 (mai-ji in 1979) 278 281

non qualification des cheikhs et leur méconnaissance de l'islam. Et, pour illustrer l'éloignement des confréries vis-à-vis de la Communauté musulmane, il citait l'exemple des dix villages de la région du Has (dans les environs de Prizren) qui ne demandaient plus d'imams pour guider les prières durant le mois de Ramadan.<sup>43</sup>

Par la suite, on rappela périodiquement les décisions prises au cours de la réunion de 1979 afin de combattre le dervichisme déviant, <sup>44</sup> en ajoutant parfois quelques nouveaux témoignages sur les déviations en question. <sup>45</sup> La situation en était là en Yougoslavie, vers 1990, donc peu de temps avant l'éclatement du pays et le déclenchement de la guerre civile en Croatie et en Bosnie-Herzégovine. Depuis, il y a eu une recomposition totale, avec la création de Communautés musulmanes distinctes en Bosnie-Herzégovine, au Kosovo et en Macédoine. Nous ignorons pour l'instant quelles sont, dans ce tout nouveau contexte, les tendances qui se font jour vis-à-vis des confréries.

Le cas de l'Albanie est très différent de celui de la Yougoslavie. Ce que nous savons actuellement de l'histoire de la communauté musulmane dans ce pays<sup>46</sup> semble en effet montrer qu'il n'y a pas eu, avant 1944, d'important courant anti-soufi comparable à celui qui

46 Notre comaissance de l'islam albanais devrart, dans les années qui viennent, être approfondée du fait des nouvelles possibilités de recherche dans le pays même, ce qui était impossible apparavant.

<sup>40</sup> L'ouvrage cuté par Husejm Djozo ast mutulé Zuhr al-islam (éd. Ahmad Amin, i-iv, Le Catre , 964). Rappelons ici que la Yougoslavie de l'époque entretenant des heus étroits avec l'Egypte de Nasser, et que de nombreux jeunes musulmans de Yougoslavie étaient étudiants à al Azhar

<sup>41</sup> Cf. Husejin Djozo, 'Pravi put i strampotice tesavula', GVIS xlii/3 (mai-juin 1979) 235-241 S'exprimant au cours de la même année, H. Djozo prétendant que le vrai tesavuil était 'une défense contre l'intrusion du monde matériel' (cf. Anonyme, 'Izvještaj o radu VIS-a u 1978/79 godini', GVIS xin/4 (juillet-août 1979) 349-384 (cf. 371-372).

<sup>43</sup> Cf. Šerif Ahmeti, 'Kosovska pacudo učenja tesavufa', GV/S xhi/3 (mai-juin 1979) 282-285 [paru également en albanars dans Edukata islame ix/25 (Prišina 1979) 48-52]

<sup>44</sup> Comme dans Prepared xii (236) (15 juin 1980) 11

<sup>45</sup> Amsi, le journal Preporod publiant la réaction d'un homme du Kosovo répétant qu'il était nécessaire de prendre des mesures contre les derviches qui dévient, notamment dans la région de Prizren, Djakovica et Orahovac (au Kosovo), de faisant pas le jeûne du Ramadan (mais le [jeûne de] muharrem), buvant de l'alcool, plaçant Ali au-dessus de Muhammed, ne faisant pas les cinq prières, mais en faisant une la mit, etc. (cf. Bedri Ši,jka, 'Povodom poviatka (ekija u islanisku zajednica', Preporod xvii (457) (Sarajevo, ler septembre 1989) 14). Ces accusations donnèrem beu à une réponse de Pejzulah Hadžibajné, 'Tesavviif — jedim od bituijth segmenata islamiskog učenja', Preporod xvii (459) (Sarajevo, ler octobre 1989) 2. Sur un plan légèrement différent, on peut signaler les attaques d'un cheikh xudiri envers le Tankatskii centar de Sarajevo. Celui-ci était accusé de ne pas fonctionner de façon démocratique, de s'être séparé de la Zidra parce que ses membres avaient été 'achetés' par la Communauté musulmane officielle. Ceux-ci étaient également accusés d'avoir été "achetés et serbisés" par l'Académise Serbe des Sciences et des Arts, du fait qu'its avaient parbeipé à un colloque scientifique organisé par celle-ci à Belgrade en 1989 (cf. Fočak, op. cit.)

s'exprima chez les ulémas de Bosnie Herzégovine. Si un tel courant, même mineur, a existé, force est de constater qu'il n'y en a pas eu d'écho dans les organes successifs de la Communauté musulmane officielle, Zani i nalté (La voix suprême, 1923-1939) et Kultura islame (La culture islamique, 1939-1945). Cette dernière revue, dont certains collaborateurs contribuèrent à la rédaction de la revue Njeriu (L'homme, 1942-1944) — organe de l'Association Drita Hyjnore (La lumière divine) réunissant à partir de 1936 les membre de quatre tarikat<sup>47</sup> —, contient même quelques textes et poésies soufis ou sur le soufisme. Pourquoi cette absence d'écrits polémiques? Quels sont les facteurs qui ont pu contribuer à cet état de fait?

Dans l'Albanie indépendante, qui n'acquit une relative stabilité qu'à partir de 1920, les différentes communautés religieuses s'organisèrent et se structurèrent au sein du nouvel État. Du côté musulman, une Communauté sunnite et une Communauté bektachie<sup>48</sup> se mirent en place. A partir de 1927, un courant réformiste se forma au sein de la Communauté sunnite. Or, il semble que ses représentants aient prit les rênes de la Communauté à l'issue du Congrès de 1929, aux dépens des cercles d'ulémas traditionalistes. Les nouveaux leaders non seulement s'avérèrent peu enclins à polémiquer avec les soufis, mais encore professèrent un islam légèrement teinté de soufisme. En témoignent l'adhésion à l'association soufie Drita Hyinore de Sherif Putra, rédacteur de l'organe officiel de la Communauté musulmane Kultura islame ou de Haki Sharofi, professeur à la medrese de Tirana, ainsi que le contenu parfois très proche de l'organe précédemment cité et de la revue Nieriu édité par Drita Hyjnore. 49 Dans ce contexte, l'absence d'attaques "visibles" à l'encontre des tarikat pourrait aisément s'expliquer. Il n'est pas exclu cependant que, dans les milieux traditionalistes, implantés surtout dans la moitié nord du pays, des sentiments anti-confrériques aient existé à la même époque, sans

47 Il s'agit de la Kaduriyye, de la Rifa'iyye, de la Sa'diyye et de la Tidjaaryye; ef N Clayer, L'Albane, pays des deruches (Berlin-Wiesbaden 1990) 201-202

qu'ils n'aient pu s'exprimer au grand jour.

Au reste, on peut noter, venant même des autorités musulmanes en poste après 1929, une volonté de prendre des mesures à l'encontre des membres des confréries abusant la population. Ainsi, dans une circulaire du chef de la Communauté aux sous-muftis, datée du 23 octobre 1933, il était écrit.

"Souvent, nous avons constaté que beaucoup de geas prétendument cheikhs on derviches vont de village en village prêcher et faire de la propagande auprès de la population pour attirer les gens dans leur "secte", et avec maestria, ils déponitions le pauvre peuple, aux uns, ils prennent de l'argent, aux autres du bétail, aux troisièmes la même chose sous forme de donc." 50

Ces directives étaient d'ailleurs reprises un an plus tard par le Ministère de l'intérieur qui, s'adressant aux préfectures, indiquait:

".... Nous avons été anformés que de nombreux cherkhs et derviches de différentes "sectes" existent en Albanie, qui vont à travers les villes et les villages, font de la propagande auprès de la population et [disent] qu'ils guérissent toutes les maladies avec leurs écrits saints et qu'ils révètent tous les autres secrets; comme rémunération pour leurs soi-disants efforts, ils reçoivent des gens pouvres des dons divers et ... continuent à dépositier la couche ignorante de la population d'une manière fourbe", \$\frac{5}{2}\$!

C'est avec l'établissement du régime communiste que les confréries mystiques musulmanes subirent, en Albanie, les plus dures attaques, non pas de la part des autorités musulmanes, mais de la part des autorités politiques. Leur sort fut du reste comparable à celui des autres communautés religieuses, combattues dès 1944 et — fait exceptionnel dans le bloc de l'Est — totalement supprimées en 1967. La dialectique employée à cet effet fut essentiellement de "type communiste". Les cheikhs ou les baba étaient des "ennemis du peuple", "agents de l'impérialisme anglo-américains"; les confréries des vecteurs de "coutumes rétrogrades". L'étude de cette phase, qui ne fut pas anti-soufie mais anti-religieuse, sort donc du cadre de la présente étude.

Les changements politiques survenus depuis 1990 ont permis à la religion d'avoir de nouveau le droit de citée en Albanie. Les différentes communautés religieuses sont en train de se reconstituer. Il est encore trop tôt pour déterminer la place que chacune d'elles reprendra, et par conséquent quelles seront les rapports de force entre

<sup>48</sup> Sur la formation de la Communauté sumite, cf. A. Popovic, L'islam balkamque (Berlin Wiesbaden 1986) 11ss Sur la formation de la Communauté bektachie, cf. N. Clayer, op cit. et 'Bektachisme et nationalisme abanais', dans A. Popovic et G. Veinstein (éds). Bektachi vya Études sur l'ordre mystique des Bektachis et les groupes relevant de Hadji Bektach (Istanbul 1995) 277-308

<sup>49</sup> Cette question fait l'objet d'un article de N. Clayer, en préparation.

<sup>50</sup> Archives d'Etat de Tirana, F 882, v 1933, d. 107, fl. 1.

<sup>51</sup> Archives d'Etat de Tirana, F. 446, v. 1934, d. 222, fl. 1.

les différentes facettes — confrériques et non confrériques — de l'islam albanais du vingt-et unième siècle.

Le premier constat que l'on peut faire à la suite de l'examen de ces deux cas est qu'il n'y a pas eu dans les Balkans, à l'époque postottomane, d'ouvrages en tout ou en partie consacrés à une polémique anti-soufie ou anti-confrérique. Les seules attaques de ce genre, plus anti-confrériques qu'anti-soufies, ont été publiées par des organes de Communautés musulmanes officielles. Le rôle des autorités musulmanes a donc été déterminant dans ce domaine. D'où l'opposition totale entre la situation yougoslave et la situation albanaise, puisque, dans le premier cas, s'instaura une prépondérance des ulémas de Bosnie-Herzégovine de tendance traditionnaliste et anti-soufi, alors que, dans le second, la Communauté musulmage fut dirigée, à partir de 1929, par des ulémas réformistes, proches des milieux confrénques. Par conséquent, même si, tant en Yougoslavie qu'en Albanie, les autorités musulmanes cherchèrent, au sein des nouveaux Etats, à contrôler les tarikat, dans le premier cas, une opposition aux confréries put s'exprimer au grand jour, alors que, dans le second, une telle opposition - si elle exista - resta larvée.

Le courant anti-confrérique qui vit le jour en Yougoslavie vint donc, en premier lieu, des ulémas de Bosnie-Herzégovine. A la lumière de ce que l'on vient de voir, il connut globalement deux phases, qui ne correspondent pas tout à fait aux deux périodes historico-politiques 1918-1941 et 1944-1990. De fait, il paraît pertinent de distinguer une première phase, celle d'une "attaque systématique" qui fut menée contre les tarikat jusqu'à la fin des années soixante, puis une seconde phase, correspondant à une "attaque plus en nuances".

La première phase se caractérise par une volonté, de la part des autorités musulmanes officielles, de contrôler les tarikat et leurs biens. La question des vakf devient centrale. En Bosnie-Herzégovine, c'est la Direction des vakf qui s'est employée à fermer et transformer les établissements confrériques et les türbe (l'instauration du régime communiste a même permis aux autorités musulmanes de fermer tous les tekke en Bosnie-Herzégovine, en 1952). Pour la Macédoine et le Kosovo, les règlements émis par le Ulema medžlis de Skoplje en 1934 stipulaient que les bâtiments et les biens des tekke étaient propriétés de la Communauté musulmane. Et M. Handžić de reprocher aux confréries de vivre des revenus des vakf sans remplir

leurs devoirs qui auraient été d'éduquer les musulmans et de répandre la religion musulmane. Une autre critique prononcée par ce représentant de l'ilmiyye avait trait au fait que certains membres des confréries étaient hostiles "à la medrese", c'est à-dire au milieu des ulémas auxquels ils ne s'adressaient pas pour régler les questions religieuses. D'après M. Handžić, c'était donc l'autorité, voire le contrôle des autorités musulmanes qui étaient rejetés. Toutes les autres accusations portées alors contre les confrénes (non respect de la Chariat, culte des saints, pratiques et croyances non islamiques, absorption d'alcool, etc.) paraissaient sinon secondaires, au moins servant à justifier la nécessité d'un contrôle. Même si ce type d'attaques concernait plus particulièrement des confréries comme celle des Bektachis, ou d'autres pratiquant des mortifications rituelles pendant le zikr, une distinction entre "confrérisme déviant" et "confrérisme non-déviant" n'était pas encore faite de façon nette comme au cours de la phase suivante.

A l'origine de cette seconde phase, qui commença au début des années soixante-dix, s'est trouvé le renouveau des confréries au Kosovo - sous l'impulsion du cheikh Džemali -, entraînant également un renouveau confrérique dans les autres régions du pays La Communauté musulmane était alors dans une situation délicate, après une génération de régime communiste, la religiosité des musulmans avait considérablement baissé. Le renouveau confrérique pouvait donc, à condition d'être maîtrisé, offrir une dynamique permettant de "refidéliser" une partie de la population musulmane. C'est vraisemblablement dans cette optique que les autorités musulmanes se mirent à prôner alors une alliance entre "derviches modérés" et ulémas, contre les "derviches extrémistes". L'expression "derviches extrémistes" s'appliquaient en particulier à Šejh Džemali et à ses partisans, qui avaient formé une Association des ordres de derviches, transformée en 1977 en Communauté des ordres de derviches, s'érigeant ainsi en concurrents directs de la Communauté musulmane officielle. La puissance grandissante de Šejh Džemali fort de l'appui financier de milliers de travailleurs émigrés - dans les milieux albanais mais aussi gitans, dérangeait et inquiètait la Communauté musulmane. Celle-ci ne ménagea donc pas ses attaques contre les "déviations" de ce type de derviches, alors qu'elle mettait en avant, dans les pages de ses organes, la possibilité de pratiquer un "soufisme nettoyé des innovations non islamiques", compatible avec la Chariat, au sein d'ordre de derviches, dont le statut au sein de la

Communauté musulmane devait être précisé. D'où la création du Tankatski centar (Centre des tankat) à Sarajevo, sous l'égide des autorités musulmanes.

Cette analyse des courants anti-confrériques dans les Balkans entre 1918 et 1990 ne doit pas faire croire à des dichotomies qui n'ont jamais existé, aussi bien en Yougoslavie qu'en Albanie. Durant cette période, l'islam de Bosnie-Herzégovine n'a pas été uniquement anti-soufi; celui de Kosovo, de Macédoine ou d'Albanie n'a pas été uniquement soufi. En outre, en ne prenant en compte — par obligation — que les courants qui se sont exprimés ouvertement, nous avons peut-être laissé dans l'ombre d'autres courants qui ont pu exister plus localement et qui pourraient commencer à se manifester dans le nouveau contexte balkanique chez certains ulémas de Macédoine et du Kosovo, ou du Nord de l'Albanie.

### VII

### THE MALAY INDONESIAN WORLD

# OPPOSITION TO SUFISM IN THE EAST INDIES IN THE SEVENTEENTH AND EIGHTEENTH CENTURIES

#### AZYUMARDI AZRA

Sufism has often been associated with the spread of Islam in the East Indies — or more conveniently the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago — particularly after the thirteenth century. Some scholars maintain that wandering Sufi shaykhs who came from certain parts of the Middle East played a crucial role in the large scale conversion of the local population to Islam from that period onwards. The Sufis' ability to present Islam in an attractive fashion, principally by emphasizing continuity rather than change in local traditional beliefs and practices, is often identified as one of the major factors accounting for conversion. This implies that the brand of Islam that spread in the region during its early period in the Archipelago was that of syncretistic Sufism which was not in all respects in accordance with the teachings of the sharia.

Muslims in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago manifested some preoccupation with mystico-philosophical and theological ideas. In Aceh, for instance, public discussion and debates on Islamic mysticism were held in the Grand Mosque of the Sultanate. Al-Ranîrî in his Bustân al-salâtîn relates how these debates resulted in deadlock. Such debates on mystico-philosophical matters, and particularly concerning the permanent archetypes (al-a'yān al-thābita), were conducted between two scholars, Muhammad al-Yamani and Abu'l-Khayr b. Shaykh b. Hajar, who both came to Aceh from Mecca in 947/1540. Al-Yamani was an expert on fiqh, usūl al-fiqh, 'ulūm al hadīth, and sciences related to the Koran. Abū'l Khayr was the author of a book entitled al-Sayf al-qati', which apparently deals with intricate mystico philosophical issues concerning the nature of

the third metaphysical category between being and non-being: the fixed essences, or the permanent archetypes. He taught fiqh, and also discussed metaphysical matters which were very difficult for the common people to grasp. The heated discussion between both scholars concerned mystico-philosophical topics in particular. It left people in religious confusion but intellectually curious to explore these topics.<sup>1</sup>

As a result, when al-Ranīri's uncle, Muhammad Jaylanī b. Hasan Muḥammad al-Ḥumaydī, came from Gujarat to Aceh between 988/1580 and 991/1583, people were not interested in studying fiqh, uṣūl al-fiqh, akhlāq, manṭiq, and rhetoric as he had proposed to them. Instead, they demanded that he teach taṣawwuf and kalām, subjects which he himself had not fully mastered. Thus, he decided to end his teaching and went to Mecca to pursue more advanced studies in Islamic mysticism and other related subjects. Having mastered these, he returned to Aceh during the reign of Sultan 'Alā' al-Dīn Ri'āyat Shāh to teach these subjects.<sup>2</sup>

In Java, often regarded as a land where syncretistic mystical Islam held sway, the preoccupation with Islamic mysticism was apparently stronger than in Aceh Tome Pires, who travelled in Java in the early sixteenth century, reports that he observed numerous wandering tapas (ascetics) who 'are also worshipped by the Moors, and they believe in them greatly... they say they are sacred'.3

The fascination with mysticism in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago was not confined to the Muslim masses. Malay rulers were also fascinated by Muslim mystical concepts, in particular that of alinsān al-kāmil. They often implied that they had attained the status of insān kāmil, by adopting Sufi terms such as walī Allāh or quṭb.4

There is little doubt that the spread of such mystical concepts and teachings was in one way or another stimulated by the circulation of certain mystical literature in the Malay-Indonesian world. Pigeaud, for instance, reports the existence in Java of mystical Islamic works

from the fifteenth century onwards.<sup>5</sup> As one would expect, such literature appealed to many Javanese Muslims, since it contained mystical teachings which were similar to those prevailing in pre-Islamic times. These mystical works, considered by some to be heterodox, were criticized by more shana-oriented writers, as we will see later.

It is of special importance to note that the spread of mystical literature with its impacts on religious life in the Malay-Indonesian world is vividly recorded in Arabic sources. Among this literature is a succinct work by Fadi Allah al-Burhanpūrī, entitled al-Tuhfat almursala ilā rūḥ al-nabī which was supplemented by a short commentary called al-Haqīqa al-muwāfīqa li-ahl al-sharī a. In al-Tuhfa al-mursala, al-Burhānpūrī essentially attempts to restrain an extravagant type of Sufism by emphasizing the essential elements of Islam such as the Absolute Being (wujūd) of God and the importance of the sharia for the mystic path. However, the author's basic concepts, such as the seven grades of being (Malay: martabat tujuh), and his arguments to explain them, are absolutely philosophical. These arguments could obscure the real intention of the author, especially for common behevers.

Al-Tuhfa al-mursala, which was written in 1000/1590, was already known in the Malay-Indonesian world in 1030/1619 or even earlier, and was also translated into Javanese. The effect of this book on religious life in the Archipelago was recorded by Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī (d. 1101/1690) and by his disciple Musiafā Fath Alfāh al-Hamawī. In the account of Fadl Alfāh al-Burhānpūri in his unpublished three-volume biographical dictionary called Fawā'id al-irtihal wa-natā'ij al-safar, al-Hamawī relates that:

'Our Shaykh, khätimat al-muhaqqqqin, Ibrähim al-Küräni, told me, while we were reading al-Tuhfat al-mursala with him, that some of our läwi companions (ba'd ashābinā al-jāwiwin) informed him that this treatise and matters it treats are popular and famous in their land and that it is read by their religious acholars, and that youths study it as one of the minor treatises in the early stages of their studies' 7

Ibrahîm al-Kürānī himself in introductory notes to his Ithāf aldhakī bi-sharḥ al-Tuḥfat al-mursala ilā rūh al-nabī, written as a response to al-Burhānpūrī's work, provides additional data on this

Nür al-Din a.-Räniri, Bustanu's Salaun, Bab u, Fasal 13, ed. T Iskandar (Koala Lumpur 1966) 33

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. 23-4

<sup>3</sup> Tome Pires, The Suma Oriental of Tome Pires, ed. & trans. Armando Cortesao (London 1994) .77

A.C. Milner, 'Islam and the Muslim State', in M.B. Hooker (ed.), Islam in South-East Asia (Leiden 1983) 41-4: Martin van Brunessen, 'The Origin and Development of Suffi Orders (Tarekat) in Indonesia', Studio Islamika, Indonesian Journal for Islamic Studies i (1994) 4-5.

<sup>5</sup> G Th. Pigrand, Literature of Java, iii vols. (Leiden 1967) 1, 76-83

<sup>6</sup> A.H. Johns (ed. & tr.), The Gift Addressed to the Spirit of the Prophet (Canberra 1965) 5.7

Muştafă Fath Allăh al Hamawi, Fawâ'id al-iriiḥāl wa-natā'ij al safar fi akhbar ahl al-qarn al-ḥādī 'ashar, iii vols. (MS. Dār al-kutub al-Miṣriyya, 1093 Ia'rīkh, n.d.) i fol. 167

#### matter:

'We have been reliably informed by a group (iamā'a) of Jāwiyyin that some books on haqiqa [Divine Realites] and esoteric knowledge ('nliām al-asrār) have spread among the population of the lands of Jāwa being passed from hand to hand by those endowed with knowledge based on their studies and the teaching of others, but who have neither understanding of the 'ilm al-sharī'a of the Prophet, the Chosen, the Elect of God, peace be upon him, nor the 'ilm al-haqā'iq conferred on those who follow the path of God the Exalted, those who are close to Him, those admirable ones, or those who have set their foot on any path of their paths based on the Korin and the Sunna through perfect obedience both outwardly (al-zāhir) and inwardly (al-bājin), as rendered by the devont and pure. This is the reason why many of them (the Jāwiyyīn) have deviated from the right path and why impure belief has arisen; in fact they have entered into the crooked camp of alheism (al-zandaga) and heresy (al-ilhād)...

I have been told [by the Jäwiyyšn] that among the famous books is the compendium entitled al-Tukfa al-mursala dā [rūḥ] al-nabī, peace be upon him, written by the divinely assisted adept Shaykh Muḥammad b. Shaykh Faḍl Allāh al-Burhānpūrī, may God almighty cause him to be of service' 8

This Arabic account makes clear that there had been religious confusion among the 'Jāwiyyin' in the lands of 'Jāwa', i.e. among people in the whole of the Malay-Indonesian world, as a result of their following the mystic path without proper understanding and conformity to the sharia, both outwardly and inwardly. This state of affairs raises questions concerning the degree to which the sharia had actually spread in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago.

Despite the fascination of Malay-Indonesian Muslims for Islamic mysticism, it would be wrong to assume that the basic injunctions of the sharia were unknown among Muslims in the region. Some early traditional literature written in the Archipelago such as 'sejarah' ('history'), 'hikayat' (annals), undang-undang ('local laws and regulations') and the like, incorporated shar'ī teachings into their discourse. Such literature also included accounts of how, for instance, the Muslim rulers in this region made various attempts to apply the teachings of the sharia in their realm. For example, Sultan al-Malik al-Sāliḥ (d. 697-8/1297) of the Pasai Sultanate on his deathbed whispered as his last wish to his viziers, ministers, chiefs and court officials that they should enforce the law of the Koran and prevent anything which contravened the holy law.9

Sultan al-Malik al-Mahmud (d. 727/1326) when he was very sick and felt that he would soon be leaving this life, also gave similar orders to his son Sultan Ahmad.

'My son, light of my eyes and fruit of my heart, take care that while you are ruler you fulfill all the commandments communicated to you by God and His Prophet, and that you eschew the things which they have forbidden. Do not transgress the commandments of God the Exalted or the sayings of the Prophet Muhammad. Conduct yourself in such a way that you are always on guard against the things which are not in accordance with the Holy Law'. <sup>10</sup>

Like their Pasai counterparts, the rulers of Melaka, another great Muslim kingdom in the region, attempted to build their state in accordance with God's law. The *Hikavat Melayu*, for instance, relates how Sultan Manşūr Shāh (r. 862/1477) advised his son, Sultan "Alā" al-Dīn Shāh, to govern the state according to the rule of God. 11 The injunction that Melaka should be governed in accordance with Koranic law is also found in the *Undang-undang Melaka*. 12

The Undang-undang Melaka, considered to be the earliest extant Malay law digest, contains explicit Islamic materials in addition to indigenous adat. The Islamic materials derive mainly from the doctrine of the Shāfi'i madhhab. In fact, certain sections of the Malaka Digest are simply translations of Shāfi'i standard texts such as al-Fath al-garīb of Ibn Shujā'. 13

Another law digest, the sixteenth/seventeenth-century Pahang Digest is also a good example of how some teachings of the sharia were known to Southeast Asian Muslims. This digest, compiled for the Pahang Sultan 'Abd al-Ghafür Muhyi al-Din Shāh (r. 1001-23/1592-1614), is strongly colored by Islam. No fewer than forty-two of the sixty-eight articles of this text are near-literal translations of certain Shāfi'i texts. 14 The Digest requires that the Pahang Sultanate be governed by its rulers in accordance with Islamic law. 15

In the Acehnese Sultanate, a great successor to Melaka, one also finds the adoption of sharia precepts. Several Acehnese texts (sarakata) contain numerous Islamic principles in addition to indigenous adat. The two principal collections of texts, known as the Adat Aceh and Makota Alam, are traditionally believed to have been

<sup>8</sup> Ibrāhīm al Kūrānī, Ithāf al-dhalā bi-shorh al-tuḥfa al-mursala ilā rāh al-nobī (MS. Dār al-kutub al-Mışriyya, 2578 taşaward, mucrofilm 27651, n.d.) fol. 2.

<sup>9</sup> A.H. Hill (ed. & trans.), 'Hikayat Raja-raja Pasas', Journal of the Malay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society (= JMBRAS) xxxn (1960) 65.

<sup>10</sup> That 23 133.4

<sup>11</sup> C.C. Brown (trans.), Sejorah Melayu or Malay Annals (Kwala Lumpur 1970) 103.

<sup>12</sup> Leaw York Fang, Undang-undang Melaka (The Hague 1976) 163

<sup>13</sup> M.B. Hooker, Islamic Law in South-East Asia (Singapore 1984) 15-6

<sup>14</sup> I.E. Kempe & R.O. Winstedt, 'A Malay Legal Digest Compiled for 'Abd al-Ghafür Muhaiyuddin Shih, Suliān of Pahang', JMBRAS xxi (1948) 2.

<sup>15</sup> Thid, 24-5.

compiled during the reign of the famous Sultan Iskandar Muda (r. 1016-45/1607-36). A third collection is known as Adat Majlis. This collection in particular contains a considerable portion of Islamic principles concerning the application of Islamic prescriptions to Aceh's politics. It also provides an elaborate description of the etiquette and ceremonies practiced in accordance with Islamic principles when the sultans visited the mosques during Ramaḍān, the month of fasting.

The Adat Majlis, however, is primarily a set of idealized guidelines for the sultans and dignitaries of the Acehnese Sultanate concerning their religio-political conduct. Considerable attention is paid to the Sufi interpretation of certain terms related to the ruler. In this connection Milner concluded that the sharia only played a limited role in Aceh. In support of his conclusion, he cites two cases of unlawful acts which were not dealt with according to Islamic law 16

However, some sultans of Aceh did attempt to enforce the application of the sharia in the Sultanate. The greatest ruler of the Acehnese, Sultan Iskandar Muda, for instance, even sought to transform the Sultanate into a theocratic state. The upgraded the religious court to the same level as civil, criminal and business courts, created the office of  $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$  as an important high position in the administration of the Sultanate, and imposed Islamic penalties in place of traditional ones. In addition, he initiated the establishment of the Bavi al-māl, the State Treasury, according to figh precepts, issued orders to the population to perform 'ibāda, and prohibited the practice of usury.

Despite all these indications, it is doubtful that the precepts of the sharia were universally observed by the wider population. Certainly, it is known that the application of Islamic law varied from one area of the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago to another. In any case, no figh books, indispensable as manuals for Muslims' religious and social life, appear to have existed before the seventeenth century in the Malay-Indonesian languages. 18

As suggested above, the Malay-Indonesian Muslims' fascination with mystical ideas and teachings remained largely unchecked at least until the end of the fourteenth century. Some scholars maintain

16 Milner, pp. cit. 28

that the earliest opposition to mystical-syncretistic Islam is to be found in Java. Pigeaud has pointed out that since the fifteenth century an Islamic literature, produced by a small number of Javanese, strongly criticized a group of works which emphasized a mystic interpretation of Islam. 19

One of the tracts in this category was studied by Drewes, who claimed, however, that it was the earliest known Islamic work written in Java. In fact, this work is a polemical treatise against what its author believed to be heterodox teachings.<sup>20</sup> Citing leading sharia-oriented scholars such as al-Ghazăli, the tract vehemently attacks Muslims who maintain superstitious beliefs and practices, and it warns its readers against the excessive veneration of Sufi masters:

'It is unbelief to say that the great masters are superior to the prophets, or to put the saints above the prophets, and even above our lord Muhammad' 21

Moreover, the text stresses the sharia when it states:

'How does one put into effect God's high commandments which apply to every Mishim without exception? Outward works are: to pray five times every day, to fast in the month of Ramadān, to pay the alms-tax according to one's property. A Mushim should not trade with forbidden goods... He should practice charity; perform the pilgrintage, take part in the holy war, observe ritual purity; recite the Korana... avoid all kinds of holeful sins and take part in the corporate Friday prayer in the mosque'. 22

The text then goes on to list numerous religious obligations and prohibitions which should be observed by Muslims in their daily lives. The contents of this text clearly indicate that scriptural orthodoxy had started to penetrate Javanese Muslim society

Strong opposition to Islamic mysticism in Java is reflected in the account of Wali Sanga (the Nine Saints), i.e. the legendary first preachers of Islam in Java in the fifteenth century, who collectively condemned to death Shaykh Siti Jenar, also known as Shaykh Lemah Abang. Siti Jenar, regarded by some as an important missionary of Islam in Java, was accused of adhering to heterodox mystic doctrines which centered on recognition that man is identical with God as the Absolute Reality. In other words, Siti Jenar claimed that he was divine because he had united with God. He also revealed the secrets of the esoteric knowledge to mystically unqualified people.<sup>23</sup>

<sup>17</sup> S.M.N al-Aitas, Rimiri and the Wajiidiywa of 17th-Century Acheh (Singapore 1966)

<sup>18</sup> Hooker, op. cit. 15-16, Azyumardi Azra, 'Education, Law, Mysticism: Constructing Social Realities', in Mohammad Taib Osman (ed.), Islamic Civilization in the Molay World (Kuala Lumpur Islanbul 1997) 143-95, 178-95.

<sup>19</sup> Pigeaud, op. cit. t, 76-83.

<sup>20</sup> G.W.I. Drewes, An Early Javanese Code of Muslim Ethics, (The Hague 1978)

<sup>21</sup> Ibid. 39

<sup>22</sup> Ibid. 17-9

<sup>23</sup> S. Soebardi, 'Santri-Religious Elements as Reflected in the Book of Tjentmi, Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (=BKI) exxvii (1971) 331-49; 347 Widji

In consequence, Siti Jenar was summoned to the assembly of Wali Sanga. Reportedly, when he was asked by one of them, Sunan Giri, why he never attended the Friday prayers as required by the sharia, he answered that in reality there was no such thing as Friday, indeed there was no mosque, for only God exists, i.e. nothing exists but God.<sup>24</sup> Having heard Siti Jenar's statements, the assembly of Wali Sanga decided that he was a heretic and had him put to death by the sword. The teachings and eventual execution of Siti Jenar remind one of al-Ḥallāj and his famous utterance 'anā'l-ḥaqq' which led to his execution in 922. Thus, Soebardi may be right when he argues that Siti Jenar was in fact a Javanese al-Ḥallāj.<sup>25</sup>

The case of Siti Jenar was not an isolated one in the history of the opposition to what was regarded as 'unorthodox' or 'heterodox' Muslim mysticism during the early period in Java. Sunan Panggung, associated with the Muslim kingdom of Demak, which flourished in the sixteenth century, was burned to death because he had allegedly violated the sharia in favor of mysticism. Another mystic, Shaykh Among Raga, was sentenced to death by Sultan Agung of the Mataram Sultanate for propagating a heterodox mystical doctrine and violating the sharia.<sup>26</sup>

The rise of a more articulate philosophical mysticism in the Archipelago owed much to two great scholars of the first half of the seventeenth century, Hamza al-Pansūrī (exact dates of his birth and death are unknown) and his disciple, Shams al-Dīn al-Sumatrānī (d. 1040/1630) Both were Sufi thinkers par excellence. They prospered, intellectually and socially, in the Aceh Sultanate where they occupied the highest religious posts under the Sultan himself.

From al-Fansūri's mystical poems it may be inferred that he was a peripatetic Sufi who visited some important centers of Islamic learning in the Middle East, including Mecca, Medina, and Jerusalem. In Baghdad he was initiated into the Qādiriyya tarīqa, before returning to Aceh. As a writer, he produced not only religious treatises but also prose works full of mystical ideas. Like his master, al-Sumatrānī was a Sufi thinker who had command of several languages. He wrote works in Malay and Arabic, which deal mainly with kalām and

Saksono, Mengislankan Tanah Jawa: Telaah atas Metode Dakwa Wali Songo (Bandung ,995, 46-66, Drewes, An Early Javanese Code 45-9 Spfism,27

Al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrāni have been categorized by some scholars of Indonesian Islam as belonging to the same stream of religious mystical thought. These two men were the leading proponents of the wahdat al-wujud tradition in Sufism. Thus, they were deeply influenced by Ibn 'Arabi and al-Jih, and had fully accepted the elaborate system of wahdat al-wujud developed by these thinkers. Accordingly, al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrānī, in line with Neo-Platonic thought, explain the universe in terms of a series of emanations and consider each of the emanations to be aspects of God Himself.<sup>28</sup>

These ideas led their opponents to accuse them and their followers of being pantheists and, therefore, of having gone astray. Modern scholars such as Winstedt,<sup>29</sup> Johns,<sup>30</sup> Van Nieuwenhuijze,<sup>31</sup> and Baried<sup>32</sup> look upon the teachings and doctrines of al-Pansūrī and al-Sumatrānī as having been 'heretical' or 'heterodox'. Thus, by implication, they themselves were held to be 'heretic' or 'heterodox' mystics as opposed to the 'orthodox' Sufis, a category exemplified by such Sufis as al-Rānīrī and al-Sinkill, and discussed below.

However, al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrānī also emphasized the importance of the sharia for the mystic path, and maintained that interdependence characterizes the stages of mystical experience. In this connection, al-Fansūrī writes in his Sharāb al-ʿāshiqīn:

'He who fences himself with the shari'a will never be tempted by the devil. Whoever leaves this enclosure of the shari'a will certainly be tempted by the devil. Whoever thinkin that the shari'a is of little importance, or whoever despises it, he becomes an infide! — we take refuge in God from him — because the shari'a is not distinct from the mairiga, the tariga is not distinct from the mairiga; it is like unto a hoat; the shari'a is the leet, the tariga is the deck, the haqiga is the cargo, and mairiga is the profit. If the level is thrown away, the ship will certainly sink, and if the boat sinks, the merchandise and its capital are irretrievably lost, and according to the

<sup>24</sup> Soebardi, op. cit. 347; Saksono 347-52

<sup>25</sup> S. Soebardi, The Book of Cebolek (The Hague 1975) 35; Saksono, op. cst. 58-61.

<sup>26</sup> Ibid. 36-40

<sup>27</sup> S.M.N. al-Attas, The Mysticism of Hamra Fansuri (Kunin Lumpur 1970) 3-13, 233-253; C.A.O. Van Nieuwenhuijze, Samsu'l-Din van Pasal: Bijdrage tot de Kennis der Sumatraansche Mystick (Leiden 1945) 25-6; Abdul Aziz Dahlan, Tasawuf Syamsuddin Sumatrani (Ph.D. Diss, IAIN Jakaria 1992) 37-42

<sup>28</sup> Al-Artas, Röniri 43.7; A.H. Johns, "Aspects of Sufi Thought in India and Indonesia in the First Half of the 17th Century", JMBRAS xxviii (1955) 70-77

<sup>29</sup> R.O. Winstedt, 'Some Malay Mystics, Heretical and Orthodox', JMBRAS via (1923) 312-8.

<sup>30</sup> Johns, 'Aspects' 73-5

<sup>31</sup> Van Nicowenhuijze, op. cit. 329.

<sup>32</sup> Baoroh Barred, 'Perkembangan Ilmu Taszawwuf di Indonesia', in S. Sutrisno (ed.). Bahasa, Sastra, Budaya (Yogyakarta 1985) 290-8.

law this is harmfu." 33

This passage demonstrates that al-Fansūrī was not unlike orthodox Sufis who insisted upon the supremacy of the sharia in Muslim mystical practice. This was also the position of his disciple al-Sumatrāni. Yet, they have been viewed mostly as Wujūdiyya Sufis, i.e. as subscribing to pantheistic ideas of God, emphasizing the immanence of God in His creation rather than His transcendence. Since most of their writings deal with philosophical mysticism, and not with figh or the sharia, it is understandable that they were known primarily as the most prominent proponents of the Wujūdiyya brand of Sufism.

It is generally assumed that mystical Islam, particularly that of the Wujūdiyya orientation of al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrānī, held sway not only in Aceh but in many other parts of the Archipelago. Attempts to apply the precepts of the sharia in the lives of Muslims have been recorded, but mystical doctrine and practice continued to enjoy supremacy.

Some of the harshest criticism of the Wujūdiyya school of Sufism was formulated by Nūr al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Ḥasanjī al-Ḥumaydī al-'Aydarūsī, better known as al-Rānīrī (d. 1068/1658). Born in Rānīr (modern Randir, an old harbor on the Gujarat coast) of a Ḥadramī father, al-Rānīrī is generally regarded as a Malay-Indonesian 'ālim rather than an Indian or Arab 'ālim. This is not hard to understand, since his mother, it has been suggested, was a Malay; and, more importantly, he reached the peak of his career in Aceh, i.e. in the Malay-Indonesian world. Al-Rānīrī acquired his early education in Rānīr, and later, like most children of Ḥadramī immigrants, continued his studies in Ḥadramawt. In 1030/1620 or 1031/1621, when he performed the hajj, he most probably came into contact with Jāwī students and pilgrims in the Ḥaramayn, before returning to Gujarat. 35

The most important teacher of al-Ränīrī in India was Abū Ḥafṣ 'Umar b. 'Abd Allāh Bā Shaybān al-Ṭarīmī al-Ḥaḍramī (d. 1066/1656), who was also known as Sayyid 'Umar al-'Aydarūs in the Gujarat region. 36 Al-Rānīrī, who had already been initiated into

33 Soebardi, op. cat. 343.

the "Aydarūsiyya and Qādīriyya orders, was then initiated into the Rīfātiyya tarīga by Ba Shayban.37

Al-Ranin came to Aceh in 1047/1631, where he was appointed by Sultan Iskandar II (1637-41) to the highest religious position, probably shaykh al-Islam, which ranked immediately below the Sultan himself. It is not clear whether he also occupied the office of Kadi Malikon Adil, i.e. the office of qādī of the Sultanate which was instituted by Sultan Iskandar Muda.

After he had gained a firm foothold at the Court of the Acehnese Sultanate, al-Rānīrī soon began to express his strong opposition to Wujūdiyya Sufism. In his view, Islam in this region had been corrupted by the misunderstanding of Sufi doctrine Concerning wahdot al-wujūd, al-Rānīrī distinguishes wujūdiyya mulhid (atheistic unity of being) which is false Sufism, and wujūdiyya muwahhid (unitarian unity of being) which is correct and true Sufism. In some of his works al-Rānīrī, who was a prolific writer, vigorously accused the followers of wujūdiyya mulhid of heresy and even of belief in polytheism. Consequently, they could be condemned to death if they refused to repent.<sup>38</sup>

Furthermore, he challenged the protagonists of the wujūdiyya mulhid to debate these matters. The debates, which were held at the court of the Sultanate in the presence of the Sultan, were fierce and lasted for several days, but they failed to resolve the differences. Sultan Iskandar II, apparently under the influence of al-Rānīrī, ordered the followers of wujūdivva mulhid, repeatedly but in vain, to change their mind and repent before God for their unbelief. Al-Rānīrī himself has described the final outcome of the episode as follows:

".. again they say, "al-"alam huma Allāh, huma al-"alam — the universe is God and He is the universe." After that the King orders them to repent for their wrong belief. He appeals several times, yet they are not willing (to change their mind), they even fight the messengers of the King. Finally the King orders them all to be killed, and their books to be gathered and borned in the field in front of the Bayt al-Rahmān Mesque." 39

Al-Raniri remained in power for about seven years, during which

<sup>34</sup> Al Attas, Răniri 12, cf. "Abd al Hayy b. Pakhr al Din al-Hasani, Nuzhot al-khawājir fi bahiot al masāmi" wa'l-nowāzie, vii vols (Hyderabad 1931 59) v. 347-350.

<sup>35</sup> Al Hasani, Nuzha v. 349, P. Voorhoeve, 'Van en over Noruddin ar-Ramiri' BKI evii (1951) 357

<sup>36</sup> Al-Hasani, Nuzha v. 350; cf Muhammed Amin al-Muhabbi, Khuläsat al-athor fi

a' văn al-aarn al-hādī 'ashar, ıv vols. (Cairo 1868, repr. Bernit) iii, 214-5

<sup>37</sup> Azyumardi Azza, The Transmission of Islamic Reformism to Indonesia Networks of Middle Eastern and Malay Indonesian "Ulamā" in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries (Ph.D. Diss., Columbia University, New York 1992) 355

<sup>38</sup> P Voorhoeve, 'Lijst der geschriften van Ramn', BKI ext (1955) 152 .61

Cited in Ahmad Davdi, Alla dan Manusia dalam Konsep Nuruddin or-Raniri (Jakarta 1993) 41

time the followers of wujudiyya mulhid were persecuted. He was able to retain favour at the Acehnese court until 1054/1644 when he suddenly departed for the town of his birth, Rānīr. It is ironic, however, that his departure was caused by the return to Aceh of a Minangkabau scholar named Sayf al-Rijāl from Surat, India. Sayf al-Rijāl had previously been banished from Aceh after the arrival of al-Rānīrī because of his allegedly unorthodox Wujūdiyya views. Now he challenged al-Rānīrī, which gave use to endless debates between them. When Sayf al-Rijāl gained influence he was summoned to the court and accorded honorary treatment, whereas al-Rānīrī, who lost his position, was forced to withdraw from the arena.

Drewes correctly points out that al-Rānīrī's radical opposition to the teachings of al-Fansūrī, al-Sumatrānī, and their followers was not an isolated case of 'orthodox' reaction to 'unorthodox' mysticism. Al-Rānīrī's sojourn in Aceh occurred during the period when the doctrines of Wujūdiyya met with serious theological opposition or were being reinterpreted by many scholars in a more restrained manner in conformity with the sharia.

Al-Rānīrī's affiliation, particularly with the "Aydarūsiyya tarīqa, seems to have been a crucial factor which contributed to his radical tendencies. The "Aydarūsiyya tarīqa, with its strong Arabian roots, supported mainly by the "Aydarūs scholars who were generally very sharia-oriented, has been known as one af the most orthodox tarīqas. It persistently emphasized harmony between the mystical way and total obedience to the sharia. It is also noted for its non-ascetic and activist attitude.

Looking at al-Rānīrī's intellectual and spiritual milieu, there is little doubt that he was an orthodox Sufi. He insisted on God's transcendence and emphasized the importance of the sharia in mystical practices. His al-Sirāt al-mustaqīm reflects his general concerns with the pre-eminence of the sharia. Since this was the first book on fiqh written in Malay, it became a kind of standard manual on Muslims basic religious duties, although it was no more than a simple exposition of basic rules. 40 This is not to say that the precepts of the sharia or fiqh were not already known and practiced to some extent by Malay-Indonesian Muslims. The book was important because no other sizeable reference work in the vernacular language existed at this time when syncretistic and extravagant Sufism was prevalent in

40 Azre, 'The Transmission' 368-9; id., 'Education' 190-1

the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago.41

No less important were al-Raniri's polemical works against what he regarded as the 'heretical' Wujudiyya. In fact, he was the first in the Archipelago to differentiate between true and false interpretation and understanding of Sufi doctrine and practice. In his book Tibyan fi ma'rifat al-adyan, for instance, he dwells on seventy-two Muslim groups considered to be heretical or outside the true Sunni tradition As one might expect, he includes the followers of al-Fansūrī and al-Sumatrānī among the heretics.<sup>42</sup>

Thus, al-Rānīrī was very bitter towards the followers of wujūdiyya mulhid. His persecution of them had a lasting impact on Islamic intellectual and religious life in the Archipelago, and entailed a reasessment among the ulama and first and foremost by al-Sinkili (see below), of such key concepts as muslim, kafir, and tasamuh. His fatwa on kufr and the killing of the Wujūdiyya Muslims reached the Haramayn; and an anonymous manuscript written in 1086/1675 relates that the fatwa was the writer's answer to questions arising from an island of the Jāwa region (min ba'd jazā'ir Jāwa) 43 The problem put forward was that an 'alim from 'above the wind' accused a Wujūdiyya Sufi of being a kāfir. The case was brought to the attention of the Sultan. The 'alim demanded that the Sufi repent, but he refused. The Sufi maintained that he could not repent because his explanation had not been properly understood. But no one took his words seriously, and finally the Sultan issued an order to have him executed together with all the people who followed his teachings. All of them were burnt to death. But was it permissible to do this?

The author of the treatise points out the danger of arguing with people who cannot comprehend the matter at hand. However, the Sufi's statements that he was not properly understood indicate that he followed certain intricate interpretations of a particular religious doctrine which he himself was not able to explicate to the 'ālim, who had already labelled him an unbeliever. Whatever the case may be, the author of the treatise points out that it was terribly wrong to kill him and his followers. He adds that the accusation was obviously based on a literal understanding of Wuyūdiyya doctrine. Yet, this attitude was not permissible in Islam, since the Prophet said that any statement of a Muslim should not be considered wrong as long as

43 MS. Leiden Or. 2467, untitled, fols. 12-3.

<sup>41</sup> Hooker, op cit. 15-16; Azra, op. cit. 179-180; Fang, op. cit. 64-5.

<sup>42</sup> Azra, 'The Transmussion' 378-9; Al-Attas, Rániri 26-30

science of secret details of things ("ilm al-daqā"iq), i.e. Shaykh Mawlā Ibrāhīm (al-Kūrānī),

others were able to interpret it differently

Voorhoeve suggests that the author of the anonymous treatise may be Ibrāhîm al-Kūrānī, whose response to al-Burhānpūrī's al-Tuhfa al mursala was mentioned above. 44 The 'alim from 'above the wind' was al-Rānīrī; the sultan was Iskandar II and the person who brought the matter to al-Kurānī's attention was his student, 'Abd al-Ra'ūf al-Sinkilī. Al-Sinkilī apparently could not accept the way al-Rānīrī expressed his opposition towards the so-called wujūdiyva mulhid.

°Abd al-Ra°ūf al-Sinkilī (1024-1105/1615-93), better known as <sup>e</sup>Abdul Rauf Singkel or al-Singkeli, was another major Malay-Indonesian scholar in the seventeenth century who played a significant role in the gradual emergence of a more sharin-oriented Sufism in the Archipelago. Al-Sinkili, departed for Arabia around 1052/1642, when Aceh, his homeland, was affected by controversies and struggles between al-Rānīrī and the followers of Wujūdīyya doctrine. In his work, 'Umdat al-muhtājīn ilā sulūk maslak al-mufradīn, he mentions that he studied in a number of places, scattered along the hajj routes, in Doha in the Persian Gulf region, in Yemen, in Jedda and finally in Mecca and Medina. He lists nineteen teachers with whom he studied various branches of Islamic learning, and twentyseven other ulama with whom he had personal contact and relations. Two of these teachers were Ahmad al-Qushāshī (d. 1071/1661) and . With al-Qushāshī, al-Sinkilī mostly studied what he calls 'ilm albāṭin, taṣawwuf and related sciences. His studies of the mystical way were completed when al-Qushāshī appointed him as a khalīfa of the Shattāriyya and Qādiriyya tarīgas. After the death of al-Qushāshī, al-Sinkili completed his further education with al-Kūrānī, who was al-Qushāshī's khalīfa Al-Sinkilī's personal relationship with al-Kūrāni appears to have been very close. It has been suggested that it was al-Sinkili who requested al-Kūrāni to write the Ithāf al-dhaki. After his return to Aceh, al-Sinkili maintained his close relationship with al-Kūrānī. This is apparent from his request to al-Kūrānī for his opinion regarding al-Raniri's case. This is not the only question sent by al-Sinkili across the Indian Ocean to al-Kūrāni. In the concluding notes to his work, Lubb al-kashf wa'l-bayan li-ma yarahu al-muhtadar bi'l-'iyan, which deals with the best type of dhikr for the dying, he writes

'Let it be known, my disciples, that after I wrote this treatise, I sent a letter to the city of the Prophet, to our enlightened Shaykh in the science of Realities ('ilm al-haqd'rq) and in the

asking his opinion about all matters described at the beginning of this treatise., whether it is correct in the opinion of the (leading) Sufis, and whether this issue concerning the best dhikr is discussed in hadith books or in many other books. After some time, the treatise entitled Kashf al-munitagar was sent by our Shaykh, in which be answered all these questions '.45

Thus, all Sinkili was one of the prominent scholars who acted as a

Thus, al Sinkili was one of the prominent scholars who acted as a bridge between Islam in the Malay-Indonesian world and Islam in the Middle East. It is during the time of al-Sinkili that an intense relationship developed between these two worlds of Islam. This development is reflected in the number of works devoted by Haramayn scholars to answering questions of a religious nature which arose among Malay-Indonesian Muslims Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī, as we have seen, formulated three such answers: the Ithāf al-dhakī, the response dealing with al-Rānīrī's case, and the answer to al-Sinkili's question concerning the best dhikr. He also wrote another work on the 'masā'il al-Jāwiyya' (matters concerning the Jāwī people) entitled al-Jawābat al-gharāwiyya 'an al-masā'il al-jāwiyya al-jahriyya. In this work he apparently attempts once more to clarify the proper relationship between the sharia and tasawwuf.

Concern for the religious problems of the Jāwī people evidently persisted for some time in al-Kūrānī's circle. "Abd al-Shukūr al-Shāmī, very probably one of al-Kūrānī's students, wrote a work called Ziyāda min "ibārat al-mutaqaddim min al-jāwī. This work, like the Ithaf al-dhakī deals with the question of being and the Unity of God. Another work written in order to clarify the matter was al-Jāda al-qawīma ilā tahqīq mas alat al-wujūd wa-ta alluq al-qudra al-qadīma fī jawāb al-as ila al-wārīda min [Bilād] Jāwa by Tāj al-Dīn b. Aḥmad, better known as Ibn Ya qūb, a prominent Meccan scholar. 46

The effort of Haramayn scholars to disseminate a more shariaoriented or orthodox Islam in the Archipelago in the seventeenth century reached its peak when the chief mufti of Mecca sent a fatwa to Aceh stating that rule over an Islamic Kingdom by a woman ran contrary to the sharia. As a result, Kamālat al-Dīn (r. 1098-1109/1688-99), the last of a series of queens who ruled the Acehnese Sultanate, was deposed from the throne Although it is clear that al-Sinkilī was also a sharia-oriented Sufi, the deposition of the sultana, who had been his patron indicates that Islamic orthodoxy was gain-

<sup>45</sup> Cited at Azra, op. cit. 393.

<sup>46</sup> Azra, op.cat. 261 2.

<sup>44</sup> Voorhoeve, "Van en over Nuruddin al-Ramm" 365-8; Azea, "The Transmission" 371-3.

ing momentum in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago. Al-Sinkili himself was in fact the first scholar in this region to write a work on figh mu'āmala in Malay called Mir'āt al-tullāb fi tashīl ma'rīfat ahkām al sharī'a li'l-malik al-wahhab. Unlike al-Ṣīrāt al mustaqīm of al-Ranīn, which deals solely with 'ibadat, the Mir'āt al-tullāb sets out the mu'āmala aspect of figh, affecting the political, social, economic and religious life of Muslims. In this work he shows his fellow Muslims that Islamic legal doctrines are not purely confined to matters of 'ibāda but include all aspects of their daily life. Al-Sinkilī furthered this Islamic scripturalist trend by writing a complete commentary on the Koran in Malay entitled Tarjumān al-mustafīd. This was also the first complete tafsīr of the Koran produced locally in the Archipelago.

As far as his Sufism is concerned, al-Sinkili insists on the transcendence of God over His creation, and refuses to accept the ideas of the Wujūdiyya. He maintains that before God had created the universe (al-'ālam), he continually thought of Himself, which resulted in the creation of the nūr Muhammad (the light that is Muḥammad). It is from the nūr Muhammad that God created permanent archetypes (al-a'yān al-thābitha), namely, the potential universe, which became the source of external archetypes (al-a'yān al-khārijiyya), creation in its concrete form. Al-Sinkilī reminds one that although the a'yān al-khārijiyya are 'emanations' of Absolute Being, they are distinct from God Himself: as a hand is distinct from its shadow. Although the hand can hardly be separated from its shadow, the latter is not identical with the former.

The core of al-Sinkili's teachings is the harmony between the legal and mystical aspects of Islam. In the twenty-two works he is known to have written, his position that taşawwuf must go hand in hand with the sharia is clearly expressed. He believes that only by total obedience to the Law can aspirants on the mystic path attain genuine experience of haqīqa (higher reality).

It is important to bear in mind that al-Sinkilī's approach to establishing harmony was different from that of al-Ranirī's. Al-Sinkilī was a peaceful, not a radical, scholar. He preferred to reconcile opposing views rather than to side with either one of them. Even though he was against the doctrines of Wujūdiyya, he only states his view implicitly. Similarly, he expresses his dislike for al-Rānīrī's radical approach in a subdued manner Without mentioning al-Ranīrī's radical approach in a subdued manner Without mentioning al-Ranīrī's pame, he wisely reminds Mushims in his Daqā'iq al-huruf of the danger of accusing others of unbelief by citing a hadīth from the Prophet: 'Let no man accuse another of leading a sinful life or infi-

delity, for the accusation will turn against him if it is false'.47

Again, essentially the same brand of Sufism was preached by the third leading Malay-Indonesian 'alim of the seventeenth century, Muhammad Yüsuf b. 'Abd Allāh Abū al-Mahāsin al-Tāj al Khalwatī al-Maqassārī, better known as Shaykh Yūsuf (1037-1111/1627-99). Born in Gowa in South Sulawesi, al-Maqassārī, like al-Sinkilī spent almost two decades in Arabia in pursuit of Islamic learning. The most important among his teachers in Arabia were Muhammad b. al-Bāqī al-Mizjājī al-Naqshbandī, a leading scholar in the Yemen, Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī, and Ayyūb b. Aḥmad b. Ayyūb al-Dimashqī al-Khalwatī (994-1071/1568-1661). After his return, al-Maqassārī attained the peak of his career in the Bantenese Sultanate of West Java, becoming one of its highest officials.48

Like al-Sinkili, al-Maqassārī emphasizes the transcendence of God over His creation; in his opinion, nothing can be compared with Him. Despite his insistence on the transcendence of God, al-Maqassārī believes that He is also all-encompassing (al-iḥāṭa) and omnipresent (al-ma'iyya) in His creation. But he takes great care not to associate himself with the doctrine of pantheistic Sufism by maintaining that although God is present or expresses Himself in His creation, this does not therefore mean that the creation is God Himself; all creation simply has the status of allegorical being (al-mawjūd al-ḥaqāqā). Thus, not unlike al-Sinkilī, he believes that creation is only a shadow of God, not God Himself.

Al-Maqassārī reserves taṣawwuf for the select of the elite (khāṣṣ al-khawwāṣ) only. He calls his taṣawwuf the 'ṭarīqa muhammadiyya' or 'ṭarīqa aḥmadiyya' which constitutes the right path (al-ṣirāṭ al-mustaqīm). Throughout his twenty-niae known works, he makes clear that the mystic path can only be trodden in total commitment, both outward and inward, to the legal doctrines of Islam. He maintains that committing oneself solely to the sharia is better than practicing taṣawwuf while ignoring Islamic legal precepts. He even classifies as zindīq (freethinkers) and mulhid (heretics) those who believe that they can draw close to God without practicing such rituals as prayer and fasting.

The above discussion illustrates that opposition to Sufism in the East Indies in the seventeenth century was not directed at Sufism as

48 Azra, op. cit. 416-38.

<sup>47</sup> Cited in Johns, 'Aspects' 153-4

such, Opposition in its most radical form, represented by al-Rānīrī, was directed against what was considered to be false Sufism, i.e. Sufism which either violated the sharia, or put too much emphasis on the immanence of God at the expense of His transcendence. All the leading Malay-Indonesian ulama mentioned were in fact Sufis themselves as well as being  $fuqah\bar{a}^3$ . Therefore, it is not surprising that they sought to reconcile or to harmonize tasawwuf with the sharia. As al-Maqassārī put it: 'Let it be known, my fellows, that exotence devotion without esoteric devotion is like a body without a spirit  $(r\bar{u}h)$ , whereas esoteric occupation without exoteric devotion is like a spirit without a body'.<sup>49</sup>

These religious tendencies continued to gain momentum from the eighteenth century onwards. As a result, by the end of the eighteenth century local religious literature in the Palembang region (South Sumatra), to give just one example, did not include the works of Hainza al-Pansūrī or Shams al-Dīn al-Sumatrānī, nor any writings which were considered 'unorthodox' or even contained some 'heterodox' teachings. The works of al-Rānīrī and al-Sinkilī, however, had a wide circulation. 50

Prominent Palembang scholars such as Shihāb al-Dīn b. <sup>c</sup>Abd Aliāh Muḥammad preached the more sharia-oriented Sufism of al-Junayd, al-Qushayrī and al-Ghazāli. Shihāb al-Dīn even went as far as to condemn the reading of works on the martabat tujuh (seven grades of being). It appears that he opposed this doctrine simply because he feared that it would lead his fellow Muslims astray. He assumed they would misunderstand such works because of their lack of solid grounding in Islamic knowledge, particularly of the sharia <sup>51</sup> The same viewpoint was held by another Palembang scholar, Kemas Fakhr al-Dīn (1113-57/1719-63) whose works mainly deal with Ghazalian Sufism.

The most prominent 'translator' of Ghazalian Sufism, however, was another Palembang scholar of Arab origin, Sayyid 'Abd al-Şamad b. 'Abd Allāh [or 'Abd al-Raḥmān] al-Jāwī al-Palimbanī (d. ca. 1203/1789). Born in Palembang, al-Palimbānī acquired his advanced education in the Haramayn, where he apparently finally settled. Despite the fact that he never returned to the Archipelago, he

maintained a deep concern for Islam and Muslims in this region. His writings, mostly in Malay, were widely circulated in the Archipelago. He was an expert on Ghazalian Sufism in particular, and he was renowned in the Haramayn for his outstanding expertise on al-Ghazāli's thvā' 'ulum al dīn.52

Two of the most important works of al-Palimbāni, Hidayat alsālikin and Sayr al-sālikin, are in fact adaptations of al-Ghazāli's writings. Both works elucidate the principles of Islamic faith and religious duties, to which every aspirant of the mystic path should commit himself. Al-Palimbāni believes that the grace of God can be attained only through correct faith in the Absolute Unity of God and total obedience to the precepts of the sharia. In his tasawwuf he emphasizes purifying the mind and moral conduct more than the exploration of speculative and philosophical mysticism.<sup>53</sup>

It is important to note, however, that like most other Sufis, al-Palimbānī accepts certain notions of philosophical Sufism as developed by Ibn 'Arabi, al-Jili or even al-Sumatrānī whose works he recommended for reading by advanced (muntahī) adherents of Sufism. To him the works of these scholars are important for those who have gained a fuller understanding of Islam. He does not recommend them for those who are at the intermediate (mutawassit) level, let alone at the beginner (al-mubtadī') level. For these two levels, he advised the reading of figh or sharia-oriented mystical works.

Yet, al-Palimbānī was opposed to the speculative notions of mysticism. In a work attributed to him, he denounced the doctrines of the wujūdiyya mulhid as well as the practice of giving offerings to the spirit ancestors, 54 suggesting that to him both were equally reprehensible. Like al-Rānīrī, al-Palimbānī divides the doctrines of Wujūdiyya into wujūdiyya mulhid and wujūdiyya muwahhid. Al-Palimbānī points out that according to the followers of the wujūdiyva mulhid, the first article of belief, i.e. lā ilāha illā 'llāh, means that we are God's beings. 55 Furthermore, al-Palimbānī explains:

They also say una'l-haqq subhānahû wa-ta'ālā laysa bt-mawjūd illā fi dunn wajūd alkā'ināt (sic), that is, the Reality of God does not exist except in the beings of all created things.

<sup>49</sup> Ibid. 455

<sup>50</sup> G W J Drewes, Directions for Travellers on the Mystic Path (The Hague 1977) 217, T Iskandar, 'Palembang Kraton Manuscripts', in C.M.S. Helwing & S.O. Robson (eds.), A Man of Indonesian Letters. Essays in Honour of Professor A. Teeuw (Dordrecht 1986) 68-9.

<sup>51</sup> Drewes, Directions 212-9

<sup>52 \*</sup>Abd al-Razzāq al-Baytāt, Hilvat al-bashar fi tārīkh al-garn al-thōlith "ashar, n. vo.s (Damascus 1383/1963) n. 851

<sup>53</sup> Azea, 'The Transmission' 535.

<sup>54 \*</sup>Abd al-Şamad al-Pahimbāni, Tuhfat al-rāghibin fi bavān haqiqat imān al-mu'mmin. MS Jakarta National Library ML. 719, 2, 25-6

<sup>55</sup> Ibid. 26.

Thus, they insist that the Unity of God exists only in the beings of creation... Moreover, they say that we have a nature (sebengar) and a being (seviged) which are similar to God. and that the Essence of God is knowable, for He exists in the external world (khārij) in time and place. Such a bestef is infidelity (knfr) 56

Again, recalling al-Raniri's viewpoint, al Palimbani includes the followers of wajudiyya mulhid among the group of people whom he calls pseudo-Sufis (kaum yang bersufi-Sufian dirinya). Another group of pseudo-Sufis, according to al-Palimbani, were the adherents of hulūliyya (the doctrine of God's incarnation). He maintains that their error is their belief that God becomes incarnate in the being of man and other creatures.<sup>57</sup>

In al-Palimbānī's view, true Sufis were the followers of the doctrines of wujūdivva muwahhid, for these Sufis affirmed the Absolute Unity of God in Himself. They were called the Wujūdiyya because 'their belief and intellectual disposition centered on the Absolute Unity of God'. Thus, it is clear that for al-Palimbānī the true Sufis put greater stress on the transcendence of God than on His immanence. Although they accept the notion that God is to a certain extent immanent in creation, it is anathema to them for anyone to say that God is identicial with creation.

Another leading Malay scholar, Dāwūd b. "Abd Allāh al-Fatanī (d. 1259/1843) who lived in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century, essentially held the same opinion. Being the most prolific writer of the period, al-Fatanī acquired his advanced knowledge in Mecca, where the finally settled.<sup>58</sup>

Al-Fatanī, from Patani in South Thailand, was very critical of people who styled themselves as Sufis, while they were in fact simply pseudo-Sufis (bertagak seperti sufi) who were ignorant of the true teachings of Sufism. According to al-Fatani, people who claim to have achieved complete union (ittihād) with God are among the groups of pseudo-Sufis. He bitterly denounces them:

'The people of *unfield* believe that their essence (dhât) becomes the essence of God. This is their gross infidelity (kufr). Those who worship idols are much better than they are... they think that they achieve the true vision. [On the contrary] they have arrived in the presence of lblis (Satan)', 59

In connection with this view, al-Fatani composed a special work,

entitled al-Manhal al sāfī, as an answer to, and explanation of, various concepts and terms in taṣawwuf. Thus, in addition to discussing such concepts as waḥdat al-wujūd, martabat tujuh and other mystico-theological matters, al Fatani supplemented the work with a list of some key terms in Sufi vocabularies and their meanings. In his introductory remarks the author again enticizes pseudo-Sufis who misunderstand such concepts as waḥdat al-wujūd, because they simply take it in a literal sense. For that reason, he reminds Muslims that books dealing with these topics should be read only by experts or by those who have a solid grounding in the tarīqa muhammadiyya.60

Eighteenth-century opposition to the doctrine of wujūdiyva mulhid, as in the case of al-Rānīrī, also took its toll. There is the example of Muḥammad Arshad al-Banjārī, a fellow student of al-Palimbānī in the Ḥaramayn, who devoted his life to propagating Islam in South Kalimantan. Al-Banjārī (1122-1227/1710-1812), known mostly for his magnum opus, the Sabīl al-muhtadīn, a fiqh book in Malay, was opposed to the doctrine of wujūdiyya mulhid.

According to local tradition, a scholar named Haji "Abd al-Hamid Abulung came to South Kalimantan, several years after al-Banjārī's return to his homeland. It is said that Abulung introduced to the local Muslims the kind of teachings which had been categorized by both al-Rānīrī and al-Palimbānī as wujūdiyya mulnid. Abulung reportedly taught people that 'There is no being but God', 'There is no 'Abd al-Hamid but God; He is I and I am He'. As a result, religious confusion spread among the population and Abulung was summoned to the royal court. Al-Banjārī, asked to give his opinion on Abulung's beliefs, issued a fatwa declaring Abulung's teachings heretical. Since Abulung fiercely held to his belief, the sultan Tahmīd Allāh ordered his execution. This is clearly reminiscent of the heresy-hunting and execution of the Wujūdiyya followers in Aceh during the time of al-Ranīrī.

This paper has attempted to show that opposition to Sufism in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago up to the eighteenth century was directed by and large against a philosophical brand of Sufism. Conversely, there was no opposition to Sufism which was practiced in

<sup>56</sup> Thid.

<sup>57 [</sup>bid.

<sup>58</sup> Azra, 'The Transmission' 515-22, H.W M. Abdulla, Syekh Daud Bin Abdulla al-Fotani, 'Ulama dan Pengarang Terulung Asia Tenggara (Knala Lumpur 1990).

<sup>59</sup> Cited in Abdulla, op. cit 107

<sup>60</sup> Azra, op. cat. 547

<sup>61</sup> Justif Halidi, Ulama Besor Kalimantan. Sjech Muhammad Arsjad al Bondjort (Martapura 1968) 11-2.

<sup>62</sup> Ibid. 12

accordance with the sharia. From the second half of the seventeenth century onwards, leading ulama in the East-Indies tended to propound an orthodox scripturalist form of Sufism. Growing religio-intellectual contacts with the Middle East throughout the ensuing period contributed significantly to the spread of this form of Sufism in the Malay-Indonesian Archipelago.

# OPPOSITION TO ISLAMIC MYSTICISM IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY INDONESIA

### KAREL STEENBRINK

Indonesian society is heir to a long and rich tradition in the arts, literature, and folklore. The best-known and most developed exemplar of this tradition is the shadow play, wayang. The presentation of the protagonists at the beginning of the play indicates a clear division between good and evil through the separation of the two families, the Pandawa on the left side and the Korawa on the right. The rigidity of this division does not reflect the permanent nature of the characters. Good and evil, male and female, beauty and ugliness are not permanent attributes; they may easily turn into their opposites. The monstrous Kumbakarna, certainly a bad character, perishes in the battle of Sri Lanka, an episode of the Ramayana stories, but then his soul, or even his whole personality, is redeemed and flies away. In his redeemed state the good elements, hidden but not entirely absent from his former state of being, become dominant.

Comparable transfigurations are encountered in Svair Sultan Abdul Muluk, a long epic in verse written around 1840 by Raja Ali Haji of Riau, a great promoter of the Naqshbandiyya brotherhood. This epic, however, has a distinctly 'Islamic' atmosphere. It relates how a certain Sultan Abdul Muluk is taken prisoner, whereupon his consort flees to the forest and looks for ways to liberate her husband and to destroy the enemy. To achieve her ends, she disguises herself as a man, becomes head of an army, and even 'marries' another woman. The plot offers many amusing descriptions of situations in which the disguised heroine has to evade her/his new consort at night. The text also contains more edifying passages and presents a quite harmonious mixture of divergent types of society and religion. Moreover, it portrays an ideal harmony between the ruling class of state officials and religious bureaucracy in the cities, and the peasants and pious hermits in the countryside.

<sup>1</sup> Karel Secephruk, 'Syair Abdul Muluk: Raja Ali Haji dan Penyengal , Jurnal Utumul Qur'an, rv/l (1993) 99-111

Reminiscent of the protagonists in the wayang plays and in Raja Ali Haji's epic are the principal characters in some of the key-works in Javanese literature. During the nineteenth century more works of a distinctly Islamic character were produced in Javanese than in any other major language in the Archipelago, including Malay, Sundanese, Buginese or Macassarese.

By far the most impressive work in nineteenth-century Javanese literature is the Serat Centhini, a poem of more than 10,000 lines, composed by court poets of the Kingdom of Surakarta. These poets worked under the supervision of the later Sunan Pakubuwana V (r. 1820-1823) who had to prove to his father that he was no longer as frivolous as he had been for a long period, but was a serious man of letters who valued education. The authors employ the structure, along with much of the subject matter, of traditional Javanese poetry which is crafted around the theme of a wandering religious man who travels from place to place in his quest for a younger brother. In such traditional works the main character sometimes bears the name Sajati, 'the essential', as for instance in the Serat Jatiswara.<sup>2</sup> In the Serat Centhini the older brother is called Jayengresmi, 'the man of desire', and is searching for his brother Jayengsari or 'the perfect man'.

In the Serat Centhini many subjects are discussed, and its contents are truly encyclopaedic. The various episodes normally begin with a description of the landscape. Its beauty and its hardships for the wandering protagonist are noted. Then, from a distance, he sees a small village, or the dwelling place of a santri, a religious teacher of the countryside living in a manner more or less similar to the hermits of pre-Islamic times.<sup>3</sup> Coming closer, the wandering hero sees a woman taking her afternoon bath in a pond which is part of the santri's compound. The hero waits politely until he may enter without causing embarrassment, and is subsequently received by the master of the hermitage who offers him food and drink. After the evening prayers, the host starts a discussion, mostly on mystical themes, such as the attributes of God, His names, and His emanations. Magic, divination, cooking, the pleasures of sexual inter-

course, and even riddles, may become the subject of discussion during these nightly sessions. The short remaining moments of the night are spent in sleep before the main character departs and travels to the next stop on his quest. The Serat Centhini, which is written in a very polished but also very complicated Javanese, presents a comprehensive image of an idealised Javanese society. It does not mention the Europeans, although by the time of its composition Dutch colonialism already dominated the economic and political life of the area.

Concerning mystical teachings in the Serat Centhini,<sup>4</sup> much work still remains to be done: the complete text was not available in print until recently, and only a few studies have been undertaken about this poem.<sup>5</sup> The representatives of official Islam play an important role in some episodes. As a group these representatives are called kaum; their main spokesman is the penghulu (i.e. the judge: qāqī). Invariably the kaum are depicted as rude, impolite, greedy and even incapable of having normal satisfying sexual relations. At the end of the long story, however, the protagonist of the poem is condemned to death for teaching the unity of God and man. A penghulu is the main opponent during the trial and the sentence is carried out by throwing Jayengresmi, i.e. 'the man of desire', from a high cliff into the Ocean in the name of the ruler of Central Java, Sultan Agung.

After hearing about the trial and the sentence, Sultan Agung appeases the conflict by declaring that Jayengresmi was a pious man who wanted to attain perfection in this life. But only the king is entitled to pursue such an ideal in this world. The unhappy outcome for the mystic, who is in fact the hero of the epic poem, should also be understood in conjunction with past and present political realities. Jayengresmi is a descendant of the first saint-king of Surabaya, a town which Sultan Agung incorporated into the Kingdom of Mataram in 1625. Therefore, Jayengresmi's condemnation may be explained, at least in part, as the consequence of his being the embodiment of opposition to Sultan Agung's rule. Officially, however, the

T. E. Behrendt, Serat Jatuswara: Struktur dan Perubahan di dalam Pussi Jawa 1600-1930 (Jakarta 1995), which is the Indoocsian translation of The Serat Jatiswara: Structure and Change in a Javanese Poem, 1600-1930 (Ph.D. Diss., Canberra 1987).

<sup>3</sup> See I. Kuntoro Wiryamartana, "The Scriptoria in the Merbabu-Meraps Area", BKI exlix (1993) 503-509, not only for "scriptoria", but also for places of teaching, science and production of manuscripts in the mountainous areas of Central Java around 1800.

<sup>4</sup> S. Soebardi, 'Santa religious elements as reflected in the Book of Tjentim', BKI caxvii (1971) 331-349; and Haji Muhammad Rasjidi, Documents pour servir à l'histoire de l'Islam à Java (Paris 1977).

Fur a Dutch summary of the Centhini, see Th Pigeaud, De serat Tjobotang en de serat Tjentini (Bandung 1933). Two very different versions of the Javanese text are R Ng. Socradipoera (ed.), Serat Tjentini, iv vols. (Batavia .912-1915); and Kamajaya (ed.), Serat Centhim Latin, xn vols. (Yogyakarta 1985).

<sup>6</sup> Th. Pigeand, De serut Tjaholang en de Serut Tjentint, unhoudsopgaven (Bandung 1933) 56.

death sentence is based on the fact that he despised the conscientious application of the Muslim Law, the sharia, and stressed the mystical aspects of Islam.

The long epic poem of Serat Centhini contains within it many shorter poems, known as suluk. These are often in the form of a discussion between a (mystic) teacher and his pupil, male or female. When the pupil is a female, she is usually the wife of the teacher. One of the suluk which reflects opposition between official Islam and mysticism is the Suluk Abesi. Many versions of this poem exist, both in the various texts of the Serat Centhini and in collections of suluk-poetry. The core of the poem of about two hundred lines is the debate between a penghulu and an 'Abesi' (nickname for a black slave: Abyssinian?) who behttles the latter. The most relevant section of the poem in English translation is as follows:7

1.

We will speak about a wandering Abyssinian soliciting to become pupil of the High Penghulu, asking introduction to his science. 'Venerable Lord — he said — grant me the boon of learning the perfection of life although I am an ignorant being. Bestow on me a particle of the real knowledge that leads to gnosis (ma'rifa). I want to be your humble servant, faithful to my lord.'

2.

The Penghulu became angry and shouted 'I will not impart to you one small word because you are sitting here; your knowledge already befits your condition. The town-dwellers are lofty people. It would not be proper for me to confer my knowledge on an Abyssinian

whose body is black as a nigger.'

3.

The vagabond replied:
'My Lord, you should not behave like this and humiliate me.'
The Lord Penghulu repeated his words full of anger:
'Hey, nigger, be gone from this mosque, leave my presence.
I have no intention of giving my knowledge to someone like you!'

4

The Abyssinian was lost for words and asked forgiveness: 'Oh Lord Penghulu, I ask your advise about the vast ocean.

Where do we find its border?

What is the tablet without writing?

The lotus without a pond?

What is the meaning of all this?

Please, give me your explanation!' [...]

7.

The honorable Penghulu replied in his cultivated manner: 'I beg your pardon, Abyssinian. I really want to apologize for having offended you. Please, forgive me.

What you have asked about with your words, you must explain by yourself For my part I do not yet know the meaning of these words.

8.

I beseech your help in elucidation, and hope you will not object to explaining what is hidden.' The Abyssinian said:
'An ocean without border.

<sup>7</sup> The original Javanese text of the Suluk Abest has never been edited. We follow the text which is found in MS Leiden Or 7375. The suluk research group at the Islamic Academy IAIN Sunan Kalijaga of Yogyakarin snaiyzed this text during the years 1987-1991 but did not publish its findings. The famous poet Einha Amun Nadjib used the results of the project for his free translation into Indonesian [cf. Suluk Pesisiran (Bandung 1989) 32-39].

can it be anything but Allah?
All being (mawjud)
will vanish,
disappear into God's encompassing Essence (dhāt Allāh).
This is the breadth of the ocean.

9.

A tablet without writing, that is God alone.

There is no servant.

No writing can be seen.

Only the tablet can be seen,
a sign of the unique being
the Essence (wujūd) of the High Lord (Hyang Agung),
one essence, one sensation,
one life, eternal without wavering.

That is the infinite tablet.' [...]

10.

The lotus which blossoms perennally without standing in a pond.
That is the Ruf Idafi.
The Most High exists without a place.
That is what I know the Absolute Essence generates the existence of the world, exhibits its existence.

This poem clearly displays sympathy for the mystical viewpoint: the representative of official legalistic Islam quickly acknowledges the superiority of the mystic. At the same time, the poem reflects a perhaps somewhat paradigmatic conceptualisation of the religious landscape: official Islam is found in the city, the centre of power, where high-ranking people reside; mystical Islam is found in the countryside, which is the abode of the dark-skinned people of the farmland and the hermits of the forest.

The hostility of santri Islam towards legalistic Islam is sometimes manifested in criticism of Arab-style Islam and a corresponding esteem for the Javanese Islamic style. This hostility is a major topic in a highly revered poem, the Wedhatama (i.e. the ultimate Veda or Science), attributed to the minor ruler of Surakarta, Prince Mang-

kunagara IV (1811-1881). Unlike the Serat Centhini and the Suluk Abesi, the Wedhatama is still a very popular text in Javanese circles. It has often been published, with commentaries and a translation into modern Indonesian as well. The text originates in the centre of power and the abode of the official custodians of Islam, and it criticises the legalistic form of Islam as foreign:

III. 5

Many are the young people who boast of their theological knowledge.

6

Though not yet qualified they are in a hurry to show off, the way they interpret the Arabic texts is like a Sayid from Egypt: every time they belittle the abilities of others

7.

Such persons
can be reckoned as frauds:
where is their common sense?
Oddly enough they deny their Javanese soul,
and at all costs bend their steps to Mecca in search of knowledge.

8.

They do not comprehend that the core of the essence which they seek is closely tied to their own self, providing you practise hard, if you are here or there.9

In some texts of Javanese literature this anti-Arab and nationalist feeling may be expressed so strongly that one has the impression of reading a non-Islamic or even an anti-Islamic polemic. This is especially the case with the famous Suluk Gatoloco, which was written around 1860 and was the subject of vehement discussion into the twentieth century. 10 The most recent analysis of this remarkable

Stnart Robson, The Wedhatama. An English Translation (Leiden 1990).

<sup>9</sup> Robson, op. cit. 37

<sup>10</sup> G.W.I. Drewes. 'The struggle between Javanism and Islam as illustrated by the Serat

expression of protest against official Islam mentions among its major themes the tradition of Sufism, with its emphasis on the esoteric interpretation of the terminology and practice of Islam, as well as Javanese nationalism, i.e. glorification of the heroes, culture, and even the landscape of Java. 11

The main character in the poem, Gatoloco, enters into a debate with three representatives of Islamic orthodoxy. In the lively discussion, the language used is not always polite and decent. The name Gatoloco is derived from two words meaning 'penis' and 'masturbate'. Therefore, the proponent of orthodoxy, Ki Ngamat Ngaris states:

### IV/18

The name you bear is harām.
It is laid down
In all the kitābs that I possess
That those who die harām must go to Hell.
Whereas those who are halāl will soar to heaven.

19

The one fatal consequence of your name is that You're what the kitābs call makrūh, najis!...

The orthodox opponents focus on Gatoloco's denial of the universal value of the sharia. His reply often refers to general mystical themes pertaining to the ultimate value of the interior life of the individual:

### IV/36

Angrily Gatoloco answered them:
'The Meccan Messenger you glorify
Has no existence, for he died
A thousand years ago.
His home was in the land of Araby,
Full seven months away

Darmogandul', BKI exxn (1966) 309-365; and Haji Muhammad Rasjidi, Islam dan Kebatman (Diakarta 1967).

And sea-concealed.

All that remains of him is a grave.

Each day, you make your upside down prostration

Do you really hope to reach him thus?

37

That's why your prostrations bring no benefit. To know your own true self, you must prostrate To your own Messenger — I mean Your inward life. Prostration To messengers outside your Self. With all the ritual words, Are a waste of breath You call on Allah in vain. Shouting against each other so contentiously That Allah gets no sleep!...

Also the nationalist and anti-Arab themes are orchestrated in this debate:

V/37

Know the religion of Muhammad Is the religion of the Arabs.

Since you invoke a foreign people,
Again you're simply proven to be thieves.

Upon a foreigner
You call because you live in sin,
Your knowledge immature,
Faithless in everything you do:
Therefore your faith is hopelessly degenerate.

38

Beginning from the ancient times
Up to the Age of Majapahit
The Javanese invoked the gods.
But with Demak this changed, they called
Upon the Messenger
Of God. This Arabic name
You have adopted now,
Abandoning the old religion
Which means you're giveass Javanese and infidels...

<sup>1</sup>f Benedict Anderson, 'The Suink Gatoloco', Indonesia xxxii (1981) 109-150 and xxxiii (1982) 31 88. Other major themes identified by this author are an age-old autochthonous fertility cult connected with forms of ancestor-worship, and a heterodox conception of the way to impose one's power by means of magic, cf. Anderson, op. cit. 112-113.

The kingdom of Majapahit (1293- ca. 1520) was the last Hindu-kingdom of Java. The ruler of the harbour-state of Demak, one of the first Muslim rulers, gave the command to launch the final attack on the glorious Majapahit. In the verses quoted above, nationalist feelings clearly take precedence over attachment to Islam

The four sample texts from nineteenth-century Javanese literary tradition quoted above have their geographical limitations, and are not representative of the nature of the hostility between santri Islam and legalistic Islam in other parts of Indonesia. Yet, Islam in Java constitutes the most characteristic section of Indonesian Islam in this century. In Malay, Sundanese, Macassarese and Buginese literary output, Islamic scholars, almost without exception, restricted themselves to the well-known warnings against an overheated mysticism practised by insufficiently trained and mexperienced young people. They disavowed any claims to the mystic path's superiority over the basic legal requirements. Contrary to the Javanese examples given above, the texts produced by these scholars do not contain distinctive materials indicating a particular local form of mystical tradition. In the case of the Javanese text materials, however, the existence of nationalism, mystical tendencies, magic and popular religion, sometimes even glorification of pre-Islamic tradition, is confirmed, along with opposition between this distinctive form of Islamic culture and a legalistic one which stresses the universal supremacy of the Law, of rules for rituals, and of the authority of the official custodians of the legalistic heritage. In the Javanese literary tradition, mystical leaders often enjoy greater sympathy than their legalist opponents. In real life, however, the situation was quite different for a number of mystic leaders in nineteenth-century Indonesia.

Islamic leadership in nineteenth-century Indonesia, and notably on the islands of Java and Madura, consisted of two distinct categories: the penghulu, i.e. religious officials who administered the mosques in the major cities and their dependencies, and religious teachers. The penghulu also presided over the religious courts where all cases of family law, marriage, and inheritance were decided. The key persons among the penghulu were directly or indirectly appointed by the Dutch colonial rulers and received a salary through the colonial administration. Religious teachers, on the other hand, often settled in areas outside the major towns and served their pesantren, i.e. independent learning communities. Only a minority among these teachers were connected with the political system. Many of them had the authority to initiate novices into one or more Sufi orders which have

a following in other parts of the Islamic world. In the first half of the nineteenth century the Shattariyya and Sammaniyya were the most popular, whereas the Rifaciyya, Qadiriyya and Naqshbandiyya gained influence in the second half 12 A number of mystic teachers had no affiliations with a particular brotherhood; they were independent and initiated their novices into their own version of Islamic mysticism. Occasionally if these teachers became famous and attracted a large following, the indigenous and colonial rulers would arrange for their deportation.<sup>13</sup>

One of the most famous cases in this category is that of Kıyahi Hasan Maulani of Lengkong, a small village not far from the northern coast of West-Java, Hasan Maulani was born around 1780. and had become famous as a religious teacher in the early eighteenfourties. Essentially his teaching consisted of a personal mixture of mystic and magic practices, and included a number of special prayers, some related to the Rifaciyya, some to the Sammaniyya brotherhood. His popularity, and his authority over large segments of the population, caused envy among the religious officials. When Hasan Maulani proclaimed that imminent rule of the devil, as predicted by several special omens in the area, could be avoided by holding religious meals (stametan), some Muslim officials questioned his religious orthodoxy. Slametans, they argued, are not required by sharia, Koran or the hadith, or on the basis of other religious authority. Eventually, complaints about Hasan from a number of religious and secular indigenous officials reached the Dutch colonial authorities. Their assessment of the case is spelled out by the Resident of the Preanger, the Dutch colonial official in the area, in the following text:

"... [A number of the native officials do not bestate to ask for advice about Hasan Maulani ]
... This suggests that they bosour hum to a higher degree than is suitable for officials. It may hamper the regent [native rulers under colonial authority] in the performance of his duties. If this is the case, the influence of Moetanie [i.e. Kiai Hasan] might conflict with the authority of the [colonial] Government, since all orders by native rulers are based on orders received from the Government. He [Kiai Hasan] is acting entirely in opposition to the authority of religious officials, since he promutgates regulations on his own authority which are not at all in ac-

Werner Kraus, 'Some notes on the Introduction of the Naqshbandiyya Khālidiyya into Indonesia', in Marc Gaborieau, Alexandre Popovic et Thierry Zarcone (eds.), Naqshbandis Cheminements et situation actuelle d'un ordre mystique musulman (Istanbul/Paris 1990) 691-706.

<sup>13</sup> The following accounts are based on G.W.J. Diewes, Drie Javaansche Goeroe's, hun-leven, onderricht en messiasprediking (Ph.D. Diss., Leiden 1925).

cordance with Islamic doctrine. This has been heavily criticised by the penghulu and especially by the Head penghulu. It undermines their authority as government officials. The common Javanese, being rather credutous, have been influenced by his words and by certain circumstances so as to see him as a person with supernatural powers. Thus, this religious leader's prestige far exceeds what befits a religious leader, or, what can be tolerated in view of the need for social peace. He is a danger to society. I cannot believe that the more than normal influence exercised by such a religious leader, whose behaviour has been monitored by the police for a number of years, is in accordance with the interests of the government. 14

The mixture of religious and political arguments in this text, which is a fragment of a formal communication to the Governor General of the colony, makes the follow-up predictable. Hasan Maulani was expelled to Menado, in the northern (Christian) part of Celebes, in 1844. He died there in 1874 at the venerable age of ninety-six. The deportation of Hasan Maulani would seem to be only indirectly related to opposition to Islamic mysticism in the Preanger, and at any rate in this connection arguments of a general religious or more specific theological nature are few and diffuse. The decisive factor here would seem to have been that native indigenous officials sided with the colonial authorities against a very popular spiritual teacher who had a large following but whose support network did not extend beyond his home base.

One link of this network was Kiyahi Nurhakim of Pasir Wetan in the area of Banyumas, the southern part of Central Java. He was one of the (no doubt numerous) pupils of Hasan Maulani, and experienced a fate similar to that of his mentor. Nurhakim was a very popular teacher of mystical doctrines and medico-magical practices. Information concerning his teachings has come down to us in a text written by one of his students. 15 This text summarises Nurhakim's teachings on the martabat tujuh, a doctrine of seven grades of being, a variation on the doctrine of emanation in five grades which originates with Ibn "Arabī but has been modified in Southeast Asia. 16 From the same text we know that Nurhakim taught a special recitation of the shahāda. The perfect man should pray the salāt dā'im alhaqq, with the following modified shahāda: Nafsī wāḥidī lā sharīka lahu lā ilāha tilā ana. 17 Nurhakim also had his own explanation for

the nafy-ithbat (the negation and affirmation): i.e. the first part of the shahāda (there is no god) and the second part (but God) Moreover, he promoted the typical Indonesian style of fasting mutih (lit.: white, i.e. drinking plain or 'white' water only, eating white rice and eggs, and bathing at night in rivers). He organised a type of Sufi order, the Akmaliyya (probably named after insān kāmil, or Perfect Man), as the vehicle for his teachings. One of the signs of belonging to this sect was a (white) silver ring.

The first report about Nurhakim dates from 1862. On the occasion of the circumcision of his son, numerous groups of visitors from all over the area of Banyumas came to his house to pay him respect. The native ruler of the area reported Nurhakim's popularity to the Dutch resident and 'for the sake of law and order' it was decided in 1862 that Nurhakim should leave his village and live in Purwakarta. There he was required to reside in the pekauman, the quarter of the kaum, which surrounds the major mosque in larger towns, and is also the dwelling place of the pious Muslims. 18 In 1866 Nurhakim was condemned to forced labour in Banyuwangi in East Java, following vague complaints by some of his (former) pupils. After his return to the areas of Banyumas in 1871, new accusations were brought against Nurhakim and it was even proposed to deport him to one of the outer islands of the Archipelago. The accusations concerned an 'effort to found an Islamic Sultanate and to defeat the colonial government'.19 But this time Nurhakim managed to evade condemnation: his case was dismissed because of lack of conclusive evidence. A central government official at Buitenzorg even noted that the accused was 'already very old and had led a very modest pious life during the last years and therefore should not be considered a real danger'.20

A third mystic teacher who faced opposition and severe measures by the colonial government was Malangyuda — likewise from the area of Banyumas in Central Java. In this case, not only mystic teachings and a network of pupils loyal to these teachings were of significance, but a dimension of social protest on the part of poor, landless farmers was associated with the rise of Malangyuda and his adherents. Social protest combined with religious sentiment is the subject of a monograph by the Indonesian historian Sartono

<sup>.4</sup> fbrd 14

<sup>15</sup> Ibid 112-128

<sup>16</sup> A.H. Johns, Malay Suffism as illustrated in an Anonymous Collection of 17th-Century Tracts, JMBRAS xxx (1957) 1-110; and idem, The Gift addressed to the Spirit of the Prophet (Canberra 1965)

<sup>17</sup> Drewes, Drie Javaanse Goeroe's 126

<sup>18</sup> G.F. Piper, Fragmento Islamica (Leiden 1934) 1-2.

<sup>19</sup> Drewes, Drie Javaanse Goeroe's 41

<sup>20</sup> Thid 49

Kartodirdjo.<sup>21</sup> In this study a number of messianic movements are analysed Some of these are based on conceptions dealing with the Mahdi, whereas others are inspired by the traditional Javanese expectation of a righteous ruler, the *Ratu Adil*. Many other regional (proto-)nationalist movements involved a charismatic leader and made use of more or less magico-mystical Islamic elements. A good example of this is the war of Pangeran Antasari and his associate Sultan Kuning in Banjarmasın against expanding colonialism (1857-1863) <sup>22</sup>

A major recent debate on the history of Indonesian Islam concerns the interpretation of the so-called Paderi-Reform in West-Sumatra between 1784 and 1837. Following earlier studies, modern scholars such as Dobbin,23 Roff24 and Kraus25 have subsequently stressed economic factors, Wahhābī connections, or Shattāriyya connections as influencing this movement. The first two of these factors need not exclude the possibility of Shattariyya influence. The Paderi movement initially opposed the traditional feudal nobility of the region and - for different reasons - the Dutch and English colonial powers. All these targets of the opposition represent niches of economic domination and thus at least in part would seem to justify Dobbin's views, while still leaving room for Shattariyya influence. On the other hand, Roff's emphasis on the Wahhābī connection could imply the exclusion of Shattanyya involvement. Yet in accordance with Roff's own observations, this connection should be evaluated with reference to the diverse receptions of Wahhābī ideas in the various other Islamic lands. Thus, Wahhabi inspiration in the Paderi movement would not necessarily exclude a Shattariyya connection or involvement. Consequently, if Kraus' views could be further substantiated, the Paderi movement should rightly be classified as a case of a Suft-inspired movement against feudalism and

21 Sartono Kartodardjo, Protest Movements in Rural Java (Kuala Lumpur/Oxford 1973).

colonialism, and was itself opposed by the latter forces 26

In his History of Java, first published in 1817, the British colonial ruler Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles wrote:

OPPOSITION IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY INDONESIA

'Every Arab from Mecca, as well as every Javanese, who had returned from a pilgrimage thither, assumed on Java the character of a saint, and the credulity of the common people was such that they too often attributed to such persons supernatural powers. Thus respected it was not difficult for them to rouse the country to rebellion [ ] The Mohammedan priests have almost invariably been found most active in every case of insurrection. Numbers of them, generally a mixed breed between the Arabs and the inlanders go about from state to state in the Eastern Islands and it is generally by their intrigues and exhortations that the native chiefs are stirred up to attack or missacre the Europeans, as infidels and intruders'  $2^7$ 

According to this view, the greatest danger from the Islamic side are the hājjīs and the wandering teachers, both Arab and indigenous. For a long time this remained the dominant opinion in the colonial government, which tried to control and to limit the practice of hajj throughout the nineteenth century. Sufi orders were perceived as a danger only after the beginning of the war in Aceh in 1873, when the Advisor to the Governor General for Native Affairs, Karel Frederik Holle (1829-1896), initiated a campaign of warnings and restrictions concerning the brotherhoods. The campaign enjoyed substantial political support after the revolt of Cilegon in 1888. This revolt, essentially against colonial taxation, had been backed by a network of nationalists who were members of the Qādiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya brotherhood.<sup>28</sup>

Concern over the potential danger of the Sufi brotherhoods explains the distribution, by order of the Governor General, of several thousand copies of a twenty-page anti-juruq pamphlet in West Java in the eighteen-eighties. The pamphlet was based on a Malay translation from an Arabic tract written in 1853 by Salim b. "Abd Allāh b. Sumayr. The author of the pamphlet, who had also translated the Arabic tract, was Sayyid "Uthmān (b. "Abd Allāh b. "Aqīl b. Yahyā

<sup>22</sup> P.J. Veth, 'Het Beratip Beantal in Beaprinasm', Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indië. 3e serie. iii (1869) 197-202, K. Steenbrink, Beberapa Aspek tentang Islam di Indonesia, Abad ke-19 (Jakarta 1984) 46-51.

<sup>23</sup> Christine Dobbin, Islamic Revivalism in a Changing Peasant Economy. Central Sumatra 1784-1874 (London/Ma.mö 1983)

<sup>24</sup> William R Roff, 'Islamic Movements One of Many?', in William R. Roff (ed.).
Islam and the Political Economy of Meaning. Comparative Studies of Muslim Discourse (London 1987) 31-52

<sup>25</sup> Werner Kraus, Zwischen Reform und Rebeilion. Über die Entwicklung des Islam in Minangkobau (Westsumatra) zwischen den beiden Reformbewegungen der Padri (1837) und der Modernisten (1908) (Wiesbaden 1984)

<sup>26</sup> In a later phase of the movement the

Shattāriyya met with opposition, not from legalist or Wahhābī inspired circ.es, but from the more 'orthodox' Naqshbandī movement which entered the country after 1850; see Martin van Bruinessen, 'The Origins and development of the Naqshbandi Order in Indonesia Der Islam Ixeu (1990) 150-179; and idem, Tarekat Naqsvabandiyah di Indonesia (Bandung 1992)

<sup>27</sup> Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles, The History of Java (London 1817, repr. Kvala Lumpur 1978) u, 3; cf. K. Steenbruck, Dutch Colomalism and Indonesian Islam. Contacts and Conflicts 1596-1950 (Amsterdam/Atlanta 1993) 74.

<sup>28</sup> Sartono Kartodirdjo, The Peasants' Revolt of Banten in 1888 Its Conditions, Course and Sequel ('s-Gravenhage 1966).

703

al-cAlawi), one of the best known Muslims of the country. According to the pamphlet, the mystic orders as such are correct, but in this age, unlike the golden age of the great Muslims and mystics, no one can fulfill the high requirements of the mystic orders. All those now teaching mystic doctrines and practices only want to promote an easy and even immoral form of Islam (e.g. the practice of men and women chanting prayers in dark mosques together). They are after money, power and the attentions of women as well, and they neglect the basic duties of Islamic Law.

The idea to publish and distribute the pamphlet came from Dr. L.W.C. van den Berg, Government Advisor for Arab and Islamic Affairs. His proposal to this effect, which was accepted by the Governor General, elicited at least one negative response within the colonial administration. The Resident of Bandung unsuccessfully tried to ban the pamphlet by Sayyid 'Uthmān from his area on the grounds that it would stir up hatred between two groups of Muslims.

The publication and distribution of Sayvid 'Uthman's tract was preceded by a series of events prompted by competition for office and notions of Sufi orders as an imminent threat to the European community, the latter idea being especially rooted in opposition to the Nagshbandiyya in Sukabumi and Cianjur in West Java. The penghulus of both these towns were members of the Naqshbandiyya and had their own circles of adherents. They were disapproved of by a small group of persons around the former penghulu of Banda Aceh who had been living in Sukabumi from 1880, following his removal from office by the Dutch. This person, Habib al-Sagaf,29 aligned himself with the penghulu of Garut, Raden Muhammad Musa, who was a close friend of Karel Frederik Holle. Raden Muhammad and Holle had consistently warned against Islamic 'fanaticism'. They were particularly receptive to Habib al-Sagaf's view that Muslim officials who become involved in mystic practices present a serious threat to law and order. Habib al-Sagaf also alleged that the circles around the penghulu of Sukabumi and Cianjur were already hatching plans to kill all members of the European community in The Indies

Habib al-Sagaf's opposition to the Naqshbandiyya as represented by the two penghulus may have arisen from other than theological motives: it appears that his ultimate goal was to replace one of them himself. Raden Muhammad in alliance with Holle certainly had his own agenda: namely to have his son, who was disabled and without suitable employment, appointed in place of one of the two 'fanatical' penghulus - members of the Naqshbandiyya who had even refused to drink wine at a reception in the house of the Dutch Resident' From the Raden's point of view it was only natural that the colonial government should reward him for his loyal service as a Muslim leader who had co-operated with Holle for many years. Consequently, Holle wrote several reports about this 'dangerous case of mystic fanatics' to the Resident of Bandung, who was not impressed, and to the Governor-General, who eventually ordered the distribution of the pamphlet by Sayyid 'Uthman The Resident subsequently accused Holle and his friend the penghulu Raden Muhammad Musa of conspiring against the Nagshbandiyya solely for the purpose of securing a job for Muhammad Musa's disabled son. In the end, however, it was Habib al-Sagaf alone who was summoned to Batavia to defend himself in a lawcourt for his role in the affair.30

This case of opposition to Naqshbandī groups clearly indicates a lack of consensus on the part of the colonial administration concerning the real or potential danger constituted by Sufi orders. More generally, the case demonstrates how opposition to Sufism may mask ulterior motives, such as competition for lucrative and influential office. With regard to its origins, ramifications and alliances, the whole affair vividly illustrates the fallacy of assuming the existence of a simple, black and white religious or ideological dichotomy between Sufism and its opponents.

Unlike Holle whose notions were rather confused, Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje, the latter's successor as Advisor for Native and Islamic Affairs (in Indonesia between 1889-1906), made a sharp distinction between peaceful, loyal mystics on the one hand, and the small groups which used mystic networks for nationalist, subversive activities. In the debate between mystical and legalist Islam, Snouck Hurgronje actually even sided with the mystics against the legalists and ritualists. In a letter of 10 June 1904 to the Resident of Banyumas concerning certain mystic teachers and their writings, he states that these persons were 'absolutely safe, viewed from the political standpoint; this mysticism even accords a greater place to humanitarian and tolerant concepts than orthodox Islam does'.31

<sup>29</sup> For details on his life, see K.A. Steenbrink, Mencari Tuhan dengan Kacamata Barat Kanan Kritis Mengenai Agama di Indonesia (Yogyakaria 1988) 62-80.

<sup>30</sup> The affair had no sequel, see Steenbruik, op. cit. 59-82 where some relevant Malay documents from the Jakarta Archives are published.

<sup>31</sup> Christiaan Snoock Hurgmage, Ambielijke Adviezen ('s-Gravenhage 1959) 1216.

Thus, the first western scholar of Islam who undertook a profound study of Islamic law also showed himself to be an outspoken defender of Islamic mysticism.

# CONTROVERSIES AND POLEMICS INVOLVING THE SUFI ORDERS IN TWENTIETH-CENTURY INDONESIA

## MARTIN VAN BRUINESSEN

The controversies and polemics which will be discussed in this article all occurred within the context of the larger ongoing process of Islamic reform, the struggle against local custom and other alleged bid'a in belief and practice. This does not mean, however, that Sufis and reformists at any one time constituted two opposed blocks. In many of the cases under review, we shall find Sufi shaykhs pitted not against anti-Sufi reformists but against other Sufis; some of the fiercest debates, as we shall see below, in fact took place inside one of the Sufi orders, the Naqshbandiyya.

For an adequate understanding of the various conflicts between Indonesian Sufis and their opponents during the past century, it is useful first to take a closer look at the dynamics of the relationship between the Indonesian *umma* and the Holy Cities in the Hijaz. The observations which follow are no doubt valid for many other regions of the Muslim world as well (as is evident from several other contributions in this volume).

Both the Sufis and the reformists, at least until 1925, drew their inspiration from the centre of the Muslim world, Mecca and secondarily Medina. The most influential members of both camps had spent many years studying there and owed their influence in Indonesia primarily to this fact. At least since the seventeenth century and possibly earlier, there had been a community of Indonesian students and scholars in the Holy Cities, who acted as the chief mediators between the heartlands of Islam and their lands of origin. By the end of the nineteenth century, this community had grown to several thousand adults. Snouck Hurgronje, to whose fieldwork in Mecca we owe much of what we know about this community, observed that it constituted 'the heart of the religious life of the entire East Indian Archipelago, pumping fresh blood to the entire body of the Indonesian umma through ever more veins at an ever increasing pace'. 1

l C Snoock Hurgrouje, Mekka, fi vols, Bd. & Aus dem heutigen Leben (Hang 1889)

With one or two dubious exceptions, all Indonesian branches of Sufi orders (tarekats) were introduced into the Archipelago from Mecca or Medina, usually by returning students.<sup>2</sup> Even such orders as the Shattariyya and Indian branches of the Naqshbandiyya reached Indonesia not directly from India but from the Haramayn. Moreover, the Indonesian branches of the great Sufi orders that were thus established never became fully independent and self-sustaining. Such was the prestige of the Holy Cities that the khalifas of Indonesian shaykhs usually went to the Hijāz to obtain yet another ijaza from a Meccan or Medinan teacher. This procedure constituted an effective check on the ever-present tendencies towards syncretism and the assimilation of indigenous mystical traditions into tasawwuf. In each generation there were tarekat teachers who thus reestablished the links of their order with the Arabian source.

In this context it is not surprising that some of the returning tarekat teachers also gained reputations as reformists. In fact, virtually all of the protagonists in Azyumardi Azra's recent study of seventeenth and eighteenth-century Indonesian reformists<sup>3</sup> are Sufis, affiliated with one or more tarekats. It should be noted, however, that Azra uses the term 'reformist' in a wider sense than most other scholars; in his study it refers above all to efforts to replace monist mysticism by explicitly transcendentalist and sharia-based belief and practice. Even in the period of late nineteenth and early twentieth-century reform, when in the Middle East much reformist zeal was directed against popular religious practices associated with the Sufi orders, some Sufi teachers returning from Mecca acted as de facto religious reformers in Indonesia.

It is not difficult to understand why this was so. For the sake of analysis we may distinguish two independent components in what appears to be religious reform from a Southeast-Asian perspective.

The most important component was the effort to bring belief and practice of the Indonesian Muslims more in line with that of the Muslims of Arabia, especially the inhabitants of the Holy Cities, whose religion was assumed to be purer and more authentic. The second component, the importance of which has tended to be exaggerated by outside observers, derives from the various reformist and revivalist movements in the Middle East, from the Wahhabiyya and the Salafiyya to more recent movements such as the Muslim Brotherhood and even the Iranian revolution. The introduction into Indonesia of such great Sufi orders as the Nagshbandiyya, Khalwatiyya, Qādiriyya and initially even the Shaṭṭāriyya was part of the first component of this ongoing process of reform. Their role in bringing religious life in the Archipelago more in line with current Sunni orthodoxy and orthopraxis is clearly brought out in Azra's study.

Students and pilgrims returning from Arabia brought back more than bookish learning and Sufi devotional practices. Numerous elements of Arabian (as well as Egyptian and Indian) popular religion and lore encountered in the Holy Cities were disseminated this way and little by little came to be integrated into Southeast-Asian local custom (adat) and belief. Sociological studies of Java in the nineteen-fifties have drawn attention to a cultural and political cleavage dividing society into 'strict' and 'nominal' Mushims, or santri and abangan in the terms popularised by Clifford Geertz. The 'strict' Muslims, and foreign observers who followed their lead, have tended to characterise all abangan religious beliefs and practices as pre-Islamic (or non-Islamic) but on closer inspection it soon becomes evident that many elements of these beliefs and practices derive from the Muslim world and are due to an earlier phase in the process of Islamicisation. The santri-abangan cleavage of the nineteen-fifties

The exceptions were a possible early Shatthri influence in Aceh and the introduction of the Rifa'ryya and the Qadriyya there by the Indian Nür al-Din al-Raniri. The Khalwatiyya was first introduced by Yusuf Makassar, who had studied in Medina for many years but 'took' this particular order in Damascus. For an overview of the development of the various orders, see Martin van Brumessen, 'The origins and development of Sufi orders (tarekat) in Southeast

Asia', Studia Islamika, Indonesian Journal for Islamic Studies i, no.1 (1994) 1-23.

Azyumaidi Azia, The Transmission of Islamic reformism to Indonesia. networks of Middle Eastern and Malay-Indonesian 'ulamā' in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries (Ph.D. Diss., Columbia University, New York 1992).

It is probably not Geertz but Robert Iny, Santri and abangan, religious schism in rural Central Java (Cambridge, Mass. 1957) who should be credited with first having introduced these terms into the scholarly literature. Geertz' work, however, has had a much greater impact. Although Geertz' analyses have been subjected to much criticism, at least some of which is justified, his description of religious behaviour in Java [The religion of Java (New York 1960)] remains a very valuable study.

<sup>5</sup> Many of these allegedly non-Islamic posetices are also recorded in two orientalist classics which discuss popular religion in Egypt and Algeria, respectively Edward William Lane's An Account of the Manners and Customs of the Modern Egyptians, and Edmond

and nineteen-sixties probably owed much to the politicisation of society in that period. In earlier periods, colonial sources do mention 'fanatical' hajis and sayyids representing sharia-based (and sometimes anti-Dutch) Islam, but the common believers apparently constituted a continuum from the superficially to the highly Islamicised.

The debates between Indonesian Sufis and their opponents that will be discussed below took place within the 'santri' segment of this spectrum. Certain Sufi ideas, however, have appealed to, and been adopted by, much wider circles Elements of al-Hallar's and Ibn 'Arabi's monist mysticism, the concept of nur Muhammad and the tdea of parallelism between macrocosm and microcosm, were easily assimilated by the older mystical traditions of local or Indic origin. The resulting syncretic mysticism, presently known as kebatinan, 'esotericism', accepts what it perceives as the inner dimension of Islamic teachings but rejects the sharia as an irrelevant formality. This kebatinan mysticism constitutes an important part of the context in which the debates on Sufism in Indonesia took place. Some reformists have accused tarekats of being no more than heterodox kebatınan movements, the doctrine of wahdat al-wujud providing a pretext for laxity in worship. Tarekat teachers, on the other hand, have been forced to define and legitimise their positions as different from those of both the reformists and the syncretists.6

During the nineteenth century the most important aspect of the context was clearly Dutch colonial expansion, which often met with local (Muslim) resistance and in the early twentieth century actually gave rise to the emergence of an Indonesian nationalist movement. After independence, as we shall see below, polemics on Sufism became embedded within the political struggle at the national level. Dutch colonialism, which had been established in Java and the Moluccas much earlier, went through a period of expansion in the second half of the nineteenth century; only by the early twentieth

Double's Magie et religion dans l'Afrique du Nord.

century was all of present-day Indonesia brought under effective Dutch control. During this same period, the number of Indonesians performing the *hajj* appears to have risen rapidly, reaching annual averages of 6,000 by 1880 and 15,000 by 1910. Likewise during the same period, there was an increase in the number of Arab traders, especially from Hadramawt, who travelled to the Archipelago and settled there, often taking up positions as religious teachers. These returning *hajis* and Arab traders, especially the *sayvids* among the latter, constituted a potential counter-elite, competing for influence with the established religious authorities and, occasionally, with the indigenous nobility. Many of the debates and controversies which we shall deal with below can only be properly understood in the context of rivalry between religious leaders of different backgrounds.

On repeated occasions in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, we find several of the popular tarekats in Indonesia — the Sammāniyya, the Qādiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya, and once even the Shaṭṭāriyya — cast in the role of vehicles of social protest and resistance against Dutch colonial expansion. This was not so much a reflection of any specific anticolonial doctrines but was due to the internal structure of these tarekats, the mass following they had acquired, and the expectations that this mass following had of the magical effectiveness of the devotions taught by the tarekats.

The Sammāniyya was probably the first tarekat ever to gain a mass following in Indonesia, towards the close of the eighteenth century. By the mid-nineteenth century it was overshadowed by the Qādiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya, a quite similar new eclectic order established by a Mecca-based Indonesian teacher, Ahmad Khatib Sambas (Ahmad b. 'Abd al-Ghaffār al-Khatīb al-Sambasī). In the second half of the nineteenth century the Naqshbandiyya-Khālidiyya gradually became the most împortant of the orders, the zāwiya on Jabal Abū Qubays developing into the centre of a dense network covering Sumatra, Java and the other islands. It retained this position until the Sacūdī conquest of Mecca, which constitutes an important watershed in the history of Indonesian Islam.

The popularity of the Sammāniyya and the Qādiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya no doubt was to a large extent due to the reputation of Shaykh Samman and 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī for supernatural intervention on behalf of their devotees. The loud, ecstatic dhikr of these orders and the invulnerability it was believed to impart were factors

The response of kebatinan mystics to Muslim reformism is outside the scope of this article. The second half of the nineteenth century was a period of significant expansion of scripturalist and shara-oriented Islam at the expense of syncretism. In reaction to reformist agitation, the indifferent attitude of certain kebatinan circles towards the sharin and its representatives turned distinctly hostile, resulting in the production of anti-Muslim literature; see e.g. G.W.J. Drewes "The struggle between Javanism and Islam as illustrated by the Serat Dermagandul", Bijdragen tot de Land., Taul- en Volkenkunde circle (1966) 309-65. This assertive response never affected Sufi apologetics, however

which impelled these tarekats towards their roles in anticolonial and anti-anstocratic rebellions. Contrary to what one might assume in retrospect, in their heyday these orders never ran into opposition from circles of fuqaha<sup>3</sup>. In fact, their Indonesian pioneers, Abdussamad Palembang ("Abd al-Samad al-Fālimbānī) and Ahmad Khatib Sambas, were equally renowned as scholars of both figh and tasawn uf." It is only much later, from the nineteen-twenties on, that we encounter reformists who frown upon ecstatic dhikr and belief in the intercession of the saints.

Surprisingly, it was the comparatively austere Naqshbandiyya-Khālidiyya which first encountered stiff opposition from fuqahā<sup>3</sup>.8 A brief look at the first polemics will indicate that we have a conflict here between two new types of religious leaders attempting to establish their authority rather than a properly theological debate.

Arabs from Hadramawt had been visiting the Indies for centuries as traders, often doubling as teachers of Islam, but until the nineteenth century their numbers had been rather limited. It was in the first half of the nineteenth century that their numbers began to increase rapidly and that they — especially the sayyids among them — successfully established themselves among the Indonesians as superior religious authorities 9

In the early eighteenfiftes the West Sumatran Ismail Minangkabau (Ismā'il al-Minangkabawi) returned to the Archipelago from Mecca, where he had become an assistant and khalifa to Mawlana Khalid's

Meccan khalifa 'Abd Alläh al-Arzinjam. 10 He was welcomed with great honours by the highest indigenous authorities in Singapore and was soon invited to the court of peninsular Riau, one of the last independent indigenous kingdoms, where the entire ruling family be came his disciples. He travelled as far north as the Malay state of Kedah and apparently found a following on the island of Penang as well. 11 These successes must have provided one of the leading Arab scholars then residing in Singapore, Sālim b. 'Abdallāh b. Sumayr al-Hadrami, with the immediate impetus for writing a polemical tract against him. 12 He accused Isma'il of spreading false doctrines, but did not appear to attack the tarekat as such.

No copies of Sălim b. 'Abdallāh b. Sumayr's tract appear to be extant but it was in part incorporated in another anti-Naqshbandī polemical tract written three decades later by the leading Arab 'ālim of Batavia in the eighteen-eighties, Sayyıd Usman ('Uthmān b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Aqīl b. Yaḥyā al-'Alawi), who was much concerned over the growing influence of charismatic Naqshbandī teachers in West Java. 13 Both Arab authors attempted to render the authority of the tarekat shaykhs illegitimate by asserting that the latter were deficient in religious learning (as well as lacking Arab blood, but this was not

stated explicitly), and that they accepted as their disciples all sorts of

people who had insufficient knowledge of the fundamentals of Is-

Judging by the collections of isnād published by the Inte dean of the (Indonesian) Dār nl-'olūm al-diniyya in Mecca, Shaykh Yasin Padang (Yāsīn al-Fadānī), 'Abd al-Samad's name occurs as frequently in the isnād of fiqh texts studied by Indonesian 'ulamā' as in those of tasawwif works see e.g. Muhammad Yāsīn b. Muhammad 'lās al-Fadānī, al-'iqd al-farīd min jawāhir al-asānīd (Surabaya 1401/1981). The only extant biographical nonce on Ahmad Khatīb Sanības, in a work on Meccan ulama of the fourteenth century of the hijra, describes him as a faqīh, who had studied with the most prominent Meccan representatives of the Shāfi'i, Hanafī and Mālikī madhhab; see 'Umar 'Abd al-Jabbār. Sīvar wa-tarājīm ba'd 'ulamā' inā fi'l-garn al rābī' 'ashar h'! hijra (Mecca 1385) 74.

I deliberate,y leave aside the earlier conflicts between 'government' ulama and heterodox' mystics described by Steenbrink in this volume, which may have been of a similar nature but which do not appear to have involved any of the great orders.

<sup>9</sup> L W C, van den Berg, Le Hadramout et les colomes arabes dans l'orchipel indien (Batavia 1886).

<sup>10</sup> See Martin van Britinessen "The origins and development of the Nagshbandi order in Indonessa", Der Islam Ixvii, (1990) 150-79; Tarekat Nagsvabandivoh di Indonesia (2nd revised edition, Bandung 1994).

<sup>11</sup> K.F. Holte, 'Mededeelingen over de devotie der Naqsjibendijah in de Ned. Indischen archipel', Tydschrift voor Indische Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde xxxx (1886) 67, 69-78

<sup>12</sup> Salum b. 'Abd Alfah b. Sunnayr (d. 1883 in Batavia) is still known in Indonesia for his simple Malay textbook of fight and doctrine, Safinat al-najāt, which is still used today and on which several Indonesian ulama have written commentants, see Martin van Brumessen, 'Kitab kuning, books in Arabac script used in the pesantren milieu', BKL cxlvi (1990) 226-69, 248

<sup>13</sup> On Sayyed Usman and his anti-Naqshbandi treatises see Chr Snouck Hurgronje, Eca Arabische bondgenoot der Nederlandsch-Indische regoering' Mededeelingen van wege het Nederlandsche Zendelinggenootschap xxxi (1887) 41-63 (reprinted in Verspreide Geschriften iv/1, 69-85); A.F. von de Wall, 'Kort begrip van de beteekenis van de tarekut naar het Malcisch van Sajid Oesman bin Abdoellah ibu Akit ibu Jahja, adviseur honorair voor Arabische zaken', Tijdschrift voor Indische Tool, Land-en Volkenkunde xxxv (1893) 223-7 Snouck summarises the pamphleis al-Nasiha al-aniqa ti'l-mutalabbisin br'l tariqa and ol-Wathiqa al-wafivva fi 'uluww sha'n tariqat al-sūfivva; see also von de Wall on a later pamphlet Arti tarekat dengan pendek bicaranva ["The meaning of the tarekat in a nutshe... (Batavia 1889)], which appears to be largely if not entirely identical with the former

lam. Whereas Sālim b. 'Abd Allah b. Sumayr's pamphlet of 1853 had been an indictment of the person of Ismā'īl al-Mīnangkabawī, Sayyıd Usman directed his anger at the most influential contemporary Naqshbandī teacher in Mecca, Sulayman al-Zuhdi who, on the basis of a summary training in his zāwiya, had given numerous Indonesian hajis an ijaza as Naqshbandī adepts and assigned khalīfas to many parts of the Archipelago

Sayyid Usman emphasised, in a later pamphlet, that his criticism was not directed against taşawwuf and the tarekats as such, but against what he saw as their degeneration in modern times, and against teachers who, out of sheer greed, claimed spiritual powers they did not have and cheated their gullible murids. The recitation of dhikr and wirds was, in the sayyid's view, a meritorious act, but one did not need an ijāza from a shaykh in order to do this and these were purely devotional utterances, not magical formulas as many Indonesians believed. In order to break the shaykhs' monopoly on such pious formulas, Sayyid Usman undertook to publish a booklet contaming prayers and wirds allegedly taught by the Prophet himself.

Consequently, the above-mentioned Arab authors did not oppose Sufism in general but only certain (in their view) would-be Sufis who failed to meet the high standards required of proper Sufis. The next generation of critics went much further; they condemned the most central doctrines and practices of the Naqshbandiyya as bid'a and shirk. This attack no longer targeted specific teachers but the tarekats as such, along with many other traditionalist Islamic practices.

The most forceful attack came from Ahmad Khatib (Ahmad b. "Abd al-Latif al-Khatib al-Minankabawi, 1852-1915), one of the Minangkabau (West Sumatran) ulama who resided in Mecca, and who is especially known for his virulent criticism of the matrilineal adat of his own ethnic group. 14 In the years 1906-1908 he wrote three Malay tracts against the Naqshbandiyya, which were to provide the source material for most subsequent anti-Naqshbandi polemics in Sumatra. The titles alone give an idea of the rhetorical tone which

Ahmad Khatib adopts: 'Exposure of the deceivers' counterfeit in their imutation of the just', 'Clear proofs to the righteous for the extirpation of the superstitions of certain fanatics', 'The sharp-cutting sword that eradicates the utterances of certain presumptuous persons\*, 15 He first argues that the very idea of special instruction given by the Prophet to Abu Bakr, and handed down through the chain of tarekat teachers, is extremely unlikely, because no such thing is mentioned in any sources other than those of the Nagshbandivva itself. Next, he discusses the various devotions of the Nagshbandıyya; dhikr, lata'if, sulük (khalwa), khatm-i khwajagan, and rabita bi'l-shavkh, as well as the injunction to refrain from eating meat during periods of intense spiritual exercise. He shows to his own satisfaction that these had all been introduced by later mystics, and were therefore without basis in the practice of the Prophet and the Companions. Inventing such devotions on one's own initiative, he holds. amounts to a denial of the divine commands and is consequently an extremely reprehensible form of bid'a.

Ahmad Khatib's attacks had a great impact in his native West Sumatra, which at that time was probably the region with the highest density of Naqshbandi shaykhs in the Archipelago. His writings were at once countered with apologetic tracts by the Minangkabau Naqshbandi shaykhs Muhammad Sa'd b. Tanta' of Mungka and Khatib Ali (Muhammad 'Alī b. 'Abd al-Muttalib), who had even been Ahmad Khatib's own student. These Malay tracts reiterated the standard arguments of Arabic apologetic literature purporting to demonstrate the scriptural foundations of Naqshbandi ritual, arguments which were new to Indonesian audiences and apparently not sufficient to counter Ahmad Khatib's criticisms. 16 Aware that the

<sup>14</sup> Ahmad Khatib Minangkabau (on whom see \*Abd al-Jabbar, Siver 37-44) is generally considered as the father of Indonesian twentieth-century reformsm and an uncompromising opponent of all forms of bid\*a. In matters of figh, however, he remained within the Shāfi\*i madhhab.

<sup>15</sup> Izhār zaght al-kādhibīn fi tashabbuhihim bi'l-pādiqin, al-Āvāt al-bayvināt it l-munsifin fi izālat khurāfār ba'd al-muto'aṣṣibīn; al-Savf al-battār fi mahq katunāt ba'd aht al-ightirār (all three in Malay). Printed together Cairo 1326/1908; several reprints These works are discussed in B. Schrieke, 'Bijārage tot de bibliografie van de huidige godsdienstige beweging ter Samatra's Westkust', Tijdschrift voor Indische Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde lix (1921) 249-325 and William G. Shellabear, 'An exposure of consterfeiters', The Moslem World xx (1930) 359-370. The first of these tracts was republished in Latinised script as recently as 1978 in A.Min. Arief (ed.), Fatwa tentang Tharikat Nagasvabandivah (4th printing, Medan). 'Abd al-Jabbār, Sīvar 43, also mentions an Arabic anti-Nagshbandi tract. Tanbīh al-ghāfīl bi sulūk ṭarīqat al-awā'il

<sup>16</sup> The tracts are briefly discussed in Schneke, 'Bijdrage' 270-2. Shaykh Sa'd b. Tanta' wrote Irghām untif al-muta' annitin fi inkānhim rābijat al wāṣilin and the more elaborate.

greatest threat did not lie so much in the rational arguments put forward by their opponent as in his high prestige, some Naqshbandis requested another great authority, Sayyid Usman, who was known to dislike Ahmad Khatib, to write a refutation of the latter's Izhār. The Arab scholar sent them a copy of one of his own anti-Naqshbandi tracts, which obviously cannot have been much help.

Ahmad Khatib's arguments were to be resterated by many subsequent critics, and even in much later periods Naqshbandi shaykhs still felt the need to write refutations of Ahmad Khatib's works. The most recent example known to me was published in 1981.17

Not long after the appearance of Ahmad Khatib's tracts, the influence of the Egyptian reformists also began to spread in Indonesia. and with it a more radical rejection of taglid and wasila, principles without which no tarekat is conceivable. A new generation of radical reformists, who came to be known as the kaum muda, the 'young generation', assertively set the terms for the debate. They challenged the traditionalists in public debates, frequently using exceptionally scornful language. In their journal al-Munīr - the name is a tribute to their primary source of inspiration, al-Manar - they attacked numerous traditional practices such as rituals concerning the dead. audible pronunciation of the myva before prayer, exclusively determining the start and finish of a month by ru'va, and performing the zuhr prayer after the Friday prayers if there were fewer than the requisite forty participants. Among the tarekat-related practices that the journal specifically targeted were zivāra, especially if the purpose was to fulfill a vow or request intercession, mentally visualising the shaykh in order to establish spiritual rapport (rabita bi'l-shaykh), reciting dhikr according to certain specifications (kayfiyya) to acquire specific desired results, and reciting it rhythmically and/or in

Risālai tanbih at 'awāmm alā taghrīrāt ba'd al-anām (Padang 1326/1908). Shaykh Khatīb Alī first published a Malay translation of an apologetic treatise by Sayyid Muḥammad b. Mabdī al-Kurdī, Risāla nagshivya fl asās iştilāh al-magshbandiyya min al-dhikr al-khafī wa'l-rābita wa'l-nurāgaba wa-difā' al-i'tirād bi-dhālik (Padang 1326/1908), and an adaptation of 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Nābulusi's Mifiāḥ al-ma'riya, titled Kuāb Miflāḥ al-ṣādiqiya fi iṣṇlāḥ al-naqshbandiyya (Padang).

17 Haji Yahyu bin Laksemana, Latan Noqshbandiyah, untuk membanteras risala bagi Swekh Ahmad Khatib ("The tongue of the Noqshbandiyya, to annihilate the tract by Shaykh Ahmad Khatib"), (Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia, 1981). It takes up point by point the issues raised in Izhār zaghl al-kādhibīn

accordance with a given melody. <sup>18</sup> One of the most learned and fiercest polemicists contributing to al Munir was a student of Ahmad Khatib, Abdul Karim b. Muhammad Amrullah alias Haji Rasul (in retrospect best remembered as the father of the famous modernist Muslim leader Hamka). He wrote two tracts in which he attacked the Naqshbandiyya and in particular the Naqshbandi apologist Khatib Ali, even more sharply than his teacher had done. <sup>19</sup>

Ironically, a generation earlier it had been Naqshbandi shaykhs who had come into conflict with local tradition in this region, opposing both the adat authorities and the more 'indigenised' Shattanyya tarekat in the name of scripturalist Islam.<sup>20</sup> Forced onto the defensive by the more radical reformists, several Naqshbandi shaykhs entered into new alliances with the adat-faction.

A more moderate criticism of the Nagshbandiyya was undertaken by Shaykh Muhammad Jamil Jambek (1862-1947), who had also been a student of Ahmad Khatib in Mecca but had studied with several other traditionalist teachers as well. He published a two-volume work on the Nagshbandiyya which owes much to Ahmad Khatib but on the whole presents a more balanced description of the tarekat and is more careful in formulating criticism. 21 One of his arguments exploits the fact that Nagshbandi sources portray their tarekat as being based not only on a silsila going back to Abu Bakr but on a parallel one going back to 'Ali as well - the two coming together in the person of Abū 'Alī al-Fārmadī. Jambek concludes that this invalidates their claim that the tarekat preserves the special teachings of Muhammad to Abii Bakr. He also points out the chronological gaps that exist between successive murshids in the early part of the Nagshbandiyya silsila, while noting that the theory of initiation by the rūhānivya of a predecessor is extremely unconvincing.

<sup>18</sup> On the conflicts between the kaum midd and the traditional ulama or kaum ma, and specifically on at-Munir see Hamka Avaliku Rimavat hidap Dr. H. Abdul Karim Amrilloh dan Perjuangan kaum midd ogama di Sumatero (4th ed., Jakarta 1982) 99-111

<sup>19</sup> Izhār asāfir al-mudallīn fi tashabbuhthum bi'l-muhtadin and at Suyāf al-qāṇ' a fi 'l-da'āwī al-kādhība, both in Malay (Schneke, 'Bigdrage' 313).

<sup>20</sup> B. Schrieke, "Bijdrage tot de bibliografie van de huidige godschenstige beweging ter Sumatra's Westkust', Tijdschrift voor Indische Toal-, Land- en Volkenkunde Ix (1921) 263-5

<sup>21</sup> Muhammad Jamil Jambek, Penerangan tentang asal usul tarekat an-naqsyabandiyah dan segala yang berhubungan dengan dia ("Explanations on the origins of the Naqshbandi order and everything related to nt") ii vols.[Bukutinggi a.d. (1930s")].

Similarly he scrutinises the Koranic verses and the *hadiths* adduced by Naqshbandi apologists in defense of their devotions and rituals, and concludes that the apologists have had recourse to self-serving, idiosyncratic forms of exegesis for which there is no proper justification. His criticism does not imply a wholesale rejection of the *tarekat* but sounds more like an appeal for its reform.

Discussion of the polemics in West Sumatra has taken us ahead in time. We shall return to Java now and to the first decades of the present century. The first two major reformist organisations were both established in Java, Muhammadiyah in Yogyakarta in 1912 and Al Irsvad in Batavia (Jakarta) in 1913. Muhammadiyah was primarily a benevolent association, which set up schools and later also hospitals, and worked hard to raise religious awareness among the Javanese and inculcate moral values through public sermons (tablīgh). Its founding members hailed from circles close to the court at Yogyakarta, an environment which was known to be syncretistic. Around 1925, the first important Muhammadiyah branch outside Java was established in West Sumatra by the aforementioned Minangkabau reformist Haji Rasul His numerous students helped the organisation to spread rapidly through the entire region.

Much of Muhammadiyah's energies was directed at reforming practices which were part of everyday religious life in Java but which the organisation considered as alien to Islam. This included numerous practices which were important to tarekat followers, such as ziyāra (visiting graves, especially to request favours), the siametan (ritual meal, involving symbolic food-offerings to the spirits of the deceased) and all forms of Islamic magic. Muhammadiyah has not, to my knowledge, come into conflict with any tarekat as such — although numerous Muhammadiyah members tend to be quite scornful of the tarekats as representing primitive misconceptions of Islam. In fact, Muhammadiyah has never had any serious objections to Ghazalian forms of Sufism, and in recent times it is not unusual in Muhammadiyah mosques for prayers to be concluded with a brief dhikr 22

22 See the observations by Mitsuo Nokamora, 'Professor Haji Kahar Muzakkir and the development of the Muslim reformst movement in Indonesia', in B.R.O'G. Anderson et al., Religion and social ethos in Indonesia (Clayton, Vict, 1977) 1-20 on the performance of dhike

Al Irsyad was born of a conflict within the conservative Arab be nevolent association Djamiat Chair (al-Jam'iyya al khayriyya). The reformists, led by the Sudanese teacher Ahmad Surkati, broke away and established their own educational organisation, strongly influenced by the Egyptian reformists, Muhammad 'Abduh and Muhammad Rashid Ridā. Its membership in practice consisted exclusively of Indonesian Arabs, but its influence spread well beyond that circle, which was especially due to the high degree of respect enjoyed by Surkati. Al Irsyad was a distinctly non-Sufi organisation, but it never made Sufi practices a major target of its reformist aims.<sup>23</sup>

In Java it was not Muslim reformism as such but nationalism that caused the tarekats to lose a considerable part of their following. The first nationalist mass organisation, Sarekat Islam (established in 1912), found a devoted following in precisely those social circles which had been the recruiting grounds for the tarekats. Initially an organisation of Muslim traders, it soon passed into the control of modernist Muslim nationalists and gained a vast following among Java's peasant masses who flocked to it often with strong millenarian expectations <sup>24</sup>

By the end of the nineteen-tens the membership of many local Sarekat Islam branches overlapped with the following of tarekat shaykhs. Some tarekat shaykhs in fact became Sarekat branch-presidents. Conflict between the two types of leaders of the umma, the nationalist politicians and the traditionalist tarekat shaykhs, was virtually inevitable, as each group thought the other was attempting to subvert its authority. B.J.O. Schrieke, who at the time was the

by his Muhammadi vah respondents. During the last few decades, Muhammadi vah reformists and traditionalists have at times reached surprising forms of accommodation. In the nineteen-seventies and nineteen-eighties, there was in West Sumatra a Naqshbandi shaykh who was also an active Muhammadi vah member (personal communication from Dr. M. Sanusi Latief, Padang).

<sup>23 &#</sup>x27;[Suckati] had no objections [...] against al-Ghazăti's taşawwnf, but he did object to certain extreme expressions of Sufism and of certain turing', see G.F. Pijper, 'Het reformisme in de Indonesische archipel, in id., Studiën over de geschiedents van de Islam in Indonesia, 1900-1950 (Leiden 1977) 97-145, 120.

The mullenarian aspect of Sarekat Islam in its first years is especially emphasised in A.E. Korver's study Sarekat Islam 1912-1916 (Ph.D. Diss., University of Amsterdam 1982). Takashi Shiraishi, An age in motion, popular radicalism in Jova, 1912-1926 (Ithaca 1990), concentrating on a later period, focuses on the politica, radicalism to which one Sarekat wing owed much popular support.

Dutch Indies government's adviser on native and Muslim affairs, gives a lively account of the power struggle between certain branchleaders on the island of Madura, who were also tarekat shaykhs, and the reform-minded central board members in nearby Surabaya,25 In due course this conflict took on the appearance of a doctrinal one between Muslim reformism and the tarekats, with accusations of false teachings and cheating, etc. on both sides, but the power struggle between two classes of leaders clearly remained an underlying element of major importance.

As elsewhere in Indonesia there was a certain - though far from strict - correlation between Muslim modernism and reformism on the one hand, and nationalist activism on the other. In the mid-nineteen-twenties, the Sarekat Islam leaders became involved in Muslim internationalism. They convened a senes of All-Islam Congresses, in which most currents of Indonesian Islam were represented and at which matters of common interest were discussed.26 Many of these matters concerned developments abroad, notably the rise of anti-tmperialist movements among Muslims. Neither the sultan-caliph Mehmed V Reshad nor the Sharif Husayn, both seen as British stooges, evoked much sympathy among Indonesia's Muslim politicians. It was Mustafa Kemal and "Abd al-"Azīz Ibn Sa"ūd whom they saw not only as the saviours of their own nations but as the potential new leaders of the entire Muslim world.

In 1926, however, when the Indonesian All-Islam Congress was invited to send a delegation to the first Muslim World Congress in Mecca, sponsored by Ibn Sacud, its participants were divided over the attitude to adopt towards Ibn Sacud's suppression of traditional religious teachings and practices (taglīd, ziyāra, etc.). Traditionalists demanded that the delegates plead with Ibn Sacud for tolerance, but those who were modernist and reform-minded were little inclined to defend practices which they actually disapproved of themselves. This dilemma caused the traditionalists to break away and establish their own organisation, Nahdlatul Ulama (NU). This was not explicitly an organisation of Sufis, and it was not associated with any

specific tarekat, but most of its leaders practised at least privately some Sufi type devotions. NU, primarily based in Java, developed into the largest grassroots organisation in the Muslim world.27

The establishment of Nahdlatul Ulama was a traditionalist reaction to reformism but at the same time it represented an important innovation in traditional Muslim circles. The most respected traditional falim of the time, Hasjim Asj'ari, had to issue a fatwa with numerous Koranic quotations to demonstrate the licitness of establishing such a modern-type association of ulama. Not surprisingly perhaps, the association itself became a channel for moderate reform, especially in the area of education.

The same was true of the Minangkabau-based traditionalist association, Perti (Persatuan Tarbiyah Islamiyah, Association for Muslim Education), which was formally established in 1930.28 Perti's founders were involved in educational reform, but in matters of doctrine and worship they tended to be more traditionalist than their Javanese counterparts. Nagshbandi teachers played a prominent role in Perti, the most influential of them being Sulaiman Ar-Rasuli, who was one of Perti's two most charismatic leaders. After Indonesia's independence, both NU and Perti transformed themselves into political parties.

Traditionalist and generally sympathetic towards Sufism though these organisations were, they also made rigorous efforts to guard orthodoxy within their own ranks, and their conception of orthodoxy owed at least something to reformist critiques of traditional practices. During the first decades of their existence, the major debates about tarekats did not take place between reformists and traditionalists, or between their organisations, but within the traditionalist camp.<sup>29</sup> Both sides in the major debates of this period were Sufis or

<sup>25</sup> B. Schrieke, Aanteekeningen over Madura, Manuscript, western no. 885, KTTLV collection (Leiden 1919).

<sup>26</sup> Sec M van Brutnessen, 'Muslims of the Dutch East Indics and the caliphate question', Studia Islamika, Indonesian Journal for Islamic Studies ii. no.3 (1995) 115-140. where the developments briefly sketched here are discussed in greater detail

<sup>27</sup> M. van Brumessen, NU tradisi, relasi-relasi kuasa, pencarian wacana baru (Yogyakarta 1994).

<sup>28</sup> The founders of Perti were traditionalist plana who had been active in the West Sumatran branches of Sarekat Islam during the nineteentens but lost leading positions to reformst rivals. As an organisation Perti was preceded by an ephemeral Union of Sumatran ulama, in which the same persons were involved. The history of Minangkban traditionalism is traced in H. Sanusi Latief, Gerakan kaum tua di Minangkaban (Unpriblished Ph.D. Diss., IAIN Syaruf Hidayatullah, Jakarta 1988).

<sup>29</sup> During the nineteenthirties and mineteenfourties there was in fact a growing

at least favourable to taşawwuf, opponents typically objected to specific elements in one another's teachings, while proclaiming support for Sufism as such. Competition for the same disciples appears to have been a major motive behind these conflicts.

This was for instance the case with a conflict which arose within NU when in the late nineteen-twenties the Tijaniyya tarekat rapidly expanded in the Cirebon area on Java's north coast, to some extent at the expense of established teachers affiliated with other orders.30 The chief propagators were Kiai Anas of Buntet, a scion of an influential family of ulama in Cirebon, and 'Ali b. 'Abd Allah al-Tayvib, a Medina-born, Azhar-educated Arab "ālim, who had been a teacher and bookseller in various parts of western Java. Kiai Anas had returned in 1927 from study in the Huaz, having received an ijaza to proselytize for the Tijāniyya from Shaykh Alfā Hāshim (Muhammad al-Hāshimī) in Medina. 'Alī b. 'Abd Allāh al-Tayvib too held an liāza from Alfā Hāshim, granted in 1916, besides an earlier one from Shaykh Adam b. M. Sha'ıb al-Barnawi. In Indonesia, al-Tayyib authorised several kiai in the wider Cirebon region to spread the teachings of the Tijāniyya. As instruction material for new initiates, moreover, he published an abbreviated edition of Ahmad b. Bābā al-Shinqiti's Munyat al-murid, a simple work on the principles of the Tijāniyya.31

The Tijāniyya spread rapidly, perhaps (as suggested by Pijper) because of the relatively simple discipline it demanded from those joining it, perhaps also because of the extraordinary promise of certain salvation. Teachers of the Nagshbandiyya and the Oadiriyya, who lost disciples to this newly arrived order, singled out especially these two aspects in their polemical attacks on the Tijaniyya. Between 1928 and 1931 a heated debate went on, pro- and anti-Tijani teachers

convergence between the traditionalist and reformst camps, as the former implicitly accepted certain ideas originally associated with the reformests, and the latter toned down their criticism of taglid and the madhhab. It was only after independence, when the two were represented by rival political parties, that the religious debate between them became exacerbated again.

insulting each other in sermons and pamphlets. Interestingly, some opponents accused the Tijaniyya of being Wahhābis (a label applied rather indiscrimately to reformists of all shades in Indonesia);32 Tijānis in defense pointed out that the Sacūdi regime had forbidden Shaykh Alfa Hashim to recite their wird in Medina.

It is perhaps significant that the one scholar who wrote a learned refutation of certain teachings of the Tijaniyya was not an Indonesian but a respected Meccan scholar then residing in Java, "Abd Allah b. Sadaga Dahlan.33 The Indonesian opponents of the order appeared to be more concerned with what they perceived to be unfair competition than with deviations from doctrinal purity. Dahlan's tract, Tanbîh al-ghāfil,34 largely restricts itself to a critique of "Alī al-Tayvib's edition of the Munyat al-murid and of another basic Tijani work, 'Umar b. Sa'id al-Füti's Rımāh hizb al-rahîm, and attempts to disprove the Thanivva's claims of superiority over all other orders Analysing the Tijaniyya's most important prayers, the wazīfa and the havlala, Dahlan finds they only contain a few words that do not occur in the prayers of other orders and asks mockingly whether it is because of these few words (salām Allāh and the prayer Jawharat alkamāl) that Tijānīs are guaranteed entry to Paradise. The claim that the Tijanis will be treated with preference on the Day of Judgement is refuted with a barrage of quotations from the Koran and hadith, and the order's claim of Ahmad al-Tijāni's superior walāya is rejected because it would imply imperfections in the Prophet.

The way in which these debates between apologists and opponents of the Tijaniyya came to an end is also instructive. Protagonists on both sides were members of NU or had close relations with NU leaders, so that the conflict threatened to divide this organisation. Kiai Abbas of Buntet, the elder brother of the Tijani teacher Kiai

<sup>30</sup> GF Pijper, 'De opkomst der Tidjansyyah op Java', in id., Fragmenta Islamica (Leiden 1934) 97-121

<sup>31</sup> Kliāb Munyat al-murīd li-fallāmat zamānih al-mashhūr bi Ibn Bābā al-Shingīti al-'Alawi al musammā bi'l Tuānī (Tasikmalaya 1346/1928). Piper, 'De opkomst der Tidjamyyah' 100, suggests that among ordinary Indonesian followers of the order this was the most widely used Tijānī text, but he lists various others that were also known (ibid. 104).

<sup>32</sup> This accusation was probably based on the Train yya's forbidding its followers givern to non-Tijani shanes and the recitation of any but the gravers of the order uself, which meant a repudiation of traditional practices.

<sup>33 &#</sup>x27;Abd Allah b. Sadaqa Dahlan was a close relative of the famous shavkh al-"utomā and Shāfi'i mufti of Mecca, Ahmad b. Zaynī Dahlān, which no doubt contributed to his prestige in Southeast Asia. Before coming to Java he had been the mufti of the Maiay kingdom of Kedah; later he had been head of an Arab school in Batavia

<sup>34</sup> Tanbīh al ghāfil wa-irshād al-mustafīd al-'āqil (Tasıkmalaya 1349/1931). summarised in Pipper, 'De opkomst der Tidganyyah' 111 6. An abridged version, litled Wildüh al-dalā'il, was reprinted in the nineteeneighties.

Anas, was a leading member of Nahdlatul Ulama, and in 1931 this organisation held its sixth congress in Circbon, in Kiai Abbas' pesantren (boarding school) at Buntet. One of the questions discussed by the assembled ulama concerned the orthodoxy of the Tijāniyya. Not wishing to antagonise the host, the NU board found a compromise formula which allowed followers and opponents to coexist peacefully The prayers (wird, salawat, istighfar, etc.) of the order were declared orthodox, as well as all of its teachings which were clearly in agreement with the sharia. As for teachings which were seemingly in conflict with the sharia, if they allowed for metaphorical interpretation (ta<sup>3</sup>wil), judgement was to be left to experts within the tariga; it was only where such interpretation was impossible that any teaching was declared sinful. This compromise formula, which the Tijanis preferred to interpret as an endorsement, did not entirely silence the opponents.35 but it did take the sting out of the debates

Another debate, starting in the nineteen-fourties, divided the Nagshbandi teachers of West Sumatra and also had sent reverberations throughout the Archipelago. The immediate cause of the debate was the emergence on the scene of a young and ambitious Nagshbandi teacher, Haji Jalaluddin of Bukittinggi. He was the first tarekat teacher of a new type, a product of Dutch education rather than the surau (madrasa); he made his living as a schoolteacher and became a highly productive writer. One of his first books had been a 'scientific' apology of Islam, finding in the textbook physics of his day confirmation of God's words in the Koran. By regularly associating with Perti ulama he had gradually assimilated some knowledge of Arabic and the Muslim sciences. During the nineteen-thirties he was close to Perti's leading Nagshbandi shaykh, Sulaiman Ar-Rasuli, and he acquired a position of influence in Perti. In or around 1940 he published the first in a long series of, mostly apologetic and polemical, books and brochures on the Nagshbandivya, which were to invite much criticism but which also brought him many disciples.36

Some of Jalaluddin's colleagues, including Shaykh Sulaiman Ar Rasuli, were less than happy with his defense of the tarekat against reformist criticism, for in their view his writings contained a number of serious errors. Most of these errors had nothing to do with the central subject matter of his books, i.e. the Naqshbandiyya tariqa (the ulama were incensed, for instance, at Jalaluddin's writing that the Prophet had received sadaqa in his lifetime). One senses in the ulama's attitude a certain irritation with this upstart who had not had a proper traditional education and had entered upon a field which they considered their exclusive domain. They had to admit that Jalaluddin wrote well, and that his books found an eager audience, which must only have added to the irritation. When Jalaluddin refused to withdraw his books or correct them, he was expelled from Perti.

In 1945, shortly after Indonesia's declaration of independence, Perti reconstituted itself as a political party, Partai Islam Perti. Haji Jalaluddin challenged his colleagues by establishing his own political organisation, the Partai Politik Tarekat Islam (PPTI), and even an armed militia force, the Barisan Tentera Allah ('Guards of God's Army') which after the first Dutch military action in 1947 was integrated into the national guerrilla front,37 Maintaining the initiative in other fields as well, Haji Jalaluddin began publishing a new series of books which constituted a sort of correspondence course in the Nagshbandiyya. His Rahasia mutiara ("The secret of the pearl") is one of the clearest and most explicit books explaining the techniques and rituals of the Naqshbandiyya, with unique pictures showing the location of the lata if and the trajectory of the dhikr nafy wa-ithbat through the body.38 His description (or prescription?) of the initiation (bay a) ritual, however, contains some elements which appear to be new and which did not fail to rekindle the anger of his rivals.

vols. (Bukittinger 1940)

<sup>35</sup> Kiar Muhammad Ismail of Kracak near Cirebon, a shaykh of the Qādinyya wa-Naqshbandiyya who had lost many disciples to the Tijāniyya, published a last ficree attack on the Tijāniyya in 1932, but it contained no new arguments (Ptiper, 'De opkomst der Tidaniyyah' 118-20).

<sup>36</sup> Pertahanan aih thariqat an nagsvabandiyah ("Defense of the Nagshbandi order") iv

<sup>37</sup> Djohan Effendi, 'PPTI. Eme konfliktreiche Tarekat-Organisation', in Werner Kraus (ed.). Islamische mystische Bruderschaften im heutigen Indonesien (Hamburg, Institut für Asienkunde, 1990) 91-2; Hamka, in the fourth volume of his autobiography Kenang2-an hidup (3rd ed., Jakarta 1975) 96, explains the establishment of the Barisan Tentera Allah as born from fear less the reformist Muhammadiyah might become the dominating force in the region after independence and ban tarekat activities.

<sup>38</sup> The first volume of Rahasia mutiara, ath-thoragat an-nagsvabandivah probably was published in the early nineteenfifties, its sixth and last volume in 1961. It has been regularly reprinted.

As practised by Haji Jalaluddin, and described in his book, bay'a is a real initiatory ritual in which the murid symbolically dies, experiences an intermediate state of existence and is then reborn. The murid begins by taking a purificatory bath (ghusi li'l-tawba) and per forming prayers for forgiveness; then he is covered with a shroud and must imagine that he is dead and buried, and he is put to sleep in the position of the grave. While asleep he should have one of twenty possible dreams or visions (such as meeting one of the masters of the silsila and receiving instruction from him; or enjoying the murshid's intercessionon on the Day of Resurrection). If he has no dream, the procedure must be repeated the following nights until a dream arrives. Next morning, after subh prayer, the murid meets face to face with the murshid for instruction in the dhikr.39

In 1954, Perti organised a tarekat conference, attended by some two hundred Nagshbandiyya-affiliated ulama, to discuss and condemn Haji Jalaluddın's books. The assembled ulama issued a fatwa declaring the books haram for readers without sufficient religious knowledge, because they contained serious doctrinal errors and reprehensible innovation (bid\*a).40 Sulaiman Ar-Rasuli followed this up with a brochure, Tablīgh al-amāna, in which he pointed out thirty-three 'major errors' in Jalaluddin's books. These included a misinterpretation of the verse wa-la yadhkurun Allah illa qalilan (implying that neglecting dhikr amounts to unbelief), the assertion that devotional acts inspired by dreams can legitimately be performed, the explanation of tawhid as referring to the unity of God's essence and that of all prophets, and the bid'a of the initiation ritual.41 In criticising Haji Jalaluddin, Shaykh Sulaiman Ar-Rasuli came to adopt a position close to that of Ahmad Khatib, and it is not surprising that he was quoted extensively, along with the latter, in an anti-Naqshbandī book published in 1961.42

It is hard to imagine that these 'errors' were in themselves sufficient to warrant the concerted assault on Haji Jalaluddin. One ex-

tra-religious factor which should be taken into account is the fact that Indonesia's first democratic elections (to be held in 1955) were approaching and that *Perti* and PPTI were courting the same segments of the electorate. Jalaiuddin was working hard to turn PPTI into a nation-wide formation. PPTI made a reasonable showing in North and Central Sumatra, in some districts getting as much as ten per cent of the vote, and Jalaiuddin was voted into parliament. Over the years that followed he answered his opponents with a new barrage of polemical tracts and to a considerable extent outflanked them through diligent use of his political contacts.

Haji Jalaluddin was a staunch supporter and flatterer of Sukarno, and he was rewarded for his loyalty. When Sukarno dissolved the parliament and replaced it by a handpicked assembly and people's congress, Haji Jalaluddin was made a member of both, no longer representing PPTI but the ulama as a 'functional group'. He became one of Sukarno's Muslim apologists, probably as much out of conviction as for reasons of expedience. His dubbing Sukarno's politics 'tarekat Sukarnowiyah' and proclaiming them to be in agreement with other orthodox tarekats no doubt made it easier for him to go on organising his own following.<sup>43</sup>

PPTI ceased to exist as a political party in 1961 and became a nonpolitical association of tarekat shaykhs and followers. 44 In the nineteen-sixties it experienced rapid expansion throughout Indonesia,

partly by recruiting people affiliated with all other orthodox (mu'tabar) orders, partly by Hajt Jaialuddin's appointing numerous khalifas and liberally bestowing the title of doctor on those who subscribed to his never-ending series of brochures. In some distant places he appointed individuals as his khalifa whom he had never

places he appointed individuals as his khalifa whom he had never met in person. Others joined his organisation as a profession of

<sup>39</sup> Rohasia matiara i, 5-16.

<sup>40</sup> This fatwa is reprinted in A. Mm. Ariel (ed.), Fatwa tentang: Tharikat Naqasvabandivah (4th printing, Medan 1978/1961).

 <sup>41</sup> Tablīgh al-amāna fi izālat al-munkarāt wa'l-shubuhāt (in Malay) (Bukittinggi 1954)
 23, 13-4, 22 and 18-20, respectively

<sup>42</sup> Arief, Fative. This book, though primarily targeting Jalahiddin, whose star was rising rapidly at the time, is directed against the Naqshbandiyya as such.

<sup>43</sup> The term 'tarckat Sukarnowiyah' occurs in the tract Tiga serongkai ('Three inseparable friends'), which was published in 1964 as an answer to cortain critics. One year earlier, in a tract named Pembeloon Thankat Islam Naksjabondyah, vol 1v. Jalaluddin had gone so far as to ask whether Sukarno was the Mahdi. He stopped short of giving an affirmative answer but asserted that all the characteristic signs were present.

<sup>44</sup> It had of course to change its name but retained the same initials, which beneeforth stood for *Persatuan Pengamal Tarekat Islam*, 'Union of Devotees of Islamic Tarekat' In the timetinenseventies several more changes of name followed, *Pengamal* being replaced by *Penganut* ('Followers') and, after a split in the organisation, variously by *Pembela* ('Defenders'), *Pembina* ('Promoters') and *Penang* ('Partisans').

loyalty towards the established order, out of fear of being considered political opponents, or for other mundane, opportunistic reasons.45

Hajt Jalaluddin's opponents gradually fell silent, not because they were convinced by the cogency of his published arguments but because he became too powerful for them to speak out against him with impunity. The fall of Sukarno scarcely affected him, for his PPTI had by then affiliated itself with the 'functional group' umbrella organisation Golkar, which under Suharto became the de facto governing party. Thus the PPTI was, and remains, the sole representative and mouth-piece of tarekats at the government level. Like many leaders of his ilk, Hajt Jalaluddin did not tolerate strong personalities around him and never groomed a successor for fear he might lose power himself. After his death in 1976, PPTI soon declined in importance and moreover split into rival factions. Since then it has not taken part in any debates, not even minor ones, concerning Sufism and tarekats.46

During most of this century reformist Muslims did not so much contest Sufism and specific Sufi orders as such, but rather certain practices and beliefs. Much of the debate (somewhat one-sided in this respect) has been concerned with the metaphysics of wahdat alwujūd, which in the view of its opponents encouraged people to disregard the sharia. In fact, only few contemporary Indonesian tarekat followers adhere to the doctrine of wahdat al-wujūd in any form. The real target of these polemics was Indonesia's majority of nominal ('abangan') Muslims. Until its physical destruction and the mass murder of its members in 1965-66, the Communist Party of Indonesia had found its strongest support among abangan workers and villagers. Reformist Muslims, opposed to Communism as well as laxity in religious observance, tended to identify these two phenomena, as well as to lump tarekat and abangan mystical movements together.<sup>47</sup>

Among Java's nominal Muslims there exists a wide range of mystical movements (commonly called aliran kebatinan 'esoteric movements'). Since the nineteen-thirties these have had more or less formal structures somewhat resembling the tarekats (but without any form of silsila connecting them with the Prophet of Islam) Some of them are explicitly non-Islamic, others consider themselves to be Muslim, but none of them prescribes that their followers must live in conformity with the sharia. The aliran kebatinan have often aroused the missionary zeal of reformist Muslims who have felt it their moral duty to save their countrymen from damnation in the hereafter and the seductions of 'Communism' in this world 48

In order to disassociate themselves from the syncretistic kebatinan sects (and, after 1965, to evade the dangerous suspicion of harbouring Communist sympathies), Javanese teachers of the Qādiriyya, Naqshbandiyya, Shādhiliyya and other main-stream tarekats organised themselves in the NU-affiliated Jam'iyvat ahl al-tarīqa almu'tabara. This association was formally established in 1957 but only became influential in the nineteen-seventies. Its very name represented an apology, a disclaimer of belonging to what the reformists objected to: only those tarekats which respected the sharia and had a convincing sitsila were considered as mu'tabar, i.e. respectable or orthodox.<sup>49</sup>

A major conflict which has caused division within the Jam'iyya during the past decades once again concerns the Tijāniyya. The 'respectability' of this order was called into question during the nineteen-eighties because of the claim that its founder, Aḥmad al-Tijānī,

Minangkabau journalist Joesoef Sou'yb in Wihdatul wiqud dalam berbagai aliran mistik (Medan 1976) and Aliran kebatinan mistik dan perkenbangannya (Medan 1988). He criticised not only Javanese mystical sects (aliran kebatinan) for their monist views but also the Naqshbandiyya tarekai, the latter on the grounds that Muhammad b. 'Abd Alläh al-Khāni, s well-known manual al-Bohja al-santiya espouses wahdat al-wujūd. Few Indonesian Naqshbandis, however, embrace wahdat al-wujūd, and even fewer subscribe to the vulgar interpretation Son'yb attributed to them.

48 The combination of Muslim reformst agitation and New Order anti-Communism has forced these mystical movements gradually to purge all antinomian elements from their teachings and approximate the orthodoxies of the accepted formal religions. Regarding this domestication of the kebatinan movements, see Paul Stange, "Legitimate" mysticism in Indonesia", Review of Indonesian and Malaysian Studies xx, no. 2 (1985) 76-117

<sup>45</sup> I have not been able to find solid confirmation of the claims of some of my informants that Haji Jalahuddin's *khalifus* and PPTI board members were given special cards with photographs of Haji Jalahuddin and Sukamo, which allowed them free travel on public transport

<sup>46</sup> The vicessitudes of PPTI in Sukarno's and Subarto's Indonesia are narrated in Effendi, 'PPTI'

<sup>47</sup> One of the few reformist critics who had actually studied tarekat literature was the

<sup>49</sup> The history of the Jam'ivyat ahl al-jariqo al-mu'tabara is sketched in my book on the Naqshbandiyya. Martin van Brumessen, Tarekat Naqsvabandivah di Indonesia (2nd revised edition, (Bandung 1994).

received his initiation directly from the Prophet (whom he is believed to have met with while fully awake), and because of certain extravagant claims about the ments of its distinctive devotions, but especially because it took disciples away from other tarekat teachers. Opponents availed themselves of the same theological arguments that had been current in the debates of the nineteen-thirties, and Dahlān's book was reprinted and widely distributed in the original as well as in Indonesian and Madurese translations. Interestingly, the fiercest opponents of the Tijāniyya were not reformists but mystical teachers who were more strongly inclined towards syncretism and towards recourse to Islamic magic than the aggressively proselytizing Tijāniyya teachers.50

Most of the conflicts involving Sufi orders in the twentieth century were to a large extent conflicts between different types of leaders who based their power on divergent claims to authority and at the same time attempted to discredit the legitimacy of their rivals. Some of the most severe conflicts were, in fact, not between Sufis and antitasawwuf reformists but between rival tarekat shaykhs. The reformists' attitude towards Sufism has not been uniformly negative. Numerous followers of Indonesia's largest reformist organisation, Muhammadiyah, subscribe to what the popular Muhammadiyah leader, Hamka, termed 'modern tasawwuf', i.e. a Sufi attitude without the trappings of an organised tarekat. Moreover, some of the organised tarekats are actually finding a new following in circles which had previously been considered the natural constituency of reformism, i.e. among the socially and geographically mobile, educated segments of the population, students and young professionals. Polemical writings from the first half of this century for or against the tarekats are still regularly reprinted, but such writings appear to have little real impact on the growth or decline of present-day tarekats.

# SUFIS UND IHRE WIDERSACHER IN KELANTAN/MALAYSIA DIE POLEMIK GEGEN DIE AHMADIYYA ZU BEGINN DES 20. JAHRHUNDERTS

#### WERNER KRAUS

Der Islam, der im 14., 15. und 16. Jahrhundert die malajischen Inseln erreichte und durchdrang, war geprägt von der mystischen Vorstellung der Immanenz Gottes. Darin unterschied er sich wahrscheinlich wenig vom zeitgenössischen Islam in anderen Regionen. Islamische Mystik und mystische Bruderschaften übten, vom Magreb bis nach Zentralasien und Malaya, einen starken Einfluss auf die religiose Erfahrung und den spekulativen Intellekt muslimischer Gesellschaften aus. Opposition gegen die malato-islamische Mystik jener Zeit wäre einer Opposition gegen den Islam schlechthin gleichgekommen. Die dem Islam innewohnende Spannung zwischen Transzendenz und Immanenz, zwischen Gesetzlichkeit und Mystik, wurde in Sudostasien anfänglich und über lange Zeit, nur von wenigen Spezialisten registriert. Die Mehrzahl der malaijschen Muslime war sich dieser Spannung nicht bewusst. Für sie war der Islam zunächst eine neue, zusätzliche Methode, um die Mächte der belebten und unbelebten Welt halbwegs unter Kontrolle zu halten. Die Gesetzlichkeit war da wenig hilfreich. Mystisch-magische Techniken dagegen um so mehr.

Es ist deshalb nicht erstaunlich, dass der Widerstand gegen den mystischen Islam und seinem organisatorischen Ausdruck, den Bruderschaften (tarekat), in Südostasien erst spät, im 19. Jahrhundert, in Erscheinung trat und dann hauptsächlich von Arabern getragen wurde. Ulama, wie die Hadhrami Salim ihn Samir und Sayid Uth-

<sup>50</sup> This conflict is discussed at greater length in M van Brunessen, "Tarekat and tarekat teachers in Madurese society", in Kees van Dijk, Hunb de Jonge and Elly Touwen-Bouwama (eds.), Across Madura Strait, the dynamics of an insular society (Leiden 1995) 91 117

Tariqu (pl. turuq) bezeichnet im arabischen eine Bruderschaft und/oder derch Ideologie (Pfad). Im malauschen Kulturraum wurde dieses Begriffsfeld zu tarekot, thanqat, thoriqoh und ähnlich geschnebenen Wörtern abgewandelt. Dabei wird kein Unterschied zwischen Singular und Plural gemacht. Die Mehrzahl wird einfach durch Verdoppelung von tarekat gebildet. Ich werde mich im Folgenden an die malauschen Termini halten und auch theologische Begriffe, sofern sie eine malausche Eutsprechung haben, in der malauschen Schreibweise wiedergeben. Das bedeutet mich, dass diakritische Zeichen nicht berücksichligt werden.

man bin Abdullah bin Akil bin Yahya Alawi, liessen sich in ihrer Kritik an den Bruderschaften allerdings nicht allein von dogmatischen Überlegungen leiten. Sie hofften dadurch ihr religiöses Charisma zu stärken und gleichzeitig ihren unsicheren Rechtsstatus in der hollandischen Kolonie günstig zu beeinflussen. Im ausgehenden 19. Jahrhundert war die Kolonialverwaltung von einer geradezu hysterischen Angst vor "geheimsinnigen" Bruderschaften befallen und es war der Stellung der Araber dienlich, der europäischen Angst theologisch zur Seite zu springen. Wesentliche einheimische Kritiker der islamischen Mystik traten in Südostasien allerdings erst mit dem Eindringen der Salafiyya auf Die Träger der Salafiyya waren in der Regel selbst Söhne von Tarekat Scheichen und oft kann man sich des Eindrucks nicht erwehren, dass ihre scharfen Angriffe Ausdruck eines Vater-Sohn Konflikts waren. Bruderschaften wurden nun, auf Grund von häufig übertriebenen und verleumderischen Darstellungen, mit den Ausdrücken bid'ah und syirk bedacht, ihre rehgiösen Praktiken als Orte des Unglaubens beschimpft.2 Der in diesem Aufsatz dargestellte Fall aus Kelantan gibt einen guten Einblick in die Heftigkeit der Auseinandersetzung und zeigt die Bereitschaft der Salafiyya sich, im Eifer der Heilsuche, bedenkenlos unlauterer Methoden zu bedienen.

Kelantan ist der nordöstlichste Staat Festland-Malaysias und in vieler Hinsicht das "malaiischste" Gebiet der Republik. Während sich in fast allen Teilstaaten Malaysias Chinesen und Malaien zahlenmässig ausgeglichen gegenüberstehen, sind die demographischen Verhältnisse in Kelantan eindeutig: rund 94% seiner Einwohner sind muslimische Malaien. Kelantan gilt deshalb als Schwerpunkt der malaiischen Kultur Malaysias. Daneben beansprucht Kelantan das Recht, als Zentrum der islamischen Tradition des Landes betrachtet zu werden und schmückt sich mit dem Ehrentitel "Serambi Mekka", der Balkon Mekkas.

Kelantan blickt auf eine etwa tausendjährige Geschichte zurück. Das Delta und das Becken des Kelantanflusses waren Teil des engmaschigen Netzes von Häfen und Stapelplätzen, die der internationale Fernhandel zwischen dem ostlichen Mittelmeer, Indien und China

in Südostasien benötigte. Kelantan speiste, neben Reis, auch Gold, Zinn, Pfeffer und Dschungelprodukte in das System des internationalen Austausches von Luxusprodukten ein. Die dabei entstandenen kleinräumigen Herschaftsstrukturen existierten manchmal in Unabhängigkeit, öfter jedoch in Abhängigkeit von den beiden Nachbarstaaten, Patani im Norden und Trengganu im Süden. Es gab aber auch Zeiten, in denen Kelantan einen dieser Staaten unter seine eigene Souzeränität zwingen konnte. Diese regionalen Ausemandersetzungen waren allerdings in grössere politische Dimensionen eingebunden. Lange Zeit waren Kelantan und seine Nachbarn südliche Tributärstaaten Siams, eine Bürde, die in der Regel ohne grössere Belastung getragen wurde. Erst im 19. Jahrhundert, als sich Siam und das britische Kolonialreich in der Gegend von Kelantan rieben, erhöhte sich der Druck aus Bangkok. Die siamnesische Monarchie wollte ihre südlichen Grenzen und ihre Beziehungen zu den Briten und Franzosen (die sich als Kolonialmächte in der Nachbarschaft niedergelassen hatten) klar definieren. Dabei gelang es Siam jedoch nicht, Kelantan, Kedah, Perlis und Trengganu innerhalb seiner Grenzen zu halten. Der anglosiamnesische Vertrag von 1909 brachte diese Staaten, gegen ihren Willen, unter die Oberhoheit Englands. Kelantan wurde Teil des britischen Kolonialreichs und trat 1957 in die unabhängige Republik Malaysia ein.

In den letzten dreissig Jahren spielte Kelantan oft den Aussenseiter der malaysischen Politik. Es war und ist, aus strukturellen Gründen, weniger am Entwicklungsprozess Malaysias beteiligt als andere Staaten. Noch heute betragen die mittleren monatlichen Haushalteinkünfte in Kelantan nur 58% der nationalen Einkünfte.3 Die spezifische Zusammensetzung seiner Bevölkerung macht Kelantan zur Hochburg der islamischen Partei PAS, die sich dem Führungsanspruch der malaiischen Mehrheitsparter UMNO widersetzt. Dadurch wurde der Konflikt zwischen PAS und UMNO oft zugleich ein Konflikt zwischen Staatsregierung und Landesregierung, zwischen Kuala Lumpur und Kota Bharu. 4 So stellt sich auch

<sup>2</sup> Ein ständig wiederkehrendes Argument aund sexuelle Verfehlungen der Tarekat Mitglieder während des dzikir

Dieter Nohlen und Franz Nuscheler (ed.), Handbuch der Dritten Welt (Bonn 1994) VII. 470.

Kota Bharu an der Mündung des Kelantanflusses ist die Hauptstadt des malaysischen. Bundesstaates Kelantan.

der gegenwärtige Stand der politischen Realität dar. Kelantan wird heute von der oppositionellen PAS regiert und versucht, seine islamische Identität durch die Einführung der Scharia zu untermauern.

Der Prozess der Islamisierung Kelantans kann historisch nicht klar rekonstruiert werden. Einheimische Autoren bemühen sich, den Zeitpunkt der Islamisierung möglichst weit in die Vergangenheit zurüchzuschieben. Aber es wird schwer nachzuweisen sein, dass Kelantan vor dem 15. Jahrhundert eine tiefergreifende Islamisierung erfahren hat. Verstreute Nachrichten deuten daraufhin, dass die neue Religion Kelantan nicht aus Malakka, sondern aus dem im Norden angrenzenden Patani erreicht hat.

Der Islam entfaltete in Südostasien seine Dynamik zur Zeit der grossen Ausweitung des internationalen Seehandels zwischen der arabischen Welt, Indien und China im 16, und 17, Jahrhundert, Die Bevolkerung der sich im Archipel neu etablierenden Handelszentren stammte, in ihrer Mehrzahl, aus den animistischen Hinterländern der Inseln. Animismus ist aber kein leicht transportables religiöses Gut. Die Objekte seiner Verehrung - örtliche Geister und Naturgenien. die Seelen der Verstorbenen - besitzen eine starke lokale Bindung. Die Schutzwirkung dieser Geister und Ahnen hat deshalb eine lokal eingeengte Wirksamkeit. Die Leute, die in die Hafenstädte gingen, entbanden sich dieses Schutzes. Sie waren dort dem Wirken der örtlichen Geister auf Gedeih und Verderb ausgeliefert. Entweder sie reisten so häufig wie möglich zurück zu den Gräbern ihrer Ahnen und Plätzen ihrer schützenden Gesster, um ihre körperliche und spirituelle Immunität aufzufrischen, oder sie suchten sich ein neues, universelles System, ein System, das an keine bestimmte spirituelle Geographie gebunden war. Der Islam, und besonders der mystische Islam, war ein solches System. Er versprach, neben universal gültigen magischen Schutz, noch zusätzliche Kontrolle über die feinstoffliche Welt

Malausche Kosmologien sind sehr eng mit dem Begriff semangat (magisch definierte Kraft) verbunden. Semangat ist eine Kraft, die sich in allen Aspekten der naturlichen Welt manifestiert und die im zentralen Mysterium des Lebens, dem Kreislauf von Geburt, Leben und Tod, ihren tiefsten Ausdruck findet. Diese Kraft kann durch eine bestimmte Lebensführung angehäuft und maximiert werden und

wird schliesslich in der Welt als "Charisma"<sup>5</sup> sichtbar. Jede religiöse oder politische Führungspersönlichkeit in Java und anderen Teilen des Archipels verfügt, per Definition, über eine Konzentration von semangat. Denn Macht, in der einen oder anderen Form, ist nichts anderes als die zwangsläufige Folge der Verdichtung von semangar.6

Magische Kraft potenziert sich aber nicht nur in der charismatischen Persönlichkeit, sondern kann sich ebenso in bestimmten Gesteinsformationen, aussergewöhnlich gewachsenen Pflanzen, Tieren und Menschen, sowie in heiligen Grabern ansammeln. Unter dem Einfluss des Islams kam es zu einer Bedeutungsverschiebung des Konzeptes von semangat. Diese ursprünglich dem neutralen kosmischen Wirken zugedachte Kraft, wurde nun mehr und mehr dem Willen und Wirken Gottes zugeordnet. Sie näherte sich dem islamischen Begriff baraka. Baraka wird so zur Eigenschaft, über die manche Menschen im höheren Mass verfügen als andere. Die Entscheidung darüber, wer dieses seltene und wertvolle Gut besitzt. wird allein durch gesellschaftlichen Konsensus getroffen.

Innerhalb des islamischen Kontinuums vom Gesetz zur Mystik, von der Scharia zum taşawwuf, ist allein die Mystik zur Produktion von Charisma und baraka in der Lage. Wenn man davon ausgeht, dass die Potenzierung von semangat bzw. baraka der wesentliche Inhalt des spirituellen Strebens früherer religiöser Systeme im Archipel war, kann man verstehen, dass der Islam nur in seiner mystischen Form in Südostasien Eingang finden konnte. Ein religiöses System, das keine baraka in die Gesellschaft bringen und dort verbreiten konnte, hatte nie eine Chance, das Geistesleben Südostasiens dauerhaft zu beeinflussen.

Meine These lautet deshalb, dass der Islam im malaiischen Archipel nicht deshalb rezipiertiert wurde, weil er neue Legitimationsformen für die Herrscher (theoretisch stellt der mystisch geprägte Islam jede Form von Herrschaft in Frage) mit sich brachte, noch weil er ein neues Rechtssystem anbot (islamisches Recht war bis ins 19. Jahrhundert hinein von geringer Bedeutung im malaiischen Archipel). Er

<sup>5</sup> Charisma gebrauche ich hier nicht im strikten Weberschen Sinn, sondern umgangssprachlich. Also in der Bedeutung von "Führungsquahtät", "freiwillige Unterordnung herbeiföhrende Macht"

<sup>6</sup> Siehe dazu den berühmten Aufsatz von Benedict R. O'G. Anderson, 'The Idea of Power in Javanese Culture", in Clair Holt et. al. (ed.), Cultur and Politics in Indonesia (Ithaca 1972).

war erfolgreich, weil er zu einer neuen Quelle für die Konzentration von semangat und damit für Macht wurde.

Eine andere wichtige Neuerung, die der mystische Islam in die Geisteskultur des malauschen Archipels brachte, war die Gewissheit. dass Charisma und baraka nicht allein durch die asketische Ansammlung von kosmischer Kraft (semangat) erworben werden können, sondern dass Wissen, religiöses Wissen, ein wesentlicher Teil dieses Prozesses sei. Ein islamisches Sprichwort lautet: "Nie schuf Gott einen unwissenden Heiligen". Ein theologischer Ignorant kann kein Heiliger werden. Neben den asketischen, ekstatischen und gnostischen Qualitäten der Heilsuche tritt eine neue, die intellektuelle, hinzu. Da in der islamischen Kultur Heilige zugleich Wissende sind und Wissen durch das Studium von Texten erworben wird, sind die intellektuellen Fähigkeiten eines Heiligen und sein geschliffener Umgang mit geschriebenen Texten Voraussetzung für seinen Erfolg. In allen Hagiographien wird das theologisches Wissen (die Kenntnis der Offenbahrungsliteratur und der dazugehöngen Kommentare) des Heiligen als von höchster Qualität (bezogen auf die lokale Norm) geschildert. Das unterscheidet den islamischen Mystiker vom malaiischen Magier (dukun) und stellt eine klare Trennung zwischen diesen beiden Sphären her.7

Der mystisch geprägte Islam Südostasiens rezipierte und transportierte deshalb auch ein theologisches Grundwissen von Gesetz und Dogmatik - ob alle Mystiker die Bedeutung des Gesetzes für die religiöse Praxis anerkannten, ist eine andere Frage - so dass der ethische, scharatreue Sufismus, zumindest in seiner Anlage, schon sehr früh im Archipel präsent war. Allerdings fehlten oft die kulturellen und sozialen Voraussetzungen zu seiner Entwicklung.

Ich betrachte deshalb die Sufi Bruderschaften in Südostasien nicht als häretische Gegenspieler der am dogmatischen Kanon orientierten ulama. Die idealtypische Unterscheidung von ulama und Sufis ist eine Unterscheidung von Rollen, nicht von Mannern. Der falim. Wächter und Verteidiger des islamischen Rechts, und der Sufi, spintueller Meister, der den Gläubigen die gnostische Gotteserfahrung vermittelte, war sehr oft in einer Person vereint. Dies gilt ganz besonders für den malatischen Islam. Mystiker wie Abdur Raguf al Singkeli, der die Shattariyya Bruderschaft nach Südostasien brachte, Scheich Yusuf Makassari, der die Khalwatiyya verbreitete und Scheich Ismacil Simabur, der fruhe Vertreter der Nagshbandiyya-Khālidiyya, waren nicht nur Sufis sondern zugleich auch gelehrte Mama und Reformer des malaiischen Islams. Jede neu nach Südostasien gebrachte Bruderschaft war Teil eines islamischen Reformschubes

Neuere islamwissenschaftliche Autoren betrachten die islamische Mystik nicht mehr als exotische Seitenlime der islamischen Theologie, sondern sehen sie als ergänzenden Gegenpol zum Gesetz. Im malarischen Archipel war die islamische Mystik über Jahrhunderte aber mehr als nur ein ergänzender Gegenpol zum Gesetz. Sie war der Mittelpunkt sowohl der Volks- als auch der Elitenfrömmigkeit Es war vielmehr das Gesetz, das islamische Recht, das über Jahrhunderte in Südostasien von marginaler Bedeutung war. Die Scharia spielte für die mehrheitlich stammesgesellschaftlich organisierten Muslime Südostasiens nur eine geringe Rolle.8 Die Rechtssysteme der einzelnen Ethnien, der Adat, blieben für die gesellschaftliche Organisation bis ins 19. Jahrhundert von entscheidender Bedeutung. Es waren erst die nun in grosser Zahl nach Südostasien eindrungenden Hadhramis und die reformierten Bruderschaften des 19. Jahrhunderts, die die einheimischen Sitten und Bräuche kritisierten und als unislamisch darstellten. So wuchs die latente Spannung zwischen den Reinheits- und Ordnungskriterien der Stammesgesellschaften Südostasiens und der, im urbanen Kontext gewachsenen, islamischen Kultur zu einem Konflikt heran, der sowohl von der holländischen und englischen kolonialen Vorwärtsbewegung in Indonesien und Malaya als auch von einer starken islamischen Reformbewegung unterstützt und angeheizt wurde. Während die europäischen Mächte den kulturelien status quo der unterworfenen Stammesgesellschaften erhalten wollten, suchten die islamischen Krafte diesen zu überwinden. Adat und Islam standen sich jetzt feindlich gegenüber.

Der Konflikt zwischen Adat und Islam ist wahrscheinlich ein typi-

Richard Winstedts Buch, The Malay Magician being a Shaman, Shaiva and Sufi (London 195.) beschwört im Tite, und im Text eine Kontinuität zwischen animistischen und islamischen Traditionen, die man so nicht aufrechthalten kann.

<sup>8</sup> Bis ins 19. Jahrhundert entstanden in Südostasien nur zwei malansch-sprachige Kompendien des islamischen Rechts Das von Nurudden at-Rainin im 17 Jahrhundert verfasste Siratu'l Mustagim und das vom Muhammad Arshad al Banjari im 18 Jahrhundert geschriebene Sabilu'l-Muhtadin. Beide Kompendien werden noch heute verwendet

scher Konflikt in Kulturen, die erst nach der Festschreibung des islamischen Kanons in die Ummat Islam eingetreten sind. Die gesellschaftlichen Regeln und Gebräuche der arabischen Kultur fanden, als integrale Bestandteile, ihren Weg in die Suren des Korans. Sitten und Gebräuche jener Kulturen, die wahrend der ersten, raschen Expansion des Islams die neue Religion annahmen, hatten eine grosse Chance, über das Instrument des Hadith religios legitimiert zu wer den. Kulturen dagegen, die erst im 13. Jahrhundert und später, als der islamische Kanon langst abgeschlossen und rechtsgültig interpretiert war, unter das Dach des dar al-islam gelangten, hatten meht mehr die Möglichkeit, ihre kulturellen Eigenheiten, ihren Adai, religtös sanktionieren zu lassen. Diese standen ausserhalb der Sunna und hatten langfristig keine Chance, sich gegen die Offenbarungsliteratur zu behaupten Kurzfristig dagegen hatten sie diese Chance sehr wohl und sie nutzten diese auch. Überall und immer gelang es den einheimischen Weltsichten, der islamischen Orthodoxie Zugeständnisse abzuringen, ja ste bis zur Unkenntlichkeit zu verformen. Aber die innerislamische Dynamik von Reform und Erneuerung blieb mit zäher Unbeirrbarkeit am Werk. Sie trotzte den einheimischen Adat eine Festung nach der anderen ab. Dieser Prozess, der die arabo-iranischen Vorstellungen vom Wesen Gottes und von der Organtsation der idealen Gesellschaft in allen Teilen der islamischen Welt durchsetzte, ist immer noch am Werk und verningert die Differenzen zwischen Adat und Islam ständig. Es handelt sich dabei um einen Anpassungsprozess, der in der Regel gesamtgesellschaftlich getragen wird und deshalb meist konfliktfrei verläuft.9

Sufismus und islamische Bruderschaften sind zwei Phänomene, die gewöhnlich in die gleiche Kategorie gesteckt werden und die dennoch nicht ursächlich miteinander verbunden sind. Wie oben ausgeführt, wurde der Islam auf der malansche Halbinsel in seiner mystischen Variante rezipiert. Dies bedeutet jedoch nicht, dass er von Bruderschaften gebracht und getragen wurde. Im Gegenteil, das Bruderschaftswesen spielte lange Zeit in Malaya eine untergeordnete

Rolle. Selbst die grosse Expansion der neuerstarkten Bruderschaften im 19. Jahrhundert, die in der malauschen Inselwelt von überragender Bedeutung war, hinterliess nur flache Spuren auf dem malatischen Festland.

Die malaiischen Sultanate der Halbinsel waren bevölkerungsarme Kleinstaaten, die in der Regel das Einzugsgebiet eines Flusssystems nicht überschritten. Sie hatten weder die Resourcen noch den Willen, sich eine Reihe von gebildeten religiösen Spezialisten zu halten. Is-Jam war zwar die Religion der überwiegenden Mehrheit der Malaiien, aber wirkte hauptsächlich in der symbolischen Sphäre der Gesellschaft. Die Alltagsethik war im wesentlichen vom überbrachten Adat bestimmt. Nur sehr wenige Malaten liessen sich vor dem 19. Jahrhundert auf das Abenteuer der Hadsch ein und noch weniger studierten für längere Zeit im Haramayn. Ausnahmen, allerdings sehr bedeutende, kennen wir allein aus Patani, Trengganu und Kelantan. Vor allem in Patani wuchs seit der ersten Hälfte des 19. Jahrhunderts eine Anzahl islamischer Gelehrter heran, deren Einfluss weit über die Grenzen ihrer engeren Heimat wirksam wurde.

Ein Grund für die geringe Zahl von Pilgern aus Malaya war die relativ späte koloniale "Erschliessung" des Landes. Der Hadsch in Niederländisch-Indien erlebte ja nicht zuletzt durch die mit der Kolonialherrschaft eindringende Geldwirtschaft einen grossen Aufschwung. Während aber die Geldwirtschaft von den Hollandern im Archipel schon seit 1830 eingeführt wurde, kam dieser Prozess in Malaya erst fünzig Jahre später so richtig in Gang.

Die einzige Tarekat, der in den malaisschen Gebieten der Halbinsel verbreitet war, war die Shattāriyya. Die Shattāriyya wurde wahrscheinlich von Abdul Malik bin Abdullah (?1650-1736), einem Schüler von Scheich Ibrāhîm al-Kūrānī<sup>10</sup> (über dem die Verbreitung der Shattāriyya nach Südostasten im 17. Jahrhundert in der Regel lief) nach Pulau Manis/Trengganu gebracht.11 Sicher ist, dass sein Sohn Abdullah b. Abdul Malik seine Shattariyya ijäza von Abdul-

Konflikte entstanden hauptsächlich dann, wenn Kräfte von ausserhalb der Gesellschaft (etwa die Kotomalmächte) in diesen Prozess des Wandels eingraffen bzw. ihn aufhalten wollten. Selbstverständlich entsichen auch dann Konflikte wenn adat Automäten durch den geseuschaftlichen und religiösen Wandel Macht verlieren.

<sup>10</sup> Abdul Malik bin Abdullah schreibt in seiner Risälat nagl, dass er bei Ibrähim ol-Küräni studiert habe. Siehe. Shafie Abu Bakar, Institusi Shaykh. Abdu 1- Malik Bin Abdu 'liah. (Satu Corak Pengajian Di Trengganu) Dan Kitab-Kirab Padanya (Thesis untuk Sarjana Ocrsoratan, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 1976/77) 86 Daneben vom gleichen Autor 'Resalah Naql Syekh Abdul Malik bin Abdullah dengan Anotasi', Pesako ni (1985) 22.

<sup>11</sup> Shefie bin Abe Bakar, 'Syeikh Abdul Malik bin Abdullah dan Karangan-Karangannya', Pesaka II (1984)

muhyi ın Pamijahan/Westjava, dem Begründer der Shattāriyya Tradition in Java, erhielt 12

Allerdings glaube ich, dass es sich bei diesen frühen Shattāriyya Gruppen nicht um Bruderschaften im heutigen Sinn gehandelt hat. Ste waren keine "corporate groups", keine um die Autorität eines Scheichs strukturierten Gruppen mit einer fest angebundenen Mitguedschaft. Die Shattaris muss man eher als Anhanger eines Kultus, einer Tradition spiritueller (und magischer) Techniken, einer ngelmu betrachten. Ngelmu ist vom arabischen Wort 'ilm (Erkenntnis) abgeleitet, aber im malayo-islamischen Bereich war damit eine esotensche Erkenntnis gemeint. Ngelmu oder ihnu ist eine Lebenslehre, die ein spirituell erfülltes Leben, aber auch Macht und Reichtum verspricht Ngelmu gab und gibt es viele in Südostasien. Religionshistorisch oszillieren sie auf dem Kontinuum zwischen Magie und Mystik. Im Zentrum der timu der Shattaris stand die Lehre von den sieben Seinsgraden, den martabat tujuh. Sie waren der indische Versuch einer Neuinterpretation der Ibn al- Arabischen Mystik. Die "Urfassung" dieser Doktrin findet sich in Muhammad b. Fadl Allah al-Burhanpuris Werk at-Tuhfa al-mursala ıla'l-nabi, das 1590 geschrieben wurde. Die Kernaussage der martabat tujuh ist, dass Gott alles "Sein" ist und dass sich dieses Sein durch, in verschiedene Grade eingeteilte, Emanationen in der Welt manifestiert, ohne sich dabei zu verändern. Dabei ist der vollkommene Mensch, al-insän alkämil, das Schlussstück der Manifestationen. Gelingt es nun dem Mystiker, den Weg der Emanationen in umgekehrter Richtung zu gehen, dann wird er Eingang in das All-Eine, in das unterschiedslose "Ems"-Sem finden,13

Die Theorie der sieben Seinsgrade, die in anderen Regionen der islamischen Welt kaum Beachtung fand, entwickelten in den malaiischen Insel eine eigenartige Dynamik. Die martabat tujuh glitten von der Ebene der theologischen Spekulation in alle möglichen Fa cetten der malanschen Kultur- und Geistesgeschichte. So dienten sie als Modell für die Symbolik der Moscheenarchitektur in Kelantan, waren Grundlage des gesellschaftlichen Klassifikationsschemas auf

12 R.O. Winstedt, 'Notes on Malay Subjects', Journal of the Malayan Branch, Royal Asiatic Society xx, 1 (1947) 141-42

der Insel Buton in Südostcelebes und erscheinen, als Klassifikationsmodell, auch in der klassischen malaiischen Literatur (etwa im Syair Perahu). 14

Die Verbindung der Shattariyya mit der Theorie der sieben Seinsgrade war eine sehr innige. Aus Java wissen wir, dass dort die Shattārīyya noch im 19. Jahrhundert als ilmu pitu (die Lehre von den Sieben) oder auch als ilmu Satariyah (also nicht als Tarekat Shattarivva) bezeichnet wurde. Es scheint, dass die Shattariyya wesentliche Kennzeichen einer Sufi Bruderschaft entbehrte. Feste Bindungen zwischen Lehrer und Schüler gab es nicht und natürlich auch keine organisatorischen Strukturen. 15 Auch in Malaya war die Shattariyya fest mit der ilmu martabat tujuh verbunden.

Gegen Ende des 19. Jahrhunderts war die Shattariyya, in dieser Form, sowohl in Java als auch in Malaya diskretitiert. 16 Das mystische System der sieben Seinsgrade, das so eng mit dem nun negativ besetzten Begnff wahdat al-wujūd, verbunden war, war von "orthodoxeren" Vorstellungen beiseite geschoben worden. Die Shattariyya hatte den Kontakt zum internationalen Islam verloren. Ihre religiösen Praktiken waren stark von lokalen magischen Vorstellungen durchsetzt: sie war aus der Eliten-Tradition gefallen und fand sich auf den theologisch schlüpfrigen Gelände volkstümlich gefärbter Traditionen wieder. Sie spielte zwar noch eine gewisse Rolle in archaischen, nativistisch geprägten Widerstandsaktionen gegen die Kolonialmacht, aber als islamische Kraft war sie bedeutungslos geworden.

14 Dieser Aspekt der malanschen Kulturgeschichte wurde bisher noch nicht wahrgenommen, geschweige denn bearbeitet

<sup>13</sup> Wetter Kraus, Zwischen Reform und Rebellion. Über die Entwicklung des Islams in Minongkabou (Westsumatro) zwischen den beiden Reformbewegungen der Padri (1837) und der Modernisten (1908) (Wiesbuden 1984) 68ff

Snoock Hurgrouje berichtet in einem fiktiven, aber auf Erfahrung bert henden Stück über eine Aufnahme in die Shattärtyya und betont dabei, dass dem Kanditaten keinerlei Pflichten gegenüber dem Scheich auferlegt wurden. Es wurde also keine Lehrer-Schüler Beziehung hergestellt und auch keine regelmäsigen Kontakte vereinbart. Der Scheich gab allem die Formeln des dzikirs weiter. Siehe. 'Briefen van een wedono-pensioen', in Verspreide Geschriften van Snauck Hurgronje (Bona und Leipzig 1924) iv. 188-190.

<sup>16</sup> Es scheint allerdings im Angesicht der nen nach Südostasien drängenden reformierten Bruderschaften zu einer Renaissance der Shattarryya gekommen zu sein. So zeigen die Mehrzahl der heute in Acheh, West- und Zentraljava existierenden Shattariyya xilsunh, dass es um 19. Jahrhundert eine neue Übertragungsfinie gegeben hat. Diese Linie des über die Nachkommen Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānis. Auch Daud Abdullah Patani besass diese silsilg tand gab sie wahrscheinlich an Haji Yaakob bin Haji Abdul Halim (Tuan Padang) aus Kota Bharu/Keloman weiter Wie wirksam diese Shattarryya Tradition afterdings in Kelantan wurde, ist picht bekannt. Siehe dazu Nik Abdul Azız b.Hj. Nik Hassan, 'Approaches to Islamic Religious Teachings in the State of Kelantan between 1860 and 1940', Sara i (1983)

In der zweiten Hälfte des 19. Jahrhunderts schwappte eine Welle der islamischen Erneuerung durch die malanschen Welt. Getragen wurde sie von einer Reihe von neuangekommenen Bruderschaften. In Sumatra und Teilen Javas war dies die Nagshbandiyya Khālidiyya und die lokale Tarekat Qadiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya In Celebes spielte die Sammaniyya, die sich dort aus lokalen Gründen auch Khalvatıyyat Samman nannte, etwas später eine ähnliche Rolle Die Sammaniyya hatte auch in anderen Teilen des Archipels Erfolge (Westsumatra und javanische Nordküste) ohne dabei allerdings die Starke der obengenannten Bruderschaften zu erreichen.

Auf der malairschen Halbinsel war die Woge eines neuen islamischen Selbstbewusstseins schwächer als in den Inseln Die neuen, reformierten Bruderschaften!7 erreichten dort nicht die Präsenz und nicht die gleiche Wirksamkeit wie in der hollandischen Kolonie. Das hing damit zusammen, dass die politischen Verhältnisse in Malaya kleinräumiger und "traditioneller" waren. Traditioneller in dem Sinn, dass die koloniale Durchdringung der malatischen Staaten, vor allem der an der Ostküste gelegenen, wenig vorangeschritten war. Die lokalen "traditionellen" Herrschaftsformen waren noch in Takt, und es bestand deshalb kein Bedarf nach einer islamisch legitimierten Gegenorganisation. Die neuen Sufi Bruderschaften in Sumatra und Java waren ja oft eine Art "Ersatzorganisation" für zusammenbrechende lokale Institutionen. Vor allem deshalb wurden sie von der Kolomalmacht als Gefahr betrachtet.

Wenn die neuen Bruderschaften auf der malasischen Halbinsel überhaupt Fuss fassen konnten, dann spielten sie dort eine andere Rolle. So wurde z.B. die Naqshbandiyya-Mazhariyya, die von Sayıd Abdul Rahman bin Muhammad al-Idrus, der gewöhnlich Tukku Paloh genannt wird, im Sultanat Trengganu verbreitet wurde, sehr schnell zur Bruderschaft der politischen und religiösen Elite 18 Die

enge Anbindung an die herrschenden Familien verbinderte die Breitenwirksamkeit dieser Bruderschaft in Trengganu. Sie wurde, zu mindest unter den Bedingungen des ancien régime, keine Massenbewegung. 19 Erst als das Sultanat in die Abhangigkeit der englischen Kolonialverwaltung geriet, trennten sich die Wege der politischen Elite und der Nagshbandiyya-Mazhariyya. Die Bruderschaft und ihre Führer wurden zum Focus der Rebellion von 1926 in Hulu Treng-

Gegen Ende des 19. und zu Beginn des 20. Jahrhunderts erreichte in mehreren Wellen die Ahmadiyya-Rashidiyya die malaiische Halbinsel und begann sich als wichtigste Bruderschaft zu etablieren. Die aus der Tradition Ahmad b. Idrīs al-Fāsī (1749-50-1837) stammende Tarekat wurde zuerst von Abdul Samad bin Muhammad Saleh (1816-1891), der besser unter dem Namen Tuan Tabal bekannt ist, nach Kelantan gebracht. Tuan Tabal studierte mehrere Jahre in Mekka und kam wahrscheinlich um 1860 wieder in seine Heimat zurück.20 Einer seiner Lehrer in Mekka war Ibrāhīm al-Rashid, ein Schüler Ahmad b. Idrīs'. Wahrscheinlich nahm Ibrāhīm al-Rashīd Tuan Tabal in die Ahmadıyya auf und erteilte ihm die Lehrerlaubnis. Tuan Tabal liess sich nach seiner Rückkehr aus Mekka in der neuen Residenzstadt Kelantans, in Kota Bharu nieder. Hier grundete er eine Religionsschule, die bald Schüler aus ganz Malaya, sowie aus Sumatra, Südthailand und Kambodscha anzog Tuan Tabal vermittelte ein religiöses System, in dem die exoterischen und esoterischen islamischen Wissenschaften in fruchtbarer Auseinandersetzung zueinander standen. Dass dazu die ekstatischen und synkretistischen Traditionen des malaiischen Islams überwunden werden mussten, versteht sich von selbst. Tuan Tabal wird als ruhiger, besonnener Mann geschildert, der durch geduldige Unterweisung sein Islamverständnis ver-

<sup>17</sup> Ich versuche, hier den Terminus Neosufismus zu vermeiden, da er von weiten Kreisen der Islamwissenschaft abgelehnt wird. Wir haben in Stidosiasien ja kunn schriftliche Zeugnisse die sieh eignen würden, die Doktrin der "alten und der "neuen" Bruderschaften mitemander zu vergleichen. Wir sehen nur die historischen Ergebnisse. Und da wird doch deutlich, dass diejemgen Bruderschaften, die man gewöhnlich dem "Neosufismus" zwordnet, eine andere (grössere) religiöse soziale und politische Wirksomkeit entfalteten als ihre

<sup>18</sup> Zwar war sie 1926 auch entscheidend an der Rebellion in Uln Trenggann (eine der wenigen Widerstandsbewegungen gegen die britischen Kolonialherren) beterligt, aber die

Engländer, die in Malaya eine sehr ignorante Islampolitik betrieben, nahmen diesen Umstand nicht wahr.

<sup>19</sup> Ähnliches könnte man über die Nagshbandıyya-Mazhariyya, bzw. Nagshbandıyya-Khālidayya in Riau und Kedah sagen.

<sup>20</sup> Hamdan Hassan, Tarekat Ahmadiyah di Malaysia (Kunin Lumpuri 1990) 74 Falls Tuan Tabal wirldich um 1860 aus Mekka zurückkehrte, dann miss er vor 1840 geboren sein Fauzs bin Haji Awang geht davon aus, dass Tuan Tabal in den späten 1860er Jahren nach Malaya zurückkehrte. 1869 wurde der erste Sohn Tuan Tabala, Haji Nik Wan Ahmad, in Kelantan/Malaya geboren. Siebe dazu: Fauzi bin Haji Awang. Ahmadiyya Tariqah in Ketantan (M.A.Thesis, University of Canterbury, Kent 1983).

breitete. Es war u. a. die Quahtät seiner Gelehrsamkeit, die die Grundlagen für den religiösen Aufschwung in Kelantan zu Beginn des 20. Jahrhunderts schuf Obgleich Tuan Tabal in keiner seiner Schriften den Namen Ahmadıyya erwahnt, wissen wir doch aus anderen Dokumenten,21 dass er dieser Bruderschaft angehörte und sie weitergab Sein Tarekat war keine Massen-, sondern eine Elitenorganisation. Da zu seiner Zeit dem grössten Teil der Muslime in Kelantan die rudimentärste religiöse Bildung fehlte, verbreitete er die Ahmadiyya nur in einem engen Kreis von Wissenden, der auch Mitglieder der lokalen Aristokratie einschloss.

Neben Tuan Tabals Übertragungstime der Ahmadiyya nach Malaya gibt es noch drei andere Wege Sie laufen über I Muhammad Shafici bin Muhammad Saleh bin Abdur Rahman aus Kedah, 2 Haji Muhammad Sacid al-Linggi aus Negn Sembilan und 3. Sayyıd Abu Hassan al-Azahari, einem arabischen Immigranten. Wir wollen uns hier hauptsächlich mit Haji Muhammad Sacid al-Linggi befassen. Nicht nur weil seine Übertragungshme die wichtigste werden sollte, sondern auch weil er zum Auslöser des hier zu beschreibenden Konflikts wurde

Muhammad Sacīd bin Jamaluddın al-Linggī (1875-1926) stammte aus Negri Sembilan und war, wie viele Bewohner dieses Staates, von minangkabauscher Abstammung Sein Vater, Jamaluddin al-Linggi, war ebenfalls ein bedeutender 'ālim, der lange Zeit in Mekka lebte Seine Mutter stammte aus Patani/Südthailand. Muhammad Sacīd bin Jamaluddin af-Linggi wurde 1875 in Mekka geboren und verbrachte dort die ersten siehen Jahre seines Lebens. Dannach ging er mit seiner Mutter zurück nach Patani. Patani war zu dieser Zeit das bedeutendsten islamischen Zentrum der malatischen Welt und Muhammad Sacid legte dort den Grundstem für seine solide religiöse Ausbildung. Im Alter von siebzehn Jahren (1892) ging er zurück nach Mekka. Er studierte dort bei einer Reihe von arabischen ulama,22 sowie bei dem minangkabauschen "Proto-Modernisten" Ahmad Khatib (den wir spater als heftigen Gegner der Naqshbandiyya-Khālidiyya kennenlernen werden). Weitere Lehrer waren Zain al Din

al-Sumbawi, Ahmad bin Muhammad Zam al-Patani, Muhammad Nawawi Banten (1813-95) und Scheich Abdul Karim Banten Also alle wesentlichen malaiischen Gelehrten die am Ende des Jahrhunderts im Haramayn unterrichteten. Muhammad Said ging auch nach Kairo, um an der al-Azhar Universität zu studieren. Allerdings blieb er nicht lange. Die Atmosphäre von Reform und Modernisierung, die das intellektuelle Klima der al-Azhar zu jener Zeit bestimmte, blieb ihm fremd. Schon nach acht Monaten kehrte er nach Mekka zurück and suchte sich dort einen Sufi Lehrer Seine Wahl fiel auf Sayyid Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Dandarawi, den khalifa der Tarekat Ahmadiyya-Rashidiyya-Dandarāwiyya. Nachdem er in die Traditionen der Bruderschaft eingeweiht worden war, wurde Muhammad Sacid von seinem Lehrer Muhammad al-Dandarāwi als dessen Vertreter für den Fernen Osten ernannt und mit der Verbreitung der

Ahmadiyya in Malaya beauftragt.

Muhammad Sasid bin Jamaluddın al-Linggi kehrte um 1900 nach Malaya zurück und liess sich in seiner Heimat Ampangan, Negri Sembilan, nieder. Dort begann er, Mitglieder seiner Familie und Leute aus Seremban in die Ahmadıyya aufzunehmen. Darunter waren viele Anhanger lokaler Tarekat, d.h. die Ahmadiyya wurde eine Art Sammlungsbewegung für Anhänger lokaler (synkretistischer?) Bruderschaften. Um seinen Auftrag als khalīfa für Sudostasien zu genügen, begab er sich bald auf Reisen Zuerst ging er nach Kedah, wo er allerdings wenig Erfolg hatte. Darauf zog er weiter nach Kelantan und liess sich für einige Jahre in Kota Bharu nieder. In Kelantan, wo die Ahmadiyya schon durch Tuan Tabal bekannt gemacht war, fielen seine Ideen auf fruchtbaren Boden. Allerdings unterschied sich seine Lehrmethode wesentlich von der seines Vorgängers. Während Tuan Tabal sich nur an religiös Gebildete wandte, stand Muhammad Sacids Dzikir-Gemeinde allen offen. Sie zog bald, ob des ekstatischen Charakter ihrer mystischen Übungen, die Aufmerksamkeit der Öffentlichkeit auf sich. Während der dzikir-Veranstaltungen der Ahmadiyya-Rashīdiyya fielen die Leute reihenweise in Verzückung, hatten Visionen, trafen den Propheten und die Engel, waren majdhub, trunken. Selbst der fünfjahrige Sohn des Scheichs, der nach malaiischer Vorstellung belum berakal, noch ohne Wissen, war und bei solchen religiösen Übungen gar nicht anwesend sein sollte, hatte eine göttliche Vision: Allah präsentierte sich dem Klei nen kindgerecht als Vogel auf einem Baum.

Der schnelle Erfolg Muhammad Sacids in Kota Bharu geht sicher

<sup>21</sup> Hamaan Hassan, Torekat Ahmadiyah di Malaysia 244.

<sup>22</sup> U a. Muhammad bin Sulaman alias Hasbullah al-Maki, Sayyid Abu Bakar Shata, Scheich Umar Barakat al-Shami, Muhammad Sa'id Babsil Muhammad Yusuf al-Khayyat, Umar bin Abu Bakur Ba Junid, Ustaz Muhammad Sa'id Yamani.

auch auf seine frühe Verbindung zu einem gewissen Scheich Ismail oder Tuk Walı İsmail zuruck. Tuk Walı İsmail wurde zu Beginn des 20 Jahrhunderts von seinen Anhängern in Kelantan als Heiliger verehrt, von seinen Gegnern dagegen als Verrückter gescholten.23 Er war ein Scheich des Tarekat Darajat.24 Später wurde er ein wegen seiner Segnungskraft (baraka) weit verehrter und gesuchter Heiler.25 Die zwei Hauptvoraussetzungen für islamisches Charismas und Erfolg, nämlich die Fähigkeit Wunder zu wirken und fundiertes religröses Wissen, wurden hier offensichtlich auf zwei Personen verteilt. Der einheimische Tuk Wah Ismail war für die Wunder zuständig der zugereiste Muhammad Safid für das Wissen.

Die dzikir-Abende des Muhammad Safid in Kota Bharu wurden bald Gegenstand einer lebhaften religiösen Debatte. Während sie von den Anhängern der Ahmadiyya für rechtsinnig erklärt wurden, betrachteten andere sie als unerlaubte Abweichung von der islamischen Norm. Um den gesellschaftlichen Frieden zu bewahren, gab der damalige Herrscher Kelantans, Raja Muhammad IV., bei dem berühmten patanischen, in Mekka lebenden, Gelehrten Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad Zain al-Fathanī,26 ein Fatwa in Auftrag. Der Raja schrieb im Jahre 1321 oder 1323 H. (1903 oder 1905) einen Brief an Ahmad b. Muhammad Zain nach Mekka.27 Darin schilderte er, dass

die Ahmadiyya in Kelantan Zulauf von Frauen und Männern, Jungen und Alten, Wissenden und Unwissenden, von Fürstensohnen und ulama hatte. Dass die Ahmadis beim Dakir im Stehen ihre Körper wie beim Tanz hin und her bewegten, mit den Füssen stampften und manchmal dabei das Licht löschten. Einige würden in Ohnmacht fallen, andere behaupteten, dass sie hinter den Schleier der Verborgenheit geschaut und dort Gott, Muhammad und seine Freunde. Himmel und Hölle erblickt hätten. Bei einigen hielt diese religiose Erregung auch ausserhalb der Dzikir-Versammlungen an, sie weinten während des Freitagsgebetes, brachen in "Allah, Allah Rufe" aus und zogen die Umstehenden mit sich Der Raja, der anmerkte, dass ähnliches bislang in Kelantan unbekannt war, war ob dieser Erwekkungsbewegung sichtlich beunruhigt. Wahrscheinlich befürchtete er, dass die in Bewegung geratenen Massen ausser Kontrolle geraten könnten, dass sich eine soziale Bewegung mit unvorhersehbaren Konsequenzen entwickeln könnte. Er wollte von Wan Ahmad wissen ob diese Praktiken der Ahmadiyya rechtsinnig oder häretisch seien

Die Idrisi Tradition stand in der Regel ekstatischen Praktiken eher skeptisch gegenüber. So wissen wir z B., dass die Khatmiyya keine "extravaganten" Zustände förderte.28 Auch Muhammad al-Dandarāwī, der posthum als "one of the most faithful followers of the Way of Ibn Idris" bezeichnet wurde, scheint eine nüchterne Form des Dzikir gelehrt zu haben.29 In Kelantan haben Tuan Tabal und später Abu Hassan al-Azaharı (der wie Muhammad Sacid seine ijāza von al-Dandarawi erhielt), den Zustand des majdhüb bei ihren Anhängern nicht propagiert. Und selbst Muhammad Sasid hat später in Negri Sembilan keine ekstatischen Zustände erlaubt. In einer Erinnerung, die sich auf Negri Sembilan im Jahr 1917 bezieht, heisst es:

"At the conclusion of the ratib, the participants, advanced students and noviciotes alike. stood with linked hands in a circle as they chapted the formula "fa-ita-ha-it-al.ah" in unisono

<sup>23</sup> Hamdan Hassan, Tarekat Ahmadiyah di Malaysia 62

<sup>24</sup> Darajat oder derajah bedeutet Rang, Stufe, Grad, Vielleicht handelt es sich dabei um eine Fihale der Shattanyya, die Bruderschaft des siebengradigen Wegs martabat taudi-

<sup>25</sup> Raymond Firth, 'Faith and Scepticism in Kelnnian Village Magic', in William R. Roff (cd.), Kelantan, Retigion, Society and Politics in a Malay State 208.

<sup>26</sup> Wan Ahmad big Muhammad Zain al-Fitham worde 1856 in Kompung Sena Janjar, Jambu, Patam geboren. Er studierte religiöse Wissenschaften und islamische Medizin in Merka, Jerusalem und Kairo. Später unterrichtete ar an der al-Haram Moschee in Mekka und genoss unter malauschen Studenten und bei den osmanischen Autoritäten grosses Ansehen. 1883 wurde er damit beauftragt, die neueingenehtete malausche Druckerei in Mekko zu leiten; vgl Christian Snouck Hurgronge, Mekka in the Latter Part of the 19th Century (London 1931) 286 Als er 1908 starb, hinterfiess er ein umfangreiches Werk und viele Schüler Siehe. Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad Zoin al-Fathani, Sufi dan Wali Allah, ed. Wan Muhammad Shagir Abdullah (Bandung 1985) und Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad Zam al-Fathani, Fativa tentang binatang hidup dua alam, ed. Wan Muhammad Shagir Abdullah (Shah Alam 1990).

<sup>27</sup> Es existieren angeblich drei Versionen dieses Briefes. Siehe dazu: Hamdan Hassan. 'Kepustakaan Tarekat Ahmadiyah di Malaysia', Dewan Saxtra (Nov. 1986) 78 und (Dez. 1986) 75-76. Der Brief wurde mehrmals veröffentricht, z.B. bei Hamdan Hassan, Tarekot Ahmadiyah di Malaysia 60 und 243 45, ber Fauzi Bin Hap Awang, Ahmadiyya Tarigah in Kelantan 93-94 und Appendix F

<sup>28</sup> Spencer Transingham, Islam in the Sudan (London 1949) 212 and 217

<sup>29</sup> Dies salt allerdings nicht für seine Nachfolger. Sein Sohn Abü'l- Abbüs (gest 1953) erkläne sich 1942 oder 1943 zum nabī 'İsā, zum Propheten Jesus, der nach dem Mahdi wiederkommen soll. Dies löste einen Sturm des Protestes innerhalb der Idrīsī Tradition hervor sodass die Ahmediyya-Dandarawiyya vom "High Sufi Council" Agyptens 1949 verboten worde. Ali Salih Karras, The Sufi Brotherhoods in the Sudan until 1900 (Ph D Diss., Universität Bergen 1985) 124. Sein Sohn und Nachfolger, Amir Pädit b. "Abbäs, heute einer der reschsten Erdölhändler Ägyptens, bezeichnet deshalb seine Organisation nicht mehr tariga Ahmadiyya, sondern 'usrat (Familie) Ahmadiyya

As they swayed from side to side and as the tempo of the side-to-side movement increased and the formula chanted grew louder and louder, a novitiate shouted the word "Allah" and fell to the floor in the middle of the circle in a dead faint

The rest of the porticipants continued to perform the ratib ... until Tunn Hap Said signaled the end of the rigorous ratio by clapping his hands. It took some time for the fallen novitiate to recover fell consciousness, at which time Tuan Haji Said immediately advised him to discontinue from being a member of the Tarekai fraternity' 30

Man kann deshalb davon ausgehen, dass die oben von Raja Kelantan geschilderten Symptome einer Massenhysterie nichts mit der Ideologie oder der Methode der Ahmadiyya an sich zu tun hatten. Es handelte sich hier offensichtlich um einen lokalen Sonderfall. der allein vor dem Hintergrund des historischen Dramas Kelantans zu Beginn des 20. Jahrhunderts zu verstehen ist.

Das erste Jahrzehnt des 20. Jahrhunderts war eine Zeit dramatischer Umwälzungen in Kelantan. Das Land, das gerade erst unter der Herrschaft von Sultan Muhammad II. (1839-86) aus einer Anzahl von "Sub-Staaten" zu einem Einheitsstaat zusammengeschweisst worden war, wurde durch eine Serie von bitteren Erbfolgestreitigkeiten abermals in Unruhe und Unsicherheit gestürzt. Die aristokratischen Eliten versuchten, wieder die direkte Kontrolle über einzelne Landesteile zu erlangen, sich aus der Abhängigkeit vom Wohlwollen der Zentralregierung zu befreien. Sie spalteten sich in mehrere Faktionen auf und spannten über das Land ein enges Netz von Gerüchten und Intrigen. Dabei verwickelten sie weite Teile der Bevölkerung in ihre Macht- und Prestigekämpfe. Die verworrene Situation provozierte das Eingreifen Siams, der Hegemomalmacht über die nördlichen malaiischen Staaten. Einen Höhepunkt erreichte die Konfusion nach dem Tode Sultan Mansurs (1900) und der Thronbesteigung von Tuan Long Senik als Raja Muhammad IV. Er konnte sich gegen die Macht seiner übergangenen Onkel nicht durchsetzen, die sich weite Gebiete Kelantans angeeignet und der Bevölkerung zusätzliche Belastungen aufgebürdet hatten.31 Gleichzeitig geriet

30 Muhamad Said Tan Sri Datak, Memoirs of a Mentri Besar (Singapore 1980?) 12-13.

Kelantan in die Auseinandersetzungen zwischen Grossbritanien, das seinen Einfluss auf die nördliche malausche Halbinsel auszudehnen versuchte, und Siam, das seine nom nelle Oberhoheit über die Kedah, Perlis, Trengganu und Kelantan erhalten wollte. Im Zuge und als Teil dieser Auseinandersetzung gelang es der Duff Development Company, grosse Konzessionen in Kelantan zu erwerben und die Grundlagen kapitalistischer Wirtschaftsweisen zu legen. 1902 schlossen die Briten und Stamnesen, ohne Einbeziehung des Rajas von Kelantan, einen Vertrag ab, in dem die stammesische Kontrolle der auswartigen Beziehungen Kelantans festgeschrieben wurde. Ausserdem sollte der Raja einen "Berater" akzeptieren, dessen Anweisungen er (ausser in Fragen der islamischen Religion und der malatischen Tradition) zu befolgen hatte. Dieser Berater wurde de jure von der siamnesischen Regierung in Bangkok ernannt, musste allerdings britischer Nationalität sein. Seine Bestellung und Ablosung bedurfte der Zustimmung der britischen Autoritäten in Singapur. Diese seltsame Konstruktion, in der ein Siam unterstelltes Kelantan den Ratschlägen eines Briten folgen musste, dauerte nur wenige Jahre. Der anglo-siamnesische Vertrag von 1909 löste Kelantan aus der siamnesischen Oberhoheit und stellte es unter britische Herrschaft. Kelantan wurde in der Folgezeit einer der Nonfederated States of Malaya.

Die Zeit zwischen 1900 und 1909 war in Kelantan eine Zeit der kulturellen und politischen Krise. Alle Grundlagen der bisherigen Ordnung wurden in Frage gestellt oder zerbrachen. Weite Kreise der Bevölkerung stürzten in kulturelle Verwirrung und Anarchie. Eine festgefügte Welt löste sich auf und mit ihr die überkommene Identität der Kelantanesen. Es ist nicht verwunderlich, dass diese Krisensituation zum fruchtbaren Nährboden für charismatische Bewegungen werden sollte. Die von Muhammad Sacid um 1902/3 nach Kelantan gebrachte Ahmadiyya wurde in diesem Klima der Verwirrung vorübergehend (unfreiwilliger?) Träger einer charismatischen Erweckungsbewegung. Die erschütterte Bevölkerung Kelantans sah in der Ahmadiyya eine Möglichkeit zu neuer religiöser Identifikation. Muhammad Sacid bot sich, durch seinen langen Aufenthalt in Mekka und durch seine überdurchschnittliche Gelehrsamkeit, als ideales Projektionsfeld für charismatische Erwartungen an. Zwar wissen wir nichts von einem Wunder, das, nach Max Weber, gewöhnlich den charismatischen Prozess in Gang setzt, aber da sprang ja vielleicht der oben erwähnte kelantanesische "Strassenheilige" Tuk Wali

<sup>31</sup> Eine ausführliche Darstellung dieser Periode der Geschichte Kelantans findet sich in. Mohammed b. Nik Mohd. Salleh, 'Kelantan in Transition, 1891-1910', in William R. Roff (ed.), Kelantan, Religion, Society and Politics in a Malay State 22-61, suche auch: J.M.Gellick, Rulers and Residents Influence and Power in the Malay States, 1870-1920 (Singapore 1992) 143-48

Ismail in die Bresche. Die während des dzikir erfahrenen Visionen durften ebenfalls die Präsenz des Wunderbaren, das den Malaiien sowieso naher steht als uns, erfahrbar gemacht haben. Allerdings kam es nicht zu einer Institutionalisierung des Charismas von Muhammad Sa'id Er konnte sich nicht auf Dauer in Kota Bham etablieren. Die Zeiten waren wohl zu bewegt. Wir wissen weder. wann er Kelantan verlassen hat, noch unter welchen Umständen dies geschah. Wahrscheinlich gab es einen gewissen Druck von Seiten des Herrschers und der islamischen Hierarchie. Sicher ist, dass seine Spuren verhältnismassig schnell verblassten.

Mit dem Ende der grossen Kulturkrise in Kelantan (das paradoxer Weise mit der Etablierung der britischen Ordnungsmacht einherging), kam auch das Ende des ekstatischen Charakters der Ahmadiyya in Kelantan. Der tarekat wurde wieder Teil der nüchternen, "orthodoxen", islamischen Mystik, so wie er das auch schon unter Tuan Tabal gewesen war.

Die oben erwähnte Fatwa, die Raja Muhammad IV. von Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad Zain al-Fathani erbat, kann man übrigens auch als Beginn eines neuen Rollenverständnisses der Herrscher von Kelantan sehen. Durch den britischen Berater sämtlicher politischer Autorität beraubt, blieb dem Herrscher allein das Feld der Rehgion und des Adat als Exerzierplatz seiner reduzierten Macht. Sein Interesse an Fragen der religiosen Organisation nahm dementsprechend zu. Die 1915 gegründete Majlis Ugama dan Isti'adat Malavu sollte ein vorübergehender Höhepunkt dieser neuen Aktivitäten der kelantanesischen Herrscher werden.

Die Antwort Wan Ahmads ibn Muhammad Zains auf die Briefe des Rajas war eine lange Fatwa, die allerdings keine klare Antwort auf den Kern der Frage des Herrschers - ist der Zustand des majdhüb (und damit die Ahmadıyya) rechtsinnig oder nicht? - gibt. Wan Ahmad zittert eine ganze Reihe von Ausserungen bekannter islamischer Autoren zum Thema majdhüb, die sowohl zustimmender als auch ablehnender Natur sind. Weil er wahrscheinlich über die genauen Machtverhaltnisse in Kelantan nicht informiert und sich über die Absichten des Rajas im unklaren war, kommt er zur salomonischen Aussage, dass der Zustand der religiösen Verzückung rechtsining ist, aber dass zu viele Scharlatane diesen Zustand simuheren. Als Mittel zur Erkenntnis Gottes hält er ihn für nicht hilfreich. Interessant ist, dass Wan Ahmad mitteilt, dass auch er ein Bruder der

Ahmadiyya ist, aber dass er nie den Zustand des majdhub erlebt hatte. Er bezeichnet den Gründer der Idrisi Tradition, Ahmad b Idris und seine Nachfolger als grosse Heilige, deren Verehrung er allen Muslimen anrät. Als Nachfolger von Ahmad b. Idris nennt er Ibrāhim ar-Rashid, Ahmad Danduri (Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Dandarāwi) und Sidi Muḥammad Shakeh Kalifa (al-Shaykh Ibn Muhammad b. Şāliḥ), also die wichtigsten Namen der Rashidiyya Tradition in seiner Zeit. 32 Der Versuch einiger traditioneller kelantanesischen ulama die Ahmadiyya als unislamisch brandmarken zu lassen war damit gescheitert.

Doch dieser Versuch war nur ein Vorspiel. Die Rechtsinnigkeit der Ahmadiyya wurde einige Jahre spater etneut und nun wesentlich vehementer, in Frage gestellt. Diesmal durch einen Artikel der Zeitung al-Imām.33 Al-Imām wurde 1906 gegründet und erschien nur zweieinhalb Jahre Dennoch zählt sie zu den wichtigsten malaiischen Zeitungen dieses Jahrhunderts. Al-Imām war die erste Publikation, die die Ideen der Salafiyya nach Südostasien trug und dort verbreitete. Ihr publizistisches Vorbild war der ägyptische al-Manār, aus der sie auch Beiträge, in malatischer Übersetzung, nachdruckte. In einem der ersten Leitartikel der Zeitung wurden folgende programatische Grundsätze aufgestellt, die bis heute islamisches Denken in Südostasien prägen. Es heisst dort:

'Perhaps it may be said that we are most in need of skills of craftmanship and agriculture, or knowledge of how to preserve our country from its enemies, or that we need education to rescue us from the slough of apathy and indolence, or that we must learn to unite for the common good, ... All this is true. But the one thing that will strengthen and realise all our desires is knowledge of the commands of our religion. For religion is the proved cure for all the this of our community<sup>1</sup>,34

Al-Imam wurde bald zur bedeutendsten Zeitung in Malaya und Niederländisch Indien und von weiten Kreisen der islamischen Ehte Südostasiens als religiöse Autorität anerkannt. Al-Imām bestand zwar nur zweieinhalb Jahre, fand aber sowohl in Indonesien als auch

<sup>32</sup> Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad Zain al-Fathani, Sigi dan Wali Allah 54

<sup>33</sup> al-linām ii, no. 8, 4, 2,1908, 225-260. Der Beitrag wird auch ausführlich in Abu Bakar Hamzah, Al-Imam. Its Rale in Malay Society, 1906-1908 (Kuala Lumpur 1991) 37-49, zitieri und dokumentiert

<sup>34</sup> Zittert nach, William R. Roff, The Origins of Malay Nationalism (Singapore, Kun.a Lumpur, University of Malaya Press 1967) 56. Meine Hervorhebung

in Malaya eine Reihe von Nachfolgern. Die Zeitung setzte den Standart, war der Beginn eines Typus. Al Imam war die Fanfare der Kaum Muda (junge Gruppe), des südostasiatischen islamischen Modernismus. Als Gründer der Zeitung traten vier Personen in Erscheinung: Shaykh Mohammad Tahir bin Jalaluddin Al-Azhari aus Westsumatra, Sayyıd Shaykh bin Ahmad Al-Hadi, ein Malaie arabischer Abstammung aus Malakka, Hap Abbas bin Mohammad Taha aus Singapur und Shaykh Mohammad Salim Al Kalali aus Aceh in Nordsumatra. Der führende Kopf der Gruppe war Shaykh Mohammad Tahir. Er hatte zwölf Jahre bei seinem Cousin, dem minangkabauschen Proto-Modernisten Ahmad Khatib, in Mekka studiert. 1893 ging Shaykh Mohammad Tahir nach Kairo und studierte an der Azhar Astronomie. Mohammad Tahir verband den reformistischen Geist der malaio-islamischen Lehrer in Mekka mit den Ideen der ägyptischen Salafiyya und brachte so eine neue Qualität islamischer Reform nach Südostasien

In der Ausgabe vom 4. Februar 1908 erschien in al-Imam ein Aufsatz, der über die Ahmadıyya im Süden Siams handelte (Kelantan war zu dieser Zeit noch ein tributärer Staat Siams). Der Aufsatz erschien anonym, aber man kann davon ausgehen, dass sein Verfasser Shaykh Mohammad Tahir war. Er war bis März 1908 leitender Redakteur von al-Imām. Mohammad Tahir hatte bereits in der Ausgabe vom 5. Januar 1908 die Bruderschaften in Südostasien als grosses Hindernis für die Entwicklung der malaio-islamischen Kultur bezeichnet.35 Offensichtlich hatte er von seinem Lehrer Ahmad Khatib dessen starke Abneigung gegen islamischen Bruderschaften übernommen. Ahmad Khatib hatte in Mekka einen persönlichen Konflikt mit Shaykh Suleiman Effendi, den einflussreichen khalifa der Nagshbandıyya-Khālidiyya. Beide konkurnerten um die Loyalität der zahlreiche Schüler aus dem malaiischen Archipel, die für das Einkommen der beiden Lehrer von erheblicher Bedeutung waren. Mohammad Tahir war, wie übrigens viele der salafitischen Vertreter in Südostasien, selbst Sohn eines bedeutenden Nagshbandiyya Scheichs in Minangkabau

Mit seinem Artikels in al-Imam verfolgte Muhammad Tahir eine

ganz andere Absicht als der Raja von Kelantan einige Jahre früher. Während der Raja versuchte, eine soziale Bewegung in den Griff zu bekommen, ging es al-Imam allem um ein ideologisches Problem, um die Polemik gegen die Bruderschaften im allgemeinen und die Ahmadıyya im besonderen. Der Autor begriff dies als notwendige Auseinandersetzung mit vermeintlichen Obskurantismus und erhob sich selbst bzw. die Zeitung al-Imam zur Fatwa-gebenden Instanz. Aus dem Inhalt des Aufsatzes geht allerdings hervor, dass der Autor mit dem wahren Wesen der Ahmadiyya-Rashidiyya nicht vertraut war

Al-Imām berichtet über einen Muslim aus Patani/Südthailand,36 der in seiner Heimat dzikir-Abende eines Ahmadiyya Scheichs besucht haben will. Der Mann aus Patani schickte der Zeitung einen Katalog von Fragen zu gewissen Übungen der Tarekat Ahmadıyya. Dieser Mann aus Patani ist allerdings eine Konstruktion. Bei dem angeblich aus seiner Feder stammenden Brief, handelt es sich um nichts anderes als um das obenerwähnte Schreiben, das der Raja von Kelantan an Ahmad bin Muhammad al-Patani nach Mekka geschickt hatte. Allerdings bekommt dieser Brief durch die Absicht und die Zitierweise in al-Imam einen eindeutig feindseligen Charakter. Der Artikel berichtet über einen namentlich nicht genannten Ahmadiyya Scheich (gemeint ist Muhammad Sa id al-Linggi), der mit grossem Erfolg seine Bruderschaft in Kelantan verbreitete. Al-Imām wirst ihm vor, dass er alle Menschen, die zu ihm kamen (selbst wenn es ihnen am grundlegenden Wissen der islamischen Pflichtenlehre mangelte) in die Ahmadiyya aufnahm. Ein Vorwurf, der übrigens immer wieder von den Gegnern der Sufis im malauschen Archipel erhoben wurde.

"Unter jenen, die mystische Unterweisung zuchen, sind aber auch Mitglieder der königlichen Familie und Kinder von Ministern. Das ist so, weil der Gurt, der Tarakat den Leiten versichert, dass jeder, der in die Bruderschaft eintritt, auch eine Gerantie filt den Eintritt ins Paradies erwicht. Die Tarekat set wie Feuer, er zeitigt sofortige Wirkung "37

Der fiktive Mann aus Patant beschreibt auch einen Dzikir-Abend an den er teilgenommen haben will:

\* ... das Licht wird gelöscht und man sieht im Kreis mit breinenden Weihrauchschalen in

<sup>35</sup> Diesen Beitrag kenne ich nur als Zitat in: Mohd, Sarim b. Han Mustajab, 'Syeikh Muhammad Tahir Jalaluddin Al-Falaki, Pelopor Gerakan Islah Islamiyyah di Tonoh Melayu', Molaysia in History xx. 2 (1977) 10

<sup>36</sup> Patani, eine Region die im Norden an Kelantan anschliesst und die zu dieser Zeit kein Herrschechaus hatte

<sup>37</sup> al-Imâm ii, No. 8 (1908) 256.

den Händen. Dabes spricht man unter schwingenden Körperbewegungen den Daikir. Es ist eine Bewegung die den arabischen Tänzen Ta'in und Takassur ähnelt. Dabei stampfen die Anwesenden mit den Füssen auf den Boden und erzeugen damit den Klang einer grossen Trommel. der die Nachbarn aus ihrem Schlaf aufschreckt. Wenn der Höhepunkt dieses getanzien Dzikirs erreicht ist, wird er durch einen Zischlaut des Gurus angehalten. Dabei fallen einige wie betäubt nieder und müssen vom Guro derch sanfte Ristenschläge zurückgeholt werden. Während der Entrücktheit (majdhilb) rufen sie mit lauter Stimme aus, dass nie das Verborgene gesehen haben, den Propheten und seine Familie, Menschen in Grübern, im Paradies oder in der Hölle 138

Auch die Geschichte des fünfjährigen Sohnes des Scheiches, der Gott auf einen Baum sitzen sah, wird wiederholt 39 Der Guru Tarekat versichert seinen Schülern, dass ihre Visionen kein Werk des Teufels seien, sondern Manifestationen des göttlichen Willens. Auf die Bemerkung, dass frühere Anhanger der Rashidiyya (Scheich Tuan Tabal) diese Visionen nicht kannten, soll der Guru geantwortet haben, dass es ihnen wohl an der nötigen spirituellen Erfahrung gemangelt habe.

Der fiktive Briefschreiber aus Patani bittet al-Imam um eine Stellungnahme. Shaykh Mohammad Tahir, der Verfasser des Artikels, konstruierte die funf folgenden Fragen (die im ursprünglichen Brief des Rajas von Kelantan, in dieser Form, nicht zu finden sind):

- 1. Gab es zur Zeit des Propheten Bruderschaften? Sind diese Teil der islamischen Tradition? Welche Verse des Korans oder welcher Hadith beziehen sich auf sie?
- 2. Wenn es in der Zeit des Propheten keine Tarekat gegeben hat, müssen sie dann als Neuerungen (bid'a) verstanden werden? Wenn ja, sind sie bid'a hasana (gute Neuerungen) oder bid'a dzalala (schlechte Neuerungen)? Wenn sie bid'a hasana sind, was ist dann die genaue Definition von guten Neuerungen?
- 3. Wenn Tarekat bidea dzalala sınd, ist es dann für die Herrscher und die Autoritäten, die für diese Fragen zuständig sind, verpflichtend, die Verbreitung der Lehren dieser Tarekat zu verbieten?
- 4. Wie stellt sich die Situation der Tarekat-Scheiche dar, wenn Bruderschaften verboten werden. Sind die Herrscher und die Autoritäten, die für diese Fragen zuständig sind, verpflichtet, sie aus ihrem

Territorium zu verbannen, so dass sie ihre falschen Lehren nicht weiter verbreiten können?

5. Sind die Herrscher verpflichtet, diejenigen, die die Lehren der Tarekat nicht aufgeben, zu bestrafen?40

Die Antworten die al-Imam auf diese fünf Fragen gibt, können folgendermassen zusammengefasst werden.

Zu Zeiten des Propheten gab es keine Tarekat. Weder im Koran noch im Hadith sind sie erwähnt. Deshalb sind sie bid'a, Neuerungen. Bevor nun die Frage, ob sie gute oder schlechte Neuerungen sind, beantwortet wird, streut der Autor einige Gerüchte ein. Z.B., dass es wärend der dzikir-Treffen zu sexuellen Handlungen kommen soll und dass die Tarekat Scheiche oft beitrittswillige Frauen sexuell missbrauchen. Dies sind stereotype Behauptungen, die in jeder Polemik gegen Tarekat im malajischen Archipel vorkommen. Diese absichtsvoll gestreuten Gerüchte bereiteten dann auch die nächste Antwort vor, nämlich, dass Tarekat verbotene Neuerungen sind. Deshalb müssen die Herrscher oder die zuständigen Autoritäten in ihrem Herrschaftsbereich die Bruderschaften verbieten. Tarekat-Scheiche müssen vertrieben und Tarekat-Anhänger, die ihre verwerfliche Praxis nicht aufgeben, bestraft werden.41

Mit diesem frontalen Angriff schlug al-Imam mehrere Pliegen mit einer Klappe. Erstens wurde die Legitimation aller Tarekat in der malauschen Welt in Abrede gestellt. Zweitens übte al-Imām deutlich Kritik an der religiösen Autorität (des damals gerade verstorbenen) Wan Ahmad bin Muhammad al-Fatanis, der ja in seiner Fatwa die Ahmadiyya als rechtsinnige Bruderschaft anerkannt hatte. Drittens wird jenen malaiischen Herrschern, d.e Bruderschaften auf ihren Territorien dulden, Vernachlässigung ihrer religiösen Aufsichtspflicht vorgeworfen und viertens versuchen die salafitischen Autoren al-Imām als Fatwa-gebende Institution in der malauschen Welt zu etablieren.

Ich habe schon erwähnt, dass es auch früher kritische Äusserungen zu den Sufi Bruderschaften in der malaiischen Welt gegeben hat. Etwa den Angriff des Hadhramis Salim b. Samīrs auf Ismail Minangkabau, der die Nagshbandiyya-Khalidiyya in den 1850er Jahren nach Riau brachte, oder die Broschüre al-Nasiha li'l-

<sup>39</sup> Der nicht älter gewordene Sohn beweist, dass es sich hier im die gleichen Vorgänge handelt, die der Raja Kelantan schon Jahre früher beschrieben hat.

<sup>40</sup> al-Imām n. No. 8 (1908) 257

<sup>41</sup> al-Imām ii, No. 8 (1908) 277

mutalabbisin bi'l-tariqa, die von Sayyıd Usman Ibn Abdullah Ibn Akıl Ibn Al-Alawi in den 1880er Jahren (zur grossen Freude der Kolonialverwaltung und Snouck Hurgronjes) in Umlauf gebracht wurde.42 Allerdings sprachen sich beide Autoren nicht gegen die Bruderschaften als solche aus, sondern nur gegen gewisse Abweichungen und gegen den geringen Wissensstand ihrer Scheiche und Anhanger Nicht Bruderschaften waren das Problem, sondern unwissende Brüder 143

Zu Beginn des 20. Jahrhunderts wurde die Kritik heftiger Der Sumatraner Ahmad Khatib bin Abdu'l Latif (1852-1915), der schon mehrmals erwähnte Cousin und Lehrer von Muḥammad Tahir, veröffentlichte zwischen 1906 und 1908 dies scharfe Angriffe auf die Naqshbandiyya, die die Rechtsinnigkeit aller Tarekai in Frage stellen. Mohammad Tahirs Beitrag in al-Imām muss man in Verbindung mit den drei Risalas seines Lehrers sehen Allerdings ging Mohammad Tahir noch weiter als sein Lehrer. Ahmad Khatib qualifizierte die Naqshbandiyya zwar als bid'a und svirk, sprach jedoch keine politische Empfehlung aus und forderte auch kein staatliches Verbot dieser Bruderschaft Der al-Imam Artikel mahnte dagegen ordnungpolitische Massnahmen gegen Tarekat Führer und sogar gegen religiöse Aufsichtsbehörden, die ihrer Pflicht nicht nachkommen, an Der Aufsatz wird dadurch zur schärfsten gedruckten Polemik gegen islamische Bruderschaften und ihre Anhänger in Südostasien.

Es ist nicht nachzuweisen, dass die extremen Aussagen des al-Imām Artikels direkte Auswirkungen auf die Situation der Bruderschaften in Malaya hatten Aber die spätere Verbannung (1917) des Ahmadıyya Scheichs Abu Hassan al-Azaharı aus Kelantan, könnte inneristamisch durchaus mit den theologischen Ansichten al-Imāms gerechtfertigt worden sein. In einem Beschluss der Majlis Ugama Ketantan wurde ihm vorgeworfen, exzessive religiöse Begeisterung hervorgerufen zu haben. 44 Der gleiche Beschluss fordert, dass in Zukunft Sufi Lehrer eine staatliche Lehrerlaubnis erwerben und den Inhalt ihrer Lehren überprüfen lassen müssen.45

Die kompromisslose Haltung Shaykh Mohammad Tahırs gegenüber den Bruderschaften wurde sicher nicht von den anderen drei Herausgebern von al-Imām mitgetragen. Als es (fast dreissig Jahre später) in Kelantan zu einer grossen Debatte über die Zulässigkeit des Haltens von Hunden als Haustiere kam, befand sich in der grossen Koalition der Befürworter, neben dem Ahmadi Scheich Wan Musa, auch der ehemalige Herausgeber von al-Imam Hajji Abas Taha,46 Auch aus Indonesien wissen wir, dass der grössere Teil der Salafiyya eine relativ neutrale Haltung gegenüber islamischen Bruderschaften einnahm.

Mohammad Tahir, der erst im Jahr 1954 starb, wurde in der Folgezeit ein einflussreicher religiöser Berater an mehreren malauschen Fürstenhäusern, und es ist anzunehmen, dass er seine ablehnende Haltung gegenüber Sufi Bruderschaften aufrechterhielt und weiter gab. In jedem Fall kann man sagen, dass die simple Intoleranz seines Artikels im Laufe der Zeit Wirkung zeigte. In Malaysia besteht heute ein grosser Druck auf jede Form von religiöser Äusserung, die vom skripturalistischen, am Gesetz orientierten, engen Islamverständnis abweicht Bruderschaften sind da besonders suspekt und sind standig der Gefahr ausgesetzt, als ajaran sesat (falsche Lehre) verleumdet zu werden. Die Ahmadiyya entging diesem Schicksal lange dadurch, da sie über qualifizierte Führer verfügte, die selbst zur etablierten Elite der Ummat Islam Malaysias aufstregen. So wurde der Sohn von Muhammad Sacid al-Linggi, Ahmad bin Muhammad Sacid, 1950 Mufti von Negri Sembilan. Aber in jüngerer Zeit und im Rahmen der zunehmenden Revitalisierung und Skripturalisierung des malanschen Islam, nehmen die Angriffe auf Sufi Bruderschaften wieder zu. Oft wird überhaupt keine Trennungslinie zwischen ajaran sesat und tarekat mehr gezogen.47

Es wäre sicher töricht und überzogen, den al-Imām Artikel von

46 William Roff, 'Whence Cometh the Law? Dog Salvia in Kelantan, 1937', Comparative Studies in Society and History xxv, 2 (1983) 323-38.

<sup>42</sup> Siehe dazu Martin van Bruinessen, Tarekai Nagshbandiyah di Indonesia (Bandung 1992) 109-10

<sup>43</sup> Die arabischen Protagonisten dieser Polemiken gingen allerdings implizit davon aus. dass ein malanscher Muslim weder die spirituelle noch die intellektuelle Fähigkeiten besitzen kann, um das nötige Wissen zu erwerben.

<sup>44</sup> William Roff, 'The Origins and Early Years of the Majlis Ugama', in W Roff (ed.), Kelonton (Kuala Lumpur 1974) 140. Anm. 101

<sup>45</sup> Der wahre Grund der Verbannung Abu Hassans war seine enge Beziehung zu Hadschi Wan Musa, dem Sohn Tuan Tabals. Wan Musa hatte ein schr gespanntes Verhältnis zur Herrscherfamilie, da er sich weigerte religiöse "Gefälligkeitsgutachten" abzuliefern

<sup>47</sup> Siebe z B John Bousfield, 'Adventures and Misadventures of the New Sufis Islamic Spuritual Groups in Contemporary Malaysia\*, Sojourn viii, 2 (1993) 328-44

1908 für die gegenwärtige Entwicklung in Malaysia verantwortlich zu machen. Wahrscheinlich gibt es im Land keine Handvoll Menschen, die den Inhalt dieses Artikels kennen. Aber es steht ausser Zweifel, dass der Geist, den die frühe Salafiyya nach Malaya getragen hat, eine wesentliche Grundlage für die Entwicklung des malaischen Islam im 20. Jahrhundert ist. Und den Sufi Bruderschaften wurde durch diese Entwicklung das Leben nicht leichter gemacht.

### POSTSCRIPT

The contents of the preceding papers make clear that Islamic mysticism was contested during the formative first three centuries of Islam up to the present. Positions taken and arguments used point to a considerable fluidity in the divide between Sufism and its opponents. Moreover, some of the harshest critics of certain aspects of Sufism were sometimes Sufis themselves. The occasional use of anti-Sufi rhetoric by Sufis against their own ilk further blurs the picture of a clear-cut dichotomy and points to the impracticality of a paradigmatic approach based on a presumed existence of ulama-Sufi opposition. In addition, even where the opponents adhere to conceptions of Islam which are absolutely incompatible with a mystical conception, this does not necessarily exclude the possibility of a relationship of functional co-operation between those at both ends of the continuum of Sufism versus anti-Sufism. A case in point is the emerging alliance between marabouts and the Wahhābī inspired antisecular Islamic reform movement in Senegal. Yet, in the end, such observations should not underestimate the fundamental differences which exist between various conceptions of Islam. Any effort to play down these differences, to ignore them, or to blame those who are interested in understanding these differences as detractors from all that unites the umma, would appear to be due to ignorance, naïveté, or political expediency. Radically different conceptions of Islam do exist, and what the contest charted in this volume is basically about is eradication or survival of different Islamic world views, often in conjunction with a struggle for political power. In fact, many of the debates and controversies covered or referred to can best be understood in the context of rivalries between religious leaders often in alliance with or as representatives of political authority. Yet, mystical Islam is ultimately part of the contest for the dominance or acceptance of a notion of "true" or "correct Islam" itself. Such notions are identical with and derived from dogmatic positions which may well be incompatible and, when that is the case, necessarily result in competing versions of Islam

Whenever one attempts to find reasons for the success or failure of one contested version of Islam over another, it is imperative to explore the particular social, political, and economic contexts of the contests in their historical dimensions. To disentangle the "purely" dogmatic and theological from the "purely" social, political, and economic factors may be facilitated or complicated by one's definitions. Yet, as a matter of basic methodology the question which should be asked concerning any case of opposition to or polemic involving Sufism remains the same: Did the historical context generate contest, or did contest generate the historical context? In view of the lack or paucity of relevant sources pertaining to certain areas in certain periods this question may be comparable to that of which came first "the chicken or the egg". Yet, all of the preceding papers, which are often characterised by abundant contextual information, would seem to give answers, implicitly or explicitly, to this question.

It would be wrong to look upon the adherents of mystical conceptions of Islam as eternal victims of an anti- or a non-Sufi oriented religious establishment. Militant Sufi movements did exist, and sometimes, as in West Africa, resulted in viable polities, but for the most part these have been left out of consideration in the preceding papers. It would seem apposite, however, to point out that in cases where a Sufi order came to dominate a polity (e.g. the Qādiriyya in Sokoto; the Shādhiliyya in the Comores), or transformed itself into one (e.g. the Idrīsiyya in "Asīr; the Sanūsiyya in Cyrenaica and neighbouring regions), this did not entail persecution of those who were not in agreement with Sufism as adhered to and practised by those in power. A comparative study of such "Sufi states" or "Sufi polities" would no doubt be fruitful,1 and would certainly result in more comprehensive knowledge of "political Sufism" than we have at present.2 Further knowledge of Sufism in power would not only imply an obvious addition to our knowledge of the history of Islamic mysticism and its institutions, it might also entail a realistic reappraisal of some of the more romantic notions of Sufism in the West. In a sense, Sufism is, indeed, the other side of Islam, the non- or less-legalistic side. This, however, does not necessarily imply tolerance, democratic attitudes, concern for human rights, and all the

other cultural values the West sees as characteristic of its own cultures. Neither does it necessarily imply the absence of attitudes towards the West which are less antagonistic than the ones cultivated by the movements which tend to be labelled "Islamic fundamentalism".

The geographical area covered by the various contributions and their cumulative historical scope are considerable. Yet, the picture is not as comprehensive as one would wish and a number of movements, areas and periods have not been covered. This would seem to reflect the state of our knowledge and indicates the gaps which remain to be filled in. Thus, the Muctazila and the Zaydi positions are covered, but a contribution on the Ibadiyya and Sufism is sadly lacking. Similarly, criticism from the political reformers with Europe-oriented ideas for modernisation, and inspired by ill-digested European ideas of secularism and nationalism, is only referred to incidentally; and perhaps rightly so, since their criticism hardly surpassed qualifying Sufism as backward, superstitious, and as detrimental to social and economic development. Looking at the geographical areas covered, the Middle-East is under-represented, while other areas, e.g. East Africa, Republican Turkey, the Volga-Ural region, and the Caucasus, are not covered at all. Moreover, polemics and opposition concerning Sufism in some dynastic empires, e.g. the Aq Qoyunlu and the Safavid empires, are not touched upon. One would also have wished to have contributions on some of the more radical Sufi movements on the Indian Subcontinent which elicited opposition of a violent kind, e.g. the movement involving the murids and leaders of the Qadiri-Naqshbandi lineage based at Kingri in Sindh. The sajjāda-nishīns of this lineage, known as the Pir Pagaros, and their followers were attacked by ulama and persecuted by the British, who executed their then leader in 1943.3

Opposition to Sufism by the colonial powers is touched upon in a number of papers, but receives a far from comprehensive treatment. With variations and fluctuations over time, Sufi orders co-operated with or opposed colonial authorities. A comprehensive study of the Sufi orders and the colonial encounter would greatly enhance our knowledge of both, the history of European colonialism and the history of the orders.

To point to incomplete coverage and gaps in our knowledge of the

<sup>1</sup> For an initial attempt at comparison, see B. G. Martin, Muslim Brotherhoods in Nineteenth-Century Africa (Cambridge 1976).

The notion of "political Sofism" among scholars appears to lack theoretical grounding. In this connection, a recent example is Thierry Zarcone, "Political Sufism and the Emirate of Kashgaria (End of the 19th Century): The Role of the Ambassador Ya'qūb Xān Tūra', in Anke von Kügelgen et. al., Muslim Culture in Russia and Central Axia from the 18th to the Early 20th Centuries. Vol. 2. Inter-Regional and Inter-Ethnic Relations (Berlin 1998) 153-165. The article, however, does provide useful factual information.

<sup>3</sup> Criticism and opposition from the ulama have hardly been charted; of Sarah F.D. Ansan, Sufi Saints and State Power. The Pirs of Sind, 1843 1947 (Cambridge 1992) 66f., 135f.

history of opposition to Sufism is not intended as an inventory of deficiencies in the present volume, but simply as an enumeration of a few areas where worthwhile contributions to scholarly knowledge are still to be made. It seems unlikely, however, that such studies in the future will add new elements to our knowledge of the issues which are central to the polemics concerning Sufism, and have been so at least since the twelfth century. In fact, it is the repetitive nature of the contents of anti-Sufi rhetoric which is perhaps most striking: arguments and issues are recycled over and over again, and surprisingly little that is new is introduced. The debates concerning Ibn al-cArabi's teaching illustrate this point. Yet, the fact that debates concerning Sufism have had continuity, indeed up to the very present, is to be evaluated positively: it means that Islamic thought is alive, that a variety of positions exists concerning what Islam is or should be, and that we are far removed from the kind of monolithic uniform Islam some would like to see. In this connection it may be apposite to recall the saying of the Prophet: Ikhtilāf ummatī rahmatun - "Variety in my community is a blessing".

The Editors

### LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Azyumardi Azra, Professor of History of Southeast-Asian Islam, and Vice-Rector for Academic Affairs at the State Institute for Islamic Studies (IAIN), Jakarta, Indonesia.

Mangol Bayat, independent scholar, and Visiting Professor in the Department of History, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA.

Arthur Buehler, Assistant Professor in the Philosophy and Religious Studies Department at Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, USA.

Gerhard Böwering, Professor of Islamic Studies at the Department of Religious Studies, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA.

Leïla Cherif-Chebbi, Ph.D. candidate at the Institut d'études politiques, Paris, France.

Michel Chodkiewicz, "directeur d'études" at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris, France.

Nathalie Clayer, chargée de recherche at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, and chargée de conférences at the Centre d'histoire du domaine turc, École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris, France.

Vincent J. Cornell, Associate Professor at the Department of Religion, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

Frederick De Jong, Professor of Islamic Languages and Cultures at Utrecht University, the Netherlands.

Devin DeWeese, Associate Professor at the Department of Central Eurasian Studies, and Director of the Research Institute for Inner Asian Studies, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, USA.

Carl Ernst, Professor at the Department of Religious Studies, University of North Carolina, Chapel H.Il, N.C., USA.

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Maribel Fierro, "Investogador Científico" at the Instituto de Filología (Department of Arabic Studies) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain.

Kamel Filali, Professor of History, and Head of the History Section in the "Unité de Recherche Afrique-Monde Arabe" at the Université de Constantine, Algeria.

Marc Gaborieau, "directeur de recherche" at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique", and "directeur d'études à l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales", Paris, France.

Jo-Ann Gross, Associate Professor at the Department of History, Trenton State College, New Jersey, USA.

Masami Hamada, Professor at the Faculty of Letters, Kobe University, Japan.

Thomas Emil Homerin, Associate Professor of Religion, and Chair of the Department of Religion and Classics, University of Rochester, N.Y., USA.

Ousmane Kane teaches at the Université de Saint-Louis, Sciences juridiques, Saint-Louis, Senegal.

Werner Kraus is affiliated with the University of Passau, Germany.

Bruce Lawrence, Professor of Islamic Studies, and Chair of the Department of Religion, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

Jonathan Lipman, Professor of history, and Chair of Asian Studies at Mount Holyoke College, South Headley, Mass., USA.

Roman Loimeier is affiliated with the "Lehrstuhl für Islamwissenschaft" at the University of Bayreuth, Germany.

Pierre-Jean Luizard, "chargé de recherche" at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (Groupe de Sociologie des Religions et de la Laicité), and teaches at the Institut National des Langues et Civilisations Orientales in Paris. France. Wilferd Madelung, Emeritus Professor of Arabic at the University of Oxford, U K.

Jacobus A. Naudé, Professor of Semitic Languages, and Dean of the Faculty of Arts at the Rands Afrikaans University, Auckland Park, Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa.

R. Séan O'Fahey, Professor of History at the University of Bergen, Norway.

Ahmet Yaşar Ocak, Professor at the Department of History, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.

Esther Peskes was affiliated with the Orient Institut der Deutsche Morgenländischen Gesellschaft in Beirut.

Alexandre Popovic, "directeur de recherche émérite" at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique", and "chargé de conférences" at the Centre d'histoire du domaine turc, École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, Paris, France.

Nasrollah Pourjavady, Professor of Philosophy at the University of Tehran, and founder-director of Iran University Press, Tehran, Iran.

Bernd Radtke, Associate Professor at the Department of Oriental Languages and Cultures, Utrecht University, the Netherlands.

Florian Sobieroj is affiliated with the Universität Jena, Germany, where he participates in the project "Katalogisierung der Orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland".

Karel Steenbrink, Associate Researcher at the Interuniversity Institute for Missiological and Ecumenical Research, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Muhammad Sani Umar, Assistant Professor at the Department of Religious Studies, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA.

Martin van Bruinessen, Associate Professor at the Department of Oriental Languages and Cultures, Utrecht University, the Netherlands.

Josef van Ess, Professor of Islamwissenschaft und Semitistik at the University of Tübingen, Germany.

### **INDICES**

# 1. PERSONAL NAMES

'Abd al-Jabbar, al-Oadt 32, 67, 78, 91 al-"Abbäs, Ahmad 416 Abbas bin Mohammad Taha 750, 755 'Abd al-Karim, al-Hāji Ahmad 373 al-'Abd, 'Abd al-Latif Muhammad 1 'Abd nl-Karim Lnfgün 259, 260, 261. 263, 264, 266 Abd Allah b. Abd al-Hakam 57 'Abd Allah b. 'Abd al-Rahman Aba 'Abd al-Khāliq, Maḥmūd 245 'Abd al-Khāliq, 'Abd al-Rahmān 94 Butaya 146 'Abd al-Latif b. 'Abd al-Rahman b. Abd Allah b. Ahmad b. Hanbal 72 Hasan b. Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Abd Allah b. Fudi 361, 362 Wahhāb 146 'Abd Alläh b. Hasan al-Dawwari 134 'Abd Allah b. Ibrāhim b. Sayf al-Najdī 'Abd al-Lauf Qudsi 612 "Abd al-Malik b. Habib al-Sulami 175 \*Abd Altäh b. Khubayg al-Antāki 73 'Abd al-Mugtadir Banbani 420 Abd Allah b. Muhammad b. Abd al-'Abd al-Nabi 446, 447 'Abd al-Qădir b. Muhammad Bello 381 Wahbāb 146 \*Abd Allāh b. Nasr 176 'Abd al-Qădir Dămullă 546, 547, 550 \*Abd Alläh b. al-Oäsım 137 'Abd al-Qădir al-Jilâni 30, 31, 62, 151, 283, 293, 309, 360, 361, 363, 370, 'Abd Allah b. Zavd al-'Ansi 140 'Abd Altah Bey Hamza 280 371, 394, 406, 427, 475, 618, 709 'Abd Allah, father of the Mahdi 269 'Abd al-Oadır Pasha Hılmi 280 \*Abd Allāh Muhammad 252 'Abd al-Qādir al-Rāshidi 264 'Abd Alläh Muhammad al-Habshi (al-'Abd al-Quddüs Gangôhi 447, 448 Hibshi) -> al-Habshi <sup>c</sup>Abd al-Rahim 79 "Abd Allah, the gadi of Bayda" 80 'Abd al-Rahman b Abi Haum 72 \*Abd Allāh al-Zabūshī 265 "Abd al-Rahman b Hesen b. \*Abd Alläh al-Zähid 183 Muhammad b. "Abd al-Wahhab 146 'Abd Allāh-ı Ansārī 29, 30, 56, 71, 75, 'Abd al Rahman b Muhammad Ahmad 76, 86, 425 al-Mahdi 269 'Abd al-'Aziz 581 'Abd al-Rahmān III 181, 183 'Abd al-'Azîz al-Oayrawanî 217 Abd al Rahman al Tasuli 251 'Abd al-Baqi b. Muhammad b. Burtiyāl 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Tijānī 248 187 'Abd al Rahmān w. al Nujūmi 273 Abd al Basir 389 'Abd al Ra'ūf al Sinkilī (Singkel) 19. 'Abd al-Ghafür b. İsmā'il b. Khalaf al-673, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 735 Sakuni 190 'Abd al Răziq, Mustafă 318 "Abd al-Ghafür Muhyī al-Dīu Shāh 669 'Abd al-Salām al-Qādirī 169 'Abd al-Hagg b. Isma'il al Bādısi 212, 'Abd al-Samad b. 'Abd Allah al-'Alawi

al-Dāmaghānī 142

Abd al Wahhab 450

"Abd al-Samad al-Palimbani 19

'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Muttagī 422

216

Abd al-Haqq Muhaddith 422, 423

'Abd al Hayy b. Fakhr al-Din al Hasani

'Abd al Wahhāb al-Warrāq 73, 74 "Abd al-Wahid b. Zayd 2, 27, 28, 68 "Abd al-Wāsı" b. Yahyā al Wāsı"; 136 'Abdak al-Süfi 288 'Abda,lāh (Ahyu Habba) 363 'Abdallāh b. Ahmad b. 'Āmir 63 al-'Abdarī a. Tihmsān, Muhammad 211 Abdu .-Ghanī 455 'Abdu'l Hayy 454 'Abduh, Muhammad 42, 94, 268, 303, 304, 310, 313, 314, 318, 337, 592, 597, 717 Abdul Hadi al-Qaderi, Maulana 393, 400, 401, 402 Abdul Karim b. Muhammad Amruliah (Haji Rasul) 715 Abdul Kanm Banton 743 Abdul Malik bin Abdullah 737 Abdul Muluk, Sultan 687 Abdul Quadir Jilaani, Hadhrat Sayyid -> Abd nl-Oadir al-Jilani Abdul Rahman bin Muhammad a.-Idrus. Savid 740 Abdu. Samad bin Muhammud Saleh 74. Abdukadar Dandatti 371 Abdulla, H W M. 684 Abdullagy, Minkhtarkhan 537, 539 Abdullah b. Abdul Malık 737 "Abduliāh Jan 479, 481, 482 Abdullah, Umar 390, 399 'Abdullāhi b. Muhammad Kareār b. 'Ali, the Khalifa 271, 272, 275, 277, 278, 281 Abdulmuhy, 737 Abdunnabi 480 Abdur Ra'uf al-Singkeli -> 'Abd al-Ra'0f al-Singili Abdur Rauf, Abubake 402 Abdur Rauf Soofi, Maulana 399, 401. 402, 408, 410 Abdus Salaam, Imam Abdullah Kadi 390 Abduvakhitov, Abdujabar 539 Abdüshamit, "Abdushamid, Sultan 38. 295, 296, 297, 298, 299 Abed Mia, Maulana Sufi Sayyed Muhammad 391 Äbidi, Mahmüd 498 Abū'l- Abbās Ahmad b. Alī al Būni 139

Abū'l 'Abbās Ahmad b. Yūsuf al-

Rāshīdī 252

Abū 'Abd Allāh 252 Abū 'Abd Allāh al-'Alawi 131 Abū 'Abd Alšāh b. al-Jallā' 73, 76 Abū \*Abd Allāh b. Khuzayma 74 Abū 'Abd Allāh Husayn b, 'Alī al-Basrī Abū 'Abdallāh Muḥammad b. Ghāzī al-Miknāsi 216 Abū 'Abdallāh al-Zubayri 60 Abū Ahmad Ja\*far b. \*Abd Allāh 199 Abő 'Alī b. al-Kānb 88 Abè 'Ali al-Sarră: 177, 178 Abē 'Azīz al-Hannāshi 263 Abu Bakar, Shafie 737 Abō Bakr b. al-'Arabi 185, 190, 192, 193, 214 Abū Bakr al-Siddig 129, 134, 360, 404, 433, 713, 715 Ahū Bakr al-Yahyawī, Radī al-Din 106 Abū Dā'ēd al-Sinstāni 60 Abii Dāhish 170 Abo'l-Fadi-ı 'Aliami 424, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450 Abū Gayl 580 Abil'l-Ghayth b. Jamil 106, 128 Ab0 Hafs 72, 73 Ab0 Hamm0 II 252 Abū Hammē III 253 Abit Hamza al-Baghdadi 54, 75 Abū Hanife 130, 283, 475, 487, 615 Abū'i-Hasan al-Ash'ari -> al-Ash'ari Abū'l-Hasan al-Marini 215, 215 Abu Hassan al-Azahari 742, 745, 754 Abû Hudayda 149 Abû Hulman al-Farisī al-Dimashqî 62, 616 Abû Hurayra 463 Abū'i-Husayn al-Başrî 6, 130, 131 Abû 'Îmrân al Raqashî 69 Abū Ishāq al-Shirāzī 30, 79 Abū Ja'far al Malatī 63 Abū Jaffar al-Takhāwī 118 Abū'i-Khashshāb, Ibrāhim 'Alī 316 Abū'l-Khayr 184 Abū'l Khayr b. Shaykh b. Hajer 665 Abû Madyan 199, 216, 221, 248, 251 Abū Mahadi 262 Abū Muslim 46 Abū Nast al Tammār 72

Abő Nucaym al-Isfaháni 29, 48, 59, 73, 74, 75 Abū'l-Oăsim "Abd al Rahmân al Sīrāfī Abū'l-Qāsım Ahmad b. Muhammad 186 Abā'l-Oāsım b. Ahmad b. Hāshun 278 Ahū"l-Oāsım al-Balkhi 69 Abū'l-Qāsım al-Iskandarānī 63 Abě'l-Qäsım Sa'd Allāh 254 Abū Rās al-Nāsirī 252, 253 Abū Rāshid Sacid b. Muḥammad al-Navsābūrī 78, 80, 81 Abū Sa<sup>c</sup>d Bishr b. al-Husaya al-Dāwūdī Abă Sa'id b. al-A'răbi 177, 179 Abū Sa'id, İl-khān 37 Abū Salim, Muhammad Ibrāhim 270. 275, 276, 280, 281 Abū'l-Shāmāt, Mahmūd 38, 295 Abil'l-Shaykh 29 Abū Shufayb al-Nāsik 69 Abū'l-Su'0d Efenda 609, 610, 611 Abū Tālib al-Makki 29, 31, 49, 50, 51. 56, 59, 60, 62, 68, 427 Abu'l-Tayyib b. Harthama 82 Abū Tujia 251 Abū Turāb al-Nakhshabi 75 Abū 'Uthmān al-Sa'id al-Tilumsāni 255 Abū Wahb 'Abd al-Rahmān al-'Abbāsī al-Zăhid 176, 178, 190, 194 Abû Ya<sup>4</sup>azza 248 Abû Yahya Zakanya al-Saji 60 Abû Yazid al-Bastêmî (al-Bistêmî) 11. 54, 118, 129, 130, 416, 419, 425, 426, 427, 428, 432, 433, 616, 617, 619, 620, 621, 622 Abû Yûsuf al-Marinî 215 Abu-Manneh, Butrus 38, 461 Ahubakar, Aliyu 357, 362, 376, 377 Abubakar, Aminu d Din 338, 376 Abulung, Haji \*Abd al-Hamīd 20, 685 Abun Nasr, Jamil 165, 168, 169, 210. 329, 335, 362, 363, 364, 365, 375 Adam 65, 104, 380 Ādam b. M. Shā'ib al-Bamāwi 720 Adam, Maulana Ibrahim (Molvi Ebrahim) 393, 401, 402 Adams, C.C. 313 Addas, Claude 98, 117, 178, 184, 187, 188, 189, 193, 194, 196, 228

<sup>e</sup>Adî b. Mosāfir 151

<sup>c</sup>Adud al-Dawla 78, 79, 80, 82 al \*Adwānī 258 al-Afghānī, Jamāl al-Din 268, 314, 597, 630, 631, 637 'Afif al-Din 'Abd A,lah b, As'ad al-Yāfi'ī -> al-Yāfi'I Afifi. A E 188 'Afifi, Abū'l-'Alā 93 Agacy, M. 529 Aguadé, J. 175 Agui 574 Agung 672, 689 al-Ahdab 80, 81 al-Ahdal 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 243 Atmad 'Allwa 94 Ahmad al-Azhart 277, 280 Ahmad b. "Abd Allah Ma"a al-Andalus! Ahmad b. "Abd al-Lauf al-Khajib ul-Minankabawi 712 Ahmed b. Abl'l-Hawari 73 Ahmad b. Ahmad 325 Ahmad b. 'Alwan 143 Ahmed b. Amir b. al-Näsir al-Hasani al-Jilani 131 Ahmad b. 'Āmir al-Tā'i 64 Ahmad b 'Āshir al-Anşārī 220 Ahmad b. Bābā al-Shingiji 720 Ahmad b. Hanbal 4, 26, 28, 29, 71, 72. 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 482 Ahmad b. al-Husayn al-Mu'ayyad b. Hah 125 Ahmad b. Ibrähim al-Qashtäli 212 Ahmad b. Idris 9, 10, 43, 147, 165, 166, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 275, 741, 749 Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Adami, Abu'l-\*Abbās 56 Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Sālim al-Başrī, Abû'l-Hasan 62, 179 Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Mada'ıni 83 Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Najri al-Nassäkh 132 Ahmad b Mulüka al-Nadhrümi 254 Ahmad b Nasr al Dhān\* 63 Ahmad b. al-Oādī 252 Ahmad b. Yüsuf 252 Ahmad Bābā al Timbuktī 220 Ahmad al-Badawi 151, 229

768 Ahmad Barčíwi, Sayyıd 12, 13, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 465, 466, 467 Ahmad bin Muhammad Sa<sup>c</sup>īd 755 Ahmad bin Muhammad Zain al-Patani (al Fathani) 743, 744, 745, 748, 749, 75., 753 Ahmad, Favd 482 Ahmad Ibrāhim 376 Ahmad al-Judhāmi al-Fāsi 220

Ahmad al-Kabir 252 Ahmad Khan 460, 461 Ahmad Khan, Muin-ud-Din 453

Ahmad Khatib Sambas (Ahmad b. Abd al-Ghaffar al-Khatib al-Sambasi) 20. 709, 710, 712, 713, 714, 715, 724, 742, 750, 754

Ahmad al-Magrizi 230 Ahmad Mohauddin 453, 466 Ahmad Muhammad al-Dubeyb 147

Ahmad al-Muqrāni 258 Ahmad, Oadi Mojauddin 417 Ahmad, Qeyamuddin 459, 461, 464

Ahmad Rida Khan Barelwi 471, 472, 473, 476, 477

Ahmad al-Rifa\*i 283, 293, 305, 308

Ahmad al-Sharii 106 Ahmad, Sultan 669

Ahmad al-Tayyib w al-Bashir 269, 270, 272, 275

Ahmad al-Tijāni 10, 165, 166, 168, 169, 171, 330, 331, 334, 335, 336, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 371, 374, 375, 376, 379, 380, 381, 721, 727

Ahmed w Sard 279 Ahmad w. Sulayman 279

Ahmad Yasawi (Ahmed-ı Yesevî) 532. 538, 605

Ahmad al Zawäwi 167 Ahmed, A. F 357 361

Ahmed, Akhar S. 532.

Ahmed Kemäl 550

Ahmed Khan Oadan, Maulana 394

Ahmeti, Senf 658, 659

Ahrār, 'Ubaydullāh 37, 495, 498

Ahsā°ī, Ahmad-ı 628 <sup>o</sup>Ā<sup>o</sup>isa b. Muhammad 258.

<sup>4</sup>Ajamī, Fakhr al-Din-z 606

Akbar 11, 423, 424, 429, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 450, 451

Akhmedov, N. 526, 527

Ākhundzāda, Fath\*aff-1 630, 637

'Ākīš al-Damadī, Ḥasan b. Ahmad 170. 171

Alamushkin, O.F. 497 Āt Qamr, Muhyi'l Din 308 Al Qamr, Mustafa 308 'Alā' al-Din 545

'Ala' al-Dia Rı'ayat Shah 666, 669 Aladdin, B. 98, 107, 110, 114, 120

Alāºī 440

Alaın de Lille 104 Alam, Muzaffar 454

al- Alami, Husaya Muhammad b. \*Uthmän 364

\*Alamu'liāh 453

al-"AlawI, al-Hān "Abd Allāh 375

'Alawi, Wajth al-Dio 417, 421, 422, 423, 426, 427, 430

al-Aleemi al-Qediri, el-Haj Muhammad Ja'far Sheikh 390

Alfă Hāshim 720, 721 Alfa, Karamokho 325

Algar, Hamid 30, 51, 288, 289, 502, 537, 559, 604

"Alt al-"Abid 260 "All b. "Abd Allah 363

'Ali b. 'Abd Aliah b. Abi'l-Khayr al-Şâyidi (al-Sâ<sup>3</sup>idT) 6, 132, 133, 132,

'Ali b. 'Abd Allāh ni-Tawāshī 129 'Ali b. 'Abd Aliah al-Tayyib 720, 721

<sup>4</sup>Alī b. Abi Ghāmm 252

'Alī b. Abī Tālib 2, 125, 129, 132, 151, 360, 393, 454, 480, 715

"Alí b. "Isa 54

'Ali b. Jahdam, Abū'l-Hasan 73

'Ali b. Khashram 71

"Alī b. Mahdī al-Ragqī 64 'Ali b. Maymim 110, 111

'Alī b. Muhammad al-Ghaznawi 127

All b. Mujaththal 171 'Ali b. al-Muwaffaq 74

Alī b. Yūsuf b. Tāshufin 186

\*Ali al-Batanini 98

'Ali Bāwardī (= Rāmītanī) 498

Ali, grandfather of the Khalifa <sup>4</sup>Abdudāhī 272

Air al Hadi 614, 618

<sup>c</sup>Alī Hamad Bazakāt 277

<sup>e</sup>Ali Hamadānī 495

Ali al-Jabarti 138

Alī Kiyā b. Amīr Kiyā al-Malāṭi 127

Ali al-Muttaqi 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 427, 431

Ali Paša 642

All Pacha, al-Hāji 296 \*Alī al Rīdā 63, 64, 284

Ali Shêr Bangali 419, 420

Ali, Shahamat ( Alt, Shahamat ) 461, 479

Alī Shir Nawa'i 548

Ali Zavn al- Abidin 126

All-ı Aqsarayl 611

Alikalfić, Fazlija 652

al-"Allaf, "Abd al-Karim 295 'Allam, 'Ali 'Abd al-Fattah 321

'Allama al-Hilli 284, 289

Allworth, Edward 521, 522

Alpacy, O. 529 Althusser 436

al-Alūsi, "Abd al-Hamid 304

al-Ālūsī. Abū'l-Thanā' 293, 294, 295, 304

nt-Ālūsi, Mahmūd 580

al-Ālūsī, Mahmūd Shukrī 293, 297, 304. 305, 306

al-Ālūst, Nu<sup>c</sup>mān 304

al-A<sup>c</sup>mash 194 al-Amin 68

Amin, Ahmad 658

Amin, Muhammad M. 238, 239, 240

Amin, PJ 313 Amin, Oisim 314

'Amir, 'Abd al-Hakim 319, 320

\*Amir b. Oays 75 Amir Khān 454

Amir Kulâl, Sayyıd 497, 517

Among Raga 672 \*Amr b. al-Layth 78

Amntsari, Mawlawi Thana ullah 476

Amselle, Jean-Loup 337 Amuli, Haydar-1 619, 620, 621

Anawati, G. 49

Anbethyi, Khalil Ahmed 404 Auderson, B.R. 694, 716

Anderson, R.O'G. 733

Andrae, Tor 485 Angeneadt, Arnold 152

Anşārī -> 'Abd Allāb ı Ansārī

Ansari, Muhammad Abdul Haq 97, 232, 233, 234

Ansarz, Sarah F.D. 759

al-Ansări, Zakarıyya 111, 112

al "Antari, Salah 255, 266 Annehm, D.N. 522

Anüskuván 544

Anwar, Awwal 357, 378

Appleby, R.S. 329, 357

Aqa Khan-ı Kirmanı, Mirza 630, 637

'Āgil Khān Rāzi 422

A'rab, S A 212

Arberry, Arthur J 50, 51, 54, 55, 56, 67

Arbós, F 198

Archinard, Commandant 326

Ardabīli, Ahmad b. Muhammad-1 622

Arié, R. 198, 200, 201 Arief, A.Mm. 713, 724

\*Ārif Rīwgerī 497

Aristanbebekov, S. 529

Ariomand, Said Amir 286

Arnold, Thomas 470

al-Arzinjani, "Abd Allah 711

Asad b. Müsä 485

Asad, Talel 436, 524

Asadābādī, Jamāi al-Dīn -> al-

Afghini, Jamai al-Din

Asbagh b. Mälik 175, 178 Ascı Dede Ibrahim 38

al-Ash'arī, Abū'l-Hasan 32, 33, 69, 77.

\*Āshigpashazāde 605

Ashref .06

Ashrafi, Peer Bashullah Shah 390

Ashtıyası, Julia 87

Asin Palacios, M 35, 174, 176, 184, 186,

Asi'ari, Haspin 719

Askarı, Syed Hasan 416 al-Asyūti, Muhammad 240

Ata, Sayyid 499, 532 Atatürk Mustafa Kemal 42, 718

al-Athari, Muhammad Bahjat 295, 297, 304, 305, 306

Atio, Abū Bakr 373

Atīn b. Isā b. Ahmad al-Khazraji 188

<sup>c</sup>Atiya, Ghassan 298, 300, 301

Atkin, Muncl 527, 537

<sup>e</sup>Attär, Farid al Din 46, 48, 56, 422, 427

al CAttar, Hasan 172 Attas, S.M N 670, 673, 674

Aubin, Françoise 560, 600 Austan, R.W.J 35, 174

Awang, Fauzi bin Haji 741, 744 Awn, Kama, Ahmad 95, 96, 121 Awrangzib 430, 431 al-Awsi 118 al 'Aydarüs 149 al-"Aydarüsi, Nür al-Din Muhammad b. 'Alī b. Hasanji al-Humaydi 674 al-Aykī 110 'Ayn al-Qudāt-ı Hamadhāni 32, 427 al- Azāmī, Salāma 316 Azamov, Saidherim 530 Azazkhan Tura Abdalnabi 536. Azmeh, A. 213 Azra, Azyomardı 19, 670, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 681, 683, 684, 706, 707 Azuar, R 183 Azurda, Şadr al-Din 465 al-"Azzāwi, "Abbās 291, 294, 295, 287, 298, 304, 306

b. Mahmūd, Ahmad 243
Bå, Amadou Hampulé 334
Ba, Ceerno Muhammad Sayyid 353, 354
Bā Shaybān 675
Bābā ʿArūj 254
Bābā ʿArūj 254
Bābā Fath Muhammad Muhaddith 429
Baba Ilyās-i Khorasāni 604
Babadazanov, Baxtiyor 527, 537
Babakhanov, Ziauddin 526, 527, 537
539
Babayan, Kathryn 622
Babinger, Franz 607

Bābur Pādshāh Ghāzī, Zahiru'd-din Muhammad 418, 444 Bacon, Elizabeth 521 Baczkowski, Włodzimierz 521 Badā'ūnī (Badā'ōnī), "Abd al-Qādir 421, 422, 423, 424, 438, 440, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 476 Bādisī, "Abd al-Haqq 216 Badr al Din Maydānī 499 Badsha Peer, Hazrat Soofie Salub 394, 401, 404 Badshah Pir, Ahmad 391, 396 Baer, Gabriel 17

al Baghdādī, Khātīd 306

Bahā° al Din Ansārī 434

Bohā\* al-Din Shāh Bājan 425

Bahā° ul-Ḥago Zakariyyā 470

Bahādur Shāh 422 Bahl01 -> P'hūl Bai Shouyi 553 al-Bakawi, Mehammad al Bashir b Muhammad 385 al Bakr, Ahmad Hasan 308 Bakr b. Ukht 'Abd al-Wähid b. Zayd 68 al Bakri, "Abd al-Hamid 317 al Bakri, Ahmad Murad 317 al Bakri, Muhammad 334 al Bakel, Muhammad Tawfiq 310, 311, 312, 316, 317 al-Bakri, Nür al-Din 108 Bakri Shaykh Amin 156 at-Balafiqi, Abū'i-Barakāt 198, 202 Baldick, Julian 34, 36, 176, 179, 195, 197 Balivet, Michel 605 Balton, J.M.S. 465 Ballhatchet, K. 522 Balthasar, Urs von 102 al-Baiyāni, Awhad al-Din 100, 101, 104 Bamba, Ahmadu (Ahmadou) 332, 339, 348, 351, 352 al-Baujari, Muhammad Arshad 685, 735 Bagi b. Makhlad 180 a.-Băqillânî 33, 77 al-Bāgöri, Hasan 319 nl-Boradhe't 214 Barber, C.R. 229 Barberousse, Kayr al-Din 253, 254, 255 Barried, Baroroh 673 al-Barit, Abū'l-Hasan 186, 187, 193 al-Barnéwi al-Ghazarghāmī, 'Abd al-Salām b Husava b Hamdēn 385 Barqua al-Năsiri 225, 246 Barrada al-Maghribi al-Fasi, 'Alī Harázım b. al- Arabi 168, 334, 363, 364, 375 Barrett, Thomas M 535 Barrionuevo, L. Cara 203 Bars Bay 110, 225, 238 Bartol'd, W 497 Bashir, Shaykh 369 Bashirov, L.A. 526 Basilov, V.N. 532 Basset, René 252 Bassin, Mark 522 al Bastāmī -> Abū Yazīd al Bastāmī (al-Bistāmi)

Batata, Hanna 292, 295, 296, 297, 298,

307, 308

Batran, A.A. 361 Batunsky, Mark 521 Bayat, Mangol 16, 632 Bayazid the Afghan 418 Baybars II 111 Baybars al-Jäshnikir 233 al Baydāwi 483, 488 Bayero, Alhaji Abdullahi 368 Bavezid II 606 Bayley, Edward Clive 423 Bayrām Khān 423, 424, 446 Bayramoğlu 606 al-Baytār, 'Abd al-Razzāg 683 Baytur, Anwar 549 Beaumont, Daniel 225 Becker, Seymour 521, 523 Bedr et-Dîn 15, 605, 606 Bcg, M.H.A 424 Behardien, Ahmad 389, 398 Behrendt, T.E. 688 Behrens-Abouseif, Dons 225, 242 Behrman, L.C. 343, 345 Bel, A. 184, 194, 210, 251 Bell, Joseph N. 192 Bello, 'Abd al-Qādir b. Muḥammad 381, 382, 385 Bello, Alhaji Sir Ahmadu 329, 373 Ben Hammadi, A. 184 Benchekroun, Mohamed B.A. 212, 213, 214, 215, 216 Bennigsen, Alexandre 520, 524, 526, 527, 528, 529, 532, 534 Berberović, Nezir 643, 645 Berbrugger, Adréan 257 Berelowitch, Wladimir 522 Berkey, Jonathan 231, 237, 238, 239, 242 Berque, Jacques 249 Beveridge, Annette S. 418, 424 Beveridge, H. 441, 442 Bhutto, Benazir 472 Bibī (Būbā) Rāstī 428 al-Biblawi, Muhammad Alī 315 al Bicawi, Hamza b. 'Abd al-Oadir 385 Bihbahani, Wahiid 287 288, 289 Bihbihānī, Āgā Muhammad 'Ali-1 622, 623, 627 Biller, P 202 al-Biqāfi, Ibrāhim 7, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 122, 235, 243,

244, 245, 246, 247

Burge, J Kingsley 604 Buguli, Birkawi, Birgivi Mehmed Efendi 15, 172, 579, 580, 604, 608, 610 al Bisăti, Muhammad 130, 113 Bishr b. al-Härith al Häfi 4, 29, 48, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75 79, 81, 125 Bishr b. al-Husayn 79 Bishr b. al-Mu'tamir, Abū Sahl 68, 69 Bishr, the Qadi 90 Bistner, Sein 644 Bjørkelo, Anders 272, 280 Blank, Stephen 522 Blochmann, H 440 Boase, TSR 240 Böwering, Gerhard 3, 28, 53, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 178, 179 Bohr, Annette 539 Boad, George D 229 Bosch Vilá, J. 199 Bosworth, Clifford E. 157 Botha, PW 408 Bottéro, Jean 541, 543 Boulife, Amer 255 Boardieu, P 205 Bousfield, John 755 Boyd, Jean 361 Braudel, Fernand 250 Brenner, Louis 274, 373, 383 Brill Olcott, Martha 535 Brower, Damel 521 Brown, C.C 669 Brown, E.G 636 Brown, Kenneth 328 Brown, Poler 38 Broxup, Marie 529, 533, 538 Buchler, Arthur 12, 397, 456, 458, 476, al-Bukhāri. Muhammad b Ismā'i. 463. al Bukhāri, 'A.&' al-Dīn 110, 113, 115, 119 Bukhārī, Salāh b. Mubārak 506 Bulliet R. 196 al-Bulgīni, Sirāj al Din 110, 113 al Būnī, Muhammad 259, 261 Bünyamın ı Ayashi 611 al Burashki, 'Abd al-Rahman 215 al-Burashkī, Abū Mūsā 'Īsā 215 Butckhardt, Johann Ludwig 153, 158. 159

al-Burhänpürī, Muhammad b. Fadl Allah 667, 668, 678, 738 Burke III. E. 39. Buronov, Devran 530 Burndge, K 413 Burton, Richard F 23, 24

Busse, Heribert 77, 79, 149 Cabanelas, D. 186 Cahen, Claude 604 Calder, Norman 233 Calcro Secall, M.I. 199, 200 Canfield, Robert 536 Cordovre, M. 344 Castagé, Joseph 532 Catherine II 521, 523 Cehaµć, Dž. 656 Cerimović, Mehmed Ali 647, 648 Chabbi, J 176 Chamberlin, J.W. 368 Chang Chengai 543 Charles Ount 258 Charoutt Hasnaoui, M. 200 Chaumont, E. 197 Chen, D. 578, 581 Chen Kelt 591, 592

Chinghiz Khan 439 Chittick, William 121, 228 Chodkiewicz, Cynlle 101 Chodkiewicz, Michel 7, 35, 103, 171, 195, 197, 208, 229, 268, 275, 460, 465 Cilliers, J.L. 389, 393, 397 Clapperton, Hugh 371

Clayer, Nathalie 18, 523, 660 Cole, R. Juan 287, 289 Collin, Jean 350

Cherif-Chebbi, Leila 14

Co.vm, John Russell 457 Cook, Michael 148, 157

Coppolant 39

Corbin, Henri 31, 124, 284, 286, 287. 288, 289, 619

Comell, Vincent 5, 21, 188, 248 Cortesao, Armando 666

Coulon, Christian 327, 343

Cour, Auguste 251 Cox, Sir Percy 302

Craavenstein, Ridwaan 409 Cruse O'Brien, D.B. 343

Da Costa, Yusuf 386, 387, 388, 389, 398, 402, 414

Da. Y. 585

al Dabbägh, "Abd al-"Azīz 10, 166, 167, 168, 169

Daf Allah Bagawi 275

Daḥlān, "Abd Aflāh b, Şadaga 721

Dahlan, Abdul Azız 673

Dahlan, Ahmad Zaynī 158, 268, 273, 721, 728

Dallas, Ian (Abdul Oadir al-Murabit al-Sufi al-Dargawy) 396

Dan, Père 257 Danagsh 78, 79

al-Danaqshi, Abū Tālib 'Abd al-'Azīz 78.79

al-Dandarawi (Danduri), Muhammad h. Ahmed 743, 745, 749

Dangor, S. 388

Dänishpazhüh, M.T. 621

Dāniyāl 442

Donner, Victor 237 nl-Daqqāq, Abū 'Ali 5] Dirá Shikūh 430

Darab Khan 428

al-Dărăni, Abb Sulayman 54, 125, 126

al-Direcutni 63 Darr. M. Asheaf 479 Darwin, Ch. 632

Darwish, Müsä b. Salayman 93 Datuk, Muhamad Said Tan Sri 746 Dā'ūd b. Sulaymān b. Jirjīs al-Baghdādī

147

Dā'dd b. Sulaymān ai-Jurainī 64

Dā'ād b. Sulaymān al-Nagshbandī al-Khālidi 149

Dárúd Chaif 449

Dâ'ûd al-Fatanî (Daud Abdullah Patanı)

-> al-Fatani Daudi, Ahmad 675

David 65

Davids, Achmat 386, 387, 388, 389, 391,

395, 396, 398, 402, 414 Dawani, 'Ali 623

Dāwūd, Ahmad 302

Dāwiid b. Muhammad al-Jīlānī 134

Dāwūd al Tārī 125

al-Day, ami, 'Ali 77, 78, 80, 82

Deedat, Ahmad 596

De Jong, Frederick 9, 17, 18, 42, 143, 146, 153, 167, 176, 307, 311, 315, 317, 318, 320, 604

de Jonge, Hnub 728 Deladrière 51

Delval, Raymond 339

Delvoye, F. Nalim 454

Demerseeman, A. 184

Demidov, S.M. 526, 530, 531, 532, 533

Demko, George J 521 Denham, F.R.S. 371

Denny, Frederick M. 229

Depont 39

Desai, Maulana A.S. 396

DeWeese, Devin 13, 36, 525, 526, 528,

al-Dhahabi, Shams al-Din 28, 48, 55, 56. 63, 64, 70, 72, 73, 74, 76, 98, 110, 113, 151

Dhū'l-Nun al-Misrl 3, 50, 57, 70, 76, 77, 118, 125, 126, 177, 178, 380, 617

Dia, Mamadou 329, 344, 345, 348

Diakhou, Maba 325, 326 Dictrich, Albert 559

Digby, S. 444

al-Dahlawi, 'Abdulhaga 486, 488

Dihlawi, Mirza Havrat 455

al-Dijwi, Yusuf 315

al-Dimashqi al-Khalwati, Ayyüb b. Ahmad b. Ayy0b 681

Ding Henian 553

Drouf, Abdon 349, 355, 356

Drouf, M.H. 343, 344, 346,

Dixon, Richard 527

Dudjić, Pund 656

Djozo, Husejia 657, 658

Dobbun, Christine 700

Doev. A. 529

Dols, Michael W. 226, 238, 240, 242

Donaldson, D.M. 286 Douglas, E.H. 186 Doukouré, Dahuu 348

Doutté, Edmond 707

Dozy, R. 186

Drague, Georges 39

Dramé, Muhammad Lamin 351

Dreher, J. 188, 189

Drewes, G.W.J 693, 697, 698, 699, 708 Drumba, Vladimir 542

Du Wenzin 568 Dubant, B. 176

Dūdhū Mìyān 453 Dughlat, Muza Haydar 545 Durdyeva, Ia.K. 526 al-Durübi, Ibrāhim 296 Doveyner 39 Důzdağ, Ertuğrul 609 Džemali, Šeih 654, 655, 656, 663

Eaton, Richard M 416, 430, 470, 525 Ebied, R Y 648 Eckhart, Maître 96 Effendi, Djohan 723, 726 Eickelman, Dale 524, 530, 536, 539 Elias, N 545 Enayat, Hamid 287 Ende, Werner 38, 145, 146, 320 Erpst, Carl W 11, 103, 226, 606, 621 Eshak, Yousuf Ismail 396 Eshaq, Maulana Abdul Hanud 398 Esref. Edhem 640

Ewing, Katherine P. 458 al-Fadani, Muhammad Yasin b Muhammed "Isë 710 Fadì Allah Shattari 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 423, 426 Fadl al-Hadethi 69, 70 Fadl al-Rahman al-Ansori al-Qadiri, Maulana 390 Faguan, E. 186 Fairbank, John K 559, 569 Faklu ad-Din 'Ali b. Husavn 498 Fall, M. 356 Fangal, S. 198 al-Fansori, Hamza 19, 672, 673, 674, 676, 677, 582 al-Fārābi. Abū Nasr 624 al-Farghāni 110, 116 Farhi 429 Faridi, Nasim Ahmad 456

Fans 616 al-Famadī. Abū 'Alī 715 Faroqui, Surarya 604 Faruq, king of Egypt 317 Fárüqi, Abū'l Ḥasan Zayd 458, 474 al Fatani, Dāwūd b. 'Abd Aliāh 19, 684. 685, 739

Fath b. Shakhraf 73 Fath al Mawsili 75 Fath Muhammad 429 Fātumi 393, 454

Faydī 450 Faydī, Sulaymān 297, 305 Faysal, le roi de l'Irak 302, 303, 307 al Fazārī 198 al-Fazārī. Abū Muhammad 'Abd Allāh b. Ahmad 78 al-Fäzäri, Ibrāhim 212 Fa'far 389 Fehmi, Shaykh 38 Feng, J. 581, 582 Feng Zenglie 554 Féraud. Charles 254, 264, 265 Ferhat, H. 194 Fernandes, Leonor 230, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242 Fornos, Elizabeth 290 Fierro, Manbel 4, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 188, 191, 194, 195, 197, 198, 202, 208, 214 Fikri, Seih 642 Filalı, Kamel 5 al-Figi, Mahamasad Hāmid 240, 32, Fir awn 102, 107, 113 Firth, Raymond 744 al-Firüzābādi 117 Fisher, Alan W 521, 523 al-Fishtall, Abb Muhammad 195, 215, 220, 221 Flemming, Barbara 151, 152 Fletcher, Joseph 554, 556, 559 Fočak, Abdulah 655 Forsyth, Sir T.D. 546 Foucault, M. 209 Friedmann, Y. 177, 433 Froelich, Claude 328, 337 Fudayl b. 'Iyad 48, 75, 125 Fukang'an 566 Furüzänfar, Bodi" al-zamān 481, 489 Fusfeld, Warren 457, 458, 464

Gabier Omar 398
Gabisov, B G 526
Gaboricati, Marc 12, 454, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 464, 465, 467, 479, 502, 548, 560, 600, 697
Gabriel 63
Gadā<sup>3</sup>ī 423, 424
Galadanci, S.A S 372, 384
Gaidan 559
Galdan Tsering 542

Gena, Ibrahim Muhammad 330 Ganga 480 Gangohi, Rashid Ahmed 404 Gang-i Shakar, Fasid al-Din 444, 445 Gao Wenyuan 570 Gao, Z. 581, 594, 598 Garcúz-Arenal, M. 193, 203 Gardet, Louis 49, 50, 56 Gatoloco 694 Gazi Husrevbeg 642, 643, 644, 645 Geenz, Clifford 707 Geliner, Ernest 325, 328, 533 Geoffroy, Enc 35, 112, 115, 167 Geraci, Robert 522 Gever, Dietrich 521 Ghādī, Muhammad 614 Ghālib b. Sīd Bona 199 Ghawthi, Muhammad Mandawi 417. 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 425, 427, 428 al-Ghazzāli (al-Ghazāli), Abū Hāmid Muhammad b. Muhammad 6, 25, 34, 45, 49, 50, 51, 112, 114, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 136, 174, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 214, 215, 221 222, 250, 283, 427, 482, 484, 491, 671, 682, 683, 717 Ghijduwāni, 'Abd al-Khāfin 496, 497, 499, 501, 502, 504, 505, 506, 507, 512, 517 Ghráb, S. 186, 191 al-Ghubrini, Abū'l-'Abbas 251 Ghulām 'Ali Āzād Bılgrāmi 433 Ghulām Kharil, Abū 'Abdallāh Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Ghālib al-Bāhdi al-Basri 3, 26, 27, 28, 55 Ghuläm Mustafä Efendi, Shah 392 Ghulam Sarwar Lahawri 426 Gibb, H.A.R. 312, 314 Gijduvonii 538, see also Ghijduwāni, 'Abd al Khālin Gdsenan, Michael 312, 524 Gladney, Dru 536 Gloton, M. 50 Goldhagen, Erich 522 Goldziber, Ignaz 151, 229 Gong Zizhen 543 Goodneh, D.R. 188, 189

Gottschalk, H.L. 387

Gramlich, Richard 26, 29, 30, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 59, 60, 68, 81, 91, 163, 287, 620 Gramsci, A. 202 Grandin, Nicole 9, 467 Grama, P de la 212 Green, William Scott 225 Grégoire de Nazianze 102 Grégoire de Nysse 102, 105 Grenard, F 546 Greyling, C.J.A. 387, 391, 397, 412 Gnbct2, A. 191 Gnl. Dems 103, 107, 109 Groot, J.J.M. de 557 Gross, Jo-Ann 13, 40, 537 Gräf, Ench 228 Guan Lianji 570 Guichard, P. 182, 192, 196 Guldin, Gregory Eliyu 523 Gullick, J.M. 746 Gumi, Abubakar Mahmud 329, 333, 338, 341, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 377, 188, 378 Gümüshkhanewi, Diya" al-Din 38 Gölpmarlı 609, 612 Ha Decheng 590 Habermas, Jürgen 209, 218 Habib 'Ali Shāh 392 Habib Allah Mufti 13, 548, 549 Habib al-Sagaf 702, 703 Habibi, Nascem Ashraf 401 Habibi, Shāh Ghulām Muhammad 391, 396 Habibullah, Maulaga 391 Habibzāde 550 al-Habshi (al-Hibshi), 'Abd Allah Muhammad 105, 106, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 143, 144 Hadžibajnić, Fejzulah 654, 655, 656. 657, 659 Haddad, Yvonne 229 Haddawy, H. 25 al-Hadhahimi, Sadr al-Din 231 Hādī 'Izz al Dio b al Hasan al-Yahvawi 136

al-Hadrami, al-Sayyıd 128

Hafiz Hamdi Efendija 643

al-Haffär 204

Hāfiz 546, 635

Haghayeglu, Mehrdad 539 Hai Rui 553 Hat, Z. 592 Haig, Wolseley 421 Haji Jalaluddin 722, 723, 724, 725, 726 Haji Rasul 715, 716 Hau Yahya bin Laksemana 714 al-Hān al-"Abbās b. Sālih 212 al-Hāji 'Umar Tall b. Sa'īd al-Fūtī 165, 166, 325, 326, 351, 362, 363, 364, 366, 721 Hā; n Bayrām-ı Vell 604, 606 Hāgi Khalifa 114 Höjji Pädishäh 548 Häyl Sharffet Allah 453 al-Hakam II 184 al-Häkim 225 al-Hakim al-Tirmidhi 32, 34, 58, 103, 120, 164, 171 Halff B. 196 Halidl, Jusuf 685 al-Hallaj, al-Husayn b. Mansür 19, 30, 32, 33, 56, 59, 84, 85, 89, 90, 91, 99, 164, 191, 199, 283, 381, 417, 422, 428, 506, 614, 616, 618, 620, 621, 622, 635, 672, 708 Haling, Wael B. 230 Hamed al-Nahlan 273 Hamada, Masami 13, 548 al-Hamewi, Mustofa Fath Allah 667 Hamid ad-Din Sewalı Nagor: 447 Hämid b. al-"Abbäs 56 Hamid Lar 423 Hámid Muhammad Sulfab 276 Hamka 715, 723, 728 Hammadi, A. 192, 193 a.-Hammami, Mostafa Abū al-Sayf 316 Hamoya, Se'd al-Din 619 Hamza, 'Abd al Lauf 225, 226, 230, 231 Hamza b Hasan 263 Hamza Bāli 609, 611 Hamza al Fansūri -> al-Fansūri Hamzah, Abu Bakar 749 Han Erge (Han Second) 562, 563, 564 Han Haps 558, 560, 561, 563, 572 Han Hashao 560 Han Si 570, 571 Han Wu 561, 572 Handžić, H.M. Akif 646 Handžić, Mehmed 648, 649, 650, 655, 658, 662, 663

Hann (Han) Nuri 570, 571 Haq, M M. 416, 425 Haroon, Abdullah 408, 409 Hart, David M 532 Hartmann, Martin 541, 546 Hartmann, Richard 49 Harop al-Rashid 23, 27, 68 Hasan al- Abbādi 278 Hasan b. Ahmad a.-Jalal 135 Hasan b. Ali b. Abi Talib 393, 465 Hasan b. "Alī al-Jadr 138 Hasan b. Muhammad al-Jurmüzî 139 Hasan b. Müsä al-Awtani 134 Hasan b. Salman 131, 132 Hasan al-Banna<sup>3</sup> 407 al-Hesan al-Beerl 2, 27, 68, 92, 283, 618 Hasan de Prizron, Šejh 653 Hasan al-Dimyāti 194 Hasen Jan 12, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 487, 488 Hosan al-Majid 308 Hasan Maulani, Kiyohl 697, 698 Hasan Posha 139 Hasan, Sultan 225 al-Ḥasaaī, 'Abd a.-Ḥayy b. Fakhr al Dia 674 Hassan 258 Hassan, Hamdan 741, 742, 744 Hawting, G R. 233 Hayato b. Saftd 275 Haydar-i Amuli 15, 16, 96, 284 al-Haydari, Ibrahim Fasih 306 Hazard, Harry W 521 Hedayatullah, Muhammad 457 Hein, Norvin 439 Helwing, C.M.S. 682 Hemaluhu 560, 561, 562, 572 Hendricks, Muhammad Sahn 389 Hermes 57 Hidayat Allah (Khoja Afaq) 554, 556. 559 Hidayatullah 719 Hill, A.H. 668 Hilmi, Mustafā 1 Hılmi al-Qădıri, İbrāhim 316 al-Himsi, Muhammad Hasan 240 Hirtenstein, S. 243 Hiskelt, Mervyn 69, 324, 330, 367, 368 Hitti, Philipp 414 Hodgson, M.G.S. 51 Hoffman Ladd, Valerie 95

Hofheinz, Albrecht 267, 270 Hogga, M. 196 Holie, Karel Frederik 701, 702, 703, 711 Holt, Clair 733 Holt, Peter 230, 231, 238, 270, 271, 272, 274, 275, 276, 277, 281, 282 Homerus, Th. Emil 7, 23, 95, 96, 110, 112, 151, 217, 226, 229, 234, 235, 241, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 493, 494 Hong Bin 563 Hooker, M.B. 666, 669, 670, 677 Houram, Albert 145, 304, 522 Hoy, David Couzens 209 Hu Songshan 585, 586, 590, 592, 593, 597 Huang Guoqi 566 Huang Tinggui 555 al-Hudaybi, Hasan Ismā'il 319 Hudson, A. 202 Hughes, T.P. 464 Hugat Alish-i Aştl 632 al-Hurwiri al-Juliabi, "Ali 50, 51, 493, 615, 616 Humayd al-Muhatti 126 al-Romaydi, Muhammad Jaylani b. Hasan Muhammad 666 Humayan 419, 422, 423, 425, 438, 444, 448 Humphreys, Stephen R. 231, 238, 239 Hunczak, Toras 521 Honter, William 464 Hunters, W.W. 474 Hunwick, John 166, 269, 358, 363, 369, Hosâm el-Dîn-i Anqaravi 609, 611 Husayn, Akhter 476 al-Husayn b. "Ali b. Abî Talib 153, 286, 290, 291, 393, 465 Husava le Kurde 286 Husayn, Muhammad 474 Husayn, Nadhir 474 Husayn, Saddam 308 Hosaya w. al Zahrā\* 278 Husayn-i Urmawi, Jalal al-Din 618 al-Husaynī 130 al-Husayni, Ibrāhim Şālih b. Yünns 335, al Husaynī, Ibrāhim Şālih b. Yönus b. Muhammad al Awwal 378, 385 Husaynī Sādāt 425 at-Huwwari, Muhammad 253

Hülägö 210 Пыт 78, 94, 684 Dan 'Abbād al-Rundī 36, 174, 194, 198 Ibn al-Abbar 187 Ibn 'Abd al-Salām, 'Izz al-Din 117, 118 Ibn Abi Dà°ād 75 Ibo Abī'l Dunyā 67 fbn Abi Mansür 109 Ibn Abi l-Rujal 132, 137 Ibo Abi'l-Ward 75 Ibn Abī Yaclā 28 ibu Abi Zare al-Fäsi, Abū'i-Ḥasan 'Alī 216 Ibn Abi Zayd al-Qayrawani, Abii Muhampiad 207, 208, 214 Thu Ahlā 198 the 'Agil 30, 32 Ibo al- Arabi, Ibo 'Arabi, Muhyi'l-Dio, al-Shaykh al-akbar 7, 15, 31, 34, 35, 36, 66, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 135, 141, 143, 144, 149, 151, 167, 171, 174, 178, 186, 188, 193, 194, 222, 228, 229, 233, 234, 235, 244, 245, 274, 275, 276, 375, 406, 422, 426, 427, 428, 432, 433, 556, 619, 620, 621, 622, 673, 683, 708, 738, 760 Ibn al- Arif, Abe 1- Abbis 4, 184, 174, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 196, 250 Ibn \*Asakır 48, 89 1bm 'Ashar 198 Ibn Aswad 188 The \*Ath Ahmad 29, 56, 58 Ibn "Ata" Allah al-Iskandari 109, 235, 237 Ibn al-Athir 230 Ibn CAzzāz 149 Ibn Badis, "Abd al-Hamid 337 Ibn Badrán al-Dimashqi 71, 73, 75 Ibu Bâya 191 Ibn al Balkhi 78 Ibo al-Bannā? al-Marrākushī 212 Ibn Barrajān, Abū'l-Ḥakam 4, 174, 184, 186, 187, 188, 190, 191, 196 Iba Bashkuwāl 177, 187, 194 Ihn Battūta 198, 499

Ibn Bista, "Uthman 148, 150, 153, 158 Ibn Da'i-ı Husayni ı Razi, Murtada 615 Ibn al Faradi 179 Ibn al-Ficid 7, 108, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 141, 144, 149, 151, 228, 229, 233, 235, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247 Ibn al-Farra' 62 Ibn Fürak 617 Ibn Ghannam 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 154, 155, 158 Ibo Habib 175 Ibn Hajar al- Asqalani 98, 109, 113, 117, 122, 123, 132 Ibn Hajar al-Haytami 112, 114 the al-Hägib 214 Ibn al Hail 198, 202 Ibn al-Häji al- Abdarf, Muhammad 235, 485, 486 The al-Han al-Lord 189 Ibn al-Hakkāk 141 Ibn Hamdin, Abu "Abd Allah Muhammad b. "All 186, 189, 190, 192 Ibo Hanbal -> Ahmad b. Hanbal Ibn Hayyan 180 The Mazes 91, 182, 184, 185 ibn Eirzihim 186, 193 1bn IEhshid 80 Iba Isrā\*il 100 [bn al-Jabbab al-Qurtubl, Ahmad b. Khāhd 176, 179 ibn Jama'a, Badr al-Din 108 Ibn el-Jawzi 30, 63, 64, 70, 72, 71, 73, 74, 75, 77, 86, 165, 202, 241, 615 Iba Karram 34 1bn Kathîr 54, 151 Ibn Khābit 69, 70 ibn Khafif al-Shirāzi 4, 33, 62, 70, 71, 82, 74, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 88, 89 90.91 Ibu Khaldua 104, 110, 113, 116, 119, 138, 197, 203, 213, 214, 216, 220, 222, 223, 235, 236, 237 Iba al-Khaub, Lisan al-Din 104, 113, 119, 189, 198, 216 Ibn Lubb 202 Ibn al-Maghūfal 254 Iba Māja 330 Ibn Manda 29 Iba al Mar'a 197 Ibn Maryam al-Malliți 252, 254

Ibn Marzuq al Tilimsani, Muhammad 215, 216 Ibn Masarra 96, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, .82, 183, 184 Ibn Mashish 248, 251 254 Ibn al-Mu'ayyad 138, 143 Ibn al-Mubārak 194 Ibn al Mondhir 188, 189 Ibn al-Murtadă 78, 132, 135, 136 Ibn al-Muctamir 69 Ibn Muzhir 112 Ibn Nubăta 597 Ibn al-Qădî 255 Ibn Qasl, Abū'l-Qāsım 4, 174, 184, 186, 187, 188, 189, 191, 196, 197, 206 Ibn al-Oäsım 194 Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya 15, 31, 148, 151, 315, 610 Ibu al-Oitt 177 Ibn Qudăma 30, 31, 33, 67 Ibn al-Raddad, Abū I-Abbas Ahmad 106, 108, 116 Ibn Rajab 31 Ibn Rushayd 216 Ibn Rushd al-Jadd 191, 194, 201 Ibn Sabein 99, 100, 101, 104, 113, 116, 119, 197, 207, 232 Ibn Sahl 201 Ibn Sahnan al-Rāshidi 255 Ibo al-Sammar 79 Ibn Sa'0d (Ibn Saud), 'Abd at-'Aziz 301, 410, 481, 718 Ibn al-Shibna, Muhammad 244 Ibn Shura" 669 Ibn Sinā 314 Ion Suhaym 150 the Suray, 422 1bn Tawas 284, 289 Ibn Taymuyya 2, 5, 6, 7, 12, 15, 31, 34, 42, 63, 93, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 116, 117, 118, 119, 122, 143, 148, 160, 165, 202, 215, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 241, 243, 247, 286, 315, 331, 377, 378, 452, 465, 493, 494, 547, 580, 610, 648 Ibn Tülün 114 Ibn Tümart 184, 193, 196, 211 Iba Tumlûs 195

Ibn 'Umar al-Murtadă, Abū Zayd 211

Ibn 'Uyayna 194 Ibn Waddah 175, 176, 178, 180 Ibn Wäsil 230 Ibn al Wazīr 143, 144 Ibn Yabgā 179, 182 Ten Ya'qüb 679 Ibn at Zubayr 190 Ibrahim, A. 376 Ibrāhim b. Adham 29, 46, 47, 48, 129, 617 Ibrāhīm b. Aḥmad al-Kayna'ī 6, 131, 132, 133, 134, 139 Ibrāhim ai Khawwās 87 Ibrahim Khushtar Siddigi al-Qadiri Razvi, Maulana Muhammad 390, 398 İbrahim Lödi 418 Ibrāhim al-Rashid 166, 275, 741, 749 Ibrāhim, Sultan 444 Ibrāhim w al-Kabbāshī 273 Ibrāhim, Zaki 94 Ibrāhim-ı Gülşeni 609 Ibrahimi, Muhammad b. al-Bashir 335 Ibrahimović, Mehmed 648 Idris w. al-Arbab 277 al-Idrus, Sayyed Muhsin b. Salam 390 Ikhilov, D. 526 'Ilwan, Muhammad Mahmud 320, 321, 322 Imam Mansor 535 Imber, C.H. 604 Inalcuk 607, 608, 610 Igbal, 'Abbas 614, 615 Igbal, Muhammad 398, 469 firaqi 428 al-Iraqi, Abd al-Rahim b. Muhammad Irwin, Robert 231 'Îsă Jund Allah 428, 429, 434 Isa Yusuf Alptekin 550 Isfarāvurī 615 Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm 72 Ishaq, Muhammad 474 Isik, Hilseyn Hilm 145, 149 lskander II 19, 675, 678 Iskandar Muda 666, 670, 675, 682 Ismāfil b. "Abd al-Qādir al-Kurdufāni 778 Ismārīl b. Abī Bakr al-Muqrī 134 Ismā'll b. Ahmad al-Jæāfi 129 Ismācīt b. "Alī at-Akwa" 132

Isma'il b. Ishaq 86

Ismā<sup>c</sup>il b. Ishāq al-Hammādī 55 Ismā<sup>c</sup>il al-Jabartī 106 Ismā'il al-Minangkabawī (Ismatl Minangkabau) 710, 711, 712, 753 Ismāfil al-Muqri 134 Ismā'il al-Qādī 194 Ismāfil al-Refaynī 182, 185 Ismā<sup>c</sup>il. Shàb 625 Ismă<sup>c</sup>il Shahid 12, 452, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 472, 474, 474, 479, 480, 483, 487, 488 Isma<sup>r</sup>il Simabur 735 Ismă\*il al-Wali 273, 277 Ismă'il-ı Ma'shūqi 609, 610, 611 Issawi, Charles 157 1stefan, Isis 321 Tyād, al-Oādī 33, 175, 186, 195, 201 Tzz al-Din al-Amin 270 al-Ja°bart 118 al Jabarti 106, 107, 108, 116 Jaffar b. Harb 69 Jacfar Bey 257, 263 Jaffar, Kamāl 96 Ja'far al-Khuldi 86, 87 Jacfar, Muljammad Kamal Ibrahim 178 Jacfar al-Sàdiq 63, 64, 125, 128, 129, 132, 627 Jahangir 433, 442, 445 al-Jāhuz 32, 69, 90 Jalal ad-din Rumi, Hadrat Mantana 391. 404, 604, see also Rūmi al-Jalayand, Muhammad Sayyid 1, 2 Jama'at 'Alī Shāh 476 Jamāi ad-Din Sarrāf, Mawlana 500 Jamal al-Din 551 Jamal al Din, Abdullah 389 Jambek, Muhammad Jamid 715 Jāmi 71, 76, 493, 498, 506, 546 al-Janbīhī (al-Jinbayhī, al-Junbayhī, al-Junbīhī) 313, 314, 316 al-Jandi 98 Jănjanan, Mirză Mazhar-i 458, 474, 478. 489

Jassiem, Muhammad Tayb 389

Jāwish, 'Abd al-'Azīz 310

Jawizädeh 115

Jaxo, Maba 351

Jay, Robert 707

Jayengresan 688, 689

Jayengsari 688 Jayyusi, S Kh. 178, 195 al Jazari, Shams al Din 108 al Jazūli. Hadrat Muhammad b Salayınan 404 al-Jazūli, Muhammad b. 'Alī b. 'Abd al Razzāg 150, 216, 330 Jengiz Khan 545 Jérôme, Saint 112 Jesus 70, 75, 189, 478 Jianzhi 590 Jibnl. A. 376 Jahánsháh 37 al-Jilani, 'Abd al-Rahman 296, 298, 299, 301, 302 al-Jilani. Ahmad b. Amir 131 al-Jillini, Mahmüd 299 al-Juliai, Salmān 296 al-Jili, 'Abd al-Karim 95, 105, 106, 107, 108, 673, 683 Jin. Y 590 al-Jist, Husaye 597 Johansen, Julien 321 John the Baptist 189 Johns, A.H. 667, 673, 681, 698 Joshi, P.M.431 al-Jubba"i, Abū "Alī 32, 85, 89 al-Jubhā21, Abd Hāshim 78, 91, 131 Juhany, Uwaida M. 148 al-Junayd b. Muhammad 27, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 52, 56, 61, 71, 76, 125, 130, 283, 284, 380, 416, 422, 617, 619, 620, 682 al-Jurayrī, Abū Muhammad 56 al-Junani, "Ali b. Muhammad 50 al-Jurmüzi, Hasan b. Muhammad 139 al-Jushami, al-Hākım 69 at-Juwayni 545 Kaba Lansiné 339 Kabara, Nesiru (Muhammad al Nașir b

ai-Juwayni 545

Kaba Lansine 339

Kabara, Nasiru (Muhammad al Nāşir b Muhammad al Mukhtār) 333, 336, 369, 370, 384

Kably, Mohamed 210

Kaddoun, A. 182

Kadde, Rešad 648

Kadyrov, A. 529

Kaher, Hans 387, 388, 393

Kafarga, Muhammad Sam 333, 478, 379, 384

Kahhāla, \*Umar 118, 241

780 al-Kalābādhī, Abū Bakr 49, 50, 51, 52. 493 Kaleši, Hasan 653 Кашатауа 689 Kamāl Pasha Zādeh 7, 114 Kamālat al Din 679 al-Kanawi, Muhammed Habib b <sup>c</sup>Abdailāh 385 al-Kanawi al-Tijani, Muhammad al-Kabir b. Muhammad Sanı 385 Kandhalawi, Nür al-Hasan Rashid 455. 456, 462, 479 Kano, M.M 337 Kane, Ousmane 10, 329, 350, 357, 366 Kaptein, N.J.G. 198, 201 Keradjozović, A. 643 Karamustafa, Ahmnt T 22, 25, 525 Karīm Khân-ı Kirmāni, Muhammad 628 Karjicker, Imam 402 Karlu Mohammed 402 Karrar, Alı Salıh 270, 269, 270, 275, 745 Kartodirdjo, Sartono 699, 700, 701 Kāshānī, 'Abd al-Rezzāq 620, 622 b. Khidir b. Abi Bakr 384 633, 634, 635, 636, 637

al-Kāshifi, Kamāl al-Dīn 37 al-Kashinawi al-Tijani. Abubakar "Atiq Kasrawi, Ahmad-: 16, 624, 631, 632, Kussis, H. 190, 195 Katnoka Kazutada 566, 567 al-Kathini, \*Abd al-Şamad 331

Kātip Celebi 608 a.-Kattāni, Muhammad 216 Katz, Jonathan G. 43, 167 Katz, S T 227

Kaukab Noorani Okarvi, Maulana 402 Kaykhusraw 57

Kebek 499 Keddie, Nikki 287, 289, 631 Kefeli, Agnès 522

Kemas Fakhr al Din 682 Kempe, J E. 669 Kemper, Michael 527

Kerr, M.H 39 Kersani. Awad al-Sid 269 al Khadir (Khidr) 10, 169, 499 al-Khalfāwī al Tamīnī 198 Khalifé, Ignace Abdo 203 Khalil Ahmad Sahib, Maulana Shaikh

Khalil b Ishaq 214, 273, 368

Khalif Pasha 580 al-Khalili, Ja'far 290 Khalkhālī, "Abd al-"Azīm 286

Khan 466 Khan, Ishaq 2

Khān-ı Khānān, 'Abd al-Rahim 428, 429

Kharagani 57

al-Kharraz, Abū Sa'Id 3, 27, 29, 57, 58

Khatib Ali 713, 715

al Khatib al Baghdada 68, 70 Khattāb, 'Abd al-'Azīz Hāmid 315 Khawam, René 32

Khayrallah Tulfah 308 Khayyat, Jaffar 290 Khazfal, Shaykh 300, 301 Khiwaqi, Qādi Rahmān-berdi 500

Khodarkovsky, Michael 521 Khoja Āfāq -> Hidāyat Allāh Khoja fbrāhim 499, 500, 501, 505

Khoja Shikh 532 Khopa-i Khord 499 Khoury, R. 485

al-Khudari, Muhammad 597

Khūnsāri, Muhammad Bāqır 285, 286

Khurshid Ahmed 597 Khwafi Khan 430, 431 Khwaja Sa'd al-Dia 607

Khwishezi Qasuri, "Abd Alfah 419, 425, 426

Ki Ngamat Ngaris 694 Kiat Abbas 721, 722 Kiai Anas 720, 721 Kia: Muhammad Ismail 722 Kreckhefer, Richard 229 a.-Kināni, "[22 al-Din 244 Kissling, HJ 609

Kitchener, Lord 267 Klamovich, L.I. 520

Knysh, Alexander 135, 197, 226, 243

Kocaoglu, Timur 529 Köprülő, Fuad 604, 605 Kohlberg, Etan 627 Korranga, Muhammad 361 Kokan, Muhammad Yousuf 416 Korver, A.E.717

Krumer, Robert S. 272, 277, 280 Kraus, Werner 20, 697, 700, 723, 738

Kreindler, Isabelle 522 Krushchev, Nikita S. 526, 529 al Kubrā, Nagu al Din 514, 532, 619 Kügelgen, Anke von 527, 758

Kuho, Thomas 213 Kulthüm al- 'Attibi, Abi 'Amı 68 Kumbakama 687

al-Kunduri 33 Kunme 700

Kupferschmdt, U.M. 317

al-Kūrānī, Ibrāhīm 667, 668, 678, 679, 681, 737, 739

al-Küräni, Yüsuf b. 'Abd Allah 132, 139

Kurbanov, Haji 526 Köprülő, Fuad 604, 605

La Shaer 566, 567 Labib, Subbi 235 Lagardière, V 188

Laflizăde "Abd al-Băqi 609, 611

al-Lamatt, Ahmad b. al-Mubărak 166.

167, 168 Landolt, Herman 120 Lane, Edward W 707 Laoust, Henri 148, 610 Lapidos, Ira M. 231, 234, 238

Larous Abdallah 209, 265, 436

Lashkar Muhammad 'Arif 422, 427, 428, 431

Last, Murray 332, 361 Latief, M. Sanusi 719

Laugier de Beaurecueil, Serge 29

Laugier de Tassy 258

Lawrence, Bruce 11, 461, 478

Lavia 75

Lazzenni, Edward 521 Le Chârelier 39 Lehmann, Fritz 438 Lemah Abong 671

Lemererer-Quelquejay, Chantal 42, 522, 534

Lemmu, "Ä"isha 596 Lerchia 563, 571 Lévi-Provençal, E. 169, 199

Levizion, Nebemia 151, 627 Lewis, G.L. 114

Lewis, P 474

Lewisohn, Leonard 495

Lipman, Jonathan 14, 214, 576, 590

Little, Bruce 521

Little, Donald P 228, 230, 234, 235, 240

Littmann, Enno 23, 24 Lm, D. 583, 588, 589 Lin. K.C. 570 Lm Zhi 553

Livingstone, J W 43 Loeffler, Reinhold 536

Loimeler, Roman 10, 327, 329, 334, 337,

357 370, 374 Longzi, Z. 522 López Ortiz, J. 202 Lowc, W H 440 Lu. J. 583

Lubbe, G.J.A. 387 Luizard, Pierre Jean 16, 38, 41, 95, 292,

302 307, 627 Lykoshin, N.S. 527

Ma Ankang 590 Ma Anhang 581, 582, 583

Ma Bulang 583, 584, 585, 587, 589

Ma Dehai 566, 567 Ma Fulong 591, 592

Ma Fuxing 583, 585, 590

Ma Gasan 568 Ma Guiyuan 569

Ma Guobao 556, 560, 561, 565

Ма Опоупал 579 Ma Guozhen 584 Ma Hoagbin 591

Ma Hoagkui 583, 585, 586, 590, 591

Ma Hualone 573 Ma Husan 579, 580 Ma Jian 592 Ma Jun 588, 589 Ma Kerun 579

Ma Laichi 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560,

565, 566, 568, 575 Ma Lager 566, 567 Ma Liesun 598, 599, 600

Ma Laushisan 566 Ma Minexin 559, 560, 561, 562, 564,

565, 572, 575

Ma O1 582, 583, 584, 588, 589

Ma Rubiao 570, 571 Ma Ruwei 553 Ma Suonan 560 Ma. T 581, 584, 592 Ma Teng'ai 593, 594

Ma Tong 554, 556, 558, 571, 580, 581 Ma Wanfu, Noh 578, 579, 580, 581, 582,

583, 584, 587, 589, 590, 597

Ma Wensheng 553 Ma Wuyi 566 Ma Xiangchen 589 Ma Xiangfu 566, 567, 573

Ma Xuezhi 561 Ma Yinghuan 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 572 Ma Yonglin 570, 571 Ma Yongroi 571 Ma Yuanzhang 600 Ma Zhenwu 591 al Madā\*inī 83, 90 Madelung, Wilferd 7, 51, 58, 126, 127, 129, 141 Madkör Ibrähim 95 Madamdov, R.M. 527 Magassouba, M. 354 al-Mahbübi, Mahmud 546 al-Mahdi Ahmad b. Yahya al-Murtada al-Mahdi 'Ali b. Muhammed 131 al-Mahdi li-dia Aliah Ahmad b. al-Husayn 128, 131 Mahdi, Muhsin 24, 25, 203 al-Mohdi, Sadro 268 Mahida, Ebrahim Mahomet 394, 401 Mahmod, "Abd al-Halim 96 Mahnild Anjir Faghnawi 497, 498, 517 Mahmud b. 'Uthman 91 Meninud Küshghari 545 Mahmüd of Gujarat, Sultan 421, 423 Mahmild Pasha 606 Malgari, Dahiru 374, 375, 376, 378, 379 Majapahit 695, 696 Majlısi, Mulla Muhammad Büçir 16, 285, 286, 287, 625 Majlisi, Molië Taqi-1 625 Makatov, [ A 526 Makdisi, George 30, 31, 67, 160, 200, Makhluf, Hasansyn Muhammad 319 Makki, M. A. 176, 211 Malan, D.F. 408 Malangyuda 699 Malick, S. 410 Mālik b. Anas 28, 72, 130, 175, 201, 207 Mālik b. Dinār 47, 48 Malıx al-Mahmüd 669 al-Malık al-Näsır 23 al-Malik al-Sălih 668 Maemer b. Ahmad al Isfahani, Abū Manşūr 29, 30, 31

Mambetaliev, Satybaldy 528

al-Ma<sup>3</sup>mūn 68, 70, 71, 75

Ma<sup>c</sup>mūrī 430

Maneri, Sharafaddin 470 Manay, Mehmed Emin 640 al-Manbiji, Nasr 97, 99, 100, 233 Mangkunagara IV 692 Mansür, Shaykh 550 al-Mansor 'Abd Allah 106 el-Mansûr 'Abd Allah b. Hamza 135, 136, 139, 140, 141 Manşür 'Ali Nāşıf 597 Manşlir b. "Abd Allah al-İsbahani, Abu Nasr 63 al-Mansler b. Abi 'Amir 183 Manşör b. 'Ammer al-Sulami, Aba'l-Sart 27, 68, 73 Manşür al-Kăzaröni 115 al-Mansor al-Qăşım b. Muḥammad 7, 130, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142 Mansür Shah 669 al-Mansor, Sultan 746. al-Manimi, Muhammed 191, 210, 211, Manz, Bestrice 554 al-Magassäri, Muhammad Yüsuf b. 'Abd Alikh Ab6'l-Mahasın al-Taj al-Khalwati (Yüsuf al-Taj al-Khalwati al-Maqasari; Yusuf Makassar, Yusuf Makassari, Shaykh Yosuf) 388, 396. 411, 414, 681, 682, 706, 735 al-Maqbali, Şalıh 235 al-Maggari 77 al-Maraghi, Kamal al-Din 98 al-Maraghi, Muhammed Mustafi 316, 317, 318 al-Mur'ashi, Zāhu al-Dia 127 al-Marghreani, Burhan al-Din 546 Marie, Manuela 175, 176, 177, 178, 184, 191, 200 al-Macrákushi 186 al-Marşafi, Husayn 310 Martin, B. 758 Martin, Richard C. 470, 524 Martin, Vancessa 632 Martin, Virginia 522, 536 Martinez Enamorado, V 200 Marty, M.E. 357 Ma'rof al-Karkhi 73, 74, 283, 284, 617, 619 Mascchullah Khan, Hadhrat Mohammed 396

Maslama b. Qäsım al-Zayyāt al-Qurtubi 176, 177, 178 Mason, Herbert 614 Masn, F.H. El-361 Massignon, Louis 28, 30, 31, 36, 56, 197, 199, 614, 618 Masud, M.Kh. 199, 201, 202, 203, 204 Masfüd Bakk 423, 425 al-Mas'üdi, 'Ali b al-Hasan 67 Marsom 'Alī Shāh (Muhammad Macsum-ı Shurazi) 622 al-Matbülī, Ibrāhim 245 Matche Shah, Abd al-Rahman 386 Maulawi Din Muhammad 479 Mavláná "Ísā 608 Mawdūd Lālā Chishtī 431. Mawdūdi, Abū'l-A'lā 452, 453, 466, 596 Mowlana Khatid 306, 710 Mawlawi -> Romi Mayet, Zulcikha 391 Mbakke, Abd al-Ahad 349, 353 Mbakke, Ahmad 345 Mbakke, Muhammad Mustafa 354 Mbakke, Saliou 354 Mbaye, Rawane 353 McCarthy, Thomas 209 McChesney, Robert D. 537 McHugh, Neil 270, 271, 272, 273 Médina, Sérigne Mbakke 354 Mehmed \*Amiai 612 Mehmed I 605 Mehmed II 606 Mehmed Neshri 605 Mehmod V Roshåd 718 Mehmet III 140, 142 Meier, Fritz 2, 26, 29, 31, 36, 40, 48, 159, 160, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167, 169, 194, 232, 460, 493 Menid Khan 537 Memon, Muhammad Umar 232, 233, 234, 235, 493 Menavino, Giovan Antonio 25 Mercuer, Ernest 253, 264 Metcalf, Barbara 471, 475, 491 Mian, W 586, 590 Michel, T. 234 Michot, Jean R. 493 Midhat Pacha 295 Miklukho-Maklar, N.D. 497 Milner, A.C. 666, 670

Minna, Mahmud 361

Miguel, André 24 Mir Dard 478 Mir Muhammad Nu'man Nagshbandi al M.rgham, Abū Bakr Jaffar 280 al-Mirghani, Jaffar al-Sādio 274 al-Mirghani, Muhammad Sirr al Khatim al Mirghani, Muhammad 'Uthman 9, 10, 166, 171, 172, 273, 274, 280 M.cza Ghulâm Ahmad 472 Mirză Hindăl 419 Mirza Muhammad Hakim 442 al-Miskin, Abū Bakr 385 Mitchel, R.P 319 Mitchell, Timothy 522 Mıyaa Wojth al-Din 421 al-Miziári 106 al-Mizjăji al-Naqshbandi, Muhammad b. al-Bāqī 681 Mohajir al-Makkı, Hayı Imdadullah 404 Mohammad Salum al-Kalalı 750 Mohammad Tahir bin Jalaluddin al-Azhari 750, 752, 754, 755 Mohd Samm b. Haji Mustajab 750 Mohideen Khan 402 Mohideen Sahib 398, 399 Molé, Marwan 53, 502, 619 Molla Hähi 612 Moojan, Momen 287 Moose, Maulana Ebrahim 411 Morony, M.G. 176 Moses 65, 75 Mound II 506 Mrt Shougi 556 al-Mu'ayyad bi'llah Ahmad b. al-Husaya 125, 126, 128, 129, 131 al-Mu'ayyad bı'llah Yahya b. Hamza 129 131 Mubārak 440, 446, 447 Mudams, Mawlä "Abd al-Karim 306. Muddaththir b. Ibrāhīm al Hauāz 278. 279 Mudenzović, Riza 642 al-Mufid, Shaykh 614, 615 Muhaddith Dihlawi, 'Abd al Haqq 446, 449, 450, 474 al-Muhallabī 83 Mahammad, the Prophet, le Prophète 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 33, 41, 43, 44, 61, 62, 70, 75, 84, 85, 89, 90, 92, 103, 126, 129,

137, 138, 139, 140, 142, 156, 159, 162, 164, 167, 169, 181, 185, 195, 200, 206, 232, 234, 240, 274, 275, 279, 330, 334, 335, 359, 360, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 370, 373, 374, 375, 377, 379, 380, 387, 388, 392, 393, 394, 399, 400, 401, 404, 406, 408, 409, 410, 412, 426, 428, 440. 446, 454, 458, 461, 462, 463, 472, 473, 476, 477, 478, 480, 481, 482, 484, 485, 486, 489, 490, 491, 508, 509, 578, 586, 615, 616, 618, 634, 648, 651, 668, 669, 671, 677, 680, 695, 712, 713, 715, 721, 723, 727, 728, 752, 753 Muhammad Abd al-Rahim 279 Muhammad, Abdullahi 384 Muhammad al- Abst, al-Qadi 137, 138 Muhammad Afdel, Hāji 489 Muhammad Ahmad b. Abd Allah, the Mahdi 8, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281 Muhammad Atalima b. Muhammad Aisami 380, 381, 385 Muhammad AJ 225 Muhammad 'Ali b. 'Abd al-Muttalib 713 Muhammad \*Alt Khan 548 Muhammad al-Amin Def<sup>c</sup> Allah 276 Muhammad Amin al-Hindî 275 Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah al-'Ansi 137, 139 Muhammad b. "Abd Alläh b. Masarra -> Ibn Masarea Muhammad b. "Abd A.lah al-Khani 726 Muhammad b. "Abd A.lah, Sayyıd (40, 141, 142 Muhammad b. \*Abd al-'Azîz al-Sulaymän al-Qar'āwi 146 Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb B, 93, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 378, 410, 464, 465, 474, 478, 481, 580 Muhammad b. 'Abdallah b. al-Muttalib al-Shaybanī 64 Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Sālım 62 Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Yahyā b. al-Muzaffar 141 Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Hamdi 141 Muhammad b. 'Alī al Kattānī 89

Muhammad b. 'Atf Allāh al-'Absī 137 Muhammad b. Ghāzī al-Miknāsī 216 Muhammad b. al Hasan al-Daylami 128 Muhammad b. Hisān 143 Muhammad b. al-Husayu b. Ahmad b. Yahyā b. Bishr al-Ansārī al-Mayūrqī Muhammad b. Ibrāhim al-Wazīr 143 Muhammad b. 'İsa al-libiri 185 Muhammad b. Ismā'il al-Amîr 144 Muhammad h. Ismā'il al-Dāwūdī 127 Muhammad b. Khalaf al-Awsi 186 Muhammad b. Khafir al-Din b. Khwāja al-"Attar -> Muhammad Ghawth Muhammad b. Mahdi al-Kurdi 713 Muhammad b. al-Mukhtär al-Shingiti 279 Muhammad b. Müsä al-Shakitābi 272 Muhammad b. Nizām al-Khwārazmi al-Arzangi 500 Muhammad b. Rushayd al-Sabti 216 Muhammad b. Sa'0d (Muhammad b. Saud) 157, 414 Muhammad b. Shaddid al-Halebi 116 Muhammad b. Waddah 175 Muhammad b. Yahya Bahran 141, 142 Muhammad b. Yüsuf al-Banna' 29 Mehammad b. Yüsuf al-Fadli 134 Muhammad b. Yüsuf al-Ḥadrami 128 Muhammad b. Yüsuf al-Qajjān 63 Muhammad Bābā Sammāsī 497 Muhammad al-Bagir 125 Muhammad Bello b. "Uthman b. Füdi 359, 361, 362, 363 Muhammad al-Paiūji 115 Muhammad al-Ghāli 363 Muhammad Ghawth Gwaliyari 11, 416, 417, 418, 419, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434 Muhammad al-Ghucab 260 Muhammad al Hanafi, Muhammad 98 Muhammad Hayat al-Sindi 148 Muhammad al-Huwwārī 253 Muhammed II, Rass 746 Muhammad IV, Raja 746, 748 Muhammad Jasfar-i Mahjiib 622 Muhammad Jawnpüri 440 Muhammad Karrar 272 Muhammad al-Mahdi al-Samisi 275, 281 Muhammad al-Makki 278, 281

Muhammad al Muharāk, 'Abd Allāh 279 Muhammad Muhsm -> Dödhö Miyan Muhammad Musa 703 Muhammad Nawawi Banten 743 Muhammad Pārsā 517 Muhammad Sacid 299, 302 Muhammad Sacid bin Jamaluddin al-Linggi 742, 743, 744, 745, 747, 748, 751, 755 Muhammad Safid, Maulana 391 Muhammad Sa'id al-Oaddal 269 Muhammad Sa'd b. Tanta' 713 Muhammad Sādig 423 Muhammad Shafi'i bin Muhammad Saleh biu Abdur Rahman 742 Muhammad al-Sharif b. Nor al-Datum 270, 271, 280 Muhammad Sulaymān 279 Muhammad Tawfig 311 Muhammad al-Tayyib al-Baştr 274 Muhammad \*Umar al-Banna 279 Muhammed 'Uthmän al-Burhānī 95 Muhammad V 201 Muhammad w. Sātū 269 Muhammad Yusuf 554 al-Muhasibi, al-Harith b. Asad 27, 28, 29, 35, 49, 75, 129, 164, 185, 194, 195, 283 al-Muhassin al-Tanükhi 4, 32, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 90, 91 Muhibb 'All 443 al-Muhibbi, Muhammad Amin 674 Muhyi al-Din-i Oaramani 609, 610 Muhyi-ı Gülsheni 609 Mu'in al-Din Chishti (Mounddun Chishti, Sultanul Hind Khwaja) 401, 404, 438, 445, 446, 450 Mu'imyan, 'Ali Asghar 498 Mujaddidi, Muhammad Iqbiil 419 Mujezmović, Mehmed 639 Mukaddam al-Qaderi, Maulana Ahmad 393, 401, 402, 413, 414 Mukhtär al-Kunti 363 Mukhtar, Mansur Ibrahim 327 Muliä 'Abduliäh 287 Mulfa Sadra 621, 622, 626, 628 Mundhir b. Sa'id al-Bail0ti 182 Munīri-i Belghrādī 609 Mu2nus, H. 186 Munkar 489

Munson, H 205 Muqaddas-ı Ardabili 622 al-Muqrānī 258 Murabit -> Dallas, Ian Murad 442 Murad Bey 257, 259 al-Müntäni, Muhammad Ibn al-Shavkh 5Abd Allah 375 Marodov, O. 526 Murtadă Ansări 16, 289 Murtala b. Rāiī 362 Muss Celebi 605 Műsä al Käzim 63 Músa Sayrāni 13 Mūsā al-Yūsī 117 Musabayov, Bahas al -Din 550 Musaylima 105 Muslim b. al-Hajjāj 463, 481 Mustafā, Fārûq Ahmad 320 al-Mustarsım 210 al-Muftadid 71 al-Mu<sup>c</sup>tamid 55 Mulawa, Abdulla M 148 al Mutawakkil 57, 76 al-Mutawakkıl Ismā'il b. al-Qāsım 143 al-Mutawakkil al-Mutahhar b. Muhammad b. Sulayman al-Hamzi a.-Mutawakkil Sharaf al-Din Yahyā b. Shams al-Din 6, 136, 141 al-Muti<sup>e</sup>i, Muhammad Bakhit 315 al-Muwaffag 27, 55, 59 Muzaffar Khān 442 Myhrman, David 242 al-Nabhāni, Yūsuf 115 al-Nabulusi, "Abd al-Ghani 110, 115, 120, 149, 713 Naddaff Sandra 24 al Nadîm, 'Abd Aliāh 310

Myhrmao, David 242

el-Nabhāni, Yūsuf 115

al-Nābulusi, 'Abd al-Ghanī 110, 115, 120, 149, 713

Naddaff Sandra 24

al Nadim, 'Abd Alīāh 310

Nadjib, Emha Amun 690

al-Nadwi al-Siwāni, Muḥammad Faḍl el-Raḥmān 433

Nafisi, S. 502

Nagel, Tilman 33, 88

al Nahrajūrī. Abū Ya'qūb 178

al-Najāshī 64

Najib Pacha 304

Najjaar, Abu Bakr 398

Nakamura, Mitsuo 716

Nakash, Yitzhak 290

Nakir 489

Nana Asmā<sup>c</sup> 361

INDEX OF PERSONAL NAMES

Nānawtawi, Muhammad Oāsum 396. 472, 491 Napoleon 43 al-Naoib, Rajab 293, 295 Nagshband, Bahā' ad-Din 496, 497, 498, 499, 503, 502, 505, 506, 507, 510, 516, 517, 538 Nasafi, Aziz al-Din-i 546, 597, 619, 622 al-Nāşır Ahmad 143 al-Näsir lı'l-Haqq 131 al-Nāşir li-Dīn Allāh al-Ḥusaya b. Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Yahyā 135 al-Näsir Muhammad 225 Näsir -> Nasser al-Nāṣir Salāḥ al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'All 6, 106, 111, 117, 131, 133, 134, 135 Nasr b. Hārūn 80 Nasr, Soyyed Hossein 284, 502 Nasser, Jamal 'Abd 319, 322, 658 Naudé, Jacobus 13 al-Nawbakhu, Abu Sahi 84, 614 Nayancheng 566, 567, 573 Nozor Khwaia 547 Nazeem Mohammed 399, 402, 411 al-Nazifi, Muhammad Fatha 364 BI-Nazzām 69, 70 Nevelzade "Atāvi 608, 609 Nı matuliäh-ı Weli-ı Kirmani 41 Niess (Niesse), Ibrāhīm (Ibrāhīm Niyās nl-Kawiakhi) 282, 352, 363, 366, 367. 368, 369, 370, 375, 379 Niass Sidi Lamine 350 Niasse, Abdoulage 339 Nicholson, Reynold A. 50, 51, 70, 163. 6,6 Nidhami al-Ashrafi, Arif-Allah Ashraf al-Chishti 390 Niebuhr, Carsten 293 Nieuwenhuitze, C.A.O. van 673 Nik Abdul Azız b. H<sub>1</sub>. Nik Hassan 739 Nik Wan Ahmad, Hap 741 Nışar, Ahmad 1 Nızam ad-Dîn Awliya 444 Nizām ad-Dīn of Namaul 447 Nizām al Din Ahmad 418 Nizām al-Du Ambethi 449 Nızam, Shaykh 430 Nizami, Khaliq Ahmed 416, 425, 431 441, 444, 502

Noé (Noah) 102, 428 Nohlen, Detter 731 Norris, H.T. 358 Nourou Tall, Seydon 353 Nübär Bâshā 312 Nufawa, Malam Dudu (Abū Bakr Nüfawa b. Muhammad) 385 al Numayri, Jacfar 269 al Nugrāshī, Mahmūd Fahmī 318 Nür al-Dā'im, 'Abd al-Mahmöd 269, 272 Nor al-Din Mahmad 230 Nuchakum, Kiyahi 698, 699 al-Nöri, Abū'l-Hasau (Abū'l-Husayn) Ahmad b. Muhammad 3, 26, 27, 50, 51, 55, 56, 58, 118, 118, 126 Nurul Mubeen, Shaikh Sayyid 411 Nuscheler, Franz 731 Nwyia, Paul 55, 119, 174, 188, 192, 194, 195, 198, 202, 203, 204 Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar 15, 607, 611

Ocak, Ahmet Yajar 15, 607, 611
Özbek 499
Öishi, M.Sh. 546
Olcott, Anthony 520
Olesen, Niels Hennic 235, 493
Orkhån Begh 606
Ormsby, Eric 112
Oruç b. "Ad.1 607
Osman, Mohammad Taib 670
Ost. Zoc 225
O'Fahey, R. Seán 8, 40, 43, 147, 165, 167, 170, 171 269, 270, 274, 467
O'Kane, John 32, 164, 170

O'Kane, John 32, 164, 170 Paden, John 357, 358, 361, 362, 364, 369 Padia, G.M.I. 397 Padwick, Constance 151 Paksoy, H.B. 529, 530 Palembang, Abdussamad ('Abd al-Samad al-Fälimbani, Palimbani, Sayyıd 'Abd al-Samad b. 'Abd Allah (or 'Abd al Rahman) al-Jawi 19, 682, 683, 684, 685, 710 Pangeran Antasan 700 Pānipatī, Oādī Thanā ullāb 484, 486 Parker, Cassum 411 Parker, Richard 225 Pasha, Kamran 530 Paul Jürgen 502

Paye, Ousmane 356

Peskes, Esther 8, 9, 147, 149, 156 Peters, Rudolph 151, 278 Petry, Carl F. 231, 238, 239, 240, 242, 247 Pharaob 60, 89, 141 Philby, St John 302 P'hūt (Bahtūt) 418, 419, 424 Pierce, Richard 521 Pigeaud, G.Th. 666, 667, 671, 689 Pincer, G.F. 699, 717, 720, 721, 722 Panault, David 24 Pires. Tome 666 Plassus, Barend du 408 Poliakov, Sergei 535, 536, 537 Popovic, Alexandre 9, 18, 19, 38, 143, 307, 458, 460, 467, 502, 534, 548, 554, 560, 600, 652, 660, 697 Potogua, Mehmed 648 Pourjavady, Nasrollah 15, 16, 29 Pouzet L. 191, 493 Pretzl. Otto 25 Pseudo-Nāshi\* 69 Puente, C. de la 191, 194, 198, 202 Pag. J. 192 Putra, Sherif 660

Pelliot, P 542, 543

Penghulu 690, 691

Perlman, M. 235, 237

Pérez, R. 203, 214, 216, 220

al-Qabbab 195, 220, 221, 222, 223 al-Oaders, Ghulam Sarwar 402 al-Oaderi, Hassen 402 al-Oadhdháfi, Mu'ammar 441. Oadi, Abd al-Lauf Imam 390 al-Oādirī, Abū'l-Tayyib 375 Oa\*it Bay 7, 111, 225, 243, 246, 247 Oală\*6a 225 al-Qapāzi\*ī 194 Oaramānī 609 Oardiwi 596 al-Qārī al-Baghdādī 106 Qāshāni 98, 108, 116, 120, 275 Qasım (Kassem) 307 al-Oāsim b. Ibrāhīm 125, 129 Oasım b. Muzaffar b. Mahmüd b. <sup>c</sup>Asākir 107 Qasım b. Umm Hani\* 260 Oisum Lafeün 263 al Qastallānī, Qutb al-Dīn 42, 99, 116, 118

al-Qayrawani, "Abd al "Aziz 218, 219, al-Qaysari, Dāwūd 108, 110, 116, 620 Oazwini 1 Rāzi, 'Abd al-Jalil-1 618 Oi Ahong 561 Qianiong 542, 543, 544, 572 Oumu 566 Oumni, Muhammad Tahu-1 622 al Ounawi, Sadr al-Din 98, 100, 101, 105, 228, 427, 619, 620, 622 al-Onni al-Misri, Wafa Muhammad 310 Qurashī w. al-Zayn 271 Qurayshi. Muhammad Zubayr Ghulam Nabi 427, 431 al Outubl, Muhammad 240 al-Qushāshī, Ahmad 678 al-Qeshayrs, Abo'l-Qasım 33, 36, 49, 51, 76, 88, 89, 107, 185, 195, 221, 427, 617, 618, 682 Queuri, Muhammad Şâdiq 471 Qutbuddin Kagoe, Maulana 397 Outlugh Timür 498

Rābi'a al-"Adawiyya 283, 618 Raden Muhammad Musa 702, 703 Radtke, Bernd 2, 9, 32, 40, 43, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 268, 270, 273, 274, 467 Raffles, Thomas Stamford 701 Rahim Dad 418 Rahman, Fazlur 414, 467 Raja Ali Hap of Riau 687, 688 Răii. Moddibo Muhammad 362 Ramteni, 'Ali 'Azizan 13, 493, 496. 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 515, 517 Ramz-ı Ilâhî, Nûr 431 al Ranfri 19, 666, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 682, 683, 684, 685, 706, 735 al Raqqī, İbrāhîm b. Dāwūd al-Qasşār 76 Rashid Ahmad Gangohi 472, 491 Rāshid, Sayyid Muhammad Muţic Allāh Burhānpūrī 417, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 434 Rashti, Kāzum ı 628 Rasadi, Han Muhammad 689, 693 Rasulaev, Abdurrahman 524 al Rāwī, Khāshic 308 Rāz-ı Ilāhī 418, 429, 430, 431, 433, 434

Raza Khan, Ala Hazrat Maulana Ahmad (Ridā Khān, Mawlawi Ahmad) 397, 400, 410

Rāzī. Jamāl al-Dīn al-Murtadā 'Alī 'Abd Adāh Muhammad b. al-Husayn 15, 16, 615, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 621, 622

Refik, Ahmet 607

Reissner, Johannes 147

Reysoo, Fenneke 331

Richards, John 438, 442, 445, 447

Rida, Muhammad Rashid 2, 42, 94, 99, 146, 232, 303, 310, 314, 335, 717

Runn, Louis 39, 248, 266

Ritter, Hellmut 26, 34, 49, 54, 92

Rizvi, Salyid Athar Abbas 2, 416, 419, 422, 423, 426, 440, 448, 453, 455,

459, 462, 464, 465, 466 Robinson, David 337

Robson, James 463

Robson, S.O. 682, 693

Rodríguez López, J. 203

Rodríguez Mañas, F. 191, 192, 204

Roff, William R. 700, 744, 746, 749, 754,

755

Rogers, A. 442 Rogers, J.M. 38

Rosander, Eva Evers 1

Rosenthal, Franz 235, 236, 237

Ross, E.D. 545

Rubiera, M.J. 198, 200

Rūmī, Jalāl al-Dio 546, 622, 635

Rundgren, F. 312

Ruwayin b. Ahmad 86, 90, 126

Rūzbihān Baqlī 432

Sabin, R. 225

Sa'd Allah Efendi 93

Sa'd b. Ahmad 369

Sa<sup>o</sup>d Zaghuil 312

al-Sadefi, Abii FAli 194

al Sădăt, Anwar 322

Safdi 425, 635

Sadr al Din-ı Shirāzi -> Mullā Sadrā

al-Sahili, Abo 'Abd Allah al-

Mu<sup>e</sup>ammam 200

al-Sahmarānī, As'ad 333

Sahntu 214

Saib, Yunus 387

Sacid, Ahmad 473

Sacīd b. Ḥassān al-Şācigh 175

Said, Edward 522

Saudbaev, T.S. 530

Saidorihocaev, A. 530

al-Sakhāwī, Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Rahmān 7, 97, 98, 99, 108, 109, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122

Saksono, Wadja 671, 672

al-Saksynwi, 'Abd Allah b. 'Abd al-

Währd 211

Saladin (Şalāḥ al-Din al-Ayyūbi) 187, 225, 230, 231

Şalāh b. 'Abd al-Khālıq al-Jahbāf 135

Salāma b. Mām" 149

Salga, Mallam Muhammadu 368

Salie, Abd al-Rahman 398

Şālih b. Mahdi al-Maqbali 143, 144

Salih b. Yanşaren al-Magiri, Abb Muhammad 221

\$6lib Bey 264, 265, 266

Sälah, Cheikh 336

Saluh Muhammad Nur 271

Salih, the Prophet 66

Salihspaine, Džemal 658

Salihapahié, Mahmed 653, 658

Sălim 580

Salim, 'Abd al-Majid 318

Sālim b. 'Abdallāb b. Sumayr al-Hadrami 701, 711, 712, 729, 753

Salim Chishti 11, 443, 445

Salim I 111, 114

Salim, Prince 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 450

Salleh, Mohammed b. Nik Mobd. 746

Salmān Pāk 307

Salomon 483

el-Sam'ani, Abū Sa'd 'Abd al-Karim b. Muhammad 79

al-Samannüdi, Ibráhim 315

al-Sâmerra'i, Yûnus Ibrahim 293, 299, 308

Samb. A. 353

al Samman, Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Karim 269, 709

Samső, J. 200

Sanderson, G.N. 267

Sanneh, Lamine 332

Santiago, E. 198

al Santisī, Muhammad b. 'Alī 10, 165,

254

Sanyal, Usha 471, 472, 476, 477

Sārī Oghlī, Khalil Ibrāhīm 506, 517

Sari al-Sageti 55, 75, 118, 617, 619

Sarkin Malamai 368

al Sarrăj, Abû Naşr 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 56, 70, 73, 163

Sartain, E.M. 112, 115, 242, 243

Satan 91, 126

Satuq Boghra Khan 550

Sauzet, H 258

al Sawi, Ahmad 317, 318, 320

al Sāwī, 'Isam 318

Sayf al-Dio 450

Sayf al-Rijāl 676

Savrāmi, Mullā Mūsā 543, 544, 548, 549,

al-Savyādī, Muhammad Abū'l-Hudā 38. 295, 296, 297, 304, 305

Savvid Muhammad 140

Sayyid Outb 596, 597

Sayyed Usman ("Uthman b. 'Abd Allah b. 'Agit b. Yahyā al-'Alawi) 711, 712, 714

Sa'ad Zungur 371

Scarcia, Giagroberto 287

Schatzmiller, M 200

Scheich Ismail 744

Schummel, Annemarie 55, 70, 78, 151, 228, 229, 237, 238, 241, 245, 397, 398, 407, 472, 478, 485

Schneice, B J.O. 713, 715, 717, 718

Schulze, Reinhard 146, 172

Scot Erigène 102

Scott, P.H.G. 357

Schović, Fikrua 642

Selim 570

Self. E. 50

Semenov, A.A. 497 Senghor 345, 348, 353

Sha<sup>c</sup>bān b. Jallūn 264

Shabtōn 194

al-Shafbi 201

al-Shādhulī, Abū'l-Hasan 'Alī 119, 229,

al Shafici, Muhammad b. Idris 33, 130, 152

Shah 'Abdu'l 'Aziz, Shah 'Abdul'aziz 454, 460, 471, 474

Shah 'Abdu'l-Qadir 455

395, 401

Shah Ahmad Mukhtar Siddiqui, Maulana Shah Ahmad Nooram Siddigur, Maulana Shāh Fadi Rahmān Gani Murādābādī 477

Shāh, Ghulām Ali 490

Shāh Jahān 449

Shāh, Jamā'at 'Alī 471

Shāh Suwār 243

Shāh Waliullah Dihlawī 452, 455, 457, 458, 459, 464, 465, 471, 474, 478, 480

Shahid Awwal 284

Shahid Thăni 284

Shāhjahānpūrī (Shāhābādī), Muhammad

Suhān Khān 455, 459, 463

Shahrani, Nozif 533, 536

Shahrazād 22

Shahriyër 22

Shährukh 37

Shakar Gani, Bābā Farid 470

Shākir al-Mukhtārī 546 547

al-Shāmi, "Abd al-Shukur 679

Shitmil 535

Shams al-Din b. Sharaf al-Din 138

Shams al-Din, Muhammad Hasan 318,

Shams al-Din, Muhammad Mustefä 312

Shams al-Din al-Sumatrani 19

Shama-1 Tabrizi 622

Shaqiq al-Balkhi 29, 46, 47, 48, 69

Sharaf al-Diu Yahya b. Sharaf al-Din

136, 137, 139 al-Sharani, 'Abd al-Wahhab 60, 68,

112, 152, 167, 187, 245, 488 al-Shafrawi, Muhammad 597

Shareef, Abdul-Kader A. 233

al Sherif al-Ajall el-Imam Jamal al-Islām 33 Sharif Husayn 718

al-Sharif 128, 134

Sharkey, Heather J 273, 278, 279 Sharofi, Hakı 660

al-Sharqī, Tālīb 290

al-Shābbi 202

Shātir Buşayli 'Abd al Jalil 269 Shattārī, 'Abd Ailāh 434

Shaw, R B 545 Shaw, Stanford J 607, 608

al-Shāwarī, Ahmad b. Zayd 134

al-Shawkāni, Muhammad b "Alī 12, 143, 144, 464, 465, 466

al-Shaybi, Kāmil Muhammad 284 Shāyısta Khān 430

Shaykii bin Ahmad al-Hadi 750

Shaytan 102 al-Shayyāl, Jamāl al-Dīn 230 Shekabear, William G 713 Sher Shah Suri 419, 42., 424, 426, 444 Sherwani, H.K. 431 a.-Shibli, Abū Bakr 33, 54, 82, 83, 90, 91, 118, 130, 616 Shihāb al Din b. "Abd Allāh Muhammad 682 Shinobu, Ono 554 Shiraishi, Takashi 717 Shoshan, Boaz 226, 234, 235, 238, 525 Shouyi, Bai 582 Shufayb, Muhammad al-f Ashir 379, 385 Shuhdi Fathi Mahmiid 318 al-Shushtari 197 Shūshtari, Nūrullāh-ı 620 Sibghat Allah 430 Sidarus, A 189 Şiddiq Hasan Khān 474 al-Siddiqi al-Qadın, Maulana Abd al-Alim (Maulana Abdul Aleem Siddiqui) 390, 401 Sidi "Abd al-Latif b. Barakāt 262 Sidī "Abd al-Rahmān 260 Sidi Abb',-Hesan el-Gharbi 263 Sidi 'Āana 258 Sidi al-Akhdar 264 Sidi Bal 'Abbās 262 Sidi \*1s0s 258 Sidi al-Kattāni 264 Sidī Khālid 257 Sidî Mahammad 258 Sīdī Mansūr 255 Sidi Muhammad b. al-Atrash 257 Sidi Muhammad Shakeh Kalifa 749 Sidi Shaykh 262 Sidi Sinnh 258 Siger de Brabant 96 Sikander Lodi 444 Sikandarpüri, Wakil Ahmad 485, 486 Šilika, Bedn 659 Sunnāni, "Alā" al-Dawla 97, 120-499 Sinān Pasha 139, 140, 142 Singh, Nageodra 423 Sirāj al Din b. Ahmad al-Junayd 270 Sirhindi, Ahmad 97, 406, 428, 429, 433, 452, 470, 474, 475, 478 Sirhindi Mujaddidi, Muhammad Hasan Jan 478, 479 Sirriyeh, Elisabeth 1

Sītal3 480 Sitt Jenar 19, 671, 672 Sıyalköji, Muhammad İbrahim Mir 474 Skenderpaša 644 Slezkine, Yuri 522 Smailagic, Jusuf Zipa 645 Smajlović, Ahmed 655 Smith, Anthony 533 Smith, G.R. 387, 391 Smith, Grace M. 226, 606 Smith, Jane I. 229 Smith, M. 48 Smith, Robert 569 Spesarev, G.P 532 Snouck Hurgronge, Christiaan 160, 703, 705, 711, 739, 744, 754 Sobieror, Florian 4, 29, 32, 71, 331 Soebardi, S. 671, 672, 674, 689 Soeradipoers, R.Ng. 689 Sohrweide, Hanna 607 Solagzāde 607 Soliman le Magmfique 608, 609 Soutyb 726 Spaulding, Jay 270 Spuler, Bertold 387 St. Louis 231 Staffe, Susan J. 231 Stalin, J.W. 523 Stange, Paul 727 Steenbrink, Karel 20, 700, 701, 702, 703, 710 Steinbach, Udo 146, 320 Stern, S.M. 49, 229 Strausz-Hupe, Robert 521 Streusand, Douglas 439 Stronmaa, S. 175 Strydom, J.G. 408 Su Ahong 564 Su Sishisan (Su Forty-three) 562, 563, 564, 565, 572, 573 al Subayhi, Aba 'Abdallah al-Husaya b. Bake 54 al-Subki, Mahmud Khattab 17, 315, 316, al Subkī, Tāj al Dīn 6, 107, 241, 242 al-Subki, Taqi 2l-Din 108 Subtelny, Mane Eva 532 Sufi Sāhīb (Soofie Sahīb, Hazrat ) 387, 390, 391, 391, 392, 394, 396, 401, 402, 404, 413 Sufyān al-Thawri 73, 74, 130, 618

Suharto 726

al Suhrawardi, Abū Hafs Shihāb al Dīn \*Umar 31, 34, 107, 144, 207, 283, 427 al-Suhrawardī, Abū'l Najīb 132 al-Suhrawardī, Muhammad Sālīh 299, al-Suhrawardi, Yahyā b. Habash 32, 57, Sukarno 725, 726 Sukhareva, O.A. 530, 531 Šukrić, Nigaz 656 Sulauman Ac-Rasult 719, 722, 723, 724 al-Sulami. Abū 'Abd al-Rahmān 36 48. 54, 56, 63, 64, 68, 71, 73, 76, 88, 89 Sulaymān b. 'Abd Allāh b. Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhāb 146 Sulaymän & Sahmän al-Najdi 146 Sulaymān Pusha 225 Sulaymãn al-Zuhdi 712 Suleiman Effendi 750 Sultan CAll 305 al-Sumatrani, Shams al-Din 672, 673, 674, 676, 677, 682, 683 Sunan Girt 672 Sugan Pakubuwana V 688 Sunan Panggung 672 Sürəti Wasi Ahmad Muhaddith 477, 476 Surkati, Ahmad 717 al-Sutübi 96 al-Sutühî, Muhammad 322 al-Su'adt, 'Abd al-Lattf 98, 118, 117, 119 al-Suwaydi, Tawfiq 295, 296, 298, 301, al-Suwavdi, Yflanf 302 al-Suyūti, Jalāl al-Din 111, 112, 115, 226, 240, 242, 245, 487 Sy, 'Abd al-'Aziz 349 Sy, "Abd al-"Azīz Jr. 354 Sy, al-Ḥāji Malūk 339, 344, 351, 352, 353 Sv. Tidiane 345 Syed, Jahan Ances 430 Sylla, 'Abd al-Qādir 327 Tabaković, Ismet A. 642 al-Tabarânî 29 Taeschner, Franz 605 Taftāzānī, A.W 197 al-Taftāzānī, Saed al-Dīn 96, 110, 113, 119, 120 al Tahānawi 50

al-Tahur 326, 327

Tobar, Ibrahum 357 Tahu, Mohammad 21 Tähir Muhammad Muhaddith 427, 429 Tahmid Allah 685 al-Tà'i, Jamil 290 Taxal Din b. Ahmad 679 al Takrīti. Dāwūd 17 al-Talamankî, Abû 'Umer b Lubb 179, 185, 187 Talät 550 Talha 155 Tālib al-Naq!b Pacha 293, 295, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 308 Tāliozāda, 'Abd al-Rahim-i 630 Tammar 72 Tage Yenhe 571 al-Tanit, M.T 203 Täshköprüzäde Ahmed Efendi 606 Tāshufin b. 'Ali b. Yūsuf 186, 190 Tàiār Khān 418 Tawhīdīpūr, Mahdī 498, 517 al-Tawil, Tawiiq 310, 317 Taylor, Christopher S. 229 Tayob, Abdul Kader 391 al-Tayyib, Tayyib Muhammad 270 ter Haar, Johan 97 Thackston, M.W 545 Thaiss, Gustav B. 290 Thanesari, Muhammad Jaffar 474 Theseseri, Jelal 447 Thanvi (Thanawi), Hadhrat Maulana Ashraf Ali 396, 404, 407, 412, 472. Thomassen, Eugar 268 Thoraval, J 595 Tian Wu 572 Tieman, M. 243 al-Tilimsani, "Afif al-Din 98, 100, 101, 1 6, 228, 233, 235 Timur 41, 439, 605 Titus, Murray 470 Toffar Abdul Kanem 408 Torreto, E. 178, 179, 191 Torre, Purificación de la 187 Tôre, Saguche 554 Tousti, H 205 Touré, Cheikh 10, 327, 328, 329, 342, 344, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 354, 355 Touré, Sérigne Hady 346 Touwen-Boowsma, Elly 728

Tral 1c, Mahmud 655 Triaud, Jean Louis 337 Trimingham, J. Spencer 147, 150, 229, 230, 332, 745 Troll, Christian 2, 331, 416, 459, 460, 465, 466 Tschudi, Rudolf 604 Tuan Guru Sa'id (Said) 389, 390, 410, 411 Tuon Hoii Said 746 Tuen Long Scnik 746 Tuan Nur al-Mubin 386, 389 Tuan Tobal 741, 742, 743, 745, 748, 752, 755 Turayma, Şābir 94 Tughlug Timur Khan 551 Tuk Wali Ismail 744, 747 Tukku Palch 740 Tolga 251 al-Turābī, Hasan 268, 273, 276 al-Turkumani, Idris b. Baydokin 202,

235 al-Turtüshi 185, 186, 191, 192, 193 al-Turtari, Sahl b. "Abd Alläh 3, 31, 52, 54, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 70, 118, 178, 179, 617, 620 Tyan, E 52

'Ubayd w Badr 277, 279 Ufak 641, 642 Ulugh Beg 37 "Umar "Abd al-Jabbar 710, 712 "Umar al-"Ayderus 674 "Umar b. "Abd A.lāh Bā Shaybān al-Tarimi al-Hadrami, Abū Hafs 674, 685 -> Sayyid 'Umar al-'Aydarus, Bā Shaybān "Umar b. "Abd al-Rahman al-Magdist 106 \*Umar b. al-Khattāb 129, 360 \*Umar Khayyām, 635 Umar, Muhammad 312, 313 Umar, Muhammad S. 10, 169, 357, 371, 374, 377 384 Umm al Yumn 215 Urvoy, D. 184, 186, 192, 193, 195 al-cUryābī 188 Usmanu b Raji 362

'Uthaymin, 'Abd Allah 147, 154, 156,

157

\*Uthmän al-\*Alawi 20

\*Uthmän b. \*Abd Alläh b. \*Aqil b. Yahyä al- \*Alawi, Sayyid \*Uthmän (Uthman ban Abdullah bin Akil ban Yahya Alawi, 701, 702, 703, 729, 754
\*Uthmän b. \*Affän 129, 149, 186, 281, 360, 580
\*Uthmän b. Füdi 324, 325, 332, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 363, 364, 369, 371, 379
Uwaya al-Qarani 517

Uzun Hasan 37

Valikhanov, Chokan Changasovich 526 Valikhudjaev, Bourkhon 538 Vámbéry, Hermann 41 van Bruinessen, Martin 20, 666, 701. 706, 711, 718, 719, 727, 728, 754 van den Berg, L.W.C. 702, 710 van Diffelen, Roelof W. 160 van Dijk, Kees 728 van Ess. Josef 2, 3, 4, 49, 51, 55, 67, 68, 69, 70, 90, 162, 163 Vankions, P.J. 313 Veinstein, G. 9, 34, 38, 143, 307, 467. 534, 554, 660 Verwoord, H F 408 Veth. P.J. 700 Viatkin, V.L. 497 Viguera, M.J. 187, 191, 195, 215 Vikør, Knut S. 165, 170, 172, 281 Villanucva, C. 201 Vizcaino, J.M. 185, 193 Voll. John 148, 151, 627 von de Wali, A.F. 711 Voorhoeve, P 674, 675, 678 Vryonis, S. 203

Wad al-\*Äliya 279
Wad Numayri 269
Wahab, A.K. 402
al-Wahidi 63
Wajdi, Muhammad Farid 314
al-Wakil, \*Abd al-Rahman 321
Waidman, Manlyn 524
Wan Musa 755
Wang 579, 580, 587, 589
Wang Daiyu 553
Wang Jingzhai 590
Wang Lun 566
Wang Wenqug 590
Wang Yonghang 587

al-Wansharisi, Ahmad b. Yahyā 202, 208, 211, 214, 217, 218, 220, 221 al Warāgli, H. 201, 202 Warburg, Gabuel R. 267, 317 al-WardI, 'Alī 289, 290, 295, 296, 298, 304, 305, 306 al Warthdani 263 al-Waryaghli, Abë 'Uthman 216 Wasıl b. 'Ata' 67, 68 al-Wăseti, Abû Bakı \$4, 89, 617 Weinert, Reinhard 67 Weninger, Stefan 67 Wensinck, A.J. 50 Worfel, Franz 41 Westerland, David 1 White, Haydon 209 Willavat 'Alı 466 Williams, C. 225, 229 Williams, Daniel 226 Willis, R. 361 Wilson, Amold T. 301 Wilzer, S. 49 Wimbush, S. Enders 520, 524, 526, 527, 528 529, 532, 534 Winstedt, R.O 669, 673, 734, 738 Winter, T.J. 482 Wirvamartana 688 Woshikeng'e 570, 571 Wu Wanshart 573 Wuld Mūsā b. Jawsān 149 Witstenfeld, Ferdinand 417

Xinzhu 563, 572

Yaakob bin Haji Abdul Halim (Tuan Padang), Hap 739
al-Yāfi'i, "Afif al Din "Abd Allāh b. As'ad 107, 117, 129, 150
Yagi, Viviane Amina 272
Yahyā b Ḥamza 6, 129, 130, 131, 132, 143
Yaḥyā b. al-Ḥuṣaya b. al-Mu'ayyad 134
Yaḥyā b. al-Ḥuṣaya b. al-Mu'ayyad 134
Yaḥyā b. al-Ḥuṣaya b. al-Ḥuṣayai 131, 132, 133
Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad b. Ḥumayd al-Miqtā'ī 142
Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad al-Ṣāliḥī 127
Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad al-Ṣāliḥī 127
Yaḥyā b. Muḥammad al-Ṣāliḥī 127

Yahyā b. Yahyā al-Laythī 175

Yahyā Hamīd al-Dīn 143 Yahyā al Jaliāº 73, 75 Yahya, Osman 95, 96, 120, 122, 123. 6.9 Yahyā al Shirāzi 70 al-Yamani, Muhammad 665 Yang Changjun 570, 571 Yang Huaizhong 561 Yang Huantai 568 Yang Huizi 560 Yafqub b. al-Layth 59 Yafqüb Hog 545 Ya'cub al-Mansur 251 Yasın Padane (Yāsin al-Fadāni) 710 Yazıcı, Tahsın 604, 609 Ye Chengzu 569 Ye Sishiwu 567 Yermakov, Dinutriy 527 Yinghuan 557 Yısımacı 598 Yoder, HW 186 Yongzheng 542 Yoshinobu, Nakada 556, 569 Young, M.J.L. 648 al-Yuhānisi, Abū Marwān 197 Yomn b Rizg al-Zähid, Abb Bakr 176, 178, 179 Yüsuf b. "Abd Allah b. "Umar al-Kurdi 132 Yasuf b. Yafaab 250, 251. Yūsuf al-Hamadhānī (Yūsuf-i Hamadāni) 30, 532 Yosof Khāss Hānb 545 Yusuf, Muhammad Sadiq Muhammad 539 Yusuf of Faure 386, 389 Yüsuf, Prophet 360 Yüsuf Sıpan Efendi 612 Yüsuf al-Taj al-Khelwati al-Maqasarı (Yusuf Makassar, Yusuf Makassari, Shaykh Yüsuf) -> al-Maqassāri

Zabāra, Muḥammad b. Muḥammad 134, 137 al-Zabīdī al Murtadā 186 al Zahāwī, Jamīl Şidqī 306 al Zahāwī, Muḥammad Faydī 304, 306 al Zāhī, Muḥammad 216 Zahīr al Ḥaqq, Muḥammad 417 a. Zāhu Yaḥyā 106 Zahiraddeen, Muhammad Sani 384

Zam al-Din al-Sumbāwī 742, 743 Zakarıyya Sahib, Maulana Muhammad 396, 397, 402 al Zakzaki, Abū Bakr b, Ahmad 385 al Zamakhsharī 132, 142 Zanón, J 191 Zarcone, Th. 458, 460, 502, 538, 548, 560, 758, 600, 697 Zarkūb-t Sharāzi 78 Zamig, Ahmed 207 al-Zawāwī, Isā 108 al-Zawāwi, Shaykh 266 Zayd b. Ali 125 Zayd, Taha Ahmad 135 Zayn al-Abidin al-Oadiri, Hazrat 390 el-Zein, Abdul Hamid 524 Zhang Chengzhi 600 Zhang Qunfang 561, 562, 572 Zhe Zigou 583 Zulfi, Madeleine 610 el-Zirikli, Khayr al-Dīn 188 Zubayda 27 al-Zubayd1 [79] al-Zubayr 155, 283 Zuhür Haji Hudür 419 Zuo Zongtang 569, 571, 573 Zwemer 389

### 2. PLACE NAMES

Ābā Island 270 Abiward 48, 54, 498 Abū Qubays 709 Abyāt Husayn 105 Aceh 19, 20, 666, 670, 672, 674, 675, 676, 678, 679, 701, 706, 750 Acehnese Sultanate 669, 670, 675, 679 \$Ãd 59 Adamawa 362 Afghanistan 579 Africa 341 Afrique - de l'Oucst 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 332, 334, 335, 337, 339 - de l'ouest post-cologiale 338 - du Nord 253 noire 649 - occidentale 335 - occidentale française 328 Agra 423, 429, 440, 444, 445 Ahmedabad 420, 419, 421, 422, 427, 431 Ahst 148, 151 Ahwaz 79, 85 Aimer 448 Albaicín 199 Albania, Albanie 18, 19, 639, 640, 659, 660, 661, 662, 664 Alep 115 Alexandria, Alexandrie 119, 316 Alger 254, 257, 258, 266 Algerra, Algérie 5, 6, 41, 210, 249, 251, 253, 263, 327, 337, 707 Alhambra 200 Aligath 417 Allahabad 442 Almería 182, 185, 186, 187, 188, 193, 250 Altars 542 Altıshahr 554, 558 <sup>c</sup>Amāra 308 America 410 Amman 369

Ampangan 743 Anatolia, Anatolia 242, 305, 604, 606, 608, 610 Andalus, Andalousie 4, 176, 177, 178, 180, 184, 185, 189, 199, 200, 201, 205, 208, 210, 211, 216, 248, 250 Anding 562 Ankang 582 Ankara 609 Ansába 259 Agsu 547, 551 Arabia, Arabic, Arabian Peninsula 8, 43, 47, 57, 145, 147, 149, 150, 157, 159, 160, 332, 422, 425, 469, 474, 490, 678, 681, 707 \*And 148, 149, 156, 157, 160 Аггыйн 79 'Artis 138 Asafl, Ribāt 212, 221 Asia, Asie 13 Asir 429 \*Autr 43, 147, 170, 758 Athlone 390, 401, 410 Awadh 453 Ay-köl 551 Azzadville 398 Afzamiyya 283 Báb al-Shaykh 293 Báb Zuwayla 152 Bācis 212 Baghdad 3, 4, 16, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 41, 48, 52, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 57, 69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 80, 83, 86, 210, 231, 283, 284, 289, 291, 292, 293, 296, 297, 298, 299, 301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 309, 369, 371, 392, 614, 619, 672 Baktim 316 Balkans 18, 19, 639, 662, 664

Baikh 46, 500

Bamako 337

Banda Aceh 702 Bangkok 731, 747 Banjarmasın 700 Bantam 388 Bantenese Sultanate of West Java 681 Banyumas 698, 699, 703 Banyuwangi 699 Baggūya 216 Basra 2, 26, 27, 47, 52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 62, 77, 86, 148, 151, 283, 291, 292, 293, 295, 298, 299, 300, 301, 303 Batavia 703, 711, 716, 721 Bāward 498, 501 Bayan 568 Bayenrong 566 Bayborsiyya Khāngāh 242 Bayt "Att" 128 Beinng 555 Beirut 369 Belgrade 659 Bendhaša 644 Bengal 419 Bernr 427 Berber 270 Bihnr 444 Bajāya, Béjaya 211, 251 Bilād q.- "Anāb 260 Bilad Madhhij 134 Blida 252 Blue Nile 271 Bombay 391, 392 Bonto 362, 371 Bosnia-Herzegovina, Bosnie-Herzégovine 18, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 652, 653, 654, 655, 659, 660, 662, 664 Bosnie 642, 648 Bougle 252 Bridgetown 395 British India 24 Broach 421 Buhayra 313 Buitenzorg 699 Bukhārā, Bokhara 37, 498 499, 524, 537, 546, 547 Bukhat al-R.dā 372 Bukittinggi 722 Bulgarie 639, 640 Būna 259 Buntet 720, 721 722 Burhanpur 426, 427, 428, 429, 431

Bursa 612, 639 Buton 739 Cabra 176 Cachemire 287 Caire (Caire) 7, 31, 95, 96, 111, 151, 225, 230, 243, 305, 344, 648 Calcutta 419 Caucut 105 Canton 580 Cape Town 390, 399, 402, 410, 411 Caspian region 127, 128 Caucasus 759 Celebes 698, 740 Central Asia 13, 41, 42, 492, 495, 506, 515, 517, 518, 320, 521, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 533, 537, 538, 540 Central Suden 269, 372. Cevian 302 Chaisworth 394, 401 Chechnia 528 China, Chine 14, 15, 551, 552, 553, 554, 556, 557, 570, 572, 576, 580, 582, 588, 590, 591, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 730, 732 Chunar 418 Cianjur 702 Circbon 720, 722 Comores, Comoros 390, 399, 758 Constantine 255, 257, 259, 260, 264, 266, 327 Constantinople 371 Córdoba 176, 178, 180, 181, 182, 186, 189 Côte d'Ivoire 328 Crostie 659 Cyronaica 758 Daghestan 526, 528 Dahi 128 Dakar 327, 342, 343, 344, 355 Damascus (Damas) 30, 31, 38, 58, 94, 111, 247, 305, 369, 616, 706 Damäwand 54 Dan ger 568, 569, 573 Darfur 272, 281, 282 Datong county 566 Daylam 13f Daylamān 141 Deccan 416, 442

Dellu 306, 423, 444, 448, 449, 450, 454, 456, 464, 465 Demak 672, 696 Denia 199 Denhand 475 Dhamar 127, 131, 133, 134, 139 Didao 568 Dimyát 315 Dir\*ivva 148, 157 Diakovica 659 Dobroudia roumaine 640 Doba 678 Dongguan 588, 589 Dongola 269 Dongxiang 579, 581, 584 Durban 39C, 392, 394, 395, 399, 400 Edirne 606, 639 Egypt (Egypte, Agypten) 7, 17, 24, 36, 42, 43, 47, 57, 58, 76, 77, 94, 97, 96, 110, 112, 114, 158, 170, 217, 226, 230, 231, 242, 267, 280, 291, 310, 313, 315, 319, 321, 322, 325, 337, 341, 546, 584, 693, 707 Effustán 243 Elsies River 390 écurat de Muhammara 300 Lingland 24 Erzinian 38 Euphrate 73, 283, 291 Europe 633 Fallāta 280 Farghãoa 547 Facs 78, 79 Fass Touré 346 Fathpur Sikn (Fatchpur-Sikn) 438, 440. 441, 442, 445, 447, 448, 449 Fatimid Ifriquya 208 Ferghana valley 528, 533, 535 Fez, Fès 209, 214, 216, 218, 220, 251 France 24, 266, 328 Fustāt 225 Futa Jalon 325 Futa Toro, Funta Tooro 325, 343 Gabès 263 Gaizigong 570 Gandulang 566 Ganges 454 Gangetic basins 438

Gansu 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 561, 562, 565, 566, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 589, 591, 596, 600 Gazut 702 Geztra 274 Ghaljat 547 Ghana 331 Gharchistin 34 Ghiyaspur 448 Ghulas \$47 Ghūr 34 Gılan 141 Gorée 342 Gounas 353, 354 Gowa 681 Granada 198, 199, 200, 203, 204, 215 Grinadan kinedom 203 Grande Muraille 542 Grande-Bretagne 301, 302, 303, 307 Grèce 639, 640 Gray Street mosque 400 Guadalest valley 199 Guardamar 183 Guinée 328 Gularat 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 426, 438, 442, 666, 674 **Guoyuan** 579 **Guyuan** 586 Gwahor 418, 420, 424 Gwandu 362 Hadramawt 674, 709, 710 Hainan 595 Haya 134, 138 Hami 582 Harrissa 335 Haramaya 151, 157, 158, 160, 674, 677, 682, 685, 706, 737, 743 Has 659 Hasā 296 Hausaland 361, 371 Haute Volta 328 Helan 586, 595 Henan 573 589, 592

Herat 29

571, 579

High Atlas mountains 211

Hezhon 561, 563, 565, 566, 568, 569,

Hirāz 150, 157, 158, 160, 231, 273, 278, 481, 416, 422, 481, 705, 706, 720 Hijrat al-Awtan 134 Hyrat al-Washal 133 Hajrat Aram 133 Higgat Hasan Salman 132 Hijrat Macbar 133 Hilla 289 Hindustan 421 Hong Kong 596 Hongne 639 Huangzhong 587 Huber 580, 589 Hudayda 143 Hulo Trengganu 741 Ruraymila" 148 Hüth [33] Huwayza 289 Hyderabad 392

Iberian Peninsula 175 igelliden 211 Imi-n-Tănat 211 India, Indian Subcontinent, Inde, 11, 12, 97, 105, 269, 287, 306, 331, 390, 391 392, 396, 397, 403, 406, 410, 412, 422, 436, 438, 453, 454, 458, 464, 465, 466, 474, 674, 676, 706, 730, 732 Indies, Dutch 21 Indo-Pakistani Subcontinent 398 Indonesia, Indonésic, Indonesian 20, 97. 696, 703, 705, 706, 709, 719, 720, 725, 728, 735, 755 Irak, Iraq 16, 41, 47, 57, 79, 283, 288, 289, 290, 291, 293, 295, 296, 299, 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 304, 579, 580 Iran 23, 29, 36, 37, 39, 42, 47, 97, 284, 287, 286, 289, 291, 409, 410, 444, 579, 604, 619, 623, 631, 635, 637 Isfahan, Işfalılın 16, 29, 285, 626 Israel 410 Istanbul 93, 298, 550, 607, 609, 639 Ityāy al-Barūd 313 Izmir 610

Jahrān 133 Jakarta 716 Jalalabad 396 Ja<sub>r</sub>awlā<sup>2</sup> 307 Jambu 744 Java 19, 388, 666, 671, 672, 668, 677, 696, 701, 707, 708, 709, 716, 717, 719, 720, 721, 727, 733, 738, 739, 740

Jedda 678

Jemen 170

Jerusalem 57, 187, 371, 672, 744

Jidda 466

Jil , 31

Jilla 188

Jiza 57

Johannesburg 390, 391

Kabylee 252, 258 Kaduna 373, 377 Kairo 743, 744, 750 Kalyan 391 Kambodscha 741 Kampung Sena Janjer 744 Kano 362, 363, 364, 368, 369, 370, 372, 376, 378 Karbala, Karbalā\* 2, 286, 287, 289, 300, 302, 306, 307, 627 Kashgaria, Kushghar, Kashghar 546, 547, 559, 550, 551, 758 Kashmur 2, 442, 454 Kessala 277 Katagom 371 Kazakhstan 42, 524, 538 Kazan 522 Kazimaya 289 Kedah 711, 721, 731, 741, 742, 743, 747 Kelantan 730, 731, 732, 737, 738, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 750, 751, 754, 755 Kermão 287 Khālis 307 Khandaq 269 Khartoum 280 Khorasan, Khurasan 27, 29, 33, 47, 54, 64, 287 Khorezm 498, 500, 501, 505, 514, 528 Khotan 545, 546, 547, 548 Khubbán (?) 133 Khuttalān 47 Khuzár 500 Khūzistān 632 Kingri 759 Kirgiz SSR 528 Kirkuk 293, 307 Kurmānshāb 623

Kokki 343

Kordofan 271, 274, 278, 273
Kosovo 18, 640, 641, 645, 646, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 659, 662, 663, 664
Kota Bharu 731, 741, 743, 744, 748
Kowest 300, 596
Kracak 722
Kuala Lumpur 731
Kufa 48, 64
Kûkû 255
Kurdistan 283, 306
Kntrânj 270
Köne Ürgench 498
Ladysmith 391

Lähijän 127
Lahj t
Lahore 472
Lanzhou 561, 563, 564, 571, 572, 594, 599
Laohekou 580
Lebanon 409
Lengkong 697
Libyen 166
Lunxia 579, 581, 582, 583, 584, 595, 596, 598, 602
Lower-Egypt 57

Macedonia, Macédonne 18, 640, 641.

646, 650, 651, 652, 653, 655, 656,

Machar 133

Mansiira 315

Marrakesh 184, 187, 189, 211

659, 662, 664 Madura 696, 718 Maghreb, Maghrib 184, 187, 193, 200. 210, 211, 212, 213, 218, 248, 249, 250, 251, 253, 325, 332, 729 Mahallat al-fadl 308 Mahallat al-122a 308 Mahiam 128 Ma°kāl 149 Málaga 200, 212 Malakka 732, 750 Melay-Indonesian Archipelago 19, 665, 668, 677, 680, 685, 686 Malaya 729, 735, 736, 737, 739, 740, 741, 743, 749, 750, 756 Malaysia 20, 21, 730, 731, 755, 756 Mali 328, 337 Manbaj 76

Marw 48, 54, 70 Misa 274 Masallāmiyya 271 Masna at Bani Oays 131, 133 Mataram 672, 689 Mauretania 343 Mauritius 390 Mecca, Mecque, Mckka 9, 46, 48, 53, 56, 57, 58, 89, 129, 131, 142, 147, 148, 150, 160, 170, 255, 268, 279, 325, 337, 362, 363, 368, 371, 386, 388, 389, 390, 391, 397, 414, 423, 429, 440, 47, 454, 455, 462, 466, 469, 490, 559, 570, 579, 580, 581, 617, 665, 666, 672, 678, 684, 693, 701, 705, 706, 709, 710, 712, 715, 718, 721, 741, 742, 743, 744, 747, 750, 751 Medina (Médine) 9, 93, 148, 150, 213, 215, 392, 396, 414, 440, 455, 458, 469, 482, 484, 490, 672, 678, 705, 706, 720, 721, 706 Mediana 258 Meluka 569 Menado 698 Mértola 189 Mésopotamie 288, 295 541 MJa 265 M.nanekabau 750 Mishreq 293 Moghülayya 548 Moluccas 708 Mongolia, Mongolie 542, 553, 554 Monigou 581 Moroccen Rif 212 Morocco, Marokko, Maroc 5, 21, 168, 170, 209, 210, 211, 212, 216, 250, 254, 331 Mostaghanem 258 Mosul 291, 293 Mount Oaf 59 Maitan 470 Mongka 713 Marcia 189 Nadhrouma 254

Nadhrouma 254 Nadkovačima 644 Najaf 16, 289, 300, 627 Najd, Nejd 147, 148, 149, 151, 304, 481 Central 157, 160 Nakhshab 500 Nasaf 500

Natal 389, 392, 397, 401, 402 Negri Sembilan 742, 743, 745, 755 Nēshāpūr 33, 34, 36 Niebla 190 Niger 334 Nigena, Nigéria 327, 329, 334, 337, 338,

339, 341, 357, 358, 362, 366, 369, 371, 372, 373, 375, 381, 382,

Nile Valley 267

Ningxia 569, 582, 583, 585, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 597, 598, 599, 600

Nord

- du Nigéria, Northern Nigeria 268, 329, 333, 339, 357, 368, 370, 371, 382

Nordsumetra 750

- Africa 58, 187, 369, 375

- and Central Sumatra 725

Caucasus 526, 528.

-- India 444, 454

North-West Frontier 454

Northern

- and Northeastern Coucasus region 535

- India 416, 423 - Nigeria 268

Northwest Ch.na 14, 559, 565

Omdurman 277, 279 Orahovac 659 Oran 252, 253 Orenburg 523, 546 Orissa 442 Oudekraal 389

Oxus 47

Pahang Sultanate 669 Pakistan 390, 391, 395, 402, 456, 464,

472, 474, 601 Pakpattan 470 Palembang 682 Palestine 57, 58, 409

Pamuahan 738 Panipal 418

Panjab (Punjab) 438, 442, 445, 453, 454, 469, 470, 474, 479

Pasir Wetan 698

Patam 684, 731, 732, 737, 742, 744, 751, 752

Patna 466

Pechina 182, 185 Pčkin 585, 592, 596 Penang 711 Perlis 731, 747

ISLAMIC MYSTICISM CONTESTED

Persua, 198, 284

Persian Gulf region 678

Peshawar 454

Pietermantzburg 390

Pir 343

Plateau de Lœas 543 Port Elizabeth 396

Portugal 188 Pretoria 390

Prizren 654, 659 Pulau Manis 737

Purwakarta 699

Oalfa des Banū Rāshud 252

Qandahar 478 Oāza 133 Oarshi 500

Qashqa-Daryā valley 500

Oavrawān 58 Oazwin 64, 632

Qinghai 579, 581, 582, 583, 585, 587, 589, 593

Qinghai Ningxia Hui Autonomous

Region 554 Orneshur 562, 563 Oum 614

Rec Barcilly 453, 454 Rahbat Tawa 73

Rajasthan 438, 445, 454

Ramhurmuz 79 Rāmitan 498, 501

Ränic (Randie) 674, 676

Ragge 55 Rās al-Mā\* 252 Rasht £27 Răstipēra 428 Rian 711, 741, 753

Ricbeeck Square Market 390

Ref 251

Rif marocain 248 Rif mountains 216

al-Riyād 149 Robben Island 390, 394 Roumanie 639, 640

Roumélie 608 Rufisque 342 Russia 38, 41, 521

Rylands Estate 394, 402

Sabta 212 Sabvå 170

Sa<sup>c</sup>da 127, 131, 133, 134, 138

Sahara 325

Safid al-Sufadā? 230

Saksyūwa 211 Saláhiyya 230

Salar country 560, 562, 564, 566, 569

571, 572, 573, 574

Salé 210, 220

Samarkand, Samarquad 37, 48, 495, 497

Sămarră<sup>3</sup> 57, 299, 307, 308

San\*ā\* 127, 133 Sāni Karaw 272

Sarajevo 18, 639, 642, 643, 644, 645,

646, 648, 654, 655, 664

Saudi Arabia 29, 43, 373, 378, 393, 409,

414

Segu 362

Senegal, Sénégal 329, 332, 338, 339, 341, 342, 344, 345, 346, 347, 349,

350, 351, 354, 355, 757

Sénégambre 325, 326

Serbia, Serbie 18, 646, 656

-du Sud 645 Seremban 743

Seville, Séville 187, 248

Shaanza 566, 569, 571, 573, 575, 581,

582, 586, 597

Shandoog \$66, 573, 575

Shanghai 585, 589, 590 Shikarpur 481

Sharaz 4, 33, 71, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 432

Shrzurshan 594, 595 Siam 731, 747, 750 Sichuan 569, 583, 589, 599

Siffin 125 Signal Hill 386 Sinstan 47 Silves 189

Sind 442, 478, 479, 481

Singapore, Singapor 711, 747, 750

Singyyat al-Karkh 75 Skophe 18, 646 Smyrne 264 Snässen 254

Sokoto 275, 326, 362, 363, 364, 369, 371,

373, 758

Soudan 326, 601 -> see also Sudan

central 338 — occidental 332, 338

- Africa 12, 13, 387, 391, 392, 393, 397, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405,

409, 412, 413, 415

- Asia 437, 440, 453

— Assau subcontinent 416 — Kalımantan 20, 685

-Solawesi 681

- Sumatra 682 - Thailand 684

South West Tankistan 536

Southeast Asia 20, 416 southern Kazakhstan 528

Soviet Central Asia 534, 536, 540

Soviet Union 527, 529

Spain 212 Srt Lanka 687 St Louis 342 St. Petersburg 497 Stellenbosch 402

Sudan 8, 40, 166, 267, 275, 279, 317, 372

Sukabumi 702 Sulaymanıyyah 293 Sumatra 709, 712, 740, 741

Surabaya 718 Surakarta 688, 692 Surat 676 S0s valley 211, 212 Suzhou 569

Swellendam 389 Stidthailand 741

Syria (Syrle) 17, 44, 46, 47, 57, 110, 112, 114, 158, 231, 242, 291

Tabriz 631 Tahf 150

Taïwan 599 Tacizz 127, 133, 143

Tapkistan 528 Tanger 250 Tantā 95 Taram 542

Tarsus 73 Tashkent 37, 497, 524, 525, 530, 539

Téhéran 306 Tell 257 Thula 133

Tibet 553, 554, 568, 583

T gre 283, 291 Lhāma 127 Tikrit 307, 308

Tit-n Fite 212 Tutteri 257 Tivapuane 349, 352 Tizi n-Test pass 211 Tlemcen 210, 251, 252, 254 Tongguan 571 Tongxin 602 Tonk 454 Touba 349, 352 Transoxania 507, 509, 510, 513, 515 Transvaal 389, 396, 397, 399, 402 Trengganu 731, 737, 740, 747 Tripocitania, 40 Tudela 176 Tükh 316 Tunis 251 Tunisic 263 Turfan 542 Turkestan 541 - omental 544, 550, 551, 552, 576 Turkey, Turquie 42, 474, 550, 759 Turkic Central Asia 554 Turkmenistan 498, 528, 531, 532, 535 Turkmentsten 532. Tustar 59, 85 Tutrakan 640

Ufa 523 Uitenhage 399 Uiten al-meläzim 257 Umm Dubbän 279 Uitzinte 397 Urgench 528 Uriimqi 561 Ushaq-tal 544 Uttar Pradesh 445 \*Uyayna 148 Uzbekistan 533, 537, 538

Valencia 186, 190
vallée d'Ili 547
vilayet de Basra 296
vilayet de la Mésopotamie 291, 293
vilayets mésopotamiens 288, 297
Volga-Ural region 759

Wādī Surdud 128 Wādī Zubayd 133 Wādî'.-Ḥār 131, 132 Wa'ra 133 Wāshgird 47 Washington 410
Wasit 55
Weizhou 594
West
— Africa 10, 11, 165, 341, 368, 369, 758
— Java 20, 697, 701, 702, 711
— Sematra 700, 713, 716, 722, 750
Western
— India 416, 438
— Panjab 470
Westridge 400
White Nike 271

Xining 568, 569, 570, 573, 581, 582, 583, 584, 587, 589, 594, 599
Xinjiang 543, 550, 551, 553, 554, 557, 558, 562, 574, 576, 582, 593
Xi'an 581, 582
Xunhua 555, 558, 560, 561, 563, 568, 570, 571, 574, 584

Wynborg 402

Yafrus 143
Yangzi 569
Yarkand 545, 546, 547, 551
Yellow River 555
Yemen (Yémen) 6, 7, 59, 105, 106, 116, 125, 126, 127, 128, 131, 133, 134, 139, 141, 143, 466, 559, 678, 681
Yettä-shahr 548
Yinchuan 593, 599
Yogyakaria 716
Yougoslavie 18, 639, 640, 641, 649, 651, 657, 659, 662, 664
Yunnan 573, 590

Zabīd 108, 127 Zafār 133 Zahrayn 138‡ Zanjān 632 Zaria 362 Zentralasien 729

## 3. CONCEPTS

abangan 707, 726 'abd 102 abdāl 73,75 ahka 542 abràr 50 adab 481 'àdat, adat 469, 707, 712, 715, 707 adhkār 277 adhwāg ahl al-firfān wa'l-tawhid 236 fad! 89 After-Breakers (houkai) 555, 556 ahkām al-takfir wa'l-tafsiq 137 ahkām Allāh al-zāhira 207 ahl al-bājin wa'l-haqiqa 275 ahl al-hall wa'l-faad 208 ahl al-ibāha 25. 612 ohl al-malama 126 ahl al-ma<sup>c</sup>rifa 50 ahl al-nazzār 88 ahl al-shart'a 249 ahl al-sariga 131 ahl al-tasawwnf 31 ahl Allàh 275 ahong 556, 574, 577, 579 ahwai 333 aiheli sunnaı 581 a\*immat al-hudâ 219 fajam 254 ajaran sesat 755 akhiãa 666 ākhund 556 'alā sūratiki 26 fålam al-amr 483 'ālam al-khavāl 108 al-'ālam huwa Allāh, huwa al-'ālam 675 alastu bi-rabbikum 58, 60s alf 440 'āhm 193, 194, 196, 205, 219, 258, 261, 622 alirem kehatman 727 allāhu shāhidī 60

Samul 5, 207, 213, 215, 224

'amal ytthädl 213 'amal-dărân 513 āmin bi'l-Jahr 474 'āmma 152, 185 al-amr by 'l-ma'ruf 193 al-amr bl'i-mo'raf wa'l-nahy 'an almunkar 55, 92 camud al-nur 61 'an 49 and 60 and rabbukum al-a'ld 60 ana'l-haga 621, 672 anbiva" 51 al-anwar al-muhammadiyya 380 faq: 287 al 'agl al-awwal 103 är-é bar 507 fards, fanifin 57, 620 al-'ārīfūn bi-ahkām Aliāh 194 al-'artiun bi'llah 194 arwith 42 arzig 86 'asabiyya 199 asl al-"adl 78 Acutan 542. Sawamm 50 'awanan wa 'awan-parasian 513 awba 51 awliva\* 53, 165, 207, 208, 361, 366, 370, 380, 381, 382, 481, 483, 484, 485, 615, 619 awlisa2 Allah 51, 152, 208, 363, 389 avtād 489 āva muhkama 488 āvāt 32, 91 al-a<sup>c</sup>yān al-khātmyya 680 'ava thābita, al-a'vān al-thābita 104, 665, 680 Sazm 275 āyat al-kursī 239

badhl al-rüh 126

baraka, barakat 153, 159, 229, 238, 239, 248, 347, 357, 360, 361, 386, 445, 482, 486, 512, 733, 734 barid 133 barzakh 159, 485 bastī 500 bānn 64, 668 bay'a, bay'at, bai'at \$2, 552, 482, 508, 509 belum berakal 743 berlagak seperti sufi 684 bhaktas 473 bid'a, bid'ah, bida' 10, 11, 28, 202, 222, 262, 314, 317, 343, 354, 371, 377, 380, 381, 383, 403, 456, 457, 459. 461, 579, 610, 613, 730, 754 bid'a dzalala 752 bid'a hasana 473, 752 bila kayf 377 al-bug°a al-tāhira 276 burhān 137 bushed 164 but-parasti 460 bub! 551 džahil 657 darjālān 260 dalāl 123 dalil 'amm 473 dānish 633, 634 daotane 577 darāhim al-gudra 85 darbar 439 dargāh 392 dast-ı ırādat \$10 datong 542 da'wa 404 dawsa 311 deveran 611 dhabh 154 dhāker 504 dhanb 49 dhāt 684 dhāt Allāh 692 dhawa 234 dhikr dzikir, zikr 38, 58, 60, 132, 133, 134, 152, 199, 201, 204, 236, 239,

271, 305, 309, 313, 335, 347, 366,

367, 370, 387, 398, 479, 496, 502,

503, 504, 506, 508, 525, 536, 551,

557, 565, 572, 575, 577, 578, 678,

679, 644, 646, 647, 650, 651, 653, 654, 663, 709, 710, 712, 713, 714, 716, 724, 730, 739, 743, 744, 745, 748, 751, 752, 753, see also General Index dhikr allāh 391, 411 dhikr Allah bi'liah 60 al-dhikr bi'l-madhkür 60 dhikr nafy wa-ithbāt 723 dhikr-i 'āmin 504 dhikr-i khāss 504 dhikr-i khāss al-khawāss 503 dhull 275 dhunüb 49 dil bā vār wa tan ba bāzār 507 dIn 633, 634 du'à' 154, 240, 406, 486, 578 duriāl 259 dukun 734 duwayra şûfiyya 2 fakhr 432 faisafa 16, 98, 105, 107, 118, 284 Jana\* 3, 164 al-fand\* fi'l-shovkh 40 al-fand' wa'l-baga' 58 fann al-karāmāt 221 fanzuo 555, 561 faoth 187, 193, 194, 205, 208, 218, 219, 220, 221, 223, 224 fagir 208, 218, 219, 220, 224, 261 fagr 241, 408 ford'id 102 fand 481 fard 'ayn 549 farida 'ādila 488 fāsiq, fussāg 126, 358, 614 falā 73 fayadān 365 fayd 365, 472, 484 favda 365, 366, 367 fidva 578 figh 4, 151, 156, 188, 191, 196, 197, 207. 212, 213, 221, 222, 223, 358, 380, 383, 546, 627, 665, 666, 670, 674, 676, 680 figh al-bātm 222 figh al-mu'āmalāt 223 figh al-wora\* 222 figh al-zähir 222

fitna, fitonhā 89, 177

R'l 'ādāt 461 fi'l-'ibādāt 461 fi'l 'ilm 461 fi'l-tasarrul 461 Fore Breakers (giankai) 555, 556 (ugahā) al zābur 221 fugarā\* 189, 215 furgan 170 бинента ТЗ fuyüd wa-barakêt 484 al-fuvādāt al-rabbāmyya 370 Gedimu 554, 559, 565, 576 ghawth 256, 360 ehawth al-zamān 367 ghavb 90 ghaybat 624, 625 ghaybat-i şughrā 614 ghavr 81 ghayr mugallid 487 ghāzi 549 gludiow 289 ghurür 130 al-ghust li'l-tawba 724 congbei 577 avarhwin 475 hadir wa-nazir 12, 472 hadith 48, 55, 164, 193 hadith al- ishq 27 hadra, hadarat 274, 275, 305, 310, 321, 334, 367, 368 hadra ılähıvva 366 hahiit 368 hakim 204 hat 236, 358, 510, 511, 531 halál 262 halga-e-dhikr, Halga-e-zikr 390, 411 haqiqa 19, 207, 358, 383, 507, 515, 531, haqiqa ahmadiyya 366 haqiqa muhammadiyya 7, 103, 104, 366 hagg 128 hardm 262 harb 275 al-harb sabr 279 hawājis 83 hanvas 85 hays 588

havlala 721

hawa 113

hazm 275 hufz 189 hitra 132, 125, 133, 255, 274 hikayat 668 hikma, hikmat 269, 620 hikmat-i muta aliva 620, 621 hurla 512, 513, 514 hodža 643, 644 Hu ("Lui") 654, 656 Hubbe Raspol 407 hubs 200 hudür 6, 239, 240, 242, 489 hugat Alläh 60 hidā: 85, 90, 101, 119, 141, 198, 232, 658 haliinyya 232 hüsh dar dam 507 huwa al-aavvūm, huwa al-aayyūm, allāh al-aayyūm 271 Hyang Agung 692 Tibāda 126, 154, 670 'ibādat al-asnām 153, 155 chōha 34 ibāh! 82 ıbana wa-takhsis 91 al-idhn al-mujlag 376 ihata 681 ihsha 358, 359, 378, 379, 383, 452 i'iāz 33 1idea 34, 318, 508, 514 Ima 115, 122, 213, 370, 488 tjithad 213, 224, 276, 287, 466, 487, 626 ikhlafat 82 skhlås 6B ikhrilaf 626 that 668 tlhdm 4, 83, 234, 313 "ilm 5, 113, 194, 196, 221, 222, 224, 631 "(lm al-bătin 164, 177, 678 'sim al-dagā'ng 679 'ilm al-ghayb, 'ilm-ı ghayb 195, 472 "ilm al-hadith 175 'ilin al-hagā'ig 668, 678 Silm al-khiraq 87 "ilm al-mu "āmalāt 222 "ilm al-mukāshafāt 222 °ılm al-shari\*a 668 'ilm al-tawhīd 191 'ilm al-uşül 216 "ilm al-warag 87 "ilm al-zāhir 163

'ilm Alläh al-säbia 61 pawāmi" 264 ilmi tesavvuf 644 Anvāz 208 ilmu 738 Jayengresmi 689 ılmu martabat tujuh 739 jhäruka darshan 439 dma pita 739 fiaofei 573 ılınıı Satarıyah 739 Juggu 559 ımām al-awlivā? 360 al-pdal fi öyür Allah 181 Imām Bakhsh 480 jihād 53 ımām ma'şüm 188 јчаораг 576 Imān .02 imtizā) 81, 82 kabīra 211 Ināba 31, 154 kāfir, kuffār 326, 616, 677 Indhär 274 kākun 177 indirās al-islām 274 kalimat al-shahada 360. ınkar 494 kāmil wa-mukammil 483, 488 ınkisar 275 karamat 4, 6, 81, 83, 91, 131, 198, 208, ingradisy 521 238, 256, 257, 258, 313, 357, 360, al-inqibād 'an al-suitān 175 361, 370, 561, 547, 638 al-insān al-kāmil 330, 666, 699, 738 karāmāt al-awtīvā? 183 irāda, Irādai 61, 125, 126, 508, 509, 510, kasb-i kamāl 512 516, 518 kashf 236, 245, 357, 361, 406 'ir/an 16, 620, 621 kaum 689 Irja\* 133 kaum muda 714, 750 Ishān 41 kaum yang bersufi-Suftan dirinya 684 ishārāt al-sā"a 272 kawn dukka 367, 368 ishiq 607 kebasinan 708 fishq 3, 27, 68, 192, 195 keramet -> karāma al-ishrak fi'l-'adas 480 khalaf 82 al-ishrāk fi'l-"ibāda 480 khalifa 367, 388 al-ishrāk fi'l-9lm 472 khalwa, khalawāi 270, 332, 496, 508, 713 al-ishrök fi'l-tasarruf 483 khalq al-gur'an 72, 76 isiāh 17, 311, 314, 318, 469 khalwat dar anjuman 507 isin Allah al-a"zam 333 khāngāh, khānagāh, khāngāhs 6, 22, 31, ism al-latif 390 78, 229, 230, 231, 234, 237, 238, 239, Usma 61, 124, 195 240, 241, 242, 392, 405, 494, 500, tsnäd 63 503, 505, 510, 511, 515, 516, 518, *Isrāt* 50 523, 536, 537, 620 Istighātha 154, 483 khāngāh-dār 511 tstighfår 154, 240 khānawāda 507, 508 istighted 384 khānawāda of 'Bring and take' 507 ıstıındâd 486 khārı) 684 istigāma 219 khārija 'āda 547 al-ı'nmād 'alā llāh 275 khāss al khawwās 681 al-t'tıqād fl'l-anbiyd' wa'l-sālihln 153 khāssa 152 al-i tsqād fi 1 sālshīn 153 khatarāt 83 i\*tizāl 181 khātīrāt RI utifāq al-gawl 275 khatm al-awlivā? 366 inihād 119, 141, 198, 232, 244, 615, 616, khaim al-waldya 7, 103, 171, 366 khatm al-walāya al-muḥammadiyya 365 khatm-ı khwātagān 713 rabartit 368

khawārıq-i 'ādāt 498

khaveäss 50 khawf 154, 333 khidhlän 61 khidina 175 khilôfa 392, 508 khirga 30, 31, 133, 504, 508, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 518 khirqat al-futuwwa 31 khshwarena 439 high 50, 58, 63, 118, 123, 137, 153, 154, 159, 202, 358, 374, 458, 622, 677, 684 kıdır käfir 93 kuntu sam'ahu 107 kutb 658, see also outb lähia 85, 368 latifat 489 lată's[713,723 lāzum 330, 334 lazma 257 li (supplementary statutes) 557 10 557 maldsid 359 mahabba 2, 27 mahalla 535 mahdi 8, 177, 178, 187, 273, 619, 453, 454, 467, 619, 700 al-mahdi al-munuazar 273 ma'iyya 681 mojális 276 majdhūb 743, 745, 748, 749, 752 majla ilähi 102 malaküs 368 mantia 666 magāla 123 magām, magāmās 53, 129 ma'rifa 2, 57, 673, 690 maria'iyya 309 margi\* al-taglid 16, 289, 302 martabat tujuh 667, 682, 685, 698, 738, 744 masālih 359 mashd 'ukh 436, 437 mashyakha 312, 317, 318, 321 mashyakhat al-jurug al-süfiyya 314, 322 mashi<sup>o</sup>a 61 mashrab 434 ma'siya 50 matem 657 ma'ūna 61

mawjūd 692 al-mawjūd al-hagīgī 681 al-mawjūd al-majāzī 681 mawlid al-nabī 198 Meelad 395 menhuan 559, 570, 576, 584, 591 menhaan de l'Œuf 600 menhuan du Poisson 600 Messenger of the Farimi, Rasul al-Farimi 212 mhna 3, 4, 57, 71, 72, 73, 75, 77, 78, 106, 108 min 49 Mingshahui (Bright Sand Societies) 556 mr'ndy 11, 419, 484 memär 135 mu<sup>c</sup>āmala 114, 125 mabtadi", mubtadi" an 259, 260 madabbtrāt 483 insidda 1 al-rubūbi vya 614 muhaddith 193 inuhaqqiq 197 muhāsabat al-nafs 51 muhibbün 113 mahlikāt 359 muhtasib 27 muhtasıb al-süfiyya 207 mujāb al-da'wa 177 mujādala 88 inujaddid 273, 471 mıyāhada 52, 126, 249 inujāhid 204 inuitwer 48 mu<sup>c</sup>juza 4, 91, 92 miotahid 16, 289, 624, 626 mukabela 644 mukhtasarat 212 mulhid, matähida 107, 108, 132, 681 ma²mınün 51 munäfiqün 66, 259 munāsabat 491 mumilyāt 359 muntazar 212 ınugarrabün 50 murābata 249 al-murabbī al-nāsth 223 murāgaba 51 murid 53, 125, 126, 159, 361, 367, 508, 536, 724 murshid 159, 460, 460

murtadd-1 tariga 508

703, 703

mushāhada 52. perezhitki 531 mustahabb 482 ping Jing li jia 578 mustahlıkün 113 Pir Bakhsh 480 mutafranjıyyün 313 pir-s bayfat 509 mutamahdi 280 pir-t trādat 509, 510 mutasarrıf 296 al-mutaşawwif al-raqqās al-saffāq 136 qabar puja 406 mutch 699 aabd 370, 377 gabd sadi 370 nabī 103, 116 aadā 104 nadhr 154 qadar 89 nafas 61 gadim 576, 577, 578, 582, 585, 586, 587, näftr 'āmm 549 593, 594, see also Gedimu naf3 61 Qadirawan Shehu 361 nofs al-rah 61 galam 103 nafs al-sab\* 61 aänün 632 nafsī wāḥɪdī lā sharīka lahu lā ilāha illē aillat al-sharāb 275 ana 698 aillet al-ta'ām 275 nafy-uhbāt 699 qıyds 487 al-nā°th al-'amm 285 all! 60 nam mkha 542 quib 361, 376, 611, 666 namak-khurda 544 quib al-agiab 365 naglb 292, 293, 295 al-quib al-a'zam 363 nagib al-ashraf 293, 295, 303 quib/ghawth 374 nac 616 quibămyya 376 nasūt 368 nawāfi! 102 rābija 40, 159, 183, 188, 460, 461 nawāgid al-Islām 377 al-rabija bi'l-shaykh 713, 714 nazar bar gadam 507 raff al-yadayn 474 ngelinu 738 mfd 138 nisab 121 raghba 154 nisbat 489 rāh-zan-i tarīga 507 nayya 714 rahba 154 nizām-t falem 608, 613 rajā\* 154, 333 nöker 513 raivábhiseka 439 novyl sovetsku chelovek 523 rags 217, 494, 496, 506, 516, 611 nubuwwai 458 raqs ve deveran 610, 611 nükaran-ı hakıman 513 rānb 277, 278, 282, 388 nür 616 Ratu Adil 700 al-nür al-muhammadi, nür-ı muhammadi rawābij 200, 201 12, 19, 313, 472 m²v 182 nür Muhammad 3, 61, 680, 708 rehbanmet 649 nussāk 183 ribāt 5, 189, 229, 249 rivasa 261, 262 pākdīn 634 rivā' 25 Perfect Man 439, 625, 628, 630, 631, acc rīvāda 508 also al-insān al-kāmi rubābryva 89 Perfect Shute 628, 629, 630, 631 rüh 482, 484, 682 pekauman 699 rûhāniyya, rūhāniyyat 500, 715 penghulu 20, 689, 690, 696, 698, 702, rukhsa 508

ru'va 58, 714

sabr 275 sadl 377 sadr 423 safar dar watan 507 sähib al-zamän 619 sāhib wasāwis 176 salām 181 salāt dā' im al-haga 698 samā' 6, 11, 34, 58, 124, 127, 130, 191, 217, 462, 475, 494, 496, 506, 516, 617, see also sema" sanctus absconditus 112 santri 688, 707, 708 sarakata 669 savvāha 2 sebangsa 634 зенала 668 sema\* 610 semangat 732, 733, 734 sewanted 684 sha'd'ir 480 sha'badk 177 shabbāba 199 shafā'a 154, 181 shahada, shahadat 218 shahrd 34 shabid 549 shaiara 514, 515, 516, 517, 518 shar' 359 sharhi 500 sharif 248 shashryyat 420, 432, 621 shattāhūn 138 shawa 192, 616 shavkh 40, 41, 366, 367, 476, 495, 506 shavidi al-fativă 223 shaykh al-sayada 43 shaykh al-shuyûkh 238 shavkh ol-sa'lim 36 shavkh al-torbiva 36 al-shavkh al-mu'allun 223 shifa at 461 shirk, surk, syark 10, 50, 155, 159, 347. 456, 457, 459, 461, 482, 483, 657, 730, 754 al-shirk al-akbar 155 shughli-ı barzākh 460 siddha 434 sida 207 sihr 91, 618 silsila 132

sipühr 633 al-sirāt al-mustaaīm 681 al-sirr al-akbar 367 sirr al-nafs 60 sirr al-rubübiyya 60 Sitalā Bakhsh 480 slametan 697, 716 su'adā\* 484 subhānaha 428 subhāni 428 südänivyin 278 s3f 177 sāfi-shi'ār mulhid 459 sūfi-shifār mushrik 459, 460 sūfīkush 288, 627 sāfiyva 277 súfiyyat al-arzag 241 suhba, suhbai 484, 489 sulūk 690, 713 sunna gā'ima 488 surau 722 suwwäha 248 saz-8 āh 510 ta'assub al-madhāhib 168 tebarruk 512 tabdil 105 tabilah 716 Indbir 483 tahāra 49 tahlil 240 tahrif 105 tahrif al-qur'an 113 tahrif wa-aarmata 63 tahrim al-makasıb 25, 69 tarallivät 104 teadld 441, 469 al-tairiba al-islāmiyya 268 takassur 752 takbir 239 taldir 110, 122, 335, 629 jalab al-ingijā" ilā liāh min kull mā sīwāh 125 talbis 86 tanhīd 239 tanāsukh 70 taqtyva 96, 305, 426, 530, 627 taglid 148, 199, 214, 462, 463, 466, 474, 477, 479, 487, 488, 489, 714, 718, 719 tagwā 128, 170. 480

tarbiya 367, 373, 508

tarekat tarekats 706, 753, 754 tarikat 641, 642, 645, 647, 649, 650, 652, 653, 655, 657, 662 taria al hudă 277 tariga, tarigat 19, 31, 36, 40, 41, 43, 53, 199, 253, 330, 391, 507, 515, 531, 635, 673, 729 toriga ahmadiyya 681 tarīga muhammadīyya 9, 43, 167, 170, 171, 173, 681, 685 tasāmuh 677 tasarruf 40, 483, 485 al-tasattur bi'l-figh 112 tasawwuf 621, 678 tasawwur-i shavkh 460 tasbih 239 tasibn 616 tatblr 286, 290 tathniya 101 tawafft 61 tawanuh 207, 489 tawakkul 47, 75, 86, 87, 90, 154, 275, 616 tawaqquf 122 tawassul aur shafā'ai 485 tawba 3, 45, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 64, 66, 67, 109, 125, 181, 578 tawkid 53, 154, 158, 211, 232, 315, 347, 376, 377, 406, 724 tawhid al-asmā" wa'l-sifai 377 tawhid al-rubübiyya 8, 154, 377 tawhid al-sifat 154 tawhīd al-ulūhīyya 8, 11, 154, 155, 377 ta°wii 3, 116, 120, 243, 244, 625, 627, 722 tawwab rahim 65 tavvvähün 67 tešbih 658 tenasuh 650 tenggeri 542 tenzih 658 tevhid 647, 654, see also tawhiid thamara 514 thartgat 729 thorsach 729 thubüt 104 tian 542 tiandao 542 tianming 542 tibb 269 tunbula 333

turug 276, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 338, 339, 340, 341 tuz etmek haggi \$44 tuz/namak haggi 544 tust 564 Sukkāz 211 al-'ulamā' warathat al-anbīvā' 163 ulū'l-amr 487, 488 'ulüm al-asıtır 668 Sulium at hadish 665 'ulum shathiyya 136 unima 105 umma Südäniyya 278 ummī 167, 500 undang-undang 668 'urafa' 621, 624, 627, 628, 630 'urf 469 Surs 392, 393, 399, 475 Sushshag 548, 616 usql 214 usul al-din 185 usül al-fiah 213, 665, 666 usül al-ta'rikh 213 Suziat 496 vald -> waaf

wāşil 616

wahda 232 wahda mutlaga 104 wahdat al-adyan 7, 104, 107, 113, 118 wahdat al-wujūd, wahdat-i wujūd 7, 19, 20, 103, 107, 110, 112, 116, 118, 119, 144, 228, 232, 243, 244, 406, 427, 429, 459, 607, 611, 612, 622, 635, 673, 675, 685, 708, 726, 739 wahhābī 458 wahy 406 waid 57, 83, 133, 496, 506 walāya 208, 331, 357, 361, 370, 374 walt 103, 116, 165, 208, 209, 274, 330, 331, 374, 468, 477 walī Allāh 212, 219, 223, 666 walt le 'llâh 331 waqf 18, 37, 200, 551 642, 643, 644, 650, 652, 662 wara\* 51, 69, 71 warathat al-anbiya" al-awliya" 164 wasāwis 81, 83

wasila 460, 714 wasila banā'iyya 486 wasiyya 31, 88 wayang 687, 688 wazifa 330, 334, 721 wilāya 58, 61, 80, 331, 458, 617, 618 wiszil 616 w-l-v 330 wuitid 119, 667, 692 wujüdiyya mulhid 675, 676, 677, 678, 683, 684, 685 wuiüdiyya muwakkid 675, 683, 684 พนสมัย 130

mangyue 574 хненао 557 xienao huozhong (teaching heterodoxy to delude the people) 557

vaain 163 yaqzatan 9, 365

28hr 668 zamān al-tauba 53 zandaga 118, 178, 622, 668 zāwiya 5, 8, 9, 17, 38, 39, 198, 199, 201, 203, 229, 237, 242, 244, 251, 264, 265, 266, 334 zhangnao 560 zındiq 76, 260, 358, 616, 681 ziyāra, ziyaret 199, 647, 648, 714, 716, 718, 721 2ivárat al-sădăt 275 zuhd 2, 47, 71, 74, 75, 176, 178 zuhhād 183 zuhür al-fitan 128 21dm 250 zum Jing ge su 578

## 4. GENERAL INDEX

Abbäsid 54 Ahmadiyya-Rashidiyya-Dandarawiyya --- callphs 70 743 --- rulo 413 A'7n-1 akbari 440 <sup>4</sup>Abbāsids 101 \*Akākuza 211 "Abd al-Khāliqiyān 505, 506, 507, 508. Akbar period 449 510, 512, 513, 515, 518 Akbari, Akbanan 7, 15, 19 Abd al-Khāligivānī 506 Akbari era 450 \*Abdaktyya 288 Akbanyya 96 Āfāqī 558 Akhbāris, akhbāris 16, 287, 288, 289, Āfāgryya 14, 558 Afghan resistance 540 akhbensme 287, 288 African Sufi Islam 268 Akmaliyya 699 After-Breakers 556 Al ni-Oazur 296 al-"Ahd 300, 302 Āl al-Shaykh 293 ahl al-bays 124, 129, 130 Ā1 Būva 78 ahl al-hadith 31, 68, 180, 183 Al Hajaz 278 Ahl al-Hagg 288, 291 Al Irsyad 20, 716, 717 ahl al-kuab 202 al Muhammad 137 ahl al-ra3y 170, 180, 183 Al Musharest 148 ahi al-sharifa 249 Al-bu Nasur 308 ahl al-sunna 71, 181, 184 Al-Imam 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, ahl al-sunna wa'l-jama'a 70, 479 755 Ahl-ı Hadith, Ahl-ı hadith, Ahl-i hadis al-Manar 749 465, 468, 469, 473, 474, 475, 476, Al-Qalam 400 477, 478, 479, 481, 482, 483, 484, 'Alawtyya 389 485, 486, 487, 489, 490, 491 'Alid silesia 495 Ahl-s hadish movement 12 \*Alids 136 Ahl-: Hadith ulama 473 All-Islam Congresses 718 ahl-ı shart'a 507 Almohad 4, 195 Ahl-ı Sunnas wa-Jama'as 471 — тоустеп 184, 193 ahl-t tartaa 507 - period 194 Ahle Sunnah wai Jamaat (ASWI) 395. - roling family 211 198, 399, 400, 401, 401, 402, 403, -state 210, 215 408, 410, 415 times 191 Ahle Sunnah wal Jamaat of Azaadville Almohadism 209 411 Almohads, Almohades 187, 189, 206, Ahmadīs 472. 255 Ahmadiyya 21, 311, 741, 742, 743, 744, Almoravid 4, 191 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, -empire 187, 190 753, 754, 755 period 174 Ahmadiyya 387 - role 184, 193 Ahmadiyya-Rashidiyya 741, 743, 751 - ruler, rulers 193, 196

Almoravid-era 214 Almoravids, Almoravides 188, 189, 190, 192, 197, 206, 250 Alfisi, famille 292, 295, 304 amulet 155 Andalusi Umayyad 206 angels 483 Anglo-Egyptian Condomium 278 Ammismus 732 animist 526 Anşār ol-sunna al-muhammadiyya 321 antinomianism 211 Apartheid 408 - government 409, 413 Aq Qoyuglu 37, 759 Arab nationalist movement 41 Arab Socialist Union (ASU) 320 Arabian Wahhābī, Wahhābis 458, 464, 466 - Wahhābism 466 Arabec 37, 536 Arabs, Arabes 157, 283 Amgonese armies 210 Armenians 41 asceticism 175 ascetics 175 ashāb-i Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliqiyan 501. Ashfarl, Ashfarite, Ashfarites 33, 50 - theology 426, 617 al-'Ashira al-Muhammadiyya 321 ashrāf 269. 292, 293, 294, 297, 301, 302 ashraf sunnites de Baghdad 299 'Ashina' 286, 290 Association des Étudiants Musulmans 355 Association des 'Ulama' Musulmons Algériens 341 Association islamique de Chine 576, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 599 Association islamique provinciale du Ningzia 593 associationnisme 305 Ata, tribe 532 anks 520 Awlad 'Abd al-Mu'min 255 Awlid Shid 265 Awlad 'Arisa 257 Awlad Sidi Khalid 257 Awlad Talba 258

awqāf 316, 523

awrād 277, 334 'awāmm 217 favdarūsis 305. °Aydarūsiyya 19, 149, 675, 676 Avyabid 6, 230 — period 228 sultanate 230 azcemata 390 al-Azhae 17, 344, 743, 750, 315, 316, 317, 319, 322, 648, 658 Azhari 17 Báyids, bouyides 77, 288 boba 661 babn\*1 604 B3bis 288 Babiem, Bäbisme 289, 634 Babor's period 447 Bahausm 634 Bahri period 231 Bahshamiyya 4, 80 Bakriyya 43, 68 bandle 369, 370 Banasao 593 Band Azd 46 Benû Hamdia 186 Banû Hil 46 Bano Mario 209, 210 Banû Rashid 252 Banū Sidi Būna 199 Band Sidi Bünn tariga 203 Band Tamign 48 Bao0 Ziyān 252, 253 Banû'l-Kayna'î 133 Baqqara 272 Barelwi, Barelvi, Barelwis 12, 397, 399, 400, 402, 407, 413, 466, 468, 469, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477. 478, 489 Bansan Tentera Allah 723 Barmakids 68 Bāshatiyan, 293 Basmachi 536 - revolts 535 Bătari 140 Bātaiyya 7, 107, 139, 140, 141 Battle of Balakot 454 Battle of Gök Tepe 532 bay'a, bay'at 508, 509, 510, 723, 724 Bāyazīdian rank 428, 431

- temptation 428

GENERAL INDEX

Bayrāmī-Malāmis 607, 609 bayramıs 606 Bavt al māl 670 Bektachie, Bektachies, Bektāshīs 152, 291, 604, 650, 653, 660, 663 Berber nativism 21. - rulers 211 - warriors 209 Berbers 187 Boylerbey, Beylerbeys 5, 253 Bibliothèque Gazi Husrevbeg 648 Black Death 242 Bonteheuwel Mosque 402 Book and Sunna 276 Botha regime 411 bouddhister Junghars 541 Bridgetown Mosque 402 Brigade de la Fraternité du Bon Musulman 343 Buddhst 557, 558, 574 Buddhist-Deoist 56. Buddhists 521 Buginese 688 696 Buhali Zande (?) "Guide des égarés" 581 Bureau des Affaires Musulmanes (BAM) 328, 345 Burhāniyya 95 Buril Period 231 but-khānas 544 C U.P (Comité Union et Progrès) 298. 299 caufat de Sokoto 325, 327 Calt of Islam 411 Cape Mazaar (Kramat) Society 394 capitalisme 328 Caspian Zaydí community 127, 131 Castalan 210 - raiders 210 Catholic 521 Central Asian brotherhoods 40 Central Spiritual Muslim Directorate (Upravlence) for European Russia and Siberia 523. Chachtun Ishander 528 chaféites 292 charifisme 248 charisma 516 chusme 658

Chinese communists 14

Chinese Islam 15 Chish@ 11,392, 425, 438, 445, 448, 450 -forbear 447 - lincage 447 - order 445 - saints 408, 445, 447 - silsila 447 Chishti-Säbiris 476 Chishtis 407, 446, 447, 450 Chishuyya 389, 391, 438, 444, 445, 458, 470 Chishtiyya-Nizamiyya 482 Chrétiens 254 Christian 236 Christianity 232, 346, 523 Christians 231, 244 Colonialism 39, 346 colonialistes 328 Communism 527, 726, 727 Communist Party of Indonesia 726 Companions of the Prophet 41, 88, 89, 90, 140, 156, 213, 223, 224, 360, 365, 404, 433, 481, 713 Conférence politique consultative de la Région autonome Hui du Ningxia 598 conturatio Sammaniyya 272 Constitutional Revolution of 1905-1909 (Iran) 631, 637 Cravenby Massid 402 creative will 62 Crasaders 230 Daarul Ulum ((Där ul-'Ulum) Deoband 396, 404 dabbûs 310 Dabhel 'Uthmānī family 391 Daghestans revolt of 1920-21 535 Danal 25 Dală'il al-khayrdt 150, 330, 404 Danāgla 269 dancing 217, 271 Dandaráwiyya 166 Daoguang period 567 Daoist 557, 558, 574 Dagahkyya 315 Dâr al 'ulum of Azaadville 403 Där al 'ulüm Zakariyya 402 Där al-iftä\* (Egypt) 317, 319 där al-ıslām 69, 97, 123, 326 Dargāwiyya 6, 264 Darul Uloom Aleemiyah Razvia 401

Darwmism 632 Dafwa da Nigéria 339 Daws 463 Day of Resurrection 62, 159 Délégation pour le Ningxia de l'Association islamique de Chine 586 Delbi Nagshbandīs 458 Sultanate 450 - Sultans 439 Deoband 407 Deobandi 12, 404, 468, 472, 474, 475, 476 - Där al-"Ulüm 396 - leadership 475 - teachers 475 - \*ulamā\* (ulama) 396, 475 Deobandis 397, 469, 472, 473, 475, 476, 478, 491 derviches extrémistes 663 Dhahabi 287 Dhahabiyya 285, 620 dhikr-formeln 169 dhukes 387 Dhū-i Khalasa 463 Didag 568 Dimashqiyya 94 disciple 40 dismemberment of the Soviet Union 537 Divine Names 222 divine volution 62 Diwan lughat al-turk 545, 551 Dix grands along 580, 583 Dix grands maams 580 Djamā'at al-tabligh 596 Diamiat Chair 717 dumns 101, 333 dominuciums 543 Dongxiang 579, 580 doopmal 388 Drita Hymore 660 **Duff Development Company 747** Dulkadn'h ruler 243 Dungulawi 269 Dutch colonialism 689 Dzikir (dzikir) --- dhikr, see also Index of Concepts écoles ottomanes 297 Effendi Islam 278

eld-i bid'a 610

Eid-e-Milad-sat-Nabi 395

Empire de Masina 325 de Ségou 325, 326 - ottoman 288 - séfévide 285 époque bouyide 290 - ottomane 251 - post-ottomane 662 Esale-Sawaab 399 Espagnols 325 État almohade 325 Etudes Islamiques (El) 346, 349, 351 European colomalism 759 - Enlightenment 637, 638 - nationalism 41 Opentalists 636. - secularism 41 Everyday Islam 535 Family of the Prophet 133, 139 Fortdi 444 Farā\*idīyya 453 Farûgi kings 429 Parūgis 429 Fäsiyya Shādhiliyya 9, 143 Fătipuds 375, 409 Fatwah Committee of the Musism Judicial Council 401 fayda Tijāniyya 381 Fédération des Associations Islamiques du Sénégai (FAIS) 348 Felalah 371 f.efs maraboutiques 248 figh of Koranic interpretation 213 figh-compendia 156, 158 figh-textbooks 359 First Intellect 625, 628 First Mannud Age 210 first Muslim World Congress 718 First Saudi state 157 First Wahhābī state 157 First World War 281 first year dāhī 441 folk religiosity 153 Followers (tābi'ūn), Followers of the Companions 156, 223, 224 fondamentalisme 304 Foncauldian 2.8 Franco-Arab schools (Senegal) 345, 355, 356 Francophone West Africa 341 free will 89

French colonial rule 342 Hadži Sinanova Tekua 655 French impenalism 40 Hādawī Shusm 125, 134 fundamentalism 44 Hadhramis 735 fugahā\* 5, 6, 98, 106, 108, 110, 119, 120, al-Hady al-nabawi 321 122, 156, 157, 174, 185, 186, 190, Harry 528 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 200, 201, - Ishan 528 202, 204, 203, 205, 206, 220, 252, hajj 84, 87, 158, 160, 343, 344, 737 258, 483, 621, 626, 627, 628, 629, Halawiyyin 274 630, 682, 710 Hallauyya 85, 86 futuwwa brotherhoods 31 Hamidian 16 futuwwas 287 hazudien, hemidienne - 295 gambling 462 - politique 295 Gamma 352, 354 Hamzavi 15 Gansu Mushma 554 Hamzevis 639 Gaskiva ta fi Kwabo 373 Hanaft 710 Gedimu 559, 565 - Muslim identity 487 - ahong 559, 566 - Muslims 487 - communities 558 - school 487 - religious institutions 572 - sharia-minded Suffam 474 Ghazahen 19, 358, 716 - 'ulamā' 479 - Sufism 682, 683 - see also handlite Ghousia Manzil 402 Henafts 32, 33, 479 ghulät 291, 619 Hanafiyya 546 ghulāt-i mutuşavvife 612 Hanball 148 Glasnik 656, 657 Hanball, Hanbalism 3, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, gnosis 57, 228, 237 43, 59, 61, 62, 64, 67, 143, 148, 160, gnostics 50 161 gnostique 331 - madhhab 148 Golden Horde 499 - school of jurisprodence 474 Golkar 726 hanbalite 580 Gorbachev period 538 hanéfite 580 government Islam 535 Hanskah 643, 644, 645 grammer 213, 214 Hanikah de Gazi Husrevbeg 643, 644 Grande Mosquée Dongguan 587, 588, handrah-école 643 589, 594 al-Haraka al-gawmyyo al-isiamiyya 268 Grassy Park Mosque 402 Harakat al-falāh li'l-thagāfa al-islāmiyya Great Mughals 437 337, 338, 339 Great Tradition Islam 524 Haramaya scholars 679 Greek philosophy 624 Hāshimāb 278 Grey Street mosque 400 - brothers 279 Gulistãa 546 - family 278 Gülşenis (Gülshenis) 152, 607, 608, 609 hashish 118, 241 hashwiyya, Hashwiyya 107, 109, 135 Habermasian 218 hatha yoga 433 Habibia Centre 410 Hay'at kibār al 'ulamā' 317 - Khancah 394 Haydari, famille 292, 295 - Masud 402 Haydaniyya, 605 Habibiyya Mosque complex 390 heresy 226

Higher Sufi Council 323

hijāb 354

Habibiyya Siddique Muslim Pipe-Band

Brigade 390

hiprī base year 440 Hundu ascetics 236 - practices 403, 406 - theology 433 Hindmsm 388 Hindus 2, 232, 392 historres de Rustam 286 al Hizb al-hurr al mu'tadil 299 Hizb al-mujāhidīg 2 hodža "profanes" 644 Hospital Welfare and Muslim **Educational Movement 395** haukai 557 Huast 581 Huasi menhuan 568 hubs 200 Hut 577, 579, 581, 585, 590, 591, 592, 597, 600, 602 hukamā\* 624, 627, 628, 630 hulüliyya 107, 232, 619, 684 Hurufis 606 Howwire 252 'ibadat-khana 440 1bādīyya 759 ľbáhiyya 51, 619 idolatry 480 Idris:yva 21, 272, 758 Rhshidayya 4, 80 al-Ikhwan al-muslimun 341 Ikhwāg wahhabites 306, 307 II-Khapid 619 ilāki era 441 ilmiyye 639, 663 Imam, Imam 20, 21, 625, 626, 627, 628 Imam Ismail el-Bukhart madresa 525 ımām-khātībs 524 Imamate 625, 626 ımambaras 480 Imāmi Shusm 15, 617, 625, 626, 627 Imámiyya 140 umants infailfibles 285 Imam's return 629 imperialism 39 incarcation 232 incarnationist 232 Indian Nanshbandis 458 - shrine cult 471 - Wahhâbî 463, 464, 474

Indonesian All-Islam Congress 718

Indogesian ulama 711

andwelling 232 inspiration 90 intercession 159 interior dhikr 503 International Islamic Federation of Student Oreanizations 596 Iranian revolution 623, 707 Iraq - Arab 17 - Kingdom of 17 Ishā'at ol sunno 474 ishanism 526, 531 Ishāna 520, 529, 547, 548 \*isaqi 495 Ishraqi philosophy 621 ıslāh movement 314 Islam in Africa 347 sslam maghrébin 331 - nour 332 - puritain 339 al-Islam wa'l-tasawwuf 320 Islamic Da wa 376 - Fageers 406 --- law 381 - Peril literature 40 - reformism 634 - Revolution in Iran 529, 540 Islamic Student Society 411 Islamic World Congress 279 Islamiyya Schools 381, 382 Ismā°īli doctrines 375 Ismā'ilis 129 . 132. 133. 140. 141. 619 Ismā'iliyya 7, 124, 140, 272, 273 Ismailism 634 al-Jusam 321 nttrhādīyya 232 ittihādi vyūn 31, 100, 101, 107, 118 pabnyya 107 sadid Islamic modernist tradition 527 sadidisme 546 jahouvya 105 Jahriyya 14, 543, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 572, 573, 574, 577, 586, 591 593, 598, 599, 600, 601 Jahriyya Shagou 598 Jalili, famille 293 Jama\*at al favda 368 Jamā'at 'ıbād al-rahmān (JIR) 10, 337,

339, 349, 351, 355

Jamā at izalai al-bid'a wa iaāmai alsunna 329, 334, 337, 338, 339, 341, 357, 374, 378 Jamā<sup>c</sup>a <sup>c</sup>Uthmānīyya 363 Jāmi<sup>e</sup> Masµd of Delhi 455 Jamuat ul 'ulamā' (Jamtatul 'ulamā') 402, 409 at-Jam'ıyya al-khayrıyya 717 al-Jam vyya al-shar'vyya li-ta'āwun al-'āmilin bi l-kitāb wa l-sunna al muhammadı vva 312, 315, 316, 321 Jam'ıyyat ahl al-tariga al-mu'tabara 727 Jam'lyyat al-'ulamā' 327, 337 Jam'tyyat al-Basra al-islähiyya 300 Jam'iyyat al-da'wa al-isiamiyya lybicana 338 Jamil, famille 292, 295 Jat groups 470 Javanese 698, 701 - literature 688 - society 689 Jawahir al-ma'ani 166, 334, 336, 363, 364, 372, 374, 375, 378 Jawharat al-kamāl 376, 721 Jāwi people 679 Jäwiyyin 668 jésuites 543 jeune-turque, révolution 297, 298 Jounes-Tures 298, 299, 300, 301 Jews 244 Jezids 657 µhād 231, 253, 254, 259, 301, 302, 326, 358, 361, 376, 454, 466, 467, 534, 545, 549 iihāds 324, 326 Illani, familie 41, 292, 293, 295, 296. 299, 303, 304, 307 Jinn 59, 155, 330 Jumah Masud 400 Junghars 542

Ka'ba 48, 391, 463, 479, 481, 509

Kadi Malikon Adil 675

Kadariyye 660

Kadazadelis 639

kalām 33, 107, 119, 151, 178, 666, 672

— ash'ante 109

kalif 163

Kano

— Law School 372

— Tijānis 363

- plama 369 Karrámryya 33 Kashghamens 550 kebatınan movements 727 - sects 727 kersoostiek 388 Khadirian Sufi tradition 216 Khadirian tariaa 216 Khaliyya 14, 559, 560, 561, 562, 564, 563, 566, 568, 570, 571, 572, 577, 580, 584, 585, 580 Khāksār 26, 287 Khālidi 38 Khálidiyya 697 khalifa-peremony 393 Khalvatıyyat Samman 740 Khalwatis 607 Khalwatiyya 388, 604, 706, 707, 735 Khamnyva, 229 khānawāda of Khoja 'Abd al-Khāliq 514 khāngāh Sufis 240 khāngāh-maintenance 511 Khāmiites 26 Khatmiyya 9, 166, 269, 272, 273, 277, 280, 745 khawarams 548 Khoja "Abd al-Khāliquyān 501, 507, 508, 510, 511, 516, see also -> 'Abd al-Khāliqiyān Kho,agan 504, 510, 511, 512, 513, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519 Khojagāni 13, 495, 496, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 508, 513, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519 - silsda 497, 505 Khojaganiyya 505 Khotanais 548 Khoton 542 Khurramdin 140 Khurrami 140 Khumamiyya 140 Khwanagani 532 khwājas kashghariens 541 khwān 6, 264, 266 King Fassal Award for Services to Islam Kingdom of Saudi Arabia 145

kirghiz 542

kishlak 520, 536

Kitāb al-tawhīd 378, 464

knowledge 62 Koran 9, 73 Korawa 687 Korité (al-'îd al-kabîr) 352 Korooko 337 Kramat 386, 394 Kubrawi 495, 501, 518 - initiations 434 Kubrawayya 287, 528, 532 Kultura islame (La culture islamique) Kundes, Kurds 39, 283, 291 Laachu 528 Laachi Ishan 528 Iniic 349 Lamat al-mashwara 299 late Oater Iran 631 late Ogjar period 624 late-Almohad-era 221 Le cadeau politique 345 Le Musulman 351 Le Reveil Islamique 345, 346, 349 htancien, Litames 10, 169 Intérature de surveillance 40 Lodi forces 418 --- period 444 loges maçonaiques 109 loeos 70 love (of God) 234 L'Action Islamoue 351 L'Étudiant Musulman 351 Macassar Khalwatiyya 388 Macassarese 688, 696 macrocosm 708 Madabawa 368, 369 mada'ih 272, 279, 280 madhāhib 8, 9, 115,156, 158, 170, 172, 276 madhhabs 316 madrasa 6, 200, 225, 264, 551, 230, 237, 242, 258, 264, 391, 395, 396, 523, 551 Madyani 425 Madyaniyya 423 Maggal 352, 354 Maghribī fuqahā' 193 --- states 39 Magians 140

magic 260

al-Ma'had al-'dmi 279

Mahdawi 449 millennialism 440 - movement 425 Mahdi family 281 Mahdi-idea 273 Mahdi ship 274, 275 Mandism 40, 282 Mahdist movement 8 -- poetry 280 - revival 211 - revolt 272 - revolution 267, 271 - seal 274 - state 271, 276, 278 Mahdista 277, 278, 281, 282 Mahdiyya 267, 268, 277, 278, 279, 280 Mahdi's discourse 274 Mahdi's rāub 277 Mailis al-'ulama' of South Africa 396 Mallis al-mab uthin 298 al-Mailis al-suft al-a'la 320 mailis idara de Baghdad 296 Mailes Ugama dan Iste adat Malayu 748 Manus Ugama Kelantan 754 Makhdiim-zādos 548 Makhdümzāda khojas of Turkestan 559 Makota Alam 669 maktabs 523 Maleien 730, 737, 748 ınalamati 639 Majāmi, Majāmatīyya 15, 25, 51, 604, 611 Mulâmatiyya ichorasamenne 604 Malamis 608, 612 Malamis-Hamzavis 612 Malay 688, 696 Malay-Indonesian Islam 19 maifarăi 497 Māliki 33, 54, 57, 59, 62, 79, 214, 710 - dogma 207 - Fiah 315 — figahā" 182, 193 - mrists 213 law 237 - madhhab 214 -school 213, 235 Sufism 62 - ulama 211, 212 version of usul 213 Mālīkīs 182, 183, 193, 211 Mālikism 175, 206, 211

mawallad 188

Mamluk amirs 244, 247 mazār, mazar 444, 532, 536, 538, 551 - Egypt 6, 23 Mazdakism 140 patrons 239 Mazdakite 140 --- period 209, 226 medicine 221 - religious establishment 242 medrese Alaudin de Prištua 658 - rule 242 medrese de Gaza Hurevbeg 648 - sultans 242 medrese de Tirana 660 Mamlaks 231, 239, 294 Meelad-e-Mustapha Conference 395 Managib of Khoja 'Ali 'Azīzān Melamis-Bayramis 639 Rămitani 497 Mennids 200, see also Marinid al-Manar 303, 314, 335, 714 messianic Shiism 211 Mandchous 543 Mevlevis 152, see also Mawlawiyya al-Maggad al-ahmad fill-ta'rif bi-Meviud 654 Savyidinā lbn 'Abd Allāh Ahmad 169 microcosm 708 maraboulage 351 mid-Oing 554 maraboutic 5 Mingshale 556 maraboutisme 248 Ministry of Awqaf (Egypt) 317, 319, 321 marabouts 6, 10, 328, 341, 342, 344, 345, Ministry of National Guidance (Egypt) 346, 347, 349, 350, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 757 Ministry of Social Affairs (Egypt) 319 Marathas 454 Ministry of the Interior (Egypt) 319 Marāziqiyya Ahmadiyya 311 Mir i- Arab medrase \$24 Marinid 5 miracles 185, 190, 194, 256 - era 209, 223 Mirghani family 280 - period 211, 213, 214, 215, 216 Mirghamyya 9 - rulers 216 mirts 296 - state 213 mission civilisatrice 39 - sultanate 218 ELISSIONSCIES 40 - ulama 212, 214, 224 Modernism 637 Marind-era fucists 222 Mongol 233, 619 Marinida 215 -conquest 517 Maroc utile 209 - era 492 Marwa 480 - onslaught 231 Marxism/Lenmism 520 - period 36 Marxist-Leninist 523 - rule 513 Masarri 4, 182, 185 Mongols 226, 225, 231, 233, 242, 494, Masarris 181, 182, 183, 184 542 Masarrism 182, 183 monism 233, 235, 243, 244 mashyakha 312, 317, 318, 321 monats 233 Masjid-i Bahā ad-Din Naqshband 537 Moors 666 Masud-us-Salaam 402 moriscos 205 Maşmüda tribes of Tinmāl 211 mosque of al-Husayn 315 master-disciple relationship 237 Moulood 394, 395, 198 Materialism 633 Moulood celebrations 395 Mathnawi 635 Moulood Jamaahs 395 Maulid al-nahi 389 Moulood-un-Nabi 395, 399, 400, 402, Mauloodun Nabi 404 411 Mawlawiyya 17, 604 Moulood-un Nobi programme 398 mawlid 158, 201, 202, 244, 313, 320 mouridisme 339 mawlid al-nabī 139, 201, 388 mudétares 205 mawlid-ceremonies 158 muftis 520

Mughal conquest 429 - doctrine of kingship 439 --- dynasty 441 - god-king 442 - government 470 --- India II --- period 417, 437, 445 --- rulers 424 Mughals 418, 419, 424, 425, 429 Mohammadan light 472 Muhammadiyah 20, 716, 723, 728 Muhammadiyya Shādhiliyya 321 Muharram 480 Mujaddidî shaykh 471 Musaddidiyya 458, 471 mujassima 107 muibira 132 mujtahids 287, 624, 626, 627, 628, 629, 631 - chutes 307 mustahıdün 487 Mujūs 139, 140 mukhtaparás 212 al Munir 20, 714, 715 Muntafik, famille 306 Muntakhab al-tawarikh 446 mugaddam leading 367 Murabitun movement 396 Muridayya 327, 341, 348, 349, 353 Murids 349, 352, 354, 508, 533, 536, 712 muridun (Ibn Qasi) 184, 188, 190, 197, 202 Muslim Brotherhood 707 Muslim Brothers 319, 320, 321, 407 Muslim Judicial Council (MJC) 398, 399. 400, 410 Muslim News 390, 394, 405, 409, 410 Muslim Students' Association 411 Muslim World League 373 Muslim Youth Movement 403, 411 mutakattımün 156 Mutacrifiyya 125 Murtazila, Murtazeli 3, 4, 6, 50, 32, 33, 57, 67,69, 70, 71, 72, 77, 78, 81, 85, 88, 89, 90, 91, 105, 124, 130, 759 Mu<sup>e</sup>tazılī ideas 178 theology 124, 175 — Zaydī kalām 125 Mu<sup>c</sup>tazīlis, Mu<sup>c</sup>tazīlites 184, 331, 615. 617, 618 Muctazalism 175, 178, 181, 182

mühimme defterleri 607, 608, 609 mütevelli 644, 645 al Nādī al watanī 299 Nadmlini Tekna 655 Nadwat al Ulama 476, 477 Nahdtatul Ulama (NU) 718, 719, 720, 721, 722 nā°1b 292 Natdi 404, 481 ramaz 646, 657 Nagshbandl 2, 9, 13, 14, 20, 30, 37, 38, 39, 42, 458, 466, 474, 495, 497, 502, 503, 505, 507, 515, 516, 528, 533, 535, 538, 556, 701, 703, 712, 713, 715, 716, 719, 722 - Barêlwi 476 - cosmology 483 - ideals 512 - murida 535 - order 538 — роједне 495 - reformers 478 - ratual 713 - shaykha 714 - Sufi traditions 502 Negshbandi-Mujaddidi 470 Nagshbandis, negshbandies 305, 306, 476, 484, 518, 554, 558, 559, 621, 714 Nagshbandayya 293, 304, 307389, 391, 393, 458, 493, 495, 496, 505, 528, 537, 577, 591, 604, 687, 697, 702, 703, 705, 706, 707, 712, 713, 715, 720, 722, 723, 724, 726, 727, 750, 754 Naqshbandiyya Khafiyya 581 Naqshbandiyya Khafiyya Beizhuang Naqshbandayya Mujaddidiyya 12, 458 Naqshbandiyya silsila 715 Naqshbandiyya Khālidiyya 709, 710, 735, 740, 741, 742, 750, 753 Nagshbandiyya-Mazhariyya 740, 741 al Nășir Muhammad's mosque 225 Näsir era 312, 321 Nasmyya 336 Nasrid 5 kingdom 199 period 174, 201 - rulers 200

National Islamic Front (N I F ) 268, 273, 282 nationalism 39 Nauka i religiia (Science and Religion) 529 nāzir des wagfs 293 neo-fundamentalisis 453, 466 neo Hanbalism, néo-hanbalisme 160, 268, 466 Neo-platonic. Neoplatonism, néoplatonisme 207, 375, 624, 628 658, 673 - doctrines 178 Neo-Sufi, Neo-Sufism, Neo-Sufik 21, 43, 165, 166, 167, 171, 172, 269, 270, 272, 467, 740 néo-wahhābisme 122 New Teaching 14, 558, 560, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 570, 571, 572, 573 Niassene Tijāniyya 363 NIF -> National Islamic Front Nigerian Tijanis 364, 369, 383 nights of Ramadan 152 Nifematullähi 623 Nismotullah 287 Ni matuJān, yva 620 Nizam.yye 372 Njeriu (L'homme) 660 Noah's ork 59 nomads 157 NU -> Nahdlatul Ulama Nurbakhshi 287 Nüriyya 616

obedience 37 official and unofficial Islam 539, 540 Old Teaching 14, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 570, 573 опелеза 232 Orientalists 636 Orthodox Christians 571 orthodoxy 37, 226 Ottoman Empire 410 Ortoman occupation of the Yemen 138 Ottoman, Ottomans 5, 6, 7, 15, 16, 18, 19, 297, 301 ottoman, pouvoir 291 Ottoman Sultan 142, 280 Ottoman Turkey 41, 143 ovlind 532, 533

Paders movement 700 Paden-Reform 700 Pahlavi 632, 637 pan-Islamism 410, 630 Pandawa 687 Paniabi 470 panthéisme 658 Park Road Mosque 402 Partas Islam Perts 723 Partai Politik Tarekat Islam (PPTI) 723, 725, 726 PAS 731, 732 Pasar 669 perestroika 535, 538 Persatuan Pengamai Tarekat Islam 725 Persian 37 - mystical poetry, classical 635 Perti (Persatuan Tarbayah Islamiyah, Association for Muslim Education) 719, 722, 723, 724, 725 Perti ulama 722 Desantren 696 Philosopher-King, 628 philosophers 625 Phuosophy 634 picty 153 Pingnan state 568 Pir Pagaros 759 pir-murid relationships 528 Pirsen 398 pfrs 391, 520 Poets 635 polytheism 232 popular - cult of the same 235 - Islam 525 - Mamlok manuals 240 - Sufism 629 Porte ottomane 283, 291, 292, 295 Portugais 325 post-Almohad messianism 211 pre Nagshbandî Khojaganî literature 502 Preporod 656 prophecy 177, 179, 182, 188, 426 prophecy, substitutes for 177 prophethood 63 Prophetic seal 274 prophetology 472 prophets 185, 219, 484, 485, 617, 635

Prophet's grave 482

Prophet's intercession 485 Prophet's tomb 482 prostration 41 proto Naqshbandi silsila 502 purilanism 39 Qabar Pujoari Jamaat 407 Qubar Pujaars Jamaat of Durban 403. Oadrani 400 Qadirawan Shehu 364 Qădirî 361, 371, 425, 434 --- dhikr 453 Qădiri-Naqshbandi lineage 759 Qadiris 305, 361, 363, 364, 370, 377, 450, 476 Qădiriyya 10, 16, 19, 30, 31, 33, 41, 151, 160, 292, 293, 296, 298, 301, 303, 304, 307, 326, 327, 333, 334, 336, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 369, 370, 374, 377, 378, 389, 391, 393, 394, 423, 458, 528, 558, 672, 675, 678, 697, 707, 720, 727, 758 - literature 369 - Nāsinyya 336 - silsıla 369 Qadiriyya wa-Naqshbandiyya 701, 709, 722, 740 Qadurayya wird 360, 363, 369 Osdizādeli movement 15 Qadizădelia 610 Qajar 627, 629, 631, 632, 633 — fuqahā¹ 627 - intellectuals 633 Qagars 627, 637 Qalandar 15, 22, 23, 25, 26, 44, 291, 434, 503 qalandari 604, 606, 607, 609 Qalandarıyya 604, 605 galansınea 34 Qamr, famille 293 Qara Qoyunlu 37 Qarawiyyin mosque 219, 223 Qarmates, qurmates 105, 109, 333 **Casimi** - dynasty 7, 143 - imamate 138 gawwaals 407 grankai 557

Qing 13, 14, \$42, 543, 544, 545, 546, 548, 549, 554, 558, 565, 566, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 583, 598 - armies 568, 571 - Board of Punishments 567 - court 558, 561, 562, 563, 567, 570 - empire 553, 568 forces 573. - government 558 -- law 555 - legal system 557 - military 571 - officials 557, 562, 563, 570, 571, 574, - state 555, 561, 563, 569, 570, 575 - state courts 558 Quatre Communes 342, 343 Quadgu Bilig 544, 545, 551 rantiboel haddad, rātib al-haddād 388, 399 Radd al-uhrāk 455 Räfida 151 Rahmānīyya 6, 264 Rajab al-Rāwi, famille 292 Ramayana 687 Rămitani group 505 -legacy 501 -- lore 505 rample-say 388 Rasā'il ikhwān ai-safā' 191 Rashahat-i 'ayn al-hayat 498 Rashidiyya 166, 272, 749, 752 Rasolid, Rasolids, rasolides 6, 105, 116, 33 rawdakhānas 287 Rāwi, famille 292, 293, 296, 308 reason 633 rejection of saints 154 revolt of Calegon 701 révolte de Kücz 548 - wahhābate 332 ribāj 5, 189, 199, 201, 203, 212, 229, 241, 249 ribāt-based Sufism 215 mfa5 308 กม์สิโร 305 Rifatiyya, Rifatiyye 31, 38, 292, 293, 296, 297, 299, 307, 308, 389, 393, Oibla 409, 411 604, 660, 675, 697, 706 Rifă'iyya d'Alep 295

Rikābī 277 Rikābī Khatmiyya 277 Rimāh hizb al-Rahīm 'alā nuhūr hizb alrajim 166, 364, 366, 375, 721 rulers 156 Rüm Abdallan 605, 606 Russian imperialism 521 -Orthodox 521 Sabiri Chishti master 447 sacred tribes 533 sāda 159 sadaga 723 Sa<sup>c</sup>diyya, Sa<sup>c</sup>diyye 17, 311, 660 SADUM (Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of Central Asia and Kazakhstan) 520, 524, 538 Safa 480 Safavid dynasty 621 - empires 759 - period 127, 619, 621, 622, 626 Safavids 39, 624, 625, see also séfévids Saffärids 59 sahāba 28, 153 sainthood 209, 426 saints 178, 185, 195, 201, 365 sajjāda-nishīns 759 Salaer 558 salaf 234 al-salaf al-salih 223 Salafi, Salafiyya, salafi 7, 10, 17, 20, 21, 39, 42, 43, 44, 94, 115, 304, 314, 325, 327, 329, 331, 337, 580, 584, 585, 591, 707, 730, 749, 750, 755, 756 - exoterism 224 Salar communities 560 Salars 558, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575 salās 370 salāt al-"ishā" 400 Salāt al-fātih 334, 335, 336, 365, 366, 376, 377, 378, 379 salawāt 399, 404 Salgawa 368, 369 şālihiyya 166 sālihūn 153 Sālimiyya 3, 59, 62, 179 samizdat 530 Sammani dhikr 271

Sammāniyya 269, 272, 275, 281, 393, 697, 709, 740 - writings 271 Sanhāja 212 santones andaluces 35 santri Islam 20, 692, 696 Sanūsiyya 40, 165, 254, 758 Sarekat Islam 717, 718 Satan 4, 53 Saudi, Saudis 9, 400 - dynasty, 414 - kings 414 - traditionalism 31 Sa'ūdī regime 721 Sāwiyya Khalwatiyya 315 sayyids 292, 294, 295, 297, 298, 300, 301, 302 -- Musha'sha' 288, 289 - rifā"is 308 - sunnites 295, 298 School for Arabic Studies (SAS) 372, 381, 382 scientific atheism 523 scripturalism 39 Second World War, Seconde guerre mondiale 10, 39, 344, 651 secularism 39 sedentaries 157 séfévide, dynastie 288 Séfévides 284, 285, 286, 289, 290 seldjoukide 604 semahane 643 semi-pomads 157 Serat Centhini 688, 689, 690, 693 Serat Jatiswara 688 Sérigne Fakk Tall 353 Sériene Lamb 353 ser'iyye sicilleri 608 Shâbbiyya 260 shabihs 286 Shādhilī 237, 425 Shādhili/Yashratī 38 Shādhiliyya 42, 295, 313, 389, 423, 570, 727, 758 Shādhiliyya Darqāwiyya 9 Shādhiliyya de Damas 295 Shāfi'ī 33, 54, 60, 79, 669, 710 - law 237 - madhhab 669, 712 Shāficism 183 Shab-i Mardan 533

Shāk-nāma 549, 595, 698 shamanic 533 shamanist 526 sharia 162, 168, 202, 207, 222, 241, 263, 299, 346, 358, 383, 406, 450, 458, 469, 507, 515, 518, 523, 531, 546, 635, 673 Shattari 11, 19, 21, 416, 417, 418, 419, 421, 422, 424, 426, 427, 429, 433, 434, 706 Shattaris 416, 417, 430, 433, 434, 435, Shattāriyya 678, 697, 700, 701, 706, 707, 709, 715, 735, 737, 738, 739, 744 shavkh al-Azhar 316, 318 shaykh mashayikh al-juruq al-süfiyya 311, 312, 317, 320, 322 Shaykhi, Shaykhis 287, 288, 628, 629 Shaykhism 289, 629, 630 shaykhs 520 shaykhulislām 285 Shia Islam 15 - fuqahā3 625, 626 - hierarchy 629 - Imamate 624 - Imams 618, 622 - Islam 624 - theological-philosophy and mysticism 628 - ulama 620, 621, 623, 625 Shiis, Iraqi 16 Shiism 15, 16, 625, 634 Shiites 231, 475 Shiites, extremist 236 shrine-centered Islam 471 shrines 153, 154, 158 Shunüziyya Mosque 56 Shūrā 546, 547 Siddique Mosque 390 Siddique Primary School 390 SIDRA (Savez Islamskih derviških redova Alijje u SFRJ, Union des ordres de derviches de Yougoslavie) 654, 656, sihr 256 Sikh kingdom 454 Sikhs 454 silent dhikr 505, 517, 559 silsila 31, 159, 193, 517, 528 Silsila Qaderiyah Aleemiyah 394

Sinanova Tekija 643

Sinawi, familie 292 singing 217 Sino-Japanese War 571 al-Sirdt al-mustagim 454 Slave Lodge 390 Société de Développement des Fibres Textiles (SODEFITEX) 354 Society of the Muslim Brothers 17, 312, 319, 322 Sekoto - Caliphate 361, 362, 363 - jihād 361, 357, 358, 359, 361, 371 soufisme socialisé 353 soul, lower 221 sourate des jinns 330 Sovet Ozbekistani 529 Soviet empire 42 - historiography 13 - invasion of Afghanistan 529 - Islam 13, 521, 534 nationality policies 533 - period 520, 528, 540 - society 527 - State 13 Soviet-Afghan war 535, 540 Sparks Road Mosque 399 Spiritual Directorate of Central Asia 524 Spiritual Directorate of Central Asia and Kazakhstan 524 Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of Central Asia and Kazakhstan (SADUM) 520, 524, 538 Spiritual Directorate of the Muslims of European Russia and Siberia 524 Spiritual Directorate of the North Caucasus and Daghestan 524 Spiritual Directorate of the Transcaucasia Muslims 524 subh prayer 724 Sufi shaykh 223 Sufism 634, 637, 638 sūfiyyat al-mu tazila 69 Suhrawardiyya 470, 558 suiahā' of the countryside, ignorant 215 Suluk Abesi 690, 693 Suluk Gatoloco 693 Sundanese 688, 696 sunna 9, 12, 29, 156, 158, 213, 224, 232, 234, 236, 244, 380 Sunni Jamaat 400, 411 Sumi jurists 130

 law schools 477 - millennialism 440 - millennialists 441 Sunni Razvi Jamaat of Durban 403 Sunni Razvi Society International 390. 394, 410 Sunni schools of jurisprudence 487 - schools of law 214 Sunni, Sunnism 3 Supreme Council of the Sufi Brotherhoods 43 sûa 175 Sür Afghans 419 Suri interregoum 440, 449 - regime 419, 424, 425 Stris 425 Suwaydi, famille 292 Syair Perahu 739 syncretic mysticism 708 syncretism 706 Syrian ascetical tradition 46, 57

Tabaqshail, famille 292, 293, 308 Tabaski ('Id al-flyr) 352 tābi an 153 Tabligh movement 13 Tablight 399, 400 Tablighi Jamaat 397, 398, 399, 400, 406, Tablighi Jamaat movement 397 Tablighi Maulanas 403 Tablight movement 397, 403, 412 Tablight supporters 409 Tablights 409, 410, 437 Tadhkir al-ikhwan 455 Tafsir al-manar 313 tafsīr al-gur an 214 ta°iban 127 Taifa period 174 Ta'in 752 Taiping armies 569 -- rebels 568 al-Tā°iyya al-kubrā 229, 235, 244 Tajik 533 takīvas 17 talibé 347 talisman 155 tamghāchiyān 513 Tanzimat 304

Taqwiyat al-îmân 455, 474, 479, 480, 487

tapas 666

Tarekat Darajat 744 tarekat Sukarnowiyah 725 tarikat bosniaco-herzégoviennes 651 tarikat des Bektachis 645 Tarikatski centar de Sarajevo 655, 657, 659, 664 Tarikh-i alfi 440 tariqa muhammadiyya shādhiliyya 94 Tariqa-i Muhammadiyya 12, 13, 453, 454, 457, 458, 465 Tashkent Islamic Institute 539 Talars 522 Tawhid al-islām 479 taxes 242 Teyyibl Ismā'ilis 133 Ia'ziyas 287, 465 lekke 641, 642, 643, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, tekke bektachi de Tetovo 645 tekke de Bistrigi 642 tekke de Bosnie-Herzégovine 652 tekke de Isabeg 644 tekke de Sejh Sinanaga 644 tekke kadiri de Sinan Aga 642 tekke mevlevi de Sarajevo 642 tekke meylevi du Caire 650 tekkes, tokkés 18, 152, 305, 308, 309 tekkés cifa is 308 temple d'Ushaq-tal 544 temple Uhigh öv 544 The Majlis 396, 403, 406, 407 The Muslim Digest 404 The Muslim Digest of Durban 408 The Muslims of the Soviet East 524 Tibetans (Fan) 562, 566 Tijānī, Tijāniyya, Tidjaniyye 6, 10, 20, 165, 166, 168, 264, 272, 279, 281, 282, 326, 327, 330, 333, 334, 335, 336, 341, 342, 343, 346, 349, 353, 357, 361, 362, 363, 364, 366, 367, 369, 370, 372, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 383, 660, 720, 721, 722, 727, 728 Tijānī doctrines 363, 364, 372, 375 - plama 363 - wird 383 Tijānīs 336, 343, 352, 363, 364, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 375, 377, 378, 379, 381, 721, 722 Tijaniyya nigérjanne 335 Tijāniyya sénégambiennes 339

Tijaniyya, wird of the 375 Tijāniyya-Niassiyya 368, 373, 375 tijäniyya-staat 165 Timurid 619 tomb of Chonan Ata 532 tombs 153 towaspeople 157 Tribunaux Musulmans 342 tribus maraboutiques 251 Turcomans 604 Tures 253, 254, 255, 262, 263 Turkmen 532, 533 - society 532 Turks 142, 410, 413 suruq maghrébines 328 Twelver Shia 124 - Shii concepts 472

- Shiism 16, 127 - Shiites 614, 615, 619 tilrbe 647, 648, 662 türbedar 648 Uighurs de Xinjiang 547 ulama, uléma, "ulamă" 5, 13, 15, 16, 110, 116, 136, 156, 163, 165, 170, 171, 175, 180, 182, 183, 185, 190, 191, 193, 194, 195, 197, 200, 202, 205, 211, 214, 215, 217, 218, 219, 223, 224, 249, 250, 252, 262, 263, 265, 272, 277, 285, 286, 287, 294, 295, 297, 298, 299, 301, 305 368, 372, 395, 397, 402, 403, 408, 409, 410, 412, 413, 437, 473, 475, 476, 477, 478, 484, 487, 488537, 545, 607, 608, 612, 619, 620, 624, 625, 639, 655, 663, 677, 678, 682, 710, 720, 723, 725, 759 ulama chiites 284, 285, 289 ulama du Turkestan 545 ulama in Northern Nigeria 372 ulama iraniens 289 ulama of the Tijaniyya 374 ulama orthodoxes 611 ulama ottomans 609 ulama séfévides 288 'ulamã'. Sudanese 280 ulama, Sufi 274 ulama sunnites 292, 293, 294, 297, 298, 302 ulama usūlis 287, 288 'ulamā' al-zāhir 32, 249, 256 Illema-medžlis 18, 644, 645, 646, 647

Ulema-medžlis de Bosnie-Herzégovine Ulema-medžlis de Sarajevo 645, 646, 647 Ulema-medžlis de Skoplje 646, 662 Ulema-medžlis de Yougoslavie 652 ulémas de Bosnie-Herzégovine 641. 651, 653 Ulemas of Deoband 404 \*Umari, famille 293 Umayyad 4, 174 - caliphate 183 - period 175 Umayvads 181, 413 Umm Hāni<sup>2</sup> 263 Umma Party 282 Ummat Islam 736 **UMNO 731** Undang-undang Melaka 669 unio mystica 164, 168 Union Cultuelle Musulmane (UCM) 10, 327, 328, 329, 339, 341, 342, 344, 345, 348, 349 Union Culturelle Musulmane au Mali 339 Union Fraternelle des Pèlerins Musulmans de l'A.O.F 343 Union Musulmane Togolaise 339 unionistes 299 United Ulama Council of South Africa (UUCSA) 414 unofficial Islam 521, 533, 534, 535, 538, usofficial neighborhood mosques 535 Upanishads 375 Urdu 455 fors 392, 393, 399 "ars ceremonies 475 fars of Hazrat Soofie Sahib 394 "ars of Manlana Dr. Muhammad Fazlur-Rahman al-Ansari al-Qaderi 393 "urs of Shaikh Sayed Abdurahman Meture 394 'ees sharif of Hazrat Shaikh Ahmad Badsha Peer 394 üstāds 520 al-Usül al-arba\* 479 will methodology 215 usül-driven orthodoxy 213 Usūlī 627, 628, 629

--- fugahā' 627

GENERAL INDEX

— mujtahids 628
Uşüli-Akhbări controversy 627
Usülis 16, 287, 289, 295, 627, 628, 629
uşülisme 287, 288
Uwaysī, uwaysī 434, 454, 460, 505, 517
— initiation 517
— traditions 216
Uwaysīs 36
Uzbek 39, 533

vakf de Gazi Husrevbeg 644
vakfija 644
vakfiname 644
vakfs 650
Vatican 109
veneration of saints 158
veneration of the lombs 159
villagers 157
vinionary experience 37
vocal dhikr 502, 503, 505, 516, 533, 559
— of the Yasawiyya 533
volition 62
Vaprosy Nauchnoga Ateizma (Questions of Scientific Atheism) 529

Wafa'iyya 605 Wagdaanieyah Moulood Jamaa of Bridgetown 395 wahdat al-wujūd tradition 673 Wahhābī, wahhābite, Wahhābism, wahhābisme, Wahhābiyya, wahhābiyya 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 29, 42, 43, 122, 115, 144, 145, 146, 147, 150, 153, 154, 157, 158, 160, 169, 171, 172, 173, 297, 304, 306, 314, 315, 322, 325, 357, 371, 373, 381, 400, 404, 410, 413, 414, 452, 463, 464, 465, 472, 474, 477, 478, 481, 482, 484, 541, 550, 576, 579, 580, 601, 646, 700, 707, 721, 757 -- expeditions 150

influence 143
Islam 314
movement 157, 306, 464
movement, early 157
teaching 157
Wahhābī-inspired movements 368
wahrtraum 164, 167
Waiar Bidi'a 371

- historiography 150

wajd 506

Wal Fadjr 350, 351 Wali Sanga 671, 672 wagfs 292, 293, 296, 298, 307 waafs qadiris 296 Wāşiliyya 616 wayang 687, 688 al-Wa'z wa'l-irshād 317 wazifat al-tasawwwf 239 Wedhatama 692, 693 Westridge Mitchells Plain Mosque 402 Westville Masjid 408 wird 331, 334, 360, 365, 374, 375, 387, 712 Witwatersrand University 409 World Assembly of Muslim Youth 393 World War I 16, 312, 637; see also First World War Wujūdī, wujūdī, Wujūdiyya 19, 228, 674, 676, 677, 680, 683, 684, 685 wujüdī 228 - metaphysics 427

— school 228
Wujüdiyya doctrine 677, 678
— school 674
— Sufi 677

— Sufi 677 — Sufis 674 — Sufism 675

xiaoerjing 581 Xining Muslims 566 Xunhua tusi 553

al-Yāqūta al-farida 372
Yasavī, Yasawi 495, 499, 501, 518, 528, 533
— communities 528
— saints 532
— Sufi tradition 502
— tradition 509
Yasawiyya 528, 532, 605
Yashruţiyya 38
Yemenite Zaydiyya 131
Yiguang 590
Yihewani 14, 15, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601
yoga 433, 434

yogi 434

yogic disciplines 433

Young Turks 41

Zāhirī 79 zakāt 182, 185, 616 Zanăta Berbers 209 - tribal segments 210 Zangid 6, 228, 230 Zani i naltë (La voix suprême) 660 Zanj 59, 60 Zawiya Mosque 389 - murids 389 Zaydī, Zaydīs, Zaydīyya 6, 7, 124, 125, 127, 131, 132, 133, 141, 143, 144, 619, 759 Zaydī Islam 124 Zayuls 612 Zhongguo Musilin (Musulmans de Chine) 593 ZIDRA (Zajednica islamskih derviških redova Aliffe w SFRJ, Communauté des ordres de derviches) 654, 656, 659

Zionism 409
Ziyinid, Ziyinides 5, 250, 252, 253
Zoroastrianism 140
zuhr prayer 714
Zungham 559

# ISLAMIC HISTORY AND CIVILIZATION

#### STUDIES AND TEXTS

- 1. Lev, Y. State and Society in Fatimid Egypt, 1991. ISBN 90-04-09344-3.
- Crecelius, D. and 'Abd al-Wahhab Bakr, trans. Al-Damurdashi's Chronicle of Egypt, 1688-1755. Al-Durra al Musana fi Akhbar al-Kinana. 1991. ISBN 90-04-09408-3
- Donzel, E. van (ed.). An Arabian Princess Between Two Worlds. Memoirs, Letters Home, Sequels to the Memoirs, Syrian Customs and Usages, by Sayyida Salme/Emily Ruete. 1993. ISBN 90-04-09615-9
- 4. Shatzmiller, M. Labour in the Medieval Islamic World. 1994, ISBN 90-04-09896-8
- Morray, D. An Ayyubid Notable and His World. Ibn al-Adim and Aleppo as Portrayed in His Biographical Dictionary of People Associated with the City. 1994. ISBN 90 04 09956 5
- Heidemann, S. Das Aleppiner Kalifat (A.D. 1261). Vom Ende des Kalifates in Bagdad über Aleppo zu den Restaurationen in Kairo. 1994. ISBN 90-04-10031-8
- Behrens-Abouseif, D. Egypt's Adjustment to Ottoman Rule. Institutions, Waqf and Architectuze in Cairo (16th and 17th Centuries). 1994. ISBN 90-04-09927-1
- Elad, A. Medieval Jorusalem and Islamic Worship. Holy Places, Ceremonies, Pilgrimage. 1995. ISBN 90-04-10010-5
- Clayer, N. Myssiques, État et Société. Les Halvetis dans l'aire balkanique de la fin du XVe siècle à nos jours. ISBN 90-04-10090-3
- Levanoni, A. A Turning Point in Manhak History. The Third Reign of al-Nasir Muhammad ibn Qalawan (1310-1341). 1995. ISBN 90-04-10182-9
- Essid, Y. A Critique of the Origins of Islamic Economic Thought. 1995.
   ISBN 90 04 10079 2
- Holt, P.M. Early Mambuk Diplomacy (1260-1290). Treatics of Baybars and Qalāwūn with Christian Rulers. 1995. ISBN 90-04-10246-9
- Lecker, M. Muslims, Jews and Pagans. Studies on Early Islamic Medina. 1995.
   ISBN 90-04-10247-7
- Rabbat, N.O. The Citadel of Caino. A New Interpretation of Royal Mamluk Architecture. 1995. ISBN 90-04-10124-1
- Lee, J.L. The 'Ancient Supremay'. Bukhara, Afghanistan and the Battle for Balkh, 1731-1901. 1996. ISBN 90-04-10399-6
- Zaman, M.Q. Religion and Politics under the Early 'Abbasids. The Emergence of the Proto-Sunni Elite. 1997. ISBN 90-04-10678-2
- Sato, T. State and Rural Society in Medieval Islam. Sultans, Muqta's and Fallahum.
   1997. ISBN 90-04-10649-9
- Dadoyan, S.B. The Fatimid Armenians. Cultural and Political Interaction in the Near East. 1997. ISBN 90-04-10816-5
- Malik, J. Islamische Geleirtenkulter in Nordindien. Entwicklungsgeschichte und Tendenzen am Beispiel von Lucknow. 1997. ISBN 90-04-10703-7
- Mélikoff, I. Hadji Bektach: un mythe et ses avatars. Genèse et évolution du soulisme populaire en Turquie. 1998. ISBN 90 04 10954 4
- Guo, L. Early Mambak Syrian Historiography. Al-Yūnīnī's Dhayl Mir'āt al-zamān. 2 vols. 1998. ISBN (set) 90 04 10818 1
- Taylor, C.S. In the Vicinity of the Righteous. Zyūra and the Veneration of Muslim Saints in Late Medieval Egypt. 1999. ISBN 90 04 11046 1
- Madelung, W. and P.E. Walker. An Ismail: Heresiagraphy. The "Bāb al-shaytān" from Abu Tammām's Kitāb al-shayara. 1998. ISBN 90-04-11072-0
- Amitai-Preiss, R. and D.O. Morgan (cds.). The Mongal Empire and its Legucy. 1999.
   ISBN 90-04-11048-8
- 25. Giladi, A. Infants, Parents and Wet Nurses. Medieval Islamic Views on Breastfee-

- Holt, P.M. The Sudan of the Three Miles. The Funj Chronicle 910-1288/ 1504-1871.
   1999. ISBN 90-04-11256-1
- Hunwick, J. Timbuktu and the Songhay Empire. Al-Sa'di's Ta'rīkh al-sūdān down to 1613 and other Contemporary Documents. 1999. ISBN 90-04-11207-3
- Mūnis, S.M.M. and M.R.M. Agahī. Firdaws al-iqbāl. History of Khorezm. Translated from Chagatay and annotated by Y. Bregel. 1999. ISBN 90-04-011365-7
- Jong, F. de and B. Radtke. Islamic Mysticism Contasted. Thirteen centuries of controversies and polemics. 1999. ISBN 90-04-11300-2